Ovarian reserve parameters and response to controlled ovarian stimulation in infertile patients

Qurat ul Aman Siddiqui¹, Sagheera Anjum², Fatima Zahra³, Sarim Muhammad Yousuf⁴

ABSTRACT

Objective: To determine the ovarian reserve parameters in patients presenting for IVF and intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI) treatment and its association with the number of follicles retrieved and number of oocyte retrieved and fertilized.

Methods: A retrospective cross sectional study was conducted at Australian Concept Infertility Medical Centre from January 2017 to August 2017. Around 120 couples presenting to infertility clinics selected for IVF and ICSI with Females (25-45) had their FSH, AMH and AFC done. After ovulation induction, its response was determined by number of follicles retrieved, quality of oocytes retrieved or fertilized and inseminated. SPSS version 20 was used for the purpose of data analysis.

Results: The median age of the patients was 34 (29-38) years. A moderate negative correlation of age and FSH levels was observed with quality of oocytes, Number of oocyte inseminated, number of oocyte fertilized and number of follicle restored. However, a positive correlation of AMH and AFC levels were found with quality of oocytes, Number of oocyte inseminated, number of oocyte fertilized and number of follicle restored. The correlation of AMH levels with number of oocyte inseminated (rho 0.729, p-value <0.001), number of oocyte fertilized (rho 0.721, <0.001) and number of follicle restored (rho 0.723, p-value <0.001) were found strongly correlated.

Conclusion: Our study concluded that AMH and AFC have a strong correlation with number of follicles restored and number of oocytes retrieved whereas FSH and age has a weak correlation with the number of follicles restored and number of oocytes retrieved.

KEYWORDS: Ovarian reserve, AMH, AFC, FSH, Female age.

doi: https://doi.org/10.12669/pjms.35.4.753

Siddiqui QA, Anjum S, Zahra F, Yousuf SM. Ovarian reserve parameters and response to controlled ovarian stimulation in infertile patients. Pak J Med Sci. 2019;35(4):958-962. doi: https://doi.org/10.12669/pjms.35.4.753

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

 Dr. Qurat ul Aman Siddiqui, FCPS. Associate Professor, Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Liaquat National Hospital and Medical College, Karachi, Pakistan. Consultant in Australian Concept Infertility Medical Centre.
Dr. Sagheera Anium, FCPS

- Assistant Professor, Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, 3. Dr. Fatima Zahra, FCPS
- Assistant Professor, Department of General Medicine,
- Sarim Muhammad Yousuf, Ziauddin Medical University (3rd Year MBBS),
- 2, 3: Liaquat College of Medicine & Dentistry and Darul Sehat Hospital, Karachi Pakistan.

Correspondence:

How to cite this:

Dr. Qurat ul Aman Siddiqui, FCPS (Ob/Gyn). E-mail: qurat_kamal@hotmail.com

9
1

INTRODUCTION

Ovarian reserve is a measurement of the ovarian follicular pool¹ and determines the capacity of the ovary to produce oocytes that are capable of fertilization. Age is one of an important factor for ovarian reserve quality and quantity determination.¹ Follicular stimulating hormone (FSH) is the test widely used for ovarian reserve.² Serum Antimullerian hormone (AMH) is also a reliable marker that reflects the acyclical ovarian activity. This marker is operator independent and there is strong correlation in predicting ovarian reserve,³ it is a glycoprotein secreted by the granulosa cells of the developing ovarian follicles. It is a serum marker for the measurement of ovarian reserve and can be done on any day of the menstrual cycle.⁴ The antral follicle count (AFC) is done via transvaginal ultrasound (TVS) to measure ovarian reserve and is done on the 3rd day of menstrual cycle.⁵ An antral follicle count (AFC) of less than 3 to 7 indicates a decrease in the ovarian reserve and subsequently poor ovarian response in in vitro fertilization (IVF) cycles.⁶ Day 3 FSH is a marker of hypothalamic pituitary ovarian axis with increasing age the amount of FSH increase due to poor response by the ovary therefore increasing FSH reflects diminished ovarian reserve.⁷ Assessment of women with poor ovarian reserve before entering an IVF program may help to direct the management of the patient with regards to chances of success to treatment.⁸

Therefore, the objective of this study was to determine the ovarian reserve parameters in patients presenting for IVF and intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI) treatment and to find out the association of ovarian reserve parameters with the number of follicles retrieved, number of oocyte retrieved and number of oocytes fertilized.

METHODS

A retrospective cross sectional study was conducted at Australian Concept Infertility Medical

Centre from January 2017 to August 2017. Institutional ethical approval was obtained (IRB: CMC/ RES/158/2017) and written informed consent was taken from the couples involved in this study. Around 120 couples presenting to infertility clinics and selected for IVF and ICSI treatments were included. Female partners aged between 25 -45 years having regular menstrual cycles and normal levels of TSH, prolactin and testosterone levels were included in the study. Patients having normal ultrasound pelvis and proven tubal patency by laparoscopy were also included. All the couples having female partners with a history of surgical treatment on the ovary for ovarian cysts, endometriosis, any pelvic surgery, or using any hormonal treatments for last three months were excluded from this study.

All data was collected on a proforma by medical professionals after taking informed consent from the participants. All patients before undergoing IVF cycle had detailed history taking and systemic examination. Information was obtained about age of male and female partner, the type of infertility. Height, weight and body mass index (BMI) of the female partners, and basal serum level of FSH was collected on the second day of menstrual cycle, quantitative assessment for AMH was also

		with	baseline chara	cteristics of t	he female	es (n=200).					
		Type of Procedure				Follicle Restored					
Variables	Total	ICSI (n=106)	ICSI- GS(n=10)	FET (n=4)	_ p-value	≤10 (n=56)	11-20 (n=38)	>20 (n=26)	_ p-value		
	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)		n (%)	n (%)	n (%)			
Age, years	34 (29-38)	34 (29-37)	32 (25-39)	35 (32-39)	0.723‡	36 (32-39)	32 (27-36)	30 (25-33)	< 0.001		
≤35	78 (64.7)	70 (89.7)	6 (7.7)	2 (2.5)	0.77	25 (32.1)	28 (35.8)	25 (32.1)	<0.001		
>35	42 (35.3)	36 (85.7)	4 (9.5)	2 (4.8)	0.77	32 (76.2)	9 (21.4)	1 (2.4)	_ ,		
BMI, kg/ m2 (n=114)	28 (25-32)	28 (25-32)	28 (26-34)	27 (27-27)	0.701	28 (25-33)	28 (25-31)	28 (25-31)	0.641		
Non-obese	76 (66.7)	69 (90.8)	6 (7.9)	1 (1.3)	0.702	32 (42.1)	25 (32.9)	19 (25)	0 701		
Obese	38 (33.3)	34 (89.5)	4 (10.5)	0 (0)	0.703	18 (47.4)	13 (34.2)	7 (18.4)	0.721		
Infertility, years	7 (4-10)	7 (4-10)	3 (2-7)	4 (2-19)	0.069	8 (4-11)	6 (4-11)	5 (3-8)	0.096		
≤7	70 (58.3)	59 (84.3)	8 (11.4)	3 (4.3)	0.250	26 (37.1)	24 (34.3)	20 (28.6)	0.00		
>7	50 (41.7)	47 (94)	2 (4)	1 (2)	0.259	30 (60)	14 (28)	6 (12)	0.026		
Type of infe	rtility (n=117)									
Primary	82 (68.3)	79 (96.3)	3 (3.7)	0 (0)	<0.001	37 (45.1)	27 (32.9)	18 (22)	0.807		
Secondary	35 (29.9)	25 (71.4)	7 (20)	3 (8.6)	< 0.001	17 (48.6)	10 (28.6)	8 (22.9)	0.896		

Table-I: Comparison of type of procedure, follicle restored and outcome with baseline characteristics of the females (n=200).

Abbreviation: **ICSI:** intracytoplasmic sperm injection, **ICSI-GS:** intracytoplasmic sperm injection –gender selection, **FET:** frozen embryo transfer. All patients with >30 kg/m² were considered as obese and \leq 30kg/m² BMI were considered as non-obese. †MANN-Whitney U test, ‡Kruskal Wallis Test, Chi-square test applied, p-value <0.05 was taken as significant.

collected along with FSH for the convenience of the patient and AFC was measured by transvaginal ultrasound on 3rd to 5th day of menstrual cycle using 2D ultrasound by a sonologist with experience of 5 or more years in an infertility center. Then after ovulation induction using the antagonist protocol the response to ovulation induction was determined by number of follicles restored (number of follicles produced as a result of ovarian stimaulation), quality of oocytes retrieved was noted (analysis of various aspects of oocyte morphology, cytoplasm, zona pellucid and polar body via conventional phase contrast microscoy had generated a criteria of good, moderate and bad quality oocytes), also the oocytes fertilized and inseminated were noted. The outcome of procedure in terms of positive pregnancy test was also noted. SPSS version 20 was used for the purpose of data analysis.

RESULTS

Descriptive Statistics: Out of 120 patients, median age of the patients was 34 (29-38) years. Majority of the patients (n=78, 64.7%) had ≤35 years while 42 (35.3%) had >35 years of age. The median BMI of the patients was 28 (25-32) kg/m². There were 76 (66.7%) non-obese and 38 (33.3%) obese patients. The median infertility years was 7 (4-10). There were 70 (58.3%) patients with ≤7 years of duration of infertility. Primary infertility type was observed in majority (n=82, 68.3%) and secondary infertility type in 35 (29.9%) patients.

Comparison of type of procedure with baseline characteristics: The infertility type was the only variable found significantly associated with type of procedure (p-value <0.001). ICSI was found significantly higher among patients with primary infertility type than that of secondary infertility type whereas Intra Cytoplasmic Sperm Injection – Gender Selection (ICSI-GS) and Frozen Embryo Transfer (FET) procedures were found significantly

higher among patients with secondary type of infertility. Other variables like Age (p-value 0.723), BMI (p-value 0.701), and duration of infertility (p-value 0.069) were found insignificant.

Comparison of follicle restored with baseline characteristics: The follicle restored was found significantly associated with age (p-value <0.001) and duration of infertility (p-value 0.026). While BMI (p-value 0.641) and type of infertility were found to be statistically insignificant (p-value 0.896). Correlation of oocytes with ovarian reserve parameters: A moderate negative correlation of age and FSH levels were observed with quality of oocytes, number of oocyte inseminated, number of oocyte fertilized and number of follicle restored. However, a positive correlation of AMH and AFC levels were found with quality of oocytes, number of oocyte inseminated, number of oocyte fertilized and number of follicle restored. The correlation of AMH levels with number of oocyte inseminated (rho 0.729, p-value <0.001), number of oocyte fertilized (rho 0.721, <0.001) and number of follicle restored (rho 0.723, p-value <0.001) were found strongly correlated. (Table-II).

Comparison of pregnancy outcome with general characteristics: A significant difference of pregnancy outcome was observed with age of female partner (p-value 0.007), AMH level (p-value <0.001), and AFC levels (p-value <0.001). Whereas age of male partner (p-value 0.148), BMI (p-value 0.051) and FSH levels (p-value 0.099) were found to be insignificant.

DISCUSSION

In human assisted reproduction, there is a variable response to the gonadotropin stimulation by the ovaries therefore it is difficult to predict.⁹ The results of current study demonstrate that AMH has a positive association with the number of follicles restored as well as number of oocytes retrieved. The results also show that AMH has a strong correlation

					-			_				
	Good quality oocyte		Moderate quality oocyte		Bad quality oocyte		No. of oocyte inseminated		No. ofoocyte fertilized		No. of follicle restored	
	rho	p-value	rho	p-value	rho	p-value	rho	p-value	rho	p-value	rho	p-value
Age, years	-0.312	< 0.001	-0.308	< 0.001	-0.351	< 0.001	-0.344	< 0.001	-0.362	< 0.001	-0.360	< 0.001
FSH, mIU/ml	-0.258	0.005	-0.246	0.007	-0.180	0.050	-0.307	< 0.001	-0.275	0.002	-0.355	< 0.001
AMH, ng/ml	0.598	< 0.001	0.581	< 0.001	0.496	< 0.001	0.729	< 0.001	0.721	< 0.001	0.723	< 0.001
AFC	0.419	< 0.001	0.370	< 0.001	0.415	< 0.001	0.502	< 0.001	0.501	< 0.001	0.491	< 0.001

Table-II: Correlation of oocytes with ovarian reserve parameters.

AMH: Antimullerian Hormone, **AFC:** Antral Follicle Count, **FSH:** Follicle Stimulating Hormone Spearman's test applied, p-value <0.01 was taken as significant.

Qurat ul Aman Siddiqui et al.

	Outcome					
	Pregnant (n=25)	Non-Pregnant (n=95)	p-value			
Age of female partner, years	31 (25-35)	34 (30-38)	0.007			
Age of male partner, years	37 (32-41)	40 (35-45)	0.148			
BMI, kg/m2	27 (24-30)	8 (25-32)	0.051			
FSH, mIU/ml	6 (4-8)	7 (5-9)	0.099			
AMH, ng/ml	4 (2-6)	1 (0.4-3)	< 0.001			
AFC	12 (10-17)	8 (8-10)	< 0.001			

Table-III: Median difference of general characteristics of patients with respect to the pregnancy outcome.

All data presented as median (IQR), AMH: Antimullerian Hormone, AFC: Antral Follicle Count,

FSH: Follicle Stimulating Hormone, Mann-Whitney U-Test applied, p-value <0.05 was taken as significant.

with the number of oocytes inseminated and fertilized. Shembekar et al in a study of 100 patients also demonstrated that high AMH levels correlated with retrieval of more eggs.¹⁰ Moreover, the study also demonstrated high chances of successful fertilization and insemination with raised AMH levels.¹⁰ This could be due to the fact that AMH is a representation of the number of oocytes left in the ovary thereby resulting in a consistent measurement between the menstrual cycles. Due to this fact it can be assumed that AMH is considered as a first line investigation for evaluation of ovarian reserve.^{4,11}

The results of our study also demonstrate that FSH has a weak correlation with the number of follicles restored and number of oocytes retrieved. This contrasts with AMH that has a strong correlation with retrieval of oocytes. Our results are compatible to the ones reported by Jamil et al.¹² and Parveen et al.¹³ Parveen et al compared the diagnostic accuracy of AMH with FSH for the assessment of ovarian reserve. Their study demonstrated that AMH has greater sensitivity for the assessment of ovarian reserve.¹³ Studies conducted in Netherlands consider AMH as a marker of ovarian aging.^{14,15}

Our study results also show that there is a negative correlation of FSH levels with number of oocyte inseminated, number of oocyte fertilized and number of follicle restored. According to a study conducted by Islam et al, FSH and ovarian volume do not correlate with the ovarian response¹⁶ whereas Thum et al. concluded that women with high basal FSH respond well to stimulation and produce good number of oocytes giving them an equal chance to become pregnant as compared to normal women of their age.¹⁷

Antral follicles are measured by the means of TVS. AFC of 8-10 is considered as a predictor of normal response whereas more than 14 considered as a good predictor of hyper response.^{18,19} The results

of this study showed a strong positive correlation of AFC with the number of follicles restored, number of oocytes retrieved and the quality of oocytes retrieved. These results are comparable with a study that also showed that AFC has a positive correlation with number of oocytes retrieved.20 Usmani et al has reported that ovarian volume and AFC is significantly reduced in older age group.²¹ Therefore, it can be assumed that AFC is a reliable indicator of ovarian reserve. A similar finding concluded in a study conducted in India in 2018.²² American society of reproductive medicine (ASRM) and European Society of Human Reproduction and Embryology (ESHRE) in their publication for best practice have shown that AMH has the best sensitivity and specificity for measurement of ovarian response to controlled ovarian hyperstimulation (COH).23

Our study showed that age is associated with number of follicles restored. Moreover, the study results showed that moderate negative correlation exists between age and ovarian reserve a similar finding in a study conducted by Hessein in 2015.²⁴ Jehan et al also concluded that sub-fertile women the age greater than or equal to 35 years had a reduced potential of fertility.²⁵

Limitations of the study: This was a single institute study and was conducted on a small sample size. Moreover, only one cycle of ovulation induction was observed.

Despite these limitations we acknowledge certain strengths of this study that it effectively evaluates ovarian reserve and its response to stimulation in couples attending the infertility clinics in the reproductive age group and selected for IVF treatment. The outcome was measured in response to ovulation induction. All the biomarkers done in the study participants were done in the follicular phase to avoid inconvenience for the patients and avoiding variation in results due to the phase of the menstrual cycle. It is recommended that further prospective multicenteric studies on larger sample size be carried out for a more detailed evaluation of ovarian reserve.

CONCLUSION

Our study concluded that AMH and AFC have a strong correlation with number of follicles restored and number of oocytes retrieved whereas FSH and age has a weak correlation with the number of follicles restored and number of oocytes retrieved.

Grant support and financial disclosures: None.

REFERENCES

- Ramalho de Carvalho B, Gomes Sobrinho DB, Vieira AD, Resende MP, Barbosa AC, Silva AA, et al. Ovarian reserve assessment for infertility investigation. ISRN Obstet Gynecol. 2012;2012:576385.doi: 10.5402/2012/576385.
- Silberstein T, MacLaughlin DT, Shai I, Trimarchi JR, Lambert-Messerlian G, Seifer DB, et al. Mullerian inhibiting substance levels at the time of HCG administration in IVF cycles predict both ovarian reserve and embryo morphology. Hum Reprod. 2006;21(1):159-163.
- Jamil Z, Fatima SS, Ahmed K, Malik R. Anti-mullerian hormone: above and beyond conventional ovarian reserve markers. Dis Markers. 2016;2016:5246217. doi: 10.1155/2016/5246217.
- Tobler KJ, Shoham G, Christianson MS, ZhaoY, Leong M, Shoham Z, Use of anti-mullerian hormone for testing ovarian reserve: a survey of 796 infertility clinics worldwide . J Assist Reprod Genet 2015 Oct; 32(10):1441-1448. J Assist Reprod Genet. 2015;32(10):1441-1448. doi: 10.1007/s10815-015-0562-7.
- Li HW, LeeVC, Lau EY, Yeung WS, Ho PC, Ng EH. Role of Baseline Antral Follicle Count and Anti-Mullerian Hormone in Prediction of Cumulative Live Birth in the First In Vitro Fertilisation Cycle: A Retrospective Cohort Analysis. PLoS One. 2013;8(4):e61095. doi: 10.1371/journal. pone.0061095.
- Himabindu Y, Sriharibabu M, Gopinathan KK, Satish U, Louis TF, Gopinath P. Anti-mullerian hormone and antral follicle count as predictors of ovarian response in assisted reproduction. J Hum Reprod Sci. 2013;6(1):27-31. doi: 10.4103/0974-1208.112377.
- Roudebush WE, Kivens WJ, Mattke JM. Biomarkers of ovarian reserve. Biomark Insights. 2008;3:259-268.
- Tehraninezhad ES, Mehrabi F, Taati R, Kalantar V, Aziminekoo E, Tarafdari A. Analysis of ovarian reserve markers (AMH, FSH, AFC) in different age strata in IVF/ICSI patients. Int J Reprod Biomed (Yazd). 2016;14(8):501-506.
- Peluso C, Fonseca FL, Rodart IF, Cavalcanti V, Gastaldo G, Christofolini DM, et al. AMH: An ovarian reserve biomarker in assisted reproduction. Clin Chim Acta. 2014;437:175-182. doi: 10.1016/j.cca.2014.07.029.
- Shembekar CA, Upadhye JJ, Shembekar MC, Welekar SH. Anti-Mullerian hormone (AMH) as predictor of ovarian reserve. Int J Reprod Contracept Obstet Gynecol. 2017;6(9):4006-4010. doi:10.18203/2320-1770.ijrcog20174053.
- Iwase A, Nakamura T, Osuka S, Takikawa S, Goto M, Kikkawa F. Anti Mullerian hormone as a marker of ovarian reserve: What have we learned, and what should we know? Reprod Med Biol. 2016;15(3):127-136. doi: 10.1007/s12522-015-0227-3.

- Jamil Z, Fatima SS, Cheema Z, Baig S, Choudhary RA. Assessment of ovarian reserve: Anti-Mullerian hormone versus follicle stimulating hormone. J Res Med Sci. 2016;21:100.doi: 10.4103/1735-1995.193172.
- Parveen N, Ashfaq A, Parveen S. Comparison of diagnostic accuracy of anti-mullerian hormone with follicle stimulating hormone for ovarian reserve assessment in infertile women by roc analysis. Isra Med J. 2015;7(4):212-215.
- De Vet A, Laven JS, de Jong FH, Themmen AP, Fauser BC. Anti mullerian hormone serum levels: a putative marker for ovarian aging. Fertil Steril. 2002;77(2):357-362.
- van Rooij IA, Broekmans FJ, Scheffer GJ, Looman CW, Habbema JD, de Jong FH, et al. serum antimullerian hormone levels best reflect the reproductive decline with age in normal women with proven fertility: A longitudinal study. Fertil Steril. 2005;83(4):979-987.
- Islam Y, Aboulghar MM, AlEbrashy AE, Abdel-Aziz O. The value of different ovarian reserve tests in the prediction of ovarian response in patients with unexplained infertility. Middle East Fertil Soc J. 2016;21(2):69-74. doi: 10.1016/j. mefs.2015.08.005.
- Thum MY, Kalu E, Abdalla H. Elevated basal FSH and embryo quality: Lessons from extended culture embryos. J Assist Reprod Genet. 2009;26(6):313-318. doi: 10.1007/s10815-009-9313-y.
- Kwee J, Elting ME, Schats R, McDonnell J, Lambalk CB. Ovarian volume and antral follicle count for the prediction of low and hyper responders with in vitro fertilization. Reprod Biol Endocrinol. 2007;5(1):9. doi: 10.1186/1477-7827-5-9.
- Jirge PR. Ovarian reserve tests. J Hum Reprod Sci. 2011;4(3):108-113. doi: 10.4103/0974-1208.92283.
- Bonilla-Musoles F, Castillo JC, Caballero O, Perez-Panades J, Bonilla JF, Dolz M, et al. Predicting ovarian reserve and reproductive outcome using antimüllerian hormone (AMH) and antral follicle count (AFC) in patients with previous assisted reproduction technique (ART) failure. Clin Exp Obstet Gynecol. 2012;39(1):13-18.
- Usmani A, Shokh IS. Ovarian reserve in fertile women as determined by ultrasonography J Dow Uni Health Sci. 2007;1(2):69-73.
- 22. Nayak PK, Mukherjee C, Mitra S. the relevance of antral follicle count (AFC) as a marker of ovarian reserve in normal and infertile women in tertiary care centre in central India . Int J Reprod Contracept Obstet Gynecol. 2018;7(11):4711-4714 doi: 10.18203/2320-1770.ijrcog20184535.
- Gianaroli L, Racowsky C, Geraedts J, Cedars M, Makrigiannakis A, Lobo R. Best practices of ASRM and ESHRE: a journey through reproductive medicine. Hum Reprod. 2012;27(12):3365-3379. doi:10.1093/humrep/des338.
- Hussein MJ, Alalaf SK, Tawil NG. Effects of maternal age on the ovarian markers, and pregnancy outcome in a sample of Kurdish women in Ebril city. Zanco J.Med Sci, 2018;22(1). doi: 10.15218/zjms.2018.002.
- Jehan S, Syed S. Association of ovarian reserve with age, BMI and serum FSH level in subfertile women. J Pak Med Assoc. 2016;66(4):409-413.

Authors Contribution:

QAS & SA: Conceived, designed and did editing of manuscript

SA & FZ: Did data collection, statistical analysis and manuscript writing

QAS & SA: Did review and final approval of manuscript

SMW: Did data collection.