SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

natureresearch

OPEN Coherent control of Optical limiting in atomic systems

Mohsen Ghaderi Goran Abad, Mahsa Mahdieh, Mohadeseh Veisi, Hamid Nadjari & Mohammad Mahmoudi*

Generation and control of the reverse saturable absorption (RSA) and optical limiting (OL) are investigated in a four-level Y-type guantum system. It is demonstrated that the applied laser fields induce the RSA and it can be coherently controlled by either intensity or frequency of the applied laser fields. The effect of the static magnetic field on the induced RSA is studied and we obtain that it has a constructive role in determining the intensity range in which the OL is established in the system. In addition, we find that the transmission of the suggested optical limiter can be decreased either by increasing the length of the medium or by getting the atomic system denser. Finally, the Z-scan technique is presented to confirm our theoretical results. The proposed scheme can be used in designing the coherent optical limiters with controllable threshold and intensity range of the OL.

Atomic coherence offers a systematic basis for the fundamental concepts of the coherent control. Optical devices are generally based on manipulation of the phase, polarization, propagation direction and also the intensity of the optical light, which can be done by atomic coherence due to the applied laser fields. Intensity manipulation of the light is a major basis of designing all-optical switches^{1,2} and optical limiters^{2,3}. Optical limiters have received much attention for the increasing demand in protection of optical components in optically based devices. All optical sensors involved in the optical devices may be vulnerable for their sensitivity to the light intensity. In fact, human eye, sensors and other optical sensitive elements have an intensity threshold above which laser-induced damage happens. In optical limiters, the transmission of the light reduces or even becomes constant for the input intensities higher than the threshold intensity. Thus, the presence of optical limiters to restrict the intensity of the incident laser beam is completely requisite prior to the sensors and direct viewing devices. Optical limiters protect the sensors from the damages due to the higher intensity laser pulse by extending their intensity range to operate under rougher conditions.

Various techniques, i.e., two photon absorption⁴⁻⁶, nonlinear scattering^{7,8} have been reported for generating the optical limiting (OL). The basic mechanism to establish the OL is the reverse saturable absorption (RSA)⁹⁻¹ in which, unlike the saturable absorption (SA), the absorption increases by increasing the incident intensity. In general, the RSA can occur when the absorption of the excited state is large compared to the absorption of the ground state. On the contrary, SA is the dominant phenomenon in the system. Note that in SA materials, the absorption reduces with increasing the intensity due to depletion of the ground state, leading the materials to be more transparent. The RSA and OL have been observed in numerous compounds such as organic materials^{3,12}, C₆₀ solution^{13,14}, complicated molecular structure¹⁵⁻¹⁷ and semiconductors¹⁸. Different investigations on the various molecules have demonstrated that the OL behavior is dependent on the density and concentration of the molecules^{19,20}, so that Azzam et al. suggested thinner films with larger density in OLs device engineering²⁰. Moreover, dependence of OL behavior on light wavelength has been previously shown^{21,22}. OL features of metal nanowires have been widely investigated because of their applications²²⁻²⁶. Pan et al.²² have explored various metal nanowires and showed that the OL threshold is different for different metal nanowires. Note that all the materials used for generating OL in the previous works are nonlinear materials, which naturally show the RSA. Moreover, for protecting the various optical devices with different OL threshold, one should change the material or its concentration. Here, we induce the nonlinear properties to the medium by applying the laser fields. It leads to design a simpler optical limiter, which the optical limiting properties can be coherently controlled by the applied fields.

In this paper, unlike the previous reported works, we introduce a coherently controllable optical limiter using the atomic systems in a four-level Y-type configuration. It is demonstrated that the RSA is coherently induced by the laser fields and the conditions are provided for preparing the OL in the system. It is shown that all characteristics of the induced OL such as the intensity range, the threshold intensity and the transmission can be controlled by either intensity or frequency of the laser fields. Moreover, the effect of the static magnetic field on the OL is

Department of Physics, University of Zanjan, University Blvd., 45371-38791, Zanjan, Iran. *email: mahmoudi@znu.ac.ir

studied and it is illustrated that the RSA and the OL regions are extended by increasing the magnitude of the static magnetic field. In addition, we show that the transmission of the suggested optical limiter decreases either by increasing the length of the medium or by getting the atomic medium denser. Finally, the Z-scan technique is presented to confirm our theoretical results.

Model and Equations

The proposed realistic atomic system is a four-level Y-type quantum system, which can be established in $5S_{12}$, $5P_{1/2}$ and $5D_{3/2}$ lines of ⁸⁷Rb atoms as shown in Fig. 1. Two states $|1\rangle = |5S_{1/2}$, $(F = 1, m_F = 0)\rangle$ and $|2\rangle = |5P_{1/2}$, $(F = 2, m_F = 0)\rangle$, separated by 377 THz, are defined as ground state and intermediate state. Two degenerate states $|3\rangle = |5D_{3/2}$, $(F' = 2, m_{F'} = -1)\rangle$ and $|4\rangle = |5D_{3/2}$, $(F' = 2, m_{F'} = 1)\rangle$ are chosen as the higher excited states. Here, *F* and *F* are the quantum numbers of the total angular momentum and $m_{F(F')}$ denotes magnetic quantum number of the corresponding states. A weak linearly probe field, $\overrightarrow{E_p}(z, t) = \overrightarrow{e_p}(z)e^{-i(\omega_p t - k_p z)} + c.c.$, with wave vector k_p and polarization in \hat{x} direction drives the transition $|1\rangle \leftrightarrow |2\rangle$ with the Rabi frequency $\Omega_p = \overrightarrow{\mu_{21}}$. $\overrightarrow{e_p}/\hbar$. The transition $|2\rangle \leftrightarrow |3\rangle (|2\rangle \leftrightarrow |4\rangle)$ is coupled by the strong left (right) circularly polarized coupling field with the Rabi frequency $\Omega_s = \overrightarrow{\mu_{32}}$. $\hat{\varepsilon}_L s_/ \hbar (\Omega_c = \overrightarrow{\mu_{42}}, \hat{\varepsilon}_+ k_c/\hbar)$. ε_p and $E_i(i = s, c)$ are the amplitude of the probe and coupling fields, respectively. ε_{\pm} stands for the polarization unit vectors of the coupling fields. A static magnetic field is also employed to remove the degeneracy of the higher excited states $|3\rangle$ and $|4\rangle$ by $2\hbar\Delta_B = 2m_F g_s \mu_B B$ where μ_B is Bohr magneton and g_s is Landé factor. This system can make it possible that the intermediate state $|2\rangle$ to be depleted by applying two strong coupling fields to the higher excited states in the presence of a static magnetic field. Thus the necessary condition is provided for the RSA.

The Hamiltonian of the considered system in the dipole and rotating wave approximations can be written as

$$V_{I} = -\hbar \Big(\Omega_{p}^{*} e^{-i\Delta_{p}t} |2\rangle |1\rangle + \Omega_{s}^{*} e^{-i(\Delta_{s} + \Delta_{B})t} |3\rangle |2\rangle + \Omega_{c}^{*} e^{-i(\Delta_{c} - \Delta_{B})t} |4\rangle |2\rangle \Big) + h.c.,$$
(1)

where $\Delta_p = \omega_p - \omega_{21}$, $\Delta_s = \omega_s - \omega_{32}$ and $\Delta_c = \omega_c - \omega_{42}$ are the detunings. ω_p , ω_s and ω_c are the frequencies of the probe and coupling fields, respectively. Also, ω_{21} , ω_{32} and ω_{42} are the central frequencies of the corresponding transitions. The density matrix equations of motion can be written as follows

$$\begin{split} \rho_{11} &= i\Omega_{p}\rho_{21} - i\Omega_{p}^{*}\rho_{12} + \gamma_{21}\rho_{22} + \gamma_{31}\rho_{33} + \gamma_{41}\rho_{44}, \\ \rho_{33} &= i\Omega_{s}^{*}\rho_{23} - i\Omega_{s}\rho_{32} - \Gamma_{1}\rho_{33}, \\ \rho_{44} &= i\Omega_{c}^{*}\rho_{24} - i\Omega_{c}\rho_{42} - \Gamma_{2}\rho_{44}, \\ \rho_{21} &= i\Omega_{p}^{*}(\rho_{11} - \rho_{22}) + i\Omega_{s}\rho_{31} + i\Omega_{c}\rho_{41} - \left[\frac{\gamma_{21}}{2} - i\Delta_{p}\right]\rho_{21}, \\ \rho_{31} &= i\Omega_{s}^{*}\rho_{21} - i\Omega_{p}^{*}\rho_{32} - \left[\frac{\Gamma_{1}}{2} - i\left(\Delta_{s} + \Delta_{B} + \Delta_{p}\right)\right]\rho_{31}, \\ \rho_{32} &= i\Omega_{s}^{*}(\rho_{22} - \rho_{33}) - i\Omega_{p}\rho_{31} - i\Omega_{c}^{*}\rho_{34} - \left[\frac{\Gamma_{1} + \gamma_{21}}{2} - i(\Delta_{s} + \Delta_{B})\right]\rho_{32}, \\ \rho_{41} &= i\Omega_{c}^{*}\rho_{21} - i\Omega_{p}^{*}\rho_{42} - \left[\frac{\Gamma_{2}}{2} - i\left(\Delta_{c} + \Delta_{p} - \Delta_{B}\right)\right]\rho_{41}, \\ \rho_{42} &= i\Omega_{c}^{*}(\rho_{22} - \rho_{44}) - i\Omega_{p}\rho_{41} - i\Omega_{s}^{*}\rho_{43} - \left[\frac{\Gamma_{2} + \gamma_{21}}{2} - i(\Delta_{c} - \Delta_{B})\right]\rho_{42}, \\ \rho_{43} &= i\Omega_{c}^{*}\rho_{23} - i\Omega_{s}\rho_{42} - \left[\frac{\Gamma_{1} + \Gamma_{2}}{2} + i(\Delta_{s} - \Delta_{c} + 2\Delta_{B})\right]\rho_{43}, \\ \rho_{22} &= -(\rho_{11} + \rho_{33} + \rho_{44}), \end{split}$$

$$(2)$$

where $\Gamma_1 = \gamma_{31} + \gamma_{32}$ and $\Gamma_2 = \gamma_{41} + \gamma_{42}$. The parameter $\gamma_{i1}(\gamma_{i2})(i = 3, 4)$ denotes the spontaneous decay rate from the excited state $|i\rangle$ to the lower states $|1\rangle$ ($|2\rangle$). The polarization vector in the atomic medium is given by

$$\overrightarrow{P}(z,t) = \chi_{p} \overrightarrow{\varepsilon_{p}} e^{-i\left(\omega_{p}t - k_{p}z\right)} + c.c.$$
(3)

Here, χ_p is the susceptibility representing the response of the medium to the probe field.

Let us now solve the wave equation for the probe field, which can be written as

$$\nabla^2 \overrightarrow{E_p} - \mu_0 \epsilon_0 \frac{\partial^2 \overrightarrow{E_p}}{\partial t^2} - \mu_0 \frac{\partial^2 \overrightarrow{P}}{\partial t^2} = 0.$$
(4)

Inserting equation 3 into equation 4 and using slowly varying approximation, we simplify the equation 4 as

$$\frac{\partial \varepsilon_p}{\partial z} = i2\pi\omega_p (\mu_0 \epsilon_0)^{1/2} \varepsilon_p \chi_p.$$
⁽⁵⁾

Thus, the solution of the equation 4 by substituting $c = 1/\sqrt{\mu_0 \epsilon_0}$ and $k_p = \omega_p/c$ leads the output probe field amplitude to become as





$$\varepsilon_p(z=l) = \varepsilon_p(0)e^{i2\pi k_p l \chi_p}.$$
(6)

 $\chi_{\rm p}$ can be related to the probe transition coherence $\rho_{\rm 21}$ defined as

$$\chi_p = \frac{n\mu_{21}^2\rho_{21}}{\hbar\Omega_p},\tag{7}$$

where *n* is density of atoms and ρ_{21} is calculated from equation (2). Therefore, the equation 6 reduces to

$$\varepsilon_p(z=l) = \varepsilon_p(0)e^{i\frac{\alpha i\rho_{21}\gamma}{2\Omega_p}},\tag{8}$$

where $\alpha l = 4\pi n \mu_{21}^2 k_p l/\hbar \gamma$ is the resonant absorption. By introducing the normalized susceptibility $S_p = \rho_{21} \gamma / \Omega_p$, the output probe field amplitude takes the form

$$\varepsilon_p(z=l) = \varepsilon_p(0)e^{i\frac{\alpha l}{2}S_p}.$$
(9)

Finally, the normalized transmission of the probe field is given by

$$T = \frac{\left|\varepsilon_p(z=l)\right|^2}{\left|\varepsilon_p(0)\right|^2} = e^{-\alpha l l m[S_p]}.$$
(10)

The normalized susceptibility S_p is clearly a complex quantity that its imaginary part stands for the absorption of the probe field. The intensity region in which the imaginary part of S_p increases with the increase of the input intensity denotes the RSA region. Equation 10 displays the transmission behavior of the light, which is going to be based on the study of the OL properties of the quantum system.

The Z-scan technique is widely used to study the nonlinear refractive index²⁷ as well as the OL properties of various materials²¹. In experiment, a Z-scan setup includes a laser field with a transverse Gaussian profile focused by using a lens. The sample is then moved along the propagation direction of the focused Gaussian field. It is clear that the sample experiences maximum intensity at the focal point (z = 0), which gradually decreases in either direction from the focus. The Z-scan technique shows the transmission based on the scanning of the sample position relative to the focal plane of the lens. The incident probe field is a Gaussian laser field with the Rabi frequency Ω_p

$$\Omega_p(z, r, t = 0) = \Omega_{p0} \frac{w_0^2}{w^2(z)} exp\left[-\left(\frac{2r^2}{w^2(z)}\right)\right],\tag{11}$$

where Ω_{p0} is the probe Rabi frequency at the focal point (beam waist), $w_0 = 0.1 \text{ mm}$ is the beam waist radius at focus, $w(z) = w_0 [1 + (z/z_0)^2]^{1/2}$ is the beam radius at z (the distance of the sample from the focal point) and



Figure 2. Absorption of the probe field versus intensity of the input probe field for different values of Ω_s . The used parameters are $\Omega_p = 0.01\gamma$, $\Omega_c = 65\gamma$, $\Delta_c = 100\gamma$, $\Delta_p = 1.5\gamma$, $\Delta_s = 0$, $\Delta_B = 0$, $\Omega_s = 0$ (dotted), $\Omega_s = 0.5\gamma$ (dot-dashed), $\Omega_s = \gamma$ (dashed) and $\Omega_s = 2\gamma$ (solid).

 $z_0 = \pi w_0^2 / \lambda$ is the diffraction length of the beam. It should be noted that the Z-scan measurements in our work are carried out for the probe field at 800 *nm* wavelength corresponding to the transition $5S_{12} \leftrightarrow 5P_{12}$.

With calculations of equation 11 numerically for a pulsed Gaussian beam, normalized transmission as a function of position can be obtained as

$$T(z) = \frac{4}{w^2} \int_0^\infty r T exp \left[-\left(\frac{2r^2}{w^2(z)}\right) \right] dr.$$
(12)

Results and Discussion

Here, we are going to present our numerical results describing the absorption behavior of the system. We are interested in investigating the SA and RSA regions in the system to provide the appropriate conditions for inducing the OL. All the parameters are scaled by γ , which is $2\pi \times 5.75$ MHz for the transition $5S_{12} \leftrightarrow 5P_{12}$ of ⁸⁷Rb atoms. Figure 2 shows the absorption of the probe field versus the incident intensity of the probe field for different values of the Ω_s . The dotted line is for $\Omega_s = 0$, the dot-dashed line for $\Omega_s = 0.5\gamma$, the dashed line for $\Omega_s = \gamma$ and the solid line for $\Omega_s = 2\gamma$. The other used parameters are $\Omega_p = 0.01\gamma$, $\Omega_c = 65\gamma$, $\Delta_c = 100\gamma$, $\Delta_p = 1.5\gamma$, $\Delta_s = 0$ and $\Delta_B = 0$. It is seen that when the coupling field Ω_s is switched off, the absorption of the probe field decreases by increasing the intensity of the input probe field and the SA is dominant in the absence of the Ω_s . By switching on the Ω_s , the RSA is induced and a peak is generated in the absorption of the probe field, leading to separate the RSA and SA regions. Moreover, the absorption peak enhances by increasing the Ω_s , so it allows us to control the intensity of the input laser field. An investigation on Fig. 2 shows that the RSA can switch to the SA for the intense input laser field.

The extension of the RSA with respect to the SA region is another scenario that we can do by applying the static magnetic field. After inducing the RSA in the system, it is important that the system maintains the RSA behavior in wider range of the intensity of the input field. The constructive role of the static magnetic field in the RSA is presented in Fig. 3 for $\Delta_B = 0$ (dotted), $\Delta_B = \gamma$ (dot-dashed), $\Delta_B = 2\gamma$ (dashed) and $\Delta_B = 3\gamma$ (solid) corresponding to the static magnetic field B = 0, B = 2G, B = 4.3G and B = 6.4G, respectively. The other taken parameters are $\Omega_p = 0.01\gamma$, $\Omega_c = 65\gamma$, $\Delta_c = 100\gamma$, $\Delta_p = 1.5\gamma$, $\Delta_s = 0$ and $\Omega_s = 2\gamma$. We result that the RSA region is extended by increasing the magnitude of the static magnetic field and the RSA is established in a larger range of the input probe field intensity, which promises the extension of the OL range.

In the following, we investigate the effect of the coupling field, Ω_s , on the transmission of the probe field. In Fig. 4, the transmission of the probe field versus the intensity of the input probe field is shown for $\alpha l = 800\gamma$, $\Omega_s = 0$ (dotted), $\Omega_s = 0.5\gamma$ (dot-dashed), $\Omega_s = \gamma$ (dashed) and $\Omega_s = 2\gamma$ (solid). The other used parameters are those taken in Fig. 2. Figure 4 shows that in the absence of the coupling field, the transmission of the probe field grows with the increase of the input probe field intensity going the system toward transparency. Thus, the system cannot be used as an optical limiter. As proved in Fig. 2, the RSA was induced and intensified by increasing the Ω_s . In the RSA domain, the transmission of the probe field keeps constant or even reduces wity increasing the coupling field intensity. Hence, it is demonstrated that the OL is coherently induced and controlled in the system. In addition, a bird's eye view of Fig. 4 reveals that the increase of the Ω_s can lead to decrease the threshold of the OL induced in the system.



Figure 3. Absorption behavior of the probe field versus intensity of the input probe field for different values of Δ_B . The taken parameters are $\Omega_p = 0.01\gamma$, $\Omega_c = 65\gamma$, $\Delta_c = 100\gamma$, $\Delta_p = 1.5\gamma$, $\Delta_s = 0$, $\Omega_s = 2\gamma$, $\Delta_B = 0$ (dotted), $\Delta_B = \gamma$ (dot-dashed), $\Delta_B = 2\gamma$ (dashed) and $\Delta_B = 3\gamma$ (solid) corresponding to the static magnetic field B = 0, B = 2G, B = 4.3G and B = 6.4G, respectively.



Figure 4. Transmission of the probe field versus intensity of the input probe field for $\Omega_s = 0$ (dotted), $\Omega_s = 0.5\gamma$ (dot-dashed), $\Omega_s = \gamma$ (dashed) and $\Omega_s = 2\gamma$ (solid). The other used parameters are the same used in Fig. 2 accompanied by $\alpha l = 800\gamma$.

One of the important features that distinguish an optical limiter from the rest is the intensity range in which the optical limiter operates. Here, we show that the applying the static magnetic field can extend the OL range. Figure 5 depicts the transmission of the probe field versus input intensity for different values of the static magnetic field. The taken parameters are the same used in Fig. 3. Figure 5 shows that the OL range can be controlled by the static magnetic field. It is seen that in the absence of the static magnetic field, the OL is even established in a small range of the input intensity. Thus, in our suggested atomic optical limiter, applying the static magnetic field makes the optical devices and sensors safe from damages in a larger range of input intensity.

Control of the intensity of the transmission is another advantage of the suggested optical limiter. In Fig. 6, the effect of the resonant absorption, αl , is studied on the transmission of the probe field plotted versus the intensity of the input probe field. Resonance absorption is directly related to the length of the medium and density of atoms. It is observed that by increasing αl , the transmission decreases with the same OL thresholds. Decrease of the transmission makes it possible that the presented optical limiter can be set to use in optical devices, which need the optical limiters with lower transmissions.

In order to gain a deeper insight, the slope of transmission of the probe field, D(T), is displayed in Fig. 7 as a function of the intensity of the input probe field and the static magnetic field. The used parameters are



Figure 5. Effect of the static magnetic field as $\Delta_B = 0$ (dotted), $\Delta_B = \gamma$ (dot-dashed), $\Delta_B = 2\gamma$ (dashed) and $\Delta_B = 3\gamma$ (solid) corresponding to the static magnetic field B = 0, B = 2 *G*, B = 4.3 *G* and B = 6.4 *G*, respectively, on the transmission of the probe field versus input intensity of the probe field. The other parameters used are the same as those in Fig. 3 accompanied by $\alpha l = 800\gamma$.



Figure 6. Transmission of the probe field versus the input intensity of the probe field for different values of the resonance absorption $\alpha l = 200\gamma$ (dotted), $\alpha l = 400\gamma$ (dot-dashed), $\alpha l = 600\gamma$ (dashed) and $\alpha l = 800\gamma$ (solid). The other taken parameters are $\Omega_p = 0.01\gamma$, $\Omega_c = 65\gamma$, $\Delta_c = 100\gamma$, $\Delta_p = 1.5\gamma$, $\Delta_s = 0$, $\Omega_s = 2\gamma$ and $\Delta_B = 3\gamma$.

 $\Omega_p = 0.01\gamma$, $\Omega_c = 65\gamma$, $\Delta_c = 100\gamma$, $\Delta_p = 1.5\gamma$, $\Delta_s = 0$, $\Omega_s = 2\gamma$ and $\alpha l = 800\gamma$. It is worth to note that for the OL range, the slope of the transmission is zero and even negative. Otherwise, the slope of the transmission is positive for the SA region. Figure 7 delineates the behavior of the RSA and the corresponding OL induced in the system as well as the SA for all values of the static magnetic field and intensity of the input field. This figure helps us to determine the OL range needed for different optical devices by selecting the appropriate parameters. The OL line, shown in the Fig. 7, presents the zero slope of transmission at the end of the RSA region. The left side of the OL line specifies the OL range, while the right side determines the SA region.

Z-scan technique. In Fig. 8, the schematic of experimental setup is displayed including the open aperture Z-scan technique. A diode laser at 780 nm passes through the Electro-Optic Modulator (EOM) to generate a probe field at 795 mm and coupling field at 762 nm. The generated fields then pass through a high-quality polarizer (P1) to have linear polarization. The probe field is sent to organize the open aperture Z-scan part of the setup. The coupling field passes through the EOM2 to generate the two linearly polarized coupling fields. These two fields then pass through the quarter wave plate 1 (QWP₁) and 2 (QWP₂) to form the right- (E_c) and left- (E_s) circularly polarized coupling field, respectively, and are applied to the medium. In addition, a static magnetic field is applied to the medium parallel to the coupling fields. The sample is moved around the focal point of the



Figure 7. Slope of the transmission of the probe field versus the static magnetic field and intensity of the input probe field. The used parameters are $\Omega_p = 0.01\gamma$, $\Omega_c = 65\gamma$, $\Delta_c = 100\gamma$, $\Delta_p = 1.5\gamma$, $\Delta_s = 0$, $\Omega_s = 2\gamma$ and $\alpha l = 800\gamma$.



Figure 8. Schematic of experimental setup including the open aperture Z-scan technique. EOM is an electrooptical-modulator; P is a high-quality polarizer; QWP is a quarter wave plate; and PD is a photodiode.

focused probe field by a fine micropositioner. Finally the transmission of the probe field in each step is detected by the PhotoDiode (PD).

Finally, we employ the Z-scan technique to confirm the validity of the obtained theoretical results. The dip in the Z-scan transmission curve corresponds to the OL, while the peak stands for the SA effect. In Fig. 9, Z-scan technique measurement is displayed to investigate the z-dependent transmission for different values of input intensity chosen from the RSA and the SA region in Figs. 3 and 5. In Fig. 3, it was observed that the RSA was induced in the system up to a certain intensity called OL threshold. After the OL threshold, the SA is dominant in the system. These results was followed by the Fig. 5 in which it was observed that in the RSA region ($I_{in} < 15\gamma$), limited by the OL threshold, the OL appears. These results are demonstrated in the Z-scan technique presented in Fig. 9. The parameters that their results are examined in Fig. 9 are $\Omega_p = 0.01\gamma$, $\Omega_c = 65\gamma$, $\Delta_c = 100\gamma$, $\Delta_p = 1.5\gamma$, $\Delta_s = 0$, $\Omega_s = 2\gamma$, $\Delta_B = 3\gamma$ and $\alpha l = 800\gamma$. It is seen in Fig. 9 that as the sample approaches and then moves away from the focal point, which its intensity is chosen from the RSA region in Figs. 3 and 5 ($I_{in} < 15\gamma$), the transmission curve takes the form of a dip. On the contrary, when the intensity of the focal point is chosen from the SA region in Figs. 3 and 5 ($I_{in} > 15\gamma$), the z-scan curve looks like a peak. Thus, the theoretical Z-scan experiment results are in good agreement with the results mentioned in Fig. 2–6.

Conclusion

In summary, coherent generation and control of the RSA and OL are reported in a four-level Y-type quantum system. It was shown that the RSA is coherently induced by applied laser fields. We showed that, consequently, the OL is coherently induced through the RSA region so that all characteristics of the induced OL such as the intensity range and the threshold intensity can be controlled by either intensity or frequency of the laser fields. In addition, we proved that the static magnetic field has a constructive role in extending the RSA region and the



Figure 9. Z-scan measurements of the obtained OL using input gaussian probe field at 800 nm wavelength for different values of input intensity.

OL range. Besides, it was demonstrated that the transmission of the suggested optical limiter can be controlled by either increasing the length of the medium or getting the atomic medium denser. Finally the obtained theoretical results was confirmed by the Z-scan technique. Our presented scheme can be used in designing the optical limiters with controllable intensity range and OL threshold.

Received: 9 July 2019; Accepted: 30 December 2019; Published online: 17 February 2020

References

- Li, C., Zhang, L., Wang, R., Song, Y. & Wang, Y. Dynamics of reverse saturable absorption and all-optical switching in C₆₀. J. Opt. Soc. Am. B 11, 1356–1360 (1994).
- Tutt, L. W. & Boggess, T. F. A review of optical limiting mechanisms and devices using organics, fullerenes, semiconductors and other materials. *Prog. Quantum Electron.* 17, 299–338 (1393).
- Sun, Y. P. & Riggs, J. E. Organic and inorganic optical limiting materials. From fullerenes to nanoparticles. Int. Rev. Phys. Chem. 18, 43–90 (1999).
- Li, Q., Liu, C., Liu, Z. & Gong, Q. Broadband optical limiting and two-photon absorption properties of colloidal GaAs nanocrystals. Opt. Express 13, 1833–1838 (2005).
- 5. Ehrlich, J. E. et al. Two-photon absorption and broadband optical limiting with bis-donor stilbenes. Opt. Lett. 22, 1843–1845 (1997).
- 6. Rao, S. Optical limiting in the presence of simultaneous one and two photon absorption. *Optik* **157**, 900–905 (2018).
- Venkatram, N., Rao, D. N. & Akundi, M. A. Nonlinear absorption, scattering and optical limiting studies of CdS nanoparticles. Opt. Express 13, 867–872 (2005).
- Venkatram, N., Kumar, R. S. S. & NarayanaRao, D. Nonlinear absorption and scattering properties of cadmium sulphide nanocrystals with its application as a potential optical limiter. J. Appl. Phys. 100, 074309 (2006).
- Kobyakov, A., Hagan, D. J. & Van Stryland, E. W. Analytical approach to dynamics of reverse saturable absorbers. J. Opt. Soc. Am. B 17, 1884–1893 (2000).
- 10. Dong, P. & Tang, S. H. Reverse-saturated absorption and narrow line in the absorption spectrum of a V-type three-level medium. *Phys. Lett. A* 290, 255–260 (2001).
- 11. Lepkowicz, R., Kobyakov, A., Hagan, D. J. & Van Stryland, E. W. Picosecond optical limiting in reverse saturable absorbers: a theoretical and experimental study. J. Opt. Soc. Am. B 19, 94–101 (2002).
- 12. Sun, Z. *et al.* Nanosecond reverse saturable absorption and optical limiting in (Me 4 N) 2 [Cd (dmit)(Sph) 2]. *J. Opt. Soc. Am. B* 18, 1464–1468 (2001).
- Mishra, S. R., Rawat, H. S. & Mehendale, S. C. Reverse saturable absorption and optical limiting in C₆₀ solution in the near-infrared. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 71, 46–48 (1997).
- 14. Wang, J. et al. Graphene and carbon nanotube polymer composites for laser protection. J. Inorg. Organomet. Polym. Mater 21, 736–746 (2011).
- 15. Kiran, P. P. et al. Enhanced optical limiting and nonlinear absorption properties of azoarene-appended phosphorus (V) tetratolylporphyrins. App. Opt. 41, 7631–7636 (2002).
- Allam, S. R. Theoretical study on nonlinear properties of four level systems under nano-second illumination. Laser Phys. 25, 055701 (2015).
- 17. Abdullah, M. *et al.* Transition from saturable absorption to reverse saturable absorption of carmoisine dye under low-powered continuous wave laser excitation. *OPT LASER TECHNOL* **115**, 97–103 (2019).
- Tintu, R., Nampoori, V. P. N., Radhakrishnan, P. & Thomas, S. Reverse saturable absorption in nano colloidal Ge28Sb12Se60 chalcogenide glass. J. Non-Cryst. Solids 375, 2888–2891 (2011).
- Li, F., Zheng, Q., Yang, G., Dai, N. & Lu, P. Optical limiting properties of two phthalocyanines using 1064-nm laser in solution. *Mater. Lett.* 62, 3059–3062 (2008).
- Azzam, S. I. & Kildishev, A. V. Time-domain dynamics of reverse saturable absorbers with application to plasmon-enhanced optical limiters. *Nanophotonics* 8, 145–151 (2018).
- 21. Liu, Z. B. *et al*. Nonlinear absorption and optical limiting properties of carbon disulfide in a short-wavelength region. *J. Opt. Soc. Am. B* 24, 1101–1104 (2007).
- 22. Pan, H., Chen, W., Feng, Y. P., Ji, W. & Lin, J. Optical limiting properties of metal nanowires. Appl. Phys. Lett. 88, 223106 (2006).
- 23. Wang, J. & Blau, W. J. Inorganic and hybrid nanostructures for optical limiting. J. Opt. 11, 024001 (2009).

- 24. Liu, Z., Zhang, B. & Chen, Y. Recent Progress in Two-Dimensional Nanomaterials for Laser Protection. Chemistry 1, 17-43 (2019).
- 25. Muller, O., Pichot, V., Merlat, L. & Spitzer, D. Optical limiting properties of surface functionalized nanodiamonds probed by the Z-scan method. Sci. Rep. 9, 519 (2019).
- 26. Muthukumar, V. S. et al. Optical limiting properties of CdS nanowires. Opt. Commun. 283, 4104–4107 (2010).
- Sheik-Bahae, M., Said, A. A., Wei, T. H., Hagan, D. J. & Van Stryland, E. W. Sensitive measurement of optical nonlinearities using a single beam. IEEE journal of quantum electronics. *IEEE J. Quantum Electron.* 26, 760–769 (1990).

Author contributions

M. Mahmoudi conceived the idea of the research and directed the project. All authors developed the research conceptions, analysed, and discussed the obtained results. M. Ghaderi Goran Abad, M. Mahdieh and M. Veisi performed the calculations. M. Ghaderi Goran Abad wrote the paper with major input from M. Mahmoudi. H. Nadjari has a major role in description of the results.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to M.M.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2020