Open Access Effects of STI571 (gleevec) on pancreatic cancer cell growth Junsheng Li, Jörg Kleeff, Junchao Guo, Lars Fischer, Nathalia Giese, Markus W Büchler and Helmut Friess*

Address: Department of General Surgery, University of Heidelberg, Im Neuenheimer Feld 110, 69120 Heidelberg, Germany

Email: Junsheng Li - lijunsheng70@hotmail.com; Jörg Kleeff - kleeff@t-online.de; Junchao Guo - guojchcn@yahoo.com.cn; Lars Fischer - lars2fi@yahoo.de; Nathalia Giese - nathalia_giese@med.uni-heidelberg.de; Markus W Büchler - markus_buechler@med.uniheidelberg.de; Helmut Friess* - helmut_friess@med.uni-heidelberg.de

* Corresponding author

Published: 17 September 2003

Molecular Cancer 2003, 2:32

This article is available from: http://www.molecular-cancer.com/content/2/1/32

© 2003 Li et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd. This is an Open Access article: verbatim copying and redistribution of this article are permitted in all media for any purpose, provided this notice is preserved along with the article's original URL.

Received: 05 September 2003 Accepted: 17 September 2003

Abstract

Background: Pancreatic cancer is an aggressive malignancy characterized by low responsiveness to chemotherapy and radiotherapy. This resistance is partly due to the overexpression of several tyrosine kinase receptors and their ligands. STI571 has specific activity in inhibiting c-kit, PDGF and Abl receptor tyrosine kinases and has proven successful in the treatment of CML and GIST patients. Here, we investigated the potential role of STI571 in pancreatic cancer.

Results: The GI₅₀ of STI571 as well as the effects of STI571 on growth factor actions in pancreatic cell lines were analyzed using the MTT assay. FACS analysis using Annexin and PI staining was performed to study cell cycle, apoptosis, and cell death. Western blot analysis was carried out to investigate MAP kinase and receptor tyrosine kinase phosphorylation. STI571 inhibited cell proliferation in pancreatic cancer cell lines with GI₅₀ concentrations ranging from 17 to 31.5 microM. EGF, IGF-1, and FGF-2 but not PDGF exerted growth stimulatory effects in pancreatic cancer cell lines. STI571 only partly blocked these effects on cell growth, and did not abrogate growth factor-induced receptor and MAPK phosphorylation.

Conclusion: Our data demonstrate that STI571 inhibits pancreatic cancer cell growth with high GI50 concentrations through tyrosine-kinase receptor independent pathways. The clinical application of STI571 in pancreatic cancer is therefore rather doubtful.

Background

Although pancreatic cancer has an incidence of only about 10 cases/100,000 persons, it is the fourth to fifth leading cause of cancer-related deaths in the Western world [1]. Most of the newly diagnosed patients present at an already unresectable tumor stage. The 5-year survival rate of these patients is less than 1% [2–4] and the median survival time is approximately 5–6 months after tumor

detection. One of the reasons for this is that conventional oncological strategies, such as chemotherapy, radiotherapy, antihormonal modalities or systemic use of monoclonal antibodies, have not achieved significant improvement in the survival of pancreatic cancer patients [5–9].

cancer cell lines	STI57Ι (μΜ)
Aspc-1#	31.5
BxPc-3#	21
Capan-1#	19
Colo-357#	17
Mia-PaCa-2#	26
T3M4#	25
lung cancer (6 cell lines) [16]	~5
lung cancer (A549 cell line) [32]	2–3
colorectal cancer (HT29) [34]	6
CML (K562 cell lines) [35]	0.56
STI571 plasma levels[19]	0.17–5.68

Table 1: GI₅₀ concentration of STI571 in different cancer cell lines in comparison to STI571 plasma concentrations. # as determined in complete medium.

In recent years, increasing attention has been directed towards the role of growth factors in the pathogenesis of human tumors. Human pancreatic cancers overexpress a number of important tyrosine kinase growth factor receptors and their ligands, such as those belonging to the epidermal growth factor (EGF), fibroblast growth factor (FGF), insulin-like growth factor (IGF-1), and vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) families [10,11]. In addition, expression of both PDGF and PDGF receptors (PDGFRs) has been observed in pancreatic cancer [12]. It is thought that these growth factors act in an autocrine and/or paracrine manner to stimulate pancreatic cancer growth. Binding of growth factors to their receptors results in receptor autophosphorylation and subsequent signal transduction via an array of different molecules.

Small molecule drugs that can selectively inhibit tyrosine kinases are likely to be of benefit in a number of neoplastic diseases. Although tyrosine kinase inhibitors have been studied for many years, they often had little specificity and thus were unlikely to be suitable for clinical applications. Recently, more selective tyrosine kinase inhibitors have been developed, one of them being STI571 (Gleevec, imatinib mesylate and also known as CGP57148B; Novartis Pharmaceuticals). STI571 belongs to the 2-phenylaminopyrimidine class, and has selectivity for Abl, PDGF receptor [13-15] and c-kit receptor tyrosine kinases [16]. The effectiveness of this drug in blocking Bcr-Abl and c-kit tyrosine kinases has led to Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approval for the treatment of Philadelphia chromosome-positive chronic myelogenous leukemia and c-kit-positive gastrointestinal stromal tumors.

It has been shown recently that the stem cell factor (SCF) and its receptor c-kit are present in both normal and pancreatic cancer tissues, and that SCF has no growth-promoting effect in pancreatic cancer cells. Nevertheless, STI571 could inhibit pancreatic cell growth dose-dependently [17]. Since most human pancreatic cancer tissues express PDGFR, STI571 might exert its function through this pathway in pancreatic cancer cells. The purpose of this study was to gain insight into the mechanisms of STI571 action in pancreatic cancer cells, with special emphasis on receptor tyrosine kinase signaling.

Results

Determination of the GI_{50} concentration of STI571 in pancreatic cancer cells

To determine the GI₅₀ concentration of STI571, pancreatic cancer cells grown in 10% FBS- containing medium were exposed to different doses of STI571. As seen from Table 1 and Figure 1, STI571 inhibited the growth of all tested pancreatic cancer cells in a dose-dependent manner. The concentrations of STI571 required to inhibit cell growth by 50% (GI₅₀) were in the range of 17–31.5 μ M, with Colo-357 cells being the most sensitive (17 μ M), and Aspc-1 cells the most resistant $(31.5 \,\mu\text{M})$ (Table 1 and Figure 1). Thus, the GI_{50} for pancreatic cells appeared to be higher than the GI₅₀ for other reported cancer cells, such as small cell lung cancer cells, and out of the range of the STI571 plasma concentration reported in patients treated with this drug under clinical conditions [18,19]. Since effects of STI571 were reported to depend on serum concentration, we also tested the GI₅₀ of STI571 under low serum conditions (1% FCS). Here, GI₅₀ concentrations ranged between 9 and 20 µM, with Mia-PaCa-2 cells being the most sensitive (9 µM), and Aspc-1 cells the most resistant (20 µM) (Figure 1). Therefore, increasing concentration of growth factors in serum increased the resistance of pancreatic cancer cells to STI571.

Mechanism of STI 571 action on pancreatic cancer cells

In the next set of experiments, we evaluated the contribution of cytotoxic and cytostatic components to STI571-



Figure I

Effect of STI571 on pancreatic cancer cell lines. Aspc-1, BxPc-3, Capan-1, Colo-357, Mia-PaCa-2 and T3M4 cells were cultured in medium containing 1% FCS (open triangle) or 10% FCS (solid circle) and incubated in the absence (0) or presence of increasing concentrations of STI571 for 48 hours. Cell growth was determined by the MTT assay. Percent growth inhibition was calculated by comparison with control cell growth. Values shown are the mean ± SEM obtained from three independent experiments.

induced growth inhibition. First, PI staining of cells was performed in order to determine whether cell death occurred in the treated cultures. Results presented in Figure 2 demonstrate that STI571exerted potent toxic effects towards pancreatic cancer cells: 33.7 ± 16.5% in Mia-PaCa-2 and 26.8% ± 16.5% in T3M4 cultures as compared to $10.7\% \pm 2.5\%$ and $5.7\% \pm 2.8\%$ in control cultures, respectively (p < 0.05). Second, simultaneous annexin V/ propidium iodide staining was employed to clarify the type of cell death taking place in the cultures. Although we observed progressive accumulation of annexin V-positive cells (Figure 2), the role of apoptosis as a possible mechanism of STI571-induced cell death was not further supported by the results of PI staining of nuclear DNA (Figure 2). Third, we performed cell cycle analysis of STI571treated pancreatic cancer cell lines, which did not reveal any significant changes in the cell cycle pattern after 48 hours of incubation when compared to untreated cells. Apparently, STI571 treatment caused membrane alterations, leading to the layer flipping and phosphatidylserine translocation, but did not induce caspase-dependent DNA fragmentation. The exact mechanisms of the STI571induced toxicity towards pancreatic tumor cells remain to be determined

Effects of STI571 on growth factor-induced proliferation of pancreatic cancer cells

Pancreatic cancer is characterized by profound disturbances of growth factor signaling pathways. Therefore, we next investigated which particular pathway can be inhibited by STI571 in pancreatic cancer cell lines. Mia-PaCa-2 and T3M4 cell lines were exposed to different growth factors (PDGF, EGF, FGF-2, IGF-1) in the absence or presence of STI571 (at GI₅₀ concentration) for 72 hours and cell growth was assessed by MTT assays (Figure 3). EGFinduced proliferation of both Mia-PaCa-2 and T3M4 cell lines in a dose-dependent manner was seen with maximal effects of +72% ± 7.5% (Mia-PaCa-2) and +52% ± 23% (T3M4). Interestingly, STI571 partially blocked EGFinduced cell growth, with maximal effects reduced to +29% ± 15% (Mia-PaCa-2) and +16% ± 24% (T3M4) following STI571 addition (Figure 3). FGF-2 markedly stimulated cell growth in Mia-PaCa-2 cell lines with maximal effects of +79% ± 6%, and only slightly stimulated T3M4 cell lines, with maximal effects of $+7\% \pm 4\%$. STI571 also partly blocked FGF-2 induced cell growth, with maximal effects reduced to +28% ± 7% (Mia-PaCa-2) and 2% ± 3% (T3M4) (Figure 3). Both cell lines were less sensitive to IGF-1 stimulation compared with EGF and FGF-2, with maximal effects of +24% ± 2% in Mia-PaCa-2 and +17% ± 4% in T3M4. In addition, STI571 had no significant inhibitory effects on IGF-1-induced proliferation (Figure 3). PDGF did not induce cell proliferation in Mia-PaCa-2 or T3M4 cell lines. Furthermore, in three additional cell lines (BxPc-3, Colo-357 and Capan-1), PDGF also had no

effect on cell growth. Thus, although PDGF-mediated growth pathways do not seem to play a role in pancreatic cancer, the above data demonstrate the ability of STI571 to interfere with other growth-stimulatory signaling pathways, such as EGF and FGF-2.

Effects of STI571 on growth factor-induced receptor and MAP kinase activation

A common cellular response to a variety of extracellular signals involves the phosphorylation of corresponding receptors and activation of the MAPK pathway. Therefore, we evaluated whether the partial obstruction of EGF, FGF-2, and IGF-1 signaling by STI571 was due to inhibition of receptor- and/or MAPK phosphorylation. As shown in Figure 4, treatment of growth factor-conditioned Mia-PaCa-2 and T3M4 cells with STI571 did not inhibit activation of either MAPK or the EGFR. PDGF did not induce PDGF and MAPK kinase phosphorylation in those cell lines (data not shown).

To summarize, pancreatic cancer cells, resistant to growth induction through STI571-sensitive c-kit and PDGF pathways, were still responsive to the inhibitory effects of STI571, although at high GI₅₀ concentrations. Since cell cycle distribution as well as growth factor receptor and MAPK-activation were not disturbed, we assumed that antiproliferative effects of STI571 were apparently of a toxic nature, the exact mechanism of which should be further investigated.

Discussion

Protein kinases play a crucial role in signal transduction as well as in cellular proliferation, differentiation, and various regulatory mechanisms. Deregulation of those signaling pathways is frequent during malignant transformation. The inhibition of growth-related kinases, especially tyrosine kinases, might provide new tools in the therapy of cancer. The protein tyrosine kinase inhibitor STI571, which has been effective in CML as well as GIST, is a selective inhibitor of Abl, PDGF and c-kit receptor tyrosine kinases [13,14,20]. This drug has a GI₅₀ for inhibition of Bcr-Abl kinase activity of 0.25 µM and inhibits the growth of cell lines which are dependent on Bcr-Abl kinase activity at 1 µM [13,14]. In addition, STI571 also selectively inhibits the growth of cell lines derived from CML patients, as well as primary CML progenitors in clonogenic assays at low micromolar concentrations [13,21,22]. It also efficiently inhibits SCF-mediated c-kit activation at concentrations similar to those that inhibit Bcr-Abl in cellular assays, with a GI₅₀ in the range of 0.1-0.5 µM [16].

In this study, we sought to extend the profile of STI571 applications, and tested its effects in pancreatic cancer cell lines. The STI571 target c-kit and its ligand stem cell factor



Figure 2

FACS analysis, Annexin-V and PI staining. Mia-PaCa-2 and T3M4 cell lines were cultured in complete medium overnight, and treated with GI₅₀ concentrations of STI571 for 12 and 48 hours. Annexin and PI staining revealed increasing percentages of Annexin-positive and PI-positive cells with increasing time of STI571 incubation. Cell cycle analysis showed no changes in cell cycle distribution and no apoptosis (lower panel). The figure is representative of three independent experiments.



Figure 3

Effect of STI571 on growth factor-induced cell proliferation. Mia-PaCa-2 and T3M4 cells were cultured in 1% FCS medium and incubated in the absence (solid circle) or presence (open triangle) of STI571 (at GI_{50} concentration for each cell line) and increasing concentrations of the indicated growth factors for 72 hours. Cell growth was determined by the MTT assay. Percent growth inhibition was calculated by comparison with control cell growth. Values shown are the mean ± SEM obtained from three independent experiments.



Figure 4

Effect of STI571 on growth factor-induced MAPK phosphorylation. Mia-PaCa-2 and T3M4 cells were cultured in 1% FCS medium overnight, then treated with 10 ng/ml of the indicated growth factors and GI50 concentrations of STI571 for 5 minutes. Phosphorylation of MAPK was determined by Western blot analysis with antibodies specific for phospho-p44/42 MAPK. Equal loading was determined by stripping the membranes and blotting with an ERK2 (p42) antibody. The figure is representative of three independent experiments.

(SCF) have been shown to be expressed in pancreatic cancer cells. It has been shown that SCF has no significant effects on pancreatic cancer cell growth [17], whereas STI571 inhibits pancreatic cancer cell growth [17]. Thus, STI571 may exert its effect on pancreatic cancer cell growth through c-kit-independent pathways. For example, STI571 can inhibit PDGF-mediated growth and leads to the apoptosis of osteosarcoma cells *in vitro* by selective inhibition of the PDGFR tyrosine kinase [15].

PDGF was one of the first polypeptide growth factors identified that signals through a cell surface tyrosine kinase receptor (PDGFR) to stimulate various cellular functions, including growth, proliferation, and differentiation. When PDGF binds to the extracellular portion of the receptor, the receptor undergoes dimerization and autophosphorylation with tyrosine kinase activation. To date, PDGF expression has been shown in a number of different solid tumors, from glioblastomas to prostate carcinomas [23]. The biological role of PDGF signaling can vary from autocrine stimulation of cancer cell growth to more subtle paracrine interactions involving adjacent stroma and angiogenesis. In pancreatic cancers, there is a marked increase in the mRNA levels of PDGFR-alpha and PDGFR-beta in comparison with the normal pancreas, and PDGF and both PDGF receptors are present in the cancer cells [12]. Thus, STI571 maybe also inhibit pancreatic cancer cell growth via the PDGF receptor pathway.

In pancreatic cancer, a variety of other growth factors that signal through tyrosine kinase receptors are also expressed at increased levels. For example, the presence of EGFs, FGFs, PDGFs, and IGFs and their respective receptors has been observed in pancreatic cancer, and these growth factors are thought to contribute to its malignant phenotype [10,11,24]. For example, it has been reported that the mRNA levels of EGF and EGFR are markedly increased in pancreatic cancer tissues in comparison with the normal pancreas [25], suggesting that the coexpression of EGFR and its ligands may contribute to the aggressiveness of human pancreatic cancer. FGF and its receptors are also overexpressed in pancreatic cancer tissues and cell lines [26,27], and cell growth, cell adhesion and invasion are modulated by fibroblast growth factors in pancreatic cancer cell lines [27-29]. IGF-1 and its receptor IGF1R are also overexpressed in pancreatic cancer [30], and they also have the potential to stimulate pancreatic cancer cell growth.

We have now demonstrated that STI571 has dose-dependent inhibitory effects in all six tested pancreatic cancer cell lines, with GI_{50} in the range of 17–31.5 μ M (10% FCS) and 9–20 μ M (1% FCS). Notably, these concentrations are relatively high compared with the concentrations sufficient for the inhibition of Abl and c-kit in other tumors [14,18,31]. Thus, when the drug was used in CML patients, the plasma levels of STI571 inducing hematologic and cytogenetic response in patients were reported to be in the range of 0.17–5.68 μ M after treatment with 25–600 mg STI571/day [19]. Concentrations of more than 6 μ M under cell culture conditions are unlikely to translate into clinical practice, since the adverse effects of the drug will become intolerable for the patients [32].

In cell cycle analysis we did not see cell cycle change and apoptosis caused by STI571. It has been reported that STI571 did not induce apoptosis in serum-containing medium, and it has been suggested that this is because the cells may be more resistant under these conditions, and serum can persistently activate MAP kinase [16,33]. Based on these data, we treated cells with STI571 in both complete medium (10% FCS) and 1% FCS medium. No apoptotic cell death was observed under either of these serum concentrations, yet prolongation of STI571 treatment led to more cell death under these conditions. In addition, more Annexin-V-positive cells were also observed, suggesting that STI571 can damage the integrity of the cell membrane, causing phosphatidylserine translocation to the outer surface of the cells, and at a late stage, causing cell death without significant apoptosis. Thus, STI571 may exert its effects on cell growth in an unspecific manner.

Conclusion

STI571 inhibits pancreatic cells growth, but these effects are not mediated through blockage of the PDGF receptor tyrosine kinase, since PDGF did not stimulate pancreatic cancer cell growth and did not lead to MAP kinase or PDGF receptor phosphorylation. In our previous study, we excluded the SCF/c-kit tyrosine kinase receptor pathway as a possible target of STI571 as well [17]. In the present study, we demonstrate that STI571 partially but not specifically blocks EGF, IGF-1 and FGF-2 mitogenic pathways, which have the potential to stimulate pancreatic cancer cell growth. Since STI571 has relatively high GI₅₀ concentrations in pancreatic cancer cells, its action appears to be of toxic origin and its clinical relevance in pancreatic cancer is rather doubtful. Nevertheless, in view of the extremely poor prognosis of patients with pancreatic cancer, and in view of the fact that pancreatic cancer tissues in vivo indeed express both PDGF and c-kit receptor tyrosine kinases, it seems worthwhile to further investigate the therapeutic effects of STI571 in small clinical trials.

Material and Methods

RPMI-1640 DMEM, trypsin-EDTA, and penicillin-streptomycin were purchased from Invitrogen (Karlsruhe, Germany); FBS from PAN Biotech (Aidenbach, Germany); human recombinant PDGF, IGF-1 and FGF-2 from R&D Systems (Abingdon, United Kingdom), and EGF from Upstate Biotechnology (Hamburg, Germany). Phospho-PDGFR-beta (tyr857) and p-EGFR (tyr1173) polyclonal antibodies were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc. (Santa Cruz, CA, USA). Phospho-p44/42 MAPK (Thr202/Tyr204) antibodies were purchased from Cell Signaling Technology (Frankfurt, Germany), anti-rabbit IgG HRPO-linked antibodies and ECL immunoblotting detection reagents from Amersham Biosciences (Amersham Life Science, Amersham, UK), and anti-goat IgG-HPRO peroxidase linked antibodies from Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc. (Santa Cruz, CA, USA). Complete mini-EDTA-free protease inhibitor cocktail tablets and Annexin-V-Fluos were purchased from Roche GmbH (Mannheim, Germany). All other reagents were from Sigma Chemical Company (Taufkirchen, Germany). STI571 was kindly provided by Novartis Pharma AG (Basel, Switzerland).

Cell culture and MTT assay

Human pancreatic cancer cell lines were routinely grown in DMEM (Colo-357, and Mia-PaCa-2) or RPMI (Aspc-1, BxPc-3, Capan-1, and T3M4) supplemented with 10% FBS, 100 U/ml penicillin, and 100 µg/ml streptomycin (complete medium). To assess cell proliferation, the MTT test was employed. Briefly, cells were seeded at a density of 5000 cells/well in 96-well plates, grown overnight and exposed to STI571 alone or in combination with growth factors. After 48 or 72 hours of incubation, 3-(4, 5-methylthiazol-2-yl)-2, 5-diphenyl-tetrazolium bromide (MTT) was added (50 µg/well) for 4 hours. Formazan products were solubilized with acidic isopropanol, and the optical density was measured at 570 nm. To determine the GI_{50} of STI571 (the concentration that causes 50% growth inhibition), graded concentrations of STI571 were added to triplicate wells and GI_{50} was calculated using $100 \times (T-T_0)/(C-T)$ T_0 = 50. T is the optical density of the test well after a 48hour period of exposure to STI571, T₀ is the optical density at time zero, and C is the control optical density after 48 hours. All experiments were performed in triplicate.

FACS analysis of cell death and cell cycle

10⁵ pancreatic tumor cells were seeded into 6-well plates in 1% FBS-containing medium, allowed to adhere overnight and then treated with corresponding GI_{50} concentrations of STI571. To analyze cell cycle distribution, cells were collected after 48 hours of incubation, washed with PBS and resuspended in 0.5 ml of hypotonic PI buffer (5 µg/ml propidium iodide, 0.1% Triton X100 and 0.1% sodium citrate), stored overnight at 4°C and then analyzed by flow cytometry using BD-LSR (Becton Dickinson and Company, New York, USA). The resulting DNA histograms were interpreted using the Cell Quest Pro software (Becton Dickinson and Company, New York, USA). To determine the degree of cell death, cells were collected



Figure 5

Effect of STI571 on growth factor-induced EGFR phosphorylation. Mia-PaCa-2 and T3M4 cell lines were cultured in 1% FCS medium overnight, then treated with 10 ng/ml of EGF and GI50 concentrations of STI571 for 5 minutes. Phosphorylation of EGFR was determined by Western blot analysis with a phospho-EGFR-specific antibody. Equal loading was determined by stripping the membranes and blotting with an antibody to ERK2 (p42). The figure is representative of three independent experiments.

after 12, 24 and 48 hours of exposure to GI_{50} of STI571 and were washed and stained with Annexin-V-FITC (apoptotic death) or PI (necrotic death) according to the manufacturer's instructions (Roche, Mannheim, Germany).

Western blot analysis

Cell culture monolayers were washed twice with ice-cold PBS and lysed with buffer containing Tris-HCl (50 mM, pH 7.4), NP-40 (1%), Na-deoxycholate (0.25%), NaCl (150 mM), EDTA (1 mM), PMSF (1 mM), Na₃VO₄ (1 mM), NaF (1 mM) and one tablet of complete mini-EDTA-free protease inhibitor cocktail (in 10 ml buffer). Protein concentration was determined by the BCA protein assay (Pierce Chemical Co., Rockford, IL, USA). 30 µg of cell lysates were separated on SDS-polyacrylamide gels and electroblotted onto nitrocellulose membranes. Membranes were then incubated in blocking solution (5% nonfat-milk in 20 mM Tris-HCl, 150 mM NaCl, 0.1% Tween-20) (TBS-T), followed by incubation with the indicated antibodies at 4°C overnight. The membranes were then washed in TBS-T and incubated with HRPO-conjugated secondary antibodies for 1 hour at room temperature. Antibody detection was performed with an enhanced chemiluminescence reaction.

Statistical analysis

Results were expressed as mean ± SEM. For statistical analysis, the Student's t test was used. Significance was defined as p < 0.05.

Authors Contribution

JL, JG, and LF carried out the cell growth and Western blot experiments. JL and NG carried out the FACS analysis. JK, MWB, and HF conceived of the study, and participated in its design and coordination. JK and NG drafted the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final version.

References

- Jemal A, Thomas A, Murray T and Thun M: Cancer statistics, 2002. CA Cancer | Clin 2002, 52:23-47.
- Coppola D: Molecular prognostic markers in pancreatic cancer. Cancer Control 2000, 7:421-427. 2.
- 3. Gudjonsson B: Cancer of the pancreas. 50 years of surgery. Cancer 1987, 60:2284-2303.
- Warshaw AL and Fernandez-del Castillo C: Pancreatic carcinoma. 4. N Engl J Med 1992, 326:455-465.
- 5 Heinemann V: Gemcitabine in the treatment of advanced pancreatic cancer: a comparative analysis of randomized trials. Semin Oncol 2002, 29:9-16.
- van der Schelling GP and Jeekel J: Palliative chemotherapy and 6. radiotherapy for pancreatic cancer: is It worthwhile? World J Surg 1999, 23:950-953.
- Friess H, Buchler M, Kruger M and Beger HG: Treatment of duct 7. carcinoma of the pancreas with the LH-RH analogue buserelin. Pancreas 1992, 7:516-521.
- 8. Friess H, Buchler M, Beglinger C, Weber A, Kunz J, Fritsch K, Dennler HJ and Beger HG: Low-dose octreotide treatment is not effective in patients with advanced pancreatic cancer. Pancreas 1993. 8:540-545
- Buchler M, Friess H, Schultheiss KH, Gebhardt C, Kubel R, Muhrer 9 KH, Winkelmann M, Wagener T, Klapdor R, Kaul M and et al.: A randomized controlled trial of adjuvant immunotherapy (murine monoclonal antibody 494/32) in resectable pancreatic cancer. Cancer 1991, 68:1507-1512.
- 10. Korc M: Role of growth factors in pancreatic cancer. Surg Oncol Clin N Am 1998, 7:25-41.
- 11. Ozawa F, Friess H, Tempia-Caliera A, Kleeff J and Buchler MW: Growth factors and their receptors in pancreatic cancer. Teratog Carcinog Mutagen 2001, 21:27-44.
- 12. Ebert M, Yokoyama M, Friess H, Kobrin MS, Buchler MW and Korc M: Induction of platelet-derived growth factor A and B chains and over-expression of their receptors in human pancreatic cancer. Int J Cancer 1995, 62:529-535
- 13. Druker BJ, Tamura S, Buchdunger E, Ohno S, Segal GM, Fanning S, Zimmermann J and Lydon NB: Effects of a selective inhibitor of the Abl tyrosine kinase on the growth of Bcr-Abl positive cells. Nat Med 1996, 2:561-566.
- 14. Carroll M, Ohno-Jones S, Tamura S, Buchdunger E, Zimmermann J, Lydon NB, Gilliland DG and Druker BJ: CGP 57148, a tyrosine kinase inhibitor, inhibits the growth of cells expressing BCR-ABL, TEL-ABL, and TEL-PDGFR fusion proteins. Blood 1997, 90:4947-4952
- 15. McGary EC, Weber K, Mills L, Doucet M, Lewis V, Lev DC, Fidler IJ and Bar-Eli M: Inhibition of Platelet-derived Growth Factormediated Proliferation of Osteosarcoma Cells by the Novel Tyrosine Kinase Inhibitor STI571. Clin Cancer Res 2002, 8:3584-3591
- 16. Krystal GW, Honsawek S, Litz J and Buchdunger E: The selective tyrosine kinase inhibitor STI571 inhibits small cell lung cancer growth. Clin Cancer Res 2000, 6:3319-3326.
- 17. Esposito I, Kleeff J, Bischoff SC, Fischer L, Collecchi P, Iorio M, Bevilacqua G, Buchler MW and Friess H: The stem cell factor-c-kit system and mast cells in human pancreatic cancer. Lab Invest 2002, 82:1481-1492.
- Wang WL, Healy ME, Sattler M, Verma S, Lin J, Maulik G, Stiles CD, 18 Griffin JD, Johnson BE and Salgia R: Growth inhibition and modu-

lation of kinase pathways of small cell lung cancer cell lines by the novel tyrosine kinase inhibitor STI 571. Oncogene 2000, 19:3521-3528.

- 19. Druker BJ, Talpaz M, Resta DJ, Peng B, Buchdunger E, Ford JM, Lydon NB, Kantarjian H, Capdeville R, Ohno-Jones S and Sawyers CL: Efficacy and safety of a specific inhibitor of the BCR-ABL tyrosine kinase in chronic myeloid leukemia. N Engl J Med 2001, 344:1031-1037.
- Okuda K, Weisberg E, Gilliland DG and Griffin JD: ARG tyrosine 20. kinase activity is inhibited by STI571. Blood 2001, 97:2440-2448.
- Deininger MW, Goldman JM, Lydon N and Melo JV: The tyrosine 21. kinase inhibitor CGP57148B selectively inhibits the growth of BCR-ABL-positive cells. Blood 1997, 90:3691-3698.
- Beran M, Cao X, Estrov Z, Jeha S, Jin G, O'Brien S, Talpaz M, Arling-22. haus RB, Lydon NB and Kantarjian H: Selective inhibition of cell proliferation and BCR-ABL phosphorylation in acute lymphoblastic leukemia cells expressing Mr 190,000 BCR-ABL protein by a tyrosine kinase inhibitor (CGP-57148). Clin Cancer Res 1998, **4:**1661-1672.
- 23. Torrisani] and Buscail L: [Molecular pathways of pancreatic
- carcinogenesis]. Ann Pathol 2002, 22:349-355. Shi X, Friess H, Kleeff J, Ozawa F and Buchler MW: Pancreatic can-24. cer: factors regulating tumor development, maintenance and metastasis. Pancreatology 2001, 1:517-524. Korc M, Chandrasekar B, Yamanaka Y, Friess H, Buchier M and Beger
- 25. HG: Overexpression of the epidermal growth factor receptor in human pancreatic cancer is associated with concomitant increases in the levels of epidermal growth factor and transforming growth factor alpha. J Clin Invest 1992, 90:1352-1360.
- 26 Yamanaka Y, Friess H, Buchler M, Beger HG, Uchida E, Onda M, Kobrin MS and Korc M: Overexpression of acidic and basic fibroblast growth factors in human pancreatic cancer correlates with advanced tumor stage. Cancer Res 1993, 53:5289-5296.
- Kornmann M, Beger HG and Korc M: Role of fibroblast growth 27. factors and their receptors in pancreatic cancer and chronic pancreatitis. Pancreas 1998, 17:169-175.
- 28 Kleeff J, Ishiwata T, Kumbasar A, Friess H, Buchler MW, Lander AD and Korc M: The cell-surface heparan sulfate proteoglycan glypican-l regulates growth factor action in pancreatic carcinoma cells and is overexpressed in human pancreatic cancer. J Clin Invest 1998, 102:1662-1673.
- El-Hariry I, Pignatelli M and Lemoine NR: FGF-I and FGF-2 regu-29 late the expression of E-cadherin and catenins in pancreatic adenocarcinoma. Int J Cancer 2001, 94:652-661.
- Bergmann U, Funatomi H, Yokoyama M, Beger HG and Korc M: Insu-30. lin-like growth factor I overexpression in human pancreatic cancer: evidence for autocrine and paracrine roles. Cancer Res 1995, 55:2007-2011.
- 31. Buchdunger E, Zimmermann J, Mett H, Meyer T, Muller M, Druker BJ and Lydon NB: Inhibition of the Abl protein-tyrosine kinase in vitro and in vivo by a 2-phenylaminopyrimidine derivative. Cancer Res 1996, 56:100-104.
- Zhang P, Gao WY, Turner S and Ducatman BS: Gleevec (STI-571) 32 inhibits lung cancer cell growth (A549) and potentiates the cisplatin effect in vitro. Mol Cancer 2003, **2:**1. Sjoblom T, Shimizu A, O'Brien KP, Pietras K, Dal Cin P, Buchdunger
- 33. E, Dumanski JP, Ostman A and Heldin CH: Growth inhibition of dermatofibrosarcoma protuberans tumors by the plateletderived growth factor receptor antagonist STI571 through induction of apoptosis. Cancer Res 2001, 61:5778-5783
- Attoub S, Rivat C, Rodrigues S, Van Bocxlaer S, Bedin M, Bruyneel E, Louvet C, Kornprobst M, Andre T, Mareel M, Mester J and Gespach C: The c-kit tyrosine kinase inhibitor STI571 for colorectal cancer therapy. Cancer Res 2002, 62:4879-4883
- 35. Boren J, Cascante M, Marin S, Comin-Anduix B, Centelles JJ, Lim S, Bassilian S, Ahmed S, Lee WN and Boros LG: Gleevec (STI571) influences metabolic enzyme activities and glucose carbon flow toward nucleic acid and fatty acid synthesis in myeloid tumor cells. | Biol Chem 2001, 276:37747-37753.