



The “Salmon Spirit” of translational medical research

As a group of primitive cells characterized by self-renewal and multilineage differentiation, stem cells have awakened great interest in regenerative and translational medicine. Various stem cell types hold potential for use in clinical studies, with mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) considered the most important cell type for regenerative medicine because of their qualities of easy isolation, multipotency, immunological naivety, and ethical advantages. The pleiotropic properties of MSCs, including immunomodulation, growth factor production, angiogenesis, anti-fibrosis, anti-oxidation, and anti-apoptosis, make them suitable for large-scale application in various disease therapies. Clinical trials using MSCs for a wide spectrum of conditions including diabetes mellitus (1), liver disease (2), cardiac disease (3), and kidney disease (4), are now underway. Notably, since February 2020, six registered clinical trials have been conducted in China to investigate the safety and efficiency of MSC therapy for pneumonia patients infected with 2019-nCoV (ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT04252118, NCT04288102, NCT04293692, NCT04273646, NCT04269525, and NCT04276987).

The number of MSC trials in progress has continued to expand. However, the majority of the current trials are still in the early phase I/II stage, suggesting that MSCs are not moving out of the clinical pipeline. Although the majority of the trials confirmed the safety of MSC infusion, the adverse effects such as headache, fever, and local pain could be easily overlooked, with clinical efficacy taking priority. In addition, MSC trials have frequently proved unsuccessful, such as the MSC trials for ischemic stroke (Manipal Acunova, India) and ARDS (China), which failed or were terminated (5). Of course, there are still many problems surrounding the use of MSCs in clinical trials.

Currently, no standardized protocols or guidelines exist for MSC clinical trials. The general lack of knowledge surrounding their *in vivo* outcomes and the mechanism of their activity is another obstacle for MSC transplantation. Various sources have been used to isolate and manufacture MSCs for clinical trials, irrespective of the very different natures of the MSC populations. The heterogeneity of the MSCs isolated from different origins and the route of administration should be critically addressed during the evaluation of the clinical data and the interpretation of clinical benefits. *In vitro* culture systems can add heterogeneity to the phenotype and genotype of MSCs, and improved culture strategies are therefore needed to mimic organ-specific microenvironments *in vitro*. The route of administration followed by the *in vivo* distribution is also an important and controversial topic surrounding MSCs. For instance, intra-arterial delivery may hold the advantage of increasing the deposition of MSCs at the injury site, especially the liver.

After intravenous administration, most MSCs succumb to apoptosis, with only a small fraction of cells able to survive (6). Inadequate amounts of cells, homing, long-term engraftment, and differentiation limit their therapeutic efficacy. To improve the low survival rates of transplanted stem cells, over the past decade, many innovative biomaterials, such as hydrogels, have been developed. Besides, pharmaceutical pre-activation, genetic engineering, or reprogramming of MSCs into the progenitor cells of targeted tissues have been used to enhance their therapeutic potency (7). This challenges the search for precise biomarkers to identify the progenitor cells before transplantation. Moreover, the trophic factors and extracellular vesicles secreted by MSCs could serve as an alternative, cell-free therapy to overcome the tumorigenic or immunogenic risk of stem cell transplantation.

To increase the chances of success in future clinical trials, larger-scale studies are needed to determine the appropriate cell source, delivery route, dosage, therapeutic window, and the intrinsic mechanisms of MSCs in tissue repair. Extending the biological and bioengineering approaches to manufacturing MSCs will certainly allow for safer and more effective therapeutic strategies to be developed, thus paving the way for progress in the field.

This series of *Annals of Translational Medicine* is dedicated to the topic of “Stem Cell and Clinical Application”. We have attempted to shed light on some of the current clinical applications, strategies, mechanisms, and unsolved problems relating to stem cell therapy. Although there are still many challenges and questions that need to be addressed, we hope this series on the clinical application of stem cells will contribute to the growth of this field of study.

For me, translational medical research is just like the life cycle of a salmon. As we all know, every fall, thousands of salmon leave the Pacific Ocean and swim up the Fraser River in Canada to breed before they die. In this long and arduous process, they jump up and hit the riverbed time and time again, with reproduction as the only reward for their unremitting efforts. Clinicians are just like adult salmon in the ocean: they look imperturbable, but they face great unknown risks and challenges.

Both have to swim upstream: the salmon to breed, and the clinicians to seek the pathogenesis and new treatment for diseases. And the young salmon that hatch in the peaceful lake just like research scientists, who have to nobly endure loneliness as they continue to grow daily through repetitive experiments. Despite the extremely low survival rate of the salmon, each little fish has the innate conviction that it will find its way back to the ocean; just like scientists possess the firm conviction that they will one day find the path to the clinical application of a new technique or theory. So with the “salmon spirit” in mind, we can find the optimal application of MSCs in the near future.

Acknowledgments

Funding: National Natural Science Foundation of China (81971495, 81571564, 91442117), CAMS Innovation Fund for Medical Sciences (No. 2019-I2M-5-035), the National Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province (BRA2017533, BK20191490 and BE2016766), the 863 Young Scientists Special Fund (SS2015AA0209322) and the Foundation of Jiangsu Collaborative Innovation Center of Biomedical Functional Materials.

Footnote

Provenance and Peer Review: This article was commissioned by the editorial office, *Annals of Translational Medicine* for the series “Stem Cell and Clinical Application”. The article did not undergo external peer review.

Conflicts of Interest: The author has completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form (available at <http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/atm.2020.04.29>). The series “Stem Cell and Clinical Application” was commissioned by the editorial office without any funding or sponsorship. LL serves as the unpaid Associate Editor-in-Chief of *Annals of Translational Medicine* from Jun 2019 to May 2024 and served as the unpaid Guest Editor of the series.

Ethical Statement: The author is accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Open Access Statement: This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the non-commercial replication and distribution of the article with the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the original work is properly cited (including links to both the formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license). See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>.

References

1. El-Badawy A, El-Badri N. Clinical Efficacy of Stem Cell Therapy for Diabetes Mellitus: A Meta-Analysis. *PLoS One* 2016;11:e0151938.
2. Suk KT, Yoon JH, Kim MY, et al. Transplantation with autologous bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells for alcoholic cirrhosis: Phase 2 trial. *Hepatology* 2016;64:2185-97.
3. Singh A, Singh A, Sen D. Mesenchymal stem cells in cardiac regeneration: a detailed progress report of the last 6 years (2010-2015). *Stem Cell Res Ther* 2016;7:82.
4. Peired AJ, Sisti A, Romagnani P. Mesenchymal Stem Cell-Based Therapy for Kidney Disease: A Review of Clinical Evidence. *Stem Cells Int* 2016;2016:4798639.
5. Trounson A, McDonald C. Stem Cell Therapies in Clinical Trials: Progress and Challenges. *Cell Stem Cell* 2015;17:11-22.
6. Galipeau J, Sensébé L. Mesenchymal Stromal Cells: Clinical Challenges and Therapeutic Opportunities. *Cell Stem Cell* 2018;22:824-33.
7. Dimmeler S, Ding S, Rando TA, et al. Translational strategies and challenges in regenerative medicine. *Nat Med* 2014;20:814-21.



Ling Lu

Ling Lu^{1,2}

¹Liver Transplantation Center, First Affiliated Hospital, Nanjing Medical University; Research Unit of Liver Transplantation and Transplant Immunology, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences, Nanjing 210029, China; ²Jiangsu Key Lab of Cancer Biomarkers, Prevention and Treatment, Collaborative Innovation Center for Personalized Cancer Medicine, Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing 210029, China. (Email: lvling@njmu.edu.cn)

Submitted Mar 17, 2020. Accepted for publication Apr 06, 2020.

doi: 10.21037/atm.2020.04.29

View this article at: <http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/atm.2020.04.29>

Cite this article as: Lu L. The “Salmon Spirit” of translational medical research. *Ann Transl Med* 2020;8(8):560. doi: 10.21037/atm.2020.04.29