



COMMENTARY

Cancer surgery induces inflammation, immunosuppression and neo-angiogenesis, but is it influenced by analgesics? [v1; ref status: indexed, <http://f1000r.es/zk>]

Patrice Forget¹, Olivier Simonet², Marc De Kock¹

¹Departments of Anesthesiology, Cliniques Universitaires Saint-Luc, Université Catholique de Louvain, Brussels, Belgium

²Department of Anesthesiology, Centre Hospitalier Wallonie-Picarde, Tournai, B-7500, Belgium

v1 First Published: 03 Apr 2013, 2:102 (doi: 10.12688/f1000research.2-102.v1)
 Latest Published: 03 Apr 2013, 2:102 (doi: 10.12688/f1000research.2-102.v1)

Abstract

Surgery remains a main part of the treatment of most solid tumors. Paradoxically, rapid disease progression may be a consequence of surgery in patients presenting with a dysregulated inflammatory response, and increased angiogenesis consequent to a suppressed antitumoral immune response. Physicians taking care of cancer patients should be aware of the important findings that indicate that analgesic techniques could play a role in these phenomena.

Article Status Summary

Referee Responses

Referees	1	2	3
v1 published 03 Apr 2013	report	report	report

- 1 **Alain Borgeat**, Orthopedic University Hospital Balgrist Switzerland
- 2 **Erxi Wu**, North Dakota State University USA
- 3 **Dan Benhamou**, Bicetre Hospital France

Latest Comments

No Comments Yet

Corresponding author: Patrice Forget (forgetpatrice@yahoo.fr)

How to cite this article: Forget P, Simonet O, De Kock M (2013) Cancer surgery induces inflammation, immunosuppression and neo-angiogenesis, but is it influenced by analgesics? [v1; ref status: indexed, <http://f1000r.es/zk>] *F1000Research* 2013, 2:102 (doi: 10.12688/f1000research.2-102.v1)

Copyright: This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution Licence](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Grant information: This work was exclusively supported by the Department of Anesthesiology of the Université catholique de Louvain, St-Luc Hospital, Belgium.

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

First Published: 03 Apr 2013, 2:102 (doi: 10.12688/f1000research.2-102.v1)

First Indexed: 07 May 2013, 2:102 (doi: 10.12688/f1000research.2-102.v1)

Introduction

The natural history of cancer is a complex and rapidly evolving field. For example, in breast cancer, the growth of the primary tumor and the dissemination of neoplastic cells are linked, at least in part, to inflammation leading to immune dysfunction and an/or increased angiogenesis^{1,2}. For breast cancer, as well as for most solid tumors, surgery remains a main part of the treatment. However, paradoxically, the surgical period, and the associated inflammatory reaction, is itself a high risk factor for the development of metastases and this phenomenon may be explained by the rapid release of inducers of angiogenesis concomitant to a profound immunosuppression^{1,2}. One example, sometimes observed, is the rapid postoperative development of additional tumors and metastasis when a primary tumor is surgically removed².

Other factors can accentuate this phenomenon, including the metabolic and hormonal changes that occur and are determined by the inflammatory/catecholaminergic “stress reaction” to surgery³. To counteract these effects, perioperative physicians, including anesthesiologists, surgeons and oncologists, must help the patient to maintain homeostasis against the consequences of both cancer and tissular attrition. Anesthetic and analgesic techniques are one part of this strategy, but their effects, however important, are different and not well understood. Indeed, these drugs may influence immunity and tumor development, either directly by interfering with cellular mechanisms (e.g. cell apoptosis) or indirectly by interactions with the endocrine and sympathetic systems.

In this paper, we discuss the consequences of perioperative inflammation in cancer surgery on immunity and angiogenesis. Secondly, we describe why analgesic techniques may play a role in these phenomena.

Perioperative inflammation-related immunosuppression and neoangiogenesis are seen in cancer patients at risk of relapse

The early existence of dormant metastasis is matter of debate². One argument is the kinetics observed in cancer recurrence after breast cancer surgery. Indeed, when analyzing the timing of the relapse of patients under endocrine therapy, recurrences occur gradually over the first 10 to 15 years. In contrast, in women not treated with endocrine therapy (i.e. with estrogen-receptor-negative tumors), the majority of cancer relapses occur in the first two years⁴. This suggests that these tumor cells have been maintained in a “dormant” state in the first group of women, whereas they may be present early in both groups⁵.

The risk for these patients in undergoing surgery is that rapid growth of these cells could be induced through perioperative inflammation. Indeed, following a surgical trauma, a great, but short-lasting, inflammation is correlated with a potent immune response that precedes a longer duration of immunosuppression¹. The role of this immunosuppression is probably to minimize the intensity of the proinflammatory response, and reduce the risks of autoimmune disorders and/or necrosis of tissue^{6,7}. Locally and throughout the body, the release of cytokines underlies the initiation, maintenance and regulation of the inflammatory response. After tissue injury, monocytes and macrophages rapidly release interleukin 1 (IL-1) and tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF- α). IL-1

stimulates and maintains the secretion of other cytokines such as interleukin 6 (IL-6) that are major mediators of the systemic effects of the stress response. The production of adrenocorticotrophic hormone (ACTH) and cortisol are stimulated and are regulated by a negative feedback system⁸.

The tissue damage caused by surgery is also likely to generate pain. This causes the secretion of endogenous opioids that provide analgesia of short duration by their peripheral and central effects⁹. Peripherally, the presence of opioid receptors on immune cells allows β -endorphins to have a direct effect on the proliferation, migration and cytotoxicity of these cells⁹. Some neurotransmitters, including substance P, can interact with the pain pathways. At the central level, active pain regulatory mechanisms act via the periaqueductal gray matter of the midbrain and through the β -endorphin and catecholaminergic pathways. Norepinephrine inhibits natural killer (NK) cell activity via the β -2 receptor. The cholinergic system and the vagus nerve play a role that is often opposite to that of the sympathetic system¹⁰.

In turn, the brain monitors and controls these loops of inflammation. The hypothalamic-pituitary, cortex and cerebellum are involved in the control of lymphoid organs, especially the spleen and many adrenergic receptors are present on B and T lymphocytes, macrophages, neutrophils and NK cells. Finally, the endocrine system interacts with the brain, immune cells and most organs^{6,7}. Glucocorticoids, for example, have anti-inflammatory properties but are essential for normal immune response. Prostaglandins and prostacyclin, particularly PGE₂, are produced, among others, by dendritic cells and macrophages. They have a major role in the regulation of immunity in general and NK activity in particular. In addition, PGE₂ plays a major role in the stimulation of epithelial cell proliferation, inhibition of apoptosis, production of mutagens and stimulation of angiogenesis¹¹. This may explain the high incidence of overexpression of COX-2 in breast cancer, as in other cancers, and higher aggressiveness of these tumors¹¹.

Neo-angiogenesis is probably a major step in the induction of growth of a dormant metastasis. Indeed, it appears that solid tumors cannot grow larger than 2–3 mm in diameter unless they induce their own blood supply¹². The expression of the angiogenic phenotype, physiologically to promote wound healing, is a complex process that depends on a number of cellular and molecular events, including degradation of the surrounding basement membrane, migration of endothelial cells, cell proliferation, the formation of tube-like structures and the maturation of these endothelial-lined tubes into new blood vessels¹³.

As a consequence, most of the modifications in the immune system and the angiogenesis phenotype are linked to the degree of tissue injury and its consequent inflammation. The logical strategy is then to promote minimally invasive surgery that is designed to limit these impacts, to maintain homeostasis¹⁴ and reduce the stress response⁸. These arguments have led some authors to consider that minimally invasive procedures might be favorable in terms of immunity when compared with invasive procedures due to the need to manage other changes driven by the “secondary aggressions”, i.e., hypothermia, hemorrhage, and psychological factors such as anxiety¹.

Effect of analgesics on inflammation, anticancer immunity and angiogenesis

A possible way to influence the perioperative inflammatory reaction and their consequences for immune cells and angiogenesis is by modifying analgesic techniques. This could have an important impact on patient outcome following surgery.

Macrophages, T lymphocytes, NK T and other cells and many cytokines are involved in the defense mechanisms of nonspecific immunity. Of these, the role of NK cells in defense against infection and the development of tumor cells^{1,15-17} has been largely demonstrated. The study of animal models has helped us to understand their role in the mechanisms behind perioperative anti-metastatic protection, but also their vulnerability¹⁶⁻¹⁸. In humans, a significant correlation between NK activity and patient prognosis is related to the development of metastases¹⁹. The strong depression of the cytotoxicity of the NK cells in the perioperative period may significantly alter the defense mechanisms of patients¹.

Analgesic techniques that reduce the inflammatory response may be favorable in terms of immunity⁸. Recent data suggest that the analgesic techniques (intravenous opioids, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) and locoregional analgesia) could have an impact on the long-term prognosis after cancer surgery^{20,21}, including for breast cancer^{22,23}. Opioids are the drugs most studied in the context of the perioperative immune response. In the absence of pain, morphine induces a decrease in NK activity²⁵. It is not clear whether it is the opioids, but possibly also the withdrawal of opioid therapy, that is responsible for opioid-induced immunosuppression²⁴. Nevertheless, pain itself induces a significant immune response. Because this response leads to a significant degree of immunosuppression, postoperative analgesia is, by itself, immunoprotective¹. Morphine therefore allows the maintenance of NK activity and protection against metastasis in animal pain models²⁵. In humans, similar arguments can be used to offer the lowest effective dose of opioid²⁴. Data on synthetic opioids have revealed a similar phenomenon with fentanyl and sufentanil, probably mediated via the μ -opioid receptor. Nevertheless, differences linked to selective μ 1-activation by synthetic opioids, in contrast to μ 3-activation by morphine, could explain the more favorable profile of morphine and may merit further investigation²⁵. Opioids could also affect angiogenesis and the growth of tumors. In the perioperative period and after tissue injury, important angiogenic signals, including epithelial growth factors (VEGF), act via receptor tyrosine kinases and G-protein-coupled receptor (GPCR). This may have a major importance as morphine can transactivate these GPCR, increasing neo-angiogenesis¹³.

Prostaglandins are another major perioperative influence on immunity and angiogenesis and the promotion of tumor growth²⁶. The data concerning the maintenance of NK activity by NSAIDs are encouraging, in some, but only a few human clinical studies. In a retrospective study, we showed that receiving ketorolac, a NSAID, just before surgery, was an independent factor associated with longer recurrence-free survival after breast cancer surgery²². These results may be explained by the fact that prostaglandin E2 (PGE2), released by monocytes and dendritic cells in order to regulate the inflammatory cascade, profoundly depresses cellular antitumoral immunity, i.e. NK activity²⁷. This suppression of NK activity, and

possibly an initial flare-up of angiogenesis, dissipates quickly after the removal of the prostaglandins. There may then be a short therapeutic window when NSAIDs may have a potent impact on the oncological outcome²².

It seems clear that regional anesthesia, particularly central blocks, are associated with anti-inflammatory effects, and allow the protection of anticancer immunity, including NK activity, after major surgery²⁸, but this effect has not been demonstrated after minor surgery²⁹. It is possible that this effect is responsible for a lower incidence of recurrence after surgery for breast, colon or prostate cancers, however this is an unresolved debate due to poor methodology and lack of perioperative immune monitoring^{20,21,23,30-33}.

Anesthesiologists are using many other drugs that are not necessarily associated with analgesic effects. Data are sparse and mostly inconclusive concerning barbiturates, halogenated gases, propofol and etomidate. It seems likely that ketamine, a widely used co-analgesic, has a dose-dependent effect, being protective at low doses during a painful stimulus and potentially harmful at high doses in the absence of surgery²⁴. One interesting topic is the potential protective role of alpha-2-agonists, such as clonidine, typically used as co-analgesic during surgery on perioperative NK activity²⁴.

Is it time to change our pain management practices?

A goal of optimal control of pain may appear to be obvious, but it still remains a major concern for perioperative physicians. The quality of analgesia is facilitated by combinations of molecules (NSAIDs and anti-hyperalgesics such as ketamine) and techniques (intravenous, locoregional with local anesthetics). At this time, it is not clear whether these techniques may improve oncological outcome alone, indirectly by the avoidance of opioids, or both. Nevertheless, they improve pain management, postoperative rehabilitation and the prognosis for patients. These reasons remain the main argument to recommend such analgesic approaches before definitive conclusions on their influence on the oncological outcome^{8,14}.

Moreover, it appears that high doses of opioids are carriers of long-term side effects that are probably underestimated (including opioid-induced hyperalgesia). The study of the role opioids play in the increase in angiogenesis and postoperative immunosuppression is of particular importance in oncological surgery, knowing that NK activity could be a prognostic criterion^{13,19}. Taking into account that they improve pain management, it is appropriate to propose opioid-sparing strategies, such as locoregional techniques and sympathetic modulation using intravenous α -2 agonists²⁴.

Conclusions

Understanding cancer development mechanisms is a major goal of clinical research and may lead to the development of strategies to counter the multiple factors involved in cancer pathology. It is very important to study all aspects of the problem, permitting the development of strategies associated with a higher long term survival after cancer surgery. The data available already affirm that the immune system and the angiogenesis phenotype play key roles in anticancer defenses, that the potential influence of surgery-related inflammation is large and that the effects of drugs and the techniques

of analgesia may be important. Perioperative physicians must be aware that an optimal analgesic strategy may have long-term impact on patient outcome.

Author contributions

PF and MDK contributed to the design, the selection of the literature and the redaction of the manuscript. OS contributed to the redaction of the manuscript and was the scientific/medical advisor.

Competing interests

No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information

This work was exclusively supported by the Department of Anesthesiology of the Université catholique de Louvain, St-Luc Hospital, Belgium.

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

References

- Shakhar G, Ben-Eliyahu S: **Potential prophylactic measures against postoperative immunosuppression: Could they reduce recurrence rates in oncological patients?** *Ann Surg Oncol.* 2003; **10**(8): 972–92.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Retsky M, Demicheli R, Hrushesky W, *et al.*: **Surgery triggers outgrowth of latent distant disease in breast cancer: an inconvenient truth?** *Cancers.* 2010; **2**(2): 305–337.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
- Wrona D: **Neural-immune interactions: An integrative view of the bidirectional relationship between the brain and immune systems.** *J Neuroimmunol.* 2006; **172**(1–2): 38–58.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Stanojević-Bakić N, Vucković-Dekić L, Radomirović S, *et al.*: **The influence of surgery and anesthesia on lymphocyte functions in breast cancer patients: in vitro effects of indomethacin.** *Neoplasma.* 1999; **46**(1): 54–60.
[PubMed Abstract](#)
- Mansell J, Monypenny IJ, Skene AI, *et al.*: **Patterns and predictors of early recurrence in postmenopausal women with estrogen receptor-positive early breast cancer.** *Breast Cancer Res Treat.* 2009; **117**(1): 91–98.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Elenkov IJ, Wilders RL, Chrousos GP, *et al.*: **The sympathetic nerve – An integrative interface between two supersystems: The brain and the immune system.** *Pharmacol Rev.* 2000; **52**(4): 595–638.
[PubMed Abstract](#)
- Viswanathan K, Daugherty C, Dhabhar FS: **Stress as an endogenous adjuvant: augmentation of the immunization phase of cell-mediated immunity.** *Int Immunol.* 2005; **17**(8): 1059–69.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Desborough JP: **The stress response to trauma and surgery.** *Br J Anaesth.* 2000; **85**(1): 109–17.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Schaffer M, Beiter T, Becker HD, *et al.*: **Neuropeptides: mediators of inflammation and tissue repair?** *Arch Surg.* 1998; **133**(10): 1107–16.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Panerai AE, Sacerdote P: **Beta-endorphin in the immune system: a role at last?** *Immunol Today.* 1997; **18**(7): 317–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Singh B, Lucci A: **Role of cyclooxygenase-2 in breast cancer.** *J Surg Res.* 2002; **108**(1): 173–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#)
- Folkman J, Szabo S, Stovroff M, *et al.*: **Duodenal ulcer. Discovery of a new mechanism and development of angiogenic therapy that accelerates healing.** *Ann Surg.* 1991; **214**(4): 414–425.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Singleton PA, Lingen MW, Fekete MJ, *et al.*: **Methylnaltrexone inhibits opiate and VEGF-induced angiogenesis: role of receptor transactivation.** *Microvasc Res.* 2006; **72**(1–2): 3–11.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Kehlet H: **Multimodal approach to control postoperative pathophysiology and rehabilitation.** *Br J Anaesth.* 1997; **78**(5): 606–17.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Curtis JL, Punturieri A: **Enhancing antitumor immunity perioperatively: a matter of timing, cooperation, and specificity.** *Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol.* 2003; **28**(5): 541–5.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Forget P, Collet V, Lavand'homme P, *et al.*: **Does analgesia and condition influence immunity after surgery? Effects of fentanyl, ketamine and clonidine on natural killer activity at different ages.** *Eur J Anaesthesiol.* 2010; **27**(3): 233–40.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Page GG, McDonald JS, Ben-Eliyahu S: **Pre-operative versus postoperative administration of morphine: impact on the neuroendocrine, behavioural, and metastatic-enhancing effects of surgery.** *Br J Anaesth.* 1998; **81**(2): 216–23.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Bar-Yosef S, Melamed R, Page GG, *et al.*: **Attenuation of the tumor-promoting effect of surgery by spinal blockade in rats.** *Anesthesiology.* 2001; **94**(6): 1066–73.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Liljefors M, Nilsson B, Hjelm Skog AL, *et al.*: **Natural killer (NK) cell function is a strong prognostic factor in colorectal carcinoma patients treated with the monoclonal antibody 17–1A.** *Int J Cancer.* 2003; **105**(5): 717–23.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Biki B, Mascha E, Moriarty DC, *et al.*: **Anesthetic technique for radical prostatectomy surgery affects cancer recurrence: a retrospective analysis.** *Anesthesiology.* 2008; **109**(2): 180–7.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Christopherson R, James KE, Tableman M, *et al.*: **Long-term survival after colon cancer surgery: a variation associated with choice of anesthesia.** *Anesth Analg.* 2008; **107**(1): 325–32.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Forget P, Vandenhende J, Berliere M, *et al.*: **Do intraoperative analgesics influence breast cancer recurrence after mastectomy? A retrospective analysis.** *Anesth Analg.* 2010; **110**(6): 1630–1635.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Exadaktylos AK, Buggy DJ, Moriarty DC, *et al.*: **Can anesthetic technique for primary breast cancer surgery affect recurrence or metastasis?** *Anesthesiology.* 2006; **105**(4): 660–4.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Forget P, De Kock M: **[Could anaesthesia, analgesia and sympathetic modulation affect neoplastic recurrence after surgery? A systematic review centred over the modulation of natural killer cells activity].** *Ann Fr Anesth Reanim.* 2009; **28**(9): 751–68.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Stefano GB, Kream RM, Mantione KJ, *et al.*: **Endogenous morphine/nitric oxide-coupled regulation of cellular physiology and gene expression: implications for cancer biology.** *Semin Cancer Biol.* 2008; **18**(3): 199–210.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Walker W, Rotondo D: **Prostaglandin E2 is a potent regulator of interleukin-12 and interleukin-18-induced natural killer cell interferon-gamma synthesis.** *Immunology.* 2004; **111**(3): 298–305.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Yakar I, Melamed R, Shakhar G, *et al.*: **Prostaglandin e(2) suppresses NK activity in vivo and promotes postoperative tumor metastasis in rats.** *Ann Surg Oncol.* 2003; **10**(4): 469–79.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)

28. Koltun WA, Bloomer MM, Tilberg AF, *et al.*: **Awake epidural anesthesia is associated with improved natural killer cell cytotoxicity and a reduced stress response.** *Am J Surg.* 1996; **171**(1): 68–72.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
29. Toonnesen E, Huttel MS, Christensen NJ: **Natural killer cell activity in patients undergoing minor gynaecological surgery.** *Eur J Anaesthesiol.* 1987; **4**(2): 119–25.
[PubMed Abstract](#)
30. Gottschalk A, Ford JG, Regelin CC, *et al.*: **Association between epidural analgesia and cancer recurrence after colorectal cancer surgery.** *Anesthesiology.* 2010; **113**(1): 27–34.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
31. Tsui BC, Rashed S, Schopflocher D, *et al.*: **Epidural anesthesia and cancer recurrence rates after radical prostatectomy.** *Can J Anaesth.* 2010; **57**(2): 107–12.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
32. Forget P, Leonard D, Kartheuser A, *et al.*: **Choice of Endpoint and Not Reporting All the Analgesics Used May Render Inconclusive Studies on Oncological Outcome.** *Anesthesiology.* 2011; **114**(3): 717.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
33. Forget P, Tombal B, Scholtès JL, *et al.*: **Do intraoperative analgesics influence oncological outcomes after radical prostatectomy for prostate cancer?** *Eur J Anaesthesiol.* 2011; **28**(12): 830–5.
[PubMed Abstract](#)

Current Referee Status:

Referee Responses for Version 1



Dan Benhamou, Bicetre Hospital, Paris, France

Approved: 09 May 2013

Ref Report: 09 May 2013

To the Editor,

First, note that it is extremely difficult to write detailed comments as lines are not numbered. Please modify the text to facilitate reviewers' work.

This is a nice review of the role of analgesia on postoperative outcome after cancer surgery. As stated by the authors, we still need confirmatory data and the present status of our knowledge only suggests a speculative effect, even if most studies concur to suggest a beneficial effect of a well-performed postoperative analgesia. It would also be important to note that all additional factors of a well-performed anesthesia (i.e. avoidance of hypotension or of hypothermia, treatment of anemia, prevention of hyperglycemia...) may also be factors that could improve postoperative outcome after cancer surgery.

That said, the reviewer has several minor comments to add:

Page 2, 2nd column, L12 of 1st paragraph: is instead of are (the subject of the sentence is "The production of...")

Page 2, 2nd column, L2 of 3rd paragraph: hypothalamic-hypopituitary complex/system/unit/axis... (choose one word)

Page 3, 1st column, L9 of 2nd paragraph: add "reduced"? (...with invasive procedures due to the reduced need...)

Page 3, 1st column, L1 of 5th paragraph: in this sentence and all along the text, use "regional" instead of "locoregional"

Page 3, 1st column, L10 and following lines of 5th paragraph: paragraph unclear: reorganize sentences to present first the beneficial effects of opioids (reduced pain) and then their harmful effects to facilitate reading

Page 3, 2nd column, L3 of 3rd paragraph: what do the authors mean by "protection of anticancer immunity"?

Page 4, 1st column, L10 of 2nd paragraph: it appears somewhat surprising that alpha-2 agonists are promoted with some apparent strength given the fact that our knowledge on these drugs in this context are not better than for other agents. Please modify the sentence to attenuate the strength of this recommendation.

I have read this submission. I believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.



Erxi Wu, North Dakota State University, Fargo, ND, USA

Approved: 07 May 2013

Ref Report: 07 May 2013

This is an interesting research topic. It describes that cancer surgery induces inflammation, immunosuppression, and angiogenesis. Also these phenomena can be influenced by analgesics. As we know, Galen (Aelius Galenus) removed some tumours surgically, but he generally believed that cancer was best left untreated. The underlying mechanisms were not clear then. The research community can benefit from this well-written paper as this paper has updated the information for this topic.

This paper can be improved if the mechanisms would have been discussed in depth. After cancer surgery, HIF-1alpha and MMPs may also be up-regulated. This paper has not mentioned them. Thus, it would be helpful if authors search and study more literature.

I have simply searched some literature, I think the following papers should be cited:

Tavare AN *et al.* Cancer recurrence after surgery: direct and indirect effects of anesthetic agents. *Int J Cancer*. 2012 doi: [10.1002/ijc.26448](https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.26448)

Retsky M *et al.* NSAID analgesic ketorolac used perioperatively may suppress early breast cancer relapse: particular relevance to triple negative subgroup. *Breast Cancer Res Treat*. 2012 doi: [10.1007/s10549-012-2094-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10549-012-2094-5)

Deegan CA *et al.* Anesthetic technique and the cytokine and matrix metalloproteinase response to primary breast cancer surgery. *Reg Anesth Pain Med*. 2010 doi: [10.1097/AAP.0b013e3181ef4d05](https://doi.org/10.1097/AAP.0b013e3181ef4d05)

Thaker PH *et al.* Chronic stress promotes tumor growth and angiogenesis in a mouse model of ovarian carcinoma. *Nat Med*. 2006 doi: [10.1038/nm1447](https://doi.org/10.1038/nm1447)

I have read this submission. I believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.



Alain Borgeat, Orthopedic University Hospital Balgrist, Zurich, Switzerland

Approved: 08 April 2013

Ref Report: 08 April 2013

There are more and more clues that inflammation, immune system and cancer recurrence may be directly associated. Therefore, this manuscript is very actual. It is well constructed and raises basic questions concerning the expected future adaptations of the management of the perioperative period.

I have read this submission. I believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.
