**Research**

# Mental health status of adults under institutional quarantine: a cross-sectional survey in Tunisia

Ghassen Kharroubi, Ines Cherif, Sina Haj Amor, Mariem Zribi, Wejdene Ben Atigue, Uta Ouali, Jihene Bettaieb

**Corresponding author:** Jihene Bettaieb, Laboratory of Medical Epidemiology, Pasteur Institute of Tunis, Tunis, Tunisia. bettaiebjihene@yahoo.fr

Ghassen Kharroubi[1,2,3], Ines Cherif[1,2,3], Sina Haj Amor[4], Mariem Zribi[3], Wejdene Ben Atigue[3], Uta Ouali[3,5], Jihene Bettaieb[1,2,3&]

[1]Laboratory of Medical Epidemiology, Pasteur Institute of Tunis, Tunis, Tunisia, [2]Laboratory of Transmission, Control and Immunobiology of Infections (LR11IPT02), Pasteur Institute of Tunis, Tunis, Tunisia, [3]Faculty of Medicine of Tunis, University of Tunis El Manar, Tunis, Tunisia, [4]Carthage Health District, Tunis, Tunisia, [5]Department Psychiatry A, Razi Hospital, La Manouba, Tunisia

**&Corresponding author**
Jihene Bettaieb, Laboratory of Medical Epidemiology, Pasteur Institute of Tunis, Tunis, Tunisia

# Article 🔓

## Abstract

*Introduction:* restrictive measures imposed during the COVID-19 pandemic, such as quarantine, may induce psychiatric outcomes among concerned individuals. The present study aimed to describe the mental health status of Tunisian adults under mandatory institutional quarantine imposed during the COVID-19 pandemic, and to determine factors influencing the occurrence of psychiatric symptoms. *Methods:* a cross-sectional phone survey was conducted from April to November 2020 using convenience sampling of persons who had experienced mandatory institutional quarantine. A standardized questionnaire was administered to participants including questions about socio-demographic characteristics and quarantine related information. Generalized anxiety disorder, depression symptoms, and sleep quality during quarantine were assessed using, respectively, the generalized anxiety disorder-7 (GAD-7), the centre for epidemiological studies depression (CES-D-10) and the insomnia severity index (ISI) scales. Bivariate and multivariate analyses were performed to determine factors associated with anxiety and/or depression and with clinical insomnia. *Results:* among 506 participants, 38.3% experienced anxiety and/or depression symptoms (anxiety: 15.4%; depression: 37.4%) and 19.2% had clinical insomnia. The prevalence of anxiety and/or depression symptoms and insomnia were higher among women those who spent three hours or above on COVID-19 news, those who had economic difficulties due to COVID-19 pandemic, those who were not satisfied by the accommodation conditions of quarantine facilities, and those who had experienced stigma. *Conclusion:* high prevalence of psychiatric symptoms among quarantined individuals was found in this study. Psychological interventions should thus be an integral part of the COVID-19 control strategy in order to provide adequate psychological support to persons quarantined due to COVID-19.*

## Introduction

Shortly after its outbreak, the coronavirus disease (COVID-19) spread into a global pandemic. Since no effective medications or vaccination were available to combat the new virus at the early stage of the epidemic, many countries (at least 186) implemented varying degrees of restrictions on population movement to slow the spread of the SARS-CoV-2 and prevent healthcare facilities from becoming overwhelmed [1]. Most of these restrictive measures were unfamiliar for the general population, and even terms such as quarantine and isolation were often used interchangeably [2,3]. It is important to distinguish between them. Indeed, quarantine is defined as the separation and restriction of movement of people who have potentially been exposed to a contagious disease to ascertain if they become unwell and to reduce their risk of transmitting the virus. However, isolation is the separation of people who have been diagnosed with a contagious disease from people who are not sick [3,4].

In order to limit the spread of the SARS-CoV-2, Tunisian health authorities imposed a 14-day quarantine on travelers, close contacts of COVID-19 confirmed cases as well as on individuals coming from epidemic areas in Tunisia. While persons having contact with confirmed cases have to undergo a 14-day mandatory quarantine in their home, institutional quarantine was imposed for a short period during the first wave of the pandemic on individuals returning from epidemic areas in Tunisia. For travelers, terms and procedures of quarantine varied over time. Depending on epidemiological situation in foreign countries, Tunisian health authorities imposed either a 14-day quarantine in dedicated centers, 7-days of institutional quarantine followed by 7 days at home or a 14-day quarantine at home. Imposition of restrictive public health measures such as quarantine that infringe on personal freedoms and may induce financial losses is considered as a major stressor that contributes to

widespread emotional distress and increased risk for psychiatric illness [5]. Indeed a systematic review found that those who experienced quarantine for at least one week were at higher risk for developing adverse mental health outcomes [6]. In addition institutional mandatory quarantine may be a source of additional stress due to environmental change. Conducting surveys to determine the frequency of psychiatric outcomes among quarantined persons and their predictors is necessary and useful to implement appropriate psychological interventions. In this context, our study aimed to describe the mental health status of Tunisian adults under mandatory institutional quarantine imposed during the COVID-19 pandemic and to determine factors influencing the occurrence of psychiatric symptoms.

# Methods

**Study design:** a cross-sectional phone survey was conducted from April 14th to November 26th, 2020 among individuals under institutional quarantine.

**Study population and sample:** we used convenience sampling of persons who were placed in compulsory institutional quarantine in Tunisia. Individuals were eligible if they were 18 years or older, were in quarantine for 14 days, including at least 7 days in an approved quarantine center, and were consenting to participate in the study. Lists of persons complying with the inclusion criteria and their phone numbers were provided by the regional health directorates responsible for supervising the quarantine centers. Included individuals were contacted by phone each week from Monday to Friday during working hours. To optimize contact with persons unreachable at first call, at least one other attempt was made to contact them on a different day and at a different time of the day. On each call, investigators explained the aim of the study and that data will be treated anonymously. Those who gave their oral consent to participate, were interviewed.

**Data collection:** a standardized questionnaire was administered to participants including questions about socio-demographic characteristics and quarantine related information, such as stigma experience during the quarantine period explained by negative attitudes and believes regarding quarantined people leading to labelling and discrimination [7]. Participants were also asked about whether they had financial difficulties due to the COVID-19 pandemic (job loss, reduction in working hours, pay cut) and about their satisfaction by the accommodation conditions (food quality, hygiene, available furniture...) in quarantine centers. Generalized anxiety disorder, depression symptoms, and sleep quality were assessed using the three following scales: 1) the generalized anxiety disorder - 7 (GAD-7) scale which includes 7 items assessing the frequency of anxiety during the two weeks of quarantine according to a Likert scale (0: not at all; 1: several days; 2: more than half of the days; 3: nearly every day). The overall score varies from 0 to 21 points. A cut-off of 10 points indicates the presence of significant symptoms of anxiety [8]. The 10-item CES-D (center for epidemiologic studies depression) scale, which is made up of 10 items indicating the frequency of depression symptoms over a week during the quarantine period according to a Likert scale (rarely or none of the time/some or a little of the time / occasionally or a moderate amount of time/ most or all of the time). For each item, a score is assigned ranging from 0 to 3. The overall score varies from 0 to 30 points; a score of 10 points or more indicates the presence of significant depression symptoms [9]. The insomnia severity index (ISI) which includes 7 items assessing the nature, severity and impact of insomnia during the two weeks of quarantine. For each item, a score is assigned ranging from 0 to 4. This overall score varies from 0 to 28. A cut-off of 15 points indicates the presence of clinical insomnia (moderate to severe), while a score from 0 to 7 indicates the absence of insomnia, and a score from 8 to 14 reflects a sub-clinical insomnia [10].

**Data analysis:** data analysis was performed using Epi Info TM 7. Categorical variables were summarized in terms of counts and percentages. Prevalence of anxiety, depression symptoms and clinical insomnia were calculated considering the aforementioned cut-offs. In order to determine factors associated with anxiety or depression and with clinical insomnia, the chi square test in bivariate analysis and a backward stepwise multivariable logistic regression in multivariate analysis were used. Variables with a p-value equal or under 0.25 in bivariate analysis were included in the initial multivariable model. A p-value ≤0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

**Ethical considerations:** this study was conducted in accordance with the declaration of Helsinki, and was approved by the Biomedical Ethics Committee of the Pasteur Institute of Tunis (reference: 2020/21/I/LR16IPT).

# Results

**Participants´ socio-demographic characteristics, past medical history and quarantine related information:** in this study, 1340 eligible individuals were identified of whom 799 could not be reached after two calls and 35 refused to be interviewed. Finally, 506 persons accepted to participate in our study. The average period between the completion of the quarantine period and the interview
was equal to 13.9±7.3 weeks. Respondents´ characteristics are presented in Table 1. Majority of participants (96.2%) were travelers and 83.4% spent the entire 14-day quarantine period in approved quarantine centers. Nearly two third of participants were men, their age ranged from 18 to 83 years and the mean age was equal to 37.6±13.6. Almost 57% had higher education, 59.1% were employees and 22.7% were students. Only 6.5% had a psychiatric history, among them more than half (54.5%) were taking long term psychiatric treatment.

**Prevalence of psychiatric symptoms:** the prevalence of anxiety and/or depression symptoms was 38.3% (37.4% of participants had depression symptoms and 15.4% had anxiety symptoms), and the prevalence of clinical insomnia was 19.2%.

**Factors associated with psychiatric symptoms:** factors associated with anxiety and/or depression symptoms and clinical insomnia in bivariate analysis are detailed in Table 2. The multivariable logistic regression analysis (Table 3) showed that being a woman, spending three hours or above on COVID-19 news, having experienced stigma, having financial difficulties due to the COVID-19 pandemic, and being unsatisfied by the accommodation conditions of the quarantine facilities were significantly associated with anxiety and/or depression symptoms and to clinical insomnia. In addition, the occurrence of anxiety and/or depression symptoms was significantly higher among young adults, students and those who were afraid of being infected in the quarantine facilities. Clinical insomnia was significantly more frequent among participants who spent the entire quarantine period in containment facilities.

# Discussion

The present study aimed to describe the mental health status of quarantined individuals in dedicated centers during the COVID-19 pandemic and the factors influencing it. Among respondents, more than one third (38.3%) experienced anxiety and/or depression symptoms (anxiety: 15.4%; depression: 37.4%), and nearly one fifth (19.2%) had clinical insomnia. The prevalence of anxiety and/or depression symptoms and insomnia were higher among women, those who spent three hours or above on COVID-19 news, those who had economic difficulties due to the COVID-19 pandemic, those who were not satisfied by the accommodation conditions of quarantine facilities, and those who had experienced stigma. Many studies assessing mental health outcomes have been conducted during the COVID-19 pandemic in several countries [11-21], in which a wide range of depression and anxiety frequencies were

reported: the prevalence of anxiety symptoms ranged between 15% [11] and 84% [21] and of depression symptoms between 6.2% [20] and 55.2% [21]. However, the prevalence of insomnia in our study was similar to those reported by Gualano *et al.* [16] and Lin *et al.* [19]. Such comparisons should be interpreted with caution because of differences in the study populations. Indeed, in the present work, mental health outcomes were assessed among individuals who stayed in quarantine facilities for at least one week, whereas other studies were mainly conducted among the general population during lockdown periods. Also, different self-reported measures were used to assess psychiatric symptoms and for the same tool, different cutoffs were used.

The high prevalence of people experiencing clinically significant symptoms of depression, anxiety and insomnia in the present study emphasizes the importance of mental health support services during the quarantine period, mainly in quarantine facilities. In fact, only 5.5% of surveyed individuals reported that they benefited from psychological assistance in quarantine sites. Quarantined individuals should not be left to themselves without any support, they should be regularly supervised and be given practical advice on how to overcome boredom and cope with stress and anxiety [3]. In Tunisia, a centralized psychological support system, which integrates psychiatrists, medical students, psychologists and social services, was launched in march 2020 [22]. A helpline service free of charge and accessible from all over the country was implemented to give social and psychological support to the general population. However, the majority of participants came from abroad so they were probably not aware of the availability of such services in Tunisia. The Tunisian psychological support unit should thus coordinate with the managers of quarantine facilities to provide tailored psychological support to those persons. In our study, depression symptoms were more prevalent than anxiety ones. This result is consistent with some other studies [12,15,16,19]. Given that depression might

occur as a result of anxiety disorders [23] and most of participants came from abroad where they had been quarantined for several weeks during lockdown periods, they might thus have already experienced anxiety symptoms before they quarantined in Tunisia, which increases the risk for secondary depression [23]. Indeed, the GAD-questionnaire primarily screens for symptoms of generalized anxiety disorder, meaning that other anxiety symptoms might have been overlooked. In addition, in the Tunisian population, anxiety is more often expressed through somatic symptoms, such as feelings of thoracic oppression or suffocation, aches and pains, which is not evaluated by the GAD questionnaire [24].

After multivariate logistic regression analysis, psychiatric distress was more frequent among women. This gender difference was found in several other studies [11,13,14,16,19,25]. Indeed, women are known for being more likely to develop mood and anxiety disorders [26,27]. Moreover, when facing adverse events, women are more likely to expect danger and harm compared to men, and institutional quarantine could be considered as such (an adverse event) [28]. Furthermore, women have a higher tendency to ruminate on negative emotions [29]. In addition, we found that depression and/or anxiety symptoms were more frequent among younger individuals. This result is in agreement with those of other studies [11-14,17,18]. This may be explained by the fact that younger people are generally physically more active than older ones. Deprivation of liberty due to compulsory quarantine may therefore have a greater impact on them. Students were also more at risk of developing the aforementioned symptoms. Indeed, exam delays in universities, the new method of learning imposed by the COVID-19 pandemic and unpredictable future may be a source of frustration [14]. After controlling for other factors, psychiatric symptoms were more frequent among participants who spent three hours or above on COVID-19 news. Those results

are in line with the findings of Huang Y *et al.* [18]. In fact, the World Health Organization (WHO) has recommended reducing time spent on news, which can be a source of anxiety and fear, and to rather seek information at most twice a day from reliable sources such as the WHO website or the platforms of healthcare authorities [30]. Instead, people in quarantine may fill their spare time with physical activities, which can decrease the negative psychological impact of quarantine [31]. In the present study, almost one fifth of participants have experienced stigma and those individuals were more likely to present anxiety and/or depression symptoms and clinical insomnia.

Training sessions for the staff working at quarantine facilities should thus be organized in order to provide them with updated information and ensure good quality communication between the staff and quarantined individuals [30]. We also found that experiencing economical struggle was independently associated with the occurrence of psychological symptoms, in line with the results of other studies [11,15,16]. Similarly, being dissatisfied by the accommodation conditions of quarantine facilities was associated with a higher risk of developing such symptoms. Having basic furniture in the rooms and acceptable food quality are indeed essential. The prevalence of anxiety and/or depression symptoms did not differ depending on whether the quarantine period was spent in whole or in part in a quarantine center. It is therefore important to provide psychological support to people as soon as they are admitted in quarantine facilities, targeting in priority the most vulnerable persons. However, the occurrence of clinical insomnia appeared to be more frequent in persons who spent the entire quarantine period in quarantine facilities. Home quarantine may have a lower impact on individuals´ mental health, but it is not possible to guarantee compliance with this quarantine modality in our country as it is difficult to implement effective measures to ensure that people are staying at home such as advanced tracking technologies. Institutional quarantine would be more appropriate also to limit the spread of new variants of SARS-CoV-2. Thus, Tunisian health authorities reimposed this procedure for all travelers since February 1st, 2021, shortly after the emergence of the new variants B.1.1.7 and B.1.351.

**Limitations:** first, the questionnaires used in this study for assessing general anxiety disorder, depression and insomnia only allow identification of probable cases. Therefore, we do not have diagnostic data. Second, as we did not dispose of the exhaustive lists of individuals who were under institutional quarantine in Tunisia, we carried out a non-probabilistic sampling which may affect data representativeness. Third, in phone surveys, there is a selection bias. Indeed we could not reach persons who refused to give their phone number, gave a wrong number to the staff in quarantine facilities or did not respond to their phone after two call attempts. Also we couldn't be one hundred percent sure that the person answering was the one who was in quarantine. Fourth, there may be a recall bias as the interview was done for some participants several weeks after the quarantine period. Finally, cross sectional studies cannot indicate the chronology of events and no causal relationship could thus be established between the identified associated factors and psychological symptoms.

# Conclusion

High prevalence of psychiatric symptoms among quarantined individuals was found in this study. Psychological interventions should thus be an integral part of the COVID-19 control strategy in order to provide adequate psychological support to persons in need, including those in quarantine sites. The provisions of regular updated and comprehensible information, in addition to adequate accommodation conditions are also necessary to guarantee a successful quarantine with a minimum of negative effects.

## What is known about this topic

- *In order to slow the spread of the SARS-CoV-2, many countries have implemented varying degrees of restrictions on population movement;*
- *Restrictive public health measures, such as quarantine, may lead to an increased risk for psychiatric illness.*

## What this study adds

- *To the best of our knowledge, the present study is the first to report the mental health status of Tunisian adults under compulsory institutional quarantine;*
- *This study provides benchmark data to use by health authorities in order to improve the mental health support in quarantine sites.*

## Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

## Authors' contributions

Ghassen Kharroubi: conception of the work, data curation, formal analysis, investigation, methodology, writing - original draft; Ines Cherif: conception of the work, investigation, methodology, writing - original draft; Sina Haj Amor: data curation, investigation; Mariem Zribi: conception of the work, investigation; Wejdene Ben Atigue: conception of the work, investigation; Uta Ouali: validation, visualization, writing - review and editing; Jihene Bettaieb: conception of the work, data curation, formal analysis, investigation, methodology, project administration, resources, supervision, validation, visualization, writing - review and editing. All the authors have read and agreed to the final manuscript.

## Acknowledgments

## Tables

**Table 1**: distribution of study respondents by socio-demographic characteristics, past medical history and quarantine related information (n=506)

**Table 2**: psychiatric symptoms by participants' characteristics and quarantine related information, results of bivariate analysis

**Table 3**: results of logistic regression analysis of associated factors for anxiety and/or depression symptoms and clinical insomnia

## References

1. Han E, Tan MMJ, Turk E, Sridhar D, Leung GM, Shibuya K *et al*. Lessons learnt from easing COVID-19 restrictions: an analysis of countries and regions in Asia Pacific and Europe. The Lancet. 2020;396(10261): 1525-1534. **PubMed| Google Scholar**

2. Manuell M-E, Cukor J. Mother nature versus human nature: public compliance with evacuation and quarantine. Disasters. 2011 Apr;35(2): 417-42. **PubMed| Google Scholar**

3. Brooks SK, Webster RK, Smith LE, Woodland L, Wessely S, Greenberg N *et al*. The psychological impact of quarantine and how to reduce it: rapid review of the evidence. Lancet. 2020 Mar 14;395(10227): 912-920. **PubMed| Google Scholar**

4. Centers for disease control and prevention. Quarantine and isolation. 2017.

5. Pfefferbaum B, North CS. Mental Health and the Covid-19 Pandemic. N Engl J Med. 2020 Aug 6;383(6): 510-512. **PubMed| Google Scholar**

6. Henssler J, Stock F, van Bohemen J, Walter H, Heinz A, Brandt L. Mental health effects of infection containment strategies: quarantine and isolation-a systematic review and meta-analysis. Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci. 2021;271(2): 223-234. **PubMed| Google Scholar**

7. Centers for disease control and prevention. Reducing stigma. 2021.

*Ghassen Kharroubi et al. PAMJ - 40(197). 03 Dec 2021. - Page numbers not for citation purposes.*

7

8.  Spitzer RL, Kroenke K, Williams JBW, Löwe B. A brief measure for assessing generalized anxiety disorder: the GAD-7. Arch Intern Med. 2006 May 22;166(10): 1092-7. **PubMed| Google Scholar**

9.  Andresen EM, Malmgren JA, Carter WB, Patrick DL. Screening for depression in well older adults: evaluation of a short form of the CES-D (center for epidemiologic studies depression scale). Am J Prev Med. Mar-Apr 1994;10(2): 77-84. **PubMed| Google Scholar**

10. Morin CM. Insomnia: psychological assessment and management. Guilford Press. 1993. **Google Scholar**

11. Fullana MA, Hidalgo-Mazzei D, Vieta E, Radua J. Coping behaviors associated with decreased anxiety and depressive symptoms during the COVID-19 pandemic and lockdown. J Affect Disord. 2020 Oct 1;275: 80-81. **PubMed| Google Scholar**

12. Ahmed MZ, Ahmed O, Aibao Z, Hanbin S, Siyu L, Ahmad A. Epidemic of COVID-19 in China and associated psychological problems. Asian J Psychiatr. 2020 Jun;51: 102092. **PubMed| Google Scholar**

13. Bäuerle A, Teufel M, Musche V, Weismüller B, Kohler H, Hetkamp M *et al*. Increased generalized anxiety, depression and distress during the COVID-19 pandemic: a cross-sectional study in Germany. J Public Health. 2020;42(4): 672-678. **Google Scholar**

14. Burhamah W, AlKhayyat A, Oroszlányová M, AlKenane A, Almansouri A, Behbehani M *et al*. The psychological burden of the COVID-19 pandemic and associated lockdown measures: experience from 4000 participants. J Affect Disord. 2020 Dec 1;277: 977-985. **PubMed| Google Scholar**

15. Fisher JRW, Tran TD, Hammarberg K, Sastry J, Nguyen H, Rowe H *et al*. Mental health of people in Australia in the first month of COVID-19 restrictions: a national survey. Med J Aust. 2020 Nov;213(10): 458-464. **PubMed| Google Scholar**

16. Gualano MR, Lo Moro G, Voglino G, Bert F, Siliquini R. Effects of COVID-19 lockdown on mental health and sleep disturbances in Italy. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2020 Jul 2;17(13): 4779. **PubMed| Google Scholar**

17. Guo Y, Cheng C, Zeng Y, Li Y, Zhu M, Yang W *et al*. Mental health disorders and associated risk factors in quarantined adults during the COVID-19 outbreak in China: cross-sectional study. J Med Internet Res. 2020 Aug 6;22(8): e20328. **PubMed| Google Scholar**

18. Huang Y, Zhao N. Generalized anxiety disorder, depressive symptoms and sleep quality during COVID-19 outbreak in China: a web-based cross-sectional survey. Psychiatry Res. 2020 Jun;288: 112954. **PubMed| Google Scholar**

19. Lin L, Wang J, Ou-yang X, Miao Q, Chen R, Liang F *et al*. The immediate impact of the 2019 novel coronavirus (COVID-19) outbreak on subjective sleep status. Sleep Med. 2021 Jan;77: 348-354. **PubMed| Google Scholar**

20. Peng M, Mo B, Liu Y, Xu M, Song X, Liu L *et al*. Prevalence, risk factors and clinical correlates of depression in quarantined population during the COVID-19 outbreak. J Affect Disord. 2020 Oct 1;275: 119-124. **PubMed| Google Scholar**

21. Tang F, Liang J, Zhang H, Kelifa MM, He Q, Wang P. COVID-19 related depression and anxiety among quarantined respondents. Psychol Health. 2021 Feb;36(2): 164-178. **PubMed| Google Scholar**

22. Zgueb Y, Bourgou S, Neffeti A, Amamou B, Masmoudi J, Chebbi H *et al*. Psychological crisis intervention response to the COVID 19 pandemic: a Tunisian centralised Protocol. Psychiatry Res. 2020 Jul;289: 113042. **PubMed| Google Scholar**

23. Wittchen H-U, Kessler RC, Pfister H, Höfler M, Lieb R. Why do people with anxiety disorders become depressed? A prospective-longitudinal community study. Acta Psychiatr Scand Suppl. 2000;(406): 14-23. **PubMed| Google Scholar**

24. Ciftci AJ. Mental health stigma in the muslim community. J Muslim Ment Health. 2012;7(1). **Google Scholar**

25. Taylor DJ, Lichstein KL, Durrence HH, Reidel BW, Bush AJ. Epidemiology of insomnia, depression and anxiety. Sleep. 2005 Nov;28(11): 1457-64. **PubMed│ Google Scholar**

26. Salk RH, Hyde JS, Abramson LY. Gender differences in depression in representative national samples: meta-analyses of diagnoses and symptoms. Psychol Bull. 2017 Aug;143(8): 783-822. **PubMed│ Google Scholar**

27. McLean CP, Asnaani A, Litz BT, Hofmann SG. Gender differences in anxiety disorders: prevalence, course of illness, comorbidity and burden of illness.J Psychiatr Res. 2011 Aug;45(8): 1027-35. **PubMed│ Google Scholar**

28. McLean CP, Anderson ER. Brave men and timid women? A review of the gender differences in fear and anxiety. Clin Psychol Rev. 2009 Aug;29(6): 496-505. **PubMed│ Google Scholar**

29. Asher M, Asnaani A, Aderka IM. Gender differences in social anxiety disorder: a review. Clin Psychol Rev. 2017 Aug;56: 1-12. **PubMed│ Google Scholar**

30. World Health Organization. Mental health and psychosocial considerations during COVID-19 outbreak. 2020.

31. Slimani M, Paravlic A, Mbarek F, Bragazzi NL, Tod D. The relationship between physical activity and quality of life during the confinement induced by COVID-19 outbreak: a pilot study in Tunisia. Front Psychol. 2020 Aug 7;11: 1882. **PubMed│ Google Scholar**

**Table 1**: distribution of study respondents by socio-demographic characteristics, past medical history and quarantine related information (n=506)

| Socio-demographic characteristics | n (%) |
|---|---|
| **Gender** | |
| Women | 170 (33.6) |
| Men | 336 (66.4) |
| **Age class (years)** | |
| 18-39 | 302 (59.7) |
| 40-59 | 166 (32.8) |
| ≥60 | 38 (7.5) |
| **Educational level** | |
| Less than high school | 75 (14.8) |
| High school or professional training | 142 (28.1) |
| University | 289 (57.1) |
| **Occupational status** | |
| Student | 115 (22.7) |
| Employee | 299 (59.1) |
| Unemployed/retired | 92 (18.2) |
| **Marital status** | |
| Single | 226 (44.7) |
| Married | 258 (51.0) |
| Divorced / widowed | 22 (4.3) |
| **Used to living alone** | |
| Yes | 178 (35.2) |
| No | 328 (64.8) |
| **\*Past medical history** | |
| **Medical history of chronic disease** | |
| Yes | 71 (14.0) |
| No | 435 (86.0) |
| **Psychiatric history** | |
| Yes | 33 (6.5) |
| No | 473 (93.5) |
| **\*Quarantine related information** | |
| **Quarantine modality** | |
| Fourteen days in a quarantine facility | 422 (83.4) |
| Seven days in a quarantine facility and 7 days at home | 84 (16.6) |
| **Quarantine reason** | |
| Arrival from a foreign country | 487 (96.2) |
| Return from an epidemic area in Tunisia | 19 (3.8) |
| **Access to media during quarantine** | |
| Yes | 385 (76.1) |
| No | 121 (23.9) |
| **Access to communication means (phone, internet) during quarantine** | |
| Yes | 476 (94.1) |
| No | 30 (5.9) |
| **Time spent following COVID-19 related news per day during quarantine** | |
| Less than 1 hour | 337 (66.6) |
| 1 to 3 hours | 115 (22.7) |
| More than 3 hours | 54 (10.7) |
| **Fear of being infected in the quarantine facility** | |
| Yes | 296 (58.5) |
| No | 210 (41.5) |
| **Having experienced stigma during quarantine** | |
| Yes | 97 (19.2) |
| No | 409 (80.8) |
| **Having financial difficulties due to COVID-19 pandemic** | |
| Yes | 157 (31.0) |
| No | 349 (69.0) |
| **Being convinced that quarantine is effective** | |
| Yes | 457 (90.3) |
| No | 49 (9.7) |
| **Satisfaction by the accommodation conditions of the quarantine facility** | |
| Yes | 382 (75.5) |
| No | 124 (24.5) |
| **Having benefited from psychological support during quarantine** | |
| Yes | 28 (5.5) |
| No | 478 (94.5) |
| \*  included employees. unemployed and retired persons | |

| Table 2: psychiatric symptoms by participants' characteristics and quarantine related information, results of bivariate analysis | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | **Anxiety and/ or depression** | | | **Insomnia** | | |
| | n (%) | Crude OR (95% CI) | P-value | n (%) | Crude OR (95% CI) | P-value |
| **Quarantine modality** | | | 0.006 | | | 0.002 |
| Fourteen days in a quarantine facility | 173 (41.0) | 2.08 (1.23-3.54) | | 91 (21.6) | 3.57 (1.51-8.46) | |
| Seven days in a quarantine facility and 7 days at home | 21 (25.0) | 1 | | 6 (7.1) | 1 | |
| **Gender** | | | <0.001 | | | 0.001 |
| Women | 86 (50.6) | 2.16 (1.48-3.15) | | 47 (27.6) | 2.18 (1.39-3.43) | |
| Men | 108 (32.1) | 1 | | 50 (14.9) | 1 | |
| **Age class (years)** | | | <0.001 | | | 0.184 |
| 18-39 | 134 (44.4) | 6.78 (2.35-19.58) | <0.001 | 65 (21.5) | 2.33 (0.79-6.81) | 0.122 |
| 40-59 | 56 (33.7) | 4.33 (1.46-12.80) | 0.008 | 28 (16.9) | 1.72 (0.56-5.25) | 0.337 |
| ≥60 | 4 (10.5) | 1 | | 4 (10.5) | 1 | |
| **Educational level** | | | 0.013 | | | 0.047 |
| University | 125 (43.3) | 2.24 (1.27-3.97) | 0.005 | 66 (22.8) | 2.17 (1.03-4.59) | 0.042 |
| High school or occupational certificate | 50 (35.2) | 1.60 (0.86-2.99) | 0.139 | 22 (15.5) | 1.34 (0.58-3.09) | 0.485 |
| Less than high school | 19 (25.3) | 1 | | 9 (12.0) | 1 | |
| **Occupational status** | | | <0.001 | | | 0.032 |
| Student | 64 (55.7) | 2.52 (1.65-3.850) | | 30 (26.1) | 1.71(1.04-2.79) | |
| Other* | 130 (33.2) | 1 | | 67 (17.1) | 1 | |
| **Used to living alone** | | | 0.232 | | | 0.092 |
| No | 132 (40.2) | 1.26 (0.86-1.84) | | 70 (21.3) | 1.52 (0.93-2.47) | |
| Yes | 62 (34.8) | 1 | | 27 (15.2) | 1 | |
| **Psychiatric history** | | | 0.048 | | | 0.033 |
| Yes | 18 (54.5) | 2.02 (1.01-4.12) | | 11 (33.3) | 2.25 (1.05-4.81) | |
| No | 176 (37.2) | 1 | | 86 (18.2) | 1 | |
| **Time spent following COVID-19 related news per day during quarantine** | | | 0.033 | | | 0.001 |
| More than 3 hours | 28 (51.9) | 2.02 91.13-3.61) | 0.017 | 20 (37.0) | 3.15 (1.68-5.890 | <0.001 |
| 1 to 3 hours | 49 (42.6) | 1.39 (0.91-2.15) | 0.130 | 24 (20.9) | 1.41 90.82-2.42) | 0.207 |
| Less than 1 hour | 117 (34.7) | 1 | | 53 (15.7) | 1 | |
| **Fear of being infected in the quarantine facility** | | | <0.001 | | | 0.005 |
| Yes | 141 (47.6) | 2.69 (1.83-3.96) | | 69 (23.3) | 1.97 [1.22-3.19] | |
| No | 53 (25.2) | 1 | | 28 (13.3) | 1 | |
| **Having experienced stigma during quarantine** | | | <0.001 | | | <0.001 |
| Yes | 53 (54.6) | 2.29 (1.46-3.58) | | 36 (37.1) | 3.37 (2.05-5.51) | |
| No | 141 (34.5) | 1 | | 61 (14.9) | 1 | |
| **Having financial difficulties due to COVID-19 pandemic** | | | 0.053 | | | 0.150 |
| Yes | 70 (44.6) | 1.46 (0.99-2.14) | | 36 (22.9) | 1.40 (0.88-2.23) | |
| No | 124 (35.5) | 1 | | 61 (17.5) | 1 | |
| **Being convinced that quarantine is effective** | | | 0.494 | | | 0.079 |
| No | 21 (42.9) | 1.23 (0.67-2.23) | | 14 (28.6) | 1.80 (0.93-3.50) | |
| Yes | 173 (37.9) | 1 | | 83 (18.2) | 1 | |
| **Satisfaction by the accommodation conditions of the quarantine facility** | | | <0.001 | | | <0.001 |
| No | 71 (57.3) | 2.82 (1.86-4.27) | | 41 (33.1) | 2.87 (1.79-4.59) | |
| Yes | 123 (32.2) | 1 | | 56 (19.2) | 1 | |
| *included employees; unemployed and retired persons | | | | | | |

**Table 3:** results of logistic regression analysis of associated factors for anxiety and/or depression symptoms and clinical insomnia

| | Anxiety and/or depression | | Insomnia | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Adjusted OR (95% CI) | P-value | Adjusted OR (95% CI) | P-value |
| **Quarantine modality** | | na | | 0.004 |
| Fourteen days in a quarantine facility | Na | | 4.09 (1.55-10.82) | |
| Seven days in a quarantine facility and Seven days at home | Na | | 1 | |
| **Gender** | | 0.001 | | 0.001 |
| Women | 2.21 (1.41-3.47) | | 2.44 (1.44-4.13) | |
| Men | 1 | | 1 | |
| **Age class (years)** | | 0.008 | | na |
| 18-39 | 5.83 (1.88-18.03) | 0.002 | na | |
| 40-59 | 4.50(1.45-13.990 | 0.009 | na | |
| ≥60 | 1 | | na | |
| **Occupational status** | | 0.002 | | na |
| Student | 2.46(1.38-4.37) | | na | |
| Other* | 1 | | na | |
| **Time spent following COVID-19 related news per day during quarantine** | | 0.004 | | 0.004 |
| More than 3 hours | 2.89 (1.49-5.60) | 0.002 | 3.29 (1.63-6.64) | 0.001 |
| 1 to 3 hours | 1.53 (0.93-2.51) | 0.092 | 1.28 (0.70-2.34) | 0.415 |
| Less than 1 hour | 1 | | 1 | |
| **Fear of being infected in the quarantine facility** | | <0.001 | | na |
| Yes | 2.49 (1.63-3.79) | | na | |
| No | 1 | | na | |
| **Having experienced stigma during quarantine** | | 0.001 | | <0.001 |
| Yes | 2.31 (1.38-3.87) | | 3.17 (1.82-5.53) | |
| No | 1 | | 1 | |
| **Having financial difficulties due to COVID-19 pandemic** | | <0.001 | | 0.024 |
| Yes | 2.34 (1.46-3.74) | | 1.91 (1.09-3.34) | |
| No | 1 | | 1 | |
| **Satisfaction by the accommodation conditions of the quarantine facility** | | 0.003 | | 0.002 |
| No | 2.04 (1.28-3.25) | | 2.33 (1.37-3.980) | |
| Yes | 1 | | 1 | |
| *included employees, unemployed and retired persons NA: not applicable | | | | |