# Comparison of the antioxidant potential in urine, saliva and skin

## Vergleich des antioxidativen Potentials in Urin, Speichel und Haut

#### Abstract

**Aim:** Free radicals, oxidative stress and their possible consequences for health are becoming increasingly important in modern medicine. Reactive species influence the organism, potentially causing oxidative cell damage. They can be produced by exogenous sources, or be a product of a variety of not only physiological metabolic processes, such as immune response, but also pathological processes. The antioxidant protection system protects the organism from oxidative damage caused by reactions producing an excess of free radicals. The analysis of antioxidant potential (AOP) is therefore becoming increasingly important for the diagnosis of individual vitality.

**Method:** The photochemoluminescence method was used to measure the AOP in urine and saliva, spectrometry was employed to measure the  $\beta$ -carotene content of the skin. In addition, it was investigated whether the AOP<sub>saliva</sub> correlated with the AOPU<sub>urine</sub> (uric-acid independent AOP) as well as the  $\beta$ -carotene content of the skin.

**Results:** The AOP was significantly higher in urine than in saliva, and both values were significantly positively correlated with each other. However, there was no significant correlation to the  $\beta$ -carotene content of the skin.

**Discussion:** The components of the AOPU<sub>urine</sub> are accumulated over time (night), whereas AOP measurement in saliva is like a snapshot, which explains why AOPU<sub>urine</sub> was significantly higher than AOP<sub>saliva</sub>, although the two parameters are correlated with each other.  $\beta$ -carotene is a fat-soluble antioxidant, whereas in our study, only water-soluble antioxidants were determined in the urine. This explains why there is no positive correlation between  $\beta$ -carotene of the skin and AOP.

**Conclusion:** For the characterization of the AOP in epidemiological studies, we recommend determining the AOPU<sub>urine</sub> and parallel to this, the  $\beta$ -carotene content of the skin.

**Keywords:** antioxidant potential, free radicals, oxidative stress, beta-carotene, reactive oxygen species, urine, saliva, photochemoluminescence, antioxidants

### Zusammenfassung

**Zielsetzung:** Freie Radikale, oxidativer Stress und deren mögliche Konsequenzen für die Gesundheit gewinnen zunehmend an Bedeutung für die moderne Medizin. Neben potenziellen Verursachern oxidativer Zellschäden, die über exogene Quellen den Organismus beeinflussen, sind reaktive Spezies Produkt einer Vielzahl physiologischer Stoffwechselprozesse, der Immunreaktion, aber auch pathologischer Vorgänge. Als Gegenspieler zur Prävention oxidativer Schäden infolge überschießender radikalischer Reaktionen fungiert das antioxidative Schutzsystem. Die Analyse des Antioxidativen Potentials (AOP) gewinnt daher zunehmend an Bedeutung zur Diagnostik der individuellen Vitalität. **Methode:** In dieser Studie wurden mittels Photochemolumineszenz das AOP in Urin und Speichel sowie spektrometrisch der β-Carotin-Gehalt

der Haut bestimmt. Zusätzlich wurde untersucht, ob das AOP<sub>soeichel</sub> mit

Hicham Benkhai<sup>1</sup> Franziska Köhler<sup>1</sup> Jürgen Lademann<sup>2</sup> Sandra Lemanski<sup>3</sup> Manfred Bornewasser<sup>3</sup> Elke Below<sup>4</sup> Harald Below<sup>1</sup> Axel Kramer<sup>1</sup>

- 1 Institute of Hygiene and Environmental Medicine, University Medicine Greifswald, Germany
- 2 Charité-University Medicine Berlin, Department of Dermatology and Allergology, Berlin, Germany
- 3 Institute of Psychology, Ernst Moritz Arndt University, Greifswald, Germany
- 4 Institute of Forensic Science, Ernst Moritz Arndt University, Greifswald, Germany



Methods

using the following formula: AOP (mg/g creatinine) = AOP (mg/dl) x 1000/creatinine (mg/dl)

(mg/dl)
Parallel to the daily sampling, we determined the β-carotene content (scale 0–10) of the skin by using biozoom<sup>®</sup> (Opsolution Nanophotonics GmbH, Kassel, Germany) [14]. The participants were instructed not to apply any skin cream in each morning before measurement.

Nine psychology students (7 women, 2 men, 20 to 25

years old) participated in the three-day study. Every

morning, fasting samples of spot urine and saliva from

each participant were collected to determine AOP and and

AOPU, in the samples were coded and then immediately

frozen at -80°C. While in saliva only the AOP was ana-

lyzed, in the urine samples, both AOPU and the creatinine

content were determined, where the latter was adjusted

for volume excreted. Before analysis, the samples were

allowed to thaw overnight in the refrigerator and were

centrifuged after mixing briefly (5000 rpm) in order to

separate suspended matter. The centrifuged urine was

diluted 1:10 and incubated for 5 min with uricase to

eliminate uric acid. Subsequently, the AOP was measured

by using Photochem<sup>®</sup> and the ACW kit (Analytik Jena,

Germany) [12], [13]. The chemicals had pro analysis

quality. For all investigations, high-purity water was used

(Reinstwasser-System, SG Wasseraufbereitung und Re-

generierstation GmbH, Barsbüttel, Germany). For creatin-

ine, we used the creatinine-DRI® Test Detect (Micro Ge-

netics GmbH, Passau, Germany). The AOP was calculated

Statistical analysis was performed with the program PASW<sup>®</sup> Statistics 18 (IBM). The parameters were intended for descriptive statistics, and Pearson's correlation was calculated and tested for significance.

dem AOPU\_{\mbox{\tiny Unin}} (Urat unabhängiges AOP) und dem  $\beta\mbox{-}Carotin\mbox{-}Gehalt$  der Haut korreliert.

**Ergebnisse:** Das AOP war im Urin signifikant höher als im Speichel, wobei beide Werte signifikant positiv miteinander korrelierten. Dagegen ergab sich bei beiden Parametern kein signifikanter Zusammenhang zum  $\beta$ -Carotin-Gehalt in der Haut.

**Diskussion:** Während im Urin Bestandteile des AOP über einen gewissen Zeitraum akkumulieren, stellt Speichel eine Momentaufnahme dar. Daher erklärt sich der signifikant höhere Gehalt von AOPU im Urin, obwohl die beiden Parameter miteinander korrelieren. Da  $\beta$ -Carotin ein fettlösliches Antioxidans ist, während im Urin nur wasserlösliche Antioxidantien bestimmt werden, war keine positive Korrelation vorhanden. **Schlussfolgerung:** Für epidemiologische Untersuchungen zur Charakterisierung des AOP empfiehlt sich die Bestimmung des AOPU<sub>urin</sub> und parallel dazu des  $\beta$ -Carotin-Gehalts der Haut.

**Schlüsselwörter:** antioxidatives Potential, freie Radikale, oxidativer Stress, beta-Carotin, reaktive Sauerstoffspezies, Urin, Speichel, Photochemolumineszenz, Antioxidantien

# Introduction

Radical generation can be triggered exogenously (e.g., UV and ionizing radiation) and endogenously (e.g., mitochondrial respiratory chain and immune system) [1], [2]. An excess of free radicals due to increased formation or inadequate antioxidant mechanisms is harmful to the organism [3]. Because of their unpaired electrons and the associated instability and reactivity, radicals can cause damage in biological systems with the consequence of changing their structure and function. The undesirable effects include the inactivation of NO by direct chemical reaction with reactive oxygen species (ROS), and oxidative damage to cellular components such as DNA and proteins [4]. These effects are possibly related to the development of cardiovascular diseases, neurodegenerative diseases, cancer, and aging processes [5], [6], [7].

The antioxidant system is responsible for minimizing oxidative cell damage in the body caused by free radicals. Ideally, it creates a balance which permits the beneficial effects of free radicals while preventing the harmful ones. The system includes enzymatic antioxidants (e.g., superoxide dismutase) and non-enzymatic exogenous and endogenous antioxidants, which can be hydrophilic or lipophilic (e.g., ascorbic acid and glutathione, resp.) [8].

AOP in urine and saliva can be determined by different methods, i.e., photochemoluminescence (PCL) [6], [7]. Another method to determine the antioxidant status is the measurement of the  $\beta$ -carotene content of the skin [9]. The antioxidant balance of an individual is influenced by diet, sports, stress, among others [10], [11], [12]. Up to now, the AOP in saliva, urine and skin were not determined in parallel in the same person. Therefore, the focus of the study was to compare the AOP of the 3 sampled media.

# grs 🛞

Parameter		AOP (mg/g) creatinin in urine	AOP (mg/dl) in saliva	β-carotene content
Mean value		39.70	2.05	4
Standard error of average		2.70	0.52	0.19
Median		37.53	0.81	4
Standard deviation		14.015	2.68	1
Variance		196.43	7.18	1
Minimum		17.61	0.29	2
Maximum		68.30	10.55	6
Percentile	5	18.43	0.29	2.40
	95	66.97	9.75	6

Table 1: Descriptive statistics of the study (n=9)

Table 2: Correlation analysis of the parameters  $AOP_{saliva}$ ,  $AOPU_{urine}$  and  $\beta$ -carotene (skin)

		Average of AOPU <sub>urine</sub> (mg/g creatinine)	Average of AOP <sub>saliva</sub> (mg/dl)
Average of saliva-AOP (mg/dl)	Correlation according to Pearson	0.720 <sup>*</sup>	1
	significance (2-sided)	0.029	
	N	9	9
β-carotene <sub>skin</sub>	correlation according to Pearson	0.165	-0.126
	significance (2-sided)	0.672	0.747
	Ν	9	9

# Results

The AOPU<sub>urine</sub> was significantly higher than in saliva. The mean value of  $\beta$ -carotene, 4.0, was slightly lower than expected (Table 1).

The data analysis shows a significant positive correlation between the parameters AOPU<sub>urine</sub> and AOP<sub>saliva</sub> at p<0.05 (Table 2). In contrast, the values of  $\beta$ -carotene<sub>skin</sub> with those of AOPU<sub>urine</sub> show a weak positive correlation, but this was not significant. The AOP<sub>saliva</sub> shows a slight negative correlation with the values of skin measurement, but the difference was not significant (Table 2).

# Discussion

Antioxidant capacity was determined in materials which are non-invasively accessible, that is, in saliva, urine and skin. The intention was to use conditions suitable for future epidemiological studies. The ideal would be to collect 24-h urine. Since this was not logistically realizable, spontaneous urine was used and normalized by creatinine content in urine; this method has been proven in studies on iodine deficiency screening [15]. Influences of age, sex, lifestyle and nutrition were not considered in the pilot study, because the purpose was to compare the measured parameters. While urine is an excretion with accumulation of the excreted substances over time, saliva is a secretion, in which components can be exogenously influenced and endogenously metabolized, thus the composition can change quickly. This may explain the finding that the  $AOPU_{urine}$  is at least 10 times higher than  $AOP_{saliva}$ . However, these two parameters were correlated significantly with each other. This result supports the hypothesis that urine and saliva are suitable for determining the AOP. Since saliva production is difficult to standardize and more susceptible to external influences than urine, the standard deviation in saliva is about 5.2 higher than in urine.

 $\beta$ -carotene is a fat-soluble antioxidant. In the urine, only water-soluble antioxidants were analyzed. This may be the reason why there is no positive correlation between  $\beta$ -carotene of the skin and the AOP of saliva and urine.

# Conclusions

Our results suggest that in epidemiological studies, the AOPU  $_{\rm urine}$  and, in parallel, the  $\beta$ -carotene content of the skin are suitable for characterizing the antioxidative status, whereas the AOP  $_{\rm saliva}$  is dispensible.



## Notes

## **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

## Acknowledgment

This work was supported by the project Flex4Work, sponsored by BMBF and ESF (FKZ 01FH09127). We thank the company Opsolution Nanophotonics GmbH for providing the equipment biozoom<sup> $\circ$ </sup>.

# References

- Lademann J, Patzelt A, Schanzer S, Richter H, Meinke MC, Sterry W, Zastrow L, Doucet O, Vergou T, Darvin ME. Uptake of antioxidants by natural nutrition and supplementation: pros and cons from the dermatological point of view. Skin Pharmacol Physiol. 2011;24(5):269-73. DOI: 10.1159/000328725
- Jeon HY, Kim JK, Seo DB, Cho SY, Lee SJ. Beneficial effect of dietary epigallocatechin-3-gallate on skin via enhancement of antioxidant capacity in both blood and skin. Skin Pharmacol Physiol. 2010;23(6):283-9. DOI: 10.1159/000313542
- Lademann J, Schanzer S, Meinke M, Sterry W, Darvin ME. Interaction between carotenoids and free radicals in human skin. Skin Pharmacol Physiol. 2011;24(5):238-44. DOI: 10.1159/000326074
- Bedard K, Krause KH. The NOX family of ROS-generating NADPH oxidases: physiology and pathophysiology. Physiol Rev. 2007;87(1):245-313. DOI: 10.1152/physrev.00044.2005
- Dix TA, Aikens J. Mechanisms and biological relevance of lipid peroxidation initiation. Chem Res Toxicol. 1993;6(1):2-18. DOI: 10.1021/tx00031a001
- Ames BN, Shigenaga MK, Hagen TM. Oxidants, antioxidants, and the degenerative diseases of aging. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1993;90(17):7915-22.
- Halliwell B. Free radicals, antioxidants, and human disease: curiosity, cause, or consequence? Lancet. 1994;344(8924):721-4. DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(94)92211-X
- Sies H. Strategies of antioxidant defense. Eur J Biochem. 1993;215(2):213-9. DOI: 10.1111/j.1432-1033.1993.tb18025.x
- Lademann J, Schanzer S, Meinke M, Sterry W, Darvin ME. Interaction between carotenoids and free radicals in human skin. Skin Pharmacol Physiol. 2011;24(5):238-44. Doi: 10.1159/000326074.

- Popov I, Lewin G. Photochemiluminescent detection of antiradical activity. VI. Antioxidant characteristics of human blood plasma, low density lipoprotein, serum albumin and amino acids during in vitro oxidation. Luminescence. 1999;14(3):169-74. DOI: 10.1002/(SICI)1522-7243(199905/06)14:3<169::AID-BI0539>3.0.CO;2-K
- Popov IN, Lewin G. Photochemiluminescent detection of antiradical activity: II. Testing of nonenzymic water-soluble antioxidants. Free Radic Biol Med. 1994;17(3):267-71. DOI: 10.1016/0891-5849(94)90082-5
- Benkhai H. Untersuchungen zur Wertigkeit des Summenparameters Antioxidatives Potential für medizinische Fragestellungen einschließlich Stressbelastung. Greifswald: Ernst-Moritz-Arndt-Universität Greifswald, Institut für Hygiene und Umweltmedizin; 2010.
- 13. Analytik Jena AG. Bestimmung der wasserlöslichen antioxidativen Kapazität in Blutplasma (ACW). 2000.
- Darvin ME, Sandhagen C, Koecher W, Sterry W, Lademann J, Meinke MC. Comparison of two methods for non-invasive determination of carotenoids in human and animal skin: Raman spectroscopy versus reflection spectroscopy. J Biophoton. accepted.
- Zöllner H, Als C, Gerber H, Hampel R, Kirsch G, Kramer A. Screening for iodine deficiency: lodide concentration or creatinine quotient in random urine? GIT Laboratory Journal. 2001;5(3):138-9.

#### Corresponding author:

Prof. Dr. med. Axel Kramer Institute of Hygiene and Environmental Medicine, University Medicine Greifswald, Walter-Rathenau-Straße 49 A, 17475 Greifswald, Germany, Phone: +49 03834 51 55 42

kramer@uni-greifswald.de

#### Please cite as

Benkhai H, Köhler F, Lademann J, Lemanski S, Bornewasser M, Below E, Below H, Kramer A. Comparison of the antioxidant potential in urine, saliva and skin. GMS Krankenhaushyg Interdiszip. 2011;6(1):Doc02. DOI: 10.3205/dgkh000159, URN: urn:nbn:de:0183-dgkh0001598

#### This article is freely available from

http://www.egms.de/en/journals/dgkh/2011-6/dgkh000159.shtml

Published: 2011-12-15

#### Copyright

©2011 Benkhai et al. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/deed.en). You are free: to Share — to copy, distribute and transmit the work, provided the original author and source are credited.

