



Article Net Conversion of Human-Edible Vitamins and Minerals in the U.S. Southern Great Plains Beef Production System

Phillip A. Lancaster ^{1,*}, Deann Presley ², Walt Fick ², Dustin Pendell ³, Adam Ahlers ⁴, Andrew Ricketts ⁴ and Minfeng Tang ¹

- ¹ Beef Cattle Institute, Kansas State University, Manhattan, KS 66506, USA
- Department of Agronomy, Kansas State University, Manhattan, KS 66506, USA
- ³ Department of Agricultural Economics, Kansas State University, Manhattan, KS 66506, USA
- ⁴ Department of Horticulture and Natural Resources, Kansas State University, Manhattan, KS 66506, USA
- * Correspondence: palancaster@vet.k-state.edu

Simple Summary: Beef production is often viewed as a waste of human-edible food, but overall beef cattle consume primarily feedstuffs nonedible by humans. Previous analyses indicate that the current beef production system is a positive net contributor of high quality protein to the human diet. However, beef provides several important nutrients besides protein: iron, zinc, selenium, phosphorus, vitamin B12, vitamin B6, riboflavin, niacin, and choline. The goal of the current study was to calculate the net nutrient conversion ratio of a beef production system to supply these nutrients to the human diet. The amount of human-absorbable nutrient produced in beef products. The net nutrient conversion ratio was computed as the ratio of nutrient production to nutrient consumption with a value greater than one being a positive net contribution to the human diet. The Southern Great Plains beef production system is a positive net contributor of human-absorbable iron, phosphorus, riboflavin, niacin, and choline to the human diet. Further analysis demonstrates that the amount of corn grain, the primary human-edible feedstuff, consumed by cattle during the feedlot phase is an important indicator of the net nutrient contribution of the beef production system.

Abstract: Beef is a good source of several vitamins and minerals but data on the net contribution to the human diet is lacking. The objective was to quantify the net nutrient contribution of the beef supply chain to provide vitamins and minerals to the human diet. Beef cattle production parameters for the beef supply chain were as described by Baber et al., 2018 with the red and organ meat yield from each production segment estimated using literature values of serially-harvested beef cattle. Nutrient concentration of feeds was acquired from feed composition tables in nutrient requirement texts, and the nutrient concentration of beef and organ meats was based on 2018 USDA Food and Nutrient Database for Dietary Studies. The nutrient absorption coefficients of feeds, red meat, and organs were acquired from the literature. The human-edible conversion ratio was >1.0 for phosphorus when only red meat yield was considered indicating that the beef supply chain produced more human-edible phosphorus than it consumed. When organ meats were included, riboflavin, niacin, choline, and phosphorus had conversion ratios >1.0. After adjusting for the absorption of nutrients, the beef supply chain was a net contributor of niacin and phosphorus in the human diet when accounting for red meat yield only, but when including organ meats, iron, riboflavin, and choline also had conversion ratios >1.0. The maximum proportion of corn in the corn grain plus distillers' grains component of the feedlot diets for the absorbable conversion ratio to be ≥ 1 ranged from 8.34 to 100.00% when only red meat yield was considered and from 32.02 to 100.00% when red and organ meats were considered. In conclusion, the current beef production system in the Southern Great Plains produces more human-absorbable iron, phosphorus, riboflavin, niacin, and choline to the human diet than is consumed in the beef supply chain.

Keywords: beef; food system; net contribution; sustainable; upcycling



Citation: Lancaster, P.A.; Presley, D.; Fick, W.; Pendell, D.; Ahlers, A.; Ricketts, A.; Tang, M. Net Conversion of Human-Edible Vitamins and Minerals in the U.S. Southern Great Plains Beef Production System. *Animals* 2022, *12*, 2170. https:// doi.org/10.3390/ani12172170

Academic Editor: Brian J. Leury

Received: 24 June 2022 Accepted: 20 August 2022 Published: 24 August 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/).

1. Introduction

Critics have disparaged beef as a food source due to concerns around environmental impacts and consumption of human edible foods. However, beef production systems, even intensive feedlot systems, convert large amounts of human inedible products such as plant biomass into beef, a human edible food. For example, the beef supply chain converts low-quality protein from plant sources into high-quality protein for human consumption [1–3]. In addition to protein, beef is a good source of several minerals and vitamins (iron, zinc, selenium, phosphorus, vitamin B12 and B6, riboflavin, niacin, and choline) in the human diet as well as contributing to pet foods.

Recently, Baber et al. [4] reported that the beef supply chain is a net contributor of digestible indispensable amino acids accounting for differences in concentration and digestibility of amino acids in beef compared with plant-based foods. Likewise, vitamins and minerals contained in beef are more available than in plant-based foods [5,6], which can enhance their value in the human diet.

Accurately accounting for the human nutrient supply of the beef production system is necessary to fully assess the sustainability of beef production. Previous analyses have focused on the contribution to human protein supply [2,4,7–9], but no analyses have been conducted evaluating other nutrients with high concentrations in beef. Therefore, the objective of this study is to determine the net nutrient contribution of the beef supply chain as a mineral and vitamin source to the human diet.

2. Materials and Methods

No animals were used in this research and Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee approval was not required.

A summative model of net nutrient contribution (NNC) was developed based on the current industry diets (Table 1) and production parameters (Table 2) reported by Baber et al. [4] for the entire beef supply chain. This was done so that results would align with the net protein contribution reported by Baber et al. [4]. The cow-calf phase included a breeding population to produce calves for slaughter. Calves from the cow-calf phase moved into the stocker, then feedlot phase where the cow-calf production cycle represents 365 days, and the stocker and feedlot phases represent the time animals were managed in those sectors of the industry. A portion of calves (22.8%) from the cow-calf phase moved directly to the feedlot phase to represent current industry practices. Additionally, open replacement heifers were moved directly to the feedlot phase.

Production parameters adapted from Baber et al. [4].

Model diets were based on typical US beef cattle feedstuffs used in each phase of the Southern Great Plains beef production system. Cows and nursing calves consumed pasture along with small amount of protein supplement as cottonseed meal. In the stocker phase, calves consumed wheat forage and small amount of corn grain and distiller's grains. The feedlot phase was divided into a receiving phase using a typical receiving diet in Southern Great Plains feedlots, and a finishing phase using a typical finishing diet for Southern Great Plains feedlots.

Human-edible nutrient produced was computed for each production phase and the entire beef supply chain. Red and organ meat yield was estimated from published serial harvest studies [10–16] and represented the change in weight that occurs in each production phase (Table S1). Estimation of the nutrient content of feeds, beef meat, and beef organ meats (liver, heart, kidney, spleen, pancreas, gastrointestinal tract) were gathered from nutrient composition tables and published literature (Table S2). If data were not available, as sometimes happened with organ meats, that organ was not included in the analysis. Values used for corn silage were same as those for corn grain assuming that corn silage is 50% corn grain, and that the corn grain would be 100% human-edible if harvested as grain rather plant biomass. The amount of human-edible nutrient produced was based on that amount of animal product produced in each phase such that each phase was independent rather than a running total. The amount of human-edible nutrient consumed and produced

for the entire supply chain was the sum of the three production phases. Human-edible conversion ratio was then computed as the amount of human-edible nutrient produced in beef products to the amount of human-edible nutrient consumed in feed, thus a value greater than 1 indicates that the supply chain is a net contributor to the human diet.

Table 1. Ingredient composition and human-edible fraction of feedstuffs for each phase of the beef supply chain used in the summative model.

Production Phase and Diet Ingredient	Human-Edible Fraction, % 1	Dietary Amount, % as-Fed
Cow-calf phase		
Bermudagrass, fresh	0	97.75
Cottonseed meal	0	1.99
Corn grain (filler in mineral)	100	0.01
Mineral supplement	Nutrient dependent ²	0.25
Stocker phase		
Wheat forage	0	97.12
Corn grain	100	1.00
Distiller's grains, dry	0	1.50
Mineral supplement	Nutrient dependent ²	0.38
Feedlot receiving phase		
Alfalfa hay	0	16.70
Corn silage	50	26.36
Steam-flaked corn	100	18.01
Distiller's grains, wet	0	35.24
Molasses	100	1.76
Urea	0	0.53
Mineral supplement	Nutrient dependent ²	1.40
Feedlot finishing phase		
Alfalfa hay	0	2.12
Corn silage	50	20.43
Steam-flaked corn	100	42.24
Distiller's grains, wet	0	29.58
Molasses	100	2.79
Urea	0	0.72
Tallow	0	0.62
Mineral supplement	Nutrient dependent ²	1.49

¹ Percent of feed ingredient that is human-edible. ² Iron = 0% as iron oxide, Zinc = 100% as zinc sulfate, Selenium = 100% as selenite, Phosphorus = 100% mono- or di-calcium phosphate, vitamins (B12, B6, riboflavin, niacin, choline) = not applicable.

Human-absorbable nutrient consumed and produced was computed for each production phase and the entire beef supply chain by multiplying the human-edible nutrient consumed and produced by the nutrient absorption coefficient. Estimates of nutrient absorption coefficients from feed, beef meat, and beef organ meats were gathered from published studies using humans, swine or poultry (Table S3). Swine have been an acceptable model for assessing nutrient digestibility in humans [17]. If a feedstuff had a human-edible fraction of zero, then the human absorption coefficient was assumed to be zero. Studies on the digestibility of nutrients from organ meats other than liver could not be found in a literature search, thus the nutrient absorption coefficient value for liver was used on the basis that in vitro protein digestibility of heart, kidney, and spleen are similar to liver [18]. The amount of human-absorbable nutrient produced was based on that amount of animal product produced in each phase such that each phase was independent rather than a running total. The amount of human-absorbable nutrient consumed and produced for the entire supply chain was the sum of the three production phases. The human-absorbable conversion ratio was then computed as the amount of human-absorbable nutrient produced in beef products to the amount of human-absorbable nutrient consumed in feed; thus a value greater than 1 indicates that the supply chain is a net contributor to the human diet.

Parameter	Value
Cow-calf phase	
Days on feed, d	365
Age of calf at weaning, d	207
Cows per bull	24
Calving rate, %	88.6
Calf mortality rate, %	4.0
Cow mortality rate, %	2.8
Cow culling rate, %	10.2
Calves sent direct to feedlot, %	22.8
Calves sent to stocker, %	77.2
Replacement heifers per cow	0.19
Mature cow body weight, kg	571
Bull body weight, kg	907
Weaned steer body weight, kg	253
Weaned heifer body weight, kg	240
Replacement heifer body weight at breeding, kg	342
Dry cow feed intake, kg DM/d	10.40
Lactating cow feed intake, kg DM/d	12.75
Bull feed intake, kg DM/d	18.45
Replacement heifer feed intake, kg DM/d	7.20
Steer calf feed intake, kg DM/d	3.42
Heifer calf feed intake, kg DM/d	3.28
Stocker phase	
Days on feed, d	129
Mortality rate, %	1.5
Steer body weight entering feedlot, kg	360
Heifer body weight entering feedlot, kg	326
Steer feed intake, kg DM/d	6.92
Heifer feed intake, kg DM/d	6.52
Feedlot phase	
Days on feed, d	159
Mortality rate (heavyweight), %	1.3
Mortality rate (lightweight), %	2.0
Finished steer body weight, kg	649
Finished heifer body weight, kg	588
Steer feed intake, kg DM/d	10.17
Heifer feed intake, kg DM/d	9.21

Table 2. Production parameters used in the summative model to estimate human edible nutrient intake, production, and conversion ratio.

Human-edible and human-absorbable conversion ratios were computed for iron (Fe), zinc (Zn), selenium (Se), phosphorus (P), vitamin B6 (B6), riboflavin, niacin, niacin + tryptophan, and choline. Tryptophan is a precursor for niacin synthesis [19] with a conversion efficiency of 60 mg of tryptophan producing 1 mg of niacin [20]. The human-edible and human-absorbable conversion ratios for niacin were computed with and without inclusion of tryptophan.

The primary human-edible feedstuff consumed by cattle is corn grain; thus, the amount of corn grain fed to cattle is the primary factor by which the beef industry can affect the net nutrient conversion ratio. Feedlot diets are the main source of corn fed to beef cattle where corn can be replaced with corn byproducts. The proportion of corn in the corn grain plus distillers' grains component of the feedlot diets was varied from 0 to 100% by increments of 10, and the resulting net nutrient conversion ratios for red meat and red plus organ meats were recorded. The relationship between the proportion of corn and the net nutrient conversion ratio was curvilinear. A non-linear model was fit to the data using Origin software (ver. 2022b; OriginLab, Northampton, MA, USA; https://www.originlab.com/;

Accessed on 1 May 2022). The best fit based on adjusted coefficient of determination and the Chi-square was a two-phase exponential decay function.

$$Y = A1 \times e(-x/t1) + A2 \times e(-x/t2) + y0$$
 (1)

where, Y is the net nutrient conversion ratio, A1 and A2 are the two time constants, t1 and t2 are the two rate constants, y0 is the y-intercept, and x is the proportion of corn in the corn grain plus distillers' grains component of the feedlot diets. The GoalSeek function in Microsoft Excel was then used to find the proportion of corn at which the net nutrient conversion ratio is equal to or greater than 1.

3. Results

Among the beef production phases, human-edible nutrient consumption was greatest for all nutrients in the feedlot phase as is expected, as corn grain is the primary humanedible feedstuff used in beef cattle diets and the majority of corn grain is consumed in the feedlot phase of production (Table 3). Human-edible nutrient production accounting for red meat yield only was least for the stocker phase, and approximately equal between the cow-calf and feedlot phases for all nutrients. The cow-calf phase had the greatest human-edible nutrient conversion ratio for all investigated mineral and B-vitamin nutrients except Zn, Se, and P. However, only P had a positive human-edible net contribution to the human diet in the entire beef supply chain when considering red meat consumption only. The beef supply chain has a large positive contribution of vitamin B12 to the human diet as B12 is not produced in plants, and thus there is not a tradeoff between human-edible feedstuffs and beef.

Table 3. Human-edible nutrient conversion efficiency of the beef supply chain (red meat yield only).

Item	Iron	Zinc	Selenium	Phosphorus	B6	Riboflavin	Niacin	Niacin + Trp	Choline
Cow-calf									
Intake, mg	7641	15,475,832	78,531	241,130	1161	183	4126	5654	107,277
Production, mg	228,463	519,313	2364	1,852,305	36,905	19,683	448,138	825,980	7,987,407
Conversion	29.90	0.03	0.03	7.68	31 78	107.33	108 61	146.08	74 46
ratio	27.70	0.00	0.00	7.00	01.0	107.00	100.01	110.00	7 1.10
Stocker									
Intake, mg	90,604	2,244,941	17,760	40,115	13,772	2174	48,926	67,047	1,272,080
Production, mg	58,440	132,840	605	473,817	9440	5035	114,633	211,285	2,043,169
Conversion	0.65	0.06	0.03	11.81	0.69	2.32	2.34	3.15	1.61
ratio									
Feedlot	2 (02 011	9 557 229	6E 600	221 400	112 692	77.054	1 509 601	2 104 547	28 004 022
Production ma	2,092,911	0,337,320 561 147	2554	221,400	442,002 20,979	21 269	1,300,001	2,104,347	26,994,033 8 620 822
Conversion	240,000	301,147	2554	2,001,518	39,070	21,200	404,230	092,317	8,030,833
ratio	0.09	0.07	0.04	9.04	0.09	0.28	0.32	0.42	0.22
Supply chain									
Intake mg	2 791 156	26 278 101	161 901	502 644	457 615	79 611	1 561 654	2 177 248	40 373 391
Production mg	533 770	1 213 299	5522	4 327 640	86 224	45 986	1 047 010	1 929 783	18 661 409
Conversion	000,110	1,210,277	0022	1,02, ,010	00,221	10,700	1,017,010	1,20,700	10,001,107
ratio	0.19	0.05	0.03	8.61	0.19	0.58	0.67	0.89	0.46

When organ meats were included in the computation of human-edible nutrient production, the pattern was similar among production phases as when only red meat yield was used (Table 4). The net nutrient conversion ratios were increased compared to consideration of only red meat consumption, resulting in a net positive contribution for riboflavin, niacin, niacin plus tryptophan, and choline, along with P, for the entire beef supply chain. Phosphorus is the only nutrient of those evaluated with a net positive contribution to the human diet in the feedlot phase when both red meat and organ meat were considered.

Item	Iron	Zinc	Selenium	Phosphorus	B6	Riboflavin	Niacin	Niacin + Trp	Choline
Cow-calf									
Intake, mg	7641	15,475,832	78,531	241,130	1161	183	4126	5654	107,277
Production, mg	544,957	544,247	5054	4,086,275	77,290	52,137	982,921	1,760,136	17,687,328
Conversion ratio	71.32	0.04	0.06	16.95	66.55	284.31	238.22	331.30	164.87
<u>Stocker</u>									
Intake, mg	90,604	2,244,941	17,760	40,115	13,772	2174	48,926	67,047	1,272,080
Production, mg	140,755	138,438	1307	1,050,408	20,058	14,242	255,141	455,268	4,649,087
Conversion ratio	1.55	0.06	0.07	26.18	1.46	6.55	5.21	6.79	3.65
Feedlot									
Intake, mg	2,692,911	8,557,328	65,609	221,400	442,682	77,254	1,508,601	2,104,547	38,994,033
Production, mg	568,475	580,454	5357	4,338,670	83,251	54,995	1,049,806	1,886,950	18,925,592
Conversion ratio	0.21	0.07	0.08	19.60	0.19	0.70	0.70	0.90	0.49
Supply chain									
Intake, mg	2,791,156	26,278,101	161,901	502,644	457,615	79,611	1,561,654	2,177,248	40,373,391
Production, mg	1,254,188	1,263,138	11,717	9,475,353	180,600	121,374	2,287,868	4,102,353	41,262,008
Conversion ratio	0.45	0.05	0.07	18.85	0.39	1.52	1.47	1.88	1.02

Table 4. Human-edible nutrient conversion efficiency of the beef supply chain (red + organ meat yield).

After accounting for differences in absorption between human-edible feedstuffs and red meat, the beef supply chain had net positive contribution of P, niacin, and niacin plus tryptophan to the human diet (Table 5). The feedlot phase has the greatest human-absorbable nutrient consumption whereas the cow-calf phase has the greatest nutrient conversion ratio for all nutrients except for Zn and P. The feedlot phase had the greatest nutrient conversion ratios for all nutrients except for Zn and P. The positive net contribution of niacin and niacin plus tryptophan is somewhat different than the results for human-edible nutrient conversion ratios in that accounting for absorption resulted in conversion ratios greater than one.

With the inclusion of organ meats in the calculation of human-absorbable nutrient produced, the beef supply chain was a net contributor of Fe, P, riboflavin, niacin, niacin plus tryptophan, and choline to the human diet compared to only P, niacin, and niacin plus tryptophan when only red meat yield was included (Table 6). Similar to when only red meat was used in the calculation of human-absorbable nutrient produced, the stocker phase had the least human-absorbable nutrient produced for all nutrients, and the feedlot phase had the lowest nutrient conversion ratios for all nutrients except for Zn. The cow-calf phase had the greatest human-absorbable nutrient conversion ratios for all nutrients except for Zn.

The proportion of corn in the corn grain plus distillers' grains component of the feedlot diet where the net nutrient conversion ratio is equal to or greater than one is presented in Table 7. When organ meats were included in the calculation of human-edible or human-absorbable nutrient produced, the proportion of corn that could be used in feedlot diets increased. For P, 100% corn could be used in the corn grain plus distillers' grains component of feedlot diets regardless of whether evaluating human-edible or human-absorbable nutrient conversion ratio with or without organ meats. For Zn and Se, there was no proportion of corn that would result in a net nutrient conversion ratio equal to or greater than one. When evaluating human-edible net nutrient conversion ratio, the maximum proportion of corn that could be used in the corn grain plus distillers' grains component of feedlot diets used in the corn grain plus distillers' grains component of corn that would result in a net nutrient conversion ratio equal to or greater than one. When evaluating human-edible net nutrient conversion ratio, the maximum proportion of corn that could be used in the corn grain plus distillers' grains component of feedlot diets was 0.00% for red meat yield only and 5.65% for red and organ meat yield

with Fe being the limiting nutrient in both cases. However, based on human-absorbable net nutrient conversion ratio, the maximum proportion of corn that could be used in the corn grain plus distillers' grains component of feedlot diets was 9.57% for red meat yield only and 32.15% for red and organ meat yield with vitamin B6 being the limiting nutrient in both cases.

Item	Iron	Zinc	Selenium	Phosphorus	B6	Riboflavin	Niacin	Niacin + Trp	Choline
Cow-calf									
Intake, mg	458	1,733,624	42,431	204,708	621	115	1650	2873	80,458
Production, mg	41,417	188,698	2104	1,278,091	32,846	17,518	378,677	747,073	7,588,036
Conversion	90.34	0.11	0.05	6 24	52.86	151 63	229 44	260.04	94 31
ratio	70.54	0.11	0.05	0.24	52.00	151.05	227.99	200.04	74.01
<u>Stocker</u>									
Intake, mg	5436	255,352	9878	31,102	7368	1370	19,570	34,067	954,060
Production, mg	10,594	48,269	538	326,934	8402	4481	96,865	191,100	1,941,010
Conversion	1.95	0.19	0.05	10.51	1.14	3.27	4.95	5.61	2.03
ratio									
Feedlot	1=1 00 1	1 0 0 0 (1	10.01	105 100	0.40.450	10 (70	(20) ((1	1 100 000	00 4 45 0 40
Intake, mg	154,026	1,078,861	49,017	107,492	242,450	48,670	620,661	1,100,288	29,147,368
Production, mg	44,753	203,898	2273	1,381,047	35,492	18,929	409,181	807,253	8,199,292
Conversion	0.29	0.19	0.05	12.85	0.15	0.39	0.66	0.73	0.28
ratio									
Supply chain									
Intake, mg	159,921	3,067,837	101,327	343,302	250,440	50,155	641,882	1,137,228	30,181,887
Production, mg	96,764	440,864	4915	2,986,072	76,740	40,928	884,723	1,745,427	17,728,338
ratio	0.61	0.14	0.05	8.70	0.31	0.82	1.38	1.53	0.59

Table 5. Human-absorbable nutrient conversion efficiency of the beef supply chain (red meat yield only).

Table 6. Human-absorbable nutrient conversion efficiency of the beef supply chain (red + organ meat yield).

Item	Iron	Zinc	Selenium	Phosphorus	B6	Riboflavi	n Niacin	Niacin + Trp	Choline
Cow-calf									
Intake, mg	458	1,733,624	42,431	204,708	621	115	1650	2873	80,458
Production, mg	92,516	383,902	4498	2,806,172	68,788	47,168	843,998	1,601,459	16,683,085
Conversion ratio	201.80	0.22	0.11	13.71	110.71	408.28	511.38	557.43	207.35
Stocker									
Intake, mg	5436	255,352	9878	31,102	7368	1370	19,570	34,067	954,060
Production, mg	23,815	98 <i>,</i> 089	1163	721,184	17,852	12,925	219,605	414,626	4,377,241
Conversion ratio	4.38	0.38	0.12	23.19	2.42	9.44	11.22	12.17	4.59
Feedlot									
Intake, mg	154,026	1,078,861	49,017	107,492	242,450	48,670	620,661	1,100,288	29,147,368
Production, mg	97,727	412,818	4768	2,981,935	74,094	49,693	899,692	1,715,598	17,862,838
Conversion ratio	0.63	0.38	0.10	27.74	0.31	1.02	1.45	1.56	0.61
Supply chain									
Intake, mg	159,921	3,067,837	101,327	343,302	250,440	50,155	641,882	1,137,228	30,181,887
Production, mg	214,058	894,000	10,428	6,509,291	160,734	109,787	1,963,295	3,731,684	38,923,164
Conversion ratio	1.34	0.29	0.10	18.96	0.64	2.19	3.06	3.28	1.29

Item	Iron	Zinc	Selenium	Phosphorus	B6	Riboflavin	Niacin	Niacin + Trp	Choline
Red meat only									
Edible conversion	NP	NP	NP	100.00	0.64	25.43	35.54	47.19	21.53
Absorbable conversion	21.98	NP	NP	100.00	9.57	43.62	81.16	89.52	30.48
Red and organ meat									
Edible conversion	5.65	NP	NP	100.00	15.33	95.42	86.13	100.00	58.25
Absorbable conversion	86.27	NP	NP	100.00	32.15	100.00	100.00	100.00	74.43

Table 7. Proportion of corn grain replacing distiller's grains in feedlot diets where net nutrient conversion is equal to or greater than 1.

NP = not possible, proportion of corn necessary for net nutrient conversion equal to one is below 0%.

4. Discussion

Beef is a good source of many vitamins and minerals for humans; iron, zinc, selenium, phosphorus, vitamin B6 (pyridoxine), vitamin B12 (cobalamin), riboflavin, niacin, and choline [6,21]. Iron is a key component of hemoglobin and deficiency can result in anemia especially in women of child-bearing age [22]. Zinc is a component of many enzymes in the body and deficiency can impair immune function and reproduction. Selenium is an important component of glutathione peroxidase mitigating oxidative damage to cells. Phosphorus in the form of phosphate is an important component of bone structure and a key part of energy metabolism as are riboflavin and niacin [20]. Phosphorus deficiency can lead to abnormal bone growth (ricketts) and osteomalacia. Vitamin B6 and B12 are essential to amino acid metabolism, and B12 is an important component in folate metabolism and nucleic acid synthesis. Additionally, absorption of vitamins and minerals from beef by humans is greater than that for plant sources [5,6,22].

Vitamin B12 synthesis is limited almost exclusively to microorganisms, and the vitamin is only present in animal food products [20]. Meat and liver are excellent sources of the vitamin with beef meat and liver having appreciably greater concentrations than pork or chicken due to the synthesis of B12 by rumen microorganisms. The lack of vitamin B12 in plants indicates that the beef production system consumes no human-edible B12 resulting in a positive net contribution to the human diet; however, since the input of human-edible B12 to the beef production system is zero, a net nutrient conversion ratio cannot be computed.

To our knowledge no previous reports have published the net vitamin and mineral contribution of beef production systems to the human diet, but several previous analyses of net protein conversion have been published. Early estimates of protein conversion efficiency indicated that beef required 9 to 19 kg of protein to produce 1 kg of edible meat protein, which was 30 to 900% greater than eggs, poultry, pork or milk, due to using total rather than human-edible protein intake [23–25]. Ertl et al. [26] indicated that humanedible protein conversion efficiency averaged 1.52 for beef cattle in Austria, which was greater than for pork, eggs, poultry, and mutton, but not milk. Additionally, accounting for the protein quality or biological value of plant vs. meat protein increased the net protein conversion efficiency of beef from 1.52 to 2.81 which compares more favorably with 3.78 (milk), 0.56 to 0.76 (poultry and pork), and 1.04 (eggs and mutton) for other livestock products [26]. Similarly, for many vitamins and minerals absorption by humans is greater from beef than from plant sources [5,6,22]. Adjusting the net nutrient conversion ratio for differences in nutrient absorption between human-edible feedstuffs and beef increased the net nutrient conversion ratio of several nutrients in the current analysis and resulted in the beef production system having a positive net contribution for additional nutrients.

Organ meats are excellent sources of many vitamins and minerals. The organ meats used in this analysis included heart, liver, kidney, spleen, pancreas, and gastrointestinal tract; all of which could be consumed by humans. Including organ meats in the output of human-edible and human-absorbable nutrients increased the net nutrient conversion ratio for all nutrients, and resulted in positive net contributions for Fe, riboflavin, niacin, and

choline, but rarely are these organ meats consumed by the US population. Approximately 1.36 million metric tons of organ meats are produced in the beef supply chain annually (calculated from USDA AMS statistics). The pet food industry utilizes 136,000 metric tons of organ meats annually [27] and 300,000 metric tons are exported annually (U.S. Meat Export Federation). It is unlikely that the US population consumes the remaining 924,000 metric tons of organ meats produced (consumption data is unavailable) indicating that most of the organ meats are used for non-food purposes. Consumption of nutrients by pets is not normally included in the analysis of net contribution of nutrients from the beef production system, but many household pets being monogastric animals as humans are benefit from more bioavailable nutrients in beef. Consumption of pet edible feedstuffs such as corn by the beef production system has the same implications as for the human diet as it is using land to produce animal feed rather than directly producing pet food. Increasing the consumption of organ meats by humans and pets would improve the nutrient conversion efficiency of beef production.

Similar to the differences in mineral and B-vitamin nutrient conversion ratios among production phases in the current analysis, Baber et al. [4] reported that the feedlot phase of production consumed the most human-edible protein, whereas the cow-calf phase had the greatest human-edible and net protein conversion efficiency. This trend is based on the diet ingredients used in the different sectors of the beef industry where the vast majority of feedstuffs used in the cow-calf phase are non-edible by humans compared with the feedlot phase where approximately 50% of the feedstuffs are edible by humans, primarily corn grain. Grass-finished beef production systems utilize almost exclusively feedstuffs non-edible by humans resulting in net protein contribution 800 times greater (1597 vs. 1.96) than grain-finished production systems [28]. Additionally, the human-edible protein conversion ratio was 6.1 for Argentina beef production compared with 1.19 for US beef production due to the fact that cattle in Argentina mostly consume pasture and byproducts non-edible by humans [25]. Thus, the net nutrient contribution of any beef production system is primarily determined by the amount of human-edible feedstuffs used in the different production systems.

In agreement with analysis of Thomas et al. [28] and Broderick [25], the analysis of the proportion of corn in the corn grain plus distillers' grains component of the feedlot diet indicated that corn consumption is an important component of the net nutrient contribution of the beef production system to the human diet. With the exception of Zn and Se, there is a proportion of corn that will allow for a positive net nutrient contribution for all nutrients. However, the proportion of corn required for a positive net contribution for vitamin B6 is low and may not be very practical as inclusion of wet distillers' grains above 40% of diet dry matter reduces cattle performance [29,30]. To maintain a maximum of 40% wet distillers' grains in the feedlot diet, the minimum proportion of corn in the corn grain plus distillers' grains component of the feedlot diet would be 43%. A proportion of 43% would not allow a positive net contribution of iron, B6, riboflavin, or choline when using red meat only, but when organ meats are added, the beef production system becomes a positive net contributor of iron, riboflavin, niacin, and choline at a proportion of 43% corn. The reason for the poor net nutrient conversion ratios for Zn and Se and the lack of a proportion of corn that will allow a positive net contribution is that a large amount of each nutrient is consumed in mineral supplements in forms that are human edible, and that the absorption coefficients for beef are more similar to human-edible feedstuffs than for other nutrients (Table S3).

With corn being the primary human-edible feedstuff consumed by beef cattle, the nutrient concentration and availability in corn is expected to be important to the net nutrient conversion ratio. Ertl et al. [8] suggested that animal production systems could be evaluated based on their ability to transform human-edible protein inputs to animal protein by multiplying the ratio (output/input) of protein quantity with the ratio (output/input) of protein quality. Based on this concept, the ratio of nutrients of beef to corn is likely a good indicator of the net nutrient conversion ratio. The ratio of nutrient concentration in beef to

corn multiplied by the ratio of absorption coefficients in beef to corn for each nutrient was strongly correlated (r = 0.99) with the net nutrient conversion ratio.

5. Conclusions

The cow-calf phase of the beef supply chain consumes the least amount of humanedible mineral and B-vitamin nutrients for most nutrients evaluated, resulting in the greatest net nutrient contribution to the human diet. The feedlot phase has the lowest net nutrient contribution to the human diet for all evaluated mineral and B-vitamin nutrients except phosphorus. The stocker phase generally had the least human-edible nutrient consumption and production with net nutrient contribution intermediate of the cow-calf and feedlot phases. Adjusting nutrient consumption and production based on absorbable nutrient improved the net nutrient contribution of the beef supply chain to the human diet as did inclusion of organ meats in the nutrient production calculation. The beef supply chain is a net positive contributor of iron, phosphorus, vitamin B12, riboflavin, niacin, and choline to the human diet. The amount of corn grain consumed by cattle is a primary determinant of the net nutrient contribution of beef production systems. The net nutrient contribution could be improved by decreasing as much as possible the proportion of human-edible feedstuffs in cattle diets and utilizing as much organ meats as possible in human and pet diets.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https: //www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/ani12172170/s1, Table S1. Beef product yield (% shrunk body weight) estimates used to compute human-edible nutrient production; Table S2. Nutrient concentration of feedstuffs, red meat, and organ meats used in summative model; Table S3. Nutrient absorption coefficients (%) of feedstuffs, red meat, and organ meats used in the summative model; Literature used to determine nutrient concentrations [6,21,31–62]; Literature used to determine nutrient absorption coefficients [7,19,22,63–129].

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, P.A.L., D.P. (Deann Presley), W.F., D.P. (Dustin Pendell), A.A. and A.R.; Methodology, P.A.L., D.P. (Deann Presley), W.F., D.P. (Dustin Pendell) and M.T.; Software, P.A.L. and M.T.; Validation, P.A.L., D.P. (Deann Presley) and W.F.; Formal Analysis, P.A.L. and M.T.; Investigation, P.A.L., D.P. (Deann Presley), W.F., D.P. (Dustin Pendell), A.A. and A.R.; Resources, P.A.L., D.P. (Deann Presley), W.F., D.P. (Dustin Pendell), A.A. and A.R.; Resources, P.A.L., D.P. (Deann Presley), W.F., D.P. (Dustin Pendell), A.A. and A.R.; Dt.A. and M.T.; Writing—Original Draft Preparation, P.A.L. and M.T.; Writing—Review and Editing, P.A.L., D.P. (Deann Presley), W.F., D.P. (Dustin Pendell), A.A., A.R. and M.T.; Visualization, P.A.L., D.P. (Deann Presley), W.F., D.P. (Dustin Pendell), A.A., A.R. and M.T.; Visualization, P.A.L., D.P. (Deann Presley), W.F., D.P. (Dustin Pendell), A.A., A.R. and M.T.; Visualization, P.A.L., D.P. (Deann Presley), W.F., D.P. (Dustin Pendell), A.A., A.R. and M.T.; Supervision, P.A.L., D.P. (Deann Presley), W.F., D.P. (Dustin Pendell), A.A., A.R. and M.T.; Supervision, P.A.L., D.P. (Deann Presley), W.F., D.P. (Dustin Pendell), A.A., A.R. and M.T.; Supervision, P.A.L., D.P. (Deann Presley), W.F., D.P. (Dustin Pendell), A.A. and A.R.; Project Administration, P.A.L.; Funding Acquisition, P.A.L., D.P. (Deann Presley), W.F., D.P. (Dustin Pendell), A.A. and A.R. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This project was funded by the Beef Checkoff #1850.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Data are available upon request.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors have no conflict of interest.

References

- Oltjen, J.W.; Beckett, J.L. Role of Ruminant Livestock in Sustainable Agricultural Systems. J. Anim. Sci. 1996, 74, 1406–1409. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 2. Wilkinson, J.M. Re-Defining Efficiency of Feed Use by Livestock. Animal 2011, 5, 1014–1022. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 3. Ertl, P.; Klocker, H.; Hörtenhuber, S.; Knaus, W.; Zollitsch, W. The Net Contribution of Dairy Production to Human Food Supply: The Case of Austrian Dairy Farms. *Agric. Syst.* **2015**, *137*, 119–125. [CrossRef]
- 4. Baber, J.R.; Sawyer, J.E.; Wickersham, T.A. Estimation of Human-Edible Protein Conversion Efficiency, Net Protein Contribution, and Enteric Methane Production from Beef Production in the United States. *Trans. Anim. Sci.* 2018, 2, 439–450. [CrossRef]

- Ortega-Barrales, P.; Fernández-de Córdova, M.L. Meat. In *Handbook of Mineral Elements in Food*; John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.: Hoboken, NJ, USA, 2015; pp. 599–619. ISBN 978-1-118-65431-6.
- Li, C. The Role of Beef in Human Nutrition and Health. In *Ensuring Safety and Quality in the Production of Beef*; Burleigh Dodds Science Publishing: Cambridge, UK, 2017; pp. 329–338. ISBN 978-1-78676-060-9.
- Young, V.R.; Pellett, P.L. Plant Proteins in Relation to Human Protein and Amino Acid Nutrition. Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 1994, 59, 1203S–1212S. [CrossRef]
- 8. Ertl, P.; Knaus, W.; Zollitsch, W. An Approach to Including Protein Quality When Assessing the Net Contribution of Livestock to Human Food Supply. *Animal* **2016**, *10*, 1883–1889. [CrossRef]
- 9. Herreman, L.; Nommensen, P.; Pennings, B.; Laus, M.C. Comprehensive Overview of the Quality of Plant- and Animal-Sourced Proteins Based on the Digestible Indispensable Amino Acid Score. *Food Sci. Nutr.* **2020**, *8*, 5379–5391. [CrossRef]
- 10. Ferrell, C.L.; Jenkins, T.G. Relationships among Various Body Components of Mature Cows. J. Anim. Sci. **1984**, 58, 222–233. [CrossRef]
- 11. Sainz, R.D.; Bentley, B.E. Visceral Organ Mass and Cellularity in Growth-Restricted and Refed Beef Steers. J. Anim. Sci. 1997, 75, 1229–1236. [CrossRef]
- 12. Hersom, M.J.; Krehbiel, C.R.; Horn, G.W. Effect of Live Weight Gain of Steers during Winter Grazing: II. Visceral Organ Mass, Cellularity, and Oxygen Consumption. J. Anim. Sci. 2004, 82, 184–197. [CrossRef]
- McCurdy, M.P.; Krehbiel, C.R.; Horn, G.W.; Lancaster, P.A.; Wagner, J.J. Effects of Winter Growing Program on Visceral Organ Mass, Composition, and Oxygen Consumption of Beef Steers during Growing and Finishing. J. Anim. Sci. 2010, 88, 1554–1563. [CrossRef]
- Sharman, E.D.; Lancaster, P.A.; McMurphy, C.P.; Mafi, G.G.; Starkey, J.D.; Krehbiel, C.R.; Horn, G.W. Effect of Rate of Body Weight Gain of Steers during the Stocker Phase. II. Visceral Organ Mass and Body Composition of Growing-Finishing Beef Cattle. J. Anim. Sci. 2013, 91, 2355–2366. [CrossRef]
- Wood, K.M.; Awda, B.J.; Fitzsimmons, C.; Miller, S.P.; McBride, B.W.; Swanson, K.C. Influence of Pregnancy in Mid-to-Late Gestation on Circulating Metabolites, Visceral Organ Mass, and Abundance of Proteins Relating to Energy Metabolism in Mature Beef Cows. J. Anim. Sci. 2013, 91, 5775–5784. [CrossRef]
- Wood, K.M.; Awda, B.J.; Fitzsimmons, C.; Miller, S.P.; McBride, B.W.; Swanson, K.C. Effect of Moderate Dietary Restriction on Visceral Organ Weight, Hepatic Oxygen Consumption, and Metabolic Proteins Associated with Energy Balance in Mature Pregnant Beef Cows. J. Anim. Sci. 2013, 91, 4245–4255. [CrossRef]
- 17. Deglaire, A.; Moughan, P.J. Animal Models for Determining Amino Acid Digestibility in Humans—A Review. *Br. J. Nutr.* 2012, 108, S273–S281. [CrossRef]
- Wu, G.; Hicks, T.M.; Frost, D.; Staincliffe, M.; Farouk, M.M. Organ-Meat Functionality and Digestibility. In Proceedings of the 64th International Congress of Meat Science and Technology, Melbourne, Australia, 12–17 August 2018.
- Ball, G.F.M. Niacin and Tryptophan. In *Bioavailability and Analysis of Vitamins in Foods*; Ball, G.F.M., Ed.; Springer: Boston, MA, USA, 1998; pp. 319–359. ISBN 978-1-4899-3414-7.
- 20. Combs, G.F. *The Vitamins: Fundamental Aspects in Nutrition and Health;* Academic Press: San Diego, CA, USA, 1999.
- United States Department of Agriculture Food and Nutrient Database for Dietary Studies. Available online: https://data.nal. usda.gov/ (accessed on 13 January 2022).
- 22. O'Dell, B.L.; Sunde, R.A. (Eds.) Handbook of Nutritionally Essential Mineral Elements; Marcel Dekker: New York, NY, USA, 1997; ISBN 0-8247-9312-9.
- Flachowsky, G. Efficiency of Energy and Nutrient Use in the Production of Edible Protein of Animal Origin. J. Appl. Anim. Res. 2002, 22, 1–24. [CrossRef]
- Peters, C.J.; Picardy, J.A.; Darrouzet-Nardi, A.; Griffin, T.S. Feed Conversions, Ration Compositions, and Land Use Efficiencies of Major Livestock Products in U.S. Agricultural Systems. *Agric. Syst.* 2014, 130, 35–43. [CrossRef]
- 25. Broderick, G.A. Review: Optimizing Ruminant Conversion of Feed Protein to Human Food Protein. *Animal* **2018**, *12*, 1722–1734. [CrossRef]
- Ertl, P.; Steinwidder, A.; Schonauer, M.; Krimberger, K.; Knaus, W.; Zollitsch, W. Net Food Production of Different Livestock: A National Analysis for Austria Including Relative Occupation of Different Land Categories. J. Land Manag. Food Environ. 2016, 67, 91–103. [CrossRef]
- Anonymous. Pet Food Production and Ingredient Analysis. Available online: https://www.petfoodinstitute.org/wp-content/ uploads/20200310-Pet-Food-Report-FINAL.pdf (accessed on 7 January 2022).
- 28. Thomas, D.T.; Beletse, Y.G.; Dominik, S.; Lehnert, S.A. Net Protein Contribution and Enteric Methane Production of Pasture and Grain-Finished Beef Cattle Supply Chains. *Animal* **2021**, *15*, 100392. [CrossRef]
- Klopfenstein, T.J.; Erickson, G.E.; Bremer, V.R. Board-Invited Review: Use of Distillers by-Products in the Beef Cattle Feeding Industry. J. Anim. Sci. 2008, 86, 1223–1231. [CrossRef]
- Watson, A.K.; Vander Pol, K.J.; Huls, T.J.; Luebbe, M.K.; Erickson, G.E.; Klopfenstein, T.J.; Greenquist, M.A. Effect of Dietary Inclusion of Wet or Modified Distillers Grains plus Solubles on Performance of Finishing Cattle11A Contribution of the University of Nebraska Agricultural Research Division, Supported in Part by Funds Provided through the Hatch Act. *Prof. Anim. Sci.* 2014, 30, 585–596. [CrossRef]

- Abdilova, G.; Rebezov, M.; Nesterenko, A.; Safronov, S.; Knysh, I.; Ivanova, I.; Mikolaychik, I.; Morozova, L. Characteristics of Meat By-Products: Nutritional and Biological Value. *Int. J. Mod. Agric.* 2021, 10, 3895–3904.
- 32. Adams, J.F.; McEwan, F.; Wilson, A. The vitamin B12 content of meals and items of diet. British. J. Nutr. 1973, 29, 65–72. [CrossRef]
- 33. Arthur, D. Selenium Content of Canadian Foods. Can. Inst. Food Sci. Technol. J. 1972, 5, 165–169. [CrossRef]
- 34. Biel, W.; Czerniawska-Piątkowska, E.; Kowalczyk, A. Offal Chemical Composition from Veal, Beef, and Lamb Maintained in Organic Production Systems. *Animals* **2019**, *9*, 489. [CrossRef]
- Daun, C.; Åkesson, B. Glutathione Peroxidase Activity, and Content of Total and Soluble Selenium in Five Bovine and Porcine Organs Used in Meat Production. *Meat Sci.* 2004, 66, 801–807. [CrossRef]
- 36. Elvehjem, C.A.; Peterson, W.H. The iron content of animal tissues. J. Biol. Chem. 1927, 74, 433-441. [CrossRef]
- 37. Engel, R.W. The Choline Content of Animal and Plant Products. J. Nutr. 1943, 25, 441–446. [CrossRef]
- 38. Forbes, E.B.; Swift, R.W. The iron content of meats. J. Biol. Chem. 1926, 67, 517–521. [CrossRef]
- 39. Francis, C.K.; Trowbridge, P.F. Phosphorus in Beef Animals. Part II. J. Biol. Chem. 1910, 8, 81–93. [CrossRef]
- 40. Gille, D.; Schmid, A. Vitamin B12 in Meat and Dairy Products. Nutr. Rev. 2015, 73, 106–115. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 41. Van Heerden, S.M.; Morey, L. Nutrient Content of South African C2 Beef Offal. Food Meas. 2014, 8, 249–258. [CrossRef]
- 42. Henderson, L.M.; Waisman, H.A.; Elvehjem, C.A. The Distribution of Pyridoxine (Vitamin B6) in Meat and Meat Products. *J. Nutr.* **1941**, *21*, 589–598. [CrossRef]
- 43. Howe, J.C.; Williams, J.; Holden, J.M.; Zeisel, S.H.; Mar, M.-H. USDA Database for the Choline Content of Common Foods. Available online: http://www.nal.usda.gov/fnic/foodcomp/Data/Choline/Choline.pdf (accessed on 5 June 2021).
- Kesterson, H.F.; Nutrient Analysis of Ten Raw U.S. Beef Variety Meat Items and Beef Flavor Myology. Text, Colorado State University. 2017. Available online: https://mountainscholar.org/handle/10217/191483 (accessed on 5 June 2021).
- 45. Kizlaitis, L.; Steinfeld, M.I.; Siedler, A.J. Nutrient Content of Variety Meats. J. Food Sci. 1962, 27, 459–462. [CrossRef]
- 46. Lawler, T.L.; Taylor, J.B.; Finley, J.W.; Caton, J.S. Effect of Supranutritional and Organically Bound Selenium on Performance, Carcass Characteristics, and Selenium Distribution in Finishing Beef Steers. J. Anim. Sci. 2004, 82, 1488–1493. [CrossRef]
- 47. Luecke, R.W.; Pearson, P.B. The determination of free choline in animal tissues. J. Biol. Chem. 1944, 155, 507–512. [CrossRef]
- McIntire, J.M.; Schweigert, B.S.; Elvehjem, C.A. The Choline and Pyridoxine Content of Meats. J. Nutr. 1944, 28, 219–223. [CrossRef]
- 49. Morris, V.C.; Levander, O.A. Selenium Content of Foods. J. Nutr. 1970, 100, 1383–1388. [CrossRef]
- National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine. Nutrient Requirements of Beef Cattle: Eighth Revised Edition; Animal Nutrition Series; The National Academies Press: Washington, DC, USA, 2016; ISBN 978-0-309-31702-3.
- 51. National Research Council United States. *Canadian Tables of Feed Composition: Nutritional Data for United States and Canadian Feeds, Third Revision,* 3rd ed.; National Academies Press: Washington, DC, USA, 1982.
- 52. National Research Council. Nutrient Requirements of Poultry: Ninth Revised Edition, 9th ed.; National Academies Press: Washington, DC, USA, 1994.
- 53. National Research Council. Nutrient Requirements of Swine: Eleventh Revised Edition, 11th ed.; National Academy Press: Washington, DC, USA, 2012; ISBN 978-0-309-22423-9.
- Ockerman, H.W.; Basu, L. By-Products. In *Encyclopedia of Meat Sciences*; Devine, C., Dikeman, M., Eds.; Elsevier Academic Press Inc: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2004; pp. 104–112. ISBN 978-0-08-092444-1.
- 55. Rouser, G.; Simon, G.; Kritchevsky, G. Species Variations in Phospholipid Class Distribution of Organs: I. Kidney, Liver and Spleen. *Lipids* **1969**, *4*, 599–606. [CrossRef]
- 56. Scheid, H.E.; Andrews, M.M.; Schweigert, B.S. Comparison of Methods for Determination of the Vitamin B12 Potency of Meats: One Figure. J. Nutr. **1952**, 47, 601–610. [CrossRef]
- 57. Scheid, H.E.; Schweigert, B.S. Vitamin B12 Content of Organ Meats. J. Nutr. 1954, 53, 419–427. [CrossRef]
- Seong, P.N.; Kang, G.H.; Park, K.M.; Cho, S.H.; Kang, S.M.; Park, B.Y.; Moon, S.S.; Ba, H.V. Characterization of Hanwoo Bovine By-Products by Means of Yield, Physicochemical and Nutritional Compositions. *Korean J. Food Sci. Anim. Resour.* 2014, 34, 434–447. [CrossRef]
- 59. Simon, G.; Rouser, G. Species Variations in Phospholipid Class Distribution of Organs: II. Heart and Skeletal Muscle. *Lipids* **1969**, 4, 607–614. [CrossRef]
- 60. Ullrey, D.E.; Brady, P.S.; Whetter, P.A.; Ku, P.K.; Magee, W.T. Selenium Supplementation of Diets for Sheep and Beef Cattle. J. Anim. Sci. 1977, 45, 559–565. [CrossRef]
- 61. Valenzuela, C.; López de Romaña, D.; Olivares, M.; Morales, M.S.; Pizarro, F. Total Iron and Heme Iron Content and Their Distribution in Beef Meat and Viscera. *Biol. Trace Elem. Res.* **2009**, *132*, 103–111. [CrossRef]
- 62. Williams, P. Nutritional Composition of Red Meat. Nutr. Diet. 2007, 64, S113–S119. [CrossRef]
- 63. Adams, C.L.; Hambidge, M.; Raboy, V.; Dorsch, J.A.; Sian, L.; Westcott, J.L.; Krebs, N.F. Zinc Absorption from a Low–Phytic Acid Maize. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **2002**, *76*, 556–559. [CrossRef]
- 64. Almeida, F.N.; Petersen, G.I.; Stein, H.H. Digestibility of Amino Acids in Corn, Corn Coproducts, and Bakery Meal Fed to Growing Pigs. J. Anim. Sci. 2011, 89, 4109–4115. [CrossRef]
- 65. Aoyagi, S.; Hiney, K.M.; Baker, D.H. Estimates of Zinc and Iron Bioavailability in Pork Liver and the Effect of Sex of Pig on the Bioavailability of Copper in Pork Liver Fed to Male and Female Chicks. *J. Anim. Sci.* **1995**, *73*, 793–798. [CrossRef]

- 66. Ball, G.F.M. Riboflavin and Other Flavins (Vitamin B2). In *Bioavailability and Analysis of Vitamins in Foods*; Ball, G.F.M., Ed.; Springer US: Boston, MA, USA, 1998; pp. 293–317. ISBN 978-1-4899-3414-7.
- Bindari, Y.R.; Lærke, H.N.; Nørgaard, J.V. Standardized Ileal Digestibility and Digestible Indispensable Amino Acid Score of Porcine and Bovine Hydrolyzates in Pigs. J. Sci. Food Agric. 2018, 98, 2131–2137. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Bohlke, R.A.; Thaler, R.C.; Stein, H.H. Calcium, Phosphorus, and Amino Acid Digestibility in Low-Phytate Corn, Normal Corn, and Soybean Meal by Growing Pigs. J. Anim. Sci. 2005, 83, 2396–2403. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 69. Brnić, M.; Wegmüller, R.; Zeder, C.; Senti, G.; Hurrell, R.F. Influence of Phytase, EDTA, and Polyphenols on Zinc Absorption in Adults from Porridges Fortified with Zinc Sulfate or Zinc Oxide. *J. Nutr.* **2014**, *144*, 1467–1473. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 70. Budowski, P.; Kafri, I.; Sklan, D. Utilization of Choline From Crude Soybean Lecithin by Chicks: 2. Absorption Measurements. *Poult. Sci.* **1977**, *56*, 754–757. [CrossRef]
- Carter, E.G.A.; Carpenter, K.J. The Available Niacin Values of Foods for Rats and Their Relation to Analytical Values. *J. Nutr.* 1982, 112, 2091–2103. [CrossRef]
- 72. Çatak, J. Determination of Niacin Profiles in Some Animal and Plant Based Foods by High Performance Liquid Chromatography: Association with Healthy Nutrition. *J. Anim. Sci. Technol.* **2019**, *61*, 138–146. [CrossRef]
- Cervantes-Pahm, S.K.; Liu, Y.; Stein, H.H. Digestible Indispensable Amino Acid Score and Digestible Amino Acids in Eight Cereal Grains. Br. J. Nutr. 2014, 111, 1663–1672. [CrossRef]
- 74. Chomba, E.; Westcott, C.M.; Westcott, J.E.; Mpabalwani, E.M.; Krebs, N.F.; Patinkin, Z.W.; Palacios, N.; Hambidge, K.M. Zinc Absorption from Biofortified Maize Meets the Requirements of Young Rural Zambian Children. J. Nutr. 2015, 145, 514–519. [CrossRef]
- Christensen, M.J.; Janghorbani, M.; Steinke, F.H.; Istfan, N.; Young, V.R. Simultaneous Determination of Absorption of Selenium from Poultry Meat and Selenite in Young Men: Application of a Triple Stable-Isotope Method. *Br. J. Nutr.* 1983, 50, 43–50.
 [CrossRef]
- Chung, T.K.; Baker, D.H. Riboflavin Requirement of Chicks Fed Purified Amino Acid and Conventional Corn-Soybean Meal Diets. *Poult. Sci.* 1990, 69, 1357–1363. [CrossRef]
- 77. Cook, J.D.; Reddy, M.B.; Burri, J.; Juillerat, M.A.; Hurrell, R.F. The Influence of Different Cereal Grains on Iron Absorption from Infant Cereal Foods. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **1997**, *65*, 964–969. [CrossRef]
- 78. Emmert, J.L.; Baker, D.H. A Chick Bioassay Approach for Determining the Bioavailable Choline Concentration in Normal and Overheated Soybean Meal, Canola Meal and Peanut Meal. *J. Nutr.* **1997**, *127*, 745–752. [CrossRef]
- Farouk, M.M.; Wu, G.; Frost, D.A.; Staincliffe, M.; Knowles, S.O. Factors Affecting the Digestibility of Beef and Consequences for Designing Meat-Centric Meals. J. Food Qual. 2019, 2019, e2590182. [CrossRef]
- 80. Finley, J.W. The Retention and Distribution by Healthy Young Men of Stable Isotopes of Selenium Consumed as Selenite, Selenate or Hydroponically-Grown Broccoli Are Dependent on the Isotopic Form. J. Nutr. **1999**, *129*, 865–871. [CrossRef]
- 81. Gallaher, D.D.; Johnson, P.E.; Hunt, J.R.; Lykken, G.I.; Marchello, M.J. Bioavailability in Humans of Zinc from Beef: Intrinsic vs Extrinsic Labels. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **1988**, *48*, 350–354. [CrossRef]
- Gregory, J.F., III; Trumbo, P.R.; Bailey, L.B.; Toth, J.P.; Baumgartner, T.G.; Cerda, J.J. Bioavailability of Pyridoxine-5'-β-D-Glucoside Determined in Humans by Stable-Isotopic Methods. J. Nutr. 1991, 121, 177–186. [CrossRef]
- 83. Griffiths, N.M.; Stewart, R.D.H.; Robinson, M.F. The Metabolism of [75Se] Selenomethionine in Four Women. *Br. J. Nutr.* **1976**, *35*, 373–382. [CrossRef]
- Hambidge, K.M.; Huffer, J.W.; Raboy, V.; Grunwald, G.K.; Westcott, J.L.; Sian, L.; Miller, L.V.; Dorsch, J.A.; Krebs, N.F. Zinc Absorption from Low-Phytate Hybrids of Maize and Their Wild-Type Isohybrids. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 2004, 79, 1053–1059. [CrossRef]
- 85. Harris, R.S.; Mosher, L.M.; Bunker, J.W.M. The Nutritional Availability of Iron in Molasses. *Am. J. Dig. Dis.* **1939**, *6*, 459–462. [CrossRef]
- 86. Heyssel, R.M.; Bozian, R.C.; Darby, W.J.; Bell, M.C. Vitamin B12 Turnover in Man. The Assimilation of Vitamin B12 from Natural Foodstuff by Man and Estimates of Minimal Daily Dietary Requirements. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **1966**, *18*, 176–184. [CrossRef]
- Hodgkinson, S.M.; Montoya, C.A.; Scholten, P.T.; Rutherfurd, S.M.; Moughan, P.J. Cooking Conditions Affect the True Ileal Digestible Amino Acid Content and Digestible Indispensable Amino Acid Score (DIAAS) of Bovine Meat as Determined in Pigs. J. Nutr. 2018, 148, 1564–1569. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Institute of Medicine. Dietary Reference Intakes for Thiamin, Riboflavin, Niacin, Vitamin B6, Folate, Vitamin B12, Pantothenic Acid, Biotin, and Choline; The National Academies Press: Washington, DC, USA, 1998; ISBN 978-0-309-06554-2.
- 89. Institute of Medicine. *Dietary Reference Intakes for Vitamin C, Vitamin E, Selenium, and Carotenoids*; The National Academies Press: Washington, DC, USA, 2000; ISBN 978-0-309-06935-9.
- 90. Itkonen, S.T.; Karp, H.J.; Lamberg-Allardt, C.J.E. Bioavailability of Phosphorus. In *Dietary Phosphorus: Health, Nutrition, and Regulatory Aspects*; Uribarri, J., Calvo, M.S., Eds.; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, USA, 2017; pp. 221–233.
- 91. Johnson, J.M.; Walker, P.M. Zinc and Iron Utilization in Young Women Consuming a Beef-Based Diet. J. Am. Diet. Assoc. 1992, 92, 1474–1478. [CrossRef]
- 92. Johnson, P.E.; Gallaher, D.D.; Lykken, G.I.; Hunt, J.R. Zinc Availability from Beef Served with Various Carbohydrates or Beverages. *Nutr. Res.* **1990**, *10*, 155–162. [CrossRef]

- Layrisse, M.; Cook, J.D.; Martinez, C.; Roche, M.; Kuhn, I.N.; Walker, R.B.; Finch, C.A. Food Iron Absorption: A Comparison of Vegetable and Animal Foods. *Blood* 1969, 33, 430–443. [CrossRef]
- 94. Li, S.F.; Niu, Y.B.; Liu, J.S.; Lu, L.; Zhang, L.Y.; Ran, C.Y.; Feng, M.S.; Du, B.; Deng, J.L.; Luo, X.G. Energy, Amino Acid, and Phosphorus Digestibility of Phytase Transgenic Corn for Growing Pigs. *J. Anim. Sci.* **2013**, *91*, 298–308. [CrossRef]
- 95. Long, Z.; Pittman, M.S. Utilization of Meat by Human Subjects: II. The Utilization of the Nitrogen and Phosphorus of Round and Liver of Beef. J. Nutr. 1935, 9, 677–683. [CrossRef]
- 96. Martínez-Torres, C.; Layrisse, M. Iron Absorption from Veal Muscle. Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 1971, 24, 531–540. [CrossRef]
- 97. Maseta, E.; Mosha, T.C.; Nyaruhucha, C.; Laswai, H. Nutritional Quality of Quality Protein Maize-Based Supplementary Foods. *Nutr. Food Sci.* 2017, 47, 42–52. [CrossRef]
- Mazariegos, M.; Hambidge, K.M.; Krebs, N.F.; Westcott, J.E.; Lei, S.; Grunwald, G.K.; Campos, R.; Barahona, B.; Raboy, V.; Solomons, N.W. Zinc Absorption in Guatemalan Schoolchildren Fed Normal or Low-Phytate Maize. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 2006, 83, 59–64. [CrossRef]
- McClellan, W.S.; Rupp, V.R.; Toscani, V. Clinical Calorimetry. XLVI. Prolonged Meat Diets with a Study of the Metabolism of Nitrogen, Calcium, and Phosphorus. J. Biol. Chem. 1930, 87, 669–680. [CrossRef]
- Mendoza, C.; Viteri, F.E.; Lönnerdal, B.; Young, K.A.; Raboy, V.; Brown, K.H. Effect of Genetically Modified, Low-Phytic Acid Maize on Absorption of Iron from Tortillas. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **1998**, *68*, 1123–1127. [CrossRef]
- 101. Menten, J.; Pesti, G.; Bakalli, R. A New Method for Determining the Availability of Choline in Soybean Meal. *Poult. Sci.* **1997**, *76*, 1292–1297. [CrossRef]
- Moser-Veillon, P.; Reed Mangels, A.; Patterson, Y.K.; Veillon, C. Utilization of Two Different Chemical Forms of Selenium during Lactation Using Stable Isotope Tracers: An Example of Speciation in Nutrition. *Analyst* 1992, 117, 559–562. [CrossRef]
- 103. Nakano, H.; McMahon, L.G.; Gregory, J.F. III Pyridoxine-5'-β-D-Glucoside Exhibits Incomplete Bioavailability as a Source of Vitamin B-6 and Partially Inhibits the Utilization of Co-Ingested Pyridoxine in Humans. *J. Nutr.* **1997**, *127*, 1508–1513. [CrossRef]
- 104. Nyberg, W.; Reizenstein, P. Intestinal Absorption of Radiovitamin B12 Bound in Pig Liver. *Lancet* **1958**, *2*, 832–833. [CrossRef]
- 105. Oberli, M.; Marsset-Baglieri, A.; Airinei, G.; Santé-Lhoutellier, V.; Khodorova, N.; Rémond, D.; Foucault-Simonin, A.; Piedcoq, J.; Tomé, D.; Fromentin, G.; et al. High True Ileal Digestibility but Not Postprandial Utilization of Nitrogen from Bovine Meat Protein in Humans Is Moderately Decreased by High-Temperature, Long-Duration Cooking. J. Nutr. 2015, 145, 2221–2228. [CrossRef]
- 106. O'Leary, F.; Samman, S. Vitamin B12 in Health and Disease. Nutrients 2010, 2, 299–316. [CrossRef]
- 107. Patterson, B.H.; Levander, O.A.; Helzlsouer, K.; McAdam, P.A.; Lewis, S.A.; Taylor, P.R.; Veillon, C.; Zech, L.A. Human Selenite Metabolism: A Kinetic Model. Am. J. Physiol.-Regul. Integr. Comp. Physiol. 1989, 257, R556–R567. [CrossRef]
- Kunerth, B.L.; Pittman, M.S. A Longtime Study of Nitrogen, Calcium and Phosphorus Metabolism on a Low-Protein Diet. J. Nutr. 1939, 17, 161–173. [CrossRef]
- Reizenstein, P.G.; Nyberg, W. Intestinal Absorption of Liver-Bound Radiovitamin B12 in Patients with Pernicious Anaemia and in Controls. *Lancet* 1959, 2, 248–252. [CrossRef]
- 110. Rohse, W.G.; Searle, G.W. Absorption of Choline from Intestinal Loops in Dogs. *Am. J. Physiol.-Leg. Content* **1955**, *181*, 207–209. [CrossRef]
- 111. De Romaña, D.L.; Lönnerdal, B.; Brown, K.H. Absorption of Zinc from Wheat Products Fortified with Iron and Either Zinc Sulfate or Zinc Oxide. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 2003, *78*, 279–283. [CrossRef]
- Roth-Maier, D.A.; Kettler, S.I.; Kirchgessner, M. Availability of Vitamin B 6 from Different Food Sources. Int. J. Food Sci. Nutr. 2002, 53, 171–179. [CrossRef]
- 113. Roth-Maier, D.A.; Kirchgessner, M. Investigations on the precaecal digestibility of natural thiamine, riboflavin and natural pantothenic acid in the swine animal model. *Z Ernahr.* **1996**, *35*, 318–322. [CrossRef]
- 114. Roth-Maier, D.A.; Kirchgessner, M.; Erhardt, W.; Henke, J.; Hennig, U. Comparative Studies for the Determination of Precaecal Digestibility as a Measure for the Availability of B-Vitamins. *J. Anim. Physiol. Anim. Nutr.* **1998**, *79*, 198–209. [CrossRef]
- 115. Roth-Maier, D.; Wauer, A.; Stangl, G.; Kirchgessner, M. Precaecal Digestibility of Niacin and Pantothenic Acid from Different Foods. *Int. J. Vitam. Nutr. Res.* 2000, 70, 8–13. [CrossRef]
- 116. Schuette, S.A.; Linkswiler, H.M. Effects on Ca and P Metabolism in Humans by Adding Meat, Meat Plus Milk, or Purified Proteins Plus Ca and P to a Low Protein Diet. *J. Nutr.* **1982**, *112*, 338–349. [CrossRef]
- 117. Sirichakwal, P.P.; Young, V.R.; Janghorbani, M. Absorption and Retention of Selenium from Intrinsically Labeled Egg and Selenite as Determined by Stable Isotope Studies in Humans. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **1985**, *41*, 264–269. [CrossRef]
- 118. Spencer, J.D.; Allee, G.L.; Sauber, T.E. Phosphorus Bioavailability and Digestibility of Normal and Genetically Modified Low-Phytate Corn for Pigs. J. Anim. Sci. 2000, 78, 675–681. [CrossRef]
- Thomson, C.D.; Robinson, M.F. Urinary and Fecal Excretions and Absorption of a Large Supplement of Selenium: Superiority of Selenate over Selenite. Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 1986, 44, 659–663. [CrossRef]
- Tran, C.D.; Miller, L.V.; Krebs, N.F.; Lei, S.; Hambidge, K.M. Zinc Absorption as a Function of the Dose of Zinc Sulfate in Aqueous Solution. Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 2004, 80, 1570–1573. [CrossRef]
- 121. Tsubaki, H.; Komai, T. Intestinal Absorption of Choline in Rats. J. Pharm.-Dyn. 1987, 10, 571–579. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 122. Turnbull, A.; Cleton, F.; Finch, C.A. Iron Absorption. IV. The Absorption of Hemoglobin Iron. J. Clin. Invest. **1962**, 41, 1897–1907. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 123. Vrzhesinskaia, O.A.; Kodentsova, V.M.; Spirichev, V.B. Absorption of vitamin B2 from plant and animal food products. *Fiziolohich-nyi Zhurnal* **1994**, *40*, 39–47. [PubMed]
- 124. Wauer, A.; Stangl, G.I.; Kirchgessner, M.; Erhardt, W.; Henke, J.; Hennig, U.; Roth-Maier, D.A. A Comparative Evaluation of Ileo-Rectal Anastomosis Techniques for the Measurement of Apparent Precaecal Digestibilities of Folate, Niacin and Pantothenic Acid. J. Anim. Physiol. Anim. Nutr. 1999, 82, 80–87. [CrossRef]
- 125. Weremko, D.; Fandrejewski, H.; Zebrowska, T.; Han, I.K.; Kim, J.H.; Cho, W.T. Bioavailability of Phosphorus in Feeds of Plant Origin for Pigs—Review. *Asian-Australas. J. Anim. Sci.* **1997**, *10*, 551–566. [CrossRef]
- 126. Yen, J.T.; Jensen, A.H.; Baker, D.H. Assessment of the Concentration of Biologically Available Vitamin B-6 in Corn and Soybean Meal. J. Anim. Sci. 1976, 42, 866–870. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 127. Zhai, H.; Adeola, O. True Total-Tract Digestibility of Phosphorus in Corn and Soybean Meal for Fifteen-Kilogram Pigs Are Additive in Corn–Soybean Meal Diet. J. Anim. Sci. 2013, 91, 219–224. [CrossRef]
- 128. Zheng, J.J.; Mason, J.B.; Rosenberg, I.H.; Wood, R.J. Measurement of Zinc Bioavailability from Beef and a Ready-to-Eat High-Fiber Breakfast Cereal in Humans: Application of a Whole-Gut Lavage Technique. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **1993**, *58*, 902–907. [CrossRef]
- 129. Zierenberg, O.; Grundy, S.M. Intestinal Absorption of Polyenephosphatidylcholine in Man. J. Lipid Res. **1982**, 23, 1136–1142. [CrossRef]