

Treatment of Type 2 diabetes with Tianqi Jiangtang Capsule

A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials

Chunli Piao, PhD^{a,*}, Qi Zhang, MD^b, De Jin, MD^c, Mengsu Shao, MM^b, Chaoran Bi, MM^b, Li Wang, MM^a, Cheng Tang, MM^a, Fengmei Lian, PhD^{c,*}, Xiaolin Tong, PhD^{c,*}

Abstract

Background: Tianqi Jiangtang Capsule is a commonly used Chinese patent medicine for the treatment of type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) in China. The purpose of this study is to systematically evaluate the clinical efficacy and safety of Tianqi Jiangtang Capsule in the treatment of type 2 diabetes mellitus.

Methods: Randomized controlled trials (RCTs) of Tianqi Jiangtang Capsule in the treatment of type 2 diabetes mellitus were retrieved. According to the requirements of Cochrane Manual, the included literature was assessed and meta-analyzed with RevMan 5.3 software.

Results: (1) Meta-analysis included 8 RCTs and 1029 participants.

(2) There were two studies on adverse reactions.

(3) Meta-analysis showed that Tianqi Jiangtang Capsule could significantly reduce HbA1c (n = 1029; MD, -0.31; 95% Cl, [-0.43 to -0.19]; P < .00001; $I^2 = 0$ %). FBG (Z = 4.28 (P < .0001), MD = 0.78, 95% Cl[-1.14 to -0.43]). 2hPG [OR = -1.25, 95% Cl [-1.25 to -0.65], Z = 6.26 (P < .00001)] compared with the control group.

Conclusions: According to the results of this study, Tianqi Jiangtang Capsule combined with antidiabetic agents may have a better therapeutic effect on diabetes mellitus than antidiabetic agents alone, but due to the low methodological quality and limited number of studies, more high-quality studies are needed to verify it.

Abbreviations: 2hPG = 2 hours postprandial blood glucose, ADA = American Diabetes Association, CBM = China Biomedical Literature CD-ROM Database, CFDA = China Food and Drug Administration, CNKI = China National Knowledge Infrastructure, CVD = cardiovascular disease, FBG = fasting blood glucose, GRADE = The Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation, HbA1c = glycated hemoglobin, IGT = Impaired glucose tolerance, LDL-C = Low density lipoprotein, RCT = randomized controlled trial, TCM = traditional Chinese medicine, TG = triglyceride.

Keywords: add-on therapy, diabetes, meta-analysis, randomized controlled trials, Tianqi Jiangtang capsule

1. Introduction

With the development of social economy and the increasing pressure of people's life and work, diabetes has changed from a

Editor: Geun Hee Seol.

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

CP, QZ and DJ contributed equally to this study as first authors.

^a Institution of Shenzhen Hospital, Guangzhou University of Chinese Medicine (Futian), ^b Changchun University of Chinese Medicine, ^c Institution of Guang'anmen Hospital, China Academy of Chinese Medical Science, Beijin, China.

* Correspondence: Chunli Piao, Institution of Shenzhen Hospital, Guangzhou University of Chinese Medicine (Futian), Shenzhen 518000, Guangdong, China (e-mail: pcl2013@sina.cn); Fengmei Lian, and Xiaolin Tong, Institution of Guang'anmen Hospital, China Academy of Chinese Medical Science, Beijing 100000, China (e-mails: 694397644@qq.com, tongxiaolin66@sina.com).

Copyright © 2020 the Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CCBY), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: Piao C, Zhang Q, Jin D, Shao M, Bi C, Wang L, Tang C, Lian F, Tong X. Treatment of Type 2 Diabetes with Tianqi Jiangtang Capsule: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Medicine 2020;99:21(e19702).

Received: 28 September 2019 / Received in final form: 24 January 2020 / Accepted: 27 February 2020

http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.000000000019702

rare disease to an epidemic. In 2015, an estimated 415 million adults suffered from diabetes, accounting for 9.1% of the global adult population. China has the largest number of diabetic patients in the world, up to 109 million.^[1] Monitoring of chronic diseases and risk factors for these diseases in China in 2013 showed that the prevalence of diabetes in people aged 18 and over was 10.4%.^[2] As we all know, Diabetes mellitus is a complex, chronic illness, and 60% to 70% of those with diabetes also have obesity and 70% to 80% have hyperlipidemia.^[3,4] In addition, diabetes mellitus comorbidities and medical requirements contribute to high and rising healthcare costs.^[5] According to the American Diabetes Association's recommendation for the treatment of diabetes, metformin is a first-line drug and is widely used clinically.^[6] Since type 2 diabetes is a progressive and multifactorial condition, patients invariably require additional antihyperglycemic therapy.^[7] When metformin is taboo or intolerant and inefficient, drug combination therapy is widely used in clinical practice. Despite the benefits the combination, it also increases the risk of adverse events. In the clinical use of metformin and other hypoglycemic drugs, it might lead to some adverse events, such as edema, lactic acidosis, hypoglycemia, etc.^[8] Therefore, many physicians are trying to find new strategies for drug combination at present. Due to the side effects and drug resistance of drugs, more and more clinicians in our country are accelerating their expansion to the field of traditional drug treatment in the practice of diabetes treatment.

Traditional Chinese medicine (TCM) as a treatment of diabetes mellitus (DM) has made great progress in recent years, and its effect has been recognized.^[9] According to the findings from recent clinical trials,^[10–12] TCM could not only reduce blood sugar levels in patients, but also improve insulin resistance, increase insulin secretion, and regulate glycolipid metabolism.^[13] Pharmacological studies reveal that Chinese herbal medicines have been shown to effectively reduce blood glucose and delay the occurrence of complications and improve the quality of life of patients^[14,15]

Tianqi Jiangtang Capsule is a proprietary Chinese medicine approved by China Food and Drug Administration (CFDA),^[21] recommended by Chinese guidelines for the treatment of impaired glucose tolerance.^[16] Sun's study showed that after taking Tianqi Jiangtang Capsule for 3 months, the reversal rate of glucose tolerance in Impaired glucose tolerance (IGT) patients was as high as 35.5%. With the prolongation of treatment time, the reversal rate further increased, and by 12 months, the reversal rate reached 62%.^[17] Some studies have also shown that in type 2 diabetic patients who have been ineffective with metformin for a long time, after taking Tianqi Jiangtang Capsule, the glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) of patients decreased by 1.01%.^[25] Its medicine consists of Astragalus, Trichosanthin, Ligustrum lucidum, Dendrobium, Ginseng, Earth's bone, Coptis, Hawthorn, Eclipta, and Galla Chinensis. With a large number of clinical trials, animal experiments and modern pharmaceutical research, it could be found that Tianqi Jiangtang Capsule showed an anti-diabetic effect via reducing hyperglycaemia and modifying lipid metabolism as well as less adverse events in the treatment of diabetes.^[18] With those data, we can study whether the combination of traditional Chinese and antidiabetic agents - Tianqi Jiangtang Capsule combined with antidiabetic agents hypoglycemic drugs can better lower blood sugar levels and improve patients' clinical symptoms. Therefore, a sensible systematic review of these trials is of great significance. Although Tianqi Jiangtang Capsule has been studied in the treatment of diabetes mellitus, there is still insufficient evidence. In order to evaluate the safety and effectiveness of Tianqi Jiangtang Capsule in the treatment of type 2 diabetes as well as provide effective evidence for clinical application, this study intends to conduct a systematic review of the clinical randomized controlled trials of Tianqi Jiangtang Capsule in the treatment of T2DM.

2. Materials and methods

This systematic review has been registered on PROSPERO as ID148654.

2.1. Source of literature

Retrieval of the therapeutic effect of Tianqi Jiangtang Capsule on type 2 diabetes mellitus was conducted in 7 databases including PubMed, Embase, Cochrane Library, China Biomedical Literature CD-ROM Database (CBM), China National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI), China Resources Database and Wan Fang. The retrieval time was from the establishment of the database to August 10, 2019.

Two reviewers (SMS and BCR) independently extracted the literature based on the title and abstract of the article. Differences

of opinion can be resolved through discussion or consultation with P-CL.

2.2. Search method

Two researchers (QZ and DJ) independently conducted literature searches, screened according to inclusion and exclusion criteria, and cross-checked; 2 researchers negotiated to resolve differences, or consult relevant experts to resolve (P-CL) According to different database requirements, the corresponding search formula is adopted. To avoid omission, the search scope includes keyword, keyword or full text. The search terms mainly include (Tianqi Jiangtang Capsule or Tianqi Jiangtang Tablets) and (Diabetes or Diabetes Mellitus or T2DM or Type 2 Diabetes) and (RCT or randomized or randomized controls). Detailed search strategies are listed in the attachment.

2.3. Inclusion criteria for study selection

- Study object: According to World Health Organization (WHO) and other diagnostic criteria diagnosed as type 2 diabetes;^[19]
- (2) study type: randomized controlled study;
- (3) Types of intervention measures.

Control group: Patients in the control group received routine comprehensive treatment or this patients use routine comprehensive treatment combined with other treatments except Tianqi Jiangtang Capsule.

Treatment Group: The treatment group was treated with Tianqi Jiangtang Capsule; (1) Types of outcome indicators (including at least 2 of them): HbA1c, fasting blood glucose (FBG), 2 hours postprandial blood glucose (2hPG), triglyceride (TG), Low density lipoprotein (LDL-C),insulin secretion. The first three items are the main outcome indicators, and the last two are the secondary outcome indicators.

2.4. Exclusion criteria for study selection

- (1) Repeated publication of the literature;
- (2) literature that can be not extract relevant data;
- (3) control group also included the treatment of Tianqi Jiangtang Capsule;
- (4) animal experiment.

2.5. Literature screening and data extraction

The literature screening was performed independently by 2 investigators (LW and CT) based on inclusion and exclusion criteria. The data extraction includes: author, year, test group and control group treatment measures, allocation hidden, whether to use blind method, treatment, whether there are cases of shedding, outcome indicators and adverse reactions. Any discrepancies were resolved by discussion (with P-CL).

2.6. Evidence quality evaluation

Literature quality assessments were performed using the Cochrane Risk Bias Evaluation Tool,^[20] which was independently assessed by 2 investigators (QZ and DJ). The evaluation criteria include the following6 aspects:



- (1) random sequence generation method;
- (2) whether allocation concealment is used;
- (3) whether the subject and the intervention provider are blinded;
- (4) whether the result evaluator is blind;
- (5) whether the result data is complete;
- (6) Whether selective results reporting and other sources of bias. In case of disagreement, it shall be decided by a third party (with P-CL, L-FM, and T-XL).

The authors used The Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE) methodology to assess the quality of the evidence for each outcome.^[21] And each level of evidence will be made "very low," "low," "moderate," or "high" judgment.

2.7. Assessment of publication bias

If a result of a meta-analysis contains more than 10 articles and above, we can use RevMan 5.3 software to draw funnel chart and analyze potential publishing deviation with funnel chart.

2.8. Data statistics and analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using Review Manager 5.3 software from the Cochrane Collaboration.^[22] The measurement data adopts the mean difference (MD), and the categorical variable uses the odds ratio (OR) as the measurement index, with 95% CI as the effect amount. Heterogeneity test was first performed on the included studies. When the heterogeneity test results were P > .05 or $I^2 < 50\%$, the heterogeneity between the studies was not statistically significant. The fixed effect model was used; when the heterogeneity test results that the heterogeneity test results are subject to the studies when the heterogeneity test results were P > .05 or $I^2 < 50\%$, the heterogeneity between the studies was not statistically significant. The fixed effect model was used; when the heterogeneity test results $P \le .05$ or $I^2 \ge 50\%$ suggested that the heterogeneity test results $P \le .05$ or $I^2 \ge 50\%$ suggested that the heterogeneity test results $P \le .05$ or $I^2 \ge 50\%$ suggested that the heterogeneity test results $P \le .05$ or $I^2 \ge 50\%$ suggested that the heterogeneity test results $P \le .05$ or $I^2 \ge .05\%$ suggested that the heterogeneity test results $P \le .05\%$ suggested that the suggest $P \le .05\%$ or $P \ge .05\%$ suggested that the suggest $P \le .05\%$ suggest

heterogeneity between the studies was statistically significant. The randomized effect model was used to compare the clinical efficacy of T2DM in the experimental group and the control group, and may lead to heterogeneity. The main aspects of the sensitivity analysis were to identify sources of heterogeneity.

3. Results

3.1. Study characteristics and quality assessment

We have screened 103 pieces of literature through preliminary screening (CNKI=43, Wanfang=23, VIP=20, CBM=17, PubMed=1). In these data, 56 were deleted because of duplicate data, 2 were deleted because of unreadable data, and 37 were deleted because the summary did not meet the inclusion criteria.^[23-30] The whole process of deletion can be seen in Figure 1. In 8 articles, all the trials were divided into randomized controlled groups. The total number of patients in the treatment group was 606, while that in the control group was 423. Patients were observed for more than 8 weeks. Tianqi Jiangtang Capsule combined with metformin, insulin and gliclazide were compared with placebo or hypoglycemic drugs. (Table 1) HbA1C, FPG, and 2hPG were observed in all 8 trials^[23-25,30] and Fasting Insulin was observed in 3 trials.^[24,25,27]Figures 2 and 3 depicts a detailed overview of how each study scored in each category of bias.

3.2. Primary outcomes

3.2.1. HbA1c. All the literatures analyzed the HbA1c. The heterogeneity among the experiments was as follows: $I^2 = 0\%$ < 50% (Chi²=4.23, DF=7, P=.75). It shows that there are significant differences between the treatment group and the

Basic characteristics of included studies.

	Basic data	M/F (n)		Interv	Outcome Primary Secondary		
References	Trial	control	Age (years)	Trial	control		
Cao 2015 ^[26]	38 (19/19)	36 (19/17)	T:50.08±7.21 C:49.69±7.12	Tianqicapsule (1.6g,po,tid) +Metformin (500 mg,po.bid) + lifestyle modfication	Metformin (500 mg,po.bid) + lifestyle modfication	1.HbA1C 2.FPG 3.2hPG	1.TG 2.TC 3.Flns
Cai 2003 ^[28]	60 (31/29)	30 (11/19)	T:32-65 C:35-65	Tianqicapsule (1.6g,po,tid) + lifestyle modfication	Placebo (5capsules,po,tid) + lifestyle modfication	1.HbA1C 2.FPG 3.2hPG	 Insulin C-peptide 2.24-hour urinary sugar
Lian 2011 ^[24]	40	39	T:30-70 C:30-70	Tianqicapsule (1.6g.po,tid) +Metformin + lifestyle modfication	Placebo (1.6g,po, tid) + Metformin + lifestyle modfication	1.HbA1C 2.FPG 3.2hPG	1. Insulin 2.TC 3.TG 4. LDL-C
Yang 2019 ^[29]	42 (25/17)	42 (22/20)	T: 53.1±7.0 C: 52.3±7.5	Tianqicapsule (1.6g,po, tid) + Xagliptin (5mg,po, qd) +lifestyle modfication	Xagliptin (5 mg,po, qd) +lifestyle modfication	1.HbA1C 2.FPG 3.2hPG	1. HOMA-β 2. HOMA-IR 3. TNF-α
Chai 2017 ^[25]	48 (30/18)	48 (28/20)	T: 65.47±4.13 C: 66.00±4.25	Tianqicapsule (1.6g,po,tid) +Metformin (500 mg,po.bid) + lifestyle modfication	Metformin (500 mg,po.bid) + lifestyle modfication	1.HbA1C 2.FPG 3.2hPG	1.TG 2.TC 3.HDL-C 4. LDL-C
Cheng 2017 ^[23]	38 (23/15)	38 (21/17)	T: 65.47±4.13 C: 66.00±4.25	Tianqicapsule (1.6g,po,tid) +Metformin (500 mg,po.bid) + lifestyle modfication	Metformin (500 mg,po.bid) + lifestyle modfication	1.HbA1C 2.FPG 3.2hPG	1.TG 2.TC 3.HDL-C 4.LDL-C
Zhao 2003 ^[27]	300 (163/137)	150 (86/64)	T: 52. 25±9. 38 C:52.56±10.27	Tianqicapsule (1.6g,po,tid) + lifestyle modfication	Kelening (1.8g,po,tid) + lifestyle modfication	1.HbA1C 2.FPG 3.2hPG	1. Insulin 2.24-hour urinary sugar 3 None
Li 2011 ^[30]	40 (22/18)	40 (19/21)	$T:55.30 \pm 6.98$ $C:56.46 \pm 9.00$	Tianqicapsule (1.6g,po,tid) +Metformin (500 mg,po.bid) + lifestyle modfication	Placebo (1.6g,po, tid) + Metformin + lifestyle modfication	1.HbA1C 2.FPG 3.2hPG	1. Insulin 2.TC 3.TG 4. LDL-C

bid=bis in die, C, control group, FBG=fasting blood glucose, HDL-C=high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, HbA1C=glycosylated hemoglobin, LDL-C=low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, M/F=male versus female, qd=once daily, po=peros, T=tested group,TC=total cholesterol, TG=triglycerides, tid=ter in die, 2hPG=postprandial serum glucose.



Figure 2. Quality assessment of the included trials-Risk of bias graph.

Zhao2003	Yang2019	Lian2011	Li2011	Cheng2017	Chai2017	Cao2015	Cai2003	
÷	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	Random sequence generation (selection bias)
••	->	->	••	->	->	~	•	Allocation concealment (selection bias)
••	••	••	••	••	••	•	•	Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias)
••	••	••	••	••	••	•	••	Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias)
+	•	÷	•	÷	÷	•	•	Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias)
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	Selective reporting (reporting bias)
••	••	~	••	••	->	~>	~>	Other bias



	Experimental Control							Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% CI
Cai2003	7.12	1.38	60	7.14	1.4	30	3.7%	-0.02 [-0.63, 0.59]	
Cao2015	7.85	0.54	38	8.12	0.61	36	19.8%	-0.27 [-0.53, -0.01]	
Chai2017	6.81	0.53	48	7.08	0.73	48	21.0%	-0.27 [-0.53, -0.01]	
Cheng2017	6.81	0.53	38	7.08	0.73	38	16.6%	-0.27 [-0.56, 0.02]	
Li2011	6.82	1.29	40	7.06	1.15	40	4.8%	-0.24 [-0.78, 0.30]	
Lian2011	6.87	1.31	40	7.09	1.15	39	4.6%	-0.22 [-0.76, 0.32]	
Yang2019	6.16	1.14	42	6.92	1.52	42	4.1%	-0.76 [-1.33, -0.19]	•
Zhao2003	6.85	0.94	300	7.25	1.29	150	25.4%	-0.40 [-0.63, -0.17]	
Total (95% CI)			606			423	100.0%	-0.31 [-0.43, -0.19]	•
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =	4.23, df =	= 7 (P	= 0.75)	; 1 ² = 0%	5			2002500000000 <u>0</u> 0	
Test for overall effect:	Z = 5.20	(P < 0	.00001)					-0.5 -0.25 0 0.25 0.5 Treatment Control

control group. (n=1029; MD, -0.31; 95% CI, [-0.43 to -0.19]; P < .00001; $I^2 = 0\%$) (Fig. 4).

3.2.2. FBG. All the literatures analyzed FBG. Heterogeneity

test results showed that $I^2 = 68\% > 50\%$ (Chi²=22.02, DF=7,

P=.003, $I^2=68\%$) had high heterogeneity. Meta-analysis using random effect model showed that total effective (Z= 4.28 (P<.0001), MD=0.78, 95%CI[-1.14 to -0.43]). There was a significant difference between the 2 groups. (Fig. 5).

2

Mean Difference Mean Difference Treatment Control Study or Subgroup Mean SD Total Mean SD Total Weight IV, Random, 95% CI IV, Random, 95% CI Cai2003 6.69 1.4 60 7.45 1.62 30 11.6% -0.76 [-1.44, -0.08] Cao2015 38 7.87 0.86 9.12 1.1 36 15.0% -1.25 [-1.70, -0.80] Chai2017 6.91 1.48 48 7.56 1.12 48 13.9% -0.65 [-1.18, -0.12] 7.56 1.12 -0.65 [-1.24, -0.06] Cheng2017 6.91 1.48 38 12.9% 38 Li2011 7.97 2.64 7.9% -0.14 [-1.13, 0.85] 40 8.11 1.78 40 Lian2011 8.07 2.68 39 7.8% -0.05 [-1.05, 0.95] 40 8.12 1.76 Yang2019 5.86 0.91 42 7.48 42 14.7% -1.62 [-2.09, -1.15] 1.27 Zhao2003 7.43 1.91 300 7.94 1.86 150 16.3% -0.51 [-0.88, -0.14] Total (95% CI) 423 100.0% -0.78 [-1.14, -0.43] 606 Heterogeneity: Tau² = 0.17; Chi² = 22.02, df = 7 (P = 0.003); l² = 68% -2 0 Test for overall effect: Z = 4.28 (P < 0.0001) Favours [experimental] Favours [control]

Figure 5. Forest plots of comparison of FBG for Tianqi Jiangtang Capsule plus antidiabetic agents therapy versus antidiabetic agents alone.

	Treatment			Control				Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV. Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% CI
Cai2003	9.07	1.63	60	10.53	2.06	30	12.4%	-1.46 [-2.30, -0.62]	
Cao2015	0	0	38	0	0	36		Not estimable	
Chai2017	8.43	1.11	48	9.48	2.03	48	20.7%	-1.05 [-1.70, -0.40]	
Cheng2017	8.43	1.11	38	9.48	2.03	38	16.4%	-1.05 [-1.79, -0.31]	
Li2011	11.39	4.8	40	12.57	2.44	40	3.2%	-1.18 [-2.85, 0.49]	
Lian2011	11.33	4.75	40	12.61	2.42	39	3.2%	-1.28 [-2.94, 0.38]	
Yang2019	9.21	1.39	42	10.43	1.56	42	22.2%	-1.22 [-1.85, -0.59]	
Zhao2003	10.83	3.35	300	10.97	3.18	150	22.0%	-0.14 [-0.77, 0.49]	
Total (95% CI)			606			423	100.0%	-0.95 [-1.25, -0.65]	•
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =	8.75, df	= 6 (P	= 0.19)	; ² = 31	%			n de la della companya de la company Esta de la companya d	
Test for overall effect:	Z = 6.26	(P < (0.00001	1)					-2 -1 0 1 2 Treatment Control

Figure 6. Forest plots of comparison of 2hPG for Tianqi Jiangtang Capsule plus antidiabetic agents therapy versus antidiabetic agents alone.



3.2.3. 2hPG. Heterogeneity test showed that eight literatures were homogeneous [Chi²=8.75, DF=8 (P=.19); I²=31%], so fixed effect model was adopted. The results showed that there were significant differences in 2hPG between the treatment group and the control group [OR=-1.25, 95% CI [-1.25 to -0.65], Z=6.26 (P<.00001)]. It indicated that 2hPG in the treatment group taking Tianqi Jiangtang Capsule was significantly higher than that in the control group. (Fig. 6).

3.3. Secondary outcomes

3.3.1. Fasting insulin. Fasting Insulin status was recorded in 3 of the 8 articles included. Heterogeneity test showed that the three papers were homogeneous. Thus, a statistical analysis of fixed-effects model was adopted for this outcome. (n = 619; MD, 1.04; 95% CI, [-0.04 to 2.12]; P = .651; $I^2 = 0\%$) However, the number of documents included in this comparison is small, so the evaluation results have certain limitations. (Fig. 7).

3.3.2. *TG.* Four studies reported TG levels. Statistical heterogeneity existed among the results ($I^2 = 0\%$, P = 1). The results showed that the reduced value of TG in the treatment group was inferior to that in the control group. (n = 331; MD, -0.17; 95% CI, [-0.25 to -0.09]; P < .00001; $I^2 = 0\%$) (Fig. 8).

3.3.3. *LDL-C.* LDL-C has also been studied in 4 studies. There was no statistical heterogeneity among the studies ($I^2 = 5\%$, P = .37), so the fixed effect model was used. Meta-analysis showed that the therapeutic effect of reducing FPG level in the treatment group was better than that in the control group. (n = 331; MD, -1.07; 95% CI, [-1.16 to -0.97]; P < .00001; $I^2 = 5\%$) (Fig. 9).

3.4. Publication bias

HbA1c, FBG, and 2hPG were selected as indicators for the analysis of "inverted funnel diagram". The distribution of inverted funnel diagrams is within the range of intervals, and the







Figure 10. Funnel plot of the trials that compared treatment group with control group; (A) HAb1c; (B) FBG; (C) 2hPG.

experimental distribution is less symmetrical, suggesting that there may be some publication bias. (Fig. 10A–C).

3.5. Evaluation of quality of evidence

Figure 11 revealed evidence quality of the included studies of each outcome.^[31] The GRADE showed that the evidence quality of Tianqi Jiangtang Capsule and HbA1c, FBG, 2hPG was higher than that of TG and insulin. (Fig. 11).

4. Discussion

According to the current American Diabetes Association (ADA) treatment for diabetes, when we treat diabetes, we first choose to improve lifestyle and metformin treatment, and then second-line treatment should choose treatment according to the patient's comorbidities.^[32] In the treatment of diabetes, especially in the treatment of its complications, it will directly increase the use of drugs. Whether in life or psychology, it will increase the financial burden of patients. As a special national medicine in China and other Asian countries, TCM also plays a key role in the treatment of diabetes and its complications.^[33] This work aims to study the efficacy and safety of traditional Chinese patent medicine-Tiangi Jiangtang Capsule in the treatment of type 2 diabetes, so as to make a systematic evaluation and meta-analysis. In this systematic review, 8 trials involving 1029 participants were included. From the data statistics, we can conclude that Tianqi Jiangtang Capsule combined with basic treatment is more effective in improving patients' glucose metabolism than basic treatment alone. In addition, the reported adverse events were not serious and no additional special treatment was required.

Studies have shown that every 1% reduction in HbA1c, 12% reduction in stroke risk, 14% reduction in myocardial infarction risk and 12% reduction in diabetes-related mortality risk.^[34] The system evaluation takes HbA1c as one of the main analysis indexes. Meta-analysis of HbA1c showed that there were significant differences between the treatment group and the control group. (MD, -0.31; 95% CI, [-0.43 to -0.19]; P < .00001; $I^2 = 0\%$) The meta-analysis results as bow that the treatment group is better than the control group in reducing the level of HbA1c compared with the control group. The main research shows that Tianqi Jiangtang Capsule combined with antidiabetic agent can provide better benefits for diabetic patients and can significantly reduce HbA1c level compared with antidiabetic agent alone. In addition, animal experiments and clinical trials show that Tianqi Jiangtang Capsule has definite therapeutic effects: enhancing insulin sensitivity, effectively protecting islet β cells; smoothly and effectively reducing blood

			Certainty a	issessment		Ne of pa	itients	Ell	ect		I and the second second	
Ne of studies	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	Tian qi for T2D	placebo	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute (95% CI)	Certainty	Importance
IbA1c	10 0	3				5				315 CO 00,55		
8	randomised trials	not serious	not serious	not serious	not serious	all plausible residual confounding would reduce the demonstrated effect	606	423		MD 0.31 lower (0.43 lower to 0.19 lower)	⊕⊕⊕⊕ HIGH	CRITICAL
BG						1						
8	randomised trials	not serious	serious ^a	not serious	not serious	all plausible residual confounding would reduce the demonstrated effect	605	423		MD 0.85 lower (1.04 lower to 0.65 lower)	⊕⊕⊕⊕ HIGH	CRITICAL
hPG					h.				- A			
8	randomised trials	serious ^a	not serious	not serious	not serious	none	606	423	-	MD 0.95 lower (1.25 lower to 0.65 lower)	MODERATE	IMPORTANT
5												
4	randomised trials	not serious	serious ^a	not serious	not serious	none	166	165		MD 0.19 lower (0.27 lower to 0.1 lower)	MODERATE	IMPORTANT
nsulin												
8	randomised trials	not serious	not serious	not serious	serious b	none	400	219	1	MD 1.04 higher (0.04 lower to 2.12 higher)	MODERATE	IMPORTANT

Figure 11. Assessment of quality of evidence.

sugar; comprehensively improving dyslipidemia and preventing complications.^[27,28,40]

By meta-analysis of FBG in these 8 articles, the results of heterogeneity test showed that there was heterogeneity. It was found that the intervention drug in Yang's article was sitagliptin by combining random effect models.^[11] Sitagliptin, one of the DDP-4 inhibitors, affects blood sugar control through a variety of mechanisms, including enhancing glucose-dependent insulin secretion, slowing gastric emptying, and reducing postprandial glucagon secretion and food intake.^[35,36] The results may be related to the use of sitagliptin.

Seven studies compared the changes of 2hPG (except Cao's articles), there is a low degree of heterogeneity among the studies $(I^2=31\%)$. The results showed that the treatment group was significantly higher than the control group in improving 2hPG. It shows that Tianqi Jiangtang Capsule also has advantages in lowering the level of 2hPG.

Three trials^[24,25,27] with 619 participants were included in this work, reporting that the value of Fasting Insulin decreased significantly. And 4 trials^[23-25,30] with 331 participants were included in this work, reporting that TG and LDL-C levels also decreased significantly. The most common cause of morbidity and mortality in type 2 diabetes is premature cardiovascular disease (CVD).^[37] Statin therapy represents the basis for the management of hypercholesterolemia at present.^[38] However, studies have shown that the increased risk of diabetes seems to be associated with high-intensity statin therapy.^[39] The potential mechanism of Tianqi Jiangtang Capsule in regulating lipid metabolism was also confirmed by animal experiments. Zhang's experiment showed that after 4 weeks of administration, KKAy mice treated with Tiangi Jiangtang Capsule had more significant improvement in blood lipid than KKAv mice treated with saline (P < .05).^[40] Therefore, Tianqi Jiangtang Capsule can not only reduce the blood sugar level of patients, but also improve the condition of abnormal blood lipid.

4.1. Limitations

Of course, the results of this meta-analysis also have shortcomings. First, we get from the analysis results that FBG results are heterogeneous, which makes the results of analysis and comparison not completely reliable. Second, only 8 RCTs were included in the study through rigorous screening, and all of them were Chinese literatures. This makes the results not comprehensive enough and may lead to deviations in the results. Third, although all the patients in the study were type 2 diabetes mellitus, there were many kinds of intervention drugs and different intervening time, which would lead to deviations in the results. Therefore, the findings might have limitations for subjects outside China and should be interpreted with caution.

5. Conclusion

Systematic review shows that the curative effect of Tianqi Jiangtang Capsule combined with antidiabetic drugs is greater than that of antidiabetic drugs alone, especially on HbA1c and blood lipid. However, the evidence of quantity and quality of research is still insufficient. More rigorous clinical trials are needed to illustrate the advantages and benefits of Tianqi Jiangtang Capsule in the treatment of type 2 diabetes mellitus.

Author contributions

Data curation: Chunli Piao, Qi Zhang, De Jin.

Formal analysis: Mengsu Shao, Chaoran Bi, Li Wang, Cheng Tang.

Project administration: Chunli Piao, Fengmei Lian, Xiaolin Tong. Supervision: Chunli Piao, Fengmei Lian, Xiaolin Tong. Writing – original draft: Chunli Piao, Qi Zhang, De Jin.

References

- Ogurtsova K, da Rocha Fernandes JD, Huang Y, et al. IDF diabetes atlas: global estimates for the prevalence of diabetes for 2015 and 2040. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 2017;128:40–50.
- [2] Chinese Medical Association Diabetes BranchGuidelines for the prevention and treatment of type 2 Diabetes in China (2010 Edition). Chin J Diabetes 2012;20:2–4.
- [3] Selvin E, Parrinello CM, Sacks DB, et al. Trends in prevalence and control of diabetes in the United States, 1988-1994 and 1999-2010. Ann Intern Med 2014;160:517–25.
- [4] Preis SR, Pencina MJ, Hwang SJ, et al. Trends in cardiovascular disease risk factors in individuals with and without diabetes mellitus in the Framingham Heart Study. Circulation 2009;120:212–20.
- [5] Thingholm LB, Rühlemann MC, Koch M, et al. Obese individuals with and without type 2 diabetes show different gut microbial functional capacity and composition. Cell Host Microbe 2019;26:252–64. e210.

- [6] Inzucchi SE, Bergenstal RM, Buse JB, et al. Management of hyperglycaemia in type 2 diabetes: a patient-centered approach. Position statement of the American Diabetes Association (ADA) and the European Association for the Study of Diabetes (EASD). Diabetologia 2012;55:1577–96.
- [7] Ajmani AK, Agrawal A, Prasad BLN, et al. Efficacy and safety of evogliptin versus sitagliptin as an add-on therapy in Indian patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus inadequately controlled with metformin: A 24week randomized, double-blind, non-inferiority, EVOLUTION INDIA study. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 2019;107860.
- [8] Lee KT, Yeh YH, Chang SH, et al. Metformin is associated with fewer major adverse cardiac events among patients with a new diagnosis of type 2 diabetes mellitus: A propensity score-matched nationwide study. Medicine (Baltimore) 2017;96:e7507.
- [9] Hongdong C, Jing G, Bing P, et al. Application of Herbal Medicines with Bitter Flavor and Cold Property on Treating Diabetes Mellitus. Evid Based Complement Alternat Med 2015;529491.
- [10] Zheng J, Ma K, L S. Relationship between TCM Syndrome Differentiation and Insulin Resistance in Type 2 Diabetes. Chin J Trad Chin Med 2010;25:1318–9.
- [11] Yang YF, Peng HL. Treatment of 80 cases of type 2 diabetes with qi and yin deficiency type by yiqi yangyin decoction. Hebei Trad Chin Med 2009;31:671.
- [12] Tian JX, Zhao L, Lian F, et al. Review on the progress of research on prevention and treatment of diabetes by traditional Chinese medicine. J Trad Chin Med 2015;56:2093–7.
- [13] Xiao E, Luo L. Alternative therapies for diabetes: a comparison of western and traditional chinese medicine (TCM) approaches. Curr Diabetes Rev 2018;14:487–96.
- [14] Xu J, Lian F, Zhao L, et al. Structural modulation of gut microbiota during alleviation of type 2 diabetes with a Chinese herbal formula. ISME J 2015;9:552–62.
- [15] Hu Y, Zhou X, Liu P, et al. A comparison study of metformin only therapy and metformin combined with Chinese medicine jianyu tangkang therapy in patients with type 2 diabetes: a randomized placebo controlled double-blind study 2016; Compl Therap Med. 2016;24:13–18.
- [16] Chinese Diabetes SocietyGuidelines for the prevention and control of type 2 diabetes in China (2017 Edition). Chin J Pract Inter Med 2017;38:292–344.
- [17] Sun XF, Qu KY, Huang HT, et al. Tianqi Jiangtang Capsule for Prevention of Type 2 Diabetes mellitus: a Randomized, Double-blind, Placebo-controlled Study in Chinese individuais with Impaired Glucose Tolerance. Chin J Diabetes 2011;19:433–5.
- [18] Zhang SX, Sun H, Sun WJ, et al. Proteomic study of serum proteins in a type 2 diabetes mellitus rat model by Chinese traditional medicine Tianqi Jiangtang Capsule administration. J Pharm Biomed Anal 2010;53:1011–4.
- [19] De VF, Dekker JM, Stehouwer CD, et al. The 1997 American Diabetes Association criteria versus the 1985 World Health Organization criteria for the diagnosis of abnormal glucose tolerance: poor agreement in the Hoorn Study. Diabetes Care 1998;21:1686–90.
- [20] Higgins JP, Altman DG, Gotzsche PC, et al. The Cochrane Collaboration's tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. BMJ 2011;343:d5928.
- [21] Pang B, Lian FM, Zhao XY, et al. Prevention of type 2 diabetes with the traditional Chinese patent medicine: a systematic review and metaanalysis. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 2017;131:242–59.
- [22] Godlee F. The cochrane collaboration: deserves the support of doctors and governments. BMJ 1994;309:969–70.

- [23] Cheng Y, Yin ZJ. Study on the effect of Tianqi Jiangtang capsules combined with metformin on blood viscosity, cognitive disorder in elderly patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus complicated with cerebral microvascular lesions. Chin J Biochem Drugs 2017;37:123–8.
- [24] Lian F, Li Y, Sun X, et al. The combined Tian Qi Jiangtang capsule and metformin treatment in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: A randomized,parallei-group,multicenter, double-blind and prospective study. Chin J Diabetes 2011;19:600–2.
- [25] Chai H, Lu Y, Xiao H, et al. Clinical observation of tianqi jiangtang capsules combined with metformin in the treatment of elderly patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus complicated with cerebral microvascular lesions. Chin Pharm 2017;28:2053–7.
- [26] Cao Y, Wang HY, Xu C. The effects of Tianqi Jiangtang Capsule combined with Metformin on the leveis of Interleukin-6, tumor necrosis factor α and C-reactive protein in Patients with type 2 diabeties mellitus. Chin J Diabetes 2015;23:739–41.
- [27] Zhao QL, Guo BR, Yang WJ. Treatment of 300 cases of type 2 diabetes with tianqi jiangtang capsule. J Shandong Univ Trad Chin Med 2003;27:191–2.
- [28] Cai HQ, Ge HQ, Zhang XJ, et al. Clinical observation on 60 cases of type 2 diabetes treated with tianqi jiangtang capsule. J Jilin Univ 2003; 29:669–71.
- [29] Yang QP, Wang YH, Liang Y. Clinical study on Tianqi Jiangtang Capsules combined with saxagliptin in treatment of type 2 diabetes mellitus. Drugs Clin 2019;34:771–5.
- [30] Li Y. Clinical study of tianqi jiangtang capsule combined with metformin in the treatment of Type 2 diabetes mellitus with qi-yin deficiency syndrome. Beijing: Beijing University of Traditional Chinese Medicine; 2011. 10–5.
- [31] Lian F, Jin D, Bao Q, et al. Effectiveness of traditional chinese medicine jinlida granules as an add-on therapy for type 2 diabetes: a system review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. J Diabetes 2019; 1:540–51.
- [32] Marathe PH, Gao HX, Close KL. American Diabetes AssociationAmerican diabetes association standards of medical care in diabetes-2019. Diabetes Care 2019;(Suppl 1):S1–s186.
- [33] Chinese Medical Association Diabetes Branch, China Type 2 Diabetes Prevention and Treatment Guide (2017 Edition). Chin J Diabetes 2018;10:4–67.
- [34] Stratton IM, Adler Al, Neil HA, et al. Association of glycemia with macrovascular and microvascular complications of type 2 diabetes (UKPDS 35): prospective observational study. BMJ 2000;321:405–12.
- [35] Lee YS, Jun HS. Anti-diabetic actions of glucagon-like peptide-1 on pancreatic beta-cells. Metabolism 2014;63:9–19.
- [36] Koliaki C, Doupis J. Incretin-based therapy: a powerful and promising weapon in the treatment of type 2 diabetes mellitus. Diabetes Ther 2011;2:101–21.
- [37] Chait A, Goldberg I. Treatment of dyslipidemia in diabetes: recent advances and remaining questions. Curr Diab Rep 2017;17:112.
- [38] Fulcher J, O'Connell R, et al. Cholesterol Treatment Trialists' (CTT) CollaborationEfficacy and safety of LDL-lowering therapy among men and women: meta-analysis of individual data from 174,000 participants in 27 randomised trials. Lancet 2015;385:1397–405.
- [39] Preiss D, Seshasai SR, Welsh P, et al. Risk of incident diabetes with intensive-dose compared with moderate-dose statin therapy: a metaanalysis. JAMA 2011;305:2556–64.
- [40] Zhang Q, Xiao XH, Wang T, et al. The therapeutic mechanism of Tianqi capsule in regulating the blood glucose and lipid by using RT-PCR array. Chin J Diabetes 2009;17:174–7.