



Mi-Ae Jang , M.D., Ph.D.

Department of Laboratory Medicine and Genetics, Soonchunhyang University Bucheon Hospital, Soonchunhyang University College of Medicine, Bucheon, Korea

# Rapid Targeted Genomic Testing: A Powerful Tool for Diagnostic Evaluation of Critically Ill Neonates and Infants With Suspected Genetic Diseases

Genetic diseases and congenital malformations have been found in approximately 14% of all hospitalized children in neonatal intensive care units in developed countries [1, 2]. These afflictions are the leading cause of a neonatal mortality of 20%–34% [3, 4], and patients with genetic diseases require longer hospitalization than those without genetic diseases [5]. In 2020, the Korean Ministry of Health and Welfare reported that congenital malformations, chromosomal abnormalities, and genetic diseases are among the leading causes of death in children during the first year of life [6]. According to the same report, 115 infants under the age of 1 year died from congenital malformations and chromosomal abnormalities, accounting for 17.1% of the total deaths for this age group [6].

Diagnosing genetic diseases based on the clinical presentation of critically ill newborns and early infants is challenging. The symptoms and features of known genetic syndromes may not be present at birth, may change rapidly, and may be difficult to observe in a child on life support [7]. The standard genetic diagnostic workup for sequential testing of disease-associated genes considered in preliminary diagnosis is time-consuming, often referred to as a “diagnostic odyssey.” Routine genetic diagnostics for children with suspected genetic diseases include molecular and cytogenetic testing to identify large and structural chromosomal variations, as well as single-gene and gene-panel

testing [8]. Turnaround times (TATs) of these diagnostic procedures range from 2 to 8 weeks or more, and more time is required to make a final diagnosis if multiple consecutive tests are needed. There is an urgent need to accelerate this process in critically ill children, as diseases can progress rapidly and require timely clinical interventions to reduce morbidity, suffering, and mortality.

Genome-scale testing methods such as whole-genome sequencing (WGS) or whole-exome sequencing (WES) have been developed for the diagnosis of genetic diseases [2, 9-11]. Rapid WGS or WES, which reduces sequencing and interpretation times, has proven to be a useful diagnostic test for critically ill neonates and infants suspected of having genetic diseases, with reported diagnostic yields of 30% to 57% [2, 7, 9, 12]. This evidence has led to calls for implementation of rapid WGS or WES in national healthcare systems as the new standard of care. However, widespread deployment of rapid WGS or WES has led to several ethical issues pertaining to the interpretation of variants of uncertain significance (VUS), discovery of incidental findings related to adult-onset conditions by examining sequence information of the whole genome or exome, and implementation of medical therapies with minimal information on risks and benefits [9, 13]. Time is another essential factor when treating critically ill children in neonatal and pediatric intensive care units, limiting



© Korean Society for Laboratory Medicine

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0>) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

the use of genome or exome sequencing in acute care.

In this issue of *Annals of Laboratory Medicine*, Kim and colleagues [14] report the design of a rapid targeted sequencing panel called NEOseq\_ACTION containing 254 actionable genes with therapeutic options to meet clinical needs and overcome ethical concerns. They further developed a machine learning-based prediction model to support variant interpretation, which is expected to shorten the TAT and efficiently predict the pathogenicity of VUS [14]. Prospective validation tests were performed using dried blood spot samples from critically ill neonates and infants with suspected genetic diseases based on abnormal neonatal screening findings, neonatal hypotonia, seizures, complex metabolic phenotypes, skeletal dysplasia or joint problems, and neurodevelopmental delays. In this study of 111 children who underwent NEOseq\_ACTION panel sequencing, 13.5% (15/111) had a genetic diagnosis, including 14 patients with autosomal recessive disease and one patient with X-linked recessive disease. The majority of patients (11/15) who received a genetic diagnosis were asymptomatic and showed abnormal findings in neonatal screening [14]. The average time from blood collection to reporting was 3.4 days, and medical management was applied for all patients (15/15) with a diagnosis [14].

Evidence is rapidly accumulating to clarify the role of rapid genomic sequencing in the diagnosis and timely treatment of neonates and infants in neonatal and pediatric intensive care, and efforts to integrate rapid genetic diagnosis into the clinical setting continue. As one such endeavor, rapid targeted sequencing could be a powerful tool for the diagnostic evaluation of critically ill neonates and infants with suspected genetic diseases, with an expected significant improvement on clinical decision-making.

## AUTHOR CONTRIBUTION

Jang MA contributed to the manuscript writing.

## CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

None declared.

## ORCID

Mi-Ae Jang <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6558-5236>

## REFERENCES

1. Synnes AR, Berry M, Jones H, Pendray M, Stewart S, Lee SK. Infants with congenital anomalies admitted to neonatal intensive care units. *Am J Perinatol* 2004;21:199-207.
2. Meng L, Pammi M, Saronwala A, Magoulas P, Ghazi AR, Vetrini F, et al. Use of exome sequencing for infants in intensive care units: ascertainment of severe single-gene disorders and effect on medical management. *JAMA Pediatr* 2017;171:e173438.
3. Murphy SL, Mathews TJ, Martin JA, Minkovitz CS, Strobino DM. Annual summary of vital statistics: 2013-2014. *Pediatrics* 2017;139:e20163239.
4. Stevenson DA and Carey JC. Contribution of malformations and genetic disorders to mortality in a children's hospital. *Am J Med Genet A* 2004; 126A:393-7.
5. Berry MA, Shah PS, Brouillette RT, Hellmann J. Predictors of mortality and length of stay for neonates admitted to children's hospital neonatal intensive care units. *J Perinatol* 2008;28:297-302.
6. Statistics Korea. Infant, maternal and perinatal mortality statistics. [http://www.index.go.kr/potal/main/EachDtlPageDetail.do?idx\\_cd=2769](http://www.index.go.kr/potal/main/EachDtlPageDetail.do?idx_cd=2769) (Accessed on Nov 2022).
7. van Diemen CC, Kerstjens-Frederikse WS, Bergman KA, de Koning TJ, Sikkema-Raddatz B, van der Velde JK, et al. Rapid targeted genomics in critically ill newborns. *Pediatrics* 2017;140:e20162854.
8. Shashi V, McConkie-Rosell A, Rosell B, Schoch K, Vellore K, McDonald M, et al. The utility of the traditional medical genetics diagnostic evaluation in the context of next-generation sequencing for undiagnosed genetic disorders. *Genet Med* 2014;16:176-82.
9. Petrikon JE, Willig LK, Smith LD, Kingsmore SF. Rapid whole genome sequencing and precision neonatology. *Semin Perinatol* 2015;39:623-31.
10. Farnaes L, Hildreth A, Sweeney NM, Clark MM, Chowdhury S, Nahas S, et al. Rapid whole-genome sequencing decreases infant morbidity and cost of hospitalization. *NPJ Genom Med* 2018;3:10.
11. Zhong Y, Xu F, Wu J, Schubert J, Li MM. Application of next generation sequencing in laboratory medicine. *Ann Lab Med* 2021;41:25-43.
12. Stark Z, Lunke S, Brett GR, Tan NB, Stapleton R, Kumble S, et al. Meeting the challenges of implementing rapid genomic testing in acute pediatric care. *Genet Med* 2018;20:1554-63.
13. Ross LF and Clayton EW. Ethical issues in newborn sequencing research: the case study of BabySeq. *Pediatrics* 2019;144:e20191031.
14. Kim MJ, Kim SY, Lee JS, Kang S, Park LJ, Choi W, et al. Rapid targeted sequencing using dried blood spot samples for patients with suspected actionable genetic diseases. *Ann Lab Med* 2023;43:280-9.

---

### Corresponding author: Mi-Ae Jang, M.D., Ph.D.

Department of Laboratory Medicine and Genetics, Soonchunhyang University Bucheon Hospital, Soonchunhyang University College of Medicine, 170 Jomaru-ro, Wonmi-gu, Bucheon 14584, Korea  
Tel: +82-32-621-6725, Fax: +82-32-621-5944  
E-mail: miaeyaho@gmail.com

**Key Words:** Genetic diseases, Infant, Newborn, Diagnosis, Neonatal screening, High-throughput nucleotide sequencing, Genome, Exome, Metabolism, Inborn Errors, Dried blood spot