RESEARCH LETTER

Representation of Women Authors in Trials of Lipid-Lowering Therapy

Charumathi Raghu Subramanian, MD*; Safi U. Khan ^(b), MD, MS*; Ahmad Naeem Lone, MD; Nosheen Reza ^(b), MD; Ersilia M. DeFilippis ^(b), MD; Martha Gulati, MD, MS; Erin D. Michos ^(b), MD, MHS

www.energy.energ

Addressing authorship inequity in the cardiovascular field requires extensive literature review across clinical settings, drugs and devices, funding mechanisms of the trials, and regional differences. However, providing deeper insights on authorship across individual cardiology domains would be more helpful in identifying and addressing the inequity. For instance, a recent study focused on heart failure trials to demonstrate that the median proportion of women authors was only 11% per trial, and only 16% of publications had women as first or senior authors.³

Lipid-lowering therapies (LLT) are the mainstay for the prevention and treatment of atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease. We sought to investigate if these gender-based disparities in academia, cardiology, and clinical trials also exist in the authorship of publications of clinical trials of LLT.

The data included in this meta-analysis are publicly available from published studies and the analyses can be shared upon request. The process of our study search, selection, and data abstraction was

reported previously.⁵ Briefly, we selected randomized controlled trials of LLT having a sample size of ≥1000 participants, follow-up duration ≥1 year, and published between 1994 and 2018. As this was a trial-level meta-analysis of published studies, institutional review board approval was not required. We abstracted the total number of authors, women authors, and women in the first or senior (ie, last) author position. We identified women following prior strategy, including using the Genderize database, by confirming the first name with self-identification on institutional websites, social media accounts, and other search engines with photographs, biological paragraphs, or publications listed.³ We pooled study-level estimates for proportion of women authors (number of women authors/total number of authors per article) using the DerSimonian and Laird random-effects model. Subgroup analyses explored potential sources of heterogeneity.

Of 3150 articles screened, 59 trials (485 409 participants) met inclusion criteria. The median number of men and women participants in the trials was 3733 (interquartile range, 2009–7420) and 1516 (711–4208). The median number of men and women authors were 10 (9–16) and 2 (1–3), respectively. Overall, the proportion of women as authors was 19.2% (95% Cl, 15.3– 23.8%). The proportions of women as first and senior authors were 17% each. The proportion of women authors did not significantly change over time and did not vary according to the journal, disease state, setting, sponsor, drug, or region (Table).

Women comprised <20% of authorship of large randomized controlled trials of LLT published between 1994 and 2018. We also found the proportion of women

Correspondence to: Erin D. Michos, MD, MHS, FACC, FAHA, FASE, FASPC, Division of Cardiology, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Blalock 524-B, 600 N. Wolfe Street, Baltimore, MD 21287. E-mail: edonnell@jhmi.edu

^{*}C. R. Subramanian and S. U. Khan contributed equally.

For Sources of Funding and Disclosures, see page 3.

^{© 2021} The Authors. Published on behalf of the American Heart Association, Inc., by Wiley. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made.

JAHA is available at: www.ahajournals.org/journal/jaha

Table 1. Representation of Women Authors in Clinical Trials of Lipid-Lowering Therapy

Category	No. of Trials	% Women Authors (95% Cl)	<i>P</i> Value
Overall	59	19.2 (15.3–23.8)	
Journal	1		
New England Journal of Medicine	30	19.5 (14.3–26.1)	0.71
Journal of the American Medical Association	6	23.8 (12.0–41.7)	
Lancet	13	15.5 (9.5–24.1)	
Others	10	20.6 (11.2–34.9)	
Disease	1		
Atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease	32	18.5 (14.2–23.7)	
Hypercholesteremia	12	26.1 (15.2–41.2)	0.44
Heart failure	3	14.0 (6.4–27.9)	
Diabetes mellitus	6	23.6 (14.1–36.7)	
Chronic kidney disease	4	12.8 (6.5–23.6)	
Cardiovascular risk factors	2	12.1 (2.6–41.9)	
Setting	1		
Primary prevention	27	20.2 (14.2–28.0)	0.61
Secondary prevention	32	18.2 (14.0–23.2)	
Drug class			
Statin	31	15.7 (11.1–21.7)	
Ezetimibe	3	17.3 (10.7–26.8)	0.10
Proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 9 inhibitor	6	11.3 (6.0–20.4)	
Niacin	2	39.5 (18.6–65.1)	
Fibrate	5	20.4 (11.6–33.5)	
Omega-3 fatty acid	12	29.3 (17.1–45.4)	
Sponsor			
Government	8	20.9 (12.3–33.1)	0.27
Industry	31	18.0 (12.9–24.5)	
Research institute	3	18.2 (8.6–34.6)	
Multiple sponsors	17	19.5 (12.0–30.2)	
Region	·		·
North America	15	18.0 (12.5–25.1)	
Western Europe	19	19.1 (13.3–26.7)	0.42
Rest of the world	8	13.2 (6.7–24.1)	
Multiregion	17	24.3 (15.9–35.2)	

authorship in LLT trials did not change over time. In contrast, other specialties, such as gastroenterology, have shown a significant increase in women first and senior authors, although women remain underrepresented overall.⁶ Women as first author increased from 9.1% in 1992 to 29.3% in 2012, and as senior author increased from 4.8% in 1992 to 14.5% in 2012, in the gastroenterology literature.⁶ Similarly, women authors increased in pediatrics, obstetrics/gynecology, and dermatology literature.⁷

One potential explanation is that cardiology continues to have the lowest proportion of women among medical specialties.⁴ Second, women in academia may be judged by a higher standard than their male counterparts, leading to more rigorous criteria for promotion and leadership consideration, including clinical trial leadership.^{3,4} Equal opportunity practices must be implemented to promote fairness and represent human diversity because this could lead to higher quality work production, as previous research suggests.¹ A greater prominence of women in clinical trial leadership positions can also attract more female investigators to participate in cardiovascular clinical trials.⁴ Cardiology articles with women as senior authors are more likely to have women as first or middle authors, reflecting a positive influence of women role models.^{2,3} The inverse is also true, where a vicious cycle has been observed for women in academic medicine, with the exclusion of women leading to further exclusion and lack of recognition.⁴ Additionally, women participants may be more likely to enroll in trials conducted by women, and women authors may be able to design trials that are more accommodating to women participants.³

Limitations include the potential for error in gender identification and the inability to determine nonbinary gender identity. We did not capture coauthorship (first or senior); however, an overall lesser number of women authors and similarly low proportion of first or senior author positions suggest an overall low representation of women regardless of authorship position.

Given that women's representation in LLT trials has lagged significantly,⁵ the results of this study highlight an opportunity to bring about the much-needed change in gender disparities in trial authorship.

ARTICLE INFORMATION

Received January 16, 2021; accepted April 14, 2021.

Affiliations

Department of Medicine, Washington Hospital Health Care System, Fremont, CA (C.R.S.); ; Department of Medicine, West Virginia University, Morgantown, WV (S.U.K., A.N.L.); ; Division of Cardiovascular Medicine, Department of Medicine, Perelman School of Medicine at the University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA (N.R.); ; Division of Cardiology, Columbia University Irving Medical Center, New York, NY (E.M.D.); ; Division of Cardiology, University of Arizona College of Medicine, Phoenix, AZ (M.G.); and Division of Cardiology, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD (E.D.M.).

Sources of Funding

Dr Michos is supported by the Amato Fund in Women's Cardiovascular Health at Johns Hopkins University.

Disclosures

None.

REFERENCES

- Campbell LG, Mehtani S, Dozier ME, Rinehart J. Gender-heterogeneous working groups produce higher quality science. *PLoS One*. 2013;8:e79147. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0079147.
- Blumenthal DM, Olenski AR, Yeh RW, Yeh DD, Sarma A, Stefanescu AC, Wood MJ, Jena AB. Sex differences in faculty rank among academic cardiologists in the United States. *Circulation*. 2017;135:506–517. DOI: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.116.023520.
- Reza N, Tahhan AS, Mahmud N, DeFilippis EM, Alrohaibani A, Vaduganathan M, Greene SJ, Ho AH, Fonarow GC, Butler J, et al. Representation of women authors in international heart failure guidelines and contemporary clinical trials. *Circ Heart Fail*. 2020;13:e006605. DOI: 10.1161/CIRCHEARTFAILURE.119.006605.
- Denby KJ, Szpakowski N, Silver J, Walsh MN, Nissen S, Cho L. Representation of women in cardiovascular clinical trial leadership. JAMA Intern Med. 2020;180:1382. DOI: 10.1001/jamainternmed.2020.2485.
- Khan SU, Khan MZ, Raghu Subramanian C, Riaz H, Khan MU, Lone AN, Khan MS, Benson E-M, Alkhouli M, Blaha MJ, et al. Participation of women and older participants in randomized clinical trials of lipid-lowering therapies: a systematic review. *JAMA Netw Open*. 2020;3:e205202. DOI: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.5202.
- Long MT, Leszczynski A, Thompson KD, Wasan SK, Calderwood AH. Female authorship in major academic gastroenterology journals: a look over 20 years. *Gastrointest Endosc.* 2015;81:1440–1447.e3. DOI: 10.1016/j.gie.2015.01.032.
- Hart KL, Perlis RH. Trends in proportion of women as authors of medical journal articles, 2008–2018. *JAMA Intern Med*. 2019;179:1285–1287. DOI: 10.1001/jamainternmed.2019.0907.