

Preclinical investigations and a first-inhuman phase I trial of M4112, the first dual inhibitor of indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase 1 and tryptophan 2,3-dioxygenase 2, in patients with advanced solid tumors

Aung Naing ,¹ Joseph P Eder,² Sarina A Piha-Paul,¹ Claude Gimmi,³ Elizabeth Hussey,⁴ Sen Zhang,⁵ Vera Hildebrand,⁶ Vinayak Hosagrahara,⁷ Christina Habermehl,⁸ Jacques Moisan,⁹ Kyriakos P Papadopoulos ¹⁰

ABSTRACT

To cite: Naing A, Eder JP, Piha-Paul SA, *et al.* Preclinical investigations and a first-inhuman phase I trial of M4112, the first dual inhibitor of indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase 1 and tryptophan 2,3-dioxygenase 2, in patients with advanced solid tumors. *Journal for ImmunoTherapy of Cancer* 2020;**8**:e000870. doi:10.1136/ jitc-2020-000870

► Additional material is published online only. To view please visit the journal online (http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/jitc-2020-000870).

Accepted 06 July 2020

Check for updates

© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2020. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

For numbered affiliations see end of article.

Correspondence to Dr Aung Naing; anaing@mdanderson.org **Background** M4112 is an oral, potent, and selective indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase 1 (IDO1) and tryptophan 2,3-dioxygenase 2 (TDO2) dual inhibitor. Here, we report preclinical data and first-in-human phase I data, including safety, tolerability, pharmacokinetics, pharmacodynamics, and preliminary efficacy, of M4112 monotherapy in patients with advanced solid tumors.

Methods In preclinical studies, M4112 was administered to mice with ID01-expressing tumors to determine tumor ID01 and liver TD02 inhibition. In the phase I trial, patients received doses of M4112 two times per day in 28-day cycles until progression, toxicity, or withdrawal of consent. The primary objective was to determine the maximum tolerated dose (MTD) and recommended phase II dose (RP2D). The primary endpoint was the incidence of dose-limiting toxicities (DLTs), treatmentemergent adverse events (TEAEs), and treatment-emergent changes in safety parameters. Other endpoints included pharmacokinetics, pharmacodynamics, and antitumor effects. Results In mice. M4112 significantly decreased the kynurenine:tryptophan ratio in the liver and tumor. Fifteen patients received M4112 at five distinct dose levels (three patients per cohort: 100, 200, 400, 600, and 800 mg two times per day orally). Initially, all doses inhibited ID01 ex vivo, but plasma kynurenine levels returned to or exceeded baseline levels after day 15. Despite initial changes in kynurenine, there was no significant reduction of plasma kynurenine at steady state. There was one DLT (grade 3 allergic dermatitis; 800 mg two times per day) and one grade 2 QT prolongation (800 mg two times per day), resulting in dose reduction (not a DLT). M4112 was well tolerated, and neither the MTD nor the RP2D was established. TEAEs included fatigue, nausea, and vomiting. The best overall response was stable disease (n=9, 60%).

Conclusions There were no serious safety concerns at any dose. Although M4112 inhibited IDO1 activity ex vivo, plasma kynurenine levels were not reduced despite achieving target exposure.

Trial registration number NCT03306420.

INTRODUCTION

Immunotherapy with checkpoint inhibitors has shown considerable antitumor activity in patients with advanced cancer. However, not all patients respond, suggesting the existence of additional immunoregulatory pathways that control immunosurveillance.¹² One such pathway is the catabolism of the essential amino acid tryptophan by indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase 1 (IDO1) and the related enzyme tryptophan 2,3-dioxygenase 2 (TDO2). In normal physiology, IDO1 is expressed across many tissue types. TDO2 is expressed constitutively in the liver and is inducible by glucocorticoids and tryptophan in certain tumor types.³⁴

Tryptophan metabolism significantly contributes to immunosuppression and helps tumor cells evade the immune system.^{5–7} In immune and tumor cells, IDO1 and TDO2 catalyze the first, rate-limiting step in the conversion of tryptophan to the immunosuppressive metabolite, kynurenine.⁸⁹ Tryptophan catabolism can lead to an immunosuppressive tumor microenvironment through two mechanisms: (1) the depletion of tryptophan and (2) the production of kynurenine. The reduction of tryptophan can lead to autophagy and T cell anergy through the suppression of mammalian target of rapamycin complex 1 activity.¹⁰ Tryptophan depletion can also induce the stress-responsive kinase general control nonderepressible 2,5 which may in turn prevent T cell proliferation, promote de novo regulatory T cell differentiation, and enhance regulatory T cell activity.¹¹ The production of kynurenine can also be immunosuppressive through the activation of

BMJ

the transcription factor aryl hydrocarbon receptor. Aryl hydrocarbon receptors inhibit the differentiation of naïve cluster of differentiation 4 T helper cells into proinflammatory T helper 17 cells and can promote a tolerogenic phenotype in dendritic cells.⁵

Both IDO1 and TDO2 overexpressions are associated with poor prognosis in various human cancer types.^{12–16} IDO1 is often overexpressed in hematologic cancers and solid tumors,^{17–20} whereas TDO2 is often overexpressed in glioma, breast cancer, lung cancer, colorectal cancer, and hepatocellular carcinoma.^{21–26} Although both enzymes are upregulated in several tumor types, their expression is distinct and not overlapping.^{21–26} This suggests that a dual inhibitor of IDO1 and TDO2 could provide an enhanced therapeutic benefit to patients with cancer.

M4112 is a first-in-class, potent, selective dual inhibitor of IDO1 and TDO2 that can be administered orally. Here, we present the results from a first-in-human phase I trial that evaluated the safety, tolerability, pharmacokinetics, pharmacodynamics, and preliminary efficacy of M4112. The preclinical evidence that provided the rationale for entering clinical development is also reported.

METHODS

Preclinical investigations

Syngeneic and non-syngeneic murine models

The pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic properties of M4112 were investigated in preclinical models by assessing the concentration of kynurenine and tryptophan in mice. Female adult C57BL/6 and BALB/c mice were inoculated subcutaneously with IDO1-expressing syngeneic murine colon carcinoma MC38 cells (0.5×10⁶ cells) and IDO1expressing CT26-KSA cells (1×10^{6} cells), respectively. The two cell lines did not express TDO2. When tumors reached an average volume of approximately 200-250 mm³, mice with MC38 tumors received a single, orally administered dose of M4112 (30, 75, or 200 mg/kg) or vehicle. When tumors reached an average volume of approximately 200-300 mm³, mice with CT26-KSA tumors received three doses of M4112 (100 mg/kg), selective IDO1 inhibitor MSC2574260 (100 mg/kg), or vehicle (0.1 mL). Mice were dosed at 0, 8, and 24 hours. The levels of M4112 and kynurenine, and the kynurenine:tryptophan ratio, in the plasma, liver, and tumors were then measured at 1, 2, 4, 8, and 24 hours after treatment using liquid chromatography with tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS).

Phase I trial design

This phase I, first-in-human, open-label, dose-escalation trial was conducted in patients with metastatic or locally advanced unresectable solid tumors. M4112 was given orally, two times per day in 28-day cycles, with three patients per cohort. The starting dose of 100 mg two times per day was determined from preclinical studies and pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic modeling of the predicted pharmacologic active dose range in humans. Patients

continued M4112 treatment until disease progression, unacceptable toxicity, or withdrawal of consent.

The primary objective was to determine the safety and tolerability or, if observed, the maximum tolerated dose (MTD), and to define the recommended phase II dose (RP2D) of M4112 monotherapy in patients with solid tumors. The secondary objectives included M4112 pharmacokinetic characterization, evaluation of preliminary clinical activity (via Response Evaluation Criteria In Solid Tumors (RECIST) version 1.1), and assessment of potential M4112 concentration-related QT prolongation. Exploratory objectives included evaluation of the effect of M4112 on kynurenine and tryptophan in the plasma and on IDO1 activity in ex vivo stimulated whole blood from M4112-treated patients.

Patients

Adults with histologically or cytologically advanced or metastatic solid tumors refractory to standard therapies or tumors for which no effective treatment was available were eligible. Other key inclusion criteria were Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status of 0 or 1, and an adequate hematologic and hepatic function. Key exclusion criteria included concomitant treatment with strong inhibitors or inducers of cytochrome P450 3A4. Full eligibility criteria are shown in the online supplementary information.

Assessments

To assess the safety of M4112 (primary endpoint), adverse events (AEs), dose-limiting toxicities (DLT), physical examination findings, vital sign measurements, ECG results, and changes in clinical laboratory parameters (chemistry, hematology, and coagulation) were recorded. Safety parameters were assessed from the first dose to the end of treatment. AEs were graded and classified by the investigator according to the National Cancer Institute Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events version 4.03. The MTD was based on the incidence of DLTs during cycle 1 (days 1–28). DLTs were generally defined as any grade \geq 3 AEs or immune-related AEs for non-hematologic toxicities and grade \geq 4 AEs for hematologic toxicities during cycle 1 at any dose level.

Blood samples for pharmacokinetic analysis were collected on days 1 and 15 of cycle 1 (predose and 0.5, 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, and 8 hours postdose), day 8 of cycle 1 (predose), and on day 1 of cycle 2 (predose and 2 hours postdose) (online supplementary figure 1). Plasma concentrations were determined by LC-MS/MS. The pharmacokinetics of M4112 were characterized by non-compartmental analyses (Phoenix WinNonlin version 6.4, Certara USA, Princeton, New Jersey, USA) for calculation of maximum observed concentration (C_{max}), time to C_{max} (t_{max}), and area under the drug concentration–time curve from 0 to 8 hours postdose (AUC₀₋₈). Accumulation (R_{acc}) was calculated for day 15/day 1 for C_{max} and AUC₀₋₈ as well as for dose-normalized values (C_{max} /dose and AUC₀₋₈/dose).



Figure 1 (A) M4112 levels and (B) the kyn:tryp ratio in mice bearing MC38 tumors treated with M4112 (30, 75, or 200 mg/kg) or vehicle. (C) Liver drug levels, kynurenine levels, and tryptophan levels in mice with IDO1-expressing CT26-KSA tumors treated orally with M4112 (100 mg/kg), IDO1-selective MSC2574260 (100 mg/kg), or vehicle (0.1 mL). For (A) and (B), plasma, liver, and tumor samples were collected at various timepoints. Data from vehicle-treated mice have been omitted for clarity. Also, for (A) and (B), levels were determined by LC-MS/MS, and data are presented as mean±SEM. The tumor kyn:tryp ratio after 4 hours was significantly different across all three dose levels compared with the vehicle group (p≤0.0001). For (C), liver drug levels and kynurenine and tryptophan levels were measured 2 hours after the last dose. In (C), the symbol ^(**) represents a significant difference relative to M4112 (p<0.05). The drug level of MSC2574260 was significantly different from the drug level of M4112 (p=0.0009). The liver kyn:tryp ratio was significantly different in the M4112 group compared with the vehicle group (p=0.0266) and the MSC2574260 group (p=0.0327). Data are representative of two independent experiments. IDO1, indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase 1; kyn, kynurenine; LC-MS/MS, liquid chromatography with tandem mass spectrometry; PK, pharmacokinetics; tryp, tryptophan.

Predose concentrations (C_{pre} and $C_{pre}/dose$) were determined for day 1, day 8, and day 15, and for day 1 of cycle 2. Time-matched corrected QT values were extracted for planned concentration-QT analysis.

6

To evaluate the pharmacodynamic activity of M4112, plasma samples were collected on day 1 (predose and 1, 2, 4, and 6 hours postdose), day 8 (predose), day 15 (predose and 1, 2, 4, and 6 hours postdose), and day

Table 1 Demographic characteristics: sa	fety analysis set
Characteristics	Total (N=15)
Sex, n (%)	
Male	2 (13.3)
Female	13 (86.7)
Race, n (%)	
White	12 (80.0)
Black or African–American	3 (20.0)
Age, years	
Median (range)	57.0 (37–77)
ECOG performance status, n (%)	
0	4 (26.7)
1	11 (73.3)
Number of prior anticancer drug regimens	*, n
≤2	1
3–5	9
6+	5
Fight of 15 potients had reasized redictherapy	and all nationts had

Eight of 15 patients had received radiotherapy and all patients had undergone anticancer surgery.

*Prior anticancer drug regimens include cytotoxic therapy, endocrine therapy, hormone therapy, or immunotherapy, or treatment with investigational agents, monoclonal antibodies, or small molecules.

ECOG, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group.

1 of cycle 2 (predose and 2 hours postdose) (online supplementary figure 1). Concentrations of kynurenine and tryptophan were measured by LC-MS/MS and kynurenine:tryptophan ratios were calculated accordingly. In addition, to assess the activity of M4112 against IDO1, blood samples were drawn directly into TruCulture blood collection tubes (Myriad RBM, Austin, Texas, USA) and preloaded with 100 ng/mL lipopolysaccharide (LPS) to stimulate IDO1; whole blood samples were collected on day 1 (predose and 1, 2, 4, and 6 hours postdose), day 8 (predose), day 15 (predose and 1, 2, 4, and 6 hours postdose), and day 1 of cycle 2 (predose and 2 hours postdose). Tubes were incubated at 37°C for 24 hours before measuring kynurenine levels by LC-MS/MS. A predose blood sample was also collected into tubes without preloaded LPS as background. Inhibition of IDO1 was calculated by measurement of the decrease in kynurenine level compared with the predose level. All patients who received treatment with M4112 were included in the safety, pharmacokinetic, and pharmacodynamic analysis sets.

CT or MRI scans were performed at baseline and within 7 days prior to day 1 of cycles 3, 5, and 7, and every three cycles thereafter to assess tumor response. Objective response, best overall response, disease control rate, and progression-free survival were assessed by the investigator according to RECIST version 1.1.²⁷

Statistical analysis

Decisions on dose escalation were made by the Safety Monitoring Committee based on recommendations from a Bayesian two-parameter logistic regression model. The model was updated with the number of evaluable patients and DLTs observed after completion of the DLT period for each cohort. Recommendations on the next dose level were based on the posterior distribution of toxicity. The primary analysis assessed the number and percentage of DLTs, performed on the DLT analysis set by dose level. The MTD was defined using a Bayesian two-parameter logistic regression model with a target toxicity of 30%. Descriptive statistics were used for baseline characteristics, safety assessments, pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic data, and efficacy endpoints. The 95% exact Clopper-Pearson CI was used for binary efficacy endpoints. The Kaplan-Meier method was used for survival endpoints. Dose proportionality of pharmacokinetic parameters was evaluated statistically using a power model based on the original parameters (ln[pharmacokinetic parameter]= α + β ×ln[dose]).

RESULTS

Preclinical studies

To assess the level of target engagement in vivo by M4112, kynurenine and tryptophan levels were measured in the plasma, liver, and tumors of mice. In mice with MC38 colon tumors expressing IDO1, the concentration of M4112 in the tumor peaked 1–2 hours post-treatment (figure 1A). By 24 hours post-treatment, the concentration of M4112 in the tumor decreased to baseline levels. A similar pharmacokinetic profile was also observed in the liver and the plasma. However, exposure to M4112 was approximately 10-fold higher in the liver compared with both the plasma and the tumor. In MC38 tumor-bearing mice, M4112 at all three dose levels (30, 75, or 200 mg/kg) significantly decreased the kynurenine:tryptophan ratio in the tumor 4 hours after M4112 treatment compared with vehicle (p<0.001) (figure 1B). A dose-dependent reduction in the kynurenine:tryptophan ratio was also often observed in the liver and plasma of mice that received M4112. In mice with IDO1-expressing CT26-KSA tumors, M4112 significantly decreased the kynurenine:tryptophan ratio in the liver after 2 hours compared with vehicle (p=0.0266) and MSC2574260 (p=0.0327) (figure 1C). Although exposure to MSC2574260 (the selective IDO1 inhibitor) was significantly higher in the liver compared with exposure to M4112 (p=0.0009), MSC2574260 failed to significantly modulate the kynurenine:tryptophan ratio.

Patient characteristics

Fifteen patients with advanced solid tumors were enrolled (table 1). Most patients were women (86.7%) and the median age was 57.0 years (range 37–77 years). All patients had received chemotherapy and eight (53.3%) patients had received radiotherapy. Patients (N=15) received one of the

						800 mg
		100 mg	200 mg	400 mg	600 mg	two
	Total	two times	two times	two times	two times	times per day
AE, n (%)	(N=15)	(n=3)	(n=3)	(n=3)	(n=3)	(n=3)
Any AE	15 (100)	3 (100)	3 (100)	3 (100)	3 (100)	3 (100)
Any SAE	3 (20.0)	0	0	1 (33.3)	1 (33.3)	1 (33.3)
Fatigue	5 (33.3)	2 (66.7)	0 (0.0)	1 (33.3)	1 (33.3)	1 (33.3)
Nausea	4 (26.7)	1 (33.3)	1 (33.3)	0 (0.0)	1 (33.3)	1 (33.3)
Vomiting	4 (26.7)	2 (66.7)	1 (33.3)	0 (0.0)	1 (33.3)	0 (0.0)
Blood creatine phosphokinase increased	3 (20.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (33.3)	1 (33.3)	0 (0.0)	1 (33.3)
Blood creatinine increased	3 (20.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (33.3)	1 (33.3)	1 (33.3)
Cough	3 (20.0)	1 (33.3)	1 (33.3)	1 (33.3)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Decreased appetite	3 (20.0)	1 (33.3)	0 (0.0)	1 (33.3)	0 (0.0)	1 (33.3)
Diarrhea	3 (20.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (33.3)	0 (0.0)	2 (66.7)	0 (0.0)
Lipase increased	3 (20.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (33.3)	0 (0.0)	2 (66.7)	0 (0.0)
Abdominal distension	2 (13.3)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (33.3)	1 (33.3)	0 (0.0)
Abdominal pain	2 (13.3)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (33.3)	1 (33.3)	0 (0.0)
Alanine aminotransferase increased	2 (13.3)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (33.3)	0 (0.0)	1 (33.3)
Amylase increased	2 (13.3)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (33.3)	1 (33.3)	0 (0.0)
Anemia of malignant disease	2 (13.3)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (33.3)	1 (33.3)	0 (0.0)
Aspartate aminotransferase increased	2 (13.3)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	2 (66.7)
Blood alkaline phosphatase increased	2 (13.3)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (33.3)	1 (33.3)
Dehydration	2 (13.3)	0 (0.0)	1 (33.3)	0 (0.0)	1 (33.3)	0 (0.0)
Dyspepsia	2 (13.3)	2 (66.7)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Dysphagia	2 (13.3)	1 (33.3)	0 (0.0)	1 (33.3)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Gamma-glutamyl transferase increased	2 (13.3)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (33.3)	1 (33.3)
Hypercalcemia	2 (13.3)	0 (0.0)	1 (33.3)	1 (33.3)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Lymphocyte count decreased	2 (13.3)	0 (0.0)	1 (33.3)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (33.3)
Palmar-plantar erythrodysesthesia syndrome	2 (13.3)	1 (33.3)	0 (0.0)	1 (33.3)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Platelet count decreased	2 (13.3)	0 (0.0)	1 (33.3)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (33.3)
Fever	2 (13.3)	0 (0.0)	1 (33.3)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (33.3)
Maculopapular rash*	2 (13.3)	1 (33.3)	0 (0.0)	1 (33.3)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Tumor pain	2 (13.3)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (33.3)	1 (33.3)	0 (0.0)

Table 2 TEAE occurring in two or more patients at any grade and SAE occurring in one or more patients (safety analysis set)

All TEAEs were grade 3 or lower. Grade 3 TEAEs were experienced by a total of 6 patients within the 400, 600, and 800 mg M4112 two times per day cohorts.

*MedDRA preferred term: rash maculopapular.

AE, adverse event; MedDRA, Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities; SAE, serious adverse event; TEAE, treatment-emergent adverse event.

following doses of M4112: 100, 200, 400, 600, or 800 mg two times per day orally (three patients per cohort).

Safety

All 15 patients were included in the safety analyses. The median duration of M4112 exposure was 16.0 weeks (range 2.7–44.0 weeks). During cycle 1 (DLT assessment period), one patient in the 800 mg two times per day arm experienced a DLT (grade 3 allergic dermatitis). Another patient dosed at 800 mg two times per day developed a

grade 2 QT prolongation, resulting in a dose reduction; this event did not meet the DLT criteria. Following the DLT in the 800 mg two times per day arm, the SMC recommended reducing the dose level of M4112 to 600 mg two times per day for the next patient cohort (n=3); no DLTs were observed at this dose level. Two patients in the 600 mg two times per day dose group had dose reductions due to AEs (one grade 3 diarrhea and one grade 3 rash after the DLT period). There were no deaths

Table 3 Pharmacokinetic parameters	0				
	Geometric mean (CV%)				
Parameter	100 mg two times per day (n=3)	200 mg two times per day (n=3)	400 mg two times per day (n=3)	600 mg two times per day (n=3)	800 mg two times per day (n=3)
Cycle 1, day 1	n=3	n=3	n=3	n=3	n=3
AUC ₀₋₈ (ngxh/mL)	3550 (14.4)	8740 (13.6)	27,700 (21.4)	50,400 (7.7)	14,600 (85.1)
AUC ₀₋₈ /dose (ngxh/mL/mg)	35.5 (14.4)	43.7 (13.6)	69.1 (21.4)	84.0 (7.7)	18.2 (85.1)
C _{max} (ng/mL)	806 (9.9)	2110 (13.6)	7670 (39.6)	11,700 (24.6)	2770 (83.6)
C _{max} /dose (ng/mL/mg)	8.06 (9.9)	10.5 (13.6)	19.2 (39.6)	19.6 (24.6)	3.47 (83.6)
t_{max} , hours (min, max)*	1.08 (1.08, 2.12)	2.10 (2.07, 3.08)	1.08 (1.07, 1.08)	1.00 (0.97, 1.07)	2.08 (2.07, 4.12)
Cycle 1, day 8	n=3	n=3	n=3	n=3	n=3
C _{pre} (ng/mL)	156 (58.2)	96.5 (85.0)	1240 (51.6)	4410 (54.5)	5200 (105.4)
C _{pre} /dose (ng/mL/mg)	1.56 (58.2)	0.482 (85.0)	3.11 (51.6)	7.35 (54.5)	6.50 (105.4)
Cycle 1, day 15	n=3	n=3	n=3	n=3	n=1
AUC ₀₋₈ (ngxh/mL)	4790 (25.4)	7580 (11.5)	31,500 (28.8)	59,500 (12.7)	93,200†
AUC ₀₋₈ /dose (ngxh/mL/mg)	47.9 (25.4)	37.9 (11.5)	78.8 (28.8)	99.2 (12.7)	116†
C _{max} (ng/mL)	975 (34.9)	1720 (20.5)	6560 (27.4)	12,100 (2.2)	21,100†
C _{max} /dose (ng/mL/mg)	9.75 (34.9)	8.58 (20.5)	16.4 (27.4)	20.2 (2.2)	26.4†
t _{max} , hour (min, max)*	3.08 (2.12, 4.13)	2.10 (1.00, 6.08)	1.08 (0.50, 3.00)	1.03 (0.93, 2.17)	2.00†
R _{acc(AUC0-8)}	1.35 (11.4)	0.868 (15.3)	1.14 (50.1)	1.18 (9.4)	4.67†
Racc(Cmax)	1.21 (24.8)	0.815 (7.0)	0.856 (66.8)	1.03 (23.0)	5.26†
C _{pre} (ng/mL)	221 (20.5)	199 (53.9)	1630 (45.7)	3380 (42.4)	9810†
C _{pre} /dose (ng/mL/mg)	2.21 (20.5)	0.997 (53.9)	4.08 (45.7)	5.63 (42.4)	12.3†
Cycle 2, day 1	n=3	n=2	n=3	n=2	n=1
C _{pre} (ng/mL)	128 (67.7)	83.3, 85.2*	957 (72.1)	1910, 2560†	8560†
C _{pre} /dose (ng/mL/mg)	1.28 (67.7)	0.417, 0.426*	2.39 (72.1)	3.18, 4.27†	10.7†
*Descriptive statistics were not calculated : tt_{max} data are presented as median (min, m	since n<3; values presented are iax).	individual.			

AUC₀₋₈, area under the drug concentration-time curve from 0 to 8 hours postdose; C_{max}, maximum observed concentration; C_{pm}, predose concentrations; CV%, coefficient of variation (in %); R_{aceAuco-8}), accumulation of M4112 AUC₀₋₈; R_{aceComax}), accumulation of M4112 C_{max}; time to C_{max}.

6



Figure 2 Mean M4112 plasma concentrations on cycle 1 (A) day 1 and (B) day 15, by dose cohort. Arithmetic mean values (±SD) are shown.

reported during the dose-escalation phase. The Bayesian model estimated the median probability of a DLT occurring at 800 mg to be 20.5%, with a 95% credible interval of 3.1%–62.2%. Given that the estimate was clearly below the target value of 30% and that the credible interval was quite wide, no MTD was defined. The RP2D was also not established.

The most common treatment-emergent AEs (TEAEs) that occurred in ≥ 2 patients (at any grade) are presented in table 2. The most frequently reported TEAEs were fatigue (33.3%), nausea (26.7%), and vomiting (26.7%). Three patients, one from each of the 400, 600, and 800 mg two times per day cohorts, experienced a serious TEAE during the trial; these included grade 3 disease progression (unrelated to treatment), grade 2 ascites (unrelated to treatment) and grade 3 allergic dermatitis (related to treatment). Two (13.3%) patients discontinued M4112 treatment due to TEAEs: one patient in the 400 mg two times per day cohort discontinued due to disease progression; and the patient on 800 mg two times per day who experienced a DLT discontinued due to allergic dermatitis.

Pharmacokinetics

Pharmacokinetic evaluations were based on all 15 patients who received M4112 treatment. A summary of the mean pharmacokinetic measurements is presented in table 3. The pharmacokinetics of M4112 were characterized by a t_{max} of approximately 1-3 hours across all dose levels on both days 1 and 15. The mean M4112 plasma concentration curves on cycle 1 day 1 and day 15 are shown in figure 2. The mean exposure of M4112 increased in a greater than dose-proportional manner from 100 to 600 mg two times per day (slope estimate of 1.46–1.67) on day 15 (figure 2B). M4112 exposure for the 800 mg two times per day cohort was initially lower than that of the other dose groups (cycle 1, day 1). However, by day 15, exposure for the 800 mg two times per day dose group increased in the one patient that continued with this regimen. There was no appreciable accumulation or evidence of autoinduction following two times per day dosing over the 100-600 mg dose range.

Pharmacodynamics

Following M4112 administration, a rapid onset of IDO1 inhibition in ex vivo stimulated blood was observed across



Figure 3 Dotplots* of (A) baseline normalized kynurenine (% baseline) versus dose level on cycle 1, day 15 predose (steady state) in ex vivo stimulated whole blood, and (B) baseline normalized plasma kynurenine, tryptophan, and kyn:tryp ratio versus dose level on cycle 1, day 1, 6 hours postdose[†] and (C) on cycle 1, day 15 predose. *All data are shown with locally weighted scatterplot smoothing (lowess) lines. [†]One data point from the 800 mg two times per day group lies above the range of the axis. kyn, kynurenine; tryp, tryptophan.

all doses tested (figure 3A). Maximum inhibition was achieved 1–4 hours post-M4112 administration. There was a trend toward dose-dependent IDO1 inhibition at steady state. Approximately 90% of IDO1 activity was inhibited throughout the dosing interval at 800 mg two times per day.



Figure 4 Swimmer plot showing best response and duration of M4112 therapy. *One patient was identified as having a synovial sarcoma of the right psoas muscle. BID, two times per day.

A small yet consistent decrease in plasma kynurenine levels (12%–35%) was observed on day 1 of cycle 1 (up to 6 hours post-M4112 treatment) after the first dose was administered for all dose levels investigated (figure 3B). Plasma tryptophan levels increased on day 1 of cycle 1 following doses of 200 mg two times per day and above. The increase in plasma tryptophan levels was largely responsible for the decrease in the kynurenine:tryptophan ratio observed after 6 hours. However, on day 15 of cycle 1, kynurenine levels had returned to at least baseline levels (figure 3C). Based on these findings, it was decided to discontinue the clinical study due to the insufficient pharmacodynamic effect observed.

Preliminary antitumor activity

The best overall response was stable disease in 9 of 15 (60.0%) patients, and the median progression-free survival was 3.7 months. There were no objective responses. Figure 4 shows individual patient responses to treatment. There was no indication of a relationship between the dose of M4112 and disease control or duration of progression-free survival.

DISCUSSION

The preclinical investigations demonstrated promising activity: M4112 significantly and dose-dependently reduced the kynurenine:tryptophan ratio in IDO1expressing MC38 colorectal tumor-bearing mice. Furthermore, compared with a selective IDO1 inhibitor, M4112 demonstrated a greatly enhanced ability to reduce the kynurenine:tryptophan ratio in liver tissue. Since the liver is an organ with significant TDO2 expression, these findings support M4112 acting as a dual inhibitor of IDO1 and TDO2. Together, these data supported the phase I investigation of the dual IDO1 and TDO2 inhibitor, M4112.

This phase I trial is the first clinical investigation to evaluate a dual IDO1/TDO2 inhibitor. The primary endpoint of the trial was to evaluate the safety and tolerability of M4112 and to define the RP2D. M4112 did not result in any serious safety concerns at doses up to 800 mg two times per day in patients with advanced solid tumors. However, due to the early termination of the study, neither the MTD nor the RP2D was established. One patient treated with M4112 800 mg two times per day experienced a serious TEAE and DLT of grade 3 allergic dermatitis with fever and hypotension. This was considered an acute allergic drug reaction to M4112. Treatment was withdrawn and the DLT resolved within 6 hours. Serious TEAEs that occurred in two additional patients were not considered related to M4112 and no deaths were reported during the dose-escalation phase of the trial.

Mean exposure of M4112 increased in a greater than dose-proportional manner from 100 to 600 mg two times per day. Interestingly, exposure for the 800 mg two times per day group was initially much lower than for the other treatment cohorts. However, by day 15, exposure increased toward the expected range in the one patient who continued this treatment regimen. As patient numbers were small, further study is needed to explain this result.

With respect to pharmacodynamics, M4112 (at all dose levels tested) rapidly inhibited IDO1 activity in ex vivo whole blood stimulated with LPS. Moreover, in the 800 mg two times per day dose cohort, IDO1 activity was inhibited by approximately 90%. M4112 initially increased tryptophan (at or above 200 mg two times per day) and decreased kynurenine (following the first dose of M4112) in plasma. However, in contrast to IDO1-selective inhibitors such as epacadostat, the decrease of kynurenine in plasma was transient, and by day 15 of cycle 1 levels of kynurenine had returned to or exceeded baseline.²⁸ It is unclear why plasma kynurenine levels did not significantly decrease at steady state despite inhibition of IDO1 activity in ex vivo stimulated whole blood. Potential explanations for this could be insufficient drug distribution to relevant IDO1expressing and TDO2-expressing tissues, or the existence of unknown compensatory mechanisms. As there was no significant reduction of plasma kynurenine at steady state, it was decided not to continue the study.

Antitumor results showed a best overall response of stable disease, which was observed in nine patients (60%)and a progression-free survival of 3.7 months. It is worth reiterating that patients with advanced cancer were enrolled and a majority of these patients had tumor types that are typically unresponsive to immunotherapy.²⁹ Also, IDO1 inhibition alone rarely exerts a strong antitumor response; for selective IDO1 inhibitors like epacadostat, indoximod, and navoximod, stable disease is also the best overall response reported in early phase I studies.^{28 30 31} One possible explanation is that tumors evade immune surveillance through multiple mechanisms. A dual inhibitor of the IDO1 and TDO2 pathway may thus be insufficient to provoke an antitumor response when administered as a single agent because of other inhibitory signaling pathways that are used by the tumor. The rationale for combination with other immuno-oncology agents will need to be explored to determine if this approach increases efficacy. Also, it is still unclear if kynurenine and tryptophan levels in the blood of patients do reliably and accurately represent target engagement of M4112 in tumors.

One limitation of the clinical study was that patient tumor biopsies were not obtained. Therefore, neither changes in IDO/TDO expression nor changes in the tumor microenvironment could be evaluated.

Further investigations regarding the pharmacodynamics, safety, and efficacy of M4112 in combination with checkpoint inhibitors are also warranted.

Author affiliations

¹Investigational Cancer Therapeutics, University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, Texas, USA

²Early Drug Development, Yale Cancer Institute, New Haven, Connecticut, USA ³Global Clinical Development Oncology, Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Hessen, Germany ⁴Clinical Pharmacology and Pharmacokinetics, Nuventra, Durham, North Carolina, USA

⁵Clinical Biomarkers and Companion Diagnostics, EMD Serono, Billerica, Massachusetts, USA; a business of Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany

⁶Global Patient Safety, Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Hessen, Germany

⁷NCE DMPK, EMD Serono, Billerica, Massachusetts, USA; a business of Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany

⁸Global Biostatistics, Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Hessen, Germany

⁹Translational Innovation Platform Immuno-oncology, EMD Serono, Billerica, Massachusetts, USA; a business of Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany ¹⁰Hematology/Oncology, South Texas Accelerated Research Therapeutics (START), San Antonio, Texas, USA

Twitter Aung Naing @AnaingMD

Acknowledgements The authors would like to thank the patients and their families, investigators, coinvestigators, and study teams at each of the participating centers and at EMD Serono, a business of Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany. They also thank IQVIA for supporting this clinical trial. The authors would also like to thank Markus Fluck (Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany) for contributing to the pharmacodynamic data that are presented in this manuscript, and also Lu Li for contributing to the safety data that are presented in this manuscript. Medical writing assistance was provided by David Lester, Bioscript Science, Macclesfield, UK.

Contributors Conception and design: AN, CG and JM. Development of methodology: SZ. Acquisition of data (provided animals, acquired and managed patients, provided facilities, and so on): AN, JPE, SAP-P, KPP and SZ. Analysis and interpretation of data (eg, statistical analysis, biostatistics, computational analysis): AN, SZ, CH and JM. Writing, review, and/or revision of the manuscript: AN, JPE, SAP-P, CG, EH, SZ, VHi, VHo, CH, JM and KPP. Administrative, technical, or material support (ie, reporting or organizing data, constructing databases) and study supervision: CG.

Funding The trial was sponsored by Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany. Medical writing assistance was also funded by Merck KGaA.

Competing interests AN has received research grants from Amplimmune, ARMO BioSciences, Atterocor, BMS, Calithera Biosciences, CytomX Therapeutics, EMD Serono, Healios Oncology Nutrition, Immune Deficiency Foundation, Incyte, Karyopharm Therapeutics, MedImmune, Merck, NCI, Neon Therapeutics, Novartis, Pfizer, Regeneron, and TopAlliance BioSciences. JPE has received consultancy fees from Roche/Genentech. SAP-P has received research funding from AbbVie, Alkermes, Aminex Therapeutics, BioMarin Pharmaceutical, Boehringer Ingelheim, Bristol Myers Squibb, Cerulean Pharma, Curis, Five Prime Therapeutics, FLX Bio, Genmab, GlaxoSmithKline, Helix BioPharma, Incyte, Jacobio Pharmaceuticals, Medimmune, Medivation, Merck Sharp and Dohme, Novartis Pharmaceuticals, Pattern Pharma, Pieris Pharmaceuticals, Pfizer, Principia Biopharma, Puma Biotechnology, Seattle Genetics, Taiho Oncology, Tesaro, TransThera Biosciences and XuanZhu Pharma. CG and CH are employees of Merck KGaA. EH, an employees of Nuventra, provided consultancy to EMD Serono. SZ, VHo and JM are employees of EMD Serono. KPP has received research funding from EMD Serono and Incyte.

Patient consent for publication Not required.

Ethics approval The trial was conducted according to Good Clinical Practice guidelines, the Declaration of Helsinki, and applicable regulations. Before the study could commence at a given site, the clinical study protocol had to be submitted together with its associated documents to the responsible Independent Ethics Committee or Institutional Review Board for its favorable opinion or approval, which will be filed in the Investigator Site File. This study was approved by the Western IRB (IRB tracking number: 20172687; board action date: May 1, 2018), IntegReview IRB (START2017.14; board action date: September 7, 2017) and The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center IRB (MDACC protocol ID #: 2017-0549; official IRB approval date: September 28, 2017). Patients provided written, informed consent prior to enrollment.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data availability statement Data are available upon reasonable request. Any requests for data by qualified scientific and medical researchers for legitimate research purposes will be subject to Merck's Data Sharing Policy. All requests should be submitted in writing to Merck's data sharing portal (https://www.merckgroup.com/en/research/our-approach-to-research-and-development/ healthcare/clinical-trials/commitment-responsible-data-sharing.html). When Merck has a co-research, co-development, or co-marketing or co-promotion agreement, or when the product has been out-licensed, the responsibility for disclosure might be dependent on the agreement between parties. Under these circumstances, Merck will endeavor to gain agreement to share data in response to requests.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.

ORCID iDs

Aung Naing http://orcid.org/0000-0002-4803-8513 Kyriakos P Papadopoulos http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0667-2620

REFERENCES

- 1 Naing A. Being realistic and optimistic in curing cancer. J Immunother Precis Oncol 2018;1:53–5.
- 2 Hodi FS, O'Day SJ, McDermott DF, *et al.* Improved survival with ipilimumab in patients with metastatic melanoma. *N Engl J Med* 2010;363:711–23.
- 3 Yu C-P, Song Y-L, Zhu Z-M, et al. Targeting TDO in cancer immunotherapy. *Med Oncol* 2017;34:73.
- 4 Taylor MW, Feng GS. Relationship between interferon-gamma, indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase, and tryptophan catabolism. *Faseb J* 1991;5:2516–22.
- 5 Prendergast GC. Immune escape as a fundamental trait of cancer: focus on IDO. *Oncogene* 2008;27:3889–900.
- 6 Munn DH, Mellor AL. Indoleamine 2,3 dioxygenase and metabolic control of immune responses. *Trends Immunol* 2013;34:137–43.
- 7 Ho P-C, Liu P-S. Metabolic communication in tumors: a new layer of immunoregulation for immune evasion. *J Immunother Cancer* 2016;4:4.
- 8 van Baren N, Van den Eynde BJ. Tumoral immune resistance mediated by enzymes that degrade tryptophan. *Cancer Immunol Res* 2015;3:978–85.
- 9 Platten M, von Knebel Doeberitz N, Oezen I, et al. Cancer immunotherapy by targeting IDO1/TDO and their downstream effectors. Front Immunol 2014;5:673.
- 10 Metz R, Rust S, Duhadaway JB, et al. IDO inhibits a tryptophan sufficiency signal that stimulates mTOR: a novel IDO effector pathway targeted by D-1-methyl-tryptophan. Oncoimmunology 2012;1:1460–8.
- 11 Munn DH, Sharma MD, Baban B, et al. GCN2 kinase in T cells mediates proliferative arrest and anergy induction in response to indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase. *Immunity* 2005;22:633–42.
- 12 Okamoto A, Nikaido T, Ochiai K, et al. Indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase serves as a marker of poor prognosis in gene expression profiles of serous ovarian cancer cells. *Clin Cancer Res* 2005;11:6030–9.
- 13 Brody JR, Costantino CL, Berger AC, et al. Expression of indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase in metastatic malignant melanoma recruits regulatory T cells to avoid immune detection and affects survival. Cell Cycle 2009;8:1930–4.
- 14 Opitz CA, Litzenburger UM, Sahm F, et al. An endogenous tumourpromoting ligand of the human aryl hydrocarbon receptor. Nature 2011;478:197–203.

Open access

- 15 Ninomiya S, Hara T, Tsurumi H, *et al*. Indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase in tumor tissue indicates prognosis in patients with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma treated with R-CHOP. *Ann Hematol* 2011;90:409–16.
- 16 Inaba T, Ino K, Kajiyama H, et al. Indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase expression predicts impaired survival of invasive cervical cancer patients treated with radical hysterectomy. *Gynecol Oncol* 2010;117:423–8.
- 17 Astigiano S, Morandi B, Costa R, et al. Eosinophil granulocytes account for indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase-mediated immune escape in human non-small cell lung cancer. Neoplasia 2005;7:390–6.
- 18 Ferdinande L, Decaestecker C, Verset L, et al. Clinicopathological significance of indolearnine 2,3-dioxygenase 1 expression in colorectal cancer. Br J Cancer 2012;106:141–7.
- 19 Brandacher G, Perathoner A, Ladurner R, et al. Prognostic value of indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase expression in colorectal cancer: effect on tumor-infiltrating T cells. *Clin Cancer Res* 2006;12:1144–51.
- 20 Ino K, Yoshida N, Kajiyama H, et al. Indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase is a novel prognostic indicator for endometrial cancer. Br J Cancer 2006;95:1555–61.
- 21 Pilotte L, Larrieu P, Stroobant V, *et al.* Reversal of tumoral immune resistance by inhibition of tryptophan 2,3-dioxygenase. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2012;109:2497–502.
- 22 D'Amato NC, Rogers TJ, Gordon MA, *et al.* A TDO2-AhR signaling axis facilitates anoikis resistance and metastasis in triple-negative breast cancer. *Cancer Res* 2015;75:4651–64.
- 23 Hsu Y-L, Hung J-Y, Chiang S-Y, et al. Lung cancer-derived galectin-1 contributes to cancer associated fibroblast-mediated cancer

progression and immune suppression through TDO2/kynurenine axis. Oncotarget 2016;7:27584–98.

- 24 Chen I-C, Lee K-H, Hsu Y-H, et al. Expression pattern and clinicopathological relevance of the indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase 1/ tryptophan 2,3-dioxygenase protein in colorectal cancer. *Dis Markers* 2016;2016:1–9.
- 25 Jin H, Zhang Y, You H, et al. Prognostic significance of kynurenine 3-monooxygenase and effects on proliferation, migration, and invasion of human hepatocellular carcinoma. Sci Rep 2015;5:10466.
- 26 van Baren N, Van den Eynde BJ. Tryptophan-degrading enzymes in tumoral immune resistance. *Front Immunol* 2015;6:34.
- 27 Eisenhauer EA, Therasse P, Bogaerts J, *et al*. New response evaluation criteria in solid tumours: revised RECIST guideline (version 1.1). *Eur J Cancer* 2009;45:228–47.
- 28 Beatty GL, O'Dwyer PJ, Clark J, et al. First-In-Human phase I study of the oral inhibitor of indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase-1 epacadostat (INCB024360) in patients with advanced solid malignancies. *Clin Cancer Res* 2017;23:3269–76.
- 29 Ventola CL. Cancer immunotherapy, part 3: challenges and future trends. P T 2017;42:514–21.
- 30 Soliman HH, Minton SE, Han HS, et al. A phase I study of indoximod in patients with advanced malignancies. Oncotarget 2016;7:22928–38.
- 31 Nayak-Kapoor A, Hao Z, Sadek R, et al. Phase la study of the indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase 1 (IDO1) inhibitor navoximod (GDC-0919) in patients with recurrent advanced solid tumors. J Immunother Cancer 2018;6:61.