

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## **Biochemistry and Biophysics Reports**



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/bbrep

# Esophageal squamous cell carcinoma: Integrated bioinformatics analysis for differential gene expression with identification of hub genes and lncRNA

## F.M. Yasir Hasib<sup>a,b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Infectious Diseases and Public Health, Jockey Club College of Veterinary Medicine and Life Sciences, City University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong SAR, China

<sup>b</sup> Department of Pathology and Parasitology, Chattogram Veterinary and Animal Sciences University, Bangladesh

ARTICLE INFO	A B S T R A C T		
Keywords: ESCC Hub genes DEGs IncRNA	<i>Background:</i> Esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (ESCC) is a typical Gastro-Intestinal (GI) tract neoplasm. This study was conducted to know the Differential Expressed Genes (DEGs) profile of ESCC along with hub gene screening, InCRNA identification, and drug-genes interactions. <i>Methods:</i> GSE161533, GSE20347, GSE45670 microarray datasets were retrieved from the NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database. GEO2R was used for the DEGs identification, whereas GO (Gene Ontology) and KEGG enrichment analysis were performed in DAVID. PPI network constructed using STRING and visualized with Cytoscape app with the help of MCODE. The top ten connectivity genes were selected as hub genes—further survival analysis was performed in the Kaplan-Meier plotter. Moreover, Boxplot, pathological stage plots were constructed using GEPIA (Gene Expression Profiling Interactive Analysis). The methylation heatmap assembled in the DiseaseMeth version 2.0. IncRNA (Long non-coding RNA) was identified comparing the list of genes in HUGO, and Gene-drug interactions were accumulated from the DgiDB platform. <i>Results:</i> This experiment showed 16 upregulated, and 59 downregulated DEGs shared among the three datasets. Biological process analysis showed significant terms such as extracellular matrix disassembly and collageen catabolism. The extracellular region was detected as the most crucial cellular compartment. Notably, metalloen dopeptidease and serine-type endopeptidase activity showed significant molecular functions term. In contrast, transcriptional misregulation was a highly substantial KEGG pathway. Kaplan-Meier plotter showed higher expression of CXCL8, SPP1, MMP13, CXCL1, and TOP2A have a significant impact on the overall survival of the patients. Nine out of ten hub genes have significantly different expression levels than normal and cancer tissues. HYMAI was the only lncRNA commonly expressed upregulated among the three datasets. Drug-gene interaction showed multiple genes have no drug options exist till now.		

#### 1. Introduction

Cancer is the cause of a significant number of mortality worldwide. Cancer can be defined as uncontrolled cell growth, and almost every tissue can be affected by this disease [2]. Esophageal Squamous Cell Carcinoma (ESCC) is considered one of the most common gastrointestinal (GI) neoplasms worldwide [1]. In most cases of ESCC, symptoms did not show early, resulting in a higher death rate due to limited treatment regimens in the late stages [11]. Several risk factors were identified for ESCC, including alcohol consumption, tobacco products consumption, smoking, lower fiber intake, etc. Upper Body Mass Index (BMI) and micronutrient deficiency were also hazardous [4].

Due to the present day's advancement of genomic techniques such as

microarray analysis and high throughput analysis, genes associated with ESCC are now a topic of interest to discover the specific genes with their expression correlation to the tumor [14]. Differential gene expression and their related activity are the fundamental way to understand the mechanism of disease advancement. Many genes and their co-expression were regularly identified for the progression of ESCC worldwide. Some of the genes showed significant expression results in corresponding survival analyses. CDK1 and TOP2A were analyzed as the critical genes for ESCC neoplasm by Yang and his group (W. [35]. Whereas CDCA5 was considered the crucial gene for the prognosis of ESCC by another author [33]. CFLAR, LAMA5, ITGA6, ITGB4, and SDC4 genes were also validated for ESCC progression (L. [36]. Whereas, there were also few Long Non-coding RNA (lncRNA) identified, which might impact ESCC

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrep.2022.101262

Received 28 February 2022; Received in revised form 8 April 2022; Accepted 11 April 2022

2405-5808/© 2022 Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

E-mail addresses: fmyhasib@gmail.com, fmyhasib2-c@my.cityu.edu.hk.



Fig. 1. DEGs among the three datasets (Left - Upregulated; Right - Downregulated).



Fig. 2. Gene expression values among the three datasets.

#### Table 1

Names of the common DEGs from the datasets.

Upregulated: HSPB8, TFAP2B, HLF, SORBS2, EMP1, ABCA8, EDN3, FCER1A, GALNT12, HPGD, GPD1L, ID4, LIMCH1, COL14A1, COBL, ADH1B

#### Downregulated: BID, PLAU, KRT17///JUP, MMP13, IGF2BP3, IGF2BP2, MMP3

SERPINH1, SOX4, HOXA10-HOXA9///MIR196B///HOXA9, CDC25B, GINS1, IFI6, ATAD2, MAGEA6///MAGEA3, UBE2C, LAMP3, PTHLH, HOXC10, MLF1, TOP2A, MAGEA6, CXCL1, CDC6, MFAP2, MIR8071-2///MIR8071-1///IGHV4-31///IGHG2///IGHG1, APOBEC3B, SNX10, CXCL8, KIF14, ODC1, CXCL10, LAMB3, DTL, ANO1, LOX, MEST, LAMC2, MAGEA11, HOXA10, MAGEA12, CCL20, SPP1, COL10A1, SHOX2, IGFBP3, TFRC, HOXB7, RBP1, MMP10, CDKN3, MMP1, APOC1, MMP12, HMGA2, ISG15, ECT2, RPL39L, MMP11

#### Table 2

GO and KEGG analysis for the DEGs.

Category	Term	P-Value	Genes
GOTERM_BP_DIRECT	GO:0022617~extracellular matrix disassembly	6.35E-10	MMP12, MMP11, MMP13, LAMB3, MMP1, MMP3, SPP1, LAMC2, MMP10
GOTERM BP DIRECT	GO:0030574~collagen catabolic process	2.01E-07	MMP12, MMP11, MMP13, MMP1, MMP3, COL10A1, MMP10
GOTERM_BP_DIRECT	GO:0008284~positive regulation of cell proliferation	1.48E-05	CXCL10, TFAP2B, EDN3, ODC1, ID4, KIF14, LAMC2, PTHLH, SOX4, HOXC10, CDC25B
GOTERM BP DIRECT	GO:0030198~extracellular matrix organization	1.29E-04	LAMB3, LOX, COL14A1, MFAP2, SPP1, COL10A1, LAMC2
GOTERM_BP_DIRECT	GO:0001501~skeletal system development	2.16E-04	HOXA10, SHOX2, COL10A1, PTHLH, SOX4, HOXC10
GOTERM_BP_DIRECT	GO:0032467~positive regulation of cytokinesis	4.28E-04	KIF14, CDC6, ECT2, CDC25B
GOTERM_BP_DIRECT	GO:0030199~collagen fibril organization	5.01E-04	MMP11, LOX, COL14A1, SERPINH1
GOTERM_BP_DIRECT	GO:0008285~negative regulation of cell proliferation	9.90E-04	TFAP2B, CXCL8, IGFBP3, CXCL1, CDC6, PTHLH, SOX4, CDKN3
GOTERM_BP_DIRECT	GO:0032461~positive regulation of protein oligomerization	0.001384	MMP1, MMP3, BID
GOTERM_BP_DIRECT	GO:0006935~chemotaxis	0.001428	CXCL10, CXCL8, PLAU, CCL20, CXCL1
GOTERM_BP_DIRECT	GO:0070098~chemokine-mediated signaling pathway		CXCL10, CXCL8, CCL20, CXCL1
GOTERM_BP_DIRECT	GO:0007275~multicellular organism development	0.004632	HOXA10, MMP11, ANO1, HLF, EDN3, HMGA2, EMP1, HOXB7
GOTERM_BP_DIRECT	GO:0008544~epidermis development	0.004749	LAMB3, EMP1, LAMC2, PTHLH
GOTERM_BP_DIRECT	GO:0043065~positive regulation of apoptotic process	0.006892	TOP2A, IGFBP3, HMGA2, ECT2, BID, SOX4
GOTERM_BP_DIRECT	GO:0042769~DNA damage response, detection of DNA damage	0.009053	HMGA2, DTL, SOX4
GOTERM_BP_DIRECT	GO:0006508~proteolysis	0.014588	MMP12, MMP11, MMP13, PLAU, MMP1, MMP3, MMP10
GOTERM_BP_DIRECT	GO:0045944~positive regulation of transcription from RNA	0.015501	TOP2A, HOXA10, CXCL10, TFAP2B, HLF, ATAD2, SHOX2, ID4,
	polymerase II promoter		HMGA2, SOX4
GOTERM_BP_DIRECT	GO:0097070~ductus arteriosus closure	0.019794	TFAP2B, HPGD
GOTERM_BP_DIRECT	GO:0042035~regulation of cytokine biosynthetic process	0.027603	IGF2BP3, IGF2BP2
GOTERM_BP_DIRECT	GO:0060326~cell chemotaxis	0.02776	CXCL10, CCL20, CXCL1
GOTERM_BP_DIRECT	GO:0030593~neutrophil chemotaxis	0.028555	CXCL8, EDN3, CCL20
GOTERM_BP_DIRECT	activity involved in apoptotic process	0.030994	IFAP2B, LAMP3, IFI6
GOTERM_BP_DIRECT	GO:0045444~fat cell differentiation	0.03437	TFAP2B, ID4, HMGA2
GOTERM_BP_DIRECT	GO:0032330~regulation of chondrocyte differentiation	0.03535	SHOX2, PTHLH
GOTERM_BP_DIRECT	GO:0030071~regulation of mitotic metaphase/anaphase transition	0.03535	UBE2C, CDC6
GOTERM_BP_DIRECT	GO:0042127~regulation of cell proliferation	0.037708	CXCL10, TFRC, PLAU, BID
GOTERM_BP_DIRECT	GO:2000406~positive regulation of T cell migration	0.039201	CXCL10, CCL20
GOTERM_BP_DIRECT	GO:0001558~regulation of cell growth	0.040602	TFRC, IGFBP3, KIF14
GOTERM_BP_DIRECT	GO:0009952~anterior/posterior pattern specification	0.040602	HOXA10, HOXB7, HOXC10
GOTERM_BP_DIRECT	GO:0043085~positive regulation of catalytic activity	0.041525	IGFBP3, APOC1, CXCL1
GOTERM_BP_DIRECT	GO:0031581~nemidesmosome assembly	0.046858	LAMB3, LAMC2
GOTERM_BP_DIRECT	GO:0021846~cell proliferation in forebrain	0.046858	KIF14, HMGAZ
GOTERM_CC_DIRECT	GO:0005576~extracentiar region	5.78E-09	MMP3, ISG15, CXCL1, LAMC2, PTHLH, MMP10, MMP12, MMP11,
COMPANY OF DIDLOT		( 075 O(	CXCLI0, MMP13, LOX, PLAU, MFAP2, APOCI, SPP1, COLIOAI
GOTERM_CC_DIRECT	GO:0005578~proteinaceous extracenular matrix	6.07E-06	MMP12, MMP11, MMP13, LOX, MMP1, COL14A1, MMP3, COL10A1, MMP10
GOTERM_CC_DIRECT	GO:0005615~extracellular space	2.21E-05	CXCL8, EDN3, TFRC, COL14A1, CCL20, IGFBP3, MMP3, CXCL1,
			LAMC2, PTHLH, MMP10, CXCL10, MMP13, LOX, PLAU, SERPINH1,
COMPANY OF DIDLOT		0.005.05	SPP1
GOTERM_CC_DIRECT	GO:0005581~collagen trimer	2.22E-05	MMP13, LOX, MMP1, COL14A1, SERPINH1, COL10A1
GOTERM_CC_DIRECT	GO:0048471~perinuciear region of cytoplasm	0.007649	MMD11 MMD12 MMD1 COL1441 MMD10
GOTERM_CC_DIRECT	GO:0005654nucleonlosm	0.023303	CINS1 TOD2A HDCD HEE2C HSDER ATAD2 HMCA2 ISC15 CDC6
GOTERWI_CC_DIRECT	GO.0000004 - Intercopiasin	0.042373	PTHLH, HOXC10, CDC25B, RBP1, MAGEA11, HOXB7, DTL, SOX4
GOTERM_MF_DIRECT	GO:0004222~metalloendopeptidase activity	8.53E-05	MMP12, MMP11, MMP13, MMP1, MMP3, MMP10
GOTERM_MF_DIRECT	GO:0004252~serine-type endopeptidase activity	5.17E-04	MMP12, MMP11, MMP13, PLAU, MMP1, MMP3, MMP10
GOTERM_MF_DIRECT	GO:0008009~chemokine activity	9.66E-04	CXCL10, CXCL8, CCL20, CXCL1
GOTERM_MF_DIRECT	GO:0001077~transcriptional activator activity, RNA polymerase II	0.014362	HOXA10, TFAP2B, HLF, HMGA2, SOX4
	core promoter proximal region sequence-specific binding		
GOTERM_MF_DIRECT	GO:0004175~endopeptidase activity	0.019447	MMP12, MMP1, MMP3
GOTERM_MF_DIRECT	GO:0045182~translation regulator activity	0.023582	IGF2BP3, IGF2BP2
GOTERM_MF_DIRECT	GO:0005518~collagen binding	0.023691	MMP13, COL14A1, SERPINH1
GOTERM_MF_DIRECT	GO:0048027~mRNA 5'-UTR binding	0.035167	IGF2BP3, IGF2BP2
KEGG_PATHWAY	nsa05202: Transcriptional misregulation in cancer	5.57E-06	HOXA10, CXCL8, HPGD, PLAU, IGFBP3, MMP3, HMGA2, MLF1
KEGG_PATHWAY	nsau5323: Kheumatoid arthritis	0.0064	CXCL10, CCL20, MMP3 CXCL10, CCL20, MMP2, CXCL1
KEGG PATHWAI	head 1622: DIG I like receptor signaling pathway	0.01093	CYCLID, CVCL9, ININES, CAGEI
KEGG DATHWAY	hsa04062. Chemokine signaling pathway	0.030906	CYCLID CYCLS CCLOD CYCLI
NEOO I MITIWAI	iisio 1002. Giteiiokiite signaining patiway	0.040200	

 Table 3

 Hub genes list with corresponding connectivity.

•	
Gene Name	Connectivity
CXCL8	16
MMP3	14
MMP1	13
SPP1	13
MMP13	12
UBE2C	11
PLAU	10
CXCL1	10
KIF14	10
TOP2A	10

pathogenesis, and the BANCR gene was identified by another author [26]. HCG22 was also detected as a lncRNA by a previous author (X. [15].

Many publicly available microarray and high throughput genomic data are available, but there is a lack of bioinformatics analysis and correlation of the disease occurrence. That analysis can quickly identify the potential genes associated with cancer or tumor. The following study used three microarray datasets to place the common differential gene expression with functional identification of Esophageal Squamous Cell Carcinoma (ESCC) hub genes.

#### 2. Materials and Method

**Data source**: Three microarray datasets (GSE161533, GSE20347, and GSE45670) were collected from Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/). 28 normal tissue and 28 tumor tissue of esophageal squamous cell carcinoma data were from the GSE161533 dataset. Besides, the GSE20347 dataset contributed 17 samples for both the normal and tumor tissue. At the same time, the GSE45670 dataset has ten normal samples with 28 ESCC samples.

Differential gene expression: GEO2R (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/geo/geo2r/) was used for the differential gene expression analysis (J. [16]. All the statistical values were auto-selected by the GEO. For the selection of differentially expressed, the genes should qualify the cutoff criteria of Log Fold Change ( $|logFC| \ge 1.5$  and the adj. p-value  $\le 0.05$ . All datasets were analyzed with identical selection criteria. HemI 2.0 - Heatmap Illustrator 2.0 software (http://hemi.biocuckoo.cn:81/) was used to compare the three datasets' expression values [7].

Annotation of DEGs: DAVID platform (https://david.ncifcrf.gov/) was used to annotate the 75 common, regulated DEGs [18]. Upregulated and downregulated genes GO analysis were taken into account, with the p-value less than 0.01 considered significant. Biological process (BP), Cellular Compartment (CC), and Molecular Function (MF) were analyzed. At the same time, KEGG pathway analysis was selected with similar p-values.



Fig. 3A. Overall PPI network of the common upregulated and downregulated genes.



Biochemistry and Biophysics Reports 30 (2022) 101262

**Protein-protein interaction (PPI) network of DEGs:** STRING (htt ps://string-db.org/) is the online bioinformatics tool to ascertain the hub gene and examine the interactions between the genes [37]. The interaction score and the maximum number of interactions were >0.4 and 10, respectively.

Selection of the hub genes: The highest connectivity of the correlated genes was calculated from the Cytoscape software (https://cytos cape.org/), an available bioinformatic analytical tool. MCODE app used for the selection of hub gene with following criteria- MCODE score >5, degree cut off = 2, node score cut off = 0.2, Max depth = 100, k-score = 2 [41]. The top ten connected genes were considered as hub genes for this study.

**Survival analysis:** Kaplan-Meier survival analysis was performed in the online analytical tool (https://kmplot.com/analysis/) [28]. Overall survival analysis was performed according to the collected gastric cancer database of the website.

**Box plot and pathological stage plot expression comparison:** The GEPIA (http://gepia.cancer-pku.cn/index.html) tool was used to construct and compare the boxplots. Overall survival method was taken into consideration along with median group cutoff (50%), Hazards Ratio (HR), and 95% confidence interval [17]. [LogFC] Cutoff value was 1.5. Hub gene pathological stage plot was also constructed to compare the stages of the diseases.

**Disease methylation:** Normal and diseased methylation data compared in the DiseaseMeth Version 2 (http://bio-bigdata.hrbmu.edu. cn/diseasemeth/index.html) [32]. All default criteria were selected for the analysis.

**IncRNA identification:** Differentially expressed lncRNA identified compared to the approved lncRNA list from the HUGO database (https://www.genenames.org/) [25]. |LogFC| > 0.5 and adj p-value less than 0.05 were considered as significant during the identification.

**Drugs and genes interactions:** The selected 75 genes were analyzed according to their interactions with the currently available and approved drugs. DGIdb (https://www.dgidb.org/) is an open, accessible public repository for the identification of drugs and genes interactions [9]. The exchanges were visualized through Cytoscape software.

#### 3. Results

**Identification of the DEGs:** The number of upregulated and downregulated genes among the three datasets were shown in the Venn diagram (Fig. 1A and B). There were 506, 549, and 1768 significant DEGs for the GSE161533, GSE20347, and GSE45670 datasets with 16 upregulated and 59 downregulated genes in common. Fig. 2 depicts the comparative values of the three datasets. All the gene names are given in Table 1.

**GO, and KEGG enrichment analysis:** Common shared differentially expressed genes; DAVID analysis showed significant terms in Table 2.

**PPI network analysis and hub gene screening:** 91 nodes and 120 edges were analyzed during the investigation. Genes having more than or equal ten connectivity were considered as hub genes. The selected gene list is given in Table 3. Fig. 3A-3E showed the entire gene network and subsequent clusters.

Survival analysis of the hub genes: Kaplan-Meier survival plot analysis showed the hub genes significantly affect the Overall Survival (OS) of the patient affected with gastric cancer (https://kmplot.com/ analysis/). CXCL8, SPP1, MMP13, CXCL1, TOP2A were genes that significantly impacted the survivability of the patients (Fig. 4).

**Expression plots of the hub genes:** Box plots showed all the genes had significant expression levels compared to the standard and cancer tissues, except UBE2C (Fig. 5).

Whereas pathological stage plot analysis of the hub genes showed different expression levels according to their stages (Fig. 6).

**DNA methylation:** The resulting heatmap contains methylation data of 8 transcripts from 324 samples of 450k. In the heatmap, rows represent transcripts, and columns represent samples (green color







 $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ 

Fig. 5. Boxplots of the hub genes (\* mark showed significant difference).



Fig. 6. Pathological stage plots of the hub genes.





represents standard profiles, black represents disease profiles) (Fig. 7).

**IncRNA identification:** Three datasets shared only one lncRNA during the screening procedure. Fig. 8 illustrates the lncRNA numbers among the three studies datasets as a Venn diagram. All the names are given in Table 4.

**Drug-genes interactions:** Interaction showed that not every DEG has specific drugs to choose from in the current therapeutic practice. According to the findings of this study, very few genes have targeted medication (Fig. 9).

#### 4. Discussion

GO enrichment analysis of this study found several terms associated with this neoplasm. This study detects extracellular matrix disassembly as the most significant biological process related to ESCC approved by the previously published article [24]. Collagen catabolic process, positive regulation of cell proliferation, extracellular matrix organizations were also detected as important biological process terms reported by previous authors [10,19,20,27].

The most important cellular component identified was the extracellular region aligned with the findings of the previous studies [29,31]. According to published articles, some other terms on the same functional dysregulation were proteinaceous extracellular matrix, extracellular matrix, collagen trimer, etc. that significantly impacted the ESCC oncogenesis [22,40]. Apart from the metallo endopeptidase activity, several other molecular functions, including chemokine activity, transcriptional activator activity, translation regulatory activity, were included in this study. Previous studies reported similar KEGG pathways linked to the ESCC occurrence [3,13,30].

This study identified five pathways for ESCC pathogenesis. Transcriptional misregulation in cancer was the most significant pathway, a similar route acknowledged by previous authors [6,12,23,39].

PPI networks showed four gene clusters in this study. CXCL8 was the highest corresponding gene which might have a crucial effect on ESCC



Fig. 7. DNA methylation profile of the hub genes.



Fig. 8. IncRNA among the three sets of GEO data.

metastasis. Previous authors detected this gene as the primary cause for ESCC occurrence [5,42]. MMP3, MMP1, and MMP13 gene expression related to cancer occurrence is explained by previous studies [8,38]. TOP2A and UBE2C were also detected by the published articles for cancer progression [21,34].

lncRNA identified in this study was not identified by the previous studies for ESCC occurrence. However, no previous reports about the

Table 4	
IncRNA names of the	datase

incrive names of the datasets.				
Gene Sets	Common lncRNA	Names		
GSE161533 GSE20347 GSE45670	1	НҮМАІ		
GSE161533 GSE20347	1	SNHG17		
GSE161533 GSE45670	40	TMPO-AS1, PGM5-AS1, BBOX1-AS1, PSMD6-AS2, KCNMB2-AS1, ZFAS1, HCG11, LINC00702, LCAL1, LINC00491, NR2F2-AS1, SLC8A1-AS1, CARMN, ADAMTS9-AS1, LINC01315, MIR100HG, MIAT, PWAR6, LINC00467, PCBP1-AS1, ZNF667-AS1, POU6F2-AS2, CADM3-AS1, LINC00240, FENDRR, TP73-AS1, WDFY3-AS2, LINC01082, LINC00472, MAG12-AS3, ZNF790-AS1, HLA-F-AS1, ADAMTS9- AS2, ATP2A1-AS1, LINC00938, LINC01140, PDZRN3-AS1, KLF3-AS1, HAGLROS, LINC00844		

HYMAI involvements, but all the three datasets shared this lncRNA. This might have an impact on the ESCC progression in a novel way. Several lncRNA identified were shared between 2 datasets, which might have a more significant effect on ESCC.

Drug interactions indicated that all the significant genes targeted therapy were unavailable until now. There needed much more research to precisely target the genes that cause the ESCC.



Fig. 9. Interaction between drugs and genes (Oval shapes represent drugs, Hexagonal shapes represent genes).

#### Ethical approval

This study has no invasive methods involvement regarding ethical approval requirements.

#### Declaration of competing interest

There is no conflict of interest about the article.

#### Data availability

No data was used for the research described in the article.

### Acknowledgment

The author would like to acknowledge Zhaojun Ding and COSTA DA SILVA Raniere for the manuscript's critical revising and language editing.

#### References

 C.C. Abnet, M. Arnold, W.Q. Wei, Epidemiology of esophageal squamous cell carcinoma, Gastroenterology 154 (2018) 360–373, https://doi.org/10.1053/J. GASTRO.2017.08.023.

- [2] Anonymous, What is cancer? National cancer Institute [WWW document]. https://www.cancer.gov/about-cancer/understanding/what-is-cancer, 2021, 1.8.22.
- [3] A.A. Bhat, S. Nisar, S. Maacha, T.C. Carneiro-Lobo, S. Akhtar, K.S. Siveen, N. A. Wani, A. Rizwan, P. Bagga, M. Singh, R. Reddy, S. Uddin, J.C. Grivel, G. Chand, M.P. Frenneaux, M.A. Siddiqi, D. Bedognetti, W. El-Rifai, M.A. Macha, M. Haris, Cytokine-chemokine network driven metastasis in esophageal cancer; promising avenue for targeted therapy, Mol. Cancer (2021) 1–20, https://doi.org/10.1186/ S12943-020-01294-3, 2020 201 20.
- [4] J.D. Chetwood, P. Garg, P. Finch, M. Gordon, Systematic review: the etiology of esophageal squamous cell carcinoma in low-income settings, 13, 2018, pp. 71–88. https://doi.org/10.1080/17474124.2019.1543024.
- [5] K. Cui, S. Hu, X. Mei, M. Cheng, Innate immune cells in the esophageal tumor microenvironment, Front. Immunol. 12 (2021), https://doi.org/10.3389/ FIMMU.2021.654731.
- [6] T. Dang, Z. Chang, J. Meng, X. Cui, P. Wang, J. Chai, TNF antagonizes CCN1 in apoptosis in esophageal adenocarcinoma, Cytokine 149 (2022) 155728, https:// doi.org/10.1016/J.CYTO.2021.155728.
- [7] W. Deng, Y. Wang, Z. Liu, H. Cheng, Y. Xue, HemI: a toolkit for illustrating heatmaps, PLoS One 9 (2014), e111988, https://doi.org/10.1371/JOURNAL. PONE.0111988.
- [8] C. Di, A.L. Cheung, Roles of microRNAs in tumorigenesis and metastasis of esophageal squamous cell carcinoma, World J. Clin. Oncol. 12 (2021) 609, https:// doi.org/10.5306/WJCO.V12.18.609.
- [9] S.L. Freshour, S. Kiwala, K.C. Cotto, A.C. Coffman, J.F. McMichael, J.J. Song, M. Griffith, O.L. Griffith, A.H. Wagner, Integration of the drug–gene interaction database (DGIdb 4.0) with open crowdsource efforts, Nucleic Acids Res. 49 (2021) D1144–D1151, https://doi.org/10.1093/NAR/GKAA1084.
- [10] K. Goda, T. Murao, Y. Handa, R. Katsumata, S. Fukushima, R. Nakato, M. Osawa, M. Ishii, M. Fujita, O. Handa, H. Matsumoto, Y. Fujita, K. Nishio, T.M. Wallace, R. Gomez-Esquivel, M. Berzosa, H.C. Wolfsen, M.B. Wallace, E. Umegaki,

A. Shiotani, Molecular biomarker identification for esophageal adenocarcinoma using endoscopic brushing and magnified endoscopy, Esophagus 18 (2021) 306–314, https://doi.org/10.1007/S10388-020-00762-5/FIGURES/5.

- [11] H. Hirano, K. Kato, Systemic treatment of advanced esophageal squamous cell carcinoma: chemotherapy, molecular-targeting therapy and immunotherapy, Jpn. J. Clin. Oncol. 49 (2019) 412–420, https://doi.org/10.1093/JJCO/HYZ034.
- [12] Q. Hou, Z. Jiang, Z. Li, M. Jiang, Identification and functional validation of radioresistance-related genes AHNAK2 and EVPL in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma by exome and transcriptome sequencing analyses, OncoTargets Ther. 14 (2021) 1131, https://doi.org/10.2147/OTT.S291007.
- [13] Y. Kakeji, T. Oshikiri, G. Takiguchi, S. Kanaji, T. Matsuda, T. Nakamura, S. Suzuki, Multimodality approaches to control esophageal cancer: development of chemoradiotherapy, chemotherapy, and immunotherapy, Esophagus 18 (2021) 25–32, https://doi.org/10.1007/S10388-020-00782-1/TABLES/2.
- [14] Jieling Li, X. Wang, K. Zheng, Y. Liu, Junjun Li, Shaoqi Wang, K. Liu, X. Song, N. Li, S. Xie, Shaoxiang Wang, The clinical significance of collagen family gene expression in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma, PeerJ (2019), e7705, https:// doi.org/10.7717/PEERJ.7705/SUPP-14, 2019.
- [15] J. Li, Y. Xie, X. Wang, C. Jiang, X.Y. O, undefined, 2020. Identification of hub genes associated with esophageal cancer progression using bioinformatics analysis, spandidos-publications.com 20, https://doi.org/10.3892/ol.2020.12077, 2020.
- [16] X. Li, X. Xiao, R. Chang, C. Zhang, Comprehensive bioinformatics analysis identifies lncRNA HCG22 as a migration inhibitor in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma, J. Cell. Biochem. 121 (2020) 468–481, https://doi.org/10.1002/ JCB.29218.
- [17] L. Lin, Q. Lei, S. Zhang, L. Kong, B. Qin, Screening and identification of key biomarkers in hepatocellular carcinoma: evidence from bioinformatic analysis, Oncol. Rep. 38 (2017) 2607–2618, https://doi.org/10.3892/OR.2017.5946.
- [18] Z. Liu, R. Zhang, X. Chen, P. Yao, T. Yan, W. Liu, J.Y.- PeerJ, U., 2019. Identification of Hub Genes and Small-Molecule Compounds Related to Intracerebral Hemorrhage with Bioinformatics Analysis, 2019 peerj.com.
- [19] Y. Liu, J. Xue, M. Zhong, Z. Wang, J. Li, Y. Zhu, Prognostic prediction, immune microenvironment, and drug resistance value of collagen type I Alpha 1 chain: from gastrointestinal cancers to pan-cancer analysis, Front. Mol. Biosci. 8 (2021), https://doi.org/10.3389/FMOLB.2021.692120/FULL.
- [20] Y. Lu, Z. Wang, L. Zhou, Z. Ma, J. Zhang, Y. Wu, Y. Shao, Y. Yang, FAT1 and PTPN14 regulate the malignant progression and chemotherapy resistance of esophageal cancer through the hippo signaling pathway, Anal. Cell Pathol. (2021), https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/9290372, 2021.
- [21] S. Luan, Y. Yang, Y. Zhou, X. Zeng, X. Xiao, B. Liu, Y. Yuan, The emerging role of long noncoding RNAs in esophageal carcinoma: from underlying mechanisms to clinical implications, Cell. Mol. Life Sci. 78 (2021) 3403–3422, https://doi.org/ 10.1007/S00018-020-03751-0/FIGURES/5.
- [22] W. Meiqi, D. Liu, Y. Huang, Z. Jiang, F. Wu, Y. Cen, L. Ma, Identification of key genes related to the prognosis of esophageal squamous cell carcinoma based on chip Re-annotation, 2021, Appl. Sci. 11 (2021) 3229, https://doi.org/10.3390/ APP11073229. Page 3229 11.
- [23] R.R. Pal, V. Rajpal, P. Singh, S.A. Saraf, Recent findings on thymoquinone and its Applications as a Nanocarrier for the treatment of cancer and rheumatoid Arthritis, 2021, Pharm. Times 13 (2021) 775, https://doi.org/10.3390/ PHARMACEUTICS13060775. Page 775 13.
- [24] H. Peng, S. Wang, L. Pang, L. Yang, Y. Chen, X. bin Cui, Comprehensive bioinformation analysis of methylated and differentially expressed genes in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma, Mol Omi 15 (2019) 88–100, https://doi.org/ 10.1039/C8MO00218E.
- [25] H. Sabaie, Moghaddam, Madiheh Mazaheri, Moghaddam, Marziyeh Mazaheri, N. K. Ahangar, M.R. Asadi, B.M. Hussen, M. Taheri, M. Rezazadeh, Bioinformatics analysis of long non-coding RNA-associated competing endogenous RNA network in schizophrenia, Sci. Rep. 111 (11) (2021) 1–13, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-03993-3, 2021.
- [26] S. Sadeghpour, S. Ghorbian, Evaluation of the potential clinical prognostic value of lncRNA-BANCR gene in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma, Mol. Biol. Rep. 46 (2019) 991–995, https://doi.org/10.1007/S11033-018-4556-2/TABLES/2.
- [27] W. Song, W.J. Dai, M.H. Zhang, H. Wang, X.Z. Yang, Comprehensive analysis of the expression of TGF-β signaling regulators and prognosis in human esophageal cancer, Comput. Math. Methods Med. (2021), https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/ 1812227, 2021.

- [28] C. Sun, Q. Yuan, D. Wu, X. Meng, B.W.- Oncotarget, U., 2017. Identification of Core Genes and Outcome in Gastric Cancer Using Bioinformatics Analysis, 2017 ncbi. nlm.nih.gov.
- [29] R. Verma, R.S.A. Sattar, Apurva Nimisha, A. Kumar, A.K. Sharma, M.P. Sumi, E. Ahmad, A. Ali, B. Mahajan, S.S. Saluja, Cross-talk between next generation sequencing methodologies to identify genomic signatures of esophageal cancer, Crit. Rev. Oncol. Hematol. 162 (2021) 103348, https://doi.org/10.1016/J. CRITREVONC.2021.103348.
- [30] P. Visaggi, B. Barberio, M. Ghisa, M. Ribolsi, V. Savarino, M. Fassan, M. Valmasoni, S. Marchi, N. de Bortoli, E. Savarino, Modern diagnosis of early esophageal cancer: from blood biomarkers to advanced endoscopy and Artificial Intelligence, 2021, Cancers 13 (2021) 3162, https://doi.org/10.3390/CANCERS13133162. Page 3162 13.
- [31] Y. Xiaojie, M. Tian, W. Zhang, T. Chai, Z. Shen, M. Kang, J. Lin, Identification of potential core genes in esophageal carcinoma using bioinformatics analysis, Medicine (Baltim.) 100 (2021), e26428, https://doi.org/10.1097/ MD.000000000026428.
- [32] Y. Xiong, Y. Wei, Y. Gu, S. Zhang, J. Lyu, B. Zhang, C. Chen, J. Zhu, Y. Wang, H. Liu, Y. Zhang, DiseaseMeth version 2.0: a major expansion and update of the human disease methylation database, Nucleic Acids Res. 45 (2017) D888–D895, https://doi.org/10.1093/NAR/GKW1123.
- [33] J. Xu, C. Zhu, Y. Yu, W. Wu, J. Cao, Z. Li, J. Dai, C. Wang, Y. Tang, Q. Zhu, J. Wang, W. Wen, L. Xue, F. Zhen, J. Liu, C. Huang, F. Zhao, Y. Zhou, Z. He, X. Pan, H. Wei, Y. Zhu, Y. He, J. Que, J. Luo, L. Chen, W. Wang, Systematic cancer-testis gene expression analysis identified CDCA5 as a potential therapeutic target in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma, EBioMedicine 46 (2019) 54–65, https://doi. org/10.1016/J.EBIOM.2019.07.030.
- [34] W. Xu, J. Xu, Z. Wang, Y. Jiang, Weighted gene correlation network analysis identifies specific functional modules and genes in esophageal cancer, JAMA Oncol. (2021) 1–13, https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/8223263, 2021.
- [35] L. Yang, X. Zhang, Q. Hou, M. Huang, H. Zhang, Z. Jiang, J. Yue, S. Wu, Single-cell RNA-seq of esophageal squamous cell carcinoma cell line with fractionated irradiation reveals radioresistant gene expression patterns, BMC Genom. 20 (2019) 1–11, https://doi.org/10.1186/S12864-019-5970-0/FIGURES/4.
- [36] W. Yang, X. Zhao, Y. Han, L. Duan, X. Lu, X. Wang, Y. Zhang, W. Zhou, J. Liu, H. Zhang, Q. Zhao, L. Hong, D. Fan, Identification of hub genes and therapeutic drugs in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma based on integrated bioinformatics strategy, Cancer Cell Int. 19 (2019) 1–15, https://doi.org/10.1186/S12935-019-0854-6/TABLES/3.
- [37] L. Yang, T.Y. Chen, Z.Y. Yang, W. Fang, Q. Wu, C. Zhang, Identification of hub genes in papillary thyroid carcinoma: robust rank aggregation and weighted gene co-expression network analysis, J. Transl. Med. 18 (2020), https://doi.org/ 10.1186/S12967-020-02327-7.
- [38] L. Yue, J. Liu, X. wei Cai, H. xuan Li, Y. Cheng, Dong, X. huan, W. Yu, X. long Fu, Biomarkers for the prediction of esophageal cancer neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy response: a systemic review, Crit. Rev. Oncol. Hematol. 167 (2021) 103466, https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CRITREVONC.2021.103466.
- [39] Z.Y. Zheng, P.L. Yang, W. Luo, S.X. Yu, H.Y. Xu, Y. Huang, R.Y. Li, Y. Chen, X.E. Xu, Liao, L. Di, S.H. Wang, H.C. Huang, E.M. Li, L.Y. Xu, STAT3β enhances sensitivity to concurrent chemoradiotherapy by Inducing cellular Necroptosis in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma, 2021, Cancers 13 (2021), https://doi.org/10.3390/ CANCERS13040901. Page 901 13, 901.
- [40] W. Zhicheng, M. Chen, Y. Qiu, Y. Yang, Y. Huang, X. Li, W. Zhang, Identification of potential biomarkers associated with immune infiltration in the esophageal carcinoma tumor microenvironment, Biosci. Rep. 41 (2021), https://doi.org/ 10.1042/BSR20202439/227787.
- [41] Zengyuan Zhou, Y. Li, H. Hao, Y. Wang, Zihao Zhou, Z. Wang, X. Chu, Screening hub genes as prognostic biomarkers of hepatocellular carcinoma by bioinformatics analysis, Cell Transplant. 28 (2019) 76S–86S, https://doi.org/10.1177/ 0963689719893950.
- [42] T. Zou, Y. Huang, Y. Hu, M. Wu, Y. Zhao, F. Du, M. Li, X. Wu, H. Ji, P.J. Kaboli, S. Wang, Z. Xiao, Z. Wu, The Anti-tumor mechanism and target of triptolide based on network pharmacology and molecular docking, Recent Pat. Anti-Cancer Drug Discov. 16 (2021) 426–435, https://doi.org/10.2174/ 1574892816666210211143059.