Open access Original research

BMJ Open Association between procrastination, white-collar work and obesity in Japanese male workers: a crosssectional study

Akihiko Narisada , 1 Kohta Suzuki 10 1,2

To cite: Narisada A. Suzuki K. Association between procrastination, white-collar work and obesity in Japanese male workers: a crosssectional study. BMJ Open 2019;9:e029931. doi:10.1136/ bmjopen-2019-029931

Prepublication history and additional material for this paper are available online. To view these files, please visit the journal online (http://dx.doi. org/10.1136/bmjopen-2019-029931).

Received 18 February 2019 Revised 23 October 2019 Accepted 25 October 2019



@ Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2019. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by

¹Institute for Occupational Health Science, Aichi Medical University, Nagakute, Japan ²Department of Health and Psychosocial Medicine, Aichi Medical University, Nagakute, Japan

Correspondence to

Dr Akihiko Narisada; akihikonarisada@yahoo.co.jp

ABSTRACT

Objective To investigate the associations among procrastination (time inconsistency), work environment and obesity-related factors in Japanese male workers. **Design** Cross-sectional study.

Setting Data were collected at two work sites of Japanese electronics manufacturing company in 2015. **Participants** 795 full-time male workers in a Japanese electric company, aged 35-64 years, who underwent health checkups in 2015.

Main outcome measures Body mass index (BMI), adult weight change, obesity (BMI ≥25 kg/m²), adult weight gain over 10 kg (AWG10) and metabolic syndrome (MetS). Multivariable linear and logistic regression analyses were performed to assess the associations of procrastination assessed by using a one-item questionnaire and whitecollar and blue-collar work with obesity-related factors. **Results** White-collar workers with high procrastination levels showed positive associations with BMI (B: 0.75, 95% CI 0.06 to 1.44) and adult weight change (B: 1.77, 95% CI 0.26 to 3.29), and had increased odds of AWG10 (OR: 1.85, 95% CI 1.04 to 3.29) and MetS (OR: 2.29) 95% Cl 1.18 to 4.44) after adjustment for age, education, work-related factors and lifestyle factors. However, such positive associations were not observed among blue-collar

Conclusions Procrastination and white-collar work might have a joint effect on weight gain during adulthood and consequential obesity.

INTRODUCTION

Obesity and the obesity-related epidemic are serious public health problems worldwide. Obesity and diseases related to obesity, such as metabolic syndrome (MetS), are major risk factors for hypertension, type 2 diabetes mellitus (DM) and cardiovascular disease (CVD) and are associated with several types of cancer.² As in western developed countries, the prevalence of obesity in Japan has increased.³ ⁴ Particularly among Japanese men, this prevalence has nearly doubled over the past several decades. The association between the obesity-related gene (ie, the FTO gene) and body mass index (BMI)

Strengths and limitation of this study

- This is the first study among workers to document the different relationships between procrastination (time inconsistency) and obesity, by occupation.
- This is the first study indicating the epidemiological relationship between time preference and metabolic syndrome (MetS) in male white-collar workers.
- Our data was cross-sectional in nature, therefore a causal relationship between procrastination and obesity cannot be inferred.
- Procrastination was assessed using a one-item question which asked participants to recall their homework habits from when they were in school which may be affected by social desirability bias.

weakens after age 20 years,⁵ and most individuals gain weight between the ages of 20 and 50 years, 467 which means the working generation. Weight gain during adulthood (ie, weight gain of more than 10kg from age 20 years) increases the risk for DM, CVD, cancer and mortality.8-11

There are increasing concerns regarding the associations of socioemotional skills, especially self-control and self-regulation, with consequential poor health outcomes including obesity. 12 Time-inconsistent preference (hyperbolic discounting or presentbiassed preference), which is a behavioural economics perspective that is considered a person's degree of impatience or selfcontrol, is empirically related to obesity. 13-16 According to the hyperbolic discounting model by Ainslie, 17 18 people often prefer smaller rewards sooner to larger ones later. Individuals with higher hyperbolic rates of discounting, who are considered impulsive or lacking self-control, tend to eat unhealthy diets (ie, high caloric intake and many sweets), to drink too much alcohol, and to procrastinate when it comes to engaging in healthy behaviours (ie, going to the gym less



often), which together lead to obesity. Empirical studies by Ikeda *et al*¹⁹ and Kang and Ikeda,²⁰ which targeted Japanese people, also showed that procrastination (a proxy variable of hyperbolic discounting that is assessed using a questionnaire item about homework while in school) was related to obesity.

Environment, including the working environment, is also considered an important factor that contributes to obesity (ie, 'obesogenic environment').21 Previous studies have shown that shift work, sedentary work and long working hours are associated with obesity-related behaviours and excess weight gain. 22-24 Additionally, the interplay between time inconsistency (self-control) and environmental state is thought to contribute to the obesity epidemic.²⁵ People often abandon long-term plans (ie, to maintain a desirable weight or not eat too many sweets) in favour of immediate reward in response to environmental cues, which is responsible for impulsive preference reversal. Hyperbolic discounting might be sufficient to explain such cue-triggered behaviours.²⁶ (Indeed, in Japan, in contrast to obesity, the prevalence of smokers, which is also related to time inconsistency,²⁷ has declined along with stricter tobacco control and smoking environment.²⁸) However, there are few empirical studies examining the associations between time inconsistency, environment and obesity.

Thus, the purpose of the present study is to assess the associations between time inconsistency, work environment and obesity-related factors (obesity, adult weight gain and MetS) among Japanese male workers. In this cross-sectional study using data of Japanese workers, we evaluated the difference between white-collar and blue-collar work environments. In terms of the interplay between time inconsistency and work environment in which time preference might affect daily personal decisions, we focused on how often workers make cuetriggered decisions during work (impulsive unhealthy behaviours influenced by work environment). Workers' behaviours during work, such as occupational activity, are generally determined not only by personal decisions but also by job duties. In this study, we assess relationships between time inconsistency and obesity-related factors by 'occupational class' which is a proxy for work environments. White-collar workers make more personal decisions about work than blue-collar workers. In other words, blue-collar workers who are more regulated with respect to when to act, take a rest, and snack at work may be more protected from cue-triggered obesogenic behaviours. Thus, we hypothesise that occupational status (ie, whitecollar or blue-collar) might be a significant effect modifier in the associations between time inconsistency and obesity-related factors.

METHODS Participants

The enrolled participants included 885 full-time male workers (567 white collar workers, 64.1%) aged 35–64

Box 1 A question about procrastination ('Homework in Schooldays')

Q: 'Reflecting back on when you were a child and were given an assignment to be completed during school vacation, how early did you usually finish the assignment?'

- 1. Got it done right away.
- 2. Tended to get it done early, before the due date.
- 3. Worked on it daily up until the due date.
- 4. Tended to get it done toward the end.
- 5. Got it done at the last minute.

The responses were grouped into three categories; none (1–3), medium (4) and high (5).

(47.0±8.2) years, from two work sites of the same electronics manufacturing company located in central Japan (Chubu area). All participants underwent annual health checkups in 2015 at their workplaces. These were performed using standardised methods, in accordance with the Japanese Occupational Safety and Health Act. At the health checkups, participants were asked to complete questionnaires that included items about procrastination.

Patient and public involvement

No patient involved.

Procrastination

Along the lines of studies by Ikeda et al¹⁹ and Kang and Ikeda,²⁰ procrastination, which is considered a proxy for hyperbolic discounting, was assessed using one questionnaire item about homework assignments that were given when respondents were still in school: "Reflecting back to when you were a child and were given an assignment to be completed during school vacation, how early did you usually finish the assignment?". Responses were scored using a five-point Likert scale (Box 1). This questionnaire was consistent with the major method to assess time preference or hyperbolic discounting, in which participants make a series of binary choices, such as preferring to receive a smaller amount of money sooner or a larger amount later.²⁹ Students in Japanese schools usually have many homework assignments during their school vacations. Psychological studies by Michel and colleagues, as well as other empirical studies, have shown that self-control ability during childhood and adolescence predict future obesity. 12 30 In addition, using the method assessed by making a series of binary choices, a certain rate of participants must be excluded for analyses because of their irrational and non-assessable responses. 19 (For example, in the study of Takagi et al, 31 20% of participants were excluded.) Thus, we included this item in the questionnaires. The higher the score for this item, the stronger the tendency toward procrastination. Responses were grouped into three categories: none (1-3), medium (4) and high (5) levels of procrastination.



Obesity, adult weight change, abdominal obesity and metabolic syndrome

Anthropometric parameters (height, body weight and waist circumference) were measured at the health checkups. We calculated BMI and weight change during adulthood (adult weight change). BMI was calculated as weight in kilograms divided by the square of height in metres (kg/m²). Using the Japanese-specific criteria, obesity was defined as BMI over 25 kg/m². 32 Adult weight change was calculated as the difference between body weight in 2015 and self-reported body weight at 20 years of age. We defined participants with adult weight change over 10 kg as having 'adult weight gain' (AWG10). 8-11 Abdominal obesity was defined as excessive waist circumference (≥85 cm).

Using health check-up data, MetS was assessed according to Japanese criteria, which is defined as excessive waist circumference as well as the presence of two or more of the following: hypertension, glucose intolerance and dyslipidaemia.³³

Work-related factors

Whether participants were white-collar or blue-collar workers was assessed according to the substance of their work, which was obtained from their personnel file. Along with the Japan Standard Occupational Classification, ³⁴ we defined professional and technical, administrative and managerial, clerical, and sales and service workers as white-collar workers. Blue-collar workers were defined as security/protection, transport, production workers and labourers.

Working hours were assessed using a self-report questionnaire (online supplementary table 1). Long working hours were defined as the participant working after 21:00 hours '3–4 days per week' or 'almost all weekdays'. At the target company, regular employees work from 08:30 to 17:00, so employees with long working hours work overtime at least 45 hours per month. Shift work (night shift work) was assessed using information of the health checkups for shift workers. According to the Japanese Occupational Safety and Health Act, shift workers must have health checkups once or more every 6 months. All shift workers were blue-collar workers.

Covariates

Previous and current medical history, education, smoking habits, alcohol consumption, physical activity and eating habits were recorded using a self-report questionnaire (online supplementary table 1). Education was classified as college graduate or higher or no higher education. Smoking status was classified as smoker, past smoker, or never smoker. Alcohol consumption was classified as heavy drinker (consuming 300 g or more of alcohol per week), moderate drinker (consuming 150–300 g of alcohol per week) or light drinker (consuming 1–150 g of alcohol per week). Leisure time physical activity was defined as exercising in free time over 30 min twice or more per week, and daily physical activity was defined as

physical activity in daily life equal to more than an hour of walking. Eating habits were classified according to eating speed (fast or not), eating a late evening meal (within 2 hours before bedtime on 3 days or more week), latenight snacking (snacking after the evening meal on 3 days or more per week), and skipping breakfast on 3 days or more per week.

Statistical analysis

We tested differences in the baseline characteristics of participants between the three procrastination categories using a chi-square test for categorical variables and analysis of variance for continuous variables. To evaluate the association between procrastination and obesity-related outcomes, we used multivariable linear regression models for continuous variables (BMI and adult weight change) and logistic regression models for categorical variables (obesity, adult weight gain and MetS). We calculated ageadjusted and multivariable-adjusted partial regression coefficients (Bs), ORs and their corresponding 95% CIs, using the procrastination category of 'None' as the reference group. We performed three-step analysis in addition to the crude model: models 1, 3, 5 and 7 were adjusted for age, education and working conditions, which were considered as confounding factors. Models 2, 4, 6 and 8 additionally controlled for physical activity, smoking status, and alcohol consumption in order to exclude the possibility that procrastination was associated the obesity through lifestyle other than work.

We entered interaction terms into the models to test whether procrastination interacted multiplicatively with working condition (blue-collar or white-collar work) in analyses using total samples (combined blue-collar and white-collar workers). We then calculated Bs and ORs using blue-collar and white-collar worker samples separately. In the analyses using logistic models, we calculated P for trend across three procrastination categories.

To assess the robustness of the relationships between obesity and procrastination among white-collar workers, sensitivity analyses were performed by excluding each white-collar occupation (ie, professional and technical, administrative and managerial, clerical, and sales and service workers).

RESULTS

A total of 885 employees who underwent health checkups in 2015 were enrolled in this study. We excluded one worker (0.1%) who did not complete the health check-up, and 89 workers (10.1%) who did not complete the questionnaires. Finally, 795 workers (46.9±8.1 years old; BMI 23.1±3.2 kg/m²; 515 white-collar workers, 64.8%) with complete data were included in the analyses. Among these 795 workers, 182 (22.9%) were obese, 169 workers (21.3%) had AWG10, and 123 workers (15.5%) had MetS.

Table 1 shows the characteristics of participants according to occupation and procrastination categories.

There were no significant differences in BMI at age 20 years across procrastination categories. Workers with high procrastination levels were likely to be less educated, current smokers, and shift workers, and were less likely to engage in daily physical activity and more likely to skip breakfast. White-collar workers with high procrastination levels had greater waist circumference and greater weight gain during adulthood. The highest prevalence of obesity, AWG10 and MetS was among white-collar workers with high procrastination, at 29.1%, 27.4% and 23.1%, respectively. Blue-collar workers without procrastination had the highest prevalence of obesity, AWG10 and MetS (24.7%, 24.7% and 19.5%, respectively).

The associations of procrastination with BMI and adult weight change using multivariate linear regression models are shown in table 2. White-collar workers had higher BMI and gained more weight during adulthood than blue-collar workers. Among white-collar workers, not blue-collar or all workers, there were significant positive associations of high procrastination with BMI (B: 0.75, 95% CI 0.06 to 1.44) and adult weight change (B: 1.77, 95% CI 0.26 to 3.29), after adjusting for covariates.

Table 3 shows the results of multivariate logistic regression analysis for obesity, AWG10 and MetS. For obesity among all categories of workers (the total, whiteand blue-collar workers), high procrastination showed no significant associations of obesity. For AWG10 and MetS among white-collar workers, high procrastination had about a twofold greater odds of AWG10 and MetS compared with no procrastination, even after controlling for related covariates (OR: 1.85, 95% CI 1.04 to 3.29 for AWG10; OR: 2.29 95% CI 1.18 to 4.44 for MetS). However, such positive associations of high procrastination with AWG10 and MetS were not observed among all workers and blue-collar workers. Furthermore, among blue-collar workers, procrastination tended to show a decreased odds of MetS (OR: 0.40, 95% CI 0.15 to 1.06) in the fully adjusted model. We observed increased rates of AWG10 and MetS across categories by increased procrastination in white-collar workers (p<0.05, respectively), while such monotonic increases in odds were not observed in all workers or in blue-collar workers. Similar results were observed in the calculation of odds for adult weight gain over 5 kg (AWG5). High procrastination showed significantly greater risk of AWG5 among white-collar workers but not all or blue-collar workers (OR: 1.91, 95% CI 1.18 to 3.09; online supplementary table 2).

We performed sensitivity analyses, excluding each occupation for white-collar work to assess whether a specific occupation affected the relationship between procrastination, white-collar work and obesity-related factors; we obtained similar results from the analyses. For example, among white-collar workers, excluding sales and service workers (n=75), high procrastination was positively associated with AWG10 (OR: 1.83, 95% CI 0.98 to 3.39) and MetS (OR: 2.26, 95% CI 1.12 to 4.59) in the fully adjusted model (online supplementary table 3).

Workers with high procrastination tended to engage in less daily physical activity and to skip breakfast (table 1). When we estimated the effects of procrastination, controlling for all these unhealthy habits, the findings remained significant (online supplementary table 4).

DISCUSSION

In this cross-sectional study, we examined the association of procrastination, a proxy for hyperbolic discounting and self-control, with obesity-related factors among Japanese male employees. The results showed that white-collar workers with high procrastination levels showed positive associations with BMI, adult weight change, AWG10 and MetS; BMI at age 20 years was not different across procrastination categories. Such significant positive relationships were not observed among blue-collar workers, suggesting that working conditions might modify the association of procrastination with obesity-related factors (table 2).

Evidence has indicated that self-regulation (ie, procrastination and undesirable time preference) and socioemotional skills at large scale, as well as cognitive skills (ie, IQ score), have a profound impact on an individual's health. In addition, there is increasing interest in the hypothesis that socioemotional skills and environmental factors during the life course, such as in the family, school, community and workplace, interact to produce health effects. Our study evaluating the interactive effects of procrastination and workplace factors is line with this hypothesis. To our knowledge, this is the first study indicating the joint association of time inconsistency and work condition with obesity.

Many empirical studies have shown the associations of time inconsistency with obesity-related habits and obesity. 15 16 Our results showed workers with high procrastination tended to engage in less daily physical activity and to skip breakfast (table 1), behaviours that are wellknown risk factors of obesity. However, these unhealthy life habits alone could not explain our results. When we estimated the effects of procrastination, controlling for all these habits, the findings remained significant positive (online supplementary table 1). This suggests that in addition to procrastination and obesity, other unhealthy habits such as fast food consumption, 35 and impulsive (sometimes automatic) unhealthy behaviours interact with the work environment.³⁶ In Ainslie's hyperbolic discounting model, people with high hyperbolic discounting (procrastination) tend to engage in impulsive unhealthy behaviours, ^{17 18} which are often induced by environmental cues. White-collar workers, who generally have not only longer sedentary time at work but can also make more personal decisions about when to take a break, snack, and about doing physical activity during work, might be more influenced by obesogenic work environment (environmental cues). Our results showed that procrastination is a powerful contributing factor to adult weight gain and MetS among such white-collar workers, although our study did not assess work environment

	All workers				White-collar workers	ır workers			Blue-collar workers	workers		
	(n=795)				(n=515)				(n=280)			
	Procrastination none	Medium	High	P value	None	Medium	High		None	Medium	High	
	(n=270)	(n=323)	(n=202)		(n=193)	(n=205)	(n=117)	P value	(n=77)	(n=118)	(n=85)	P value
Age, years	47.1±8.1	46.7±7.8	47.0±8.5	8.0	46.7±8.1	47.3±8.0	46.7±8.3	0.725	48.1±8.2	45.6±7.5	47.3±8.6	0.081†
Weight, kg	67.0±10.2	66.9±10.0	68.0±10.9	0.49	67.6±10.1	67.4±9.1	69.5±10.5	0.159	65.5±10.6	66.1±11.2	65.9±11.2	0.944
BMI, kg/m²	22.9±3.1	23.1±3.1	23.4±3.4	0.254	22.9±3.0	23.3±2.7	23.8±3.3	0.065†	22.7±3.4	22.8±3.7	22.9±3.6	76.0
Waist circumference, cm	81.5±8.8	82.1±8.8	82.8±8.6	0.23	81.8±8.6	82.7±7.8	84.2±8.4	0.048*	80.6±9.5	80.9±10.2	81.0±8.5	0.963
Weight at the age of 20, kg	62.2±9.8	62.1±8.9	62.5±9.3	0.902	62.9±9.7	61.7±8.3	62.7±9.6	0.376	60.6±9.8	62.9±9.9	62.2±8.9	0.274
BMI at the age of 20, kg/ $\rm m^2$	21.3±2.9	21.5±2.7	21.5±2.9	0.581	21.4±2.8	21.3±2.4	21.5±2.9	0.841	21.0±3.1	21.7±3.2	21.6±3.0	0.269
Adult Weight change, kg	4.78±6.88	4.81±7.24	5.47±7.50	0.511	4.72±6.45	5.73±6.71	6.75±6.69	0.031*	4.90±7.90	3.21±7.84	3.71±8.20	0.343
Education (college graduated), n (%)	112 (41.5%)	95 (29.4%)	61 (30.2%)	0.004**	111 (57.5%)	94 (45.9%)	57 (48.7%)	0.058†	1 (1.3%)	1 (0.8%)	4 (4.7%)	0.145
Long work hours, n (%)	81 (30.0%)	87 (26.9%)	52 (25.7%)	0.55	70 (36.3%)	74 (36.1%)	44 (37.6%)	0.961	11 (14.3%)	13 (11.0%)	8 (9.4%)	0.612
Shift work, n (%)	15 (5.6%)	33 (10.2%)	25 (12.4%)	0.028*	I	I	I		15 (19.5%)	33 (28.0%)	25 (29.4%)	0.294
Smoking status												
Current smoking, n (%)	77 (28.5%)	117 (36.2%)	81 (40.1%)	0.024*	44 (22.8%)	64 (31.2%)	39 (33.3%)	0.076†	33 (42.9%)	53 (44.9%)	42 (49.4%)	0.687
Past smoking, n (%)	85 (31.5%)	89 (27.6%)	60 (29.7%)	0.577	63 (32.6%)	58 (28.3%)	39 (33.3%)	0.538	22 (28.6%)	31 (26.3%)	21 (24.7%)	0.855
Alcohol habits												
Drinking, n (%)	82 (30.4%)	113 (35.0%)	77 (38.1%)	0.199	61 (31.6%)	76 (37.1%)	47 (40.2%)	0.273	21 (27.3%)	37 (31.4%)	30 (35.3%)	0.547
Light drinker, n (%)	17 (6.3%)	31 (9.6%)	20 (9.9%)	0.262	14 (7.3%)	21 (10.2%)	14 (12.0%)	0.352	13 (16.9%)	10 (8.5%)	6 (7.1%)	0.083†
Moderate drinker, n (%)	48 (17.8%)	69 (21.4%)	40 (19.8%)	0.551	40 (20.7%)	45 (22.0%)	24 (20.5%)	0.938	8 (10.4%)	24 (20.3%)	16 (18.8%)	0.175
Heavy drinker, n (%)	7 (2.6%)	13 (4.0%)	17 (8.4%)	**600.0	7 (3.6%)	10 (4.9%)	9 (7.7%)	0.282	(%0) 0	3 (2.5%)	8 (9.4%)	0.005**
Physical activity												
Leisure time physical activity, n (%)	78 (28.9%)	86 (26.6%)	55 (27.2%)	0.822	58 (30.1%)	61 (29.8%)	35 (29.9%)	0.998	20 (26.0%)	25 (21.2%)	20 (23.5%)	0.739
Daily physical activity, n (%)	101 (37.4%)	113 (35.0%)	55 (27.2%)	0.059†	64 (33.2%)	52 (25.4%)	27 (23.1%)	0.097†	37 (48.1%)	61 (51.7%)	28 (32.9%)	0.024*
Eating habits												
Eating quickly, n (%)	98 (36.3%)	100 (31.0%)	69 (34.2%)	0.383	73 (37.8%)	67 (32.7%)	44 (37.6%)	0.502	25 (32.5%)	33 (28.0%)	25 (29.4%)	0.796
												:

Table 1 Continued												
	All workers				White-collar workers	r workers			Blue-collar workers	workers		
	(n=795)				(n=515)				(n=280)			
	Procrastination none	Medium	High	P value	None	Medium	High		None	Medium	High	
	(n=270)	(n=323)	(n=202)		(n=193)	(n=205)	(n=117)	P value	(n=77)	(n=118)	(n=85)	P value
Skipping breakfast, n (%) 34 (12.6%)	34 (12.6%)	33 (10.2%)	33 (10.2%) 36 (17.8%) 0.040*	0.040*	22 (11.4%)		26 (12.7%) 23 (19.7%) 0.104	0.104	12 (15.6%)	7 (5.9%)	13 (15.3%)	0.048*
Late night snacking, n (%) 38 (14.1%)) 38 (14.1%)	51 (15.8%)	51 (15.8%) 33 (16.3%)	0.764	29 (15.0%)	31 (15.1%)	18 (15.4%)	966.0	9 (11.7%)	20 (16.9%)	20 (16.9%) 15 (17.6%)	0.517
Late evening meal, n (%) 104 (38.5%)	104 (38.5%)	124 (38.4%)	89 (44.1%) 0.494	0.494	87 (45.1%)	96 (46.8%)	96 (46.8%) 64 (54.7%) 0.237	0.237	17 (22.1%)		28 (23.7%) 25 (29.4%) 0.612	0.612
Obesity (BMI≥25), n (%)	64 (23.7%)	67 (20.7%)	67 (20.7%) 51 (25.2%) 0.454	0.454	45 (23.3%)	43 (21.0%)	45 (23.3%) 43 (21.0%) 34 (29.1%) 0.257	0.257	19 (24.7%)	24 (20.3%)	19 (24.7%) 24 (20.3%) 17 (20.0%) 0.716	0.716
Severe obesity (BMI ≥30), 6 (2.2%) n (%)	6 (2.2%)	10 (3.1%) 9 (4.5%)	9 (4.5%)	0.387	5 (2.6%)	4 (2.0%)	6 (5.1%)	0.25	1 (1.3%)	6 (5.1%)	3 (3.5%)	0.379
AWG5, n (%)	124 (45.9%)	147 (45.5%)	106 (52.5%)	0.249	87 (45.1%)	102 (49.8%)	72 (61.5%) 0.018*	0.018*	37 (48.1%)	45 (38.1%)	37 (48.1%) 45 (38.1%) 34 (40.0%) 0.37	0.37
AWG10, n (%)	50 (18.5%)	70 (21.7%)	70 (21.7%) 49 (24.3%) 0.312	0.312	31 (16.1%)	52 (25.4%)	31 (16.1%) 52 (25.4%) 32 (27.4%) 0.028*	0.028*	19 (24.7%)	18 (15.3%)	19 (24.7%) 18 (15.3%) 17 (20.0%) 0.26	0.26
Abdominal obesity (a), n (%)	85 (31.5%)	102 (31.6%)	70 (34.7%) 0.592	0.592	59 (30.6%)	69 (33.7%)	59 (30.6%) 69 (33.7%) 47 (40.2%)	0.222	26 (33.8%)	33 (28.0%)	26 (33.8%) 33 (28.0%) 23 (27.1%) 0.592	0.592
MetS (b), n (%)	37 (13.7%)	50 (15.5%)	50 (15.5%) 36 (17.8%) 0.473	0.473	22 (11.4%)	35 (17.1%)	22 (11.4%) 35 (17.1%) 27 (23.1%) 0.024*	0.024*	15 (19.5%)	15 (19.5%) 15 (12.7%) 9 (10.6%)	9 (10.6%)	0.233

(a): waist circumferences ≥85 cm; (b): defined by the Japanese criteria (excessive waist circumference as well as the presence of two or more of the following symptoms; hypertension, glucose intolerance and dyslipidaemia).

*p<0.05; **p<0.01. Tp<0.10 AWG5, adult weight gain over 5 kg; AWG10, adult weight gain over 10 kg; BMI, body mass index; MetS, metabolic syndrome.

	.						:								:	
	All workers				White-collar workers	workers	Blue-collar workers	workers	All workers				White-collar workers		Blue-collar workers	vorkers
	(n=795)				(n=515)		(n=280)		(n=795)				(n=515)		(n=280)	
	Model 1a	Model 2b	Model 3a	Model 4b	Model 5c	Model 6d	Model 7a	Model 8b	Model 1a	Model 2b	Model 3a	Model 4b	Model 5c	Model 6d	Model 7a	Model 8
Procrastination	u															
Medium	0.24	0.24	0.14	-1.55	0.26	0.64	0.14	0.2	0.08	90.0	-1.53	-1.55	0.74	0.64	-1.58	-1.64
	-0.28-0.76	-0.29-0.76	-0.78-1.06	-3.59-0.50	-0.33-0.84	-0.66-1.94	-0.91-1.18	-0.85-1.25	-0.28-0.76 -0.29-0.76 -0.78-1.06 -3.59-0.50 -0.33-0.84 -0.66-1.94 -0.91-1.18 -0.85-1.25 -1.08-1.23 -1.09-1.22 -3.59-0.51	-1.09-1.22		-3.59-0.50 -0.55-2.04 -0.66-1.94 -3.92-0.74 -3.99-0	-0.55-2.04	-0.66-1.94	-3.92-0.74	-3.99-
High	0.55†	0.54†	0.13	-1.07	0.79*	0.75*	60.0	0.22	0.87	0.87	-1.09	-1.07	1.85*	1.77*	-1.25	-1.25
	-0.04-1.14	-0.04-1.14 -0.06-1.13 -0.86-1.12 -3.28-1.14	-0.86-1.12		0.11–1.48	0.06-1.44	-1.03-1.21	0.11-1.48 0.06-1.44 -1.03-1.21 -0.93-1.36 -0.44-2.17		-0.45-2.18	-3.29-1.11	-3.28-1.14	0.35-3.36	0.35-3.36 0.26-3.29 -3.75-1.25 -3.81-1	-3.75-1.25	-3.81-
Work environment	nent															
White-collar	*99.0	0.65*	0.43	0.54					2.48**	2.30**	0.71	0.54				
	0.07-1.25	0.06-1.25	0.06-1.25 -0.49-1.34 -1.50-2.58	-1.50-2.58					1.17–3.79	0.97-3.62	-1.32-2.75	-1.50-2.58				
Interaction																
White-collar			0.13	0.12							2.32†	2.31†				
*Procrastination Medium			-0.99-1.24	-1.01-1.24							-0.17-4.81	-0.17-4.79				
White-collar			0.67	0.61							2.97*	2.91*				
*Procrastination High			-0.57-1.90 -0.62-1.85	-0.62-1.85							0.23-5.71	0.18–5.65				

*Adjusted for age, education, long work hours and shift work.

*Adjusted for age, education, long work hours, shift work, smoking, drinking and physical activity.

*Adjusted for age, education and long work hours.

*Adjusted for age, education, long work hours, smoking, drinking and physical activity.

*Pac.06; **Pac.07!.

Marie of the control of the contro	lable 3 Assoc	יומווסון סו	Association of proclassifiation and work circuit with obesity, addit weight gain over 10kg and inclassific syndrome	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5				- C)	3000	00000	2			
		All parti	cipants					White-coll	ar workers			Blue-coll	ar workers		
Model 44 Model 54 Model 54 Model 45 Model 45 Model 45 Model 46 Model 56 Model 56 Model 57 Model 47		(n=795)						(n=515)				(n=280)			
Company Comp			Crude	Model 1a	Model 2b	Model 3a	Model 4b		Crude	Model 5c	Model 6d		Crude	Model 7a	Model 8b
1972 1972	Number of obesity														
1.00 1.00	Procrastination														
2.7.5 Reference Reference Reference Reference 2.3.5% Reference 2.3.5% Reference Reference 2.3.5% Reference Reference 2.3.5% Reference Reference 2.3.5% Reference 2.3.5% Reference Reference Reference 2.3.5% Reference	None	64/270	-	-	-	-	-	45/193	-	-	-	19/77	-	-	_
Fig. 25 Displayed Control of the control of t		23.7%	(Reference)	(Reference)	(Reference)	(Reference)	(Reference)	23.3%	(Reference)	(Reference)		24.7%	(Reference)	(Reference)	(Reference)
21.7% 21.7	Medium	67/323	0.84	0.84	0.83	0.80	0.81	43/205	0.87	0.83	08.0	24/118	0.78	0.82	98.0
Single 1.09 1.04 1.04 1.05		20.7%	0.57-1.24	0.57-1.25	0.56-1.23	0.40-1.61	0.40-1.64	21.0%	0.54-1.40	0.52-1.35	0.49-1.30	20.3%	0.39-1.55	0.41-1.64	0.42-1.76
Accordance Acc	High	51/202	1.09	1.09	1.07	0.75	0.75	34/117	1.35	1.33	1.28	17/85	92.0	0.70	0.76
Minorian		25.3%	0.71–1.67	0.71-1.68	0.69-1.65	0.35-1.59	0.39-1.39	29.1%	0.80-2.27	0.78-2.24	0.75-2.17	20.0%	0.36-1.60	0.33-1.51	0.34-1.67
vironment ar 60/280 1<	p for trend		0.777	0.766	0.767				0.341	0.385	0.417		0.477	0.366	0.482
ar 60/280 1	Work environmen														
11.4% Heterence Heterenc	Blue-collar	60/280	-	-	-	-	-								
122/515 1.14 1.35 1.37 1.12 1.16		21.4%	(Reference)	(Reference)	(Reference)	(Reference)	(Reference)								
on liter High liter Hi	White-collar	122/515		1.35	1.37	1.12	1.16								
1.05 1.01 1.05 1.01 1.05 1.01 1.05 1.01 1.05 1.01 1.05 1.01 1.05 1.01 1.05 1.01 1.05 1.01 1.05 1.01 1.05 1.01 1.05 1.01 1.05 1.01 1.05 1.02		23.7%	0.80-1.62	0.86-2.10	0.87-2.16	0.57-2.19	0.59-2.30								
High High High High High High High High	Interaction														
nation A MACH And Instriction 1.78 1.78 1.78 1.78 1.78 1.78 1.78 1.78 1.78 1.78 1.78 1.73 1.78 1.12	White-collar					1.05	1.01								
Amathing Institution 1.78 1.73 1.78 1.73 1.78 1.73 1	*Medium procrastination					0.45–2.45	0.43-2.37								
of AWG10 Augustion C774-4.45 0.694-3.4 Augustion Augustion <th< th=""><th>White-collar *High</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>1.78</th><th>1.73</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></th<>	White-collar *High					1.78	1.73								
Aumation 50/270 1 <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>0.71-4.45</th> <th>0.69-4.34</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>						0.71-4.45	0.69-4.34								
sination 50/270 1 1 31/193 1	Number of AWG10	6													
50/270 1 <th>Procrastination</th> <th></th>	Procrastination														
18.5% (Reference) (Reference) <th< th=""><th>None</th><th>50/270</th><th>-</th><th>-</th><th>-</th><th>-</th><th>-</th><th>31/193</th><th>-</th><th>-</th><th>-</th><th>19/77</th><th>-</th><th>-</th><th>_</th></th<>	None	50/270	-	-	-	-	-	31/193	-	-	-	19/77	-	-	_
70/323 1.22 1.20 1.21 0.59 0.59 52/205 1.78* 1.65† 1.65† 1.61* 1.61* 1.61* 1.61* 1.61* 1.61* 1.61* 0.59 <th></th> <th>18.5%</th> <th></th> <th>(Reference)</th> <th>(Reference)</th> <th>(Reference)</th> <th></th> <th>16.1%</th> <th>(Reference)</th> <th>(Reference)</th> <th>(Reference)</th> <th>24.7%</th> <th>(Reference)</th> <th>(Reference)</th> <th>(Reference)</th>		18.5%		(Reference)	(Reference)	(Reference)		16.1%	(Reference)	(Reference)	(Reference)	24.7%	(Reference)	(Reference)	(Reference)
21.7% 0.81-1.83 0.80-1.81 0.80-1.23 0.29-1.23 25.4% 1.08-2.92 0.99-2.71 15.3% 0.27-1.13 0.28-1.23 49/202 1.41 1.42 1.41 0.80 0.80 32/117 1.97* 1.85* 17/85 0.76 0.78-1.23 24.3% 0.90-2.20 0.90-2.23 0.89-2.23 0.38-1.68 0.37-1.71 27.4% 1.12-3.44 1.06-3.23 1.04-3.29 20.0% 0.76 0.78-1.65 0.78-1.65 0.78-1.65 0.78-1.65 0.78-1.65 0.78-1.65 0.78-1.65 0.78-1.65 0.78-1.63 0.013* 0.025* 0.021* 0.021* 0.78-1.65 0.71 0.013* 0.025* 0.021* 0.021* 0.78-1.63 0.71 0.013* 0.025* 0.021* 0.021* 0.78-1.63 0.78-1.73 0.025* 0.021* 0.021* 0.048* 0.021* 0.021* 0.021* 0.021* 0.021* 0.021* 0.021* 0.021* 0.021* 0.021* 0.021* 0.021* 0.021* 0.021* 0.	Medium	70/323	1.22	1.20	1.21	0.59	0.59	52/205	1.78*	1.65†	1.63†	18/118	0.55	0.59	0.58
49/202 1.41 1.42 1.41 0.80 0.80 32/117 1.97* 1.87* 1.85* 17/85 0.76 0.78 24.3% 0.90-2.20 0.90-2.23 0.89-2.23 0.38-1.68 0.37-1.71 27.4% 1.12-3.44 1.06-3.31 1.04-3.29 20.0% 0.36-1.60 0.36-1.65 54/280 1 <t< th=""><th></th><th>21.7%</th><th>0.81-1.83</th><th>0.80-1.81</th><th>0.80-1.84</th><th>0.29-1.23</th><th>0.28-1.23</th><th>25.4%</th><th>1.08-2.92</th><th>0.99–2.72</th><th>0.98-2.71</th><th>15.3%</th><th>0.27-1.13</th><th>0.28-1.23</th><th>0.27-1.24</th></t<>		21.7%	0.81-1.83	0.80-1.81	0.80-1.84	0.29-1.23	0.28-1.23	25.4%	1.08-2.92	0.99–2.72	0.98-2.71	15.3%	0.27-1.13	0.28-1.23	0.27-1.24
24.3% 0.90-2.20 0.90-2.23 0.38-1.68 0.37-1.71 27.4% 1.12-3.44 1.06-3.31 1.04-3.29 20.0% 0.36-1.65 0.36-1.65 24.3% 0.128 0.132 0.115 3.38-1.68 0.37-1.71 27.4% 1.12-3.44 1.06-3.31 1.04-3.29 20.0% 0.36-1.65 0.36-1.65 54/280 1	High	49/202	1.41	1.42	1.41	0.80	08.0	32/117	1.97*	1.87*	1.85*	17/85	92.0	0.78	0.73
54/280 1 1 1 1 6.014 0.025* 0.021* 0.021* 0.512 19.3% (Reference) (Reference) (Reference) (Reference) (Reference) (Reference) 0.71 0.76 0.71 22.3% 0.84-1.73 0.94-2.31 0.38-1.53 0.35-1.44 0.38-1.44 0.38-1.44 0.0001*		24.3%	0.90-2.20	0.90-2.23	0.89-2.23	0.38-1.68	0.37-1.71	27.4%	1.12-3.44	1.06-3.31	1.04-3.29	20.0%	0.36-1.60	0.36-1.65	0.33-1.64
54/280 1 1 1 19.3% (Reference) (Reference) (Reference) 115/515 1.20 1.47† 1.37 0.76 22.3% 0.84-1.73 0.94-2.31 0.86-2.17 0.38-1.53	p for trend		0.128	0.132	0.115				0.013*	0.025*	0.021*		0.481	0.512	0.551
54/280 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Work environmen	,,													
19.3% (Reference) (Reference) (Reference) (Reference) (15/515 1.20 1.47† 1.37 0.76 22.3% 0.84–1.73 0.94–2.31 0.86–2.17 0.38–1.53	Blue-collar	54/280	_	_	_	_	-								
115/515 1.20 1.47† 1.37 0.76 22.3% 0.84–1.73 0.94–2.31 0.86–2.17 0.38–1.53		19.3%	(Reference)	(Reference)	(Reference)	(Reference)	(Reference)								
0.84–1.73 0.94–2.31 0.86–2.17 0.38–1.53	White-collar	115/515		1.47†	1.37	0.76	0.71								
		22.3%	0.84-1.73	0.94-2.31	0.86-2.17	0.38-1.53	0.35-1.44								



	All participants	ipants					White-collar workers	r workers			Blue-colla	Blue-collar workers		
	(n=795)						(n=515)				(n=280)			
		Crude	Model 1a	Model 2b	Model 3a	Model 4b		Crude	Model 5c	Model 6d		Crude	Model 7a	Model 8b
Interaction														
White-collar					2.80*	2.84*								
*Medium procrastination					1.15–6.80	1.16–6.96								
White-collar *High procrastination					2.36†	2.33†								
Number of MetS														
Procrastination														
None	37/270	-	-	-	-	-	22/193	-	-	-	15/77	-	-	-
	13.7%	(Reference)	(Reference)	(Reference)	(Reference)	(Reference)	11.4%	(Reference)	(Reference)	(Reference)	19.5%	(Reference)	(Reference)	(Reference)
Medium	50/323	1.15	1.16	1.09	0.68	0.62	35/205	1.60	1.52	1.47	15/118	09:0	99.0	0.63
	15.5%	0.73-1.83	0.72-1.86	0.67-1.76	0.31-1.52	0.27-1.39	17.1%	0.90-2.84	0.87-2.84	0.80-2.70	12.7%	0.28-1.32	0.29-1.46	0.27-1.44
High	36/202	1.37	1.38	1.23	0.49	0.39*	27/117	2.33**	2.38**	2.29*	9/82	0.49	0.51	0.40†
	17.8%	0.83-2.25	0.82-2.31	0.72-2.10	0.20-1.21	0.15-1.00	23.1%	1.26-4.33	1.25-4.54	1.18-4.44	10.6%	0.20-1.19	0.21-1.27	0.15-1.06
p for trend		0.223	0.228	0.288				0.007**	0.009**	0.013*		0.109	0.141	0.155
Work environment														
Blue-collar	39/280	-	-	-	-	-								
	13.9%	(Reference)	(Reference) (Reference)	(Reference)	(Reference)	(Reference)								
White-collar	84/515	1.20	1.61	1.51	0.75	0.65								
	16.3%	0.80-1.82	0.96-2.68	0.89-2.56	0.34-1.69	0.29-1.46								
Interaction														
White-collar					2.20	2.32								
"Medium procrastination					0.81–5.97	0.84–6.41								
White-collar *High					4.81**	2.60**								
procrastination					1.58–14.64	1.80–17.41								

^aAdjusted for age, education, long work hours and shift work.

^bAdjusted for age, education, long work hours, shift work, smoking, drinking and physical activity.

^cAdjusted for age, education and long work hours.

^dAdjusted for age, education, long work hours, smoking, drinking and physical activity.

^p>Co.05; **p<0.01.

Tp<0.10.

AWG10, adult weight gain over 10 kg; MetS, metabolic syndrome.



directly. In contrast to these workers, we also found that blue-collar workers with high procrastination had no significant risk of obesity-related factors, which supports the hypothesis of an interactive effect between work environment and time inconsistency on obesity among workers.

There are increasing concerns about the development of socioemotional skills, especially during preschool education, because early skills are thought to be important determinants of future skills, which can lead to great health inequalities. ^{12 30} In addition, these skills (time inconsistency and procrastination) are partially genetically determined. ^{37–39} However, our results suggest that workers with high procrastination (one of adverse socioemotional skills ^{12 30}) who work in desirable settings (non-obesogenic; less sedentary, less free to eat during work and better regulated) might not have an increased risk of obesity, that is, work site interventions may be important to prevent obesity among workers. ^{21 36} In developed countries, occupationally related physical activities have been decreasing recently. ⁴⁰ Further study is needed to assess the kinds of work environments that can reduce the effect of procrastination on obesity.

We inferred some other potential reasons for our finding of no significant relationship between procrastination and obesity in blue-collar workers. One possible reason relates to socioeconomic status, especially household income, and marital and parental status. Previous studies have showed that these variables are associated with obesity. However, our analyses did not include them, because our study did not survey them. Further study is needed to evaluate the relationships between procrastination, work environment and obesity controlling for socioeconomic status. A second possible reason relates to the healthy worker effect. Because blue-collar work requires greater physical activity, workers with obesity-related diseases who cannot do such work may resign or change their position to white-collar work.

MetS and weight gain during adulthood, as well as obesity, are risk factors of type 2DM, CVD, sudden death, and cancer. In the work context (productivity and cost), obesity is also associated with negative consequences among workers, including more frequent absenteeism, sick leave, workplace injury, and greater healthcare costs. ⁴³ ⁴⁴ Additionally, obesity strengthens the effect of work-related psychological stress on workplace blood pressure and autonomic nervous dysfunction, which can induce CVD and sudden death, including karōshi (death from overwork). ^{45–47} To prevent these diseases and harmful results, male white-collar workers with high procrastination levels should be monitored more carefully for undesirable adult weight gain (ie, weight gain over 3 kg in 1 year).

The present study has several strengths. First, we used health check-up data, including measured BMI, which is different from many previous studies using self-reported BMI. ¹⁵ ¹⁶ ¹⁹ Additionally, our study assessed MetS because our data included the results of blood tests. This is the

first study indicating the epidemiological relationship between time preference and MetS. Finally, as discussed above, ours is the first study among workers to document the different relationships between procrastination and obesity, by occupation.

Limitations of this study include the following. First, our data were cross-sectional in nature and procrastination was assessed using self-report questionnaires; therefore, a causal relationship between procrastination and obesity cannot be inferred. Although we used whitecollar or blue-collar work as the exposure variables in this study, we did not assess career changes (ie, blue-collar to white-collar career change and vice versa). Second, in this study, we used one questionnaire addressing homework when workers were in school, which participants were asked to recall, to assess time inconsistency. We did not use the more common type of questionnaire used to assess procrastination, in which participants make a series of binary choices, such as preferring to receive a smaller amount of money sooner or a larger amount later. 15 16 19 Therefore, we cannot compare our results to previous studies that used the latter type of survey. In addition, we did not assess impatience and the sign effect, which are other time inconsistency indexes related to obesity. 19 Additionally, in the questionnaire about homework while in school, our results may be affected by social disability bias. Third, our analysis did not include family status (marital and parenthood status) and household income data, which are considered important covariance factors. 41 42 Finally, participants in our study were employees of a manufacturing company and its associate companies in Japan. Obesity and Mets were assessed using Japanese-specific criteria. Therefore, our results may contain healthy worker bias and will be difficult to be generalised to all workers in Japanese companies and other countries.

In conclusion, we investigated the association of procrastination with obesity-related factors among workers in a Japanese manufacturing company. Procrastination was associated with increased risk of obesity-related factors in male white-collar workers but not in all workers and blue-collar workers. To prevent obesity-related diseases among workers, we should monitor male white-collar workers who have high levels of procrastination more carefully, and work site interventions in white-collar obesogenic environments may be useful.

Acknowledgements The authors thank Yoshihiro Akiyama, Kotomi Ikeda, Mayumi Kumagai, Sayuri Yamagishi and Naomi Shinohara for support in collecting data and Analisa Avila, ELS, of Edanz Group (www.edanzediting.com/ac) for editing a draft of this manuscript.

Contributors AN designed the study, collected and analyzed data, drafted the manuscript, reviewed and edited the manuscript and contributed discussion. KS reviewed and edited the manuscript and contributed discussion.

Funding The authors have not declared a specific grant for this research from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent for publication Obtained.



Ethics approval This study aims and protocol were approved by the Ethical Committee of Aichi Medical University.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data availability statement No data are available.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.

ORCID iDs

Akihiko Narisada http://orcid.org/0000-0002-3957-6256 Kohta Suzuki http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8151-6927

REFERENCES

- 1 Kelly T, Yang W, Chen C-S, et al. Global burden of obesity in 2005 and projections to 2030. Int J Obes 2008;32:1431-7.
- 2 Zheng W, McLerran DF, Rolland B, et al. Association between bodymass index and risk of death in more than 1 million Asians. N Engl J Med 2011;364:719–29.
- 3 Japan Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare. National health and nutrition survey in Japan, 2011in Japanese. Available: http://www. mhlw.go.jp/bunya/kenkou/eiyou/dl/h23-houkoku.pdf
- 4 Funatogawa I, Funatogawa T, Nakao M, et al. Changes in body mass index by birth cohort in Japanese adults: results from the National nutrition survey of Japan 1956-2005. Int J Epidemiol 2009;38:83–92.
- 5 Hardy R, Wills AK, Wong A, et al. Life course variations in the associations between FTO and MC4R gene variants and body size. Hum Mol Genet 2010;19:545–52.
- 6 Lean ME. Pathophysiology of obesity. Proc Nutr Soc 2000;59:331-6.
- 7 Sheehan TJ, DuBrava S, DeChello LM, et al. Rates of weight change for black and white Americans over a twenty year period. Int J Obes 2003;27:498–504.
- 8 Nanri A, Mizoue T, Takahashi Y, et al. Association of weight change in different periods of adulthood with risk of type 2 diabetes in Japanese men and women: the Japan public health Center-Based prospective study. J Epidemiol Community Health 2011;65:1104–10.
- 9 Kaneto C, Toyokawa S, Miyoshi Y, et al. Long-Term weight change in adulthood and incident diabetes mellitus: my health up study. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 2013;102:138–46.
- 10 de Mutsert R, Sun Q, Willett WC, et al. Overweight in early adulthood, adult weight change, and risk of type 2 diabetes, cardiovascular diseases, and certain cancers in men: a cohort study. Am J Epidemiol 2014;179:1353–65.
- 11 Zheng Y, Manson JE, Yuan C, et al. Associations of weight gain from early to middle adulthood with major health outcomes later in life. JAMA 2017;318:255–69.
- 12 OECD. Skills for social progress-The power of social and emotional skills. OECD Publishing, 2015.
- 13 Roberto CA, Kawachi I. Behavioral economics and public health. Oxford University Press, 2016.
- Matjasko JL, Cawley JH, Baker-Goering MM, et al. Applying behavioral economics to public health policy: illustrative examples and promising directions. Am J Prev Med 2016;50:S13–19.
- 15 Amlung M, Petker T, Jackson J, et al. Steep discounting of delayed monetary and food rewards in obesity: a meta-analysis. Psychol Med 2016;46:2423–34.
- 16 Barlow P, Reeves A, McKee M, et al. Unhealthy diets, obesity and time discounting: a systematic literature review and network analysis. Obes Rev 2016;17:810–9.
- 17 Ainslie G. Breakdown of will. Cambridge University Press, 2001.
- 18 Ainslie G. Pure hyperbolic discount curves predict "eyes open" selfcontrol. *Theory Decis* 2012;73:3–34. Dec.
- 19 Ikeda S, Kang MI, Ohtake F, et al. The sign effect, and the body mass index. J Health Econ 2010;29:268–84.
- 20 Kang M-I, Ikeda S, discounting T. Time discounting, present biases, and health-related behaviors: evidence from Japan. *Econ Hum Biol* 2016;21:122–36.

- 21 Lake A, Townshend T. Obesogenic environments: exploring the built and food environments. J R Soc Promot Health 2006;126:262–7.
- 22 Solovieva S, Lallukka T, Virtanen M, et al. Psychosocial factors at work, long work hours, and obesity: a systematic review. Scand J Work Environ Health 2013;39:241–58.
- 23 van Uffelen JG, Wong J, Chau JY, et al. Occupational sitting and health risks: a systematic review. Am J Prev Med 2010;39:379–88.
- 24 Ishizaki M, Morikawa Y, Nakagawa H, et al. The influence of work characteristics on body mass index and waist to hip ratio in Japanese employees. *Ind Health* 2004;42:41–9.
- 25 Epstein LH, Salvy SJ, Carr KA, et al. Food reinforcement, delay discounting and obesity. *Physiol Behav* 2010;100:438–45.
- 26 Story GW, Vlaev I, Seymour B, et al. Does temporal discounting explain unhealthy behavior? A systematic review and reinforcement learning perspective. Front Behav Neurosci 2014;8:76.
- 27 Amlung M, Vedelago L, Acker J, et al. Steep delay discounting and addictive behavior: a meta-analysis of continuous associations. Addiction 2017;112:51–62.
- 28 Funatogawa I, Funatogawa T, Yano E. Trends in smoking and lung cancer mortality in Japan, by birth cohort, 1949–2010. Bull World Health Organ 2013;91:332–40.
- 29 Ikeda S, Ontake F, Tsutsui Y. Time discount rates: an analysis based on economic experiments and questionnaire surveys (in Japanese). Institute of social and economic research discussion papers 6382005-06, the Institute of social and economic research. Osaka University, 2005.
- 30 Mischel W. *The marshmallow test*. Little, Brown and Company, 2014.
- 31 Takagi D, Kondo N, Takada M, et al. Educational attainment, time preference, and health-related behaviors: a mediation analysis from the J-SHINE survey. Soc Sci Med 2016;153:116–22.
- 32 Examination Committee of Criteria for 'Obesity Disease' in Japan, Japan Society for the Study of Obesity. New criteria for 'obesity disease' in Japan. Circ J 2002;66:987–92.
- 33 Matsuzawa Y. Metabolic Syndrome Definition and Diagnostic Criteria in Japan. J Atheroscler Thromb 2005;12:301.
- 34 Bureau JS. Ministry of internal Affairs and communications (statistics bureau, MIC). *Labour Force Survey* 2015.
- 35 Shuval K, Stoklosa M, Pachucki MC, et al. Economic preferences and fast food consumption in US adults: insights from behavioral economics. Prev Med 2016;93:204–10.
- 36 Marteau TM, Hollands GJ, Fletcher PC. Changing human behavior to prevent disease: the importance of targeting automatic processes. *Science* 2012;337:1492–5.
- 37 Gustavson DE, Miyake A, Hewitt JK, et al. Genetic relations among procrastination, impulsivity, and goal-management ability: implications for the evolutionary origin of procrastination. Psychol Sci 2014;25:1178–88.
- 38 Anokhin AP, Grant JD, Mulligan RC, *et al.* The genetics of impulsivity: evidence for the heritability of delay discounting. *Biol Psychiatry* 2015;77:887–94.
- 39 Ishii K, Matsunaga M, Noguchi Y, et al. A polymorphism of serotonin 2A receptor (5-HT 2A R) influences delay discounting. Pers Individ Dif 2018;121:193–9.
- 40 Church TS, Thomas DM, Tudor-Locke C, et al. Trends over 5 decades in U.S. occupation-related physical activity and their associations with obesity. PLoS One 2011;6:e19657.
- 41 Fukuda Y, Hiyoshi A. Associations of household expenditure and marital status with cardiovascular risk factors in Japanese adults: analysis of nationally representative surveys. *J Epidemiol* 2013;23:21–7.
- 42 Garfield CF, Duncan G, Gutina A, et al. Longitudinal study of body mass index in young males and the transition to fatherhood. Am J Mens Health 2016;10:NP158–67.
- 43 Schmier JK, Jones ML, Schmier MT. Cost of obesity in the workplace. Scand J Work Environ Health 2006;32:5–11.
- 44 Goettler A, Grosse A, Sonntag D. Productivity loss due to overweight and obesity: a systematic review of indirect costs. *BMJ Open* 2017;7:e014632.
- 45 Steptoe A, Wardle J, responsivity Cstress. Body mass and abdominal adiposity. *Int J Obesity* 2004;29:1329–37.
- 46 Narisada A, Hasegawa T, Nakahigashi M, et al. Inverse association of des-acyl ghrelin with worksite blood pressure in overweight/obese male workers. Environ Health Prev Med 2015;20:224–31.
- 47 Mehta RK. Impacts of obesity and stress on neuromuscular fatigue development and associated heart rate variability. *Int J Obes* 2015;39:208–13.