Supplement Article

Crohn's and Colitis Canada's 2021 Impact of COVID-19 and Inflammatory Bowel Disease in Canada: Health Care Delivery During the Pandemic and the Future Model of Inflammatory Bowel Disease Care

Jennifer L. Jones, MD, MSc^{1,*}, Eric I. Benchimol, MD, PhD^{2,3,4,5,9}, Charles N. Bernstein, MD^{6,7,9}, James Guoxian Huang, MBBS², John K. Marshall, MD, MSc⁸, Mariam S. Mukhtar, MD⁹, Sanjay K. Murthy, MD, MSc¹⁰, Geoffrey C. Nguyen, MD, PhD^{11,9}, Gilaad G. Kaplan, MD, MPH^{12,13,9}, M. Ellen Kuenzig, PhD^{2,3,9}, Parul Tandon, DO^{14,9}, Laura E. Targownik, MD, MSc¹⁴, Joseph W. Windsor, PhD^{12,9}, Alain Bitton, MD^{15,*}

¹Department of Medicine, Dalhousie University, Halifax, Nova Scotia, Canada; ²SickKids Inflammatory Bowel Disease Centre, Division of Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Nutrition, The Hospital for Sick Children, Toronto, Ontario, Canada; ³Child Health Evaluative Sciences, SickKids Research Institute, Toronto, Ontario, Canada; ⁴ICES, Toronto, Ontario, Canada; ⁵Department of Paediatrics and Institute of Health Policy, Management and Evaluation, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada; ⁶Department of Internal Medicine, Max Rady College of Medicine, Rady Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada; ⁷University of Manitoba IBD Clinical and Research Centre, Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada; ⁸Department of Medicine and Farncombe Family Digestive Health Research Institute, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada; ⁹Department of Internal Medicine, King Abdulaziz University Hospital, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia; ¹⁰The Ottawa Hospital IBD Centre, Department of Medicine, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada; ¹¹Mount Sinai Hospital Inflammatory Bowel Disease Centre, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada; ¹²Department of Medicine, University of Calgary, Calgary, Alberta, Canada; ¹³Department of Community Health Sciences, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada; ¹⁴Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Mount Sinai Hospital, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada; ¹⁵Department of Medicine, McGill University Health Centre, McGill University, Montreal, Quebec, Canada.

Correspondence: Jennifer L. Jones, MD, Victoria General Hospital, Room 932 9th Floor Victoria Building, Halifax, Nova Scotia, B3H2Y9, Canada, e-mail: jljones@dal.ca

*Co-corresponding authors.

ABSTRACT

The SARS-CoV-2 pandemic has had a profound impact on inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) health care delivery. The implementation of necessary public health restrictions has restricted access to medications, procedures and surgeries throughout the pandemic, catalyzing widespread change in how IBD care is delivered. Rapid large-scale implementation of virtual care modalities has been shown to be feasible and acceptable for the majority of individuals with IBD and health care providers. The SARS-CoV-2 pandemic has exacerbated pre-existing barriers to accessing high-quality, multidisciplinary IBD care that addresses health care needs holistically. Continued implementation and evaluation of both synchronous and asynchronous eHealthcare modalities into patient-centred, collaborative care models. Resources must be dedicated to studies that evaluate the feasibility, acceptability and effectiveness of eHealth-enhanced models of IBD care to improve efficiency and cost-effectiveness, while increasing quality of life for persons living with IBD. Crohn's and Colitis Canada will continue to play a major leadership role in advocating for the health care delivery models that improve the quality of life for persons living with IBD.

Received: June 25, 2021; Accepted: August 11, 2021.

@ The Author(s) 2021. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Canadian Association of Gastroenterology. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Key Messages

- The SARS-CoV-2 pandemic has negatively impacted access to IBD care globally.
- The global IBD community has successfully pivoted to alternate models of care, inclusive of virtual care and remote monitoring of disease activity, which will influence health care delivery models beyond the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic.
- Ongoing support for rigorous, patient-oriented research that seeks to understand how best to implement and sustain new models of health care delivery is needed now and in the future.

Keywords: COVID-19; Health care delivery; IBD; Telemedicine

INTRODUCTION

The SARS-CoV-2 pandemic has led to a dramatic shift in access to and delivery of care for Canadians living with inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) (1). The implementation of significant public health restrictions globally resulted in the need to physically distance, adopt proper hand hygiene and wear face masks and, in certain settings, personal protective equipment. Combined with the need to conserve health system capacity, these measures have changed how health care is being delivered in both the inpatient and ambulatory care spaces. This change has spurred rapid and widespread implementation of innovative health care delivery models that may not have been possible in the pre-pandemic era (2). These dramatic shifts, supported by a collective sense of urgency, motivation, and shared objectives across government and health care sectors, have provided valuable insights and lessons related to how IBD care is delivered and what clinicians and individuals with IBD value in health care services delivery. These lessons will have an enduring influence on models of IBD care delivery beyond the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic.

CHANGES IN HEALTH CARE DELIVERY

The SARS-CoV-2 pandemic has had a dramatic impact on the delivery of health care services both for persons living with and without IBD. Most outpatient care has shifted to virtual care, with elective and semi-elective endoscopy and bowel resection surgery volumes dramatically decreased globally at most centres during the pandemic (3). In most cases, these reductions were due to government and institutional policy decisions either to minimize potential exposure to SARS-CoV-2 (especially at the start of the pandemic when personal protective equipment was limited) or to facilitate redeployment of staff to other essential services, such as intensive care units, emergency departments and medical wards.

Outpatient Visits Replaced by Virtual Care

Perhaps one of the most impressive, rapidly adopted and far-reaching changes in how IBD care has been delivered during the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic relates to a wholesale adoption of eHealthcare delivery options to reduce the risk of transmission of SARS-CoV-2 associated with in-person clinical visits. eHealth includes the use of both synchronous (telephone consults or follow-up visits, video visits) as well as asynchronous (text messaging, eConsultation, health care delivery via social media) virtual delivery health care modalities (4). The convergence of government and health care system information technology infrastructure support (financial support, fast tracking privacy and security threat assessments, reduction in innovation resistance), patient and provider acceptance, and rapid adoption as a result of the mandatory restrictions imposed during the pandemic led to unprecedented health system change on a global scale.

Gastroenterologists around the world have reported dramatic reductions in the use of in-person clinics (4-8). The literature has overwhelmingly demonstrated that providers and persons living with IBD feel that virtual care is an acceptable, feasible and safe method of health care delivery (9). Evaluations have demonstrated consistently high levels of satisfaction among persons living with IBD (10-13). In fact, several studies have observed that the majority of individuals surveyed would prefer virtual visits versus in-person clinics, particularly for matters of routine follow-up (11, 14). In a survey study of 115 individuals with IBD in Italy (of whom 100 completed the questionnaire), trust in telemedicine services for consultation and desire to continue to use telemedicine services was >90% (15). Additionally, in a Portuguese survey study of 973 individuals with IBD, 88.8% supported remote consultations and 77.3% were satisfied with this type of appointment (16). Although less commonly reported, some centres even utilized social media and alternate communication platforms (e.g., WeChat) in order to facilitate the delivery of virtual care (8). Mastronardi et al. conducted a single-centre study in Castella Grotte, Italy between March and April 2020 (17). They allocated 1038 persons with IBD that they were previously followed into two groups: (i) 421 individuals who they continued to assess in-person (chosen because they required biologic transfusions) and (ii) 617 individuals who received telemonitoring (chosen because they were able to self-administer their therapy [oral or subcutaneous therapies: 5-aminosalicylic acid, steroids, thiopurines]). Telemonitoring was scheduled every 3 days, either by remote video call or telephone. They observed significantly more clinical relapses,

based on Mayo Score or Harvey-Bradshaw Index, in the telemonitoring group (23.5%) compared to the in-person control group (18.3%). However, there were no significant differences observed in quality of life (assessed using the IBD32Q) between the groups. Since clinical disease activity is poorly correlated with endoscopic disease activity, these data should be interpreted with caution. Additionally, those being seen in person may have a greater disease burden since they were on biologic therapy. Therefore, a comparable relapse rate between the groups suggests that in-person may be preferred for certain patient groups. However, some individuals remain concerned about missed findings without a physical examination and may prefer in-person care (17).

Endoscopy and Disease Monitoring

Monitoring IBD disease activity during the pandemic has been challenging with the rapid reduction in the number of colonoscopies being performed at medical centres globally. The Netherlands reported a 14.7% net decrease in endoscopy over the course of the pandemic, with some centres reporting much higher decreases (18); this has forced many IBD centres to be creative in their approach to monitoring disease activity. In an international survey, 52 gastroenterologists from 33 countries reported using blood testing, fecal calprotectin (FC) and cross-sectional imaging when endoscopy was not available (5). However, access to cross-sectional imaging was limited in some countries (e.g., Brazil, Canada, and the United States). Even as we begin to emerge from the third wave of the pandemic, it is highly likely that access to cross-sectional imaging will become even more limited due to the cumulative backlog of tests. Although some Canadian centres had no barriers to accessing FC, some centres identified limited access and funding for FC, including the use of at home FC kits. All Canadian centres had access to standard blood testing, and most had usual access to basic diagnostic imaging (e.g., x-rays). The Endoscopic Healing Index is a serum test composed of 13 protein biomarkers to produce a quantitative score ranging from 0 to 100, which was validated against colonoscopy in individuals with Crohn's disease and may provide another metric for disease monitoring (19).

Hospital and Surgical Care

In some COVID-19 hot spots, hospitals have come under considerable strain as persons who contract COVID-19 fill hospital beds and increase overall hospital admission volumes. For example, at the height of the pandemic in New York City, individuals with COVID-19 occupied more than 50% of acute care beds (20). In many areas with lower COVID-19 rates, however, the increase in number of hospitalizations for COVID-19 has been offset by reductions on non-COVID-19 hospitalizations, often resulting in overall reductions in hospitalization burden as many individuals elect to administer self-care at home so as to avoid potential exposure. The rates of ICU admission have increased in most regions as a result of COVID-19, particularly in hot spots. As critical illness cannot be deferred, COVID-19 has compounded general ICU admissions, leading many centres to develop ancillary ICU beds in other parts of the hospital. Some regions, such as Italy and India, have had to implement rationing of ICU beds, ventilators and oxygen due to overwhelming demands at pandemic peaks.

Similar to trends in the general population, hospitalizations for IBD declined following the onset of the pandemic (10, 21). In Madrid, Spain, the rate of IBD-related hospitalizations and visits to the emergency departments decreased by 50% and 58%, respectively, compared with rates in the same period the previous year (10). In a nationwide Dutch study, combined IBD-related endoscopic and surgical services decreased by 59.7% at the national peak of the pandemic in April 2020 as compared to pre-pandemic rates in the preceding year. Over the duration of the pandemic, endoscopic and surgical procedures showed a net decrease of 14.7% (1443 procedures) and 5.5% (33 procedures), respectively (18). In Alberta, 5.7% of patients reported a delay of surgery by a median of 10 weeks (22).

The inevitable hurdle that will be faced by practitioners and institutions once elective services resume to normal capacity will be managing the backlog of cases that have accumulated, most of which would likely still have an active indication for intervention, and which may have become more urgent due to delays in investigation and treatment.

Multidisciplinary Care

Complex medical, surgical and psychosocial issues associated with IBD often require specialized expertise from a multidisciplinary team that includes gastroenterologists, pharmacists, surgeons, psychologists and dietitians (23, 24). This type of multidisciplinary care delivery is often restricted to large academic centres (24–26). An integrated model of care in IBD has the potential to improve the quality of care, patient satisfaction, and mental and physical health and to reduce health care costs (23, 27, 28). During the pandemic, multidisciplinary visits have often been continued virtually with the individual seen on same day by different members of the multidisciplinary team in order to make joint treatment decisions (29, 30).

Before the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic began, it was recognized that IBD specialist nurses, the main point of access for persons with IBD, played a pivotal role in providing education about disease, medications, monitoring of therapy, basic dietary advice, within-scope psychological and emotional support, access to service and telephone advice, particularly during periods of disease flare (25, 31, 32). The SARS-CoV-2 pandemic has led to a significant increase in the reliance on IBD nurses to provide essential services to persons with IBD remotely. Both

synchronous and asynchronous virtual care through telephone and e-mail have been provided by IBD nurses as an important communication pathway for people with IBD needing access to specialist support and advice and facilitating avoidance of clinic appointments and hospital admission (24, 33, 34). IBD nurses have also played an important role in the identification of high levels of distress (already prevalent in IBD and exacerbated by the pandemic) through their remote interactions with patients and have been able to offer virtual modes of psychologic support. Digital eHealth systems have enabled screening of psychologic well-being and delivery of solutions such as app-based, cognitive-behavioral therapy (9). For practices without access to an IBD nurse, the aforementioned responsibilities typically fell on the gastroenterologist or other physicians who, in addition to maintaining an acceptable standard of care for those with IBD, had to ensure safe work practices for themselves, staff and those still required to attend clinic in person; provide general COVID-19 and IBD advice; advise on the safety of IBD medications and COVID-19 vaccines; and identify when it would be necessary to refer an individual for outside specialist help (e.g., dieticians or mental health professionals). Once again, virtual care was received as a tremendous benefit in being able to accommodate this additional workload.

MEDICAL THERAPY DURING THE PANDEMIC

Immunomodulatory Therapy and Risk of Severe COVID-19

At the beginning of the pandemic, there was concern among people with IBD that being on immunosuppressive medications might enhance the risk for either acquiring SARS-CoV-2 infection or having more severe COVID-19. Hence, there was concern for individuals with IBD either not being adherent with medications or not accessing them at appropriate intervals due to fear about attending infusion centres or even going into public pharmacies to fill prescriptions. Early assurances from the SECURE-IBD registry regarding the use of anti-tumour necrosis factors helped to reduce anxiety related to IBD biologic use, but concerns remain for other therapies (e.g., steroids). The risk of severe COVID-19 across medication groups are reviewed in detail in the article on 'Clinical risk factors and IBD medications' (35). The IBD population was advised early in the pandemic not to interrupt their medication, as this may lead to disease relapse, which could necessitate a new prescription of corticosteroids or hospitalization. These events were considered to pose a greater risk than the medications used to treat IBD (36).

Provider Prescribing and Treatment Adherence

While data were emerging from the SECURE-IBD registry and experts were providing guidance on medication usage during the pandemic, little information relating to what individuals

with IBD and their providers actually did with IBD-specific medications during the pandemic exists. In a Vancouver, BC general gastroenterology clinic, a retrospective chart review of 241 people with IBD compared medication adherence rates after telehealth visits (n = 113 individuals) with in-person visits (n = 128 individual). The majority of individuals had IBD as their primary gastrointestinal problem and two-thirds were using biologic therapy. Prescription fill rates for patients seen through telehealth (98.2%) were higher than in-person visits (89.1%, P = 0.004). Excluding biologic therapies, the prescription fill rate was 94.7% in telehealth group and 81.4% in in-person group (odds ratio: 4.11; 95% confidence interval: 0.88, 19.27). Reasons for this difference were not clear but may include the process of getting the prescriptions to the pharmacies (i.e., electronically faxed directly to pharmacies versus individuals being handed a prescription during the in-person visit forcing another visit to a public establishment [the pharmacy]) or systematic differences between those people seen in person versus those seen via telehealth, which may influence medication adherence. In a study out of Denmark, 14.3% of 400 participants using IBD medication paused or stopped their IBD treatment during the initial phase of the pandemic. The majority (61.4%) discontinued IBD medications either due to remission or because of side-effects (37); however, corticosteroids were the most frequently discontinued medication, and it is not clear if discontinuation was due to completion of a short-course of steroids or in response to risks associated with SARS-CoV-2 infection. Only five respondents stated that the pandemic contributed to their decision to discontinue therapy.

Access to Biologic Therapies

While adherence to medications may not have changed significantly, there were concerns that public health policies might limit access to biologic therapies due to a reduction in infusion capacity or closure of infusion clinics. In a study of 398 participants attending University of Alberta or University of Calgary IBD clinics from March 2020 through July 2020, only 2.2% reported a delay in biologic infusions for a median of 2 weeks; it is unknown how representative this is of other clinic models across Canada (22). As a result of concerns about attending health clinics for infusions at two hospitals in the United Kingdom in March 2020, a program was implemented in which all hospital-based intravenous infusions of infliximab were switched to home-based, self-administered, subcutaneous injections of CT-P13, an infliximab biosimilar, with all but 3 of 163 participants tolerating the switch without early flares or intolerance (38).

IBD CARE DELIVERY: LESSONS FOR THE FUTURE

The rapid and transformative health care delivery changes that have been made and lessons learned throughout the

S65

SARS-CoV-2 pandemic to date have facilitated fast-paced innovation that has leveraged and built upon previous eHealth implementation success in the IBD space. These innovations will need to continue well beyond the current pandemic in order to improve exacerbations in access to quality care for persons living with IBD.

Another area of health care delivery in the ambulatory IBD space that will be transformed in the future relates to remote monitoring of clinical factors proven to impact disease-related outcomes. Remote monitoring of patient-reported outcomes, disease activity, medication adherence, nutritional status, mental health and well-being through the use of digital health technology will facilitate implementation of a more comprehensive and holistic care model; one that has historically been difficult, if not impossible, to implement through traditional clinic-based models of care and with limited IT infrastructure. These models will also serve to engage and empower many people living with IBD with respect to self-management and autonomy. Apps on mobile devices or web-based programs through which individuals can report symptoms to providers who then respond with a timely intervention have the potential to improve health outcomes. Only a few randomized trials have evaluated such digital health technology and its potential impact on health outcomes. So far, improved disease-specific quality of life and reduced health care utilization including outpatient visits and hospitalizations have been reported (39–41).

The future model of IBD care will almost certainly include a hybrid of in-person and virtual care delivery strategies, supported by synchronous and asynchronous eHealth technology. These eHealth platforms will be integrated within the electronic medical record along with clinical data (i.e., symptoms, laboratory and biomarker data) derived from both active and passive (e.g., smart watches) digital remote monitoring tools (42). Given the exponential rise in the routine collection of clinical data through remote monitoring activities, artificial intelligence (AI) algorithms based on evidence-based care pathways will be needed in order to gather, classify and interpret data, as well as support clinicians (i.e., clinical decision supports through embedded smart algorithms). These eHealth and digital monitoring tools will ideally be applied within the context of a multidisciplinary care setting in which the ability to respond to the data and to adequately address individual needs will be facilitated. How these technologies are designed and implemented within clinical care settings as well as the individuals' lives will need to be balanced by a patient-centred approach, informed by extensive stakeholder engagement.

Much work in relation to health care delivery advocacy and policy is needed now and into the future. Pre-existing barriers in access to high-quality, multidisciplinary care have been exacerbated by the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic. National guidelines for the use and implementation of virtual care, digital remote monitoring and the application of AI will need to be developed and standardized, while taking into account the unique environmental considerations of each province, institution and clinic. As technology evolves, integration of all eHealth platforms within an electronic medical record will allow for more seamless tracking of data and, therefore, a greater likelihood of technology adoption.

Several initiatives that were being developed in the Canadian landscape prior to the pandemic have evolved and will play an important role in the future of IBD care (Table 1). Developed by Crohn's and Colitis Canada, the Promoting Access and Care through Centres of Excellence (PACE) Telemedicine Program in Ontario, Canada has already demonstrated that use of video consultation for geographic areas with minimal access to specialized IBD care was feasible, reduced wait times and was costsaving (43). These benefits were realized while maintaining high-value care. Teleconsultation through the PACE program has been successful in Ontario and will be expanded across Canada in the near future. MyGut, a mobile app inaugurated in 2020, is a platform for health care provider-patient interaction, remote monitoring, and tele-education. Also being implemented is the IBD Global Rating Scale, a web-based, periodic self-reporting system for IBD care providers to help improve and standardize the quality of IBD care across Canada. The Crohn's and Colitis Canada COVID-19 IBD Taskforce

 Table 1. Post-pandemic care in IBD: Canadian initiatives

Activity	Current	Future
Teleconsultation	PACE Telemedicine Program	Expansion across Canada
Telemonitoring	MyGut mobile device application	MyGut implementation
IBD quality of care	Guidelines, IBD GRS, PACE IBD care pathways	Implementation of IBD GRS and PACE IBD care pathways
Knowledge translation	CCC COVID-19 and IBD Taskforce	Dedicated long-term knowledge
		translation committee

CCC, Crohn's and Colitis Canada; GRS, Global Rating Scale; IBD, Inflammatory bowel disease; PACE, Promoting Access and Care through Centres of Excellence.

should remain as a general IBD knowledge translation channel even after the pandemic.

An increasing amount of health research utilizes patient partners as invaluable resources in defining the research questions, participating in literature reviews and providing stakeholder assessments and feedback. As the new models of care first introduced during the pandemic continue to evolve in the postpandemic era, they must do so with users at top of mind. Specifically, people with IBD need to be partners in the design of the postpandemic multidisciplinary care models, including synchronous and asynchronous care, data tracking (e.g., wearable technology or mobile apps), and in training and testing AI algorithms for care pathways. Individual preferences should also be incorporated in the type and location of care provided. A critical component of this patient-centred approach is integrated knowledge translation strategies where end-stage users are included in the research.

The COVID-19 pandemic is a global crisis that will leave its imprint for years to come and will reshape the way medicine is practised. The medical community has had to respond, adapt and effect change rapidly. This change will pave the way for a transformed and enhanced model of IBD care and will bring about reflection on our readiness for future challenges of such magnitude as the COVID-19 pandemic. Rapidly emerging literature in relation to the widespread implementation of virtual care modalities in combination with the use of non-invasive disease monitoring strategies have demonstrated the acceptability, feasibility and safety of these approaches during the pandemic.

Funding

This supplement is sponsored by Crohn's and Colitis Canada and a Canadian Institutes of Health Research (CIHR) COVID-19 Rapid Research Funding Opportunity (Funding Reference Number – VR5 172684). Crohn's and Colitis Canada received partial funding support from Pfizer Canada, AbbVie Corporation (Canada), and Takeda Canada Inc. after completing the draft of the impact of COVID-19 and IBD report. Only Crohn's and Colitis Canada was involved in the research, writing, and conclusions of this report. The other sponsors had no role in the development or conclusions of this report.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

E.I.B. has acted as a legal consultant for Hoffman La-Roche Limited and Peabody & Arnold LLP for matters unrelated to medications used to treat inflammatory bowel disease and has received honoraria from McKesson Canada. He is Chair of the Scientific and Medical Advisory Council of Crohn's and Colitis Canada. C.N.B. is supported in part by the Bingham Chair in Gastroenterology. He is on Advisory Boards for AbbVie Canada, Amgen Canada, Bristol Myers Squibb, Janssen Canada, Pfizer Canada, Roche Canada, Sandoz Canada and Takeda Canada. He is a Consultant for Mylan Pharmaceuticals and Takeda. He has received educational grants from AbbVie Canada, Pfizer Canada, Takeda Canada and Janssen Canada. He is on the speaker's panel for AbbVie Canada, Janssen Canada, Pfizer Canada, Takeda Canada

and received research funding from AbbVie Canada, Pfizer Canada and Sandoz Canada. A.B. has participated in advisory boards with AbbVie, Janssen, Pfizer, Takeda, Hoffman-LaRoche and Amgen. He has received research support from AbbVie and educational support from Fresenius Kabi and Takeda. J.L.J. has received honoraria for speaking and consulting for AbbVie, Janssen, Pfizer, Shire and Takeda. G.G.K. has received honoraria for speaking or consultancy from AbbVie, Janssen, Pfizer and Takeda. He has received research support from Ferring, Janssen, AbbVie, GlaxoSmith Kline, Merck and Shire. He has been a consultant for Gilead. He shares ownership of a patent: Treatment of Inflammatory Disorders, Autoimmune Disease, and PBC. UTI Limited Partnership, assignee. Patent WO2019046959A1. PCT/CA2018/051098. 7 September 2018. J.K.M. has consulted or been on the advisory board for AbbVie, Allergan, Amgen, Bristol Myers Squibb, Ferring, Fresenius Kabi, Janssen, Lilly, Lupin, Novartis, Organon, Paladin, Pfizer, Pharmascience, Roche, Sandoz, Shire, Takeda, Teva and Viatris and has been a speaker for AbbVie, Allergan, Amgen, Ferring, Janssen, Lupin, Organon, Pfizer, Pharmascience, Roche, Shire, Takeda and Viatris. G.C.N. has received honoraria for speaking or consulting for AbbVie and Takeda. L.E.T. has received research funding from AbbVie Canada, Takeda Canada, Sandoz Canada, Amgen Canada, Gilead Canada, Roche Canada and Pfizer Canada and has been on Advisory Boards for Janssen Canada, AbbVie Canada, Takeda Canada, Pfizer Canada, Merck Canada, Roche Canada, Sandoz Canada, and Amgen Canada. The authors M.E.K., S.K.M., J.G.H., M.S.M., P.T. and J.W.W declare no conflict of interest.

Supplement sponsorship. This supplemental issue was produced with support from Pfizer Canada. Pfizer Canada had no role in the creation of the Impact of COVID-19 & IBD Report, nor did it influence its contents. This supplemental issue was also produced with support from Crohn's and Colitis Canada and a Canadian Institutes of Health Research (CIHR) COVID-19 Rapid Research Funding Opportunity grant (Funding Reference Number – VR5 172684).

References

- Harris RJ, Downey L, Smith TR, Cummings JRF, Felwick R, Gwiggner M. Life in lockdown: Experiences of patients with IBD during COVID-19. BMJ Open Gastroenterology 2020;7(1):e000541.
- Ananthakrishnan AN, Kaplan GG, Ng SC. Changing global epidemiology of inflammatory bowel diseases: Sustaining health care delivery into the 21st century. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2020;18(6):1252–60.
- Lewin S, Lees C, Regueiro M, et al. International Organization for the Study of Inflammatory Bowel Disease: Global strategies for telemedicine and inflammatory bowel diseases. J Crohns Colitis 2020;14(14 suppl. 3):780–4.
- Ghoshal UC, Sahu S, Biswas SN, et al. Care of inflammatory bowel disease patients during coronavirus disease-19 pandemic using digital health-care technology. JGH Open 2021;5(5):535–41.
- Bernstein CN, Ng SC, Banerjee R, et al.; IBD-Emerging Nations Consortium and the WGO IBD Task Force on COVID-19. Worldwide management of inflammatory bowel disease during the COVID-19 pandemic: An international survey. Inflamm Bowel Dis 2021;27(6):836–47.
- Sarvepalli S, Kumar D, Lie M, et al. Pilot implementation of video telehealth for gastrointestinal sub-specialty care to veterans during the COVID-19 pandemic. Am J Gastroenterol 2020;115:S620.
- Sharma E, Meade S, D'Errico F, et al. The effects of COVID-19 on IBD prescribing and service provision in a UK tertiary centre. GastroHep 2020;2(6):318–26.
- Tian WN, Huang YH, Dai C. The effect of the COVID-19 pandemic on the medical mode of patients with inflammatory bowel disease in China. Inflamm Bowel Dis 2020;26(10):e116–7.
- Lees CW, Regueiro M, Mahadevan U; International Organization for the Study of Inflammatory Bowel Disease. Innovation in inflammatory bowel disease care during

the COVID-19 pandemic: Results of a global telemedicine survey by the International Organization for the Study of Inflammatory Bowel Disease. Gastroenterology 2020;159(3):805–8.e1.

- Taxonera C, Alba C, Olivares D, et al. Innovation in IBD care during the COVID-19 pandemic: Results of a cross-sectional survey on patient-reported experience measures. Inflamm Bowel Dis 2021;27(6):864–9.
- Bouri S, Sheth R, LeBlank J-F, et al. What is the patient's and multidisciplinary team's perspective on telephone clinics? Br J Healthcare Manage 2021;27(1):26–31.
- Tang J, Huang Z, Guo H, et al. Online video clinic satisfies the medical requirements of patients with IBD during the COVID-19 outbreak. Am J Gastroenterol 2021;116(5):1087.
- Zhang YF, Qiu Y, He JS, et al. Impact of COVID-19 outbreak on the care of patients with inflammatory bowel disease: A comparison before and after the outbreak in South China. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2021;36(3):700–9.
- Eltelbany A, Khoudari G, Regueiro M. Inflammatory bowel disease patients perceptions of telemedicine during the COVID-19 pandemic. Am J Gastroenterol 2020;115:S329–30.
- Costantino A, Noviello D, Mazza S, et al. Trust in telemedicine from IBD outpatients during the COVID-19 pandemic. Dig Liver Dis 2021;53(3):291–4.
- Gomes C, Pinho R, Ponte A, et al. Patient's perspective on the implementation of measures to contain the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic in a Portuguese gastroenterology department. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2021;33(4):527–32.
- Mastronardi M, Curlo M, Polignano M, Vena N, Rossi D, Giannelli G. Remote monitoring empowerment of patients with IBDs during the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic. Healthcare (Basel, Switzerland) 2020;8(4):377.
- Te Groen M, Derks MEW, Kuijpers CCHJ, et al. Reduction in inflammatory bowel disease healthcare during the coronavirus disease 2019 pandemic: A nationwide retrospective cohort study. Gastroenterology 2021;160(3):935–7.e1.
- Abreu MT, Okada L, Dervieux T, et al. Use of the Endoscopic Healing Index for monitoring of disease activity in patients with Crohn's disease in the COVID era. Crohn's Colitis 360 2020;2(2):otaa035. doi:10.1093/crocol/otaa035
- Mahadev S, Aroniadis OC, Barraza LH, et al.; on behalf of NYSGE Research Committee. Gastrointestinal endoscopy during the coronavirus pandemic in the New York area: Results from a multi-institutional survey. Endosc Int Open 2020;8(12):E1865–71.
- Arrigo S, Alvisi P, Banzato C, et al. Management of paediatric IBD after the peak of COVID-19 pandemic in Italy: A position paper on behalf of the SIGENP IBD Working Group. Dig Liver Dis 2021;53(2):183–9.
- Dahiya M, Olayinka L, Kaplan GG, et al. Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic in IBD patient care. J Can Assoc Gastroenterol 2021;4(supplement. 1):48–49.
- Lee CK, Melmed GY. Multidisciplinary team-based approaches to IBD management: How might "one-stop shopping" work for complex IBD care? Am J Gastroenterol 2017;112(6):825–7.
- 24. Lamb CA, Kennedy NA, Raine T, et al.; IBD Guidelines eDelphi Consensus Group. British Society of Gastroenterology consensus guidelines on the management of inflammatory bowel disease in adults. Gut 2019;68(suppl. 3):s1–s106.
- Panés J, O'Connor M, Peyrin-Biroulet L, et al. Improving quality of care in inflammatory bowel disease: What changes can be made today? J Crohns Colitis 2014;8(9):919–26.
- Fiorino G, Allocca M, Chaparro M, et al. 'Quality of care' standards in inflammatory bowel disease: A systematic review. J Crohns Colitis 2019;13(1):127–37.

- Schoenfeld R, Nguyen GC, Bernstein CN. Integrated care models: Optimizing adult ambulatory care in inflammatory bowel disease. J Can Assoc Gastroenterol 2020;3(1):44–53.
- Peña-Sánchez JN, Lix LM, Teare GF, et al. Impact of an integrated model of care on outcomes of patients with inflammatory bowel diseases: Evidence from a populationbased study. J Crohns Colitis 2017;11(12):1471–9.
- Beard JA, Franco DL, Click BH. The burden of cost in inflammatory bowel disease: A medical economic perspective and the future of value-based care. Curr Gastroenterol Rep 2020;22(2):6.
- Prasad SS, Potter M, Keely S, et al. Roles of healthcare professionals in the management of chronic gastrointestinal diseases with a focus on primary care: A systematic review. JGH Open 2020;4(2):221–9.
- Kemp K, Dibley L, Chauhan U, et al. Second N-ECCO consensus statements on the European nursing roles in caring for patients with Crohn's disease or ulcerative colitis. J Crohns Colitis 2018;12(7):760–76.
- Dias CC, Santiago M, Correia L, et al.; GEDII. Hospitalization trends of the Inflammatory Bowel Disease landscape: A nationwide overview of 16 years. Dig Liver Dis 2019;51(7):952–60.
- Correal EN, Leiva OB, Galguera AD, et al. Nurse-led telephone advice line for patients with inflammatory bowel disease: A cross-sectional multicenter activity analysis. Gastroenterol Nurs 2019;42(2):133–9.
- Hibi T, Panaccione R, Katafuchi M, et al. The 5C concept and 5S principles in inflammatory bowel disease management. J Crohns Colitis 2017;11(11):1302–8.
- Targownik LE, Bernstein CN, Lakatos PL, et al. Crohn's and colitis Canada's 2021 impact of COVID-19 and inflammatory bowel disease in Canada: risk factors and medications. J Can Assoc Gastroenterol 2021;4(S2):S41–S46.
- Magro F, Rahier JF, Abreu C, et al. Inflammatory bowel disease management during the COVID-19 outbreak: The ten do's and don'ts from the ECCO-COVID Taskforce. J Crohns Colitis 2020;14(14 suppl. 3):798–806.
- Haase AM, Thorsgaard N, Lodrup AB. Medical treatment and COVID-19 related worries in patients with inflammatory bowel disease. Dan Med J 2021;68(3):A10200777.
- Verma AM, Patel A, Subramanian S, et al. From intravenous to subcutaneous infliximab in patients with inflammatory bowel disease: A pandemic-driven initiative. Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol 2021;6(2):88–9.
- Cross RK, Cheevers N, Rustgi A, et al. Randomized, controlled trial of home telemanagement in patients with ulcerative colitis (UC HAT). Inflamm Bowel Dis 2012;18(6):1018–25.
- Cross RK, Langenberg P, Regueiro M, et al. A randomized controlled trial of TELEmedicine for Patients with Inflammatory Bowel Disease (TELE-IBD). Am J Gastroenterol 2019;114(3):472–82.
- de Jong M, van der Meulen-de Jong A, Romberg-Camps M, et al. Development and feasibility study of a telemedicine tool for all patients with IBD: MyIBDcoach. Inflamm Bowel Dis 2017;23(4):485–93.
- Haisma SM, Galaurchi A, Almahwzi S, et al. Head-to-head comparison of three stool calprotectin tests for home use. PLoS One 2019;14(4):e0214751.
- Habashi P, Bouchard S, Nguyen GC. Transforming access to specialist care for inflammatory bowel disease: The PACE telemedicine program. J Can Assoc Gastroenterol 2019;2(4):186–94.