Case of reactive sacroiliitis possibly induced by an mRNA coronavirus disease vaccine

Nicolas Roux (1),¹ Sophie Poussing,² François Maurier (1),³

SUMMARY

UNEOS Hôpitaux Privés de Metz, Metz-Vantoux, Lorraine, France ²Biological Laboratory, UNEOS Hopitaux Prives de Metz, Metz, Lorraine, France ³Internal Medicine and Clinical Immunology Department, UNEOS Hopitaux Prives de Metz, Metz-Vantoux, Lorraine, France

¹Rheumatology Department,

Correspondence to Dr François Maurier; francois.maurier@uneos.fr

Accepted 30 June 2022

Check for updates

© BMJ Publishing Group Limited 2022. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

To cite: Roux N, Poussing S, Maurier F. *BMJ Case Rep* 2022;**15**:e249063. doi:10.1136/bcr-2022-249063 A woman in her 30s received a second dose, first booster, Corminaty vaccine against the SARS-CoV-2. Three days later, the patient developed unilateral sacroiliitis. A pelvic scan revealed inflammatory joint edges, bone erosion and a heterogeneous mass of 2.5 cm in the psoas muscle. Joint puncture revealed no microcrystalline deposits, but bone marrow cells, erythroblast were identified. The standard bacterial cultures and culture for mycobacteria were negative. HLA B27 was negative, and no seroconversion was identified for HIV. Epstein-Barr virus, cytomegalovirus, chlamydia or Ouantiferon. Two months later, the sacroiliitis resolved. The aetiologic approach of this erosive unilateral acute sacroiliitis in a person naïve to rheumatologic pathology was negative for inflammatory or infectious sacroiliitis. Arthralgias after vaccination are expected. Arthritis is less common, and acute sacroiliitis has not yet been described. Acute sacroiliitis may be considered a reactive sacroiliitis to the anti-COVID-19 mRNA vaccine.

BACKGROUND

In view of the COVID-19 pandemic, multiple vaccines for the prevention of COVID-19 were developed and tested. Some rapidly acting vaccines were also available. The first favourable results of phase three vaccine trials were published in November 2020.¹

The vaccines were tested on variable populations, including differing age groups (>75 years, 55 years, 18–55 years old, 12–18 years, 5–12 years) and pregnant individuals.^{2–5} The vaccines' immunogenicity, efficacy and tolerance were accessed, and the balance benefit risk was evaluated for each vaccine, which was commercialised when this balance proved favourable.

A survey of the pharmacovigilance of each vaccine was initiated. Few side effects were declared considering the magnitude of global vaccination. As with any vaccine, the minor side effects included local pain, myalgia, nausea, headache, fatigue, tinnitus, fever, focal adenopathy and arthralgias, while the major side effects included venous thrombosis, thrombocytopenia autoimmune, thrombosis thrombocytopenic, myocarditis, pleurisy, pericarditis, Guillain-Barré syndrome and arthritis. Each side effect was also classified as very frequent, frequent, uncommon, rare or undetermined. Some dispositions were established according to the results of a survey on side effects and immunogenicity.⁶⁻¹² We herein describe a novel case of sacroiliitis that was possibly induced by an mRNA vaccine and that was completely

reversible without any sequelae: a reactive arthritis to a vaccine against COVID-19.

CASE PRESENTATION

A woman in her 30s received a Corminaty vaccination against SARS-CoV-2, including, 3 weeks later, a second dose, and first booster. Three days after her first booster, she experienced acute pain in the right buttock when she attempted to stand from a chair at work. She was unable to stand and was confined to bed. The patient had no medical history. The patient was first considered to have truncated sciatica. No significant lumbar pathology was observed on MRI. The inflammatory biological parameters showed slightly elevated C reactive protein at 70 mg/L. Corticoids and level 2 painkillers (prednisone 1 mg/kg/day postoperative and tramadol 100 mg two times postoperative, respectively) were prescribed but did not improve the patient's condition. A second consultation with a rheumatologist evocated right sacroiliitis, and the patient was directed to a tertiary rheumatologist centre, where she was hospitalised for 11 days. A body scan identified slight pleuropericarditis corresponding to the crackles auscultated clinically. Sacroiliitis was confirmed on a scan revealing inflammatory joint edges and a heterogeneous mass of 2.5 cm in the right psoas muscle (figure 1). Bone erosion was identified, explaining the collection by extravasation of the medullary tissue (figure 2). A joint puncture was performed (figure 3), which showed no microcrystalline deposits, but there were bone marrow cells as erythroblast identified. Standard bacterial cultures and culture for mycobacteria were negative. Hemocultures were sterile. C reactive protein 5.5 mg/L, renal and hepatic parameters were normal. HLA B27 was negative, and no seroconversion was identified for the HIV, Epstein-Barr virus, cytomegalovirus, chlamydia or Quantiferon (figure 4). Rest, a level 1 painkiller (paracetamol 1g by mouth three times daily) use, and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug (ketoprofene 100 mg two times a day for 5 days) were sufficient to relieve pain. Two months later, the collection completely disappeared, and the osteitis was rebuilt identically. The sacroiliitis resolved, and the patient recovered and returned to work (figure 5).

The aetiologic approach for acute sacroiliitis in a person naïve to rheumatologic pathology was negative for inflammatory or infectious sacroiliitis. Arthralgias after vaccination often occur as secondary side effects. Arthritis is less common but is well described in any joint. However, acute sacroiliitis is uncommon. A temporal chronology is



Figure 1 MRI T2 fat saturated: right anterosuperior sacroiliitis with iliac muscle abscess.

not a strong enough argument for an incriminate vaccine, but the negative aetiologic approach associated may be a strong argument for a possible interaction between the vaccine and the acute sacroiliitis. Seric disease in an mRNA vaccine against SARS-CoV-2 infection was not considered in this case.

INVESTIGATIONS

A pelvic scan and MRI were first performed on the dorsolumbar spine for severe pain in the right buttock without relevant abnormalities.

MRI of the sacroiliac joint demonstrated erosive anterosuperior sacroiliitis with an iliac muscle abscess.

A puncture under scan was performed to determine the nature of the synovial fluid of the sacroiliac joint.

DIFFERENTIAL DIAGNOSIS

An initial diagnosis of truncated sciatica led to erratic treatment and a delay in medical care. Notwithstanding treatment with corticoids for 15 days, systemic manifestations, such as pleuropericarditis, were associated with unilateral sacroiliitis.

The articular puncture disclosed sterile liquid and medullary cells without microcrystalline deposits. Extravasation of the marrow fluid was challenging, explained by a rupture of the cortical bone, as seen on the scan. The aetiologic search for unilateral anterosuperior sacroiliitis excluded a diagnosis with an inflammatory, infectious or autoimmune root cause.



Figure 3 Right sacroiliac puncture.

The possibility of a seric reaction or a viscerotropic disease secondary to the mRNA anti-COVID-19 vaccine was rejected based on clinical and biological results.

Non-specific treatments, such as puncture, rest, level 1 painkiller use and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs for a week provided quasi-spontaneous relief of the disease. The restitutio ad integrum of the sacroiliac joint was the last argument for the diagnosis of sacroiliitis.

The triggering factor for reactive arthritis may reasonably be vaccination.

TREATMENT

Initially, the patient was treated for neuralgia sciatica with rest, corticotherapy and level 2 painkillers without efficacy. Later, level 2 painkillers and corticotherapy were discontinued. After puncture, which may relieve local pressure on the joint and/or collection, the anti-inflammatory non-steroid drug ibuprofen was used for 1 week and level 1 painkillers were progressively reduced and then terminated.

No further treatment was provided until restitutio ad integrum of the sacroiliac joint.

OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP

The first line of treatment, corticoids and level 2 painkillers, did not improve the patient's condition. Puncture, rest and level 1



Figure 2 Erosive anterosuperior sacroiliitis with iliac muscle abscess.



Figure 4 Bone marrow cells (erythroblast).



Figure 5 Reappearance of sacroiliac cortex and disappearance of right iliac muscle abscess.

painkiller-use (non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs) for 7 days were sufficient to relieve pain and return autonomy. Two months later, the collection completely disappeared, and partial osteitis was rebuilt identically. Inflammation of the sacroiliac joint was resolved.

DISCUSSION

We describe a new case of reactive arthritis presenting in a unilateral sacroiliac joint, which was plausibly induced by an mRNA vaccine against COVID-19. Imputability was evaluated using the method by Bégaud. The imputability scores demonstrate the plausibility of an association between the disease and the vaccine. The rechallenge (the third anti-COVID-19 vaccination by Moderna) was prescribed but not done and no reinjection limited the score of imputability.

The COVID-19 pandemic did not induce many rheumatologic symptoms. Myalgias and arthralgias have been well described during the invasive period. Reactive arthritis is defined as aseptic arthritis occurring after infection, at a site distant from the infection. Arthritis attributable to the SARS-CoV-2 infection is rare but perhaps hidden by the fear of a new pandemic disease without recommendations, his major and specific health problem with severe pneumonia, the complex care in intensive care and the high mortality rate.¹³ Only two cases of sacroilitis have been reported that were supposedly induced by the COVID-19 infection.¹⁴

In addition to severe acute respiratory syndrome, some flares of chronic rheumatic diseases have been attributed to COVID-19.¹⁵ Rheumatologists have made extensive efforts regarding recommendations for the use of disease-modifying antirheumatic diseases and biologic treatments, fearing the impact of modulating immunity with the widespread use of these drugs in rheumatology. The same oversight was implemented through the use of COVID-19 Vaccines Global Access (COVAX) to evaluate the impact of treatment developed to thwart coronavirus: vaccines, monoclonal antibodies and drugs.¹⁶ Furthermore, side effects of vaccines against COVID-19 were monitored closely without deleterious severe side effects.

The key strengths of this case report were the exhaustive overview of the antero-superior erosive sacroiliitis and the follow-up. The imputability of the damage was high, but not definitive. Arthralgias are common but occur as minor side effects of any anti-COVID-19 vaccine (BNT162b2 Corminaty, mRNA-1273 Spikevax, ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 COVID-19 Vaxzevria, Ad26COV2.S JMJ vaccine and NVX-CoV2373 COVID-19).⁶⁻¹²

Arthritis was described following Vaxzevria, Corona Vac and Sputnik-V, which was sometimes severe; however, each case was resolved without sequelae.^{17–19}

The shortcomings of the case study were the absence of an analysis for the SARS-CoV-2 virus in the liquid obtained by puncture of the sacroiliac joint and the absence of histology in this identical joint. However, cytology was normal. Moreover, the delay in current care for the patient in the first hospital, and the identification of inflammation of the sacroiliac joint in the second hospital was over 3 weeks in a patient treated with corticosteroids without benefits. This treatment may disrupt the aetiological process of acute erosive anterosuperior sacroiliitis.

However, systemic manifestations, such as pleuropericarditis, may be integrated into reactive manifestations secondary to vaccines or adjuvants. A seric reaction is formally different, showing no fever, no renal manifestations, a short delay between vaccination and clinical symptoms and no response to corticosteroids. No intercurrent disease was identified through an exhaustive search.

In conclusion, temporal association does not imply causation, and the risk of severe arthritis is very low after a mass vaccination with mRNA vaccines against COVID-19. However, a reactive arthritis on the sacroiliac joint, secondary to vaccine against COVID-19, may be a new aetiology of unilateral sacroiliitis.

Patient's perspective

Pains appeared 3 days after vaccination. At the end of afternoon, I was not anymore, able to walk or dress.

During my stay in hospital, where visits were scary and short, I could not see my young daughter, old of 16 months; the pains were stronger than pains of childbirth contractions and it was difficult to manage stress in the absence of visibility of events.

Back to home, resources were put in place to be able to take care of my daughter. But facing the loss of autonomy, I tried to keep in touch with my daughter who did not understand the situation.

Along weeks and medical examinations, mobility came back slowly. My daughter has adapted herself to this situation. It was a period difficult for me, as a mother not to be able to perform simple gestures, carry, put in bed my child alone...

Today, despite some pain, I have a normal life, taking full advantage of time with my family.

Learning points

- Reactive arthritis may follow any vaccination.
- A temporal chronological sequence between vaccination and sacroiliitis is not a sufficient argument for causality.
- Suspected guilty antigen reintroduction is a valuable argument for imputability of side effects but may be dangerous, painful and the patient may be reluctant to reintroduction test.

Acknowledgements Madame Catherine Roth Attachée de Recherche Clinique.

Contributors All the authors and co-authors participated to the care of the patient. All analysed and interpreted data, wrote the paper equally to the final approval of the version proposed to BMJ Case Report. NR specifically worked on the radiological images, SP particularly worked on biological data and FM worked on conception, design, analysis and interpretation of data for the draft.

Funding The authors have not declared a specific grant for this research from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent for publication Consent obtained directly from patient(s)

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Case reports provide a valuable learning resource for the scientific community and can indicate areas of interest for future research. They should not be used in isolation to guide treatment choices or public health policy.

ORCID iDs

Nicolas Roux http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7719-1736 François Maurier http://orcid.org/0000-0002-3222-8566

REFERENCES

- 1 Keech C, Albert G, Cho I, *et al.* Phase 1-2 trial of a SARS-CoV-2 recombinant spike protein nanoparticle vaccine. *N Engl J Med* 2020;383:2320–32.
- 2 Anderson EJ, Rouphael NG, Widge AT, et al. Safety and immunogenicity of SARS-CoV-2 mRNA-1273 vaccine in older adults. N Engl J Med 2020;383:2427–38.
- 3 Jackson LA, Anderson EJ, Rouphael NG, et al. An mRNA vaccine against SARS-CoV-2 — preliminary report. N Engl J Med Overseas Ed 2020;383:1920–31.
- 4 Frenck RW, Klein NP, Kitchin N, et al. Safety, immunogenicity, and efficacy of the BNT162b2 Covid-19 vaccine in adolescents. N Engl J Med 2021;385:239–50.
- 5 Shimabukuro TT, Kim SY, Myers TR, *et al*. Preliminary findings of mRNA Covid-19 vaccine safety in pregnant persons. *N Engl J Med* 2021;384:2273–82.
- 6 Polack FP, Thomas SJ, Kitchin N, *et al*. Safety and efficacy of the BNT162b2 mRNA Covid-19 vaccine. *N Engl J Med* 2020;383:2603–15.
- 7 Barouch DH, Stephenson KE, Sadoff J, *et al*. Durable humoral and cellular immune responses 8 months after Ad26.COV2.S vaccination. *N Engl J Med* 2021;385:951–3.

- 8 Normark J, Vikström L, Gwon Y-D, et al. Heterologous ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 and mRNA-1273 vaccination. N Engl J Med Overseas Ed 2021;385:1049–51.
- 9 Barda N, Dagan N, Ben-Shlomo Y, et al. Safety of the BNT162b2 mRNA Covid-19 vaccine in a nationwide setting. N Engl J Med 2021;385:1078–90.
- Heath PT, Galiza EP, Baxter DN, et al. Safety and efficacy of NVX-CoV2373 Covid-19 vaccine. N Engl J Med 2021;385:1172–83.
- 11 Thompson MG, Stenehjem E, Grannis S, et al. Effectiveness of Covid-19 vaccines in ambulatory and inpatient care settings. N Engl J Med 2021;385:1355–71.
- 12 Thomas SJ, Moreira ED, Kitchin N, *et al.* Safety and efficacy of the BNT162b2 mRNA Covid-19 vaccine through 6 months. *N Engl J Med* 2021;385:1761–73.
- 13 Wendling D, Verhoeven F, Chouk M, et al. Can SARS-CoV-2 trigger reactive arthritis? *Joint Bone Spine* 2021;88:105086.
- 14 Colatutto D, Sonaglia A, Zabotti A, et al. Post-COVID-19 arthritis and sacroiliitis: natural history with longitudinal magnetic resonance imaging study in two cases and review of the literature. Viruses 2021;13:1558–72.
- 15 FAI2R /SFR/SNFMI/SOFREMIP/CRI/IMIDIATE consortium and contributors. Severity of COVID-19 and survival in patients with rheumatic and inflammatory diseases: data from the French RMD COVID-19 cohort of 694 patients. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2021;80:527–38.
- 16 Machado PM, Lawson-Tovey S, Strangfeld A, et al. Safety of vaccination against SARS-CoV-2 in people with rheumatic and musculoskeletal diseases: results from the EULAR coronavirus vaccine (COVAX) physician-reported registry. Ann Rheum Dis 2022;81:1–15.
- 17 Hyun H, Song JY, Seong H, et al. Polyarthralgia and myalgia syndrome after ChAdOx1 nCOV-19 vaccination. J Korean Med Sci 2021;36:e245.
- 18 QJ A, Qin DA, Pei JX. Reactive arthritis after COVID-19 vaccination. Hum Vaccin Immunotherapy 2021;17:2954–6.
- 19 Baimukhamedov C. Arthritis of the left elbow joint after vaccination against SARS-CoV-2 infection (Sputnik-V). Int J Rheum Dis 2021.

Copyright 2022 BMJ Publishing Group. All rights reserved. For permission to reuse any of this content visit https://www.bmj.com/company/products-services/rights-and-licensing/permissions/ BMJ Case Report Fellows may re-use this article for personal use and teaching without any further permission.

Become a Fellow of BMJ Case Reports today and you can:

- Submit as many cases as you like
- Enjoy fast sympathetic peer review and rapid publication of accepted articles
- Access all the published articles
- ▶ Re-use any of the published material for personal use and teaching without further permission

Customer Service

If you have any further queries about your subscription, please contact our customer services team on +44 (0) 207111 1105 or via email at support@bmj.com.

Visit casereports.bmj.com for more articles like this and to become a Fellow