



Article Disparity of Hepatocellular Carcinoma in Tumor Microenvironment-Related Genes and Infiltrating Immune Cells between Asian and Non-Asian Populations

Lien-Hung Huang ^{1,†}, Ting-Min Hsieh ^{2,†}, Chun-Ying Huang ², Yueh-Wei Liu ³, Shao-Chun Wu ⁴, Peng-Chen Chien ² and Ching-Hua Hsieh ^{2,*}

- ¹ Department of Neurosurgery, Kaohsiung Chang Gung Memorial Hospital and Chang Gung University College of Medicine, Kaohsiung 88301, Taiwan; ahonbob@gmail.com
- ² Department of Trauma Surgery, Kaohsiung Chang Gung Memorial Hospital and Chang Gung University College of Medicine, Kaohsiung 88301, Taiwan; hs168hs168@gmail.com (T.-M.H.);
- junyinhaung@yahoo.com.tw (C.-Y.H.); VENU_CHIEN@hotmail.com (P.-C.C.)
- ³ Department of General Surgery, Kaohsiung Chang Gung Memorial Hospital and Chang Gung University College of Medicine, Kaohsiung 88301, Taiwan; anthony0612@adm.cgmh.org.tw
- ⁴ Department of Anesthesiology, Kaohsiung Chang Gung Memorial Hospital and Chang Gung University College of Medicine, Kaohsiung 88301, Taiwan; shaochunwu@gmail.com
- * Correspondence: addy@adm.cghm.org.tw; Tel.: +886-7-732-7476
- + Indicate equal contribution in first authorship.

Abstract: Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is the most common cause of primary liver cancer deaths worldwide. The major risk factors for liver cancer development are cirrhosis, hepatitis B virus (HBV), hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection, and chronic alcohol abuse. HCC displays heterogeneity in terms of biology, etiology, and epidemiology. In Southeast Asia and Africa, chronic HBV infection is a major risk factor for HCC, whereas chronic HCV infection is a risk factor for HCC in western countries and Japan. Environmental and genetic conditions also play a role in the regional and temporal variations in the incidence of HCC. In this study, we used the ESTIMATE (ESTIMATE, Estimation of stromal and immune cells in malignant tumor tissues using expression data) algorithm and the CIBERSOFT tool to analyze gene expression profiles and infiltrating immune cells in HCC between Asian and non-Asian patients. The results showed that stromal and immune scores were dependent on overall survival (OS) in non-Asian patients but not in Asian patients. Kaplan-Meier survival analysis revealed four differentially expressed genes (DEGs) that were significantly associated with OS in non-Asian patients only. CIBERSORT (CIBERSORT, Cell type identification by estimating relative subsets of known RNA transcripts) analysis indicated that the composition of infiltrating immune cells was significantly different between Asian and non-Asian patients. By parsing the subclasses of HCC, the ability to predict prognosis and guide therapeutic targets for potentially actionable HCC may be improved.

Keywords: hepatocellular carcinoma; tumor microenvironment; Asian; non-Asian; ESTIMATE; CIBERSORT

1. Introduction

HCC accounts for the majority of primary liver cancers, representing approximately 90% of all cases of primary liver cancer [1,2]. The major risk factors for liver cancer development are cirrhosis, hepatitis B virus (HBV), hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection, and chronic alcohol abuse [3]. Other risk factors, such as aflatoxin B1 intake [4], metabolic syndrome [5], and genetic conditions [6], are well-characterized contributors to liver carcinogenesis.

HCC is an inflammation-related cancer characterized by persistent inflammation in the absence of infectious agents or exposure to toxic compounds. An aberrant microenvironment plays an important role in the development of HCC. The microenvironment of



Citation: Huang, L.-H.; Hsieh, T.-M.; Huang, C.-Y.; Liu, Y.-W.; Wu, S.-C.; Chien, P.-C.; Hsieh, C.-H. Disparity of Hepatocellular Carcinoma in Tumor Microenvironment-Related Genes and Infiltrating Immune Cells between Asian and Non-Asian Populations. *Genes* **2021**, *12*, 1274. https://doi.org/10.3390/genes12081274

Academic Editor: Gael Roue

Received: 13 July 2021 Accepted: 18 August 2021 Published: 20 August 2021

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). HCC consists of extracellular matrix components, growth factors, cytokines, and stromal cells, such as inflammatory cells, carcinoma-associated fibroblasts (CAFs), hepatic stellate cells (HSCs), tumor-associated macrophages (TAM), endothelial cells, pericytes, dendritic cells, and stem/progenitor cells [3,7,8].

CAFs are one of the most crucial cell types in the stroma and play a role in HCC growth, invasion, angiogenesis, and metastasis [9]. CAFs secrete VEGF, PDGF, FGF, and SDF-1 to recruit endothelial progenitor cells and promote angiogenesis [10]. Through matrix metalloproteinase (MMP) secretion, CAFs facilitate extracellular matrix remodeling and tumor invasion [11]. CAFs stimulate monocytes and macrophage infiltration through cytokine and chemokine secretion [12]. HSCs act as effectors during liver injury. In viral infections, hepatotoxicity, or HCC, HSCs trans-differentiate into activated myofibroblast-like cells and infiltrate the site of the injury [13]. Activated HSCs form pro-angiogenic and pro-inflammatory microenvironments by secreting diverse cytokines, growth factors, and extracellular matrix component proteins. Infiltration and leads to stromal remodeling [14]. HSCs also provide an immunosuppressive niche through the induction of regulatory T cells and myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs) in HCC [15,16].

In addition to stromal cells, various immune cells are present within the tumor microenvironment in HCC. These diverse cells communicate with each other through cytokine and chemokine production [17]. TAMs are the main type of inflammatory cells and resemble M2-polarized macrophages in the tumor microenvironment [18]. TAMs release a number of factors that regulate cancer cell proliferation, migration, invasion, angiogenesis, metastasis, and survival [19]. The level of circulating regulatory T cells (Tregs) increases and is correlated with HCC progression. Tregs suppress CD8⁺ T-cell cytolytic molecule release and production. The proliferation, cytokine production, and activation of CD8⁺ T cells are repressed by Tregs [20].

Crosstalk between stromal and immune cells in the tumor microenvironment is involved in cancer development. On the basis of the gene expression of stromal and immune cells in the Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) database, estimation of stromal and immune cells in malignant tumor tissues using expression data (ESTIMATE) can be used to score stromal and immune components in the tumor tissue [21]. The stromal and immune scores correlate with tumor progression and patient survival in several tumor types, including breast cancer [22], renal cell carcinoma [23], gastric cancer [24], lung cancer [25], and liver cancer [26].

The incidence rates of HCC are highest in Asia Pacific, East and Southeastern Asia, and central sub-Saharan Africa and lowest in southern Latin America and tropical Latin America [27,28]. The high prevalence of HBV infection is the leading cause of HCC in Asia and sub-Saharan Africa. In North America, Europe, and Japan, HCV infection is one of the main causes of HCC [2,29,30]. Excessive alcohol intake is a leading risk factor for HCC in the United States and Europe [31]. In the United States, 50–60% of HCC patients are infected with HCV, 36.6% have some features of metabolic syndrome, 20–25% have alcoholic liver disease, and 10–15% are infected with HBV [32,33]. In China, HBV infection contributes to 63.9% of HCC cases, 27.7% of HCC patients are infected with HCV, 25% are exposed to aflatoxin, and 15.7% suffer from alcoholism [34]. In India, HBV infection contributes to 50–70% of HCC cases, 10–15% of HCC patients are infected with HCV, and 6–16% have heavy alcohol intake [35,36].

The environment, genetic conditions, and etiology could play a role in the regional and temporal variations in the incidence of HCC. In this study, we used ESTIMATE and CIBER-SOFT to analyze and compare the gene expression profiles of tumor microenvironment-related genes and infiltrating immune cells in HCC between Asian and non-Asian patients.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Data Acquisition

The clinical profiles and the fragments per kilobase of transcript per million (FPKM) of the RNA sequence data in HCC patients were obtained from TCGA database (Project ID, "TCGA-LIHC" (https://gdc.nci.nih.gov/, accessed on 26 June 2020). The cases with missing clinical data were excluded, and the final sample size was 359 (205 Asian patients and 154 non-Asian patients). The immune scores, stromal scores, and estimate scores were calculated by applying the ESTIMATE algorithm, in which R package = estimate (version 1.0.13) (https://bioinformatics.mdanderson.org/estimate/rpackage.html, accessed on 1 August 2020).

2.2. Definition of Differentially Expressed Genes (DEGs)

On the basis of the median values of the immune and stromal scores, patients with HCC were categorized into high and low-score groups. Data analysis was conducted using the limma R package (version 3.46.0). Genes with a *p* value of <0.05 and | fold change | > 1.5 were defined as differentially expressed genes (DEGs).

2.3. Protein–Protein Interaction (PPI) Network

The PPI network of DEGs was acquired using the Search Tool for Retrieval of Interacting Genes (STRING, https://string-db.org/, version11.0b, accessed on 26 April 2021) online database [37].

2.4. Immune Cell Profiling

The CIBERSOFT method (https://cibersort.stanford.edu/, accessed on 23 April 2021) was used to evaluate the relative proportions of 22 tumor-infiltrating immune cell profiles on the basis of expression files, including B cells, T cells, natural killer cells, macrophages, dendritic cells, and myeloid subsets. The abundance of member cell types in a mixed cell population was estimated using gene expression data.

2.5. Survival Analysis

The relationship between overall survival and gene expression levels of DEGs was analyzed using the Kaplan–Meier method. In the univariate analysis, the effects of each DEG on OS were examined using the log-rank test. Hazard ratios were used to analyze the survival effect of immune cell profiling using CIBERSOFT. A survival analysis was performed using the R package survival (version 3.2.7) and survminer (version 0.4.8).

2.6. Gene Ontology and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) Enrichment Analysis

The R package clusterProfiler (version 3.18.1) was used for the gene ontology and KEGG enrichment analysis of DEGs.

3. Results

3.1. Study Patients' Demographics and Kaplan–Meier Survival Analysis

The data of a total of 359 HCC patients, including 205 Asian and 154 non-Asian patients, were obtained from TCGA database. The clinical information of these patients is presented in Table 1. Compared with non-Asian patients, Asian patients were younger, thinner, more frequently male, and had a lower survival rate. Using the ESTIMATE algorithm, stromal and immune scores were calculated. On the basis of the median value of these scores, patients with HCC were divided into high- and low-score groups. Kaplan–Meier survival curves were used to assess the potential connection between 3-year overall survival (OS) and the ESTIMATE/stromal/immune scores for HCC (Figure 1). HCC patients with high stromal scores had better OS than those with low stromal scores (p = 0.034). In terms of ESTIMATE and immune scores, although patients with high scores showed longer OS than those with low scores, the difference was not statistically significant (p = 0.06 in ESTIMATE scores and p = 0.075 in immune scores) (Figure 1A). In Asian patients,

the Kaplan–Meier survival curves showed no statistically significant difference between the high- and low-score groups of the ESTIMATE/stromal/immune scores (Figure 1B). In non-Asian patients, the high immune score group and the high stromal score group had better OS than the low immune score group (p = 0.033 for the immune score and p = 0.043for the stromal score, Figure 1C).

	Asian (<i>n</i> = 205)		Non-Asian (<i>n</i> = 154)		<i>p</i> -Value
Age (years)	55.42 ± 11.27		62.92 ± 13.65		< 0.001
Body weight (kg)	62.59 ± 10.56		81.52 ± 20.92		< 0.001
Gender					< 0.001
Male	121	78.60%	120	58.50%	
Female	33	21.40%	85	41.50%	
Stage					0.498
Stage I	79	51.30%	88	42.90%	
Stage II	34	22.10%	50	24.40%	
Stage III	38	24.70%	44	21.50%	
Stage IV	1	0.60%	4	2.00%	
ŇA	2	1.30%	19	9.30%	
Survival status					0.008
Alive	119	58.00%	111	72.10%	
Dead	86	42.00%	43	27.90%	
Survival Time (months)	26.00 ± 22.76		27.43 ± 24.84		

Table 1. Clinical information of patients with HCC.



Figure 1. Kaplan–Meier survival curves of high- and low-score groups for 3-year OS in all (**A**), Asian (**B**), and non-Asian (**C**) patients.

3.2. Screening of DEGs

According to the criteria of | fold change | > 1.5 and adjusted *p* value < 0.05, we compared the high and low immune score groups in non-Asian HCC patients. A total of 169 genes were significantly differentially expressed, including 168 upregulated genes and 1 downregulated gene (Supplementary Table S1). In the stromal score groups, 151 upregulated gene expression profiles are displayed in a heatmap (Figure 2A,B). Venn diagrams showed 69 intersecting DEGs (Figure 2C,D). In Asian patients with HCC, we identified 158 upregulated genes in the immune score group. In the stromal score groups, 160 upregulated genes and 2 downregulated genes were identified (Figure 3 and Supplementary Tables S3 and S4). A total of 90 DEGs are displayed in Figure 3, although the differences between Asian patients in the high- and low-scoring groups of ESTIMATE, stromal, and immune scores were not statistically significant.



Figure 2. Comparison of gene expression profiles in non-Asian patients with HCC. Heatmaps of the unique gene expression profiles based on immune scores (**A**) and stromal scores (**B**). Highly expressed genes are shown in green, and genes with low expression levels are shown in red. Venn diagrams of the numbers of up-regulated (**C**) or down-regulated (**D**) common DEGs in the stromal and immune score groups.



Figure 3. Comparison of gene expression profiles in Asian patients with HCC. Heatmaps of the unique gene expression profiles based on immune scores (**A**) and stromal scores (**B**). Highly expressed genes are shown in green, and genes with low expression levels are shown in red. Venn diagrams of the numbers of up-regulated (**C**) or down-regulated (**D**) common DEGs in the stromal and immune score groups.

3.3. Gene Ontology and KEGG Enrichment Analysis of DEGs

The gene ontology analysis showed that the DEGs were mainly involved in the immune response in HCC. In the non-Asian group, DEGs were significantly enriched in the acute inflammatory response, acute phase response, lymphocyte chemotaxis, neutrophil chemotaxis, and lymphocyte migration in biological processes (Figure 4A). The GO heat plot shows the relationship between the genes and terms of biological processes (Figure 4B). The genes involved in the KEGG pathway enrichment results for DEGs were mainly involved in cytokine–cytokine receptor interactions, viral protein interactions with cytokine and cytokine receptors, and the NF-kappa B signaling pathway (Figure 4C). In the Asian group, DEGs were significantly enriched in antigen processing and presentation, T cell co-stimulation, lymphocyte co-stimulation, cellular response to interferon- γ , and positive regulation of T cell activation (Figure 5A). The GO heat plot shows the relationship between the genes and the terms of biological processes (Figure 5B). The KEGG pathway enrichment results for DEGs were mainly associated with Staphylococcus aureus infection and systemic lupus erythematosus (Figure 5C).



B



Figure 4. Functional enrichment analysis of differentially expressed genes in non-Asian patients with HCC. GO terms (**A**), GO heat-map (**B**), KEGG pathways (**C**), and PPI network (**D**) for the DEGs.



Figure 5. Functional enrichment analysis of differentially expressed genes in Asian HCC patients. GO terms (**A**), GO heat-map (**B**), KEGG pathways (**C**), and PPI network (**D**) for the DEGs.

3.4. PPI Network of DEGs

The network interaction analysis of DEGs was performed using the online STRING tool. In the non-Asian group, a total of 19 edges and 16 nodes were formed in the network, including IL7R, CCL19, CCL21, SAA1, SAA2, SAA2-SAA4, PLTP, CRP, HAMP, PLA2G2A, IGHV3-11, IGJ, IGHV3-15, TIMP1, ISLR, and LUM (Figure 4D). In the Asian group, a

total of 78 edges and 29 nodes were formed in the network, including EFEMP1, MFAP4, LUM, DCN, MMP7, COL1A1, TIMP1, ISLR, PLA2G2A, CRP, HAMP, IGHV3-11, IGLL5, IGJ, IGHV3-15, C1QB, C1QC, CCL19, CCL21, HLA-DOA, HLA-DPA1, HLA-DPB1, HLA-DQA1, HLA-DQA2, HLA-DQB1, HLA-DRA, HLA-DRB1, and HLA-DRB5 (Figure 5D).

3.5. Hub Genes in HCC

IGLV2-8

p<0.001

To identify the hub genes in HCC, 69 DEGs from the non-Asian group were intersected with 90 DEGs from the Asian group, and a total of 61 intersecting genes were analyzed using the Kaplan–Meier survival method. Five genes were significantly associated with OS in patients with HCC: IGHM, IGKV4-1, IGLV1-51, IGLV2-8, and IGLV3-10 (Figure 6A and Table 2). Compared with adjacent normal tissues, these genes showed higher expression in tumors (Figure 6B). We found that these genes were significantly associated with OS in the non-Asian group but not in the Asian group (Table 2).





IGLV3-10

p=0.005

Tumor

Normal

Gene —	<i>p</i> -Value			
	All (<i>n</i> = 359)	Asian (<i>n</i> = 205)	Non-Asian (<i>n</i> = 154)	
IGHM	0.012	0.263	0.015	
IGKV4-1	0.026	0.186	0.068	
IGLV1-51	0.013	0.440	0.020	
IGLV2-8	0.008	0.655	0.002	
IGLV3-10	0.004	0.127	0.018	

Table 2. The Kaplan–Meier survival analysis results of five hub genes.

3.6. Immune Cell Profiling and Prognostic Effects

Five immunoglobulin genes showed differential expression in adjacent normal/tumor tissues and were significantly associated with OS. Four of the five genes displayed a significant association with OS in non-Asian patients but not in Asian patients (Table 2). The ESTI-MATE algorithm also showed that a higher immune score contributed to a more favorable prognosis in non-Asian patients with HCC. Subsequently, we applied CIBERSORT to estimate the composition of tumor-infiltrating immune cells in Asian and non-Asian patients. The hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) of the 19 tumor-infiltrating immune cells are shown in Figures 7 and 8. In Asian patients, CD8 T cells (HR = 2.60, 95% CI = 1.02–6.62; *p* = 0.046), activated memory T cells (HR = 0.13, 95% CI = 0.03–0.48; *p* = 0.002), activated NK cells (HR = 0.05, 95% CI = 0.01–0.33; *p* = 0.002), resting mast cells (HR = 3.27, 95% CI = 1.32 - 8.13; p = 0.011), and neutrophils (HR = 0.03, 95% CI = 0.002 - 0.36;p = 0.006) were significantly associated with OS (Figure 7). In non-Asian patients, only neutrophils (HR = 0.22, 95% CI = 0.07-0.66; p = 0.007) were significantly associated with OS (Figure 8). The composition of infiltrating immune cells was significantly different between Asian and non-Asian patients (Figure 9). Compared with non-Asian patients, the compositions of CD8 T cells, naïve CD4 T cells, activated memory CD4 T cells, and monocytes were higher in Asian patients. The compositions of T helper cells; resting memory CD4 T cells; plasma cells; and M0, M1, and M2 macrophages were lower in Asian patients. The Kaplan-Meier survival analysis of the infiltrating immune cell subsets is shown in Figure 10 and Table 3.

	<i>p</i> -Value		
_	Asian	Non-Asian	
Naïve B cells	NA	NA	
Memory B cells	0.041	0.574	
Plasma cells	0.004	0.186	
CD8 T cells	0.082	0.131	
CD4 naïve T cells	0.816	0.136	
Resting memory CD4 T cells	0.012	0.465	
Activated memory CD4 T cells	< 0.001	0.115	
Follicular helper T cells	0.940	0.066	
Tregs	0.882	0.566	
Γ delta T cells	NA	NA	
Resting NK cells	0.296	0.370	
Activated NK cells	0.017	0.107	
Monocytes	0.515	0.135	
M0 Macrophages	0.072	0.082	
M1 Macrophages	0.004	0.564	
M2 Macrophages	0.084	0.387	
Resting dendritic cells	0.049	0.766	
Activated dendritic cells	0.892	0.041	
Resting mast cells	0.041	0.766	
Activated mast cells	0.380	0.578	
Eosinophils	NA	NA	
Neutrophils	< 0.001	0.006	

Table 3. The Kaplan–Meier survival analysis results of infiltrating immune cell subsets.

		HR (95% CI)	Hazaed	ratio	<i>p</i> -value
B. cell. memory	High (<i>n=70</i>) Low (<i>n=84</i>)	reference 0.57 (0.25-1.29)		•	0.178
Plasma. cells	High (<i>n=68</i>) Low (<i>n=86</i>)	1.05 (0.46-2.40)			0.910
T. cells. CD8	High (<i>n=88</i>) Low (<i>n=66</i>)	2.60 (1.02-6.62)		• 	0.046 *
T. cells. CD4. naive	High (<i>n=87</i>) Low (<i>n=67</i>)	1.06 (0.43-2.59)		•	0.899
T. cells. CD4. memory. resting	High (<i>n=52</i>) Low (<i>n=102</i>)	2.42 (0.80-7.25)		•	• 0.116
T. cells. CD4. memory. activated	High (<i>n=98</i>) Low (<i>n=56</i>)	0.13 (0.03-0.48)		—	0.002 *
T. cells. follicular.helper	High (<i>n=49</i>) Low (<i>n=105</i>)	0.64 (0.27-1.51)			0.306
Tregs	High (<i>n=82</i>) Low (<i>n=72</i>)	1.06 (0.45-2.53)		•	0.892
NK. cells. resting	High (<i>n=71</i>) Low (<i>n=83</i>)	1.12 (0.53-2.36)		•	0.764
NK. cells. actived	High (<i>n=3</i>) Low (<i>n=151</i>)	0.05 (0.01-0.33)		•	0.002 *
Monocytes	High (<i>n=90</i>) Low (<i>n=64</i>)	1.44 (0.60-3.42)		•	0.413
Macrophages.M0	High (<i>n=70</i>) Low (<i>n=84</i>)	1.91 (0.81-4.53)		•	0.140
Macrophages.M1	High (<i>n=66</i>) Low (<i>n=88</i>)	1.54 (0.63-3.73)			0.343
Macrophages.M2	High (<i>n=69</i>) Low (<i>n=85</i>)	1.57 (0.73-3.40)			0.248
Dendritic.cells.resting	High (<i>n=80</i>) Low (<i>n=</i> 74)	0.76 (0.36-1.63)		•	0.486
Dendritic.cells.activated	High (<i>n=57</i>) Low (<i>n=97</i>)	1.26 (0.56-2.87)		•	0.576
Mast.cells. resting	High (<i>n=70</i>) Low (<i>n=84</i>)	3.27 (1.32-8.13)		•	→ 0.011 *
Mast.cells. activated	High (<i>n=49</i>) Low (<i>n=105</i>)	0.94 (0.39-2.28)		•	0.892
Neutrophils	High (<i>n=1</i>) Low (<i>n=153</i>)	0.03 (0.002-0.36)			0.006 *

Figure 7. Prognostic effects of individual tumor-infiltrating immune cells subsets on overall survival in Asian patients. * indicates p < 0.05.

i

		HR (95% CI)	Hazaed ratio	<i>p</i> -value
B. cell. memory	High (<i>n=56</i>) Low (<i>n=149</i>)	reference 0.76 (0.41-1.40)		0.377
Plasma. cells	High (<i>n=111</i>) Low (<i>n=94</i>)	1.40 (0.82-2.38)	•	0.220
T. cells. CD8	High (<i>n=91</i>) Low (<i>n=114</i>)	1.08 (0.56-2.06)	•	0.822
T. cells. CD4. naive	High (<i>n=92</i>) Low (<i>n=113</i>)	0.74 (0.42-1.30)	• •	0.299
T. cells. CD4. memory. resting	High (<i>n=114</i>) Low (<i>n=91</i>)	0.87 (0.48-1.57)	• •	0.648
T. cells. CD4. memory. activated	High (<i>n=81</i>) Low (<i>n=124</i>)	0.70 (0.37-1.31)	• •	0.265
T. cells. follicular.helper	High (<i>n=92</i>) Low (<i>n=113</i>)	0.89 (0.51-1.53)	•	0.671
Tregs	High (<i>n=97</i>) Low (<i>n=108</i>)	0.70 (0.39-1.25)	• •	0.225
NK. cells. resting	High (<i>n=108</i>) Low (<i>n=97</i>)	0.86 (0.46-1.62)	•	0.647
NK. cells. actived	High (<i>n=13</i>) Low (<i>n=192</i>)	1.28 (0.47-3.49)	• •	0.627
Monocytes	High (<i>n=89</i>) Low (<i>n=116</i>)	0.93 (0.50-1.73)	•	0.817
Macrophages.M0	High (<i>n=109</i>) Low (<i>n=96</i>)	0.61 (0.33-1.11)	•	0.107
Macrophages.M1	High (<i>n=113</i>) Low (<i>n=92</i>)	0.88 (0.52-1.49)		0.637
Macrophages.M2	High (<i>n=110</i>) Low (<i>n=95</i>)	1.36 (0.83-2.22)	•	0.219
Dendritic.cells.resting	High (<i>n=99</i>) Low (<i>n=106</i>)	1.55 (0.94-2.56)	• •	→ 0.086
Dendritic.cells.activated	High (<i>n=100</i>) Low (<i>n=105</i>)	1.56 (0.97-2.50)		0.064
Mast.cells. resting	High (<i>n=109</i>) Low (<i>n=96</i>)	0.87 (0.43-1.74)	• •	0.690
Mast.cells. activated	High (<i>n=60</i>) Low (<i>n=145</i>)	1.06 (0.55-2.01)	• •	0.870
Neutrophils	High (<i>n=6</i>) Low (<i>n=199</i>)	0.22 (0.07-0.66)		0.007 *

Figure 8. Prognostic effects of individual tumor-infiltrating immune cells subsets on overall survival in non-Asian patients. * indicates p < 0.05.



Figure 9. Distribution of tumor-infiltrating immune cell subsets in Asian and non-Asian patients. * indicates p < 0.05.



Figure 10. Kaplan–Meier survival curves of high and low levels of tumor-infiltrating immune cells for 3-year OS in Asian patients.

In Asian patients, high levels of plasma cells, resting memory CD4 T cells, M1 macrophages, and resting mast cells were significantly associated with longer OS. By contrast, high levels of memory B cells, activated memory CD4 T cells, activated NK cells, resting dendritic cells, and neutrophils were significantly associated with poor OS (Figure 10). In non-Asian patients, high levels of activated dendritic cells were significantly associated with poor OS (Figure 11).



Figure 11. Kaplan–Meier survival curves of high and low levels of tumor-infiltrating immune cells for 3 years OS in non-Asian patients.

4. Discussion

In this study, we used the ESTIMATE algorithm to determine whether stromal and immune scores were associated with OS in patients with HCC. The results showed that only stromal scores were associated with OS. However, after dividing the population into Asian and non-Asian groups, stromal and immune scores were independent of OS in Asian patients, but they were associated with OS in non-Asian patients. Kaplan–Meier survival analysis also showed that four DEGs (IGHM, IGLV1-51, IGLV2-8, and IGLV3-10) were significantly associated with OS in non-Asian patients but not in Asian patients.

IGHM was reported to be a stromal/immune-related differentially expressed gene in liver cancer [38]. Some immunoglobulin genes, such as IGHV, IGHV5-78, IGL, and IGKC, were expressed at low levels in HBV-induced HCC, but they were highly expressed in HCV-induced HCC [39]. One hundred and twenty distinct immune genes showed differential expression in HBV/HCV-induced HCC [39]. Polymorphisms in Th1 and Th2 cytokine genes are associated with the risk of HCC in Chinese individuals [40]. However, Th1 and Th2 genotypes did not majorly impact the incidence of HCC in the USA [41]. This evidence suggests that the difference in immune gene activation was due to different etiologies in HCC.

Variations in genetic mutations have also been found in different populations of HCC. For example, SALL-4, a novel oncofetal protein, is expressed in 47.7% of Chinese patients, but it is only expressed in 1.3% of Western patients [42,43]. The differences in genetic mutations may be due to etiology, environmental, or ethnic effects. The high heterogeneity of HCC could explain why the ESTIMATE algorithm analysis showed differences between the Asian and non-Asian groups.

Our CIBERSORT analysis showed that the composition of infiltrating immune cells was significantly different between Asian and non-Asian patients. Infiltrating immune cells and immune microenvironment subtypes were associated with clinical outcomes and immunotherapy responses [44]. It is important to classify the diversity of the immune context of the tumor microenvironment in HCC.

However, we have no evidence regarding whether the heterogeneity of the patient population (Asian and non-Asian groups) could be attributed to different viral etiology, environmental, or ethnic factors of HCC.

5. Conclusions

Because of the heterogeneity of HCC in terms of biology, etiology, and epidemiology, differences in clinical research and treatment approaches are needed. In this study, our data demonstrated that the ESTIMATE algorithm is suitable for the non-Asian HCC population but not for the Asian population. CIBERSORT analysis may be more suitable for Asian HCC populations. Racial disparities in multiethnic populations are notable. By parsing the subclasses of HCC, the ability to predict prognosis and guide therapeutic targets for potentially actionable HCC may be improved.

Furthermore, with HBV vaccination and antiviral agents against HCV implementation, nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) has become a leading cause of liver carcinogenesis [29]. By 2030, the total NAFLD population is projected to increase by 18.3% in the United States and 29.1% in China [45]. NAFLD-associated HCC will remain a major challenge in the future. There is no FDA-approved drug for the effective treatment of NAFLD-HCC [46]. Therefore, classifying HCC is important for clinical research and treatment approaches. A better understanding of the etiology of HCC subtypes or in multiethnic populations will increase treatment options for HCC.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at https://www.mdpi.com/article/ 10.3390/genes12081274/s1, Table S1: DEGs in immune score groups in non-Asian HCC; Table S2: DEGs in stromal score groups in non-Asian HCC; Table S3: DEGs in immune score groups in Asian HCC; Table S4: DEGs in stromal score groups in Asian HCC. **Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, C.-Y.H.; validation, S.-C.W.; formal analysis, P.-C.C.; writing—original draft preparation, L.-H.H. and T.-M.H.; writing—review and editing, L.-H.H. and T.-M.H.; Re-sources, Y.-W.L.; supervision, C.-H.H.; funding acquisition, C.-H.H. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by Chang Gung Memorial Hospital, grant number CMRPG8J1041 and CORPG8K0021.

Institutional Review Board Statement: The study was conducted according to the guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the Institutional Review Board of Chang Gung Memorial Hospital (protocol code 201900911B0 and date of approval 2019/07/15).

Informed Consent Statement: Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study.

Data Availability Statement: The original data obtained from TCGA database could be provided via the email of corresponding author for academic research purpose only.

Acknowledgments: We would like to thank the experimental support from the Genomic and Proteomic Core Laboratory, Kaohsiung Chang Gung Memorial Hospital. We thank the National Health Research Institute Biobank and its cooperative institutions for providing the biological specimens and related clinical data (all de-identified) for our research. The National Health Research Institute Biobank is supported by grants from the Ministry of Health and Welfare, Ministry of Science and Technology, and National Health Research Institutes, Taiwan. We thank the Chang Gung Medical Foundation Kaohsiung Chang Gung Memorial Hospital Biobank and Tissue Bank Core Lab (CLRPG8L0081) for excellent technical support.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Sia, D.; Villanueva, A.; Friedman, S.L.; Llovet, J.M. Liver Cancer Cell of Origin, Molecular Class, and Effects on Patient Prognosis. *Gastroenterology* 2017, 152, 745–761. [CrossRef]
- Llovet, J.M.; Zucman-Rossi, J.; Pikarsky, E.; Sangro, B.; Schwartz, M.; Sherman, M.; Gores, G. Hepatocellular carcinoma. *Nat. Rev. Dis. Primers* 2016, 2, 16018. [CrossRef]
- Capece, D.; Fischietti, M.; Verzella, D.; Gaggiano, A.; Cicciarelli, G.; Tessitore, A.; Zazzeroni, F.; Alesse, E. The inflammatory microenvironment in hepatocellular carcinoma: A pivotal role for tumor-associated macrophages. *BioMed Res. Int.* 2013, 2013, 187204. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Omer, R.E.; Kuijsten, A.; Kadaru, A.M.; Kok, F.J.; Idris, M.O.; El Khidir, I.M.; van't Veer, P. Population-attributable risk of dietary aflatoxins and hepatitis B virus infection with respect to hepatocellular carcinoma. *Nutr. Cancer* 2004, 48, 15–21. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 5. Wang, C.; Wang, X.; Gong, G.; Ben, Q.; Qiu, W.; Chen, Y.; Li, G.; Wang, L. Increased risk of hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with diabetes mellitus: A systematic review and meta-analysis of cohort studies. *Int. J. Cancer* **2012**, *130*, 1639–1648. [CrossRef]
- 6. Zucman-Rossi, J.; Villanueva, A.; Nault, J.C.; Llovet, J.M. Genetic Landscape and Biomarkers of Hepatocellular Carcinoma. *Gastroenterology* **2015**, *149*, 1226–1239.e4. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 7. Wu, S.D.; Ma, Y.S.; Fang, Y.; Liu, L.L.; Fu, D.; Shen, X.Z. Role of the microenvironment in hepatocellular carcinoma development and progression. *Cancer Treat. Rev.* **2012**, *38*, 218–225. [CrossRef]
- Yang, J.D.; Nakamura, I.; Roberts, L.R. The tumor microenvironment in hepatocellular carcinoma: Current status and therapeutic targets. *Semin. Cancer Biol.* 2011, 21, 35–43. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 9. Seo, H.R. Roles of Tumor Microenvironment in Hepatocelluar Carcinoma. Curr. Med. Chem. 2015, 11, 82–93.
- 10. Shiga, K.; Hara, M.; Nagasaki, T.; Sato, T.; Takahashi, H.; Takeyama, H. Cancer-Associated Fibroblasts: Their Characteristics and Their Roles in Tumor Growth. *Cancers* 2015, *7*, 2443–2458. [CrossRef]
- Birgani, M.T.; Carloni, V. Tumor Microenvironment, a Paradigm in Hepatocellular Carcinoma Progression and Therapy. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2017, 18, 405. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 12. Kalluri, R.; Zeisberg, M. Fibroblasts in cancer. Nat. Rev. Cancer 2006, 6, 392–401. [CrossRef]
- 13. Wynn, T.A. Cellular and molecular mechanisms of fibrosis. J. Pathol. 2008, 214, 199–210. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 14. Lee, U.E.; Friedman, S.L. Mechanisms of hepatic fibrogenesis. *Best Pract. Res. Clin. Gastroenterol.* 2011, 25, 195–206. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 15. Zhao, W.; Zhang, L.; Xu, Y.; Zhang, Z.; Ren, G.; Tang, K.; Kuang, P.; Zhao, B.; Yin, Z.; Wang, X. Hepatic stellate cells promote tumor progression by enhancement of immunosuppressive cells in an orthotopic liver tumor mouse model. *Lab. Investig. J. Tech. Methods Pathol.* **2014**, *94*, 182–191. [CrossRef]

- 16. Xu, Y.; Zhao, W.; Xu, J.; Li, J.; Hong, Z.; Yin, Z.; Wang, X. Activated hepatic stellate cells promote liver cancer by induction of myeloid-derived suppressor cells through cyclooxygenase-2. *Oncotarget* **2016**, *7*, 8866–8878. [CrossRef]
- 17. Grivennikov, S.I.; Greten, F.R.; Karin, M. Immunity, inflammation, and cancer. Cell 2010, 140, 883–899. [CrossRef]
- 18. Solinas, G.; Germano, G.; Mantovani, A.; Allavena, P. Tumor-associated macrophages (TAM) as major players of the cancer-related inflammation. *J. Leukoc. Biol.* 2009, *86*, 1065–1073. [CrossRef]
- 19. Lewis, C.E.; Pollard, J.W. Distinct role of macrophages in different tumor microenvironments. *Cancer Res.* **2006**, *66*, 605–612. [CrossRef]
- Fu, J.; Xu, D.; Liu, Z.; Shi, M.; Zhao, P.; Fu, B.; Zhang, Z.; Yang, H.; Zhang, H.; Zhou, C.; et al. Increased regulatory T cells correlate with CD8 T-cell impairment and poor survival in hepatocellular carcinoma patients. *Gastroenterology* 2007, 132, 2328–2339. [CrossRef]
- Yoshihara, K.; Shahmoradgoli, M.; Martinez, E.; Vegesna, R.; Kim, H.; Torres-Garcia, W.; Trevino, V.; Shen, H.; Laird, P.W.; Levine, D.A.; et al. Inferring tumour purity and stromal and immune cell admixture from expression data. *Nat. Commun.* 2013, 4, 2612. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 22. Yang, H.; Zhao, K.; Kang, H.; Wang, M.; Wu, A. Exploring immune-related genes with prognostic value in microenvironment of breast cancer from TCGA database. *Medicine* **2020**, *99*, e19561. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Xu, W.H.; Xu, Y.; Wang, J.; Wan, F.N.; Wang, H.K.; Cao, D.L.; Shi, G.H.; Qu, Y.Y.; Zhang, H.L.; Ye, D.W. Prognostic value and immune infiltration of novel signatures in clear cell renal cell carcinoma microenvironment. *Aging* 2019, 11, 6999–7020. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 24. Mao, M.; Yu, Q.; Huang, R.; Lu, Y.; Wang, Z.; Liao, L. Stromal score as a prognostic factor in primary gastric cancer and close association with tumor immune microenvironment. *Cancer Med.* **2020**, *9*, 4980–4990. [CrossRef]
- Qi, X.; Qi, C.; Qin, B.; Kang, X.; Hu, Y.; Han, W. Immune-Stromal Score Signature: Novel Prognostic Tool of the Tumor Microenvironment in Lung Adenocarcinoma. *Front. Oncol.* 2020, 10, 541330. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ge, P.L.; Li, S.F.; Wang, W.W.; Li, C.B.; Fu, Y.B.; Feng, Z.K.; Li, L.; Zhang, G.; Gao, Z.Q.; Dang, X.W.; et al. Prognostic values of immune scores and immune microenvironment-related genes for hepatocellular carcinoma. *Aging* 2020, 12, 5479–5499. [CrossRef]
- 27. Ferlay, J.; Shin, H.R.; Bray, F.; Forman, D.; Mathers, C.; Parkin, D.M. Estimates of worldwide burden of cancer in 2008: GLOBOCAN 2008. *Int. J. Cancer* 2010, 127, 2893–2917. [CrossRef]
- McGlynn, K.A.; Petrick, J.L.; El-Serag, H.B. Epidemiology of Hepatocellular Carcinoma. *Hepatology* 2021, 73 (Suppl. 1), 4–13. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 29. Villanueva, A. Hepatocellular Carcinoma. N. Engl. J. Med. 2019, 380, 1450-1462. [CrossRef]
- Choo, S.P.; Tan, W.L.; Goh, B.K.P.; Tai, W.M.; Zhu, A.X. Comparison of hepatocellular carcinoma in Eastern versus Western populations. *Cancer* 2016, 122, 3430–3446. [CrossRef]
- 31. Balogh, J.; Victor, D., 3rd; Asham, E.H.; Burroughs, S.G.; Boktour, M.; Saharia, A.; Li, X.; Ghobrial, R.M.; Monsour, H.P., Jr. Hepatocellular carcinoma: A review. *J. Hepatocell. Carcinoma* **2016**, *3*, 41–53. [CrossRef]
- 32. El-Serag, H.B.; Kanwal, F. Epidemiology of hepatocellular carcinoma in the United States: Where are we? Where do we go? *Hepatology* **2014**, *60*, 1767–1775. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 33. Kim, H.S.; El-Serag, H.B. The Epidemiology of Hepatocellular Carcinoma in the USA. *Curr. Gastroenterol. Rep.* **2019**, *21*, 17. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 34. Fan, J.H.; Wang, J.B.; Jiang, Y.; Xiang, W.; Liang, H.; Wei, W.Q.; Qiao, Y.L.; Boffetta, P. Attributable causes of liver cancer mortality and incidence in china. *Asian Pac. J. Cancer Prev.* 2013, 14, 7251–7256. [CrossRef]
- 35. Kumar, M.; Kumar, R.; Hissar, S.S.; Saraswat, M.K.; Sharma, B.C.; Sakhuja, P.; Sarin, S.K. Risk factors analysis for hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with and without cirrhosis: A case-control study of 213 hepatocellular carcinoma patients from India. *J. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* **2007**, *22*, 1104–1111. [CrossRef]
- 36. Acharya, S.K. Epidemiology of hepatocellular carcinoma in India. J. Clin. Exp. Hepatol. 2014, 4, S27–S33. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Szklarczyk, D.; Gable, A.L.; Lyon, D.; Junge, A.; Wyder, S.; Huerta-Cepas, J.; Simonovic, M.; Doncheva, N.T.; Morris, J.H.; Bork, P.; et al. STRING v11: Protein-protein association networks with increased coverage, supporting functional discovery in genome-wide experimental datasets. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2019, 47, D607–D613. [CrossRef]
- Liu, X.; Niu, X.; Qiu, Z. A Five-Gene Signature Based on Stromal/Immune Scores in the Tumor Microenvironment and Its Clinical Implications for Liver Cancer. DNA Cell Biol. 2020, 39, 1621–1638. [CrossRef]
- Hu, J.; Gao, D.Z. Distinction immune genes of hepatitis-induced heptatocellular carcinoma. *Bioinformatics* 2012, 28, 3191–3194. [CrossRef]
- 40. Nieters, A.; Yuan, J.M.; Sun, C.L.; Zhang, Z.Q.; Stoehlmacher, J.; Govindarajan, S.; Yu, M.C. Effect of cytokine genotypes on the hepatitis B virus-hepatocellular carcinoma association. *Cancer* 2005, 103, 740–748. [CrossRef]
- 41. Ognjanovic, S.; Yuan, J.M.; Chaptman, A.K.; Fan, Y.; Yu, M.C. Genetic polymorphisms in the cytokine genes and risk of hepatocellular carcinoma in low-risk non-Asians of USA. *Carcinogenesis* 2009, *30*, 758–762. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Han, S.X.; Wang, J.L.; Guo, X.J.; He, C.C.; Ying, X.; Ma, J.L.; Zhang, Y.Y.; Zhao, Q.; Zhu, Q. Serum SALL4 is a novel prognosis biomarker with tumor recurrence and poor survival of patients in hepatocellular carcinoma. *J. Immunol. Res.* 2014, 2014, 262385. [CrossRef]

- 43. Liu, T.C.; Vachharajani, N.; Chapman, W.C.; Brunt, E.M. SALL4 immunoreactivity predicts prognosis in Western hepatocellular carcinoma patients but is a rare event: A study of 236 cases. *Am. J. Surg. Pathol.* **2014**, *38*, 966–972. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Binnewies, M.; Roberts, E.W.; Kersten, K.; Chan, V.; Fearon, D.F.; Merad, M.; Coussens, L.M.; Gabrilovich, D.I.; Ostrand-Rosenberg, S.; Hedrick, C.C.; et al. Understanding the tumor immune microenvironment (TIME) for effective therapy. *Nat. Med.* 2018, 24, 541–550. [CrossRef]
- 45. Estes, C.; Anstee, Q.M.; Arias-Loste, M.T.; Bantel, H.; Bellentani, S.; Caballeria, J.; Colombo, M.; Craxi, A.; Crespo, J.; Day, C.P.; et al. Modeling NAFLD disease burden in China, France, Germany, Italy, Japan, Spain, United Kingdom, and United States for the period 2016–2030. *J. Hepatol.* **2018**, *69*, 896–904. [CrossRef]
- 46. Suresh, D.; Srinivas, A.N.; Kumar, D.P. Etiology of Hepatocellular Carcinoma: Special Focus on Fatty Liver Disease. *Front. Oncol.* **2020**, *10*, 601710. [CrossRef]