

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active. Clinical Neurophysiology 131 (2020) 2265-2267



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

**Clinical Neurophysiology** 

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/clinph



#### Letter to the Editor

# EEG findings in COVID-19 related encephalopathy

Vellieux et al recently reported two coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) patients showing a unique EEG pattern, i.e., non-reactive bifrontal monomorphic diphasic periodic delta waves, and suggested that this pattern could be typical of COVID-19 brain dys-function (Vellieux et al., 2020).

Here we report the EEG findings in 15 patients with suspected COVID-19 related encephalopathy, stemming from a population of 873 patients admitted to our hospital in a 3 months period (from March 1 to May 31, 2020) with severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) infection.

In detail, these were 6 males and 9 females, with a mean age of 64.6 years (range: 47–79 years). The mean delay between the COVID-19 infection and the onset of neurological symptoms prompting the execution of the EEG was 8 days. The main neurological symptoms included confusion (11 patients), aphasia (1 patient), and impairment of consciousness (4 patients). Out of the 4 patients with impairment of consciousness, two had postanoxic coma after respiratory distress, one multi-organ failure, and one critical illness myopathy/neuropathy with brainstem involvement. Comorbid conditions likely to influence the EEG tracings were present in 5 cases and included cognitive decline (2 patients), previous limbic encephalitis (1 patient), multi-organ failure (1 patient) and frontal metastasis (1 patient).

EEG recordings were performed with a Nihon Kohden Neurofax EEG 1200 K instrument using 18 scalp electrodes positioned according to the standard 10–20 international system. All the records had a EKG. The  $O_2$  saturation was also checked at the time of the EEG and was >93% in all cases.

Patients were stimulated by verbal commands, opening of the eyes, and when arousals were not evoked, noxious stimuli like sternal rub and toe compression were applied.

Arousals were defined according to standard criteria as abrupt shift in frequency of background activity, lasting for 3 s or more that may have included theta, alpha and/or frequencies >16 Hz but no spindles.

All EEG recordings were analyzed by two experienced neurologists (RM, EP) trained in EEG interpretation.

The EEGs were abnormal in all cases. In the two patients with post-anoxic coma, the EEG showed in one case severely suppressed activity on the first day after return of spontaneous circulation (ROSC) and in the second case discontinued activity compatible with post-anoxic status epilepticus on the third day after ROSC. In the remaining 13 patients the EEG abnormalities included slowing of the background activity ranging from 4 to 8 Hz (with theta prevalence in 5 cases and intrusions of theta/delta activity in 4 cases), focal theta or delta waves predominantly over the frontal or central regions (3 patients) and frontal intermittent rhythmic

delta activity (FIRDA) (1 patient) (Fig. 1A). Reactivity to external stimuli and eye opening/closure was absent in 10 cases (Fig. 1B). The severity of slowing of the background activity varied widely among patients and correlated with the clinical picture. No epileptiform abnormalities or triphasic waves were observed. Repeat EEGs were avaiable in 3 patients and showed marked improvement of posterior activity, in accord with the improvement of neurological symptoms.

CT scans (8 cases), MRI (6 cases) and spinal tap (5 cases) were also performed. These tests were normal in all cases except for mild MRI T2 hyperintensity in the white matter in two cases and slight elevation of cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) protein in one patient. SARS-CoV-2 was not detected in the CSF in any case.

EEG findings in SARS-CoV-2 infection have been rarely reported and largely considered non-specific (Flamand et al, 2020; Vellieux et al. 2020). Indeed, EEG in acute encephalopathies may reveal different degrees of background slowing, with or without triphasic waves and FIRDA and these patterns are believed to reflect an underlying structural or metabolic disorder; however, there is limited evidence linking specific EEG abnormalities with plausible causative factors. Absent EEG reactivity with bifrontal monomorphic periodic delta waves was reported by Vellieux et al., who suggested that this pattern could be specific of COVID-19 related encephalopathy (Vellieux et al., 2020). Accordingly, in our series, apart from the 2 post-hypoxic patients, the EEG characteristics were quite homogeneous, though non-specific, and included non-reactive, slow, monomorphic and disorganized background activity. We may hypothesize that, while the slowing of background activity may suggest a diffuse cortical involvement, the lack of reactivity to external stimuli along with the monomorphic pattern of the EEG and occasional frontal delta waves may denote a concomitant subcortical or brainstem dysfunction (Sutter et al., 2013). The pathophysiology of these changes remains elusive, however, and a multifactorial origin has been postulated although a metabolic/hypoxic mechanism seems unlikely on the basis of our EEG findings (Flamand et al., 2020). Notwithstanding, the EEG remains the most sensitive tool to disclose the existence of a COVID-19 related encephalopathy, taking into account the limited usefulness of other diagnostic tests (Paterson et al., 2020). In our experience the EEG was performed in only a minority of patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection, particularly in those showing overt signs of CNS involvement. It may be argued that a more widespread use of the EEG would have disclosed a higher rate of neurological complications.

In conclusion, our observations confirm the high sensitivity of the EEG and its localization value in encephalopathies, contributing to unravel also mild cases of COVID-19 related brain dysfunction. In detail, we have shown that COVID-19 related encephalopathy may be associated with a rather homogeneous EEG pattern consisting of a diffuse slowing of the background

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinph.2020.07.003

1388-2457/© 2020 International Federation of Clinical Neurophysiology. Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.



**Fig. 1.** EEG of two COVID-19 positive patients, both clinically alert and conscious but confused. (A) EEG of the first patient shows a disorganized alpha-theta background activity with frontal intermittent rhythmic delta activity (FIRDA). (B) EEG of the second patient, who in addition showed non-fluent aphasia, is characterised by monomorphic diffuse alpha-like rhythm without reactivity after eyes closure.

activity and loss of reactivity to external stimuli. Whether or not these EEG findings may be specific for COVID-19 related encephalopathy is unknown and deserves further investigation.

# **Authors contributions**

EP and RM analyzed EEG and wrote the manuscript. FB and PT suggested and revised the manuscript.

LV, IM, MT, LM, UP, PR gave their clinician expertise.

# Funding

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

## **Declaration of Competing Interest**

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

### References

Flamand M, Perron A, Buron Y, Szurhaj W. Pay more attention to EEG in COVID-19 pandemic. Clin Neurophysiol 2020;131(8):2062–4.

- Paterson RW, Brown RL, Benjamin L, Nortley R, Wiethoff S, Bharucha T, et al. The emerging spectrum of COVID-19 neurology: clinical, radiological and laboratory findings. Brain 2020(Jul):awaa240. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awaa240</u>. Sutter R, Stevens RD, Kaplan PW. Clinical and imaging correlates of EEG patterns in
- hospitalized patients with encephalopathy. J Neurol 2013;260:1087–98. Vellieux G, Rouvel-Tallec A, Jaquet P, Grinea A, Sonneville R, d'Ortho MP. COVID-19
- associated encephalopathy: is there a specific EEG pattern?. Clin Neurophysiol 2020;131(8):1928–30.

# Elena Pasini\*

IRCCS – Istituto delle Scienze Neurologiche di Bologna, Bologna, Italy

 Corresponding author at: IRCCS – Institute of Neurological Sciences of Bologna, Altura Street, 3, Bologna 40139, Italy. *E-mail address*: elena.pasini@isnb.it

#### Francesca Bisulli

IRCCS – Istituto delle Scienze Neurologiche di Bologna, Bologna, Italy Department of Biomedical and Neuromotor Sciences, University of Bologna, Bologna, Italy

> Lilia Volpi Irene Minardi

Maria Tappatà IRCCS – Istituto delle Scienze Neurologiche di Bologna, Bologna, Italy

> Lorenzo Muccioli Umberto Pensato

Department of Biomedical and Neuromotor Sciences, University of Bologna, Bologna, Italy

Patrizia Riguzzi

IRCCS – Istituto delle Scienze Neurologiche di Bologna, Bologna, Italy Paolo Tinuper

IRCCS – Istituto delle Scienze Neurologiche di Bologna, Bologna, Italy Department of Biomedical and Neuromotor Sciences, University of Bologna, Bologna, Italy

Roberto Michelucci

IRCCS – Istituto delle Scienze Neurologiche di Bologna, Bologna, Italy Accepted 14 July 2020

Available online 18 July 2020