



# Feasibility Study of a Modified XELOX Adjuvant Chemotherapy for High-Recurrence Risk Patients With Operated Stage III Colon Cancer

Jianhong Peng<sup>1†</sup>, Weihao Li<sup>1†</sup>, Wenhua Fan<sup>1</sup>, Wenhao Zhou<sup>1</sup>, Ying Zhu<sup>1</sup>, Xueying Li<sup>1</sup>, Zhizhong Pan<sup>1</sup>, Xiaoping Lin<sup>2\*</sup> and Junzhong Lin<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Colorectal Surgery, Sun Yat-sen University Cancer Center, State Key Laboratory of Oncology in South

China, Collaborative Innovation Center for Cancer Medicine, Guangzhou, China, <sup>2</sup> Department of Nuclear Medicine, Sun Yat-sen University Cancer Center, State Key Laboratory of Oncology in South China, Collaborative Innovation Center for

### OPEN ACCESS

### Edited by:

Cancer Medicine, Guangzhou, China

Yingyan Yu, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, China

#### Reviewed by:

Rui Zhang, China Medical University, China Xianwei Mo, Guangxi Medical University Cancer Hospital, China Shisheng Tan, Guizhou Provincial People's Hospital, China

#### \*Correspondence:

Junzhong Lin linjzh@sysucc.org.cn Xiaoping Lin linxp@sysucc.org.cn <sup>†</sup>These authors have contributed equally to this work

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Pharmacology of Anti-Cancer Drugs, a section of the journal Frontiers in Pharmacology

> Received: 16 July 2020 Accepted: 04 September 2020 Published: 18 September 2020

#### Citation:

Peng J, Li W, Fan W, Zhou W, Zhu Y, Li X, Pan Z, Lin X and Lin J (2020) Feasibility Study of a Modified XELOX Adjuvant Chemotherapy for High-Recurrence Risk Patients With Operated Stage III Colon Cancer. Front. Pharmacol. 11:583091. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2020.583091 **Background:** Our previous study reported the favorable efficacy and good tolerance associated with a modified XELOX adjuvant chemotherapy with eight cycles of capecitabine and six cycles of oxaliplatin for operated stage III colon cancer. The current study aimed to confirm the feasibility of modified XELOX chemotherapy for

treating specific high-risk (T4, N2, or both) stage III colon cancer. **Methods:** We selected 142 consecutive patients with high-risk stage III colon cancer who received colon tumor resection followed by modified XELOX or standard full-cycle XELOX chemotherapy from November 2007 to June 2016 at Sun Yat-sen University Cancer Center. Disease-free survival (DFS), overall survival (OS), and adverse events of patients treated with the two chemotherapy regimens were compared.

**Results:** Seventy-four (52.1%) patients received standard XELOX chemotherapy, and 68 (47.8%) received modified XELOX chemotherapy. Neurotoxicity was the most common adverse event in 99 (69.7%) patients. Grade 2-3 neurotoxicity, grade 2–4 thrombocytopenia and grade 3–4 leucopenia were the major severe adverse events related to the decision to treat patients with modified XELOX chemotherapy. After a median follow-up of 69 months, the modified XELOX group presented a comparable 5-year DFS rate (79.0 vs. 80.3%, P = 0.891) and 5-year OS rate (93.8 vs. 87.8%, P = 0.446) as those in the standard XELOX group. Univariate survival analysis indicated that poor tumor differentiation (HR: 2.381, 95% CI: 1.141–4.968, P = 0.021) was the only significant risk factor for DFS, but no significant prognostic factor was identified for OS.

**Conclusions:** The modified XELOX adjuvant chemotherapy presented a comparable oncologic efficacy as standard XELOX chemotherapy for high-risk stage III colon cancer. The modified XELOX adjuvant chemotherapy could be an alternative treatment for patients suffering severe adverse events, especially severe neurotoxicity.

Keywords: colon cancer, adjuvant chemotherapy, XELOX (CapeOx), high risk, feasibility

# INTRODUCTION

To date, the combination of curative surgery and oxaliplatinbased chemotherapy is recommended as the classical treatment strategy for stage III colon cancer (Andre et al., 2009; Schmoll et al., 2014). A 6-month duration of adjuvant chemotherapy was previously recommended for all stage III colon cancer patients (Labianca et al., 2013; Benson et al., 2014). However, the International Duration Evaluation of Adjuvant Therapy (IDEA) trial introduced individualized durations of adjuvant chemotherapy according to the risk stratification of stage III colon cancer after curative chemotherapy (Grothey et al., 2018). The final results of the IDEA study suggested that a 3-month XELOX adjuvant chemotherapy regimen was sufficient for lowrisk patients (T1-3 N1 disease), while 6-month XELOX adjuvant chemotherapy was still recommended for high-risk patients (T4, N2, or both). In fact, chemotherapy-related toxicity, especially neurotoxicity, causes 30-50% of patients to be unable to finish the full planned duration of oxaliplatin-containing adjuvant chemotherapy (Alberts et al., 2012; Lonardi et al., 2016). Therefore, a shorter duration of oxaliplatin-containing adjuvant therapy for stage III patients is warranted, especially for high-risk patients.

Recently, we reported that a modified XELOX (mXELOX) adjuvant chemotherapy with 8 cycles of capecitabine and 6 cycles of oxaliplatin presented a comparable survival outcome and lower incidence of neurotoxicity than a standard full cycle of XELOX chemotherapy for patients with stage III operated colon cancer (Peng et al., 2019). Although the previous study provided the first evidence supporting the administration of the mXELOX adjuvant chemotherapy regimen for stage III colon cancer patients, it did not assess the long-term oncologic efficacy of modified XELOX chemotherapy in those patients by performing a comparison with the standard full cycle of XELOX chemotherapy. Nevertheless, based on the results of the study, we supposed that mXELOX adjuvant chemotherapy could reduce or prevent the aggravation of neurotoxicity in patients with high-risk stage III colon cancer without impairing their oncologic outcomes.

To further demonstrate the feasibility of modified XELOX chemotherapy for treating high-risk stage III colon cancer, the present study aimed to evaluate the oncologic efficacy and safety of modified XELOX chemotherapy for these patients.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

# Patients

This retrospective study reviewed 448 consecutive patients with colon cancer who underwent primary tumor resection between November 2007 and June 2016 at Sun Yat-sen University Cancer Center, China.The 448 patients met the following criteria: (1) pathologically diagnosed with colon adenocarcinoma, (2) underwent colon tumor curative resection, (3) received adjuvant chemotherapy with the XELOX regimen (oxaliplatin 130 mg/m<sup>2</sup> administered intravenously on day 1 and

capecitabine 1,000 mg/m<sup>2</sup> administered orally twice daily on days 1-14 for a 3-week cycle), (4) received no preoperative anticancer treatment, (5) was categorized as American Society of Anesthesiologists class I-II, (6) attended postoperative followup at least 3 months after delivery of the first cycle of chemotherapy, and (7) had a complete record of chemotherapy side effects. Subsequently, we further selected the patients according to the following criteria: (1) high-recurrence-risk patients with stage pT4Nany or pTanyN2 and (2) patients who underwent adjuvant chemotherapy with the full-cycle standard XELOX or the modified XELOX regimen. The clinical information, including demographics, tumor characteristics, treatment details, and follow-up data, was carefully collected from the electronic medical record system. The current study was conducted based on the ethical standards of the World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki. The study was approved by the Institutional Research Ethics Committee of Sun Yat-sen University Cancer Center (approval number: GZR2017-004). Informed consent was waived by independent ethics committees of Sun Yat-sen University Cancer Center because of the retrospective nature of the study. All patient data were documented confidentially.

### **Treatments**

All patients underwent curative resection of the colon tumor by the performance of standard complete mesocolic excision and regional lymphadenectomy. The initial adjuvant chemotherapy was performed 3-8 weeks after colon tumor resection for all patients. According to the cycles of oxaliplatin given, patients with adjuvant chemotherapy was grouped into the standard and modified XELOX regimen group. Modified XELOX was defined as six cycles of the XELOX regimen plus two subsequent cycles of capecitabine alone, which consisted of eight cycles of capecitabine and six cycles of oxaliplatin, while the standard XELOX regimen consisted of eight cycles of capecitabine and eight cycles of oxaliplatin. The administration of the two XELOX regimens of adjuvant chemotherapy depended on the toxicity of the chemotherapy, the patient's tolerance, and preferences for the last two cycles of chemotherapy. A complete laboratory assessment was performed before each chemotherapy cycle.

# Definitions

All cases were pathologically staged according to the 8<sup>th</sup> edition of the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) staging system. Right-sided colon cancer was defined as a tumor located in the cecum, ascending colon, hepatic flexure, or transverse colon. Left-sided colon cancer was recognized as a tumor in the splenic flexure, descending colon, and sigmoid colon (Peng et al., 2018). The lymph node ratio (LNR) was defined as the number of positive lymph nodes divided by the total number of retrieved lymph nodes. Lymphovascular invasion (LVI) was diagnosed based on the presence of tumor cells within the small endothelium-lined lymphatic or vascular channels (Harris et al., 2008). Perineural invasion (PNI) was diagnosed based on tumor invasion in, around, and through nerves and nerve sheaths (Batsakis, 1985). The intensity of the adverse events during adjuvant chemotherapy was graded based on the National Cancer Institute Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (NCI CTCAE), version 4.0.

# **Postoperative Follow-Up**

Follow-up was conducted through clinical visits every 3 months for the first 2 years and then semiannually for the subsequent 3 years after surgery. The clinical visit items included abdominal examinations, detection of serum carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) and carbohydrate antigen 19-9 (CA19-9), chest/ abdominal/pelvic CT, and colonoscopy. Disease-free survival (DFS) was the interval from the date of tumor resection to the date of disease recurrence, death or the last follow-up. Overall survival (OS) was the interval from the date of tumor resection to the date of death from any cause or the last follow-up. The final follow-up visit was conducted in July 2019.

# **Statistical Analysis**

Statistical analyses were conducted using SPSS 20.0 software (IBM, Chicago, IL, USA) and GraphPad Prism 7 software (GraphPad Software, Inc., San Diego, CA, USA). Continuous variables are presented as the median (range), while categorical variables are presented as percentages, which were compared by using the chi-square ( $\chi^2$ ) test. The Kaplan–Meier curve was applied to calculate the survival rates, and the differences in survival between the groups were subsequently compared by using the log-rank test. The hazard ratios (HRs) and 95%

confidence intervals (CIs) were finally generated by univariate Cox proportional hazards analysis. The statistical tests performed above were two sided, and a P value less than 0.05 was considered significant.

# RESULTS

# **Patient Characteristics**

The participant selection flowchart is presented in Figure 1. Among the 448 patients, 306 patients were excluded for the following reasons: low-recurrence risk patients (n = 209) and unfinished adjuvant chemotherapy with fewer than eight cycles (n = 97). Overall, 142 eligible patients were identified for analysis in the study, with 74 patients in the standard XELOX group and 68 patients in the modified XELOX group. The detailed information of the 142 patients is shown in Table 1. The median age of the total sample of patients was 55 years (range, 22-85 years), with 56.3% male patients. The median tumor size was 4.1 cm (range, 1.0-12.0 cm). The median number of retrieved lymph nodes was 15 (range, 2-63), the median number of metastatic lymph nodes was 3 (range, 1-17), and the median LNR was 0.25 (range, 0.09-1.00). According to tumor location, the total sample of patients had 3 (2.1%) cases of cecal cancer, 20 (14.1%) cases of ascending colon cancer, 11 (7.7%) cases of hepatic flexure colon cancer, 10 (7.0%)



TABLE 1   (	Clinical	characteristics	of the	patients	in the	standard	and	modified
XELOX group	ps.							

Variable         Overall cases (%)         Standard XELOX (n, %)         Modified XELOX (n, %)         P value           Total         142         74 (52.1)         68 (47.9)					
Total       142       74 (52.1)       68 (47.9)         Age (years)       0.487 $\leq 60$ 100 (70.4)       54 (73.0)       46 (67.6)         >80       42 (29.6)       20 (27.0)       22 (32.4)         Sex       0.657         Male       80 (56.3)       43 (68.1)       37 (54.4)         Female       62 (43.7)       31 (41.9)       31 (45.6)         Tumor location       0.031         Right-sided colon       98 (69.0)       57 (77.0)       41 (60.3)         Baseline hemoglobin       0.825         (g/L)       -       -       -         <90       24 (16.9)       13 (17.6)       11 (16.2)         ≥80       118 (83.1)       61 (82.4)       57 (83.8)         Tumor size (cm)       -       0.019         ≤4       71 (50.0)       44 (59.5)       27 (39.7)         Differentiation       -       0.437         Well/moderate       100 (70.4)       50 (67.6)       50 (73.5)         Poor/undifferentiated       42 (29.6)       24 (32.4)       18 (26.5)         T at age       0.002       11 (40.3)       52 (70.3)       62 (91.2)         Number of retrieved lymph nodes	Variable	Overall cases (%)	Standard XELOX (n, %)	Modified XELOX (n, %)	P value
Age (years)       0.487 $\leq 60$ 100 (70.4)       54 (73.0)       46 (67.6)         > $>60$ 42 (29.6)       20 (27.0)       22 (32.4)         Sax       0.657         Male       80 (56.3)       43 (58.1)       37 (54.4)         Female       62 (43.7)       31 (41.9)       31 (45.6)         Tumor location       0.031         Right-sided colon       44 (61.0)       17 (23.0)       27 (39.7)         Left-sided colon       98 (69.0)       57 (77.0)       41 (60.3)         Baseline hemoglobin       0.825       (g/L)       0.0019 $\leq 4$ 71 (50.0)       30 (40.5)       41 (60.3) $\geq 4$ 71 (50.0)       30 (40.5)       57 (63.8)         Tumor size (cm)       0.437       0.002 $\leq 4$ 71 (50.0)       30 (40.5)       50 (73.5)         Poor/undifferentiated       42 (29.6)       24 (32.4)       18 (26.5)         Tasage       0.002       11.114 (80.3)       52 (70.3)       62 (91.2)         Number of retrieved lymph nodes       0.207       6 (8.8)       14         17 at 3 28 (19.7)       22 (29.7)       6 (8.8)       0.492         Nut       13 (55.	Total	142	74 (52.1)	68 (47.9)	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Age (years)				0.487
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	≤60	100 (70.4)	54 (73.0)	46 (67.6)	
Sex       0.657         Male       80 (66.3)       43 (58.1)       37 (54.4)         Female       62 (43.7)       31 (41.9)       31 (45.6)         Right-sided colon       44 (31.0)       17 (23.0)       27 (39.7)         Left-sided colon       98 (69.0)       57 (77.0)       41 (60.3)         Baseline hemoglobin       0.825         (g/L)       0.825         ≤90       118 (83.1)       61 (82.4)       57 (83.8)         Tumor size (cm)       0.019         ≤4       71 (50.0)       30 (40.5)       27 (39.7)         Differentiation       0.437         Wel/moderate       100 (70.4)       50 (67.6)       50 (73.5)         Poor/undifferentiated       42 (29.6)       24 (32.4)       18 (26.5)         T stage       0.002       71 T3       28 (19.7)       22 (29.7)       6 (8.8)         T 4       114 (80.3)       52 (70.3)       62 (91.2)       0.002         Number of retrieved lymph nodes       0.207       41 (50.3)       20 (29.4)         ≥12       107 (75.4)       59 (79.7)       48 (70.6)       11 (41.6)         LNR       0.425       34 (45.9)       29 (42.6)       0.492         N stage	>60	42 (29.6)	20 (27.0)	22 (32.4)	
Male       80 (56.3)       43 (58.1)       37 (54.4)         Female       62 (43.7)       31 (41.9)       31 (45.6)         Tumor location       0.031         Right-sided colon       44 (31.0)       17 (23.0)       27 (39.7)         Laft-sided colon       98 (69.0)       57 (77.0)       41 (60.3)         Baseline hemoglobin       0.825         (g/L)       0.118 (83.1)       61 (82.4)       57 (83.8)         Tumor size (cm)       0.019 $\leq 4$ 71 (50.0)       30 (40.5)       41 (60.3)         >4       71 (50.0)       30 (40.5)       41 (60.3)         >4       71 (50.0)       30 (40.5)       41 (60.3)         >4       71 (50.0)       30 (40.5)       41 (60.3)         >4       71 (50.0)       44 (59.5)       27 (39.7)         Differentiation       0.437         Well/moderate       100 (70.4)       50 (67.6)       50 (73.5)         Poor/undifferentiated       42 (29.6)       24 (32.4)       18 (26.5)         Tage       0.002       11-173       28 (19.7)       22 (29.7)       6 (8.8)         T4       114 (80.3)       52 (70.3)       62 (91.2)       0.207         <12 <td>Sex</td> <td>· · · ·</td> <td>( )</td> <td>· · · ·</td> <td>0.657</td>	Sex	· · · ·	( )	· · · ·	0.657
Female       62 (43.7)       31 (41.9)       31 (45.6)         Tumor location       0.031         Right-sided colon       44 (31.0)       17 (23.0)       27 (39.7)         Left-sided colon       98 (69.0)       57 (77.0)       41 (60.3)         Baseline hemoglobin       0.825         (g/L)       0.825 $< 90$ 24 (16.9)       13 (17.6)       11 (16.2) $\geq 90$ 118 (83.1)       61 (82.4)       57 (83.8)         Tumor size (cm)       0.019 $\leq 4$ 71 (50.0)       44 (59.5)       27 (39.7)         Differentiation       0.437         Wel/moderate       100 (70.4)       50 (67.6)       50 (73.5)         Poor/undifferentiated       42 (29.6)       24 (32.4)       18 (26.5)         Ta stage       0.002       11-173       28 (19.7)       22 (29.7)       6 (8.8)         T4       114 (80.3)       52 (70.3)       62 (91.2)       0.002         Number of retrieved lymph nodes       0.207       <12	Male	80 (56.3)	43 (58.1)	37 (54,4)	
Tumor location       0.031         Right-sided colon       44 (31.0)       17 (23.0)       27 (39.7)         Left-sided colon       98 (69.0)       57 (77.0)       41 (60.3)         Baseline hemoglobin       0.825 $(g/L)$ 0.825 $\leq 90$ 24 (16.9)       13 (17.6)       11 (16.2) $\geq 90$ 118 (83.1)       61 (82.4)       57 (83.8)         Tumor size (cm)       0.019 $\leq 4$ 71 (50.0)       44 (59.5)       27 (39.7)         Differentiation       0.437         Well/moderate       100 (70.4)       50 (67.6)       50 (73.5)         Poor/undifferentiated       42 (29.6)       24 (32.4)       18 (26.5)         T stage       0.002       11-173       28 (19.7)       22 (29.7)       6 (8.8)         T4       114 (80.3)       52 (70.3)       62 (91.2)       0.002         Number of retrieved lymph nodes       0.207       <12	Female	62 (43.7)	31 (41.9)	31 (45.6)	
Right-sided colon       44 (31.0)       17 (23.0)       27 (39.7)         Left-sided colon       98 (69.0)       57 (77.0)       41 (60.3)         Baseline hemoglobin       0.825         (g/L)       0.019         ≤90       24 (16.9)       13 (17.6)       11 (16.2)         ≥90       118 (83.1)       61 (82.4)       57 (83.8)         Tumor size (cm)       0.019         ≤4       71 (50.0)       30 (40.5)       41 (60.3)         >4       71 (50.0)       30 (45.5)       27 (39.7)         Differentiation       0.437         Well/moderate       100 (70.4)       50 (67.6)       50 (73.5)         Poor/undifferentiated       42 (29.6)       24 (32.4)       18 (26.5)         T stage       0.002       71.14 (80.3)       52 (70.3)       62 (91.2)         Number of retrieved lymph nodes       0.207       41 (76.6)       20 (29.4)         ≥12       107 (75.4)       59 (79.7)       48 (70.6)       21 (20.2)         LNR       0.693       32 (51.4)       31 (45.6)       32 (57.4)         Nage       0.492       N1       73 (55.6)       36 (48.6)       37 (54.4)         N2       69 (44.4)       38 (51.4)       31 (	Tumor location		- ( -)	- ( )	0.031
light solution       98 (69.0)       57 (77.0)       21 (60.1)         Leff-sided colon       98 (69.0)       57 (77.0)       41 (60.3)         Baseline hemoglobin       0.825         (g/L)       0.019 $\leq 90$ 118 (83.1)       61 (82.4)       57 (83.8)         Tumor size (cm)       0.019 $\leq 4$ 71 (50.0)       30 (40.5)       41 (60.3)         >4       71 (50.0)       44 (59.5)       27 (39.7)         Differentiation       0.437         Well/moderate       100 (70.4)       50 (67.6)       50 (73.5)         Poor/undifferentiated       42 (29.6)       24 (32.4)       18 (26.5)         T stage       0.002       71-T3       28 (19.7)       22 (29.7)       6 (8.8)         T4       114 (80.3)       52 (70.3)       62 (91.2)       0.207         Vall       35 (24.6)       15 (20.3)       20 (29.4) $\geq$ 12       107 (75.4)       59 (79.7)       48 (70.6)         LNR       0.825       79 (24.6)       40 (54.1)       39 (57.4) $\geq$ 0.25       63 (75.4) $\times$ 14 (45.9)       29 (42.6)       N         N stage       0.425       36 (48.6)       37 (54.4) $\times$ 14 (45.6) $\times$ 14 (45.6	Right-sided colon	44 (31 0)	17 (23.0)	27 (39 7)	
Link outside for the hemoglobin       0.81 (11.4)       11 (16.2)         (g/L)       <90	Left-sided colon	98 (69 0)	57 (77 0)	41 (60.3)	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Baseline hemoglohin	00 (00.0)	01 (11.0)	11 (00.0)	0.825
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	(a/L)				0.020
Soc       24 (10.5)       10 (17.5)       11 (10.2)         ≥90       118 (83.1)       61 (82.4)       57 (83.8)         Tumor size (cm)       0.019         ≤4       71 (50.0)       30 (40.5)       41 (60.3)         >4       71 (50.0)       44 (59.5)       27 (39.7)         Differentiation       0.437         Well/moderate       100 (70.4)       50 (67.6)       50 (73.5)         Poor/undifferentiated       42 (29.6)       24 (32.4)       18 (26.5)         T stage       0.002         T1-T3       28 (19.7)       22 (29.7)       6 (8.8)         T4       114 (80.3)       52 (70.3)       62 (91.2)         Number of retrieved lymph nodes       0.207         <12	(9/L) ~90	24 (16 0)	13 (17 6)	11 (16 2)	
220       113 (05.1)       01 (02.4)       01 (03.4)         Tumor size (cm)       0.019 $4$ 71 (50.0)       30 (40.5)       41 (60.3)         >4       71 (50.0)       44 (59.5)       27 (39.7)         Differentiation       0.437         Well/moderate       100 (70.4)       50 (67.6)       50 (73.5)         Poor/undifferentiated       42 (29.6)       24 (32.4)       18 (26.5)         T at ge       0.002         T1-T3       28 (19.7)       22 (29.7)       6 (8.8)         T4       114 (80.3)       52 (70.3)       62 (91.2)         Number of retrieved lymph nodes       0.207         <12       35 (24.6)       15 (20.3)       20 (29.4)         ≥12       107 (75.4)       59 (79.7)       48 (70.6)         LNR       0.693       0.492         N1       73 (55.6)       36 (48.6)       37 (54.4)         N2       69 (44.4)       38 (51.4)       31 (45.6)         LV <sup>a</sup> 0.821       0.821         Positive       50 (40.0)       26 (38.2)       24 (42.1)         Negative       75 (60.0)       42 (61.8)       33 (57.9)         PN <sup>b</sup> 0.835	<90 \00	119 (92 1)	61 (92 4)	57 (93.9)	
10.105 Size (cf.1)       0.015         ≤4       71 (50.0)       30 (40.5)       41 (60.3)         >4       71 (50.0)       44 (59.5)       27 (39.7)         Differentiation       0.437         Well/moderate       100 (70.4)       50 (67.6)       50 (73.5)         Poor/undifferentiated       42 (29.6)       24 (32.4)       18 (26.5)         T stage       0.002         T1-T3       28 (19.7)       22 (29.7)       6 (8.8)         T4       114 (80.3)       52 (70.3)       20 (29.4)         ≥12       107 (75.4)       59 (79.7)       48 (70.6)         LNR       0.693         <0.25	≥90 Tumor cizo (om)	110 (00.1)	01 (02.4)	57 (05.0)	0.010
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		71 (50 0)	20 (40 5)	41 (60.2)	0.019
>4       11 (50.0)       44 (59.5)       27 (59.7)         Differentiation       0.437         Well/moderate       100 (70.4)       50 (67.6)       50 (73.5)         Poor/undifferentiated       42 (29.6)       24 (32.4)       18 (26.5)         T stage       0.002         T1-T3       28 (19.7)       22 (29.7)       6 (8.8)         T4       114 (80.3)       52 (70.3)       62 (91.2)         Number of retrieved lymph nodes       0.207         <12	≥4 × 4	71 (50.0)	30 (40.3) 44 (EO E)	41 (00.3)	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	>4 Differentiation	71 (50.0)	44 (09.0)	27 (39.7)	0 407
Weil/moderate       100 (70.4)       50 (67.6)       50 (73.5)         Poor/undifferentiated       42 (29.6)       24 (32.4)       18 (26.5)         T stage       0.002         T1-T3       28 (19.7)       22 (29.7)       6 (8.8)         T4       114 (80.3)       52 (70.3)       62 (91.2)         Number of retrieved lymph nodes       0.207         <12		100 (70 4)			0.437
Poor/undimerentiated       42 (29.6)       24 (32.4)       18 (26.5)         T stage       0.002         T1-T3       28 (19.7)       22 (29.7)       6 (8.8)         T4       114 (80.3)       52 (70.3)       62 (91.2)         Number of retrieved lymph nodes       0.207         <12		100 (70.4)	50 (67.6)	50 (73.5)	
1 stage       0.002         T1-T3       28 (19.7)       22 (29.7)       6 (8.8)         T4       114 (80.3)       52 (70.3)       62 (91.2)         Number of retrieved lymph nodes       0.207         <12	Poor/undimerentiated	42 (29.6)	24 (32.4)	18 (26.5)	
11-13       28 (19.7)       22 (29.7)       6 (8.8)         T4       114 (80.3)       52 (70.3)       62 (91.2)         Number of retrieved lymph nodes       0.207         <12	I stage		00 (00 7)	a (a a)	0.002
14       114 (80.3)       52 (70.3)       62 (91.2)         Number of retrieved lymph nodes       0.207         <12	11–13	28 (19.7)	22 (29.7)	6 (8.8)	
Number of retrieved lymph nodes       0.207         <12	14	114 (80.3)	52 (70.3)	62 (91.2)	
<12 35 (24.6) 15 (20.3) 20 (29.4) ≥12 107 (75.4) 59 (79.7) 48 (70.6) LNR 0.693 <0.25 79 (24.6) 40 (54.1) 39 (57.4) ≥0.25 63 (75.4) 34 (45.9) 29 (42.6) N stage 0.492 N1 73 (55.6) 36 (48.6) 37 (54.4) N2 69 (44.4) 38 (51.4) 31 (45.6) LVI <sup>a</sup> 0.821 Positive 50 (40.0) 26 (38.2) 24 (42.1) Negative 75 (60.0) 42 (61.8) 33 (57.9) PNI <sup>b</sup> 0.885 Positive 38 (30.6) 21 (31.3) 17 (29.8) Negative 86 (69.4) 46 (68.7) 40 (70.2) Mismatch repair 0.970 protein status <sup>c</sup> pMMR 57 (91.9) 46 (92.0) 11 (91.7) dMMR 58 (40.8) 38 (51.4) 20 (29.4) Postoperative serum CEA (ng/ml) 0.008 ≤5 84 (59.2) 36 (48.6) 48 (70.6) >5 58 (40.8) 38 (51.4) 20 (29.4) Postoperative 0.643 metastasis Yes 29 (20.4) 14 (18.9) 15 (22.1) No	Number of retrieved ly	mph nodes			0.207
≥12 107 (75.4) 59 (79.7) 48 (70.6) LNR 0.693 <0.25 79 (24.6) 40 (54.1) 39 (57.4) ≥0.25 63 (75.4) 34 (45.9) 29 (42.6) N stage 0.492 N1 73 (55.6) 36 (48.6) 37 (54.4) N2 69 (44.4) 38 (51.4) 31 (45.6) LVI <sup>a</sup> 0.821 Positive 50 (40.0) 26 (38.2) 24 (42.1) Negative 75 (60.0) 42 (61.8) 33 (57.9) PNI <sup>b</sup> 0.885 Positive 38 (30.6) 21 (31.3) 17 (29.8) Negative 86 (69.4) 46 (68.7) 40 (70.2) Mismatch repair 0.970 protein status <sup>c</sup> pMMR 57 (91.9) 46 (92.0) 11 (91.7) dMMR 5 (8.1) 4 (8.0) 1 (8.3) Preoperative serum CEA (ng/ml) 0.008 ≤5 84 (59.2) 36 (48.6) 48 (70.6) >5 5 58 (40.8) 38 (51.4) 20 (29.4) Postoperative 0.643 metastasis Yes 29 (20.4) 14 (18.9) 15 (22.1) No 113 (79.6) 60 (81.1) 53 (77.9)	<12	35 (24.6)	15 (20.3)	20 (29.4)	
LNR 0.693 <0.25 79 (24.6) 40 (54.1) 39 (57.4) ≥0.25 63 (75.4) 34 (45.9) 29 (42.6) N stage 0.492 N1 73 (55.6) 36 (48.6) 37 (54.4) N2 69 (44.4) 38 (51.4) 31 (45.6) LVI <sup>a</sup> 0.821 Positive 50 (40.0) 26 (38.2) 24 (42.1) Negative 75 (60.0) 42 (61.8) 33 (57.9) PNI <sup>b</sup> 0.885 Positive 38 (30.6) 21 (31.3) 17 (29.8) Negative 86 (69.4) 46 (68.7) 40 (70.2) Mismatch repair 0.970 protein status <sup>c</sup> pMMR 57 (91.9) 46 (92.0) 11 (91.7) dMMR 5 (8.1) 4 (8.0) 1 (8.3) Preoperative serum CEA (ng/ml) 0.008 ≤5 84 (59.2) 36 (48.6) 48 (70.6) >5 5 58 (40.8) 38 (51.4) 20 (29.4) Postoperative 0.643 metastasis Yes 29 (20.4) 14 (18.9) 15 (22.1) No 113 (79.6) 60 (81.1) 53 (77.9)	≥12	107 (75.4)	59 (79.7)	48 (70.6)	
<0.25 79 (24.6) 40 (54.1) 39 (57.4) ≥0.25 63 (75.4) 34 (45.9) 29 (42.6) N stage N1 73 (55.6) 36 (48.6) 37 (54.4) N2 69 (44.4) 38 (51.4) 31 (45.6) LVI <sup>a</sup> Positive 50 (40.0) 26 (38.2) 24 (42.1) Negative 75 (60.0) 42 (61.8) 33 (57.9) PNI <sup>b</sup> 0.885 Positive 38 (30.6) 21 (31.3) 17 (29.8) Negative 86 (69.4) 46 (92.0) 11 (91.7) dMMR 57 (91.9) 46 (92.0) 11 (91.7) dMMR 5 (8.1) 4 (8.0) 1 (8.3) Preoperative serum CEA (ng/ml) ≤5 5 (8 40.8) 38 (51.4) 20 (29.4) Postoperative 0.643 metastasis Yes 29 (20.4) 14 (18.9) 15 (22.1) No 113 (79.6) 60 (81.1) 53 (77.9)	LNR				0.693
≥0.25 63 (75.4) 34 (45.9) 29 (42.6) N stage 0.492 N1 73 (55.6) 36 (48.6) 37 (54.4) N2 69 (44.4) 38 (51.4) 31 (45.6) LVI <sup>a</sup> 0.821 Positive 50 (40.0) 26 (38.2) 24 (42.1) Negative 75 (60.0) 42 (61.8) 33 (57.9) PNI <sup>b</sup> 0.885 Positive 38 (30.6) 21 (31.3) 17 (29.8) Negative 86 (69.4) 46 (68.7) 40 (70.2) Mismatch repair 0.970 protein status <sup>c</sup> pMMR 57 (91.9) 46 (92.0) 11 (91.7) dMMR 5 (8.1) 4 (8.0) 1 (8.3) Preoperative serum CEA (ng/ml) 0.008 ≤5 84 (59.2) 36 (48.6) 48 (70.6) >5 5 88 (40.8) 38 (51.4) 20 (29.4) Postoperative 0.643 metastasis Yes 29 (20.4) 14 (18.9) 15 (22.1) No 113 (79.6) 60 (81.1) 53 (77.9)	<0.25	79 (24.6)	40 (54.1)	39 (57.4)	
N stage       0.492         N1       73 (55.6)       36 (48.6)       37 (54.4)         N2       69 (44.4)       38 (51.4)       31 (45.6)         LVI <sup>a</sup> 0.821         Positive       50 (40.0)       26 (38.2)       24 (42.1)         Negative       75 (60.0)       42 (61.8)       33 (57.9)         PNI <sup>b</sup> 0.885         Positive       38 (30.6)       21 (31.3)       17 (29.8)         Negative       86 (69.4)       46 (68.7)       40 (70.2)         Mismatch repair       0.970         protein status <sup>c</sup> 0.970         pMMR       57 (91.9)       46 (92.0)       11 (91.7)         dMMR       5 (8.1)       4 (8.0)       1 (8.3)         Preoperative serum CEA (ng/ml)       0.008           ≤5       84 (59.2)       36 (48.6)       48 (70.6)         >5       58 (40.8)       38 (51.4)       20 (29.4)         Postoperative       0.643       metastasis          Yes       29 (20.4)       14 (18.9)       15 (22.1)         No       113 (79.6)       60 (81.1)       53 (77.9)	≥0.25	63 (75.4)	34 (45.9)	29 (42.6)	
N1       73 (55.6)       36 (48.6)       37 (54.4)         N2       69 (44.4)       38 (51.4)       31 (45.6)         LVI <sup>a</sup> 0.821         Positive       50 (40.0)       26 (38.2)       24 (42.1)         Negative       75 (60.0)       42 (61.8)       33 (57.9)         PNI <sup>b</sup> 0.885         Positive       38 (30.6)       21 (31.3)       17 (29.8)         Negative       86 (69.4)       46 (68.7)       40 (70.2)         Mismatch repair       0.970         protein status <sup>c</sup> 0.970         pMMR       57 (91.9)       46 (92.0)       11 (91.7)         dMMR       5 (8.1)       4 (8.0)       1 (8.3)         Preoperative serum CEA (ng/ml)       0.008           ≤5       84 (59.2)       36 (48.6)       48 (70.6)         >5       58 (40.8)       38 (51.4)       20 (29.4)         Postoperative       0.643       metastasis          Yes       29 (20.4)       14 (18.9)       15 (22.1)         No       113 (79.6)       60 (81.1)       53 (77.9)	N stage				0.492
N2       69 (44.4)       38 (51.4)       31 (45.6)         LVI <sup>a</sup> 0.821         Positive       50 (40.0)       26 (38.2)       24 (42.1)         Negative       75 (60.0)       42 (61.8)       33 (57.9)         PNI <sup>b</sup> 0.885         Positive       38 (30.6)       21 (31.3)       17 (29.8)         Negative       86 (69.4)       46 (68.7)       40 (70.2)         Mismatch repair       0.970         protein status <sup>c</sup> 0.970         potein status <sup>c</sup> 0.970         pMMR       57 (91.9)       46 (92.0)       11 (91.7)         dMMR       5 (8.1)       4 (8.0)       1 (8.3)         Preoperative serum CEA (ng/ml)       0.008       25       84 (59.2)       36 (48.6)       48 (70.6)         >5       58 (40.8)       38 (51.4)       20 (29.4)       46 (93.2)       46 (93.2)       46 (93.2)         Preoperative moteA (ng/ml)       0.643       0.643       0.643       0.643         metastasis       Yes       29 (20.4)       14 (18.9)       15 (22.1)       No         No       113 (79.6)       60 (81.1)       53 (77.9)       40 (77.9)	N1	73 (55.6)	36 (48.6)	37 (54.4)	
LVI <sup>a</sup> 0.821         Positive       50 (40.0)       26 (38.2)       24 (42.1)         Negative       75 (60.0)       42 (61.8)       33 (57.9)         PNI <sup>b</sup> 0.885         Positive       38 (30.6)       21 (31.3)       17 (29.8)         Negative       86 (69.4)       46 (68.7)       40 (70.2)         Mismatch repair       0.970         protein status <sup>c</sup> 0.970         protein status <sup>c</sup> 0.970         Preoperative serum CEA (ng/ml)       0.008         ≤5       84 (59.2)       36 (48.6)       48 (70.6)         >5       58 (40.8)       38 (51.4)       20 (29.4)         Postoperative       0.643         metastasis       Yes       29 (20.4)       14 (18.9)       15 (22.1)         No       113 (79.6)       60 (81.1)       53 (77.9)	N2	69 (44.4)	38 (51.4)	31 (45.6)	
Positive         50 (40.0)         26 (38.2)         24 (42.1)           Negative         75 (60.0)         42 (61.8)         33 (57.9)           PNI <sup>b</sup> 0.885           Positive         38 (30.6)         21 (31.3)         17 (29.8)           Negative         86 (69.4)         46 (68.7)         40 (70.2)           Mismatch repair         0.970           protein status <sup>c</sup> 0.970           pMMR         57 (91.9)         46 (92.0)         11 (91.7)           dMMR         5 (8.1)         4 (8.0)         1 (8.3)           Preoperative serum CEA (ng/ml)         0.008         ≤5         84 (59.2)         36 (48.6)         48 (70.6)           >5         58 (40.8)         38 (51.4)         20 (29.4)         Postoperative         0.643           metastasis         Yes         29 (20.4)         14 (18.9)         15 (22.1)           No         113 (79.6)         60 (81.1)         53 (77.9)         53 (77.9)	LVI <sup>a</sup>				0.821
Negative         75 (60.0)         42 (61.8)         33 (57.9)           PNI <sup>b</sup> 0.885           Positive         38 (30.6)         21 (31.3)         17 (29.8)           Negative         86 (69.4)         46 (68.7)         40 (70.2)           Mismatch repair         0.970           protein status <sup>c</sup> 0.970           pMMR         57 (91.9)         46 (92.0)         11 (91.7)           dMMR         5 (8.1)         4 (8.0)         1 (8.3)           Preoperative serum CEA (ng/ml)         0.008           ≤5         84 (59.2)         36 (48.6)         48 (70.6)           >5         58 (40.8)         38 (51.4)         20 (29.4)           Postoperative         0.643           metastasis         74         15 (22.1)           No         113 (79.6)         60 (81.1)         53 (77.9)	Positive	50 (40.0)	26 (38.2)	24 (42.1)	
PNI <sup>b</sup> 0.885           Positive         38 (30.6)         21 (31.3)         17 (29.8)           Negative         86 (69.4)         46 (68.7)         40 (70.2)           Mismatch repair         0.970           protein status <sup>c</sup> 0.970           pMMR         57 (91.9)         46 (92.0)         11 (91.7)           dMMR         5 (8.1)         4 (8.0)         1 (8.3)           Preoperative serum CEA (ng/ml)         0.008           ≤5         84 (59.2)         36 (48.6)         48 (70.6)           >5         58 (40.8)         38 (51.4)         20 (29.4)           Postoperative         0.643         metastasis           Yes         29 (20.4)         14 (18.9)         15 (22.1)           No         113 (79.6)         60 (81.1)         53 (77.9)	Negative	75 (60.0)	42 (61.8)	33 (57.9)	
Positive         38 (30.6)         21 (31.3)         17 (29.8)           Negative         86 (69.4)         46 (68.7)         40 (70.2)           Mismatch repair         0.970           protein status <sup>c</sup> 0.970           pMMR         57 (91.9)         46 (92.0)         11 (91.7)           dMMR         5 (8.1)         4 (8.0)         1 (8.3)           Preoperative serum CEA (ng/ml)         0.008           ≤5         84 (59.2)         36 (48.6)         48 (70.6)           >5         58 (40.8)         38 (51.4)         20 (29.4)           Postoperative         0.643         metastasis           Yes         29 (20.4)         14 (18.9)         15 (22.1)           No         113 (79.6)         60 (81.1)         53 (77.9)	PNI <sup>b</sup>				0.885
Negative         86 (69.4)         46 (68.7)         40 (70.2)           Mismatch repair         0.970           protein status <sup>c</sup> 0.970           pMMR         57 (91.9)         46 (92.0)         11 (91.7)           dMMR         5 (8.1)         4 (8.0)         1 (8.3)           Preoperative serum CEA (ng/ml)         0.008           ≤5         84 (59.2)         36 (48.6)         48 (70.6)           >5         58 (40.8)         38 (51.4)         20 (29.4)           Postoperative         0.643         metastasis           Yes         29 (20.4)         14 (18.9)         15 (22.1)           No         113 (79.6)         60 (81.1)         53 (77.9)	Positive	38 (30.6)	21 (31.3)	17 (29.8)	
Mismatch repair       0.970         protein status <sup>c</sup> 0.970         pMMR       57 (91.9)       46 (92.0)       11 (91.7)         dMMR       5 (8.1)       4 (8.0)       1 (8.3)         Preoperative serum CEA (ng/ml)       0.008         ≤5       84 (59.2)       36 (48.6)       48 (70.6)         >5       58 (40.8)       38 (51.4)       20 (29.4)         Postoperative       0.643         metastasis       7         Yes       29 (20.4)       14 (18.9)       15 (22.1)         No       113 (79.6)       60 (81.1)       53 (77.9)	Negative	86 (69.4)	46 (68.7)	40 (70.2)	
protein status <sup>c</sup> pMMR         57 (91.9)         46 (92.0)         11 (91.7)           dMMR         5 (8.1)         4 (8.0)         1 (8.3)           Preoperative serum CEA (ng/ml)         0.008           ≤5         84 (59.2)         36 (48.6)         48 (70.6)           >5         58 (40.8)         38 (51.4)         20 (29.4)           Postoperative         0.643           metastasis         7           Yes         29 (20.4)         14 (18.9)         15 (22.1)           No         113 (79.6)         60 (81.1)         53 (77.9)	Mismatch repair				0.970
NMR         57 (91.9)         46 (92.0)         11 (91.7)           dMMR         5 (8.1)         4 (8.0)         1 (8.3)           Preoperative serum CEA (ng/ml)         0.008           ≤5         84 (59.2)         36 (48.6)         48 (70.6)           >5         58 (40.8)         38 (51.4)         20 (29.4)           Postoperative         0.643           metastasis         29 (20.4)         14 (18.9)         15 (22.1)           No         113 (79.6)         60 (81.1)         53 (77.9)	protein status <sup>c</sup>				
dMMR         5 (8.1)         4 (8.0)         1 (8.3)           Preoperative serum CEA (ng/ml)         0.008           ≤5         84 (59.2)         36 (48.6)         48 (70.6)           >5         58 (40.8)         38 (51.4)         20 (29.4)           Postoperative         0.643           metastasis         29 (20.4)         14 (18.9)         15 (22.1)           No         113 (79.6)         60 (81.1)         53 (77.9)	pMMR	57 (91.9)	46 (92.0)	11 (91.7)	
Preoperative serum CEA (ng/ml)         0.008           ≤5         84 (59.2)         36 (48.6)         48 (70.6)           >5         58 (40.8)         38 (51.4)         20 (29.4)           Postoperative         0.643         metastasis           Yes         29 (20.4)         14 (18.9)         15 (22.1)           No         113 (79.6)         60 (81.1)         53 (77.9)	dMMR	5 (8.1)	4 (8.0)	1 (8.3)	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Preoperative serum C	EA (na/ml)	( <i>)</i>	x /	0.008
>5         58 (40.8)         38 (51.4)         20 (29.4)           Postoperative         0.643           metastasis         29 (20.4)         14 (18.9)         15 (22.1)           No         113 (79.6)         60 (81.1)         53 (77.9)	<5	84 (59 2)	36 (48 6)	48 (70.6)	
Postoperative         0.643           metastasis         29 (20.4)         14 (18.9)         15 (22.1)           No         113 (79.6)         60 (81.1)         53 (77.9)	>5	58 (40 8)	38 (51 4)	20 (29 4)	
Vision         0.040           metastasis         Yes         29 (20.4)         14 (18.9)         15 (22.1)           No         113 (79.6)         60 (81.1)         53 (77.9)	Postoperative	00 (10.0)	00 (0111)	20 (20.1)	0.643
Yes 29 (20.4) 14 (18.9) 15 (22.1) No 113 (79.6) 60 (81.1) 53 (77.9)	metastasis				0.040
No 113 (79.6) 60 (81.1) 53 (77.9)	Yes	29 (20 4)	14 (18 Q)	15 (22 1)	
	No	113 (79.6)	60 (81.1)	53 (77.9)	

LNR, lymph node ratio; LVI, lymphovascular invasion; PNI, perineural invasion; pMMR, proficient mismatch repair protein; dMMR, deficient mismatch repair protein; CEA, carcinoembryonic antigen. <sup>a</sup>Data from 125 patients were available; <sup>b</sup>Data from 124 patients were available. <sup>c</sup>Data from 62 patients were available. It will be bold when the p-value result is less than 0.05.

cases of transverse colon cancer, 4 (2.8%) cases of splenic flexure colon cancer, 18 (12.7%) cases of descending colon cancer, and 76 (53.5%) cases of sigmoid colon cancer. In total, three (2.1%) patients experienced postoperative complications such as intestinal obstruction.

# Differences in Clinicopathological Parameters Between the Two XELOX Regimens

As shown in **Table 1**, the modified XELOX group presented a significantly higher prevalence of T4 (91.2 vs. 70.3%; P = 0.002) and right-sided colon tumors (39.7 vs. 23.0%; P = 0.031) than those in the standard XELOX group. However, the standard XELOX group showed a significantly higher prevalence of larger tumors (59.5% vs. 39.7%; P = 0.019) and elevated preoperative CEA levels (51.4 vs. 29.4%; P = 0.008) than those in the modified XELOX group. The differences in other clinicopathological parameters between the groups did not show statistical significance.

# Adverse Events of Adjuvant Chemotherapy

The major adverse events related to adjuvant chemotherapy with the two regimens are presented in Table 2. There were no deaths due to grade 3/4 adverse events. Neurotoxicity was found in 99 (69.7%) patients and was the most common adverse event among all patients. Compared with the standard XELOX group, the modified XELOX group showed a lower incidence of grade 1 hepatic disorder (14.7 vs. 28.4%, P = 0.049). There were no significant differences in the total occurrence rates of leukopenia, thrombocytopenia, hepatic disorder, nausea and vomiting, diarrhea, neurotoxicity, or hand-foot syndrome between the two groups. The reasons for the decision to treat patients with modified XELOX chemotherapy are presented in Figure 2. The decision to treat patients with modified XELOX chemotherapy was mostly due to severe adverse events in 64.7% (44/68) of patients. Grade 2-3 neurotoxicity (25.0%, 17/68), grade 2-4 thrombocytopenia (20.6%, 14/68), and grade 3-4 leukopenia (13.2%, 9/68) were the major severe adverse events related to the decision to treat patients with modified XELOX chemotherapy. No aggravation of neurotoxicity was observed in the last two chemotherapy cycles in the modified XELOX group.

# **Survival Analysis**

After a median postoperative follow-up duration of 69 months (range, 7-115 months), 29 (20.4%) patients developed disease recurrence, and 14 (9.9%) patients ultimately experienced cancer-related mortality. Among these patients, 44.8% (13/29) had liver metastases, 31.0% (9/29) had lung metastases, 27.6% (8/ 29) had abdominal pelvic metastases, and 17.2% (5/29) had metastases to other organs. The 5-year DFS and OS rates were 79.7 and 90.9%, respectively, among all enrolled patients in this study. The 5-year DFS rate was 80.3% for patients in the standard XELOX group and 79.0% for patients in the modified XELOX group; these values were comparable (P = 0.891) (Figure 3A). Similarly, the 5-year OS rate was 87.8% for the standard XELOX group and 93.8% for the modified XELOX group; these values were not significantly different (P = 0.446) (Figure 3B). In univariate analysis, poor tumor differentiation (HR: 2.381, 95% CI: 1.141-4.968, P = 0.021) was the only significant risk factor for

**TABLE 2** | Comparison of chemotherapy-related toxicities between the standard and modified XELOX groups.

Toxicity	Total patients (n = 142, %)	Standard XELOX (n = 74, %)	Modified XELOX (n = 68, %)	P value
Leucopenia				
Total	89 (62.7)	47 (63.5)	42 (61.8)	0.830
Grade 1-2	77 (54.2)	41 (55.4)	36 (52.9)	0.768
Grade 3-4	12 (8.5)	6 (8.1)	6 (8.8)	0.878
Thrombocytop	enia			
Total	67 (47.2)	35 (47.3)	32 (47.1)	0.977
Grade 1-2	52 (36.6)	29 (39.2)	23(33.8)	0.507
Grade 3-4	15 (10.6)	6 (8.1)	9 (13.2)	0.321
Hepatic disorder				
Total	45 (31 7)	28 (37 8)	17 (25 0)	0 100
Grade 1	31 (21.8)	21 (28.4)	10 (14 7)	0.049
Grade 2-3	14 (9.9)	7 (9.5)	7 (10.3)	0.868
Nausea/	(0.0)	. ()	((()))	
Total	38 (26.8)	18 (24 3)	20 (29 4)	0 494
Grade 1	22 (15.5)	11(14.9)	11 (16 2)	0.829
Grade 2-3	16 (11.3)	7 (9.5)	9 (13.2)	0.477
Diarmea		10 (10 0)	10 (1 4 7)	0.004
Total Grada 1	22 (15.5)	12 (16.2)	10 (14.7)	0.804
Grade I	12 (8.3)	0 (0.1)	0 (0.0)	0.676
Grade 2-3	10 (7.0)	0 (0.1)	4 (0.9)	0.005
Total	00 (60 7)	17(62 5)	EQ (76 E)	0.002
Total Crode 1	99 (09.7)	47 (03.3)	32 (70.3) 30 (47.1)	0.093
Crade 2.2	04 (4J.1) 25 (24 6)	15 (00.2)	32 (47.1)	0.040
Grade 2-3	33 (24.0)	10 (20.3)	20 (29.4)	0.207
Total	78 (54 9)	37 (50 0)	41 (60 3)	0.219
Grada 1	62 (44.3)	30 (40 5)	22 (49 5)	0.210
Grade 2-3	15 (10.6)	7 (9.5)	8 (11.8)	0.655

The listed grades of peripheral sensory neurotoxicity represent the maximal levels at any time. It will be bold when the p-value result is less than 0.05. DFS, while there was no significant prognostic factor for OS (Table 3).

# DISCUSSION

Based on the results of our previous study, the current study further evaluated the long-term efficacy of modified XELOX chemotherapy for the treatment of high-risk stage III colon cancer. In line with our hypothesis, the results indicated that modified XELOX chemotherapy had oncologic efficacy for highrisk patients comparable to that of standard XELOX chemotherapy. Furthermore, modified XELOX showed acceptable safety without aggravating neurotoxicity. The study enhanced the evidence supporting the use of modified XELOX adjuvant chemotherapy for stage III operated colon cancer patients, including the high-risk subgroup.

To our knowledge, the unfavorable prognostic impact of advanced T and N stages for stage III cancer patients has been well identified. Although curative surgery provided temporary evidence of absence of disease for those patients, residual micrometastases probably exist due to the advanced disease stage, which increases the likelihood of disease recurrence postoperatively (Viehl et al., 2017). Based on this theory, these patients warrant aggressive postoperative chemotherapy to maximize the survival benefit from curative treatment. Evidence from the France IDEA trial supported that high-risk patients achieve greater survival benefit from the 6-month full cycle of adjuvant chemotherapy instead of the 3-month duration of adjuvant chemotherapy (Andre et al., 2018). Previous studies have also shown that failure to complete adjuvant chemotherapy impairs long-term survival in stage III colon cancer patients





disease-free survival (DFS) between the standard XELOX and modified XELOX group. (B) Comparison of overall survival (OS) between the standard XELOX and modified XELOX group.

TABLE 3 | Univariate analyses of prognostic factors for disease-free survival and overall survival in all patients.

Variable	DFS Univariate		OS Univariate		
	HR (95%CI)	P value	HR (95%CI)	P value	
Age, years (>60 vs. ≤60)	2.081 (1.001–24.329)	0.050	0.953 (0.299–3.039)	0.935	
Sex (Male vs. Female)	1.952 (0.888-4.288)	0.096	1.546 (0.517–4.621)	0.435	
Baseline hemoglobin, g/l (<90 vs. ≥90)	0.493 (0.149-1.630)	0.246	0.035 (0.000-10.900)	0.253	
Tumor location (left-sided colon vs. right-sided colon)	1.385 (0.592-3.242)	0.453	0.575 (0.199-1.660)	0.306	
Tumor size, cm (>4 vs. ≤4)	0.589 (0.278-1.248)	0.167	0.570 (0.191-1.702)	0.314	
Differentiation (Poor vs. Well-moderate)	2.381 (1.141-4.968)	0.021	1.760 (0.585-5.298)	0.315	
T stage (T4 vs. T1-3)	1.525 (0.530-4.388)	0.434	2.689 (0.349-20.722)	0.343	
Number of retrieved lymph nodes (<12 vs. ≥12)	1.649 (0.767-3.547)	0.201	0.286 (0.792-6.599)	0.126	
LNR (≥0.25 vs. <0.25)	2.086 (0.976-4.458)	0.058	1.816 (0.627-5.261)	0.272	
N stage (N2 vs. N1)	0.888 (0.427-1.847)	0.427	0.857 (0.296-2.476)	0.775	
LVI (positive vs. negative)	1.339 (0.600-2.989)	0.476	1.137 (0.361-3.582)	0.827	
PNI (positive vs. negative)	1.399 (0.612–3.198)	0.426	1.197 (0.360-3.981)	0.769	
Preoperative CEA, ng/ml (>5 vs. ≤5)	1.393 (0.672-2.886)	0.373	1.125 (0.390-3.244)	0.827	
Adjuvant chemotherapy (modified XELOX vs. standard XELOX)	1.052 (0.507–2.184)	0.891	0.660 (0.226-1.930)	0.448	

LNR, lymph node ratio; LVI, lymphovascular invasion; PNI, perineural invasion; CEA, carcinoembryonic antigen. It will be bold when the p-value result is less than 0.05.

(Morris et al., 2007), which demonstrates the necessary of finishing adjuvant 5-FU-based chemotherapy for colon cancer. In our study, the total sample of patients achieved favorable long-term survival, with 79.7% 5-year DFS and 90.9% 5-year OS in both the standard XELOX group and modified XELOX group finishing the 6-month 5-FU-based adjuvant chemotherapy. Therefore, we considered a 6-month duration of 5-FU-based adjuvant chemotherapy to be necessary for disease control in high-risk patients.

On the other hand, the choice of regimen should also be balanced against the additional toxicity associated with longer therapy. Cumulative evidence has reported that approximately 70% of patients develop neurotoxicity during treatment with oxaliplatin-containing chemotherapy (Land et al., 2007). Similarly, nearly 70% of patients suffered oxaliplatin-related neurotoxicity and 24.6% of patients experienced severe neurotoxicity in the current study. It has been proven that neurotoxicity accumulates with the increase in oxaliplatin administration, leading to poor compliance in the subsequent chemotherapy course (Pachman et al., 2015). More seriously, the neurotoxicity contributing to sensory nerve deficits might persist for years after cessation of oxaliplatin therapy (Kokotis et al., 2016). Obviously, continuous treatment with oxaliplatin increased the risk of developing new neurotoxicity or worsening previous neurotoxicity (Besora et al., 2018). The JSWOG-C2 Study demonstrated the feasibility of a sequential approach to adjuvant chemotherapy with 3 months of an oxaliplatin-based regimen followed by 3 months of capecitabine in stage III and high-risk stage II colorectal cancer patients, which was tolerated by patients and associated with a low incidence of neuropathy (Tsuruta et al., 2016). Another phase 2 Japanese study also reported that intermittent oxaliplatin treatment improved severe neuropathy in a modified FOLFOX6 plus bevacizumab regimen without reducing progression-free survival in patients with inoperable or metastatic colorectal cancer (Kato et al., 2018). In fact, we previously found that a two-cycle shorter duration of oxaliplatin treatment in the

modified XELOX regimen was associated with significantly lower incidence and severity of adverse events, especially neurotoxicity, without impairing survival (Peng et al., 2019). In the current study, 64.7% (44/68) of patients suffered severe adverse events, of which grade 2-3 neurotoxicity was the most common severe adverse event. Those patients who were initially predicted to fail to finish the full cycle of adjuvant chemotherapy successfully finished the 6month adjuvant chemotherapy due to the administration of the modified XELOX regimen. This might be explained mostly by the lack of aggravating neurotoxicity in the last two chemotherapy cycles with capecitabine alone. Furthermore, our results revealed that modified XELOX chemotherapy did not impair 5-year survival compared with the survival associated with standard XELOX chemotherapy. Based on the results of the comparable oncologic efficacy, a two-cycle shorter duration of oxaliplatin treatment might be an alternative approach for high-risk stage III colon cancer patients with severe adverse events, especially severe neurotoxicity.

Several limitations to the current study should be acknowledged. First, this retrospective study was performed with an uncontrolled methodology and a limited number of patients in a single cohort. It can not be denied that there exists selective bias such as patients in standard XELOX group tend to have more high-risk clinical characteristics likes bigger tumor size, more T4 stage, higher CEA level and have better tolerance to chemotherapy toxicity. Although our study initially indicated the oncologic efficacy of modified XELOX chemotherapy, more work should be done in a prospective, multicenter clinical trial with a large sample size to validate these findings in the future. Second, we failed to evaluate the long-term quality of life effects of the two chemotherapy regimens. As a result, we were unable to compare the occurrence of late effects of oxaliplatin-induced peripheral neuropathy between the two chemotherapy groups. Since persistent neuropathy impaired the survivors' physical and emotional well-being, it is an important parameter to measure the feasibility of an oxaliplatin-containing chemotherapy regimen (Tofthagen et al., 2013). Third, although the TNM stage is important for colon cancer management, it seems to be insufficient to determine the authentic high-risk patients with stage III colon cancer. Tumor molecular markers, such as CpG island methylator phenotype (CIMP) status; driver gene mutations, such as KRAS and BRAF; and tumor immune microenvironment, have been linked to different recurrence risks among stage III colon cancer patients (Auclin et al., 2017; Murcia et al., 2018). The above molecular data were unavailable in the current study. Therefore, molecular prognostic markers for risk stratification need to be explored in further works.

# REFERENCES

- Alberts, S. R., Sargent, D. J., Nair, S., Mahoney, M. R., Mooney, M., Thibodeau, S. N., et al. (2012). Effect of oxaliplatin, fluorouracil, and leucovorin with or without cetuximab on survival among patients with resected stage III colon cancer: a randomized trial. *Janua* 307, 1383–1393. doi: 10.1001/janua.2012.385
- Andre, T., Boni, C., Navarro, M., Mahoney, M. R., Mooney, M, Thibodeau, S.N., et al. (2009). Improved overall survival with oxaliplatin, fluorouracil, and leucovorin as adjuvant treatment in stage II or III colon cancer in the MOSAIC trial. J. Clin. Oncol. 27, 3109–3116. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2008.20.6771

# CONCLUSION

Our study confirms that modified XELOX chemotherapy presented comparable oncologic efficacy for patients with high-risk stage III colon cancer. These findings indicated that modified XELOX chemotherapy could serve as an alternative regimen for patients suffering severe adverse events, especially severe neurotoxicity.

# DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The datasets used and analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request. The authenticity of this article has been validated by uploading the key raw data onto the Research Data Deposit public platform (http://www.researchdata.org.cn), with the Approval Number as RDDA2020001633.

# **ETHICS STATEMENT**

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by the Institutional Research Ethics Committee of Sun Yat-sen University Cancer Center (approval number: GZR2017-004). Written informed consent for participation was not required for this study in accordance with the national legislation and the institutional requirements.

# **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

All authors listed have made a substantial, direct, and intellectual contribution to the work and approved it for publication.

# **FUNDING**

The study was funded by grants from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (no. 81772595 and no. 81871991), Natural Science Foundation of Guangdong Province, China (no. 2018A030310239), and Bethune-Ethicon Excellence Surgery Fund Project (no. HZB-20181119-27).

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We greatly appreciate all colleagues of the Department of Colorectal Surgery in Sun Yat-sen University Cancer Center who were involved in performing the treatments for the current study.

Andre, T., Vernerey, D., Mineur, L., Bennouna, J, Desrame, J, Faroux, R, et al. (2018). Three Versus 6 Months of Oxaliplatin-Based Adjuvant Chemotherapy for Patients With Stage III Colon Cancer: Disease-Free Survival Results From a Randomized, Open-Label, International Duration Evaluation of Adjuvant (IDEA) France, Phase III Trial. J. Clin. Oncol. 36, 1469–1477. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2017.76.0355

Auclin, E., Zaanan, A., Vernerey, D., Douard, R., Gallois, C., Laurent-Puig, P., et al. (2017). Subgroups and prognostication in stage III colon cancer: future perspectives for adjuvant therapy. *Ann. Oncol.* 28, 958–968. doi: 10.1093/annonc/mdx030

Batsakis, J. G. (1985). Nerves and neurotropic carcinomas. Ann. Otol. Rhinol. Laryngol. 94, 426-427.

- Benson, A. B., Venook, A. P., Bekaii-Saab, T., Chan, C., Chen, Y. J., Cooper, H. S., et al. (2014). Colon cancer, version 3.2014. J. Natl. Compr. Canc. Netw. 12, 1028–1059. doi: 10.6004/jnccn.2014.0099
- Besora, S., Santos, C., Izquierdo, C., Martinez-Villacampa, M. M., Bruna, J., Velasco, R., et al. (2018). Rechallenge with oxaliplatin and peripheral neuropathy in colorectal cancer patients. J. Cancer Res. Clin. Oncol. 144, 1793–1801. doi: 10.1007/s00432-018-2691-8
- Grothey, A., Sobrero, A. F., Shields, A. F., Yoshino, T., Paul, J., Taieb, J., et al. (2018). Duration of Adjuvant Chemotherapy for Stage III Colon Cancer. N. Engl. J. Med. 378, 1177–1188. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1713709
- Harris, E. I., Lewin, D. N., Wang, H. L., Lauwers, G. Y., Srivastava, A., Shyr, Y., et al. (2008). Lymphovascular invasion in colorectal cancer: an interobserver variability study. Am. J. Surg. Pathol. 32, 1816–1821. doi: 10.1097/PAS.0b013e3181816083
- Kato, S., Imai, H., Gamoh, M., Takahata, T., Ohori, H., Yasuda, K., et al. (2018). Intermittent Withdrawal of Oxaliplatin for Alleviating Neurotoxicity during Oxaliplatin-Based Chemotherapy for Japanese Patients with Inoperable or Metastatic Colorectal Cancer: A Phase 2 Multicenter Study. *Tohoku J. Exp. Med.* 245, 21–28. doi: 10.1620/tjem.245.21
- Kokotis, P., Schmelz, M., Kostouros, E., Karandreas, N., and Dimopoulos, M. A. (2016). Oxaliplatin-Induced Neuropathy: A Long-Term Clinical and Neurophysiologic Follow-Up Study. *Clin. Colorectal. Cancer* 15, e133–e140. doi: 10.1016/j.clcc.2016.02.009
- Labianca, R., Nordlinger, B., Beretta, G. D., Mosconi, S., Mandala, M., Cervantes, A., et al. (2013). Early colon cancer: ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. Ann. Oncol. 24 Suppl 6, vi64–vi72. doi: 10.1093/ annonc/mdt354
- Land, S. R., Kopec, J. A., Cecchini, R. S., Ganz, P. A., Wieand, H. S., Colangelo, L. H., et al. (2007). Neurotoxicity from oxaliplatin combined with weekly bolus fluorouracil and leucovorin as surgical adjuvant chemotherapy for stage II and III colon cancer: NSABP C-07. J. Clin. Oncol. 25, 2205–2211. doi: 10.1200/ JCO.2006.08.6652
- Lonardi, S., Sobrero, A., Rosati, G., Di Bartolomeo, M., Ronzoni, M., Aprile, G., et al. (2016). Phase III trial comparing 3-6 months of adjuvant FOLFOX4/ XELOX in stage II-III colon cancer: safety and compliance in the TOSCA trial. *Ann. Oncol.* 27, 2074–2081. doi: 10.1093/annonc/mdw404
- Morris, M., Platell, C., Fritschi, L., and Iacopetta, B. (2007). Failure to complete adjuvant chemotherapy is associated with adverse survival in stage III colon cancer patients. Br. J. Cancer 96, 701–707. doi: 10.1038/sj.bjc.6603627
- Murcia, O., Juarez, M., Rodriguez-Soler, M., Hernandez-Illan, E., Giner-Calabuig, M., Alustiza, M., et al. (2018). Colorectal cancer molecular classification using BRAF, KRAS, microsatellite instability and CIMP status: Prognostic implications and response to chemotherapy. *PloS One* 13, e0203051. doi: 10.1371/ journal.pone.0203051

- Pachman, D. R., Qin, R., Seisler, D. K., Smith, E. M., Beutler, A. S., Ta, L. E., et al. (2015). Clinical Course of Oxaliplatin-Induced Neuropathy: Results From the Randomized Phase III Trial N08CB (Alliance). J. Clin. Oncol. 33, 3416–3422. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2014.58.8533
- Peng, J., Li, C., Wang, F., Zhang, H., Xiao, W., Li, H., et al. (2018). Right- and leftsided stage III colon cancers present different prognostic outcomes of oxaliplatin-based adjuvant chemotherapy after curative resection. *Cancer Manag. Res.* 10, 2095–2103. doi: 10.2147/CMAR.S163520
- Peng, J., Li, W., Zhang, R., Lin, J., Tang, J., Wen, Y., et al. (2019). Safety and efficacy of a modified XELOX adjuvant regimen for patients with operated stage III colon cancer: a Chinese single-center experience. *Cancer Commun. (Lond)* 39, 59. doi: 10.1186/s40880-019-0400-x
- Schmoll, H. J., Twelves, C., Sun, W., O'Connell, M. J., Cartwright, T., McKenna, E., et al. (2014). Effect of adjuvant capecitabine or fluorouracil, with or without oxaliplatin, on survival outcomes in stage III colon cancer and the effect of oxaliplatin on post-relapse survival: a pooled analysis of individual patient data from four randomised controlled trials. *Lancet Oncol.* 15, 1481–1492. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(14)70486-3
- Tofthagen, C., Donovan, K. A., Morgan, M. A., Shibata, D., and Yeh, Y. (2013). Oxaliplatin-induced peripheral neuropathy's effects on health-related quality of life of colorectal cancer survivors. *Supp. Care Cancer* 21, 3307–3313. doi: 10.1007/s00520-013-1905-5
- Tsuruta, A., Yamashita, K., Tanioka, H., Tsuji, A., Inukai, M., Yamakawa, T., et al. (2016). Feasibility of sequential adjuvant chemotherapy with a 3-month oxaliplatin-based regimen followed by 3 months of capecitabine in patients with stage III and high-risk stage II colorectal cancer: JSWOG-C2 study. Drug Des. Devel. Ther. 10, 3827–3835. doi: 10.2147/DDDT.S112322
- Viehl, C. T., Weixler, B., Guller, U., Dell-Kuster, S., Rosenthal, R., Ramser, M., et al. (2017). Presence of bone marrow micro-metastases in stage I-III colon cancer patients is associated with worse disease-free and overall survival. *Cancer Med.* 6, 918–927. doi: 10.1002/cam4.1056

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2020 Peng, Li, Fan, Zhou, Zhu, Li, Pan, Lin and Lin. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.