# Real-life effectiveness and safety of tofacitinib treatment in patients with ulcerative colitis: a KASID multicenter cohort study

Seung Hwan Shin\*<sup>(D)</sup>, Kyunghwan Oh\*, Sung Noh Hong\*, Jungbok Lee, Shin Ju Oh, Eun Soo Kim, Soo-Young Na, Sang-Bum Kang, Seong-Joon Koh, Ki Bae Bang, Sung-Ae Jung, Sung Hoon Jung, Kyeong Ok Kim, Sang Hyoung Park, Suk-Kyun Yang, Chang Hwan Choi and Byong Duk Ye<sup>(D)</sup>; On behalf of the IBD Research Group of the Korean Association for the Study of Intestinal Diseases

# Abstract

**Background:** Tofacitinib is a small molecule that inhibits Janus kinase and has been reported to be effective in Western patients with ulcerative colitis (UC). However, the real-life data on tofacitinib in Asian UC patients are limited.

**Objective:** To investigate the real-life effectiveness and safety of tofacitinib induction and maintenance treatment in Korean patients with UC.

**Design:** This was a retrospective study on patients with UC who received tofacitinib treatment at 12 hospitals in Korea between January 2018 and November 2020.

**Methods:** Clinical remission at week 52, defined as a partial Mayo score of  $\leq 2$  with a combined rectal bleeding subscore and stool frequency subscore of  $\leq 1$ , was used as the primary outcome. Adverse events (AEs), including herpes zoster and deep vein thrombosis, were also evaluated.

**Results:** A total of 148 patients with UC were started on tofacitinib. Clinical remission rates of 60.6%, 54.9%, and 52.8% were reported at weeks 16, 24, and 52, respectively. Clinical response rates of 71.8%, 67.6%, and 59.9% were reported at weeks 16, 24, and 52, respectively. Endoscopic remission rates at weeks 16 and 52 were 52.4% and 30.8% based on the Mayo endoscopic subscore and 20.7% and 15.2% based on the UC endoscopic index of severity (UCEIS), respectively. A higher UCEIS at baseline was negatively associated with clinical response [adjusted odds ratio (aOR): 0.774, p = 0.029] and corticosteroid-free clinical response (aOR: 0.782, p = 0.035) at week 52. AEs occurred in 19 patients (12.8%) and serious AEs in 12 patients (8.1%). Herpes zoster occurred in four patients (2.7%). One patient (0.7%) suffered from deep vein thrombosis.

**Conclusions:** Tofacitinib was an effective induction and maintenance treatment with an acceptable safety profile in Korean patients with UC.

# Plain language summary

# Real-life effectiveness and safety of tofacitinib treatment in Korean patients with ulcerative colitis

Ulcerative colitis (UC) is an idiopathic, chronic inflammatory disorder of the colonic mucosa that usually presents with bloody diarrhea and abdominal pain. Tofacitinib is a small molecule that inhibits Janus kinase and has been reported to be effective in Western patients with UC. However, real-life data on the effectiveness of tofacitinib in Asian patients with UC are limited. To investigate the real-life effectiveness and safety of tofacitinib

Ther Adv Gastroenterol

2023, Vol. 16: 1–15 DOI: 10.1177/ 17562848231154103

© The Author(s), 2023. Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journalspermissions

#### Correspondence to:

Chang Hwan Choi Department of Internal Medicine, Chung-Ang University College of Medicine, 102 Heukseokro, Dongjak-gu, Seoul 06973, Korea **gicch@cau.ac.kr** 

## Syong Duk Ye

Department of Gastroenterology and Inflammatory Bowel Disease Center, Asan Medical Center, University of Ulsan College of Medicine, 88 Olympic-ro 43-gil, Songpa-gu, Seoul 05505, South Korea **bdyefdamc.seoul.kr** 

# Seung Hwan Shin

Kyunghwan Oh Department of Gastroenterology, University of Ulsan College of Medicine, Asan Medical Center, Seoul, Korea

#### Sung Noh Hong

Division of Gastroenterology, Department of Medicine, Samsung Medical Center, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, Seoul, Korea

#### Jungbok Lee

Department of Biostatistics and Clinical Epidemiology, Asan Medical Center, Seoul, Korea

#### Shin Ju Oh

Department of Gastroenterology, Kyung Hee University College of Medicine, Seoul, Korea

#### Eun Soo Kim

Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Department of Internal Medicine, School of Medicine, Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Korea

#### Soo-Young Na

Department of Internal Medicine, Incheon St. Mary's Hospital, College of Medicine, The Catholic University of Korea, Incheon, Korea



Creative Commons Non Commercial CC BY-NC: This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits non-commercial use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the SAGE and Open Access pages (https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/open-access-at-sage).

# THERAPEUTIC ADVANCES in Gastroenterology

#### Sang-Bum Kang

Department of Internal Medicine, College of Medicine, Daejeon St. Mary's Hospital, The Catholic University of Korea, Daejeon, Korea

#### Seong-Joon Koh

Department of Internal Medicine and Liver Research Institute, Seoul National University College of Medicine, Seoul, Korea

#### Ki Bae Bang

Department of Internal Medicine, Dankook University College of Medicine, Cheonan, Korea

#### Sung-Ae Jung

Department of Internal Medicine, Ewha Womans University College of Medicine, Seoul, Korea

#### Sung Hoon Jung

Department of Internal Medicine, Eunpyeong St. Mary's Hospital, College of Medicine, The Catholic University of Korea, Seoul, Korea

#### Kyeong Ok Kim

Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Department of Internal Medicine, Yeungnam University College of Medicine, Daegu, Korea

#### Sang Hyoung Park Suk-Kyun Yang

Department of Gastroenterology and Inflammatory Bowel Disease Center, University of Ulsan College of Medicine, Asan Medical Center, Seoul, Korea

\*Seung Hwan Shin, Kyunghwan Oh and Sung Noh Hong contributed equally to this work as first authors. treatment in Korean patients with UC, we retrospectively analyzed the data of 148 patients with UC who received tofacitinib treatment at 12 hospitals in Korea between January 2018 and November 2020. Clinical remission (i.e. complete improvement of symptoms) was achieved in 60.6% and 52.8% of patients at weeks 16 and 52, respectively. Endoscopic remission was achieved in 52.4% and 30.8% of patients at weeks 16 and 52, respectively. A higher baseline score of the UC endoscopic index of severity, which is one of the endoscopic indices that evaluate the severity of inflammation of the colon, was negatively associated with clinical response (i.e. partial improvement of symptoms). Adverse events (AEs) including herpes zoster and deep vein thrombosis occurred in 19 patients (12.8%) and serious AEs occurred in 12 patients (8.1%). Our real-life study shows that tofacitinib is a clinically effective treatment for Korean patients with UC, and the incidence of AEs was also similar to those observed in other real-world studies.

## Keywords: effectiveness, safety, tofacitinib, ulcerative colitis

Received: 8 October 2022; revised manuscript accepted: 13 January 2023.

## Introduction

Ulcerative colitis (UC) is an idiopathic, chronic inflammatory disorder of the colon and is usually presented by bloody diarrhea and abdominal pain.<sup>1</sup> Several agents such as aminosalicylates, thiopurines, and biologics such as tumor necrosis factor (TNF) inhibitors and anti-integrin agents have been suggested for the treatment of UC. Introduction of TNF inhibitors such as infliximab, adalimumab, and golimumab has revolutionized the treatment of UC, resulting in decreased hospitalizations and colectomies.<sup>2-7</sup> However, approximately 10-30% of patients with UC still show primary non-response to the TNF inhibitor treatment, while 23-46% exhibit secondary loss of response.8 In Korean cohort studies, TNF inhibitors were effective in only 44.8-48.9% of UC patients even after 5 years of treatment.9,10 Therefore, new agents with different modes of action from those of TNF inhibitors and anti-integrin agents have been developed.

Tofacitinib is an orally administered small molecule approved for the treatment of active UC. It inhibits intracellular kinases called Janus kinases, especially Janus kinases 1 and 3, which modulate gene transcription and ultimately alter the different aspects of cellular function and consequently regulate signaling for multiple immune-relevant inflammatory mediators, including type I interferon, interferon- $\gamma$ , and various interleukins.<sup>11</sup> In the OCTAVE Induction trial, tofacitinib 10 mg twice daily could afford remission in 16.6–18.5% and endoscopic mucosal healing in 28.4–31.3% of patients with moderate-to-severe UC.<sup>12</sup> In the OCTAVE Sustain trial, 34.3–40.6% of induction responders showed remission at week 52 with a therapy involving tofacitinib 5 or 10 mg twice daily.<sup>12</sup> After these pivotal trials, several real-world studies from the West proved the effective-ness of tofacitinib in patients with UC.<sup>13–21</sup> Real-world safety of tofacitinib for patients with UC has also been recently reported.<sup>22</sup>

However, only limited data are available for Asian patients with UC. Post-hoc analysis of 121 patients from East Asia (Japan, Korea, and Taiwan) in the OCTAVE Induction and Sustain studies showed that the effectiveness and safety of tofacitinib were similar to those in Western patients.<sup>23</sup> However, real-world studies on the effectiveness and safety of tofacitinib in Asian patients have still been limited to a single-centerbased design and no reports from Korea are yet available.<sup>24–28</sup>

Herein, we performed a multicenter study on the real-life therapeutic effectiveness and safety of tofacitinib induction and maintenance treatment in Korean patients with UC. Provisional data were presented as an E-Poster (P335) at the 16<sup>th</sup> Congress of the European Crohn's and Colitis Organisation (Virtual) in 2021.<sup>29</sup>

# Methods

# Study design and population

This was a retrospective observational multicenter cohort study conducted by the members of the IBD Research Group of the Korean Association for the Study of Intestinal Diseases. A total of 148 patients with UC from 12 hospitals in Korea, who were started on tofacitinib treatment between January 2018 and November 2020, were enrolled in this study. Patients (1) who were <18 years of age, (2) whose diagnosis of UC were uncertain, (3) who received tofacitinib for conditions other than UC (e.g. rheumatoid arthritis and psoriatic arthritis), or (4) who lacked clinical data were excluded from the study. Tofacitinib was started as 10 mg twice daily for at least 8 weeks, following which tofacitinib 5 or 10 mg was prescribed twice daily based on clinician's discretion. We collected the demographic characteristics of the patients and various clinical aspects of UC such as age, sex, smoking history, prior use of biologics, extent of UC, UC activity indices (Mayo score or partial Mayo score), Mayo endoscopic subscore (MES), UC endoscopic index of severity (UCEIS), concomitant medications, and laboratory findings, including perinuclear anti-neutrophil cytoplasmic antibody, serum albumin, serum C-reactive protein (CRP), and fecal calprotectin (FC). The reporting of this study conforms to the Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement<sup>30</sup> and the checklist is available as Supplemental Material.

## Effectiveness outcomes and definitions

We assessed the clinical and endoscopic response at weeks 16, 24, and 52 after starting tofacitinib. The primary outcome was achievement of clinical remission at week 52. The secondary outcomes were the achievement of a clinical response, corticosteroid-free clinical remission, corticosteroidfree clinical response, endoscopic remission at each time point, and safety profiles. Effectiveness outcomes were assessed for all patients who received at least one dose of tofacitinib.

Clinical remission was defined as a partial Mayo score of  $\leq 2$  points with a combined rectal bleeding subscore and stool frequency subscore of  $\leq 1$  point. The clinical response was defined as the reduction in the partial Mayo score to  $\geq 3$  points and at least 30% from baseline along with either a decrease in the rectal bleeding subscore of  $\geq 1$  point or an absolute rectal bleeding subscore of  $\leq 1$  point.<sup>2,15,31,32</sup> The corticosteroid-free clinical remission and response were defined as the clinical remission and response without the need for concomitant systemic corticosteroids at the time of evaluation. Endoscopic remission was defined as a MES point of 0-1 or a UCEIS point of  $0.^{33,34}$ 

When assessing clinical remission, clinical response, corticosteroid-free clinical remission, and corticosteroid-free clinical response, patients already in clinical remission at baseline were excluded. Likewise, when assessing endoscopic remission, patients who already achieved endoscopic remission (either by MES or UCEIS as mentioned above) at baseline were excluded. If the patients discontinued tofacitinib treatment before reaching each clinical evaluation time point or had missing clinical data, they were considered as not achieving clinical effectiveness outcomes and their follow-up data were censored. Patients who underwent colectomy during the study period or were lost to follow-up were also considered as not having achieved clinical effectiveness outcomes. In addition, if the patient had missing data, it was considered that they have not achieved clinical effectiveness outcomes since then. The clinical data were collected until week 52 after the initiation of tofacitinib.

## Safety

The safety profile was assessed for all patients who received at least one dose of tofacitinib. Adverse events (AEs) included all events or symptoms such as colectomy, UC-related hospitalizations, infections, and deep vein thrombosis. The symptoms of the patients were checked at regular or unintended visits. Serous AEs were defined as life-threatening AEs, AEs resulting in persistent or significant disability, congenital anomaly, hospitalization, or death.<sup>12</sup> We also collected the data on patients' lipid profiles and initiation of lipidlowering medications. The safety profiles were also collected until week 52 after starting tofacitinib.

## Statistical analysis

Categorical variables were presented as numbers and percentages, while continuous variables were presented as mean and standard deviation or median and interquartile range (IQR), depending on whether they were normally distributed or not. Univariable and multivariable logistic regression analyses were performed to identify factors associated with clinical remission, clinical response, corticosteroid-free clinical remission, corticosteroid-free clinical response, or endoscopic remission at weeks 16 and 52. Variables with p < 0.1 in the univariable analysis were included in the multivariable analysis and were analyzed using the stepwise regression method. A two-sided p < 0.05 was considered as statistically significant. The missing values were filled via multiple imputation using the Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) method.<sup>35</sup> A linear mixed model was used to evaluate longitudinal trends of disease activity and laboratory values at baseline and weeks 16, 24, and 52, including the participating center as a random effect. Disease activity indices and laboratory values at weeks 16, 24, and 52 were compared to those at baseline. CRP levels were log-transformed to approximate a normal distribution. Time was considered as a continuous covariate to investigate temporal trends in each group. All statistical analyses were performed using SAS version 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA) and graphs were drawn using GraphPad Prism version 9 (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA, USA).

## Results

## Baseline characteristics

A total of 148 patients with UC were included in this study. The median age was 46 years (IQR, 33.3-56) and the median disease duration was 5 years (IQR, 2.1–9.3). Among these, 96 patients (64.9%) had previously been treated with biologic agents. Over half of the patients (81 patients, 54.7%) had extensive colitis. At baseline, the median Mayo score was 9 (IOR, 8-10) and the median UCEIS was 6 (IQR, 5-7). Concomitant oral 5-aminosalicylic acid (5-ASA), 5-ASA suppository, and azathioprine were prescribed to 119 (80.4%), 46 (31.1%), and 3 patients (2.0%), respectively. Concomitant systemic corticosteroids were administrated to 47 patients (31.8%). The median hemoglobin and serum CRP levels at baseline were 12.8 g/dL (IQR, 11.0–14.1) and 0.49 mg/ dL (IQR, 0.20-1.12), respectively (Table 1).

## Clinical effectiveness of tofacitinib treatment

The median follow-up duration of the 148 patients was 52 weeks (IQR, 52–52). Among the 148 patients, a total of 115 patients (77.7%)

Volume 16

maintained tofacitinib until week 52, while the remaining 33 patients (22.3%) stopped tofacitinib at a median of week 17 (IQR, 7.5-32, range, 2-48). After starting tofacitinib, 116 (78.4%), 41 (27.7%), and 2 patients (1.4%)maintained oral 5-ASA, 5-ASA suppository, and azathioprine until the last follow-up, respectively. Six patients (4.1%) were already in clinical remission at baseline and were excluded from the analysis of clinical outcomes. Those six patients received tofacitinib treatment because of active endoscopic lesions despite clinical remission. Of the remaining 142 patients, 86 (60.6%), 78 (54.9%), and 75 (52.8%) patients achieved clinical remission and 102 (71.8%), 96 (67.6%), and 85 (59.9%) patients achieved clinical response at 16, 24, and 52 weeks, respectively (Figure 1(a)). A total of 74 (52.1%) and 84 (59.2%) patients showed corticosteroid-free clinical remission and corticosteroid-free clinical response, respectively, at week 52 (Figure 1(b)). During tofacitinib treatment, the least-squares means of the partial Mayo score significantly decreased from week 16 and showed a decreasing trend thereafter (Figure 1(c)).

# Endoscopic effectiveness of tofacitinib treatment

Among the 148 patients, two did not have baseline MES data and three had already been in endoscopic remission by MES. In addition, three had missing baseline UCEIS data. At week 16, 75 (52.4%) and 30 (20.7%) patients achieved endoscopic remission by MES and UCEIS, respectively. At week 52, 44 (30.8%) and 22 (15.2%) patients achieved endoscopic remission through MES and UCEIS, respectively (Figure 2(a)). During tofacitinib treatment, the least-squares means of MES and UCEIS showed significantly decreasing trends after week 16 (Figure 2(b) and (c), respectively).

## Changes in laboratory values

During tofacitinib treatment, the least-squares mean levels of hemoglobin and serum albumin showed a significantly monotonous increase over time ( $p_{trend} < 0.001$ ; Figure 3(a) and (b), respectively). For log-transformed serum CRP, the least-squares mean levels showed a significantly monotonous decrease during treatment ( $p_{trend} < 0.001$ ; Figure 3(c)).

| SH Shin, | Κ | Oh | et | al. |
|----------|---|----|----|-----|
|----------|---|----|----|-----|

| able 1. Baseline characterist                | ics.            |
|--|-----------------|
| Characteristic                               | <i>N</i> =148   |
| Age  | 46 (33.3–56)    |
| Sex  |                 |
| Male   | 95 (64.2%)      |
| Female                                       | 53 (35.8%)      |
| Smoking                                      |                 |
| Past   | 20 (13.5%)      |
| Current                                      | 15 (10.1%)      |
| Non-smoker                                   | 100 (67.6%)     |
| Missing                                      | 13 (8.8%)       |
| Disease duration, years<br>( <i>n</i> = 147) | 5.0 (2.1–9.3)   |
| History of appendectomy ( <i>n</i> = 143)    | 5 (3.5%)        |
| Previous use of biologic agen                | ts              |
| TNF inhibitors                               | 52 (35.1%)      |
| Vedolizumab                                  | 7 (4.7%)        |
| Both   | 37 (25.0%)      |
| None   | 52 (35.1%)      |
| Disease extent (by Montreal o                | classification) |
| Proctitis (E1)                               | 7 (4.7%)        |
| Left-sided colitis (E2)                      | 59 (39.9%)      |
| Extensive colitis (E3)                       | 81 (54.7%)      |
| Missing                                      | 1 (0.7%)        |
| Mayo score ( <i>n</i> = 146)                 | 9 (8–10)        |
| Partial Mayo score                           | 6 (5–7)         |
| MES ( <i>n</i> = 146)                        | 3 (2–3)         |
| UCEIS ( <i>n</i> = 145)                      | 6 (5–7)         |
| p-ANCA ( <i>n</i> = 124)                     |                 |

| Table 1. | Continued) |
|----------|------------|
|----------|------------|

| Characteristic   | N=148            |  |  |  |
|--|------------------|--|--|--|
| Suppository  | 46 (31.1%)       |  |  |  |
| Concomitant use of systemic corticosteroids  | 47 (31.8%)       |  |  |  |
| Concomitant use of<br>azathioprine   | 3 (2.0%)         |  |  |  |
| Hemoglobin at baseline (g/dL)<br>(n = 147)   | 12.8 (11.0–14.1) |  |  |  |
| Serum albumin at baseline<br>(g/dL) ( <i>n</i> = 133)  | 4.1 (3.7–4.4)    |  |  |  |
| Serum CRP at baseline<br>(mg/dL) ( <i>n</i> = 144)   | 0.49 (0.20–1.12) |  |  |  |
| FC at baseline (mg/kg) $(n = 50)$  | 872.9 (256–2584) |  |  |  |
| All variables are presented as median (IQR) or <i>n</i> (%).<br>5-ASAs, 5-aminosalicylic acids; CRP, C-reactive protein;<br>FC, fecal calprotectin; IQR, interquartile range; MES, Mayo<br>and account subscores p. ANCA |                  |  |  |  |

endoscopic subscore; p-ANCA, perinuclear anti-neutrophil cytoplasmic antibody; TNF, tumor necrosis factor; UCEIS, ulcerative colitis endoscopic index of severity.

# Factors associated with clinical outcomes

In the multivariable analysis, a higher UCEIS at baseline was negatively associated with clinical remission [adjusted odds ratio (aOR): 0.733, p=0.010], clinical response (aOR: 0.769, p=0.044), and corticosteroid-free clinical remission (aOR: 0.742, p=0.013) at week 16 (Supplemental Tables 1–3). No factors were independently associated with clinical remission or corticosteroid-free clinical remission at week 52. However, a higher UCEIS at baseline was negatively associated with clinical response (aOR: 0.774, p=0.029] (Table 2) and corticosteroid-free clinical remission (aOR: 0.782, p=0.035) (Table 3) at week 52.

# Adverse events

33 (26.6%)

91 (73.4%)

119 (80.4%)

(Continued)

Out of the 148 patients, 19 (12.8%) experienced more than one AE, except for an abnormal lipid profile. If we exclude the lipid-profile abnormality, the most common AE then was infections, which occurred in 15 patients (10.1%). Serious AEs occurred in 12 patients (8.1%), who were all admitted to hospital, mainly due to systemic infection (n=8). Three patients were admitted due to UC flare, one of whom finally received colectomy 2 weeks after tofacitinib initiation. The

Concomitant use of 5-ASAs

Positive

Negative

Oral

THERAPEUTIC ADVANCES in Gastroenterology



**Figure 1.** Clinical outcomes and changes in clinical scores during tofacitinib induction and maintenance treatment. (a) Clinical remission and clinical response rates. (b) Corticosteroid-free clinical remission and response rates. (c) Changes in the partial Mayo score, expressed as least-squares means ± standard error of the means.



**Figure 2.** Endoscopic outcomes and changes in endoscopic scores during tofacitinib treatment. (a) Endoscopic remission. (b) Changes in MES and (c) UCEIS expressed as least-squares means ± standard error of the means. MES, Mayo endoscopic subscore; UCEIS, ulcerative colitis endoscopic index of severity.



**Figure 3.** Changes in laboratory values during tofacitinib treatment. (a) Hemoglobin levels, (b) Serum albumin levels, and (c) Log-transformed serum CRP levels expressed as least-squares means ± standard error of the means. CRP, C-reactive protein.

|  | Univariable analysis |              |         | Multivariable analysis |             |         |
|--|----------------------|--------------|---------|------------------------|-------------|---------|
|  | OR                   | 95% CI       | p Value | OR                     | 95% CI      | p Value |
| Age (per year)   | 1.001                | 0.979-1.023  | 0.944   |                        |             |         |
| Sex (female)   | 0.935                | 0.465-1.880  | 0.851   |                        |             |         |
| Smoking  |                      |              |         |                        |             |         |
| Never  | Reference            |              |         |                        |             |         |
| Past   | 0.720                | 0.294-1.765  | 0.473   |                        |             |         |
| Current  | 0.696                | 0.234-2.071  | 0.515   |                        |             |         |
| p-ANCA   | 1.562                | 0.710-3.441  | 0.268   |                        |             |         |
| Previous appendectomy                                    | 2.049                | 0.208-20.202 | 0.539   |                        |             |         |
| Disease duration (per year)                              | 1.023                | 0.967-1.082  | 0.426   |                        |             |         |
| Previous use of biologic agents                          | 0.991                | 0.491-2.001  | 0.980   |                        |             |         |
| Concurrent use of systemic corticosteroids               | 0.674                | 0.330-1.380  | 0.281   |                        |             |         |
| Previous use of azathioprine                             | 1.846                | 0.854-3.991  | 0.119   |                        |             |         |
| Disease extent (by Montreal classification)              |                      |              |         |                        |             |         |
| Proctitis (E1)   | Reference            |              |         |                        |             |         |
| Left-sided colitis (E2)                                  | 0.508                | 0.091-2.831  | 0.439   |                        |             |         |
| Extensive colitis (E3)                                   | 0.648                | 0.118-3.562  | 0.618   |                        |             |         |
| Mayo score at baseline (per 1 point)                     | 0.953                | 0.769-1.182  | 0.662   |                        |             |         |
| Partial Mayo score at baseline (per 1 point)             | 0.992                | 0.779-1.264  | 0.951   |                        |             |         |
| MES at baseline (per point)                              | 0.687                | 0.359-1.315  | 0.257   |                        |             |         |
| UCEIS at baseline (per point)                            | 0.774                | 0.615-0.974  | 0.029   | 0.774                  | 0.615-0.974 | 0.029   |
| Hemoglobin at baseline (per g/dL)                        | 1.169                | 0.978-1.398  | 0.086   |                        |             |         |
| Serum albumin at baseline (per g/dL)                     | 1.201                | 0.672-2.146  | 0.537   |                        |             |         |
| Log-transformed serum CRP at baseline [per<br>log[g/dL]] | 0.938                | 0.557-1.579  | 0.808   |                        |             |         |

Table 2. Univariable and multivariable analyses of associated factors for clinical response at week 52.

CI, confidence interval; CRP, C-reactive protein; OR, odds ratio; MES, Mayo endoscopic subscore; p-ANCA, perinuclear anti-neutrophil cytoplasmic antibody; UCEIS, ulcerative colitis endoscopic index of severity.

remaining one patient was admitted due to neutropenia. No UC-related death was recorded. Herpes zoster occurred in 4 (2.7%) patients, 4–21 weeks after starting the tofacitinib therapy. Of these four patients, three had been prescribed tofacitinib 10 mg twice daily and the remaining patient was under tofacitinib 5 mg twice daily. Only a single dermatome was affected in all four patients, who were treated by topical or oral acyclovir. Only one patient suffered from post-herpetic neuralgia; there were no other complications related to herpes zoster and all patients could maintain their tofacitinib treatment. One patient (0.7%) developed deep vein thrombosis. A

# THERAPEUTIC ADVANCES in Gastroenterology

|  | Univariable analysis |              |         | Multivariable analysis |             |         |
|--|----------------------|--------------|---------|------------------------|-------------|---------|
|  | OR                   | 95% CI       | p Value | OR                     | 95% CI      | p Value |
| Age (per year)   | 1.002                | 0.980-1.025  | 0.835   |                        |             |         |
| Sex (female)   | 0.979                | 0.487-1.965  | 0.952   |                        |             |         |
| Smoking  |                      |              |         |                        |             |         |
| Never  | Reference            |              |         |                        |             |         |
| Past   | 0.750                | 0.306-1.837  | 0.530   |                        |             |         |
| Current  | 0.726                | 0.244-2.156  | 0.564   |                        |             |         |
| p-ANCA   | 1.624                | 0.738-3.575  | 0.228   |                        |             |         |
| Previous appendectomy                                    | 2.111                | 0.214-20.814 | 0.522   |                        |             |         |
| Disease duration (per year)                              | 1.023                | 0.967-1.081  | 0.431   |                        |             |         |
| Previous use of biologic agents                          | 0.947                | 0.470-1.911  | 0.880   |                        |             |         |
| Concurrent use of systemic corticosteroids               | 0.705                | 0.345-1.440  | 0.337   |                        |             |         |
| Previous use of azathioprine                             | 1.774                | 0.821-3.830  | 0.145   |                        |             |         |
| Disease extent (by Montreal classification)              | )                    |              |         |                        |             |         |
| Proctitis (E1)   | Reference            |              |         |                        |             |         |
| Left-sided colitis (E2)                                  | 0.508                | 0.091-2.831  | 0.439   |                        |             |         |
| Extensive colitis (E3)                                   | 0.614                | 0.112-3.368  | 0.574   |                        |             |         |
| Mayo score at baseline (per 1 point)                     | 0.943                | 0.761-1.168  | 0.588   |                        |             |         |
| Partial Mayo score at baseline (per 1<br>point)          | 0.985                | 0.774-1.254  | 0.902   |                        |             |         |
| MES at baseline (per point)                              | 0.655                | 0.342-1.252  | 0.201   |                        |             |         |
| UCEIS at baseline (per point)                            | 0.782                | 0.623-0.983  | 0.035   | 0.782                  | 0.623-0.983 | 0.035   |
| Hemoglobin at baseline (per g/dL)                        | 1.191                | 0.996-1.424  | 0.056   |                        |             |         |
| Serum albumin at baseline (per g/dL)                     | 1.232                | 0.690-2.200  | 0.480   |                        |             |         |
| Log-transformed serum CRP at baseline<br>[per log(g/dL)] | 0.897                | 0.533-1.509  | 0.681   |                        |             |         |

Table 3. Univariable and multivariable analyses of associated factors for corticosteroid-free clinical response at week 52.

CI, confidence interval; CRP, C-reactive protein; OR, odds ratio; MES, Mayo endoscopic subscore; p-ANCA, perinuclear anti-neutrophil cytoplasmic antibody; UCEIS, ulcerative colitis endoscopic index of severity.

48-year-old male patient complained of lower leg pain with swelling 30 weeks after starting the tofacitinib treatment. He was under tofacitinib 10 mg twice daily. Computed tomography showed a suspicious minimal thrombosis in his right popliteal vein. The tofacitinib treatment was stopped and compression stockings were applied, which improved his symptoms afterwards. However, no cases of pulmonary thromboembolism or other major adverse cardiac events were 
 Table 4. AEs during tofacitinib treatment (N = 148).

| AEs  | n (%)          | Incidence rates per 100 patient-years |
|--|----------------|---------------------------------------|
| Any AEs  | 19/148 (12.8%) | 15.57                                 |
| Serious AEs                                    | 12/148 (8.1%)  | 9.68                                  |
| UC-related hospitalization                     | 12/148 (8.1%)  | 9.68                                  |
| Colectomy                                      | 1/148 (0.7%)   | 0.78                                  |
| Infections                                     | 15/148 (10.1%) | 12.28                                 |
| Cytomegalovirus colitis                        | 6/148 (4.1%)   | 4.78                                  |
| Herpes zoster                                  | 4/148 (2.7%)   | 3.19                                  |
| Clostridioides difficile infection             | 2/148 (1.4%)   | 1.58                                  |
| Pulmonary tuberculosis                         | 0/148 (0%)     | 0                                     |
| Deep vein thrombosis                           | 1/148 (0.7%)   | 0.78                                  |
| Pulmonary thromboembolism                      | 0/148 (0%)     | 0                                     |
| Major adverse cardiovascular events            | 0/148 (0%)     | 0                                     |
| Dyslipidemia requiring a lipid-lowering agent* | 19/88 (21.6%)  | 24.86                                 |

\*Excluding 6 patients with dyslipidemia at baseline and 54 patients with insufficient data.

AEs, adverse events; UC, ulcerative colitis.

noted in our study population. Due to lipid abnormality, 19 patients were newly started on a lipid-lowering agent among the evaluable patients (a total of 88 patients: excluding 6 patients with dyslipidemia at baseline and 54 patients with insufficient data). Details of AEs including their incidence rates per 100 patient-years are summarized in Table 4.

# Discussion

In this retrospective observational multicenter cohort study, tofacitinib was administered as an effective induction treatment for Korean patients with active UC with clinical remission and response rates at week 16 of 60.6% and 71.8%, respectively. Moreover, its effectiveness was maintained for 1 year, which was presented as clinical remission and response rates at week 52 (52.8% and 59.9%, respectively). The endoscopic remission rate at week 52 was 30.8% by MES and 15.2% by UCEIS. The biochemical profiles showed an improving tendency over 1 year. A higher UCEIS at baseline was negatively associated with clinical response and corticosteroid-free clinical response at week 52. AEs including herpes zoster and deep vein thrombosis and serious AEs were in line with the previously reported safety profile.

This is the first cohort-based study that proved the real-life effectiveness of tofacitinib as an induction and maintenance treatment for Korean patients with UC, demonstrating clinical effectiveness of tofacitinib, observed in the pivotal OCTAVE Induction and Sustain Trial.<sup>12</sup> Previous studies from the West have reported clinical remission rates and clinical response rates at week 16 as 32-53% and 57-76% after tofacitinib induction, which were not significantly different from our results.<sup>14,18-20</sup> A French study, which used the same definition for clinical outcomes as our study did, evaluated the use of tofacitinib as a maintenance treatment and reported corticosteroid-free clinical remission and clinical response rates at week 48 as 34.2% and 36.8%, respectively.<sup>15</sup> Differences in baseline characteristics such as disease severity and prior treatment might have contributed to different outcomes between studies. Ethnic factors could also have affected clinical outcomes, as suggested by a recent population-based study that showed a better long-term prognosis of Korean patients with UC, compared with Western patients.<sup>36</sup> However, long-term observation and further larger studies are required to prove the difference in the outcomes between Korean and Western patients with UC after tofacitinib therapy.

Motoya et al. performed a subgroup analysis of Asian patients in the OCTAVE Induction and Sustain trials and showed a similar efficacy compared to that of the global study population.<sup>23</sup> Real-life Japanese studies have reported week 8 clinical remission and response rates of 27.7-50.5% and 46.6-66.3%, respectively.<sup>24-27</sup> They have also reported that 41-43.5% and 45-47.1% of patients showed remission and response at weeks 48-52, respectively.<sup>26,27</sup> These results were also slightly numerically different from those of our study, probably because of the difference in the patients' characteristics and the definitions of clinical outcomes. Overall, the clinical effectiveness outcomes of our study coincide with the data from recent meta-analyses, including Western and Eastern studies, which showed clinical remission and response rates of 47% and 64.2% at weeks 12-16 and 41.4% and 41.8% at month 12, respectively.37

One of the strengths of our study is the report on endoscopic outcomes, because week 16 endoscopic evaluation is mandatory for evaluating the response to induction therapy with tofacitinib in Korea. The OCTAVE trial showed that mucosal healing, defined as MES  $\leq 1$  in our study, was achieved in 28.4–31.3% of patients at week 8 and in 37.4–45.7% of patients at week 52.<sup>12</sup> The Asian subgroup analysis of OCTAVE showed similar results regarding endoscopic mucosal healing, that is, in 24.2% of patients at week 8 and 45.5–57.1% of patients at week 52.<sup>23</sup> Cremer *et al.*<sup>14</sup> conducted a real-world study and reported endoscopic remission (MES  $\leq 1$ ) as 50% at week 16, which was similar with our data.

During tofacitinib treatment in patients with UC in our study, the laboratory values generally showed improving trends: an increase in hemoglobin and serum albumin and a decrease in serum CRP. Previous studies also showed similar trends for biochemical improvements after tofacitinib treatment.<sup>13,16–18,20,21,28</sup> Previous studies have also shown that higher serum CRP and lower serum albumin levels are correlated with severe clinical and endoscopic disease activities and poor prognosis.<sup>38–41</sup> In addition, improvement in the serum CRP and albumin within a short period after starting the biologic treatment has been reported to be related with better outcomes for UC patients.<sup>42–45</sup> Hence, it can be suggested that biochemical improvements observed in our study could reflect clinical improvement during the tofacitinib treatment.

When performing the logistic regression analysis to determine the association between clinical outcomes and several variables, missing values cause the problem of excluding all data in the multivariable regression analysis. Unfortunately, there were some variables with missing values in our data albeit though the number of missing values in each category was very small (range, 1-10). To overcome this problem, we used the missing value imputation technique, which allows for uncertainty regarding the missing data by creating several different plausible imputed data sets (10 imputed data sets in our study) and appropriately combining the results obtained from each set. As the pattern of missing data was arbitrary, we used the MCMC method, which creates multiple imputations using simulations from a Bayesian prediction distribution for normal data.

In this study, a higher UCEIS at baseline was negatively associated with clinical response and steroid-free clinical response at week 52. Similarly, Ishida *et al.* reported that a baseline UCEIS of  $\geq 5$ had a significantly lower tofacitinib-continuation rate than those with a UCEIS of  $<5.^{28}$ Interestingly, a higher MES was not associated with clinical response at week 52. It could be regarded that baseline UCEIS can reflect clinical outcomes and prognosis more accurately than MES after the tofacitinib therapy, as the former represents mucosal inflammation more precisely than MES does.<sup>46,47</sup> In contrast, previous treatments with biologics did not affect the clinical outcomes. Similar results have also been reported by previous real-world studies.<sup>13,18,19,24</sup> Together with the results from the OCTAVE Induction and Sustain trials,12 these results reinforce the role of tofacitinib as a second-line agent for those exposed to biologics.48,49

Our study also reported tolerable AEs during tofacitinib treatment. The most common AE was infections: Cytomegalovirus (CMV) colitis in 4.1%, herpes zoster in 2.7%, and Clostridioides difficile (C. difficile) infection in 1.4% of patients. These results were similar to those of other realworld studies, which reported 3.4% of patients with CMV colitis, 0.9-10% of patients with herpes zoster, and 0.4-6.9% with C. difficile infection.<sup>13–16,18–22,24–27</sup> Venous thromboembolism developed in one patient (0.7%), whereas pulmonary thromboembolism was not observed in our study. These results are similar to those of other real-world studies, which reported 0-1.3% of patients developing venous thromboembolism after tofacitinib therapy.14,16-22,24,27

Our study, the largest-scale Asian study so far showed the real-world effectiveness and safety of tofacitinib in Korean patients with UC. However, it has several limitations too. First, this was a retrospective study with some missing data for some patients. Applying strict methods of evaluation, if the patients had missing clinical data, it was considered that they did not achieve clinical effectiveness outcomes since then, and hence, they were marked as 'no responders'. If we had prospectively collected the patient's clinical data with less missing data, we might have shown more accurate and even better clinical outcomes. To minimize the possibility of bias from the retrospective nature of our study, all authors shared the definitions of variables and outcomes and collected the data based on those definitions. Second, because this was a multicenter study, there could be a discrepancy in managing and evaluating the patients. However, because the guidelines for UC management are widely applied<sup>50,51</sup> and strict reimbursement criteria are enforced in Korea for small molecule treatments for UC, the discrepancy between how doctors manage UC patients could be minimized. Third, because FC levels were collected for a few patients only, we could not incorporate the change in the FC levels after tofacitinib treatment.

# Conclusions

Tofacitinib was a clinically effective real-world induction and maintenance treatment for Korean patients with UC. The clinical outcomes were similar to those of previously reported real-world studies. In addition, the endoscopic activity scores and laboratory results improved during the treatment. The incidence of AEs was also similar to that observed in other real-world studies, demonstrating the absence of any new safety signal associated with tofacitinib treatment.

## Declarations

## Ethics approval and consent to participate

The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Boards of all participating centers, including Asan Medical Center (IRB No.: 2020-1789), and patient consent was waived due to the retrospective nature of the study. This study was conducted in accordance with the ethical principles of the Declaration of Helsinki.

# *Consent for publication* Not applicable.

## Author contribution(s)

**Seung Hwan Shin:** Data curation; Formal analysis; Methodology; Visualization; Writing – original draft.

**Kyunghwan Oh:** Data curation; Formal analysis; Methodology; Visualization; Writing – original draft.

**Sung Noh Hong:** Data curation; Formal analysis; Methodology; Visualization; Writing – original draft.

**Jungbok Lee:** Formal analysis; Writing – review & editing.

**Shin Ju Oh:** Investigation; Writing – review & editing.

**Eun Soo Kim:** Investigation; Writing – review & editing.

**Soo-Young Na:** Investigation; Writing – review & editing.

**Sang-Bum Kang:** Investigation; Writing – review & editing.

**Seong-Joon Koh:** Investigation; Writing – review & editing.

**Ki Bae Bang:** Investigation; Writing – review & editing.

**Sung-Ae Jung:** Investigation; Writing – review & editing.

**Sung Hoon Jung:** Investigation; Writing – review & editing.

**Kyeong Ok Kim:** Investigation; Writing – review & editing.

**Sang Hyoung Park:** Methodology; Writing – review & editing.

**Suk-Kyun Yang:** Methodology; Writing – review & editing.

**Chang Hwan Choi:** Conceptualization; Data curation; Formal analysis; Methodology; Project administration; Visualization; Writing – review & editing.

**Byong Duk Ye:** Conceptualization; Data curation; Formal analysis; Funding acquisition; Methodology; Project administration; Visualization; Writing – review & editing.

## Acknowledgements

We thank Joon Seo Lim from the Scientific Publications Team at Asan Medical Center for his editorial assistance in preparing this article.

# Funding

The authors disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: This research was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Korean government (MSIT) (NRF-2021R1A2C2095096).

# Competing interests

Seung Hwan Shin, Kyunghwan Oh, Sung Noh Hong, Jungbok Lee, Shin Ju Oh, Eun Soo Kim, Soo-Young Na, Ki Bae Bang, Sang Hyoung Park, and Chang Hwan Choi have no disclosures.

Sang-Bum Kang has received research grants from Celltrion, Chong Kun Dang Pharm, Il-Yang Pharm, Pharmbio Korea, and Taejoon Pharm; consulting fees from AbbVie Korea, Ferring Korea, Janssen Korea, and Takeda Korea; and speaking fees from AbbVie Korea, Celltrion, Ferring Korea, Janssen Korea, Pfizer Korea, Taejoon Pharm, and Takeda Korea.

Seong-Joon Koh has received consulting fees from Daewoong Pharm and Ferring Korea; and speaking fees from AbbVie Korea, Daewoong Pharm, Ferring Korea, Janssen Korea, Korea Pharma, Pfizer Korea, Taejoon Pharm, Takeda Korea, and Yuhan Pharm.

Sung-Ae Jung has received a research grant from SK Chemicals.

Sung Hoon Jung has received a research grant from Celltrion; and speaking fees from AbbVie Korea, Daewoong Pharm, Janssen Korea, Pfizer Korea, and Takeda Korea.

Kyeong Ok Kim has received research grants from Pharmbio Korea and Taejoon Pharm; consulting fees from Daewoong Pharm and IQVIA; and speaking fees from AbbVie Korea, Celltrion, Ferring Korea, Janssen Korea, Pfizer Korea, and Takeda Korea.

Suk-Kyun Yang has received a research grant from Janssen Korea.

Byong Duk Ye has received research grants from Celltrion and Pfizer Korea; consulting fees from AbbVie Korea, BMS Pharmaceutical Korea Ltd., Celltrion, Chong Kun Dang Pharm, CJ Red BIO, Curacle, Daewoong Pharm, Ferring Korea, IQVIA, Janssen Korea, Kangstem Biotech, Korea Otsuka Pharm, Korea United Pharm, Medtronic Korea, NanoEntek, ORGANOIDSCIENCES LTD., Pfizer Korea, Takeda, and Takeda Korea; and speaking fees from AbbVie Korea, Celltrion, Cornerstones Health, Curacle, Ferring Korea, IQVIA, Janssen Korea, Pfizer Korea, and Takeda Korea.

None of these disclosures are directly associated with this study.

# Availability of data and materials

Data used in this study are available from the corresponding authors upon reasonable request.

# ORCID iDs

Seung Hwan Shin D https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4131-1932 Byong Duk Ye D https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6647-6325

# Supplemental material

Supplemental material for this article is available online.

# References

- 1. Ordas I, Eckmann L, Talamini M, *et al.* Ulcerative colitis. *Lancet* 2012; 380: 1606–1619.
- Rutgeerts P, Sandborn WJ, Feagan BG, et al. Infliximab for induction and maintenance therapy for ulcerative colitis. N Engl J Med 2005; 353: 2462–2476.

- 3. Sandborn WJ, van Assche G, Reinisch W, *et al.* Adalimumab induces and maintains clinical remission in patients with moderate-to-severe ulcerative colitis. *Gastroenterology* 2012; 142: 257–265.e1–3.
- 4. Sandborn WJ, Rutgeerts P, Feagan BG, *et al.* Colectomy rate comparison after treatment of ulcerative colitis with placebo or infliximab. *Gastroenterology* 2009; 137: 1250–1260.
- Feagan BG, Sandborn WJ, Lazar A, et al. Adalimumab therapy is associated with reduced risk of hospitalization in patients with ulcerative colitis. *Gastroenterology* 2014; 146: 110–118.e3.
- 6. Sandborn WJ, Feagan BG, Marano C, *et al.* Subcutaneous golimumab induces clinical response and remission in patients with moderate-to-severe ulcerative colitis. *Gastroenterology* 2014; 146: 85–95.
- Sandborn WJ, Feagan BG, Marano C, et al. Subcutaneous golimumab maintains clinical response in patients with moderate-to-severe ulcerative colitis. *Gastroenterology* 2014; 146: 96–109.e1.
- Roda G, Jharap B, Neeraj N, et al. Loss of response to anti-TNFs: definition, epidemiology, and management. *Clin Transl Gastroenterol* 2016; 7: e135.
- Seo H, Chang K, Lee SH, et al. Long-term outcomes of infliximab treatment and predictors of response in 195 patients with ulcerative colitis: a hospital-based cohort study from Korea. Scand J Gastroenterol 2017; 52: 857–863.
- Oh EH, Kim J, Ham N, et al. Long-term outcomes of adalimumab therapy in Korean patients with ulcerative colitis: a hospital-based cohort study. *Gut Liver* 2020; 14: 347–356.
- Clark JD, Flanagan ME and Telliez JB. Discovery and development of Janus kinase (JAK) inhibitors for inflammatory diseases. *J Med Chem* 2014; 57: 5023–5038.
- Sandborn WJ, Su C, Sands BE, et al. Tofacitinib as induction and maintenance therapy for ulcerative colitis. N Engl J Med 2017; 376: 1723–1736.
- 13. Weisshof R, Aharoni Golan M, Sossenheimer PH, *et al.* Real-world experience with tofacitinib in IBD at a tertiary center. *Dig Dis Sci* 2019; 64: 1945–1951.
- Cremer A, Lobaton T, Vieujan S, et al. Tofacitinib induces clinical and endoscopic remission in biologic refractory ulcerative colitis patients: a real-world Belgian cohort study. J Crohns Colitis 2020; 14: S384–S386.

- 15. Lair-Mehiri L, Stefanescu C, Vaysse T, *et al.* Real-world evidence of tofacitinib effectiveness and safety in patients with refractory ulcerative colitis. *Dig Liver Dis* 2020; 52: 268–273.
- Biemans VBC, Sleutjes JAM, de Vries AC, et al. Tofacitinib for ulcerative colitis: results of the prospective Dutch Initiative on Crohn and Colitis (ICC) registry. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2020; 51: 880–888.
- Hoffmann P, Globig AM, Thomann AK, et al. Tofacitinib in treatment-refractory moderate to severe ulcerative colitis: real-world experience from a retrospective multicenter observational study. J Clin Med 2020; 9: 2177.
- Honap S, Chee D, Chapman TP, et al. Realworld effectiveness of tofacitinib for moderate to severe ulcerative colitis: a multicentre UK experience. J Crohns Colitis 2020; 14: 1385–1393.
- Chaparro M, Garre A, Mesonero F, et al. Tofacitinib in ulcerative colitis: real-world evidence from the ENEIDA registry. J Crohns Colitis 2021; 15: 35–42.
- 20. Hernandez Martinez A, Navajas Hernandez P, Martin Rodriguez MDM, *et al.* Efficacy and safety of tofacitinib in the treatment of ulcerative colitis: real-life experience in Andalusia. *Rev Esp Enferm Dig* 2022; 114: 516–521.
- 21. Avni-Biron I, Shitrit AB, Koslowsky B, *et al.* Short-term effectiveness and safety of tofacitinib in ulcerative colitis-real world data from tertiary medical centers in Israel. *Dig Liver Dis* 2022; 54: 192–197.
- 22. Deepak P, Alayo QA, Khatiwada A, *et al.* Safety of tofacitinib in a real-world cohort of patients with ulcerative colitis. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2021; 19: 1592–1601.e3.
- Motoya S, Watanabe M, Kim HJ, et al. Tofacitinib induction and maintenance therapy in East Asian patients with active ulcerative colitis: subgroup analyses from three phase 3 multinational studies. *Intest Res* 2018; 16: 233–245.
- Watanabe K, Kawai M, Koshiba R, et al. Efficacy including rapid response and safety of tofacitinib in Japanese patients with ulcerative colitis: a preliminary investigation in a specialised IBD centre. J Crohns Colitis 2020; 14: S535.
- 25. Yoshimura N, Okano S, Sako M, *et al.* Efficacy and safety of tofacitinib in patients with moderate-to-severe ulcerative colitis: a real-world retrospective study. *J Crohns Colitis* 2020; 14: S545.

- Shimizu H, Fujii T, Hibiya S, *et al.* Rapid prediction of 1-year efficacy of tofacitinib for treating refractory ulcerative colitis. *Intest Res* 2021; 19: 115–118.
- Kojima K, Yokoyama K, Kaku K, et al. Efficacy and safety of tofacitinib treatment for 1 year in Japanese patients with ulcerative colitis in a specialized IBD center. Gastroenterology 2021; 160: S413.
- Ishida N, Miyazu T, Tamura S, *et al.* Real-world efficacy and safety monitoring for predicting continuation of tofacitinib therapy in patients with ulcerative colitis. *Dig Dis Sci* 2021; 67: 3984–3992.
- Oh K, Hong SN, Kim ES, et al. Real-life effectiveness and safety of tofacitinib treatment in patients with ulcerative colitis: a KASID multicenter cohort study. J Crohns Colitis 2021; 15: S359–S361.
- von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, et al. The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. Ann Intern Med 2007; 147: 573-577.
- Lewis JD, Chuai S, Nessel L, et al. Use of the noninvasive components of the Mayo score to assess clinical response in ulcerative colitis. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2008; 14: 1660–1666.
- 32. D'Haens G, Sandborn WJ, Feagan BG, et al. A review of activity indices and efficacy end points for clinical trials of medical therapy in adults with ulcerative colitis. *Gastroenterology* 2007; 132: 763–786.
- Peyrin-Biroulet L, Sandborn W, Sands BE, et al. Selecting Therapeutic Targets in Inflammatory Bowel Disease (STRIDE): determining therapeutic goals for treat-to-target. Am J Gastroenterol 2015; 110: 1324–1338.
- Turner D, Ricciuto A, Lewis A, et al. STRIDE-II: an update on the Selecting Therapeutic Targets in Inflammatory Bowel Disease (STRIDE) Initiative of the International Organization for the Study of IBD (IOIBD): determining therapeutic goals for treat-to-target strategies in IBD. *Gastroenterology* 2021; 160: 1570–1583.
- 35. Schafer JL. Analysis of incomplete multivariate data. 1st ed. CRC Press, New York, 1997.
- Cha JM, Park SH, Rhee KH, et al. Longterm prognosis of ulcerative colitis and its temporal changes between 1986 and 2015

in a population-based cohort in the Songpa-Kangdong district of Seoul, Korea. *Gut* 2020; 69: 1432–1440.

- Taxonera C, Olivares D and Alba C. Real-world effectiveness and safety of tofacitinib in patients with ulcerative colitis: systematic review with meta-analysis. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2022; 28: 32–40.
- Rodgers AD and Cummins AG. CRP correlates with clinical score in ulcerative colitis but not in Crohn disease's. *Dig Dis Sci* 2007; 52: 2063–2068.
- Karoui S, Laz S, Serghini M, *et al.* Correlation of C-reactive protein with clinical and endoscopic activity in patients with ulcerative colitis. *Dig Dis Sci* 2011; 56: 1801–1805.
- Khan N, Patel D, Shah Y, *et al.* Albumin as a prognostic marker for ulcerative colitis. *World J Gastroenterol* 2017; 23: 8008–8016.
- 41. Grant RK, Jones GR, Plevris N, et al. The ACE (albumin, CRP and endoscopy) index in acute colitis: a simple clinical index on admission that predicts outcome in patients with acute ulcerative colitis. Inflamm Bowel Dis 2021; 27: 451–457.
- 42. Iwasa R, Yamada A, Sono K, *et al.* C-reactive protein level at 2 weeks following initiation of infliximab induction therapy predicts outcomes in patients with ulcerative colitis: a 3 year follow-up study. *BMC Gastroenterol* 2015; 15: 103.
- 43. Morita Y, Bamba S, Takahashi K, *et al.*Prediction of clinical and endoscopic responses to anti-tumor necrosis factor-α antibodies in ulcerative colitis. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 2016; 51: 934–941.
- 44. Hanauer S, Sandborn WJ, Colombel JF, *et al.* Rapid changes in laboratory parameters and early response to adalimumab: a pooled analysis from patients with ulcerative colitis in two clinical trials. *J Crohns Colitis* 2019; 13: 1227–1233.
- 45. Lee SH, Walshe M, Oh EH, *et al.* Early changes in serum albumin predict clinical and endoscopic outcomes in patients with ulcerative colitis starting anti-TNF treatment. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2021; 27: 1452–1461.
- 46. Ikeya K, Hanai H, Sugimoto K, *et al.* The ulcerative colitis endoscopic index of severity more accurately reflects clinical outcomes and long-term prognosis than the mayo endoscopic score. *J Crohns Colitis* 2016; 10: 286–295.
- 47. Xie TB, Zhang TH, Ding C, *et al.* Ulcerative Colitis Endoscopic Index of Severity (UCEIS) versus Mayo Endoscopic Score (MES) in guiding

the need for colectomy in patients with acute severe colitis. *Gastroenterol Rep* 2018; 6: 38–44.

- 48. Singh S, Murad MH, Fumery M, *et al.* First- and second-line pharmacotherapies for patients with moderate to severely active ulcerative colitis: an updated network meta-analysis. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2020; 18: 2179–2191.e6.
- 49. Burr NE, Gracie DJ, Black CJ, *et al.* Efficacy of biological therapies and small molecules in moderate to severe ulcerative colitis: systematic

review and network meta-analysis. *Gut.* Epub ahead of print December 2021. DOI: 10.1136/ gutjnl-2021-326390.

- 50. Choi CH, Moon W, Kim YS, *et al.* Second Korean guidelines for the management of ulcerative colitis. *Intest Res* 2017; 15: 7–37.
- Na S-Y, Choi CH, Song EM, et al. Korean clinical practice guidelines on biologics and small molecules for moderate-to-severe ulcerative colitis. *Intest Res* 2023; 21: 61–87.

Visit SAGE journals online journals.sagepub.com/ home/tag

SAGE journals