

Research

Open Access

Interleukin-1 receptor 1 knockout has no effect on amyloid deposition in Tg2576 mice and does not alter efficacy following A β immunotherapy

Pritam Das*, Lisa A Smithson, Robert W Price, Vallie M Holloway, Yona Levites, Paramita Chakrabarty and Todd E Golde*

Address: Department of Neurosciences, Mayo Clinic College of Medicine, 4500 San Pablo Road, Jacksonville, FL 32224, USA

Email: Pritam Das* - das.pritam@mayo.edu; Lisa A Smithson - smithson.lisa@mayo.edu; Robert W Price - price.robert@mayo.edu; Vallie M Holloway - holloway.vallie@mayo.edu; Yona Levites - levites.yona@mayo.edu; Paramita Chakrabarty - chakrabarty.paramita@mayo.edu; Todd E Golde* - golde.todd@mayo.edu

* Corresponding authors

Published: 26 July 2006

Received: 13 March 2006

Journal of Neuroinflammation 2006, 3:17 doi:10.1186/1742-2094-3-17

Accepted: 26 July 2006

This article is available from: <http://www.jneuroinflammation.com/content/3/1/17>

© 2006 Das et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract

Background: Microglial activation has been proposed to facilitate clearance of amyloid β protein (A β) from the brain following A β immunotherapy in amyloid precursor protein (APP) transgenic mice. Interleukin-1 receptor 1 knockout (IL-1 R1 $^{-/-}$) mice are reported to exhibit blunted inflammatory responses to injury. To further define the role of IL-1-mediated inflammatory responses and microglial activation in this paradigm, we examined the efficacy of passive A β immunotherapy in Tg2576 mice crossed into the IL-1 R1 $^{-/-}$ background. In addition, we examined if loss of IL-1 R1 $^{-/-}$ modifies A β deposition in the absence of additional manipulations.

Methods: We passively immunized Tg2576 mice crossed into the IL-1 R1 $^{-/-}$ background (APP/IL-1 R1 $^{-/-}$ mice) with an anti-A β 1-16 mAb (mAb9, IgG2a) that we previously showed could attenuate A β deposition in Tg2576 mice. We also examined whether the IL-1 R1 knockout background modifies A β deposition in untreated mice. Biochemical and immunohistochemical A β loads and microglial activation was assessed.

Results: Passive immunization with anti-A β mAb was effective in reducing plaque load in APP/IL-1 R1 $^{-/-}$ mice when the immunization was started prior to significant plaque deposition. Similar to previous studies, immunization was not effective in older APP/IL-1 R1 $^{-/-}$ mice or IL-1 R1 sufficient wild type Tg2576 mice. Our analysis of A β deposition in the untreated APP/IL-1 R1 $^{-/-}$ mice did not show differences on biochemical A β loads during normal aging of these mice compared to IL-1 R1 sufficient wild type Tg2576 mice.

Conclusion: We find no evidence that the lack of the IL-1 R1 receptor influences either A β deposition or the efficacy of passive immunotherapy. Such results are consistent with other studies in Tg2576 mice that suggest microglial activation may not be required for efficacy in passive immunization approaches.

Background

Direct immunization with aggregated amyloid β protein (A β) and passive immunization with anti-A β antibodies (Abs) reduce plaque burden in Alzheimer's disease (AD) mouse models and improve cognitive deficits present in those models [1-5]. Although no adverse effects of immunization were noted in earlier studies, more recent data in mice indicate that there is the potential of exacerbation of cerebral-amyloid angiopathy (CAA) associated microhemorrhages in certain mouse strains following passive immunization with certain anti-A β antibodies [6-8]. An active immunization trial in humans was initiated using fibrillar A β 42+QS-21 adjuvant (AN-1792) but was halted due to a meningio-encephalitic presentation in ~6% of individuals [9-11]. Reports of individuals enrolled in the trial suggest that those subjects who developed modest anti-plaque antibody (Ab) titers did show some clinical benefit relative to subjects that did not develop detectable titers [9,11,12]. A small phase II study of AD patients administered human IVIG containing anti-A β Abs showed slight improvement in ADAScog following administration; however the clinical effect was modest and only a few subjects were evaluated [13].

Given the pre-clinical data, hints of efficacy in humans, and the lack of disease-modifying therapies for AD, A β immunotherapy or derivative approaches are still worthy of pursuing. However, the mechanism or mechanisms through which A β immunotherapy works remain enigmatic [14,15]. The amount of A β deposited when immunization is initiated, the AD mouse model used, and the properties of the anti-A β antibodies used, all affect the outcome [1,2,16-18]. One of the debates with respect to mechanism centers on peripheral versus a central action of the antibody [3,19,20]. There is evidence to support both mechanisms, and it will be a very difficult issue to definitively address this through additional experimentation. Another debate is in regard to the role of microglia activation. Several groups report transient or stable enhancements of microglia activation associated with A β removal; others do not [1,21-23]. In postmortem human tissue from AD patients who had received the AN-1792 vaccine, A β -laden microglia were noted in areas where A β clearance is hypothesized to have occurred [24]. Thus, microglial activation has been proposed to facilitate removal of A β from the brain following vaccination.

The IL-1 superfamily (including IL-1 β , IL-1 α and IL-18) is a group of cytokines that exhibit a large number of biological responses [25]. Interleukin-1 β is a key mediator of host response to infections and a primary cause of inflammation [25]. In vivo, IL-1 β is elevated during infections and in several chronic inflammatory diseases such as arthritis, scleroderma, systemic lupus erythematosus, vasculitis, sepsis, septic shock, and atherosclerotic lesions as

well as in brains of AD patients [25]. As least two IL-1 receptors (IL-1R) have been identified: type I and type II receptors (IL-1RI and IL-1RII) [26]. IL-1 β binds IL-1RI and upon IL-1 binding, IL-1RI recruits the accessory protein IL-1R-AcP, and initiates a stimulatory signal transduction cascade [26]. IL-1RII acts as a decoy receptor and competes with IL-1RI to down-modulate IL-1 activity [27]. In AD and Down's syndrome, IL-1 β production is increased in microglial cells in the vicinity of amyloid plaques [28,29]. Initial studies examining the association of polymorphisms in the IL-1 and IL-1 receptor genes showed positive association of certain alleles with AD risk [30-34]. However, like many AD genetic association studies, subsequent studies failed to confirm the initial association. Meta-analyses of all studies on IL-1 α and β linkage show no evidence for association of these loci with AD <http://www.alzforum.org/res/com/gen/alzgene/>. A recent report shows that activation of microglia with secreted APP (sAPP α) results in a dose-dependent increase in secreted IL-1 β [35]. Similarly, cortical neurons treated with IL-1 β showed a dose-dependent increase in sAPP α secretion, elevated levels of α -synuclein and phosphorylated tau [35]. In APP transgenic mice, IL-1 reactivity and other inflammatory markers are increased in microglial cells surrounding amyloid deposits during various stages of amyloid deposition in these mice [36,37]. Another member of the IL-1 superfamily, IL-1 receptor antagonist (IL-1Ra) [38], is also synthesized and released in parallel to IL-1 β , IL-1 α , and IL-18. IL-1Ra binds to IL-1RI and blocks IL-1 dependent signal transduction, thus functioning as an endogenous, IL-1 selective inhibitor of inflammation [38]. Interestingly, IL-1Ra knockout mice show enhanced microglial activation and neuronal damage following intracerebroventricular infusion of human A β [39]. Collectively, these data suggest that IL-1 is a key mediator of microgliosis and subsequent inflammatory responses following A β deposition as well as in the production of substrates necessary for neuropathological changes seen in AD.

To gain additional insight into the role of IL-1 signaling on microglial activation, on IL-1-mediated inflammatory responses following A β vaccination, and on A β deposition during normal aging, we used interleukin-1 receptor 1-knockout (IL-1 R1 $^{-/-}$) mice [40-42] that were crossed to APP Tg2576 transgenic mice (APP/IL-1 R1 $^{-/-}$). The IL-1 R1 $^{-/-}$ mice lack the type 1 interleukin-1 receptor, but develop normally. Moreover, with a few exceptions, these mice are normal, showing alterations in IL-1-mediated immune response to certain stimuli. Following penetrating brain injury in IL-1 R1 $^{-/-}$ mice, fewer amoeboid microglia/macrophages are present near the sites of injury, astrogliosis is mildly abrogated and cyclooxygenase-2 (Cox-2) and IL-6 expression are reduced [42]. In another report, IL-1 R1 $^{-/-}$ mice failed to respond to IL-1 in several

assays, including IL-1-induced IL-6 and E-selectin expression, and IL-1-induced fever and acute-phase responses to turpentine [41]. These data in IL-1 R1^{-/-} mice demonstrate that IL-1 R1 is critical for most IL-1-mediated signaling events tested. We performed passive immunization with an anti-A β mAb in Tg2576 mice crossed into the IL-1 R1^{-/-} background (APP/IL-1 R1^{-/-}), and determined whether microglial activation and consequent inflammatory responses are necessary for A β reduction. These studies show that passive immunization with anti-A β mAb is effective in reducing plaque load in APP/IL-1 R1^{-/-} mice when the immunization is started prior to significant plaque deposition and thus support our general hypothesis that microglial activation may not be required for efficacy of immunization in Tg2576 mice.

Methods

Mice breeding strategy

Tg2576 [43] were bred into the IL-1 R1-knockout background (B6.129S7-Il1r1tm1Imx, Jackson Laboratories) as follows; male Tg2576 (C57BL/6.SJL) were initially crossed with IL-1 R1^{-/-} females (B6.129S7). We then backcrossed the F1 Tg2576 \times IL-1R1^{+/-} males with female IL-1 R1^{-/-}. These crosses generated the F2 Tg2576 \times IL-1R1^{-/-} mice (APP/IL-1 R1^{-/-}) and Tg2576 \times IL-1R1^{+/-} littermates (APP/IL-1 R1^{+/-}), which were used in all experiments. All animal experimental procedures were performed according to Mayo Clinic Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee guidelines. All animals were housed three to five to a cage and maintained on *ad libitum* and water with a 12 h light/dark cycle.

Passive immunizations

Groups of APP/IL-1 R1^{-/-} mice and APP/IL-1 R1^{+/-} littermates (males and females, 6-month-old or 12-month-old, $n = 3-5$ /group) were immunized intraperitoneally (i.p.) with 500 μ g of mAb9 (A β 1-16 specific, IgG2a) in saline once every 2 weeks for 3 months. Control mice received 500 μ g of purified mouse IgG in saline.

ELISA analysis of extracted A β

At sacrifice, the brains of mice were divided by midsagittal dissection, and 1 hemibrain was used for biochemical analysis as described previously [18]. Briefly, each hemibrain (150 mg/ml wet wt) was extracted in 2% SDS with protease inhibitors using a polytron and centrifuged at 100,000 g for 1 hour at 4°C. Following centrifugation, the supernatant was collected, which represented the SDS-soluble fraction. The resultant pellet was then extracted in 70% FA, using a probe sonicator, centrifuged at 100,000 g for 1 hour at 4°C, and the supernatant collected (the FA fraction). Extracted A β was then measured using a sandwich ELISA system as described before [18]; A β 42-capture with mAb 2.1.3 (mAb40.2,) and detection with HRP-conjugated mAb Ab9 (human A β 1-16 specific); A β 40-capture

with mAb Ab9 and detection with HRP-conjugated mAb 13.1.1 (mAb β 40.1)

Immunohistology

Hemibrains of mice were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in 0.1 M PBS (pH 7.6) and then stained for A β plaques as described previously [18]. Paraffin sections (5 μ m) were pretreated with 80% FA for 5 minutes, boiled in water using a rice steam cooker, washed, and immersed in 0.3% H₂O₂ for 30 minutes to block intrinsic peroxidase activity. They were then incubated with 2% normal goat serum in PBS for 1 hour, with 33.1.1 (A β 1-16 mAb) at 1 μ g/ml dilution overnight, and then with HRP-conjugated goat anti-mouse secondary mAb (1:500 dilution; Amersham Biosciences) for 1 hour. Sections were washed in PBS, and immunoreactivity was visualized by 3,3'-diaminobenzidine tetrahydrochloride (DAB) according to the manufacturer's specifications (ABC system; Vector Laboratories). Adjacent sections were stained with 4% thioflavin-S for 10 minutes. Free-floating 4% paraformaldehyde-fixed, frozen tissue sections (30 μ m) were stained for the presence of activated microglia with rat anti-mouse CD45 (1:3000; Serotec, Oxford, UK), followed by detection with anti-rat-HRP (ABC system, Vector Labs), and then counterstained with Thio-S as described previously [23]. Four percent paraformaldehyde-fixed, paraffin-embedded sections were stained for activated microglia using anti-Iba1 (1:3000; Wako Chemicals) and for activated astrocytes using anti-GFAP (1:1000, Chemicon).

Quantitation of amyloid plaque burden

Computer-assisted quantification of A β plaques was performed using the MetaMorph 6.1 software (Universal Imaging Corp, Downingtown, PA). Serial coronal sections stained as above were captured, and the threshold for plaque staining was determined and kept constant throughout the analysis. For analysis of plaque burdens in the passive immunization experiments, immunostained plaques were quantified (proportional area of plaque burden) in the neocortex of the same plane of section for each mouse (~ 10 sections per mouse). All of the above analyses were performed in a blinded fashion.

Statistical analysis

One-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett's multiple comparison tests were performed using the scientific statistic software Prism (version 4; GraphPad).

Results

Interleukin-1 receptor 1 knockout has no effect on A β loads in Tg2576 mice

To investigate whether the lack of IL-1 R1 had any effect on A β deposition, we analyzed biochemically extractable A β levels and immuno-reactive plaque burdens in Tg2576 mice crossed to IL-1 R1^{-/-} mice (APP/IL-1 R1^{-/-}). APP/IL-

1 R1-/- mice were compared to APP/IL-1 R1+/- hemizygous littermates to control for differences in the background genes, as a result of our breeding strategy (Tg2576 in F1 C57BL/6.SJL background and IL1-R1-/- mice in B6.129S7 background). Thus, APP/IL-1 R1-/- mice and APP/IL-1 R1+/- hemizygous littermates generated are in similar mixed C57BL/6.SJL and C57BL/6.129S7 backgrounds. We have also compared the crossed mice to wild type Tg2576 mice (referred to as IL-1 R1+/+) in various measurements, though these mice are in a different background (F2 C57BL/6.SJL).

Groups of mice at various ages (6 months, 9 months and 15 months of age) were killed and the levels of both SDS-soluble (SDS) and SDS-insoluble FA-extractable fractions of Aβ40 and Aβ42 were analyzed by ELISA. As shown in Figure 1, there were no significant differences in the amounts of extractable Aβ in all three ages groups tested when we compared Aβ levels in APP/IL-1 R1-/-, APP/IL-1 R1+/- littermates and wild type Tg2576 mice: SDS Aβ42 (Figure 1A), SDS Aβ40 (Figure 1B), FA Aβ42 (Figure 1C), and FA Aβ40 (Figure 1D). To further examine whether there were alterations in deposited Aβ plaques in these




Figure 1

Aβ levels in APP/IL-1 R1-/- mice, APP/IL-1 R1+/- littermates and wild type Tg2576 mice at 6 months, 9 months and 15 months of age. Groups of mice were killed at the indicated time points and both SDS-soluble (SDS) and SDS-insoluble, formic acid extractable (FA) fractions of Aβ40 and Aβ42 were measured by capture ELISA.

mice, coronal sections of each mouse hemibrain were analyzed for changes in immunostained A β plaque loads. Quantitative image analysis of amyloid plaque burden in all age groups revealed no significant differences (data not shown). However, in 2 of 7 mice analyzed in the 15-month-old APP/IL-1 R1^{-/-}, there was atypical A β plaque staining. An appreciable increase in diffuse immuno-reactive A β plaques (Figure 2B) in the neocortex of these 2 mice was noted when compared to the 15-month-old APP/IL-1 R1^{+/-} littermates (Figure 2A) or wild type Tg2576 mice (Figure 2C), which deposit more dense-core A β plaques at this age.

Passive immunotherapy is effective in young APP/IL-1 R1^{-/-} mice

To examine the effects of microglial activation on A β immunotherapy, we examined the effects of passive immunization with an anti-A β monoclonal antibody (mAb9) in APP/IL-1 R1^{-/-} mice. Two experimental paradigms were used: i) a prevention study, in which passive immunization was performed in 6-month-old mice, which at this time have minimal A β deposition, and ii) a therapeutic study, in which immunotherapy was performed using 12-month-old mice, which have moderate levels of preexisting A β deposits. Both groups of mice were treated for 3 months then killed; and biochemical and immunohistochemical methods were used to analyze the effect of immunotherapy. Following passive immunization with mAb9 initiated in the 6-month-old mice (prevention study), A β levels were significantly attenuated in both the APP/IL-1 R1^{-/-} and APP/IL-1 R1^{+/-} littermates (Figure 3). Both the SDS-extractable A β levels (>50% reduction in SDS A β ; Figure 3A and 3B) and formic acid-(FA-) solubilized, SDS-insoluble material (>50% reduction in FA A β ; Figure 3A and 3B) were reduced in these mice. Quantitative image analysis of immunostained sections also showed a significant decrease in A β deposition in both groups (as measured by plaque numbers per field, Figure 3E). In contrast, passive immunization with mAb9, initiated in the 12-month-old mice (therapeutic study) had no significant effect on biochemically extracted A β levels (Figure 3C and 3D) or immuno-reactive A β ; plaque loads (Figure 3F), in the both the APP/IL-1 R1^{-/-} or APP/IL-1 R1^{+/-} littermates.

Interleukin-1 receptor 1 knockout has no effect on microglial reactivity surrounding A β plaques

To access whether the IL-1 R1^{-/-} phenotype affected the state of microglial activation, and astrocyte reactivity, particularly, glial reactivity surrounding amyloid plaques, we compared the intensity of staining of microglia using antibodies against CD45, a marker for activated microglia that has been shown to be present on activated microglia surrounding amyloid plaques in APP transgenic mice [44] and Iba1, the ionized calcium-binding adaptor molecule




Figure 2

Representative pictures of immunostained A β plaques (stained with anti-A β antibody) in the neocortex of (A) a 15-month-old APP/IL-1 R1^{+/-} mouse; (B) a 15-month-old APP/IL-1 R1^{-/-} mouse; and (C) a 15-month-old wild type Tg2576 mice (IL-1 R1^{+/+}). (A, B, C, magnification = 100 \times , insert shows enlargement of A β plaques).

1, which is expressed selectively in activated microglia/macrophages [45]. For CD45 staining, coronal sections from both unmanipulated APP/IL-1 R1^{-/-}, APP/IL-1 R1^{+/-}




Figure 3

A and B. Aβ levels were significantly reduced following mAb9 immunizations initiated in 6-month-old APP/IL-1 RI^{-/-} mice as well as APP/IL-1 RI^{+/-} mice (n = 3/group). **C and D.** Aβ levels were not significantly altered following mAb9 immunizations initiated in 12-month-old APP/IL-1 RI^{-/-} mice and APP/IL-1 RI^{+/-} mice (n = 3–5/group). Mice were killed after immunization with 500 μg of mAb9 every other week for 3 months, and both SDS-soluble (SDS) and SDS-insoluble, formic acid extractable (FA) fractions of Aβ40 and Aβ42 were measured by capture ELISA. **E and F.** Quantitative image analysis of amyloid plaque burden in the neocortex of mAb9 immunizations initiated in 6-month-old APP/IL-1 RI^{-/-} mice (**E**) and mAb9 immunizations initiated in 12-month-old APP/IL-1 RI^{-/-} mice (**F**). (*, ** P < 0.05 t-test)


- littermates and wild type Tg2576 mice (IL-1 R1 +/+) at 9-months and 15-months of age were used for staining. As shown in Figure 4, there were abundant numbers of CD45 immuno-reactive microglia present, surrounding A β plaques from the 9-month-old APP/IL-1 R1-/- (Figure 4A), APP/IL-1 R1+/- littermates (Figure 4C) and wild type Tg2576 mice (Figure 4E) with no obvious differences in the CD45 reactivity in these activated microglial cells. Greater numbers of immuno-reactive microglia were present surrounding plaques in the 15-month-old mice, but again, there were no discernable differences in the density/CD45 reactivity in these microglial when we compared sections from the 15-month-old APP/IL-1 R1-/- (Figure 4B) vs. 15-month-old APP/IL-1 R1+/- littermates (Figure 4D) or wild type Tg2576 mice (Figure 4F). Similar results were seen when we compared the CD45 reactivity of microglia in mice that were passively immunized with mAb9 vs. controls, i.e., there were no differences in microglial reactivity using CD45 staining comparing immunized mice vs. controls in both groups (data not shown). For anti-Iba1 antibody staining, we compared coronal sections from unmanipulated APP/IL-1 R1-/-, APP/IL-1 R1+/- littermates and wild type Tg2576 mice (IL-1R1+/+) at 9 months and 15 months of age. As shown in Figure 5, anti-Iba1 staining was readily detected in microglia surrounding A β plaques in all three groups of mice tested comparing both 9-month-old and 15-month-old mice (Figure 5). Similar to CD45 staining, there were no discernable differences in the Iba1 reactivity in microglial cells comparing the APP/IL-1 R1-/-, APP/IL-1 R1+/- littermates and wild type Tg2576 mice (IL-1R1+/+) mice. For staining of activated astrocytes, we used an anti-GFAP antibody and compared immunoreactivity using coronal sections from unmanipulated APP/IL-1 R1-/-, APP/IL-1 R1+/- littermates and wild type Tg2576 mice (IL-1R1+/+) at 9 months and 15 months of age as before. As shown in Figure 6, there was robust anti-GFAP reactivity on activated astrocytes surrounding A β plaques in all three groups of mice tested (Figure 6). Again, similar to the microglial staining pattern, there were no discernable differences in the GFAP reactivity on astrocytes in all three groups of mice tested.

Discussion

Despite multiple studies of anti-A β immunotherapy in mice, there is still no consensus on how anti-A β immunotherapy works [14,15], particularly as it relates to the role of microglial activation. It was originally proposed that A β immunization triggers phagocytosis of antibody-bound A β immune complexes via microglial FcR. After immunization, increased number of microglial cells stained with anti-A β antibodies were observed [1]. Indeed, using an *ex vivo* strategy, it was shown that anti-A β antibodies induce phagocytosis of A β plaques [2]. Importantly, Fab fragments of these antibodies fail to induce A β phagocytosis, suggesting that the enhanced uptake is attributable to FcR

[2]. Subsequent studies have shown that at least in Tg2576 APP mice, a role for enhanced phagocytosis of mAb:A β complexes via the FcR can largely be ruled out, since A β 1-42 immunization in Tg2576 \times FcR γ -/- crossed mice was effective in reducing A β loads [23]. Additional studies now show that an intact mAb (and therefore FcR interactions) is not required for efficacy; since Fab fragments [46] and scFv fragments (Levites and Golde, unpublished observation) are efficacious in immunotherapy. Several groups have reported that following A β immunotherapy, there are transient or stable enhancements of microglial activation associated with A β removal; whereas others do not find this [1,21-23]. Furthermore, in humans receiving the AN-1792 vaccine, A β -laden microglia have been noted in postmortem studies [24]. Although antibody and microglial Fc receptor-mediated interactions have been suggested to activate microglia following vaccinations, other inflammatory consequences may play a role in this paradigm. Based on published reports, it has been suggested that clearance of amyloid deposits in patients enrolled in the AN-1792 trial may have been due to an adverse inflammatory response to the vaccine rather than due to the anti-A β antibodies [47]. This proposition may be supported by some recent reports, wherein induction of experimental autoimmune encephalitis (EAE) and nasal vaccination with glatiramer acetate reportedly decrease amyloid plaques in APP transgenic mice [48]. Another report by the same group shows that, in mice over expressing IFN- γ in the CNS, amyloid vaccination lead to meningoencephalitis and T cell-dependent clearance of amyloid plaques from the brain [49]. Both of these reports provide evidence that peripheral inflammatory responses and CNS autoreactive T cells may play a role in vaccination-induced clearance of plaques. Furthermore, some recent reports have indicated that inflammatory insults, either by injecting LPS directly into the brain [44,50] or overexpression of TGF- β in the CNS [51], can result in reductions of amyloid deposits. Enhanced microglial activation was noted in both of these reports and is suggested to contribute to the clearance of amyloid deposits.

In this report, we sought to determine the role of IL-1-mediated microglial activation on IL-1-mediated inflammatory responses following A β vaccination and on A β deposition during normal aging using interleukin-1 receptor 1-knockout (IL-1 R1-/-) mice [40-42] that were crossed to APP Tg2576 transgenic mice (APP/IL-1 R1-/-). We first tested the efficacy of A β immunization in APP/IL-1 R1-/- mice. Our results show that passive immunization with an anti-A β mAb is effective in reducing plaque loads both in APP/IL-1 R1-/- mice and APP/IL-1 R1+/- littermates, when immunization is started prior to significant plaque deposition. However, as we have seen previously, immunization was not efficacious in mice that have pre-

**Figure 4**

Representative pictures of Thioflavin-S-stained A β plaques (lightly stained areas) decorated with ramified microglia immunostained with anti-mouse CD45 (black stain) in the neo cortex of untreated **(A)** 9-month-old APP/IL-1 R1^{-/-} and **(B)** 15-month-old APP/IL-1 R1^{-/-}; **(C)** 9-month-old APP/IL-1 R1^{+/-} and **(D)** 15-month-old APP/IL-1 R1^{+/-}; **(E)** 9-month-old wild type Tg2576 mice (IL-1 R1^{+/+}) and **(F)** 15-month-old wild type Tg2576 mice (IL-1 R1^{+/+}). (A, B, C, D, E, F magnification = 400 \times).




Figure 5

Representative pictures of Thioflavin-S-stained A β plaques (lightly stained areas) decorated with microglia immunostained with anti-Iba1 (brown stain) in the neocortex of untreated **(A)** 9-month-old APP/IL-1 R1^{-/-} and **(B)** 15-month-old APP/IL-1 R1^{-/-}; **(C)** 9-month-old APP/IL-1 R1^{+/-} and **(D)** 15-month-old APP/IL-1 R1^{+/-}; **(E)** 9-month-old wild type Tg2576 mice (IL-1 R1^{+/+}) and **(F)** 15-month-old wild type Tg2576 mice (IL-1 R1^{+/+}). (A, B, C, D, E, F magnification = 400 \times).

existing A β loads [17,18,52]. Thus, these results support our general hypothesis that microglial activation may not be required for efficacy of immunization in Tg2576 mice. The lack of IL-1 R1 (in ^{-/-} mice) did not significantly alter

A β deposition in untreated mice. There were no significant differences in total extractable A β levels or overall histochemical loads, at any time, between the APP/IL-1 R1^{-/-} mice and APP/IL-1 R1^{+/-} littermates compared to wild




Figure 6

Representative pictures of Thioflavin-S-stained A β plaques (lightly stained areas) decorated with activated astrocytes immunostained with anti-GFAP (brown stain) in the neocortex of untreated **(A)** 9-month-old APP/IL-1 R1 $^{-/-}$ and **(B)** 15-month-old APP/IL-1 R1 $^{-/-}$; **(C)** 9-month-old APP/IL-1 R1 $^{+/-}$ and **(D)** 15-month-old APP/IL-1 R1 $^{+/-}$; **(E)** 9-month-old wild type Tg2576 mice (IL-1 R1 $^{+/+}$) and **(F)** 15-month-old wild type Tg2576 mice (IL-1 R1 $^{+/+}$). (A, B, C, D, E, F magnification = 400 \times).

type Tg2576 mice (IL-1 R1 $^{+/+}$). Curiously, in 2 of 7 15-month-old APP/IL-1 R1 $^{-/-}$ mice examined, an unusual pattern of A β plaque staining was noted, with an abun-

dance of diffuse immuno-reactive A β plaques in the neocortex of these mice. It is not clear at this time whether this unusual pattern of diffuse A β deposits is due to the IL-1

R1-/- phenotype or some mouse background effect. We then examined the effects of IL-1 R1 knockout on the state of microglial activation and astrogliosis surrounding amyloid plaques deposits. For microglial staining, we used two well characterized markers for microglial activation, anti-mouse CD45 and Iba1, and for activated astrocytes we used anti-GFAP staining. Our results show that there were abundant numbers of CD45 and Iba1 immunoreactive microglia present, surrounding A β plaques in APP/IL-1 R1-/-, APP/IL-1 R1+/- and wild type Tg2576 mice (IL-1 R1+/+), with no significant differences in the immunoreactivity of staining using these markers. Similarly, robust GFAP staining was seen in all three groups of mice analyzed, with no significant differences seen in the GFAP immunoreactivity comparing all three groups of mice.

Based on our immuno-staining analysis, we were not able to ascertain whether abrogated IL-1 signaling in the IL-1 R1-/- mice blunted the inflammatory microglial response or astrogliosis in the region of deposited A β plaques. Previous experiments in IL-1 R1-/- mice have shown abrogated IL-1-mediated responses following acute inflammatory stimuli. In a stab wound model of injury in the brain, IL-1R1-/- mice had fewer amoeboid microglia/macrophages near the sites of injury, mildly abrogated astrogliosis and reduced expression of cytokines induced by IL-1 expression [42]. In another report, IL-1 R1-/- mice had reduced IL-6 and E-selectin expression, and reduced IL-1-induced fever and acute phase responses to turpentine [41]. However, IL-1 R1-/- mice do not differ from control mice in their responses to either a lethal challenge with D-galactosamine plus LPS or high dose LPS [40], indicating that IL-1 R1 signaling functions may not be necessary for the response to LPS. Thus, it is possible that the chronic nature of the microglial response during the course of amyloid deposition may abrogate any acute or subtle signaling events mediated through the IL-1 R1 receptor. Certainly, it is possible that other receptors for IL-1 may compensate for the lack for IL-1 R1 in this situation. Besides the IL-1 R1 and IL-1 RII receptors, the recently reported P2X7 receptor has also been implicated to be a key player in IL-1 signaling [53] and could compensate for the lack of IL-1R1 in IL-1 mediated signaling events. Alternatively, the microglial response to deposited A β may not require signaling through the IL-1 R1 receptor. The LPS receptor (CD14) [54,55], the scavenger receptor complex (CD36) [56] and toll-like receptors (TLR-2, TLR-4) [57] can directly activate microglia in response to amyloid deposition, possibly circumventing any IL-1 R1-mediated signaling events in the IL-1 R1-/- mice.

Like our previous studies, these studies suggest that microglial activation is not required for immunization to work in Tg2576 mice, although this should not be viewed as definitive. As indicated above, in the IL-1 R1-/- mice,

microgliosis and astrogliosis are mildly abrogated at best and do not result in microglial paralysis. Thus experiments using recently developed CD11b-HSVTK mice, developed by Aguzzi and colleagues [58], that enable selective killing of microglia cells may provide more definitive results.

Competing interests

The author(s) declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

PD conceived the design of the study, performed experimental analysis and data interpretation and prepared the manuscript. LAS bred and maintained the IL-1 R1-/- mice, performed immunizations, harvested tissues, performed CD45 staining. RWP performed the A β ELISA. VMH performed the image quantification and immunohistology. YL performed A β plasma ELISA and aided in the preparation of the manuscript. PC performed Iba1 immunostaining and APP western blotting. TEG conceived the design of the study, aided in the preparation of the manuscript, and provided critical analysis of the manuscript.

Acknowledgements

These studies were funded by the NIH/National Institute on Aging (grant AG18454, to T.E. Golde). Additional resources from the Mayo Foundation, made possible by a gift from Robert and Clarice Smith, were used to support the Tg2576 mouse colony that provided the mice used in these studies. P. Das and Y. Levites were supported by a Robert and Clarice Smith Fellowship. We would like to thank Linda Rousseau, Virginia Phillips, and Monica Castanedes-Casey for technical assistance.

References

- Schenk D, Barbour R, Dunn W, Gordon G, Grajeda H, Guido T, Hu K, Huang J, Johnson-Wood K, Khan K, Kholodenko D, Lee M, Liao Z, Lieberburg I, Motter R, Mutter L, Soriano F, Shopp G, Vasquez N, Vandeventer C, Walker S, Wogulis M, Yednock T, Games D, Seubert P: **Immunization with amyloid-beta attenuates Alzheimer-disease-like pathology in the PDAPP mouse [see comments]**. *Nature* 1999, **400(6740)**:173-177.
- Bard F, Cannon C, Barbour R, Burke RL, Games D, Grajeda H, Guido T, Hu K, Huang J, Johnson-Wood K, Khan K, Kholodenko D, Lee M, Lieberburg I, Motter R, Nguyen M, Soriano F, Vasquez N, Weiss K, Welch B, Seubert P, Schenk D, Yednock T: **Peripherally administered antibodies against amyloid beta-peptide enter the central nervous system and reduce pathology in a mouse model of Alzheimer disease**. *Nat Med* 2000, **6(8)**:916-919.
- DeMattos RB, Bales KR, Cummins DJ, Dodart JC, Paul SM, Holtzman DM: **Peripheral anti-A beta antibody alters CNS and plasma A beta clearance and decreases brain A beta burden in a mouse model of Alzheimer's disease**. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2001, **98(15)**:8850-8855.
- Dodart JC, Bales KR, Gannon KS, Greene SJ, DeMattos RB, Mathis C, DeLong CA, Wu X, Wu X, Holtzman DM, Paul SM: **Immunization reverses memory deficits without reducing brain Abeta burden in Alzheimer's disease model**. *Nat Neurosci* 2002, **5(5)**:452-457.
- Brendza RP, Bacskai BJ, Cirrito JR, Simmons KA, Skoch JM, Klunk WE, Mathis CA, Bales KR, Paul SM, Hyman BT, Holtzman DM: **Anti-Abeta antibody treatment promotes the rapid recovery of amyloid-associated neuritic dystrophy in PDAPP transgenic mice**. *J Clin Invest* 2005, **115(2)**:428-433.

6. Pfeifer M, Boncristiano S, Bondolfi L, Stalder A, Deller T, Staufenbiel M, Mathews PM, Jucker M: **Cerebral hemorrhage after passive anti-Abeta immunotherapy.** *Science* 2002, **298(5597)**:1379.
7. Racke MM, Boone LI, Hepburn DL, Parsadainian M, Bryan MT, Ness DK, Pirooz KS, Jordan WH, Brown DD, Hoffman WP, Holtzman DM, Bales KR, Gitter BD, May PC, Paul SM, DeMattos RB: **Exacerbation of cerebral amyloid angiopathy-associated microhemorrhage in amyloid precursor protein transgenic mice by immunotherapy is dependent on antibody recognition of deposited forms of amyloid beta.** *J Neurosci* 2005, **25(3)**:629-636.
8. Wilcock DM, Rojiani A, Rosenthal A, Subbarao S, Freeman MJ, Gordon MN, Morgan D: **Passive immunotherapy against Abeta in aged APP-transgenic mice reverses cognitive deficits and depletes parenchymal amyloid deposits in spite of increased vascular amyloid and microhemorrhage.** *J Neuroinflammation* 2004, **1(1)**:24.
9. Gilman S, Koller M, Black RS, Jenkins L, Griffith SG, Fox NC, Eisner L, Kirby L, Boada Rovira M, Forette F, Orgogozo JM: **Clinical effects of A{beta} immunization (AN1792) in patients with AD in an interrupted trial.** *Neurology* 2005.
10. Orgogozo JM, Gilman S, Dartigues JF, Laurent B, Puel M, Kirby LC, Jouanny P, Dubois B, Eisner L, Flitman S, Michel BF, Boada M, Frank A, Hock C: **Subacute meningoencephalitis in a subset of patients with AD after Abeta42 immunization.** *Neurology* 2003, **61(1)**:46-54.
11. Nicoll JA, Wilkinson D, Holmes C, Steart P, Markham H, Weller RO: **Neuropathology of human Alzheimer disease after immunization with amyloid-beta peptide: a case report.** *Nat Med* 2003, **9(4)**:448-452.
12. Hock C, Konietzko U, Streffer JR, Tracy J, Signorell A, Muller-Tillmanns B, Lemke U, Henke K, Moritz E, Garcia E, Wollmer MA, Umricht D, de Quervain DJ, Hofmann M, Maddalena A, Papassotiropoulos A, Nitsch RM: **Antibodies against beta-amyloid slow cognitive decline in Alzheimer's disease.** *Neuron* 2003, **38(4)**:547-554.
13. Dodel RC, Du Y, Depboylu C, Hampel H, Frolich L, Haag A, Hemmeter U, Paulsen S, Teipel SJ, Bretschneider S, Spottke A, Nolker C, Moller HJ, Wei X, Farlow M, Sommer N, Oertel WH: **Intravenous immunoglobulins containing antibodies against beta-amyloid for the treatment of Alzheimer's disease.** *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2004, **75(10)**:1472-1474.
14. Schenk D: **Opinion: Amyloid-beta immunotherapy for Alzheimer's disease: the end of the beginning.** *Nat Rev Neurosci* 2002, **3(10)**:824-828.
15. Das P, Golde TE: **Open peer commentary regarding Abeta immunization and CNS inflammation by Pasinetti et al.** *Neurobiol Aging* 2002, **23(5)**:671.
16. Bard F, Barbour R, Cannon C, Carretto R, Fox M, Games D, Guido T, Hoenow K, Hu K, Johnson-Wood K, Khan K, Kholodenko D, Lee C, Lee M, Motter R, Nguyen M, Reed A, Schenk D, Tang P, Vasquez N, Seubert P, Yednock T: **Epitope and isotype specificities of antibodies to beta -amyloid peptide for protection against Alzheimer's disease-like neuropathology.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2003, **100(4)**:2023-2028.
17. Das P, Murphy MP, Younkin LH, Younkin SG, Golde TE: **Reduced effectiveness of Abeta1-42 immunization in APP transgenic mice with significant amyloid deposition.** *Neurobiol Aging* 2001, **22(5)**:721-727.
18. Levites Y, Das P, Price RW, Rochette MJ, Kostura LA, McGowan EM, Murphy MP, Golde TE: **Anti-Abeta42- and anti-Abeta40-specific mAbs attenuate amyloid deposition in an Alzheimer disease mouse model.** *J Clin Invest* 2006, **116(1)**:193-201.
19. DeMattos RB, Bales KR, Cummins DJ, Paul SM, Holtzman DM: **Brain to plasma amyloid-beta efflux: a measure of brain amyloid burden in a mouse model of Alzheimer's disease.** *Science* 2002, **295(5563)**:2264-2267.
20. Oddo S, Caccamo A, Smith IF, Green KN, LaFerla FM: **A dynamic relationship between intracellular and extracellular pools of Abeta.** *Am J Pathol* 2006, **168(1)**:184-194.
21. Wilcock DM, DiCarlo G, Henderson D, Jackson J, Clarke K, Ugen KE, Gordon MN, Morgan D: **Intracranially administered anti-Abeta antibodies reduce beta-amyloid deposition by mechanisms both independent of and associated with microglial activation.** *J Neurosci* 2003, **23(9)**:3745-3751.
22. Wilcock DM, Gordon MN, Ugen KE, Gottschall PE, DiCarlo G, Dickey C, Boyett KW, Jantzen PT, Connor KE, Melachrinou J, Hardy J, Morgan D: **Number of Abeta inoculations in APP+PS1 transgenic mice influences antibody titers, microglial activation, and congophilic plaque levels.** *DNA Cell Biol* 2001, **20(11)**:731-736.
23. Das P, Howard V, Loosbrock N, Dickson D, Murphy MP, Golde TE: **Amyloid-beta immunization effectively reduces amyloid deposition in FcRgamma-/- knock-out mice.** *J Neurosci* 2003, **23(24)**:8532-8538.
24. Masliah E, Hansen L, Adame A, Crews L, Bard F, Lee C, Seubert P, Games D, Kirby L, Schenk D: **Abeta vaccination effects on plaque pathology in the absence of encephalitis in Alzheimer disease.** *Neurology* 2005, **64(1)**:129-131.
25. Dinarello CA: **The IL-1 family and inflammatory diseases.** *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2002, **20(5 Suppl 27)**:S1-13.
26. Greenfeder SA, Nunes P, Kwee L, Labow M, Chizzonite RA, Ju G: **Molecular cloning and characterization of a second subunit of the interleukin 1 receptor complex.** *J Biol Chem* 1995, **270(23)**:13757-13765.
27. Colotta F, Re F, Muzio M, Bertini R, Polentarutti N, Sironi M, Giri JG, Dower SK, Sims JE, Mantovani A: **Interleukin-1 type II receptor: a decoy target for IL-1 that is regulated by IL-4.** *Science* 1993, **261(5120)**:472-475.
28. Sheng JG, Mrak RE, Griffin WS: **Microglial interleukin-1 alpha expression in brain regions in Alzheimer's disease: correlation with neuritic plaque distribution.** *Neuropathol Appl Neurobiol* 1995, **21(4)**:290-301.
29. Sheng JG, Ito K, Skinner RD, Mrak RE, Rovnaghi CR, Van Eldik LJ, Griffin WS: **In vivo and in vitro evidence supporting a role for the inflammatory cytokine interleukin-1 as a driving force in Alzheimer pathogenesis.** *Neurobiol Aging* 1996, **17(5)**:761-766.
30. Mrak RE, Griffin WS: **Potential inflammatory biomarkers in Alzheimer's disease.** *J Alzheimers Dis* 2005, **8(4)**:369-375.
31. Mrak RE, Griffin WS: **Interleukin-1, neuroinflammation, and Alzheimer's disease.** *Neurobiol Aging* 2001, **22(6)**:903-908.
32. Nicoll JA, Mrak RE, Graham DI, Stewart J, Wilcock G, MacGowan S, Esiri MM, Murray LS, Dewar D, Love S, Moss T, Griffin WS: **Association of interleukin-1 gene polymorphisms with Alzheimer's disease [see comments].** *Ann Neurol* 2000, **47(3)**:365-368.
33. Du Y, Dodel RC, Eastwood BJ, Bales KR, Gao F, Lohmuller F, Muller U, Kurz A, Zimmer R, Evans RM, Hake A, Gasser T, Oertel WH, Griffin WS, Paul SM, Farlow MR: **Association of an interleukin 1 alpha polymorphism with Alzheimer's disease [see comments].** *Neurology* 2000, **55(4)**:480-483.
34. Griffin WS, Mrak RE: **Interleukin-1 in the genesis and progression of and risk for development of neuronal degeneration in Alzheimer's disease.** *J Leukoc Biol* 2002, **72(2)**:233-238.
35. Griffin WS, Liu L, Li Y, Mrak RE, Barger SW: **Interleukin-1 mediates Alzheimer and Lewy body pathologies.** *J Neuroinflammation* 2006, **3**:5.
36. Benzing WC, Wujek JR, Ward EK, Shaffer D, Ashe KH, Younkin SG, Brunden KR: **Evidence for glial-mediated inflammation in aged APP(SW) transgenic mice.** *Neurobiol Aging* 1999, **20(6)**:581-589.
37. Mehlhorn G, Hollborn M, Schliebs R: **Induction of cytokines in glial cells surrounding cortical beta-amyloid plaques in transgenic Tg2576 mice with Alzheimer pathology.** *Int J Dev Neurosci* 2000, **18(4-5)**:423-431.
38. Dinarello CA: **The role of the interleukin-1-receptor antagonist in blocking inflammation mediated by interleukin-1.** *N Engl J Med* 2000, **343(10)**:732-734.
39. Craft JM, Watterson DM, Hirsch E, Van Eldik LJ: **Interleukin 1 receptor antagonist knockout mice show enhanced microglial activation and neuronal damage induced by intracerebroventricular infusion of human beta-amyloid.** *J Neuroinflammation* 2005, **2**:15.
40. Glaccum MB, Stocking KL, Charrier K, Smith JL, Willis CR, Maliszewski C, Livingston DJ, Peschon JJ, Morrissey PJ: **Phenotypic and functional characterization of mice that lack the type I receptor for IL-1.** *J Immunol* 1997, **159(7)**:3364-3371.
41. Labow M, Shuster D, Zetterstrom M, Nunes P, Terry R, Cullinan EB, Bartfai T, Solorzano C, Moldawer LL, Chizzonite R, McIntyre KW: **Absence of IL-1 signaling and reduced inflammatory response in IL-1 type I receptor-deficient mice.** *J Immunol* 1997, **159(5)**:2452-2461.

42. Basu A, Krady JK, O'Malley M, Styren SD, DeKosky ST, Levison SW: **The type I interleukin-1 receptor is essential for the efficient activation of microglia and the induction of multiple proinflammatory mediators in response to brain injury.** *J Neurosci* 2002, **22(14)**:6071-6082.
43. Hsiao K, Chapman P, Nilsen S, Eckman C, Harigaya Y, Younkin S, Yang F, Cole G: **Correlative memory deficits, Abeta elevation, and amyloid plaques in transgenic mice [see comments].** *Science* 1996, **274(5284)**:99-102.
44. Morgan D, Gordon MN, Tan J, Wilcock D, Rojiani AM: **Dynamic complexity of the microglial activation response in transgenic models of amyloid deposition: implications for Alzheimer therapeutics.** *J Neuropathol Exp Neurol* 2005, **64(9)**:743-753.
45. Imai Y, Iyata I, Ito D, Ohsawa K, Kohsaka S: **A novel gene *Iba1* in the major histocompatibility complex class III region encoding an EF hand protein expressed in a monocytic lineage.** *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 1996, **224(3)**:855-862.
46. Bacskai BJ, Kajdasz ST, McLellan ME, Games D, Seubert P, Schenk D, Hyman BT: **Non-Fc-mediated mechanisms are involved in clearance of amyloid-beta in vivo by immunotherapy.** *J Neurosci* 2002, **22(18)**:7873-7878.
47. Akiyama H, McGeer PL: **Specificity of mechanisms for plaque removal after A beta immunotherapy for Alzheimer disease.** *Nat Med* 2004, **10(2)**:117-8; author reply 118-9.
48. Frenkel D, Maron R, Burt DS, Weiner HL: **Nasal vaccination with a proteosome-based adjuvant and glatiramer acetate clears beta-amyloid in a mouse model of Alzheimer disease.** *J Clin Invest* 2005, **115(9)**:2423-2433.
49. Monsonego A, Imitola J, Petrovic S, Zota V, Nemirovsky A, Baron R, Fisher Y, Owens T, Weiner HL: **Abeta-induced meningoencephalitis is IFN-gamma-dependent and is associated with T cell-dependent clearance of Abeta in a mouse model of Alzheimer's disease.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2006, **103(13)**:5048-5053.
50. DiCarlo G, Wilcock D, Henderson D, Gordon M, Morgan D: **Intrahippocampal LPS injections reduce Abeta load in APP+PS1 transgenic mice.** *Neurobiol Aging* 2001, **22(6)**:1007-1012.
51. Wyss-Coray T, Lin C, Yan F, Yu GQ, Rohde M, McConlogue L, Masliah E, Mucke L: **TGF-beta1 promotes microglial amyloid-beta clearance and reduces plaque burden in transgenic mice.** *Nat Med* 2001, **7(5)**:612-618.
52. Zhou J, Fonseca MI, Kaye R, Hernandez I, Webster SD, Yazan O, Cribbs DH, Glabe CG, Tenner AJ: **Novel Abeta peptide immunogens modulate plaque pathology and inflammation in a murine model of Alzheimer's disease.** *J Neuroinflammation* 2005, **2**:28.
53. Ferrari D, Pizzirani C, Adinolfi E, Lemoli RM, Curti A, Idzko M, Panther E, Di Virgilio F: **The P2X7 receptor: a key player in IL-1 processing and release.** *J Immunol* 2006, **176(7)**:3877-3883.
54. Liu Y, Walter S, Stagi M, Cherny D, Letiembre M, Schulz-Schaeffer W, Heine H, Penke B, Neumann H, Fassbender K: **LPS receptor (CD14): a receptor for phagocytosis of Alzheimer's amyloid peptide.** *Brain* 2005, **128(Pt 8)**:1778-1789.
55. Fassbender K, Walter S, Kuhl S, Landmann R, Ishii K, Bertsch T, Stalder AK, Muehlhauser F, Liu Y, Ulmer AJ, Rivest S, Lentsch A, Gulbins E, Jucker M, Staufenbiel M, Brechtel K, Walter J, Multhaup G, Penke B, Adachi Y, Hartmann T, Beyreuther K: **The LPS receptor (CD14) links innate immunity with Alzheimer's disease.** *Faseb J* 2004, **18(1)**:203-205.
56. Koenigsnecht J, Landreth G: **Microglial phagocytosis of fibrillar beta-amyloid through a beta1 integrin-dependent mechanism.** *J Neurosci* 2004, **24(44)**:9838-9846.
57. Chen K, Iribarren P, Hu J, Chen J, Gong W, Cho EH, Lockett S, Dunlop NM, Wang JM: **Activation of Toll-like receptor 2 on microglia promotes cell uptake of Alzheimer disease-associated amyloid beta peptide.** *J Biol Chem* 2006, **281(6)**:3651-3659.
58. Heppner FL, Greter M, Marino D, Falsig J, Raivich G, Hovelmeyer N, Waisman A, Rulicke T, Prinz M, Priller J, Becher B, Aguzzi A: **Experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis repressed by microglial paralysis.** *Nat Med* 2005, **11(2)**:146-152.

Publish with **BioMed Central** and every scientist can read your work free of charge

"BioMed Central will be the most significant development for disseminating the results of biomedical research in our lifetime."

Sir Paul Nurse, Cancer Research UK

Your research papers will be:

- available free of charge to the entire biomedical community
- peer reviewed and published immediately upon acceptance
- cited in PubMed and archived on PubMed Central
- yours — you keep the copyright

Submit your manuscript here:
http://www.biomedcentral.com/info/publishing_adv.asp

