

GOPEN ACCESS

Citation: Huang C-Y, Wei Z-H (2015) Concentric Magnetic Structures for Magnetophoretic Bead Collection, Cell Trapping and Analysis of Cell Morphological Changes Caused by Local Magnetic Forces. PLoS ONE 10(8): e0135299. doi:10.1371/ journal.pone.0135299

Editor: Vipul Bansal, RMIT University, AUSTRALIA

Received: March 19, 2015

Accepted: July 20, 2015

Published: August 13, 2015

Copyright: © 2015 Huang, Wei. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution License</u>, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the paper and its Supporting Information files.

Funding: This work was supported by the Ministry of Science and Technology, Taiwan, Grant Numbers NSC 102-2112-M-007-012-MY3, NSC 103-2221-E-007-017-MY2.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Concentric Magnetic Structures for Magnetophoretic Bead Collection, Cell Trapping and Analysis of Cell Morphological Changes Caused by Local Magnetic Forces

Chen-Yu Huang, Zung-Hang Wei*

Department of Power Mechanical Engineering, National Tsing Hua University, Hsinchu City, Taiwan

* wei@pme.nthu.edu.tw

Abstract

Concentric magnetic structures (ring and square) with domain wall (DW) pinning geometry are designed for biological manipulation. Magnetic beads collection was firstly demonstrated to analyse the local magnetic field generated by DWs and the effective regions to capture magnetic targets of size 1 µm. Primary mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) are magnetically labeled by internalizing poly (styrene sulfonic acid) stabilized magnetic nanoparticles (PSS-MNPs) and then are selectively trapped by head-to-tail DWs (HH DWs) or tail-to-tail DWs (TT DWs) to be arranged into linear shape or cross shape. The morphologies and the nuclear geometry of the cells growing on two kinds of concentric magnetic structures are shown to be distinctive. The intracellular magnetic forces generated by the local magnetic field of DWs are found to influence the behaviour of cells.

Introduction

To manipulate microscale particles in heterogeneous suspensions can be significant for biomedical applications. The particles can be tagged to specific targets if functionalized with molecules on their surfaces, and assist in separating, sorting and assembling for high-throughput analysis or lab-on-a-chip (or μ TAS) applications. [1–4] Techniques have been developed for accomplishing the manipulation of fluid-borne particles; for instances, microfluid flow,[5] magnetic,[6] electric,[7] optical,[8] or acoustic force.[9] Specifically, the exposure of magnetic force to biological systems cause little adverse effects, which make it an attractive approach for the biomedical experiments.

The essential principle of manipulating magnetic particle involves the magnetophoresis process driven by inhomogeneous magnetic fields, which should overcome the opposing forces like Brownian motion or viscous drag. In standard practices, high-gradient magnetic separators (HGMS) or NdFeB permanent magnet are usually used for magnetophoretic separation. However, these strategies usually concentrate targets into large aggregates, which have been said to impact the structure integrity of biological sample to cause physical damages and interfere with the recognition of fluorescent signals from the targets. Therefore, methods to modulate magnetic field at the microscale would be more favourable for biological manipulation. Previously, studies have revealed that the magnetization in soft ferromagnetic elements with high shape anisotropy would align along the boundary and be constrained geometrically; therefore, domain walls (DWs) would form to reduce the magnetostatic energy and act as tiny magnets to generate local stray fields. [10] Based on the concept, adjusting the design of magnetic elements during fabrication can be a potential strategy to modulate local magnetic field for the purpose of manipulation.

In this study, concentric magnetic structures (ring and square) with constricted geometry were designed to form stable DWs for biological manipulation. Magnetic beads collection was firstly demonstrated to analyse the local magnetic field generated by DWs and the effective regions to capture microbeads of size 1 μ m. Mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) were magnetically labeled by internalizing PSS stabilized magnetic nanoparticles (PSS-MNPs) and were trapped by DWs individually. The trapped cells were cultured, and their morphologies were analysed to see the local magnetic field of DWs interact with the behaviour of cells.

Materials and Methods

Design and fabrication of the magnetic structure devices

Photolithography and e-beam evaporation were used to prepare concentric magnetic structures on glass substrate and followed by a lift-off technique. Since thick magnetic film tends to disassociate into multiple domains, five separate stacked thin film layers that each consist of 30 nm iron magnetic layer spaced with 10 nm titanium non-magnetic layer were used to assures the homogeneity of *N*'*eel* walls throughout the element and stabilize the quasi-uniform state of the magnetized square frame.[11] The aspect ratio of the length over the line width of the frame is chosen to keep the uniformity of the magnetization.

Magnetophoretic bead collection by domain walls (DWs)

Microbeads (Dynabead MyOne Carboxylic Acid; Invitrogen) were applied to realize the effective capture region, magnetic gradient and magnetic force of the stray field generated by DWs in concentric structures.[12] The trajectories of the microbeads were tracked, and the displacements were analyzed. The velocities were decomposed into parallel component (v_{\parallel}) and perpendicular component (v_{\perp}) with respect to the reference axis determined from the trajectories (S1 Fig). For those microbeads that were far from the DWs, the motion should be mostly affected by Brownian diffusion forces while less affected by magnetic forces; therefore, both v_{\parallel} and v_{\parallel} fluctuated. While for the microbeads that were attracted by the DWs, v_{\parallel} gradually increased and v_{\perp} did not change obviously. The average magnetic force in the capture zone and acceleration region were estimated by $F_{mag} = ma_{\parallel} + F_{drag}$ where the hydrodynamic drag force (F_{drag}) opposing the magnetic force (F_{mag}) , m is the mass of the particle and a_{\parallel} is the acceleration derived from v_{\parallel} versus time. The hydrodynamic drag force is expressed as $F_{drag} = 6\pi\eta r_{bead}v_{\parallel}$, here η (= 10⁻³ Pa·s) is the viscosity of the water, r_{bead} is the radius of the bead (= 0.525 µm). The relationship between magnetic force and the magnetic gradient of the magnetic microbead can be expressed as, $F_{mag} = \frac{V_Z}{\mu_0} (B \bullet \nabla) B$, where μ_0 is the magnetic permeability in vacuum, and V is the volume of magnetic bead, and χ is the volumetric susceptibility (= 1.44).[13] Furthermore, since there are no time-varying electric fields or currents in the medium, $\nabla \times B = 0$ can be applied to obtain $F_{mag} = \frac{V_{\chi}}{2\mu_0} \nabla B^2$, [14] in which the magnetic force is related to the gradient of the square of magnetic induction *B*. We then study the gradient ∇B^2 as an indirect but objective measurement of the magnetic force.

Magnetic nanoparticles for magnetic cell labeling

The poly (styrene sulfonic acid) (PSS, M.W. = 75,000; Alfa Aesar) stabilized iron oxide magnetic nanoparticles (PSS-MNPs) were synthesized by directly precipitating iron oxide in the presence of PSS. PSS can self-assemble into micelles in the aqueous solution due to the hydrophilic group ($-SO_3H$) and hydrophobic alkyl group. PSS micelles can serve as soft-template for MNPs to form inside them and protected MNPs against agglomeration by steric hindrance. [15, 16] Initially, 5 mL of PSS (30% w/v) was dissolved in 50 mL of distilled water under constantly stirring for 2 hours under nitrogen atmosphere to obtain PSS micelles. Thereafter, 10 mL of 1 mmol L⁻¹ Iron (III) chloride (FeCl₃·6H₂O; SHOWA) and 10 mL of 0.5 mmol L⁻¹ Iron (II) sulfate (FeSO₄·7H₂O, Aldrich) aqueous solutions were rapidly injected into the above mixture. Followed by heated up to 65°C, an additional 5 mL of 25% NH₄OH was added, and the color of the reaction mixture turned black which indicated the formation of magnetite. The reaction was allowed to proceed for 5 h under vigorous stirring until the PSS stabilized magnetite nanoparticles were obtained. The resulting mixture was washed thrice with distilled water and was preserved for further application.

Cell culture and magnetic labeling process

Mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) were obtained from the Bioresource Collection and Research Center (BCRC), Taiwan. (BCRC Number: M-EF001) MEFs were expanded and cultured in DMEM with high glucose supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (30 min at 56°C) at 37°C and 5% CO₂ environment. Prior to magnetic labeling, cells were seeded at a concentration of 10^5 cells/well in 12-well culture plates to reach 90% confluence. After that, magnetically labeled cells were washed 3 times by centrifugation at 1500 rpm for 5 minutes and replaced to fresh PBS to remove nonspecific bounded MNPs. Consequently, the cells were concentrated by applying a magnetic field via a permanent magnet placed on the outer wall of the centrifuge tube, and were then put in a clean culture medium.

Quantification of internalized nanoparticle by single cell magnetophoresis

The experimental procedure and setups for single cell magnetophoresis was previously reported.[17] Briefly, the magnetically labeled MEFs suspended in DMEM medium were submitted to a magnetic field gradient ($\nabla B = 14 \text{ mT/mm}$) generated by a permanent magnet that was adapted to the apparatus of a microscope. As the magnetic force exerted by the magnetic moment of the MNPs inside a cell (m_{cell}), $F_{mag, cell} = m_{cell} \nabla B$, was balanced by the drag force $F_{drag, cell} = 6\pi\eta r_{cell}v_{cell}$ that opposed to the motion, the number of magnetic nanoparticles N inside a cell could be calculated by $N = (36\eta R_{cell}v_{cell})/(cM_s D_{TEM}^{-3} \nabla B)$, where R_{cell} is the radius of cell (= 7.5 µm), η is the viscosity of the carrier liquid, v is the cell velocity, D_{TEM} is the diameter of a magnetic nanoparticle from TEM images, and c is the ratio of the net magnetization of magnetic nanoparticles to their saturation magnetization M_s (= 0.98) from hysteresis loops.

Prussian blue stain

MEFs cells were stained by Prussian blue stain to visualize the localizations of Fe_3O_4 directly and qualitatively analyze the magnetic labeling efficiency. Either magnetically labeled cells or control (without labeling) was fixed in 4% Glutaraldehyde (GA) for 30 min at -20°C. Prussian blue staining reagent 2% of potassium ferrocyanide and 6% HCl was mix 1:1 (v/v) and incubated with cells for 20 min and counterstained with nuclear fast red.

Fluorescence imaging visualizes living cells and cytoskeleton

In order to visualize fluorescent signal produced by living cell, all cells were transfected with green fluorescent protein (GFP) gene.[18] After trapped by DWs, cells were stained with 1 μ L of propidium iodide (PI) (stock = 10 μ g/mL, Invitrogen), incubated in the dark for 15 min and sequentially analyzed by fluorescence microscope.[19] To visualize cytoskeleton of cells, cells were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) and then permeabilized for 30 sec with cold Acetone/Ethanol at -20°C. Primary F-actin antibodies (Sangon) were added (1:200 dilutions in 1% BSA) and incubated for 1 h at 37°C, and followed by anti-Rabbit-cy3 secondary (Sangon) antibody (1:300 dilution in 1% BSA solution) for 1 h at 37°C. The sample was then mounted in 90% glycerol. Images were acquired with an inverted fluorescence microscope (Olympus CKX41) which was equipped with blue, green & UV filters.

Equipment and analysis

The mobile microbeads and cells that were attracted by the local magnetic force generated by DWs were recorded with a high resolution cooled colored CCD camera (Mshot) for 15–20 min at 5 frames per second. The image sequences were then imported into the public domain open source software, ImageJ (http://rsbweb.nih.gov/ij/), and the displacements of individual microbeads or cells were tracked via the plug-in "manual tracking". The diameter of cells was determined by analyzing the photos of cells through the built-in measurement tool of the capture software (Mshot Digital Imaging System). Hydrodynamic size was measured using a dynamic light scattering size analyzer (DLS, Zetasizer Nano; Malvern), and Dispersion Technology Software was used for hydrodynamic size analysis. The particles were examined with a transmission electron microscope (TEM, HT7700TEM; Hitachi) and a total of about 1000 particles from several TEM images were analyzed to obtain particle size in the dry state. The size distribution could be fitted using the log-normal function, [20] where the characteristic diameter D₀ and the polydispersity parameter (or standard deviation) σ can be obtained. (Detail in S1 File) The value of average particle diameter D_{TEM} can be estimated by taking polydispersity σ into account, [21] which is 11.18 nm.

Results and Discussion

Two kinds of concentric magnetic structures (ring and square) with different periods were designed to form stable DWs, which representative SEM images are shown in Fig 1. The concept of utilizing the local stray fields generated by DWs to attract magnetic targets (cells) is shown in Fig 2. To create stable DWs, a magnetic field (3000 Oe) was applied in the in-plane direction (x-y plane) of the concentric structures to saturate the magnetization and subsequently receded towards zero field, indicated as Hinitial. For concentric squares, as the Hinitial was applied along one of the diagonal axes, the resultant magnetization was aligned locally and constricted at the corners of the squares due to geometric anisotropy. As shown in the spin configuration of Fig 2, head-to-tail DWs (HH DWs), tail-to-tail DWs (TT DWs) and head-totail DWs (HT DWs) are simultaneously appeared in concentric squares, while HH DWs/TT DWs appeared at the corners parallel to H_{initial} and HT DWs located at the others that perpendicular to H_{initial}.[22] For concentric rings, after H_{initial} applied, the resultant magnetization would align at the border of ring, and two HH DWs and TT DWs were oppositely formed at two ends of ring. From the MFM images accompanied with the spin configurations, HH DWs/ TT DWs showed either positive or negative magnetic poles characteristics with wider distribution; while HT DWs consisted of 90° Neel type domain and accumulated both positive/negative poles in a narrower distribution.



Fig 1. Representative topographic SEM images of magnetic concentric structures. (a) and (b) are array of magnetic structure (c) Single five period's concentric squares (d) Single 10 period's concentric squares (e) Single ring (f) Single 10 period's concentric rings. For square elements, the inner square has a side length of 50 µm, the line width is 4 µm and interval between lines is 12 µm. For ring elements, the inner ring has a diameter of 100 µm, the line width is 4 µm and interval between lines is 12 µm.

Suspended magnetic beads attracted by DWs were tracked and analyze to realize the local magnetic fields generated by DWs and further, to realize the effective capture regions. The motion of the microbeads would be affected by Brownian diffusion forces; however, if attracted by the local magnetic force of DWs, parallel component of velocity (v_{\parallel}) would gradually increased (Detail in experimental section and S1 Fig). Since the magnetization of HT DWs is approximately in a flux-closure loop, the local stray field produced by HH DWs or TT DWs are much stronger than HT DWs; therefore, more microbeads can be collected by the HH/TT DWs than HT DWs in concentric squares, as can be visualized in Fig 3A. For concentric squares, the corresponding maximum magnetic field gradient (∇B^2) near HH/TT DWs and HT DWs of concentric squares are 2.08 T^2/m and 1.3 T^2/m , while the HH/TT DWs of ring have maximum ∇B^2 of 1.7 T²/m. The effective capture region to collect microbeads is larger for HH/TT DWs (16.3 µm) than that for HT domain (9.95 µm) for concentric squares, while the region for concentric rings have capture region of 12.55µm (Fig 3B and 3C). The modulation of local fields by forming HH/TT DWs in concentric squares can collect microbeads effectively which can be further demonstrated in <u>S1 video</u>. The forces exerted on individual microbeads while collection were estimated to be in a range of 0.57 to 0.65 pg were gentle enough for biomolecules; sample with dilute concentration can be bound to microbeads and be isolated and concentrated to DWs area for in-situ analysis.

Primary mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) were magnetically labeled via internalization of PSS-MNPs through endocytosis. The average size (D_{TEM}) of PSS-MNPs was 11.18 nm



Fig 2. Schematics of cell trapped by the local stray fields generated by the domain walls of concentric squares and concentric rings. The insets are magnetic force microscopy (MFM) images of concentric squares at remanent magnetic states after an external magnetic field applied along one diagonal axis. The spin configurations symbolize as arrows. HT DWs, TT DWs, and HH DWs represent head-to-tail domain walls, tail-to-tail domain walls, and head-to-head domain walls, respectively. MNPs represent magnetic nanoparticles. Scale bars represent 10 µm.

PLOS ONE

obtained from TEM image in Fig 4A. and no hysteresis suggest superparamagnetic behavior (Fig 4B). After Prussian blue staining, cells internalized PSS-MNPs showed blue deposits in the cytoplasm while control cells did not (Fig 4C). The number of internalized MNPs was then quantified by obtaining the constant cell velocity in magnetophoresis experiment. In Fig 4D, the velocity is $54.7 \pm 18.8 \mu m/s$ and the average cell radius of $7.5 \mu m$ (Fig 4E); therefore, the number of MNPs per cell could be estimated to be $(4.11 \pm 1.41) \times 10^6$ /cell, which is equal to 10.90 ± 3.75 pg iron/cell.

The local magnetic fields are broader and larger in the vicinity of HH/TT DWs then HT DWs, which can selectively trap cells near HH/TT DWs. As shown in Fig 5A, the GFP-expressed MEF cells were trapped by HH/TT DWs instead of HT DWs and arranged orderly at remanent state after H_{initial} was removed. Magnetic structures with different periods could achieve similar results, as see in Fig 5B. Specifically, for concentric squares, if a subsequent magnetic field was applied along one diagonal axis to create HH/TT DWs after the previous cells have been trapped and attached along the orthogonal diagonal axis, another group of cells could be trapped to form cross-shape. Cells attracted to the DW of concentric structures were

Fig 3. Magnetophoretic bead collection by DWs. (a) The picture of the microbeads captured by DWs. Regions that microbeads underwent magnetophoresis (red) or accelerated toward DWs (black) are highlighted. (b) Trajectories of microbeads trapped by DWs of square elements (c) trajectories of microbeads trapped by DWs of ring elements. The areas that microbeads accelerated toward DWs were separated in a magnified plot. Magnetic force derived from $F_{mag} = ma_{\parallel} + F_{drag}$, where the hydrodynamic drag force (F_{drag})opposed the magnetic force (F_{mag}).

Fig 4. Characterization of PSS stabilized MNPs (PSS-MNPs) and single cell magnetophoresis. (a) TEM images and the size distribution of PSS-MNPs. The curve is a log-normal fit to the histogram. (b) The magnetization versus magnetizing field curve (M-H curve) at room temperature of PSS-MNPs. (c) Optical microscope images of control and cell magnetically labeled by PSS-MNPs. (d) Histogram of the cell velocity distribution derived from magnetophoresis experiment. (e) The size of cells determined by the picture of cells captured by the optical microscope.

PLOS ONE

(a)

Fig 5. Optical microscope images and fluorescence images of the cell trapped by DWs of magnetic ring/squares structures after initial magnetic fields (H_{initial}) applied. (a) Arrays of cells were trapped to arrange into the linear shape on magnetic ring/squares structures. (b) Cells trapped by magnetic rings/squares with different periods. Note that after two independent H_{initial} applied, cells could be arranged into cross-shape on concentric squares.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0135299.g005

PLOS ONE

recorded by camera (<u>S2</u> and <u>S3 videos</u>) and the sequential images of a moving cell are shown in <u>Fig 6</u>. The field gradients were larger in the vicinity of DWs and the cells will eventually accelerating toward the magnetic frames as getting closer to it. Cell velocities were then be determined by the trajectories of cells that were attracted by the DWs and the trapping force would be estimated to be about 2.8 to 7.9 pN for square elements and 1.1 to 3.5 pN for ring elements. The order of piconewton that microbeads and cells experienced was similar to that of previous literature, [23,24] and this show the possibility of utilizing geometrically constrained DWs as an alternative approach to separate, capture.

To adopt the concentric structure for cell analysis, the trapped MEF cells were kept cultured with the structure for 2 days to see the growth of magnetic cells influenced by the local magnetic field generated from DWs. In Fig 7A, filopodia-like protrusions and cell–cell contacts appear; the cells prefer to spread out as grow on ring elements but tend to stretch and elongate on square elements. As grow on concentric squares, cells were round in shape in the initial 2 h and then elongated and stretched out to the adjacent corners after 12 h of incubation (Fig 7B). The intracellular vesicles that contain MNPs were distributed randomly in the initial 2 h but located near DWs. For cells sedimented on the inner corners, they showed less preference to grow in a particular orientation. Recent reports have shown that the growth of cells internalized with magnetic nanoparticles can be modulated by external magnetic fields. [25, 26] The

Fig 6. Sequential images show the moving paths of magnetically cell trapped by DWs. (a) Concentric squares (b) concentric rings. Scale bars represent 20 μm. The centroid positions of the cells are denoted with the dots.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0135299.g006

Fig 7. Fluorescence images, cell morphologies and nuclear aspect ratio of the cells growing on magnetic structures. (a) Representative fluorescence images of the trapped cells after culture for 2 days. Actin stained by anti-F-actin-cy3 (red) and nuclei stained with DAPI (blue). Dash lines indicated the inner and outer frames. (b) Representative morphologies of the cells initially attach to the substrate and after culture for 12 h. The intracellular localizations of MNPs are denoted by arrows optical images. (c) Box plot show the nuclear aspect ratio of cells growing on concentric rings and concentric squares. Scale bars represent 100 µm.

PLOS ONE

changes of the cell morphology may be contributed to the magnetic flux and the local magnetic force generated from the neighboring DWs. Further, the stretch of cell was coupled with nuclear deformation due to the compressive and lateral pulling stress from actin fibers transmitted to the cell nucleus; [27] therefore, the nuclear aspect ratios of cells growing on square elements were found to be larger than those growing on the ring (Fig 7C).

In this study, the results shows the strong localized magnetic fields and magnetic gradients from HH/TT DWs can drag specific targets from the biological sample, which is beneficial for

separating, sorting and assembling biomolecules for further analysis. In contrast to the traditional method based on utilizing permanent magnets with the size of centimeter or millimeter that concentrate the sample into large aggregates, the proposed method manipulates targets in microscale. Since large aggregates have been said to cause physical damages to cells and interfere diagnosis, the present study shows that the patterned magnetic concentric structures can be used as an alternative strategies to capture and retrieve individual captured cells for downstream analyses. Besides, the proposed magnetic structures can actively trap and concentrate the rare targets which are particularly important for the development of implantable sensors in microfluidic channel in lab on a chip system to increase the detection and promote early diagnosis and screening.

Conclusion

In summary, concentric magnetic structures with domain wall pinning geometry were utilized to collect magnetic beads and trap magnetically labeled cells. The effective regions to capture magnetic beads, the strength of magnetic forces and field gradient generated by DWs were compared. The local magnetic fields are broader and larger in the vicinity of HH/TT DWs then HT DWs, which can selectively trap cells near the region forms HH/TT DWs. The morphologies and the nuclear geometry of the cells growing on two elements were shown to be distinctively different. The intracellular magnetic forces generated by the local magnetic field of DWs are found to influence the behavior of cells.

Supporting Information

S1 Fig. Analysis of trajectories and velocities of microbeads. Trajectories and velocities of beads that move randomly (a) and captured by HH/HT DWs and HT DWs (b), (c). The velocities were decomposed into parallel component (v_{\parallel}) and perpendicular component (v_{\perp}) based on the reference axis that determined from the trajectories (red line). V_{\parallel} increased steadily indicated acceleration.

(TIF)

S2 Fig. Hydrodynamic size distribution of bare MNPs and PSS-MNPs. Bare MNPs indicate MNPs synthesized without poly (styrene sulfonic acid) (PSSA). The MNPs were mixed with deionized water (v/v = 1/2000) before the measurement. (TIF)

S3 Fig. TEM images of bare MNPs and PSS-MNPs. (a), (c) pictures of bare MNPs (b), (d) pictures of PSS-MNPs. (TIF)

S4 Fig. Sedimentation tests of the bare MNPs and PSS-MNPs. Bare MNPs and PSS-MNPs diluted in deionized water (DI water) and DMEM media for different time periods (0, 15 min, 1 h, and 24 h). The MNPs were mixed with (v/v = 1/100). (TIF)

S1 File. Particle size determined from Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM). (DOCX)

S1 Video. Microbeads collected by concentric squares. (ZIP)

S2 Video. MEF cells trapped by concentric squares. (ZIP)

S3 Video. MEF cells trapped by concentric rings. (ZIP)

Acknowledgments

This work is supported partly by the Ministry of Science and Technology, Taiwan. Grant Numbers NSC 102-2112-M-007-012-MY3, NSC 103-2221-E-007-017-MY2.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: ZHW. Performed the experiments: CYH. Analyzed the data: CYH ZHW. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: CYH. Wrote the paper: CYH.

References

- Crane MM, Chung K, Lu H. Computer-enhanced high-throughput genetic screens of C. elegans in a microfluidic system. Lab Chip 2009; 9: 38–40. doi: <u>10.1039/b813730g</u> PMID: <u>19209332</u>
- Huang NT, Chen W, Oh BR, Cornell TT, Shanley TP, Fu J, et al. An integrated microfluidic platform for in situ cellular cytokine secretion immunophenotyping. Lab Chip 2012; 12: 4093–4101. PMID: 22892681
- Jin A, Ozawa T, Tajiri K, Obata T, Kondo S, Kinoshita K, et al. A rapid and efficient single-cell manipulation method for screening antigen-specific antibody–secreting cells from human peripheral blood. Nat. Med. 2009; 15: 1088–1092. doi: <u>10.1038/nm.1966</u> PMID: <u>19684583</u>
- Sims CE, Allbritton NL. Analysis of single mammalian cells on-chip. Lab Chip 2007; 7: 423–440. PMID: 17389958
- Nilsson J, Evander M, Hammarström B, Laurell T. Review of cell and particle trapping in microfluidic systems. Anal. Chim. Acta. 2009; 649: 141–157. doi: 10.1016/j.aca.2009.07.017 PMID: 19699390
- Zeng J, Deng Y, Vedantam P, Tzeng TR, Xuan X. Magnetic separation of particles and cells in ferrofluid flow through a straight microchannel using two offset magnets. J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 2013; 346: 118– 123.
- Barbeea KD, Hsiaoa AP, Hellera MJ, Huang X. Electric field directed assembly of high-density microbead arrays. Lab Chip. 2009; 21: 3268–3274.
- Chiou PY, Ohta AT, Wu MC. Massively parallel manipulation of single cells and microparticles using optical images. Nature 2005; 436: 370–372. PMID: <u>16034413</u>
- 9. Whitehill J, Neild A, Ng TW, Stokes M. Collection of suspended particles in a drop using low frequency vibration. Appl. Phys. Lett. 2010; 96: 053501.
- Lai MF, Wei ZH, Chang CR, Wu JC, Kuo JH, Lai JY. Influence of vortex domain walls on magnetoresistance signals in Permalloy rings. Phys. Rev. B. 2003; 67: 104419.
- Johnson MT, Bloemen PJH, den Broeder FJA, de Vries JJ. Magnetic anisotropy in metallic multilayers. Rep. Prog. Phys 1996; 59: 1409–1458.
- Fonnum G, Johansson C, Molteberg A, Dobson J. Characterization of Dynabeads[®] by magnetization measurements and Mössbauer spectroscopy. J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 2005; 293: 41–47.
- Donolato M, Torti A, Kostesha N, Deryabin M, Sogne E, Vavassori P, et al. Magnetic domain wall conduits for single cell applications. Lab Chip 2011; 11: 2976–2983. doi: <u>10.1039/c1lc20300b</u> PMID: <u>21779553</u>
- 14. Pankhurst Q, Connolly J, Jones S, Dobson J. Applications of magnetic nanoparticles in biomedicine. J. Phys. D. Appl. Phys. 2003; 36: 167–181.
- Basavaiah K, Prasada Rao AV. Synthesis of polystyrenesulfonic stabilized magnetite nanoparticles. Chem. Sci. Trans. 2012; 1: 382–386.
- Ahmad S, Riaz U, Kaushik A, Alam J. Soft template synthesis of super paramagnetic Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles a novel technique. J. Inorg. Organomet. Polym. 2009; 19: 355–360.
- Huang CY, Ger TR, Wei ZH, Lai MF. Compare Analysis for the Nanotoxicity Effects of Different Amounts of Endocytic Iron Oxide Nanoparticles at Single Cell Level. PLoS ONE 2014; 9: e96550. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0096550 PMID: 24824523
- Strebel A, Harr T, Bachmann F, Wernli M, Erb P. Green fluorescent protein as a novel tool to measure apoptosis and necrosis. Cytometry 2001; 43: 126–133. PMID: <u>11169577</u>

- Lamm GM, Steinlein P, Cotten M, Christofori G. A rapid, quantitative and inexpensive method for detecting apoptosis by flow cytometry in transiently transfected cells. Nucleic Acids Res. 1997; 25: 4855–4857. PMID: <u>9365268</u>
- Chantrell RW, Popplewell J, Charles SW. Measurements of particle size distribution parameters in ferrofluids. IEEE Trans. Magn. 1978; 14: 975–977.
- Konstantinović Z, del Muro MG, Varela M, Batlle X, Labarta A. Particle growth mechanisms in Ag–ZrO2 and Au–ZrO2 granular films obtained by pulsed laser deposition. Nanotechnology 2006; 17: 4106– 4111. doi: <u>10.1088/0957-4484/17/16/019</u> PMID: <u>21727545</u>
- 22. Lai MF, Wei ZH, Chang CR, Wu JC, Hsieh WZ, Usov NA, et al. Magnetization patterns of permalloy square frames. J. Appl. Phys. 2003; 93: 7426–7428.
- Gertz F, Azimov R, Khitun A. Biological cell positioning and spatially selective destruction via magnetic nanoparticles. Appl. Phys. Lett. 2012; 101: 013701.
- Anandakumar S, Rani VS, Oh S, Sinha BL, Takahashi M, Kim C. Translocation of bio-functionalized magnetic beads using smart magnetophoresis. Biosens. Bioelectron. 2010; 26: 1755–1758. doi: <u>10.</u> <u>1016/j.bios.2010.08.033</u> PMID: <u>20850293</u>
- 25. Riggio C, Calatayud MP, Giannaccini M, Mørup S, Aksnes E. The orientation of the neuronal growth process can be directed via magnetic nanoparticles under an applied magnetic field. Nanomedicine 2014; 10: 1549–1558. doi: 10.1016/j.nano.2013.12.008 PMID: 24407149
- Bradshaw M, Clemons TD, Ho D, Deryabin M, Sogne E, Vavassori P, et al. Manipulating directional cell motility using intracellular superparamagnetic nanoparticles. Nanoscale 2015; 7: 4884–4889. doi: <u>10.</u> <u>1039/c4nr06594h</u> PMID: <u>25695187</u>
- Vishavkarma R, Raghavan S, Kuyyamudi C, Sinha BL, Takahashi M, Kim C. Role of Actin Filaments in Correlating Nuclear Shape and Cell Spreading. PLoS ONE 2014; 9: e107895. doi: <u>10.1371/journal.pone.0107895</u> PMID: <u>25251154</u>