

ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Assessment of neighborhood-level disadvantage and pediatric obstructive sleep apnea severity

Jenny Kim BA¹ | Yann-Fuu Kou MD^{1,2}  | Stephen R. Chorney MD, MPH^{1,2}  |
Ron B. Mitchell MD^{1,2}  | Romaine F. Johnson MD, MPH^{1,2} 

¹Department of Otolaryngology, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, Texas, USA

²Department of Pediatric Otolaryngology, Children's Medical Center Dallas, Dallas, Texas, USA

Correspondence

Romaine F. Johnson, Department of Otolaryngology, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, 2350 N. Stemmons Freeway, F6.207, Dallas, TX 75207, USA.

Email: romaine.johnson@utsouthwestern.edu

Abstract

Objectives: To examine the relationship between neighborhood-level advantage and severe obstructive sleep apnea (OSA) in children.

Methods: A retrospective case-control study was conducted on 249 children who underwent adenotonsillectomy and had full-night polysomnography conducted within 6 months prior. Patients were divided into more or less socioeconomically disadvantaged groups using a validated measure, the area deprivation index (ADI). The primary outcomes were the relationship between the apnea-hypopnea index (AHI) and the presence of severe OSA, and the secondary outcome was residual moderate or greater OSA after tonsillectomy.

Results: Of the 249 children included in the study, 175 (70.3%) were socially disadvantaged (ADI > 50). The median (interquartile range [IQR]) age was 9.4 (7.3–12.3) years, 129 (51.8%) were male, and the majority were White (151, 60.9%), Black (51, 20.6%), and/or of Hispanic (155, 62.5%) ethnicity. A total of 140 (56.2%) children were obese. The median (IQR) AHI was 8.9 (3.9–20.2). There was no significant difference in the median AHI or the presence of severe OSA between the more and less disadvantaged groups. Severe OSA was found to be associated with obesity (odds ratio [OR] = 3.13, 95% confidence interval [CI] = 1.83–5.34), and residual moderate or greater OSA was associated with older age (OR = 1.20, 95% CI = 1.05–1.38).

Conclusions: The ADI was not significantly associated with severe OSA or residual OSA in this cohort of children. Although more neighborhood-level disadvantage may increase the risk of comorbidities associated with OSA, it was not an independent risk factor in this study.

Level of Evidence: Level 4.

KEYWORDS

area deprivation index, neighborhood-level disadvantage, pediatric obstructive sleep apnea, socioeconomic status

Presented as a podium presentation at the Annual Triological Society 2023 Combined Sections Meeting, Coronado, California, January 26–28, 2023.

Romaine F. Johnson is the Editor in Chief of *Laryngoscope Investigative Otolaryngology*.

This is an open access article under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/) License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made.

© 2023 The Authors. *Laryngoscope Investigative Otolaryngology* published by Wiley Periodicals LLC on behalf of The Triological Society.

1 | INTRODUCTION

Obstructive sleep apnea (OSA) is a sleep disorder characterized by recurrent upper airway obstruction that disrupts ventilation and sleep.¹ It is diagnosed using level 1 or 2 polysomnography (PSG). In children, untreated OSA can have various negative consequences, including behavioral problems, cognitive deficits, excessive daytime sleepiness, impaired academic performance, and long-term effects on cardiovascular and endocrine systems.^{2,3} Despite several studies examining the relationship between socioeconomic status (SES) and OSA in children, the evidence is inconclusive. Some studies have identified certain socioeconomic factors associated with increased OSA severity, such as race, maternal education, and family income.⁴⁻⁷ In contrast, others have found that these associations are not statistically significant when controlling for confounders or using other measures of SES.^{5,8}

The area deprivation index (ADI) is a metric of neighborhood-level disadvantage that combines 17 social determinants of health, including education, employment, housing, and poverty measures, as reported in the American Community Survey.^{9,10} It offers a comprehensive index that incorporates multiple facets of SES. The ADI has been used in other studies of pediatric health disparities.¹¹⁻¹⁴ However, to our knowledge, it has yet to be used to investigate the relationship between neighborhood-level deprivation and OSA severity or outcomes following adenotonsillectomy (T&A) as a treatment for OSA in children.

The primary objective of this study is to use the ADI to examine the relationship between neighborhood-level deprivation and OSA severity as measured by PSG. The secondary objective is to examine the level of residual moderate or greater OSA (defined as an apnea-hypopnea index [AHI] > 5) present following T&A. We hypothesize that a significant relationship exists between socioeconomic disadvantage, as measured by the ADI, and the severity of OSA in children.

2 | METHODS

The study was a retrospective case-control study conducted at the Children's Medical Center of Dallas/University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center between December 2018 and December 2019. The study included patients 18 years or younger who underwent T&A and excluded those who did not complete PSG within 6 months before surgery, previously had a tonsillectomy or had a tracheostomy. The study was approved by the UT Southwestern Institutional Review Board and was exempt from consent due to its retrospective design.

Demographic data collected included age, weight, height, BMI, sex, race/ethnicity, language, insurance type, and ADI. ADI was divided into more (ADI ≤ 50) or less disadvantaged (ADI > 50) categories in accordance with previous validation studies. Comorbidities collected included birth status, allergic rhinitis, gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD), asthma, Down syndrome, airway support, and developmental delay/impaired cognitive status. Tonsillar hypertrophy was

also recorded, with grades 3 and 4 on the Brodsky grading scale defined as the presence of tonsillar hypertrophy and grades 1 and 2 as an absence.

Variables collected from PSG included AHI, obstructive AHI, central apnea index, percentage of time in rapid eye movement (REM) and non-rapid eye movement (NREM) sleep, sleep efficiency, arousal index, oxygen saturation nadir, peak end-tidal carbon dioxide (CO₂), time spent with end-tidal CO₂ level >50 mmHg, and time spent with oxygen saturation under 90%. OSA severity was classified based on AHI: mild (1.0-4.0), moderate (5.0-9.9), and severe (≥10). Severe OSA was further classified as very severe if AHI was ≥30. Residual moderate or greater OSA was defined as AHI >5, as patients with this level of residual OSA following T&A are referred for positive airway pressure (PAP) therapy in the home institution.

Bivariate analysis of more or less disadvantaged groups was compared using the Kruskal-Wallis rank test for continuous and Pearson's chi-squared test for categorical variables. We performed a simple logistic regression model of severe and residual OSA risk and neighborhood disadvantage as a binary variable. Furthermore, we performed a multiple logistic regression model to assess predictors of severe and residual OSA. We used a purposeful selection of variables to include in the model. Variables with a $p \leq .25$ in the bivariate models of demographics and OSA risk (severe and residual) were included. Variables with a $p > .05$ were dropped until the final model was formed. Final models were validated using jackknife regression, and their goodness of fit was assessed using the Hosmer-Lemeshow goodness-of-fit test.

All statistical analyses were performed using Stata Statistical Software, Version 17, and statistical significance was set at $p < .05$. This study adhered to the STROBE reporting guidelines.

3 | RESULTS

The present study included 249 children aged 18 years or younger who underwent PSG before T&A at the Children's Medical Center of Dallas/University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center between December 2018 and December 2019. The demographic and clinical characteristics of the study population are summarized in Table 1.

The median (interquartile range [IQR]) age at sleep study was 9.4 years (7.3-12.3), and the study population consisted of 129 males (51.8%) and was predominantly White (151, 60.9%), Black (51, 20.6%), and/or of Hispanic ethnicity (155, 62.5%). The most common insurance type was public (83.5%), followed by private (14.9%) and other (1.6%). Of the study population, 175 (70.3%) were classified as having neighborhood-level disadvantages (ADI national rank >50). The median (IQR) AHI from PSG was 8.9 (3.9-20.2). The median (IQR) body mass index (BMI) z-score was 24.0 (18.0-29.5), and 140 (56.2%) patients were obese (i.e., BMI ≥95th percentile). Most had tonsillar hypertrophy of grade 3 or 4 (200, 80.3%). Comorbidities included a history of preterm birth (11.4%), allergic rhinitis (47.4%), GERD (7.2%), asthma (30.1%), Down syndrome (6.0%), developmental delay or impaired cognitive status (13.3%), and history of PAP therapy (1.6%).

TABLE 1 Demographic and clinical characteristics of children with obstructive sleep apnea (OSA) based on social disadvantage.

| | Disadvantaged | | | p Value |
|---|------------------|------------------|--------------------|---------|
| | No 74 (29.7%) | Yes 175 (70.3%) | Total 249 (100.0%) | |
| Age | 9.4 (7.0–12.3) | 9.3 (7.4–12.3) | 9.4 (7.3–12.3) | .738 |
| Weight | 43.3 (30.0–55.9) | 44.4 (29.7–68.6) | 44.0 (29.9–65.0) | .413 |
| Height | 134 (121–149) | 139 (124–152) | 139 (124–151) | .560 |
| BMI | 22.1 (18.1–27.7) | 24.2 (17.9–30.4) | 24.0 (18.0–29.5) | .470 |
| BMI percentile | 95.8 (76.5–99.1) | 97.5 (78.4–99.1) | 97.0 (77.4–99.1) | .728 |
| BMI z-score | 1.7 (0.7–2.4) | 2.0 (0.8–2.4) | 1.9 (0.8–2.4) | .696 |
| Obese | | | | |
| No | 36 (48.6%) | 73 (41.7%) | 109 (43.8%) | .313 |
| Yes | 38 (51.4%) | 102 (58.3%) | 140 (56.2%) | |
| Gender | | | | |
| Male | 35 (47.3%) | 94 (53.7%) | 129 (51.8%) | .354 |
| Female | 39 (52.7%) | 81 (46.3%) | 120 (48.2%) | |
| Ethnicity | | | | |
| Non-Hispanic | 36 (49.3%) | 57 (32.6%) | 93 (37.5%) | .013 |
| Hispanic | 37 (50.7%) | 118 (67.4%) | 155 (62.5%) | |
| Race | | | | |
| Asian | 1 (1.4%) | 1 (0.6%) | 2 (0.8%) | .443 |
| Black | 11 (15.1%) | 40 (22.9%) | 51 (20.6%) | |
| White | 49 (67.1%) | 102 (58.3%) | 151 (60.9%) | |
| Other | 12 (16.4%) | 32 (18.3%) | 44 (17.7%) | |
| Language | | | | |
| English | 61 (82.4%) | 117 (66.9%) | 178 (71.5%) | .042 |
| Spanish | 13 (17.6%) | 57 (32.6%) | 70 (28.1%) | |
| Other | 0 (0.0%) | 1 (0.6%) | 1 (0.4%) | |
| ADI national rank | 34.5 (25.0–44.0) | 76.0 (64.0–87.0) | 66.0 (47.0–82.0) | <.001 |
| Payer | | | | |
| Private | 19 (25.7%) | 18 (10.3%) | 37 (14.9%) | .004 |
| Public | 53 (71.6%) | 155 (88.6%) | 208 (83.5%) | |
| Other | 2 (2.7%) | 2 (1.1%) | 4 (1.6%) | |
| Allergic rhinitis | | | | |
| No | 40 (54.1%) | 91 (52.0%) | 131 (52.6%) | .767 |
| Yes | 34 (45.9%) | 84 (48.0%) | 118 (47.4%) | |
| GERD | | | | |
| No | 66 (89.2%) | 165 (94.3%) | 231 (92.8%) | .156 |
| Yes | 8 (10.8%) | 10 (5.7%) | 18 (7.2%) | |
| Asthma | | | | |
| No | 59 (79.7%) | 115 (65.7%) | 174 (69.9%) | .028 |
| Yes | 15 (20.3%) | 60 (34.3%) | 75 (30.1%) | |
| Down syndrome | | | | |
| No | 70 (94.6%) | 164 (93.7%) | 234 (94.0%) | .790 |
| Yes | 4 (5.4%) | 11 (6.3%) | 15 (6.0%) | |
| Developmental delay/impaired cognitive status | | | | |
| No | 63 (85.1%) | 153 (87.4%) | 216 (86.7%) | .626 |
| Yes | 11 (14.9%) | 22 (12.6%) | 33 (13.3%) | |

TABLE 1 (Continued)

| | Disadvantaged | | | p Value |
|-----------------------|---------------|-----------------|--------------------|---------|
| | No 74 (29.7%) | Yes 175 (70.3%) | Total 249 (100.0%) | |
| Tonsillar hypertrophy | | | | |
| No | 12 (16.2%) | 37 (21.1%) | 49 (19.7%) | .372 |
| Yes | 62 (83.8%) | 138 (78.9%) | 200 (80.3%) | |
| Preterm | | | | |
| No | 62 (87.3%) | 148 (89.2%) | 210 (88.6%) | .684 |
| Yes | 9 (12.7%) | 18 (10.8%) | 27 (11.4%) | |
| PAP therapy | | | | |
| No | 73 (98.6%) | 172 (98.3%) | 245 (98.4%) | .835 |
| Yes | 1 (1.4%) | 3 (1.7%) | 4 (1.6%) | |

Note: p Value based on Kruskal–Wallis rank test for continuous variables, and Pearson χ^2 test for categorical variables.

Abbreviations: ADI, area deprivation index; BMI, body mass index adjusted for age and sex; GERD, gastroesophageal reflux disease; PAP, positive airway pressure; Tonsillar hypertrophy, Brodsky 3+ and 4+.

TABLE 2 Polysomnographic (PSG) parameters in children with obstructive sleep apnea (OSA) based on social disadvantage.

| | Disadvantaged | | | p Value |
|----------------------------------|------------------|------------------|--------------------|---------|
| | No 74 (29.7%) | Yes 175 (70.3%) | Total 249 (100.0%) | |
| REM | 72.5 (53.0–91.0) | 76.0 (53.0–97.0) | 75.0 (53.0–94.0) | .370 |
| Sleep efficiency | 82.6 (74.7–89.5) | 81.4 (73.6–88.4) | 81.4 (73.8–88.4) | .472 |
| Arousal index | 13.9 (8.9–18.3) | 13.5 (9.5–19.3) | 13.5 (9.4–19.1) | .765 |
| Respiratory distress index | 8.2 (3.9–24.4) | 9.1 (4.0–19.8) | 8.9 (4.0–20.3) | .788 |
| Obstructive apnea–hypopnea index | 7.7 (3.4–22.9) | 8.7 (3.8–19.6) | 8.6 (3.8–20.2) | .681 |
| Central apnea index | 0.0 (0.0–0.5) | 0.1 (0.0–0.4) | 0.1 (0.0–0.4) | .516 |
| Apnea–hypopnea index | 7.2 (3.6–22.9) | 9.1 (4.1–19.8) | 8.9 (3.9–20.2) | .461 |
| Low SaO ₂ nadir | 88.5 (83.0–92.0) | 89.0 (84.0–92.0) | 89.0 (84.0–92.0) | .389 |
| Peak CO ₂ | 52.0 (48.0–54.0) | 51.0 (48.0–54.0) | 51.0 (48.0–54.0) | .876 |
| TST 50 CO ₂ | 0.9 (0.0–8.9) | 0.5 (0.0–6.0) | 0.5 (0.0–7.4) | .627 |
| TST <90% | 0.1 (0.0–0.9) | 0.1 (0.0–0.6) | 0.1 (0.0–0.6) | .959 |
| Severe OSA | | | | .579 |
| No | 43 (58.1%) | 95 (54.3%) | 138 (55.4%) | |
| Yes | 31 (41.9%) | 80 (45.7%) | 111 (44.6%) | |

Note: Continuous variable are median with interquartile ranges. p Value based on Kruskal–Wallis.

Abbreviations: AHI, apnea–hypopnea index; CAI, central apnea index; low SaO₂ nadir, lowest pulse oximetry measured hemoglobin saturation; No social disadvantage, ADI national rank ≤ 50 ; NREM, non-rapid eye movement sleep time (min); OAH, obstructive apnea–hypopnea index; REM, rapid eye movement sleep time (min); Sleep efficiency, percentage of time the patient was asleep; Social disadvantage, ADI national rank > 50 ; TST <90% O₂, percentage of total sleep time spent with oxygen saturation <90%; TST 50 >CO₂, total sleep time spent at greater than 50 mmHg blood CO₂ saturation.

Table 1 also compares the demographic and clinical characteristics of the study population between those who had more disadvantages (ADI national rank > 50) and less disadvantages (ADI ≤ 50). The neighborhood-level disadvantage was significantly associated with Hispanic ethnicity ($p = .013$), public insurance ($p = .004$), and asthma ($p = .028$). Comparisons of polysomnographic parameters in children with more and less neighborhood-level disadvantages are shown in Table 2. The median (IQR) AHI was 8.9 (3.9–20.2). There was no significant association between neighborhood-level disadvantage and

BMI z-score ($p = .69$), as well as any PSG parameter, including AHI, NREM, and REM sleep, sleep efficiency, oxygen saturation nadir, and peak end-tidal carbon dioxide (CO₂) level.

Table 3 compares the characteristics of patients with mild to moderate OSA and severe OSA. Tables 4 and 5 present the characteristics and PSG results of the subset of patients who underwent repeat PSG, divided into those with residual moderate or greater OSA (defined as AHI ≥ 5) and those without. Severe OSA was significantly associated with obesity ($p < .001$). Severe, very severe, and residual

| | Severe OSA | | | p Value |
|-----------------------|------------------|------------------|--------------------|---------|
| | No 138 (55.4%) | Yes 111 (44.6%) | Total 249 (100.0%) | |
| Age | 9.4 (7.4–11.6) | 9.2 (7.3–13.1) | 9.4 (7.3–12.3) | .526 |
| Weight | 40.9 (27.7–57.7) | 50.5 (33.0–78.3) | 44.0 (29.9–65.0) | .007 |
| BMI | 20.8 (17.4–27.1) | 25.9 (19.5–31.5) | 24.0 (18.0–29.5) | <.001 |
| BMI percentile | 93.1 (67.1–98.9) | 98.3 (85.1–99.3) | 97.0 (77.4–99.1) | <.001 |
| Gender | | | | |
| Male | 69 (50.0%) | 60 (54.1%) | 129 (51.8%) | .525 |
| Female | 69 (50.0%) | 51 (45.9%) | 120 (48.2%) | |
| Ethnicity | | | | |
| Non-Hispanic | 54 (39.4%) | 39 (35.1%) | 93 (37.5%) | .489 |
| Hispanic | 83 (60.6%) | 72 (64.9%) | 155 (62.5%) | |
| Race | | | | |
| Asian | 1 (0.7%) | 1 (0.9%) | 2 (0.8%) | .212 |
| Black | 30 (21.9%) | 21 (18.9%) | 51 (20.6%) | |
| White | 88 (64.2%) | 63 (56.8%) | 151 (60.9%) | |
| Other | 18 (13.1%) | 26 (23.4%) | 44 (17.7%) | |
| Language | | | | |
| English | 107 (77.5%) | 71 (64.0%) | 178 (71.5%) | .042 |
| Spanish | 31 (22.5%) | 39 (35.1%) | 70 (28.1%) | |
| Other | 0 (0.0%) | 1 (0.9%) | 1 (0.4%) | |
| ADI national rank | 64.0 (44.0–82.0) | 68.0 (48.0–82.0) | 66.0 (47.0–82.0) | .505 |
| Allergic rhinitis | | | | |
| No | 68 (49.3%) | 63 (56.8%) | 131 (52.6%) | .240 |
| Yes | 70 (50.7%) | 48 (43.2%) | 118 (47.4%) | |
| GERD | | | | |
| No | 127 (92.0%) | 104 (93.7%) | 231 (92.8%) | .614 |
| Yes | 11 (8.0%) | 7 (6.3%) | 18 (7.2%) | |
| Asthma | | | | |
| No | 99 (71.7%) | 75 (67.6%) | 174 (69.9%) | .476 |
| Yes | 39 (28.3%) | 36 (32.4%) | 75 (30.1%) | |
| Down syndrome | | | | |
| No | 129 (93.5%) | 105 (94.6%) | 234 (94.0%) | .713 |
| Yes | 9 (6.5%) | 6 (5.4%) | 15 (6.0%) | |
| Developmental delay | | | | |
| No | 119 (86.2%) | 97 (87.4%) | 216 (86.7%) | .789 |
| Yes | 19 (13.8%) | 14 (12.6%) | 33 (13.3%) | |
| Tonsillar hypertrophy | | | | |
| No | 24 (17.4%) | 25 (22.5%) | 49 (19.7%) | .311 |
| Yes | 114 (82.6%) | 86 (77.5%) | 200 (80.3%) | |
| PAP therapy | | | | |
| No | 137 (99.3%) | 108 (97.3%) | 245 (98.4%) | .217 |
| Yes | 1 (0.7%) | 3 (2.7%) | 4 (1.6%) | |

TABLE 3 Demographic and clinical characteristics of children with obstructive sleep apnea (OSA) divided into those with mild to moderate OSA and severe OSA.

Note: Continuous variable are median with interquartile ranges. p Value based on Kruskal–Wallis rank test for continuous variables, and Pearson χ^2 test for categorical variables.

Abbreviations: ADI, area deprivation index; BMI, body mass index adjusted for age and sex; GERD, gastroesophageal reflux disease; PAP, positive airway pressure; Tonsillar hypertrophy, Brodsky 3+ and 4+.

TABLE 4 Demographic and clinical characteristics of children who had residual obstructive sleep apnea (OSA) following adenotonsillectomy (T&A).

| | Residual OSA | | | Test |
|---|------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------|
| | No 61 (70.9%) | Yes 25 (29.1%) | Total 86 (100.0%) | |
| Age | 9.0 (7.3–12.3) | 11.9 (8.9–15.2) | 9.3 (7.4–13.0) | 0.009 |
| Weight | 44.0 (30.0–68.6) | 64.1 (36.4–88.6) | 46.1 (30.0–78.3) | 0.064 |
| BMI | 24.4 (18.1–30.7) | 27.2 (21.4–34.6) | 25.9 (18.3–31.4) | 0.146 |
| BMI percentile | 97.7 (82.6–99.2) | 98.5 (93.3–99.5) | 98.0 (86.0–99.3) | 0.446 |
| Gender | | | | 0.982 |
| Male | 34 (55.7%) | 14 (56.0%) | 48 (55.8%) | |
| Female | 27 (44.3%) | 11 (44.0%) | 38 (44.2%) | |
| Ethnicity | | | | 0.732 |
| Non-Hispanic | 22 (36.1%) | 10 (40.0%) | 32 (37.2%) | |
| Hispanic | 39 (63.9%) | 15 (60.0%) | 54 (62.8%) | |
| Race | | | | 0.455 |
| Asian | 0 (0.0%) | 1 (4.0%) | 1 (1.2%) | |
| Black | 14 (23.0%) | 5 (20.0%) | 19 (22.1%) | |
| White | 34 (55.7%) | 13 (52.0%) | 47 (54.7%) | |
| Other | 13 (21.3%) | 6 (24.0%) | 19 (22.1%) | |
| Language | | | | 0.522 |
| English | 37 (60.7%) | 17 (68.0%) | 54 (62.8%) | |
| Spanish | 24 (39.3%) | 8 (32.0%) | 32 (37.2%) | |
| ADI national rank | 72.0 (54.0–85.0) | 66.0 (48.0–85.0) | 70.0 (51.0–85.0) | 0.765 |
| Allergic rhinitis | | | | 0.362 |
| No | 30 (49.2%) | 15 (60.0%) | 45 (52.3%) | |
| Yes | 31 (50.8%) | 10 (40.0%) | 41 (47.7%) | |
| GERD | | | | 0.278 |
| No | 54 (88.5%) | 24 (96.0%) | 78 (90.7%) | |
| Yes | 7 (11.5%) | 1 (4.0%) | 8 (9.3%) | |
| Asthma | | | | 0.185 |
| No | 41 (67.2%) | 13 (52.0%) | 54 (62.8%) | |
| Yes | 20 (32.8%) | 12 (48.0%) | 32 (37.2%) | |
| Down syndrome | | | | 0.402 |
| No | 57 (93.4%) | 22 (88.0%) | 79 (91.9%) | |
| Yes | 4 (6.6%) | 3 (12.0%) | 7 (8.1%) | |
| Developmental delay/impaired cognitive status | | | | 0.016 |
| No | 53 (86.9%) | 16 (64.0%) | 69 (80.2%) | |
| Yes | 8 (13.1%) | 9 (36.0%) | 17 (19.8%) | |
| Tonsillar hypertrophy | | | | 0.410 |
| No | 10 (16.4%) | 6 (24.0%) | 16 (18.6%) | |
| Yes | 51 (83.6%) | 19 (76.0%) | 70 (81.4%) | |
| Disadvantaged | | | | 0.295 |
| No | 13 (21.3%) | 8 (32.0%) | 21 (24.4%) | |
| Yes | 48 (78.7%) | 17 (68.0%) | 65 (75.6%) | |

Note: Continuous variable are median with interquartile ranges. *p* Value based on Kruskal–Wallis rank test for continuous variables, and Pearson χ^2 test for categorical variables.

Abbreviations: ADI, area deprivation index; BMI, body mass index adjusted for age and sex; GERD, gastroesophageal reflux disease; PAP, positive airway pressure; Tonsillar hypertrophy, Brodsky 3+ and 4+.

TABLE 5 Polysomnographic (PSG) parameters at the initial sleep study in children with obstructive sleep apnea (OSA) divided into those with and without residual OSA.

| | Residual OSA | | | p Value |
|----------------------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|---------|
| | No 61 (70.9%) | Yes 25 (29.1%) | Total 86 (100.0%) | |
| REM | 73.0 (55.0–94.0) | 79.0 (29.0–91.0) | 75.5 (50.0–94.0) | .648 |
| Sleep efficiency | 81.2 (75.7–87.6) | 83.4 (71.2–88.6) | 81.4 (75.4–87.6) | .909 |
| Arousal index | 15.4 (12.2–21.7) | 18.3 (12.7–32.4) | 16.1 (12.5–23.2) | .164 |
| Respiratory distress index | 15.9 (10.1–27.9) | 29.6 (19.9–56.3) | 19.8 (10.4–33.6) | .006 |
| Obstructive apnea–hypopnea index | 15.9 (9.8–25.6) | 29.6 (12.8–55.4) | 19.1 (9.8–33.0) | .020 |
| Central apnea index | 0.1 (0.0–0.4) | 0.3 (0.0–1.2) | 0.1 (0.0–0.6) | .155 |
| Apnea–hypopnea index | 15.9 (10.1–27.9) | 28.1 (12.9–56.3) | 19.6 (10.1–32.8) | .025 |
| Low SaO ₂ nadir | 88.0 (81.0–90.0) | 79.0 (74.0–87.0) | 86.0 (78.0–90.0) | .028 |
| Peak CO ₂ | 52.0 (48.0–55.0) | 51.0 (50.0–55.0) | 52.0 (49.0–55.0) | .361 |
| TST 50 CO ₂ | 1.1 (0.0–8.3) | 2.7 (0.1–16.2) | 1.3 (0.0–10.2) | .172 |
| TST <90% | 0.2 (0.0–1.4) | 1.5 (0.4–3.6) | 0.4 (0.0–2.0) | .009 |

Note: Continuous variable are median with interquartile ranges. p Value based on Kruskal–Wallis.

Abbreviations: AHI, apnea–hypopnea index; CAI, central apnea index; low SaO₂ nadir, lowest pulse oximetry measured hemoglobin saturation; NREM, non-rapid eye movement sleep time (min); OAHl, obstructive apnea–hypopnea index; REM, rapid eye movement sleep time (min); sleep efficiency, percentage of time the patient was asleep; TST <90% O₂, percentage of total sleep time spent with oxygen saturation <90%; TST 50 >CO₂, total sleep time spent at greater than 50 mmHg blood CO₂ saturation.

| Variable | Category | Odds ratio | p Value | 95% Confidence interval |
|----------|----------------|------------|---------|-------------------------|
| Obese | No (base) | 1 | | |
| | Yes | 3.13 | <.001 | 1.83–5.34 |
| Language | English (base) | 1 | | |
| | Spanish | 1.96 | .028 | 1.07–3.57 |
| | _cons | 0.34 | <.001 | 0.22–0.53 |

TABLE 6 Multiple logistic regression of odds of severe OSA among pediatric patients with sleep disordered breathing referred for polysomnography (PSG).

Note: This logistic regression model predicts severe OSA among a group of 249 children. _cons represents the baseline odds when all predictor variables in the model are set to their reference categories. In this case, the reference categories are children who are not obese and whose language is English. Pearson χ^2 goodness of fit = 3.28; $p = .07$. Predictors evaluated in the model—race, ethnicity, ADI, payer, GERD, asthma, weight, BMI, developmental delay, allergic rhinitis, and preoperative positive airway pressure therapy.

OSA were not significantly associated with race, ethnicity, or insurance type. Residual moderate or greater OSA was found to be associated with older age ($p = .009$) and AHI at the initial sleep study ($p = .02$), but not with neighborhood-level disadvantage, that is, national ADI rank ($p = .29$).

Our assessment of a patient's level of disadvantage, as determined by their ADI national ranking, reveals that children who are classified as disadvantaged are 1.17 times more likely to have severe OSA than those who are classified as less deprived. However, it is important to note that this relationship is not considered precise due to the wide confidence interval (95% CI = 0.99–1.01). In addition, our model's pseudo- R^2 value and likelihood χ^2 test indicate that the relationship is not significant ($R^2 = 0.0013$; χ^2 test = 0.44). These findings suggest that while there may be a slight association between

disadvantage status and OSA severity, further research is needed to determine a more definitive relationship.

Multiple logistic regression was used to predict demographic and clinical variables associated with severe OSA and is displayed in Table 6. The predictors evaluated in the model included variables where the p value in Tables 1 and 3 were ≤ 0.25 . These variables included the following: race, ethnicity, preferred language, ADI national ranking, primary payer, GERD, asthma, weight, BMI, allergic rhinitis, developmental delay, and preoperative PAP therapy. The results show that children with obesity have 3.13 higher odds of severe OSA than those who are not obese, and patients whose families preferred language is Spanish have 1.96 times higher odds of severe OSA. According to the χ^2 test ($p < .001$), the model was statistically significant and accounted for 6.83% of the variation in severe

OSA (pseudo- $R^2 = 0.068$). The model's intercept estimates the baseline odds of the event occurring to be 25%.

An additional logistic regression model was used to predict variables associated with residual OSA. The simple regression model of residual OSA and more disadvantages was not significant (OR = 0.57, 95% CI = 0.20–1.63). The predictors evaluated in the multiple logistic models included variables for which the p values in Tables 1 and 4 were ≤ 0.25 . These variables included: ethnicity, preferred language, ADI national rank, payer, GERD, asthma, age, weight, BMI, and development delay. This model showed that for a 1-year increase in age at the time of the sleep study, residual OSA risk increased by 1.20 (95% CI = 1.05–1.38). The model was statistically significant ($p < .001$) according to the likelihood ratio χ^2 test, and the pseudo- R^2 value suggests that the model explains about 7.1% of the variation in residual OSA. The model estimated the baseline odds of the residual OSA was 5.3%. The predicted probability of residual OSA after tonsillectomy and the AHI >5 occurring is 12.3% for a 5-year-old child, while the chance increases to 19.6% for a 17-year-old. No other effect modifiers or statistical interactions were found.

4 | DISCUSSION

In this study, we evaluated the demographic and clinical characteristics of 249 children who underwent PSG and subsequent T&A at a single medical center. The median (IQR) age of the children was 9.4 years (7.3–12.3). We found that neighborhood-level deprivation, as measured by the ADI ranking, was not a predictor of OSA severity or residual moderate or greater OSA after surgery. Furthermore, we did not observe any association between OSA severity or residual OSA and race or ethnicity. However, we did find that severe OSA was associated with obesity and that residual OSA was associated with older age at the initial sleep study but not with obesity or sex.

The relationship between SES and pediatric OSA has been investigated in previous research with mixed results. For example, a study by Wang et al. found that higher poverty rates and a higher percentage of single-female-headed households were associated with a higher AHI in a sample of 774 children from six American cities.⁸ In contrast, a study by Park et al. found that certain markers of SES, such as maternal education and urban location, were associated with a higher AHI in a Canadian sample,⁵ but did not find a significant association with perceived SES based on the MacArthur Scale of Subjective Social Status. In addition, Xie et al. found no statistically significant differences in markers of SES, including median household income, single-parent households, public insurance coverage, race, or age, between children with refractory and non-refractory OSA following T&A.¹⁵ These findings suggest that the relationship between SES and pediatric OSA may be complex and may vary depending on the specific measures of SES used and the characteristics of the study population.

The majority of these previous studies used individual measures to serve as proxies for SES. Our study is the first to use ADI, a comprehensive index of neighborhood-level deprivation, to examine

pediatric OSA severity and outcomes following T&A treatment. Although more social disadvantages may increase the risk of comorbidities associated with OSA, it was not an independent risk factor when using ADI in our study.

Studies on the relationship between race and pediatric OSA have been similarly conflicting. In a Canadian study, Brouillette et al. found that Black children were 3.35 times more likely to have OSA than non-Black children.⁶ Weinstock et al. also found that, after controlling for confounders—including maternal education, family income, age, sex, obesity, and medical comorbidities—African American race was associated with an approximately 20% increase in AHI.⁴ However, Wang et al. found in their study, mentioned above, that after controlling for poverty rate and single-female-headed households, the association between African American race and AHI was no longer significant.⁸ Similarly, Baker et al. found that race was not a predictor for increased AHI in adolescents.¹⁶ In our study, we found that African American race was not associated with AHI in the pediatric population. However, it is worth noting that our study population had a high number of Hispanic patients, which could potentially be a confounding variable as Hispanic children may have different genetic, environmental, or behavioral exposures that may influence the development or manifestation of OSA thereby limiting the impact of race on OSA in this cohort. It is interesting to note our regression model found that severe OSA was associated with the Spanish language, but not Hispanic ethnicity. It is possible that there are unique social factors—for example, language barriers and/or lack of access to care—that may delay the diagnosis and treatment of OSA, leading to an increased likelihood of severe OSA in this population. However, this observation is a secondary finding that should be interpreted with caution and warrants further study.

Our study shows an association between obesity and OSA severity, a relationship that has been well-documented in the literature.^{16–20} While tonsillar hypertrophy has been shown to be associated with OSA,^{16,19,20} this was not the case for our population. Dayyat et al. reported that in obese children, tonsillar hypertrophy has a smaller contribution to OSA, as measured by changes in OAH1, than in nonobese children.²¹ Thus, the effects of tonsillar hypertrophy on OSA severity may not have been significant in our population as obesity was prevalent. This finding emphasizes the importance of using PSG to evaluate patients with small tonsil size, especially in the setting of obesity. However, we also recognize that, given the majority of our patients had tonsillar hypertrophy (80.1%), there may not have been enough patients without tonsillar hypertrophy to show a significant difference.

In our study, we found that older age and higher AHI at the initial sleep study was associated with residual moderate or greater OSA, but there was no significant association with BMI z-score or sex. This finding contrasts with previous research that has found a relationship between these factors and residual OSA.^{15,22} However, our study used a different definition of residual OSA (AHI ≥ 5) compared to some previous studies, which may account for the discrepancy. In addition, comorbidities like obesity, Down syndrome, and hypotonia may be more relevant predictors of residual OSA than demographic

factors.^{15,23} It is also important to note that this was another secondary finding which merits cautious interpretation. Continued research is needed to understand better the factors contributing to residual OSA in children following tonsillectomy.

The limitations of this study should be noted. While our sample size was relatively large, the majority (70.3%) of our population was disadvantaged, which may have limited the power of the study to detect differences in this group. In addition, our population was predominantly Hispanic (62.5%), which may not be representative of the overall pediatric OSA population. While ADI provides a comprehensive measure of neighborhood-level disadvantage, it does not capture all aspects of disadvantage, such as racism and discrimination, which can have negative impacts on health.^{23,24} Individual levels of SES may better reflect some families' social standing (e.g., family income, insurance status, or employment). Our study was also limited by its retrospective design, which may have introduced selection bias. It is worth noting that while there is a well-established relationship between obesity and socioeconomic disadvantage, this relationship is not seen in our study between ADI and BMI. However, it is important to note this study was primarily designed to examine the relationship between ADI and OSA. It is possible that the effect of BMI and ADI may not be identifiable in our study due to children with obesity having a larger representation (56.2% vs. 43.8%), as children with obesity are more likely to be referred for PSG in clinical practice. In addition, individual-level SES and lifestyle behaviors may have a stronger influence on obesity in this sample. Strengths of this study include the use of a validated measure of neighborhood deprivation and the inclusion of a large and ethnically diverse population. Further research is needed to fully understand the relationship between socioeconomic measures and OSA severity and treatment outcomes and identify risk factors for residual OSA in older children.

5 | CONCLUSION

In conclusion, this study of 249 children aged 20 months to 18 years found that neighborhood-level disadvantage and race were not associated with OSA severity or residual moderate or greater OSA following T&A. However, obesity was identified as a risk factor for OSA severity, while older age was a risk factor for residual OSA. Further prospective studies examining the influence of these socioeconomic, demographic, and clinical factors on pediatric OSA are needed to better understand and address the prevalence of OSA in children.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

ORCID

Yann-Fuu Kou  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6801-7544>

Stephen R. Chorney  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1419-6019>

Ron B. Mitchell  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7890-0541>

Romaine F. Johnson  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2322-5347>

REFERENCES

1. DelRosso LM. Epidemiology and diagnosis of pediatric obstructive sleep apnea. *Curr Probl Pediatr Adolesc Health Care*. 2016;46(1):2-6. doi:10.1016/j.cppeds.2015.10.009
2. Ievers-Landis CE, Redline S. Pediatric sleep apnea: implications of the epidemic of childhood overweight. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2007;175(5):436-441. doi:10.1164/rccm.200606-790PP
3. Capdevila OS, Kheirandish-Gozal L, Dayyat E, Gozal D. Pediatric obstructive sleep apnea: complications, management, and long-term outcomes. *Proc Am Thorac Soc*. 2008;5(2):274-282. doi:10.1513/pats.200708-138MG
4. Weinstock TG, Rosen CL, Marcus CL, et al. Predictors of obstructive sleep apnea severity in adenotonsillectomy candidates. *Sleep*. 2014;37(2):261-269. doi:10.5665/sleep.3394
5. Park JH, Hamoda MM, Almeida FR, et al. Socioeconomic inequalities in pediatric obstructive sleep apnea. *J Clin Sleep Med*. 2022;18(2):637-645. doi:10.5664/jcsm.9494
6. Brouillette RT, Horwood L, Constantin E, Brown K, Ross NA. Childhood sleep apnea and neighborhood disadvantage. *J Pediatr*. 2011;158(5):789-795.e1. doi:10.1016/j.jpeds.2010.10.036
7. Spilsbury JC, Storfer-Isser A, Kirchner HL, et al. Neighborhood disadvantage as a risk factor for pediatric obstructive sleep apnea. *J Pediatr*. 2006;149(3):342-347. doi:10.1016/j.jpeds.2006.04.061
8. Wang R, Dong Y, Weng J, et al. Associations among neighborhood, race, and sleep apnea severity in children. A six-city analysis. *Ann Am Thorac Soc*. 2017;14(1):76-84. doi:10.1513/AnnalsATS.201609-662OC
9. Kind AJH, Buckingham WR. Making neighborhood disadvantage metrics accessible: the neighborhood atlas. *N Engl J Med*. 2018;378:2456-2458. doi:10.1056/NEJMp1802313
10. University of Wisconsin School of Medicine and Public Health. Area Deprivation Index v3.1. 2019 <https://www.neighborhoodatlas.medicine.wisc.edu/>
11. Liao K, Chorney SR, Brown AB, et al. The impact of socioeconomic disadvantage on pediatric tracheostomy outcomes. *Laryngoscope*. 2021;131(11):2603-2609. doi:10.1002/lary.29576
12. Kou YF, Teplitzky T, Johnson RF, Chorney SR. Assessment of socioeconomic disadvantage in laryngotracheoplasty outcomes among pediatric patients. *Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol*. 2022;162:111326. doi:10.1016/j.ijporl.2022.111326
13. de Cos V, Rooney AS, Sykes AG, et al. Integrating traffic safety data with area deprivation index: a method to better understand the causes of pediatric pedestrian versus automobile collisions. *J Trauma Acute Care Surg*. 2022;93(5):650-655. doi:10.1097/TA.0000000000003666
14. Okoroafor UC, Gerull W, Wright M, Guattery J, Sandvall B, Calfee RP. The impact of social deprivation on pediatric PROMIS health scores after upper extremity fracture. *J Hand Surg Am*. 2018;43(10):897-902. doi:10.1016/j.jhsa.2018.06.119
15. Xie DX, Wang RY, Penn EB, Chinnadurai S, Shannon CN, Wootten CT. Understanding sociodemographic factors related to health outcomes in pediatric obstructive sleep apnea. *Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol*. 2018;111:138-141. doi:10.1016/j.ijporl.2018.05.030
16. Baker M, Scott B, Johnson RF, Mitchell RB. Predictors of obstructive sleep apnea severity in adolescents. *JAMA Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg*. 2017;143(5):494-499. doi:10.1001/jamaoto.2016.4130
17. Narayanan A, Yogesh A, Mitchell RB, Johnson RF. Asthma and obesity as predictors of severe obstructive sleep apnea in an adolescent pediatric population. *Laryngoscope*. 2020;130(3):812-817. doi:10.1002/lary.28029
18. Johnson RF, Hansen A, Narayanan A, Yogesh A, Shah GB, Mitchell RB. Weight gain velocity as a predictor of severe obstructive sleep apnea among obese adolescents. *Laryngoscope*. 2020;130(5):1339-1342. doi:10.1002/lary.28296

19. Xu Z, Wu Y, Tai J, et al. Risk factors of obstructive sleep apnea syndrome in children. *J Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg*. 2020;49(1):11. doi:[10.1186/s40463-020-0404-1](https://doi.org/10.1186/s40463-020-0404-1)
20. Bachrach K, O'Neil Danis D 3rd, Cohen MB, Levi JR. The relationship between obstructive sleep apnea and pediatric obesity: a nationwide analysis. *Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol*. 2022;131(5):520-526. doi:[10.1177/00034894211028489](https://doi.org/10.1177/00034894211028489)
21. Dayyat E, Kheirandish-Goza L, Sans Capdevila O, Maarafeya MMA, Gozal D. Obstructive sleep apnea in children: relative contributions of body mass index and adenotonsillar hypertrophy. *Chest*. 2009;136(1):137-144. doi:[10.1378/chest.08-2568](https://doi.org/10.1378/chest.08-2568)
22. Imanguli M, Ulualp SO. Risk factors for residual obstructive sleep apnea after adenotonsillectomy in children. *Laryngoscope*. 2016;126(11):2624-2629. doi:[10.1002/lary.25979](https://doi.org/10.1002/lary.25979)
23. Paradies Y, Ben J, Denson N, et al. Racism as a determinant of health: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS One*. 2015;10(9):e0138511. doi:[10.1371/journal.pone.0138511](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0138511)
24. Trent M, Dooley DG, Douge J, Section on Adolescent Health, Council on Community Pediatrics; Committee on Adolescence. The impact of racism on child and adolescent health. *Pediatrics*. 2019;144(2):e20191765. doi:[10.1542/peds.2019-1765](https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2019-1765)

How to cite this article: Kim J, Kou Y-F, Chorney SR, Mitchell RB, Johnson RF. Assessment of neighborhood-level disadvantage and pediatric obstructive sleep apnea severity. *Laryngoscope Investigative Otolaryngology*. 2023;8(4):1114-1123. doi:[10.1002/lio2.1098](https://doi.org/10.1002/lio2.1098)