

# Percutaneous thrombectomy with the FlowTrieve for pulmonary embolism with right heart thrombi: a retrospective two centres study

Laurent Bonello <sup>1,\*</sup>, Clément Tardivel<sup>2</sup>, Marc Laine<sup>1</sup>, and François Roubille<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Unité de soins intensifs de cardiologie, Aix-Marseille University, Hôpital Nord, AP-HM, Chemin des Bourrely, 13015 Marseille, France; and <sup>2</sup>Service de Cardiologie, PhyMedExp, Université de Montpellier, Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale (INSERM) U1046, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS) UMR 9214, 191 avenue du doyen Gaston Giraud, 34295 Montpellier, France

Received 25 June 2024; revised 5 September 2024; accepted 12 September 2024; online publish-ahead-of-print 22 November 2024

Handling Editor: Magnus Bäck

## Introduction

Pulmonary embolism (PE) remains a clinical challenge with increasing prevalence and steady mortality.<sup>1</sup> Patients with PE and right heart thrombi (RHT) represent between 2.6 and 8.1% of all PE.<sup>2,3</sup> In addition, they are probably underdiagnosed because of delayed cardiac echocardiography assessment, early migration, and limited data. Patients with RHT may present with various PE severity but were shown to be at increased risk of death.<sup>4</sup> The guidelines advocate surgical intervention with the removal of pulmonary and RHT.<sup>1,5</sup> This recommendation is based on experts' consensus from case reports and series,<sup>6</sup> since there is little evidence supporting any strategy. In fact, we lack randomized clinical trials on patients with RHT during PE, and the current recommendation was issued in 2019 when percutaneous reperfusion was rare. Furthermore, a large number of patients for whom surgical intervention is indicated, fail to have it given their clinical precarious status and their numerous co-morbidities including neoplasia. New therapeutic strategies are therefore warranted in this clinical setting. The advent of percutaneous interventions with catheters enabling manual thrombectomy has revolutionized the care of high-risk (HR) and intermediate high-risk (IHR) PE.<sup>6–8</sup> Thrombectomy devices could be of potential interest for patients with PE and RHT. We report the outcome of 12 consecutive patients treated with the FlowTrieve (Inari Medical, USA) for PE with RHT.

## Methods

We performed a retrospective study of all PE treated with the FlowTrieve in two centres between January 2022 and 2024. Both are PE centres with a dedicated PE team and an active pulmonary reperfusion team. The PE team determines the need for reperfusion

based on a standardized protocol and the techniques used are systemic thrombolysis, percutaneous techniques, and surgery.

All patients admitted to these centres have a transthoracic echocardiography and a CT scan (Chest Tomodensitometry) on admission. We included patients with RHT during acute PE, whom were excluded of surgical care because of prohibitive operative risk, and undergo percutaneous intervention with the FlowTrieve. Approval of the Ethics Committee was obtained to perform the present study.

In the present analysis, we present data as mean and standard deviation or number and percentages.

## Procedures

Ultrasound-guided puncture of the femoral vein was performed in all but one patient where the jugular course was chosen due to inferior vena cava occlusion. Careful placement of the 24Fr sheath on a stiff wire was performed to prevent venous trauma. Unfractionated heparin was used to achieve an ACT >250 (achieved clotting time). A stiff wire was placed in the left or right pulmonary artery to enable progress of the FlowTrieve toward the thrombi. Aspiration of RHT was attempted in all patients. The objective was to remove RHT first and to perform pulmonary reperfusion afterward if clinically required.

## Results

Our analysis includes 12 consecutive patients. The results are depicted in [Table 1](#).

Most patients had an active neoplasia at the time of diagnosis (8/12). The most common symptom was dyspnoea (83%), and the mean duration of symptoms was  $7 \pm 10.2$  days. Three patients had HR PE, and

\* Corresponding author. Tel: +33491968858, Email: [laurent.bonello@ap-hm.fr](mailto:laurent.bonello@ap-hm.fr)

© The Author(s) 2024. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the European Society of Cardiology.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact [reprints@oup.com](mailto:reprints@oup.com) for reprints and translation rights for reprints. All other permissions can be obtained through our RightsLink service via the Permissions link on the article page on our site—for further information please contact [journals.permissions@oup.com](mailto:journals.permissions@oup.com).

**Table 1** Characteristics of the study population, echocardiographic, procedural, and outcome data

| <i>n</i>                               | <i>n</i> = 12 |
|--|---------------|
| Age (years)                            | 66.8 ± 17.1   |
| Male sex                               | 7             |
| History of DVT/PE                      | 8             |
| Active neoplasia                       | 8             |
| Clinic                                 |               |
| Symptoms on admission dyspnoea         | 10            |
| Chest pain                             | 4             |
| Syncope                                | 3             |
| Duration of symptoms (days)            | 7 ± 10.2      |
| Symptoms onset <2 days                 | 7             |
| Oxygen need                            | 12            |
| Mechanical ventilation                 | 2             |
| Shock                                  | 3             |
| High-risk PE                           | 3             |
| Intermediate high-risk PE              | 9             |
| Systemic thrombolysis                  | 1             |
| UFH                                    | 7             |
| LMWH                                   | 5             |
| Echocardiographic findings             |               |
| RV/LV ratio (mean ± SD)                | 1.2 ± 0.1     |
| Systolic PAP (mean ± SD)               | 57.2 ± 15.2   |
| Thrombus localization                  |               |
| RA                                     | 1             |
| RV                                     | 2             |
| RA and RV                              | 9             |
| Left ventricle ejection fraction (%)   | 60            |
| Procedure                              |               |
| Femoral route                          | 11            |
| Number of aspiration (mean ± SD)       | 4.8 ± 0.1     |
| Pulmonary aspiration                   | 9             |
| Successful in transit thrombus removal | 10            |
| Post procedure sPAP (mmHg) (mean ± SD) | 42.2 ± 16     |
| Outcome                                |               |
| Death                                  | 2             |
| Major bleeding                         | 1             |
| Severe tricuspid regurgitation         | 1             |
| ICU stay (days) (mean ± SD)            | 2 ± 2.1       |
| Hospital stay (days) (mean ± SD)       | 10 ± 11.8     |
| Discharged alive                       | 10            |

DVT, deep vein thrombosis; PE, pulmonary embolism; UFH, unfractionated heparin; LMWH, low molecular weight heparin; RV, right ventricle; LV, left ventricle; sPAP, systolic pulmonary artery pressure; RA, right atrium; ICU, intensive care unit.

nine had IHR PE. One patient had a failed systemic thrombolysis for HR PE before the percutaneous procedure.

On echocardiography, the mean right ventricle (RV)/left ventricle ratio was  $1.2 \pm 0.1$ . Most patients had mobile thrombus prolapsing from the right atrium into the RV. In three patients, the thrombus was located in one chamber only.

The removal of RHT was successful in 10 patients (83%). After pulmonary aspiration, the mean systolic pulmonary artery pressure decreased from  $57.2 \pm 15.2$  to  $42.2 \pm 16$  mmHg.

One intra-thoracic bleeding and one severe tricuspid regurgitation were observed after the procedure. The intra-thoracic bleeding was related to guide perforation and was fatal. Another patient died in-hospital in relation to an active neoplasia. During the 3-month follow-up, no event was recorded.

## Discussion

Right heart thrombi during PE were effectively retrieved using the FlowTrieve in the vast majority of patients. During the same procedure, pulmonary reperfusion was also performed in most cases. However, one patient suffered a fatal bleeding event related to the procedure. Overall in our experience the FlowTrieve appears to be an effective device for RHT during PE with a limited adverse event risk. It may represent a new therapeutic option in this clinical setting.

Right heart thrombi during PE are not uncommon but they have a poor prognosis with a mortality ranging between 20 and 25%, more than three times the one of PE without RHT.<sup>5–7</sup> A recent AHA (American Heart Association) scientific statement, in line with ESC guidelines, recommends that surgical care should be preferred for PE patients with RHT, but this recommendation has a low level of evidence.<sup>5</sup> Similarly a meta-analysis suggested that systemic thrombolysis and surgery may lead to better outcomes than anticoagulation alone.<sup>3</sup> However, there are no randomized clinical trial to support this.

New tools for percutaneous reperfusion, such as the FlowTrieve are advancing the care of PE. They are associated with promising results in registries.<sup>7,8</sup> In the Flash registry, the FlowTrieve was effective and safe for pulmonary reperfusion. It was also associated with a low mortality.<sup>7</sup>

In our experience, PE with RHT could be treated percutaneously with the FlowTrieve enabling RHT removal and pulmonary reperfusion in the majority of patients with a limited risk of procedural adverse events. It represents a simple alternative to surgical removal of RHT in these HR patients. However, tricuspid regurgitation or major bleeding may occur. Percutaneous reperfusion by the FlowTrieve may be proposed for PE associated with RHT by expert teams with careful technique after patient selection. A randomized trial comparing the FlowTrieve to alternative strategies including surgery is required.

## Conclusion

Thrombectomy of RHT during PE using the FlowTrieve could become a new therapeutic option in this challenging clinical setting. Careful patient selection and evaluation of the benefit risk ratio is required.

## Lead author biography



Laurent Bonello is a cardiovascular physician and researcher working in the field of novel cardiovascular biomarkers, antithrombotic therapy, and acute cardiovascular care. Intensive care and interventional cardiology specialist, he participated in the development of the pulmonary embolism team and the cardiogenic shock network in Marseille in 2017. He is part of the Aix-Marseille University as a cardiology professor.

## Data availability

The data are not to be shared.

## Funding

The current work was supported by an academic grant from the Aix Marseille University.

**Conflict of interest:** None declared.

## References

1. Konstantinides SV, Meyer G, Becattini C, Bueno H, Geersing G-J, Harjola V-P, Huisman MV, Humbert M, Jennings CS, Jiménez D, Kucher N, Lang IM, Lankeit M, Lorusso R, Mazzolai L, Meneveau N, Ni Ainle F, Prandoni P, Pruszczyk P, Righini M, Torbicki A, Van Belle E, Zamorano JL; ESC Scientific Document Group. 2019 ESC guidelines for the diagnosis and management of acute pulmonary embolism developed in collaboration with the European Respiratory Society (ERS). *Eur Heart J* 2020;**41**:543–603.
2. Barrios D, Rosa-Salazar V, Jiménez D, Morillo R, Muriel A, Del Toro J, López-Jiménez L, Farge-Bancel D, Yusef R, Monreal M; RIETE investigators. Right heart thrombi in pulmonary embolism. *Eur Respir J* 2016;**48**:1377–1385.
3. Ibrahim WH, Ata F, Choudry H, Javed H, Shunnar KM, Shams A, Arshad A, Bosom A, Elkhoul MHA, Sawaf B, Ahmed SMA, Olajide T. Prevalence, outcome, and optimal management of free-floating right heart thrombi in the context of pulmonary embolism, a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Appl Thromb Hemost* 2022;**28**:10760296221140114.
4. Barrios D, Rosa-Salazar V, Morillo R, Nieto R, Fernández S, Zamorano JL, Monreal M, Torbicki A, Yusef RD, Jiménez D. Prognostic significance of right heart thrombi in patients with acute symptomatic pulmonary embolism: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Chest* 2017;**151**:409–416.
5. Jaff MR, McMurry MS, Archer SL, Cushman M, Goldenberg N, Goldhaber SZ, Jenkins JS, Kline JA, Michaels AD, Thistlethwaite P, Vedantham S, White RJ, Zierler BK; American Heart Association Council on Cardiopulmonary, Critical Care, Perioperative and Resuscitation; American Heart Association Council on Peripheral Vascular Disease; American Heart Association Council on Arteriosclerosis, Thrombosis and Vascular Biology. Management of massive and submassive pulmonary embolism, iliofemoral deep vein thrombosis, and chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation* 2011;**123**:1788–1830.
6. Zieliński D, Zygiel M, Dyk W, Wojdyga R, Wróbel K, Pirsztuk E, Szostakiewicz K, Szatkowski P, Darocha S, Kurzyńska M, Ciurzyński M, Machowski M, Pruszczyk P, Torbicki A, Biederman A. Acute pulmonary embolism with coexisting right heart thrombi in transit—surgical treatment of 20 consecutive patients. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2023;**63**:ezad022.
7. Toma C, Jaber WA, Weinberg MD, Bunte MC, Khandhar S, Stegman B, Gondi S, Chambers J, Amin R, Leung DA, Kado H, Brown MA, Sarosi MG, Bhat AP, Castle J, Savin M, Siskin G, Rosenberg M, Fanola C, Horowitz JM, Pollak JS. Acute outcomes for the full US cohort of the FLASH mechanical thrombectomy registry in pulmonary embolism. *EuroIntervention* 2023;**18**:1201–1212.
8. Sekulic I, Dzudovic B, Matijasevic J, Batranovic U, Rusovic S, Mihajlovic M, Miladinovic U, Rancic N, Subotic B, Novicic N, Gavrilovic S, Boskovic-Sekulic J, Obradovic S. Ultrasound assisted thrombolysis in intermediate-risk patients with pulmonary thromboembolism. *Acta Cardiol* 2020;**75**:623–630.