Article

DNA polymerase zeta contributes to heterochromatin replication to prevent genome instability

Barbara Ben Yamin^{1,†}, Sana Ahmed-Seghir^{1,†,§}, Junya Tomida^{2,†,¶}, Emmanuelle Despras^{1,††}, Caroline Pouvelle¹, Andrey Yurchenko³, Jordane Goulas¹, Raphael Corre¹, Quentin Delacour¹, Nathalie Droin⁴, Philippe Dessen⁵, Didier Goidin⁶, Sabine S Lange^{2,‡‡}, Sarita Bhetawal², Maria Teresa Mitjavila-Garcia⁷, Giuseppe Baldacci⁸, Sergey Nikolaev³, Jean Charles Cadoret^{8,‡}, Richard D Wood^{2,‡}, & Patricia L Kannouche^{1,*,‡}

Abstract

The DNA polymerase zeta (Pol⁽) plays a critical role in bypassing DNA damage. REV3L, the catalytic subunit of Pol^C, is also essential in mouse embryonic development and cell proliferation for reasons that remain incompletely understood. In this study, we reveal that REV3L protein interacts with heterochromatin components including repressive histone marks and localizes in pericentromeric regions through direct interaction with HP1 dimer. We demonstrate that Pol /REV3L ensures progression of replication forks through difficult-to-replicate pericentromeric heterochromatin, thereby preventing spontaneous chromosome break formation. We also find that Rev3l-deficient cells are compromised in the repair of heterochromatin-associated double-stranded breaks, eliciting deletions in late-replicating regions. Lack of REV3L leads to further consequences that may be ascribed to heterochromatin replication and repair-associated functions of Polζ, with a disruption of the temporal replication program at specific loci. This is correlated with changes in epigenetic landscape and transcriptional control of developmentally regulated genes. These results reveal a new function of Pol^{\zet} in preventing chromosome instability during replication of heterochromatic regions.

Keywords DNA replication; heterochromatin; replication timing; REV3L; TLS polymerase

Subject Categories Chromatin, Transcription & Genomics; DNA Replication, Recombination & Repair

THE

IOURNAI

 \mathbf{EMB}

DOI 10.15252/embj.2020104543 | Received 22 January 2020 | Revised 20 August 2021 | Accepted 28 August 2021 | Published online 17 September 2021 The EMBO Journal (2021) 40: e104543

Introduction

ſП

OPEN

ACCESS

PANSPAREN

PROCESS

It is well established that DNA polymerase delta (Pol δ) and epsilon (Pol ϵ) catalyze the high-fidelity duplication of the genome (reviewed in Burgers & Kunkel, 2017). However, an emerging concept is that translesion synthesis (TLS) DNA polymerases, known for their error-prone lesion-bypass properties, can also facilitate synthesis of non-damaged DNA. TLS polymerases may be employed when replicative DNA polymerases are not able to pass through stalled sites of replication at structured DNA sequences and/or hard-to-replicate genomic regions (reviewed in Tsao & Eckert, 2018). For example, DNA polymerase eta (Pol η) and zeta (Pol ζ) are required to maintain common fragile site stability (CFS) in human cells (Bergoglio *et al*, 2013; Bhat *et al*, 2013; Despras *et al*, 2016). It is not

¹ CNRS-UMR9019, Equipe labellisée Ligue Contre le Cancer, Gustave Roussy, Paris-Saclay Université, Villejuif, France

² Department of Epigenetics and Molecular Carcinogenesis, The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center and The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center UT Health Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences, Houston, TX, USA

³ INSERM U981, Gustave Roussy, Université Paris Saclay, Villejuif, France

⁴ Genomics Facility, Gustave Roussy, Villejuif, France

⁵ Bioinformatics Core Facility, Gustave Roussy, Villejuif, France

⁶ Life Sciences and Diagnostics Group, Agilent Technologies France, Les Ulis, France

⁷ INSERM U1197, Paul Brousse Hospital, Paris-Saclay Université, Villejuif, France

⁸ Institut Jacques Monod, UMR7592, CNRS and University of Paris, Paris, France

^{*}Corresponding author (Lead contact). Tel: +33 142 114 030; E-mail: patricia.kannouche@gustaveroussy.fr

[†]These authors contributed equally to this work as first authors

[‡]These authors contributed equally to this work as senior authors

[§]Present address: Department of Radiation Oncology, Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY, USA

Present address: Department of Biological Sciences, University of North Carolina at Charlotte, Charlotte, NC, USA

^{††}Present address: Sorbonne Université, INSERM UMRS 938, Équipe Instabilité des Microsatellites et Cancer, Équipe Labellisée par la Ligue Nationale Contre le Cancer et SIRIC CURAMUS, Centre de recherche Saint Antoine, Paris, France

^{‡‡}Present address: Texas Commission on Environmental Quality, Austin, TX, USA

known whether specialized DNA polymerases are recurrently recruited to DNA more broadly during S-phase to assist replicative DNA polymerases for replicating unconventional DNA structures preventing thus genome instability at the cost of increased point mutations. Intriguingly, growing evidence suggests that replication timing influences genomic mutation rates with an increasing gradient of single-nucleotide substitutions that correlate with late-replicating regions (Stamatoyannopoulos *et al*, 2009; Koren *et al*, 2012; Polak *et al*, 2015), but the causative underlying mechanisms remain elusive.

Pol² is a TLS polymerase complex in eukaryotes, consisting of four subunits: Rev3, the catalytic subunit, Rev7 that enhances Rev3 activity, and two subunits shared with the replicative polymerase Polo (Baranovskiv et al, 2012; Johnson et al, 2012; Makarova et al, 2012; Lee et al, 2014). In contrast to other TLS enzymes that belong to the Y-family of polymerases, Pol² belongs to the B-family that includes the highly accurate replicative DNA Polo and Pole (Gan et al, 2008). Pol ζ lacks an intrinsic 3'-5' exonuclease activity, making this TLS polymerase error-prone with a spontaneous mutation rate that is 10- to 100-fold greater than that of replicative DNA polymerases in veast (McCulloch & Kunkel, 2008; Stone et al, 2009). Pol(is a key player in translesion DNA synthesis by elongating primer termini that are positioned opposite base damage and non-instructional lesions (Johnson et al, 2000). This DNA polymerase has been extensively characterized in the budding yeast S. cerevisiae, showing that spontaneous as well as damage-induced mutagenesis is largely dependent on Polζ (Makarova & Burgers, 2015).

Counterparts of the yeast REV genes have been identified in other eukaryotes. Mouse and human Rev3-like (Rev3l) orthologs have a large extra segment which is not conserved in yeast REV3 and are thus about twice the size of the 173-kDa yeast Rev3 (350 and 353 kDa, respectively). Despite the established participation of Rev3l in important cellular processes (Martin & Wood, 2019), the role of REV3L protein is incompletely understood and studies have been hampered by the inability to detect this large protein in cells. Pol ζ is unique among TLS polymerases in mammalian cells, because inactivation of Rev3l gene leads to embryonic lethality in mice (Esposito *et al*, 2000; Wittschieben *et al*, 2000; Van Sloun *et al*, 2002). *Rev3l*^{-/-} mouse embryonic stem cells are not viable, and primary mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) obtained from conditional Rev3l knockouts show genome instability and growth defects without external damage to DNA (Wittschieben et al, 2006; Lange et al, 2012). All these data underscore an essential role of Polζ in mammalian cells.

In this study, we investigated the biological function of Polζ during S-phase under normal growth conditions. We show that Polζ/REV3L is able to interact with heterochromatin through direct interaction with HP1 dimer and ensures progression of replication forks through the hard-to-replicate pericentromeric heterochromatin, thus preventing spontaneous DNA and chromosome break formation. We also find that lack of REV3L compromises heterochromatin-associated DSB repair and shows increased number of genomic deletions in late-replicating regions. Lack of REV3L leads to further consequences that may be ascribed to heterochromatin replication-associated functions of Polζ, with a disruption of the temporal replication program at specific loci associated with changes in epigenetic and transcriptional landscape. These results show that Polζ helps maintain genome stability by contributing to heterochromatin replication.

Results

S-phase progression is altered in $Rev3I^{-/-}$ MEFs

Polζ is critical for proliferation of normal primary cells, suggesting that Pol⁽ participates in unchallenged DNA replication (Lange *et al*, 2012). To gain cellular and molecular insights into its function during DNA replication, we first investigated the dynamics of Sphase progression in the absence of REV3L, the catalytic subunit of Polζ. Unchallenged $Rev3l^{-/-}$ and $Rev3l^{+/+}$ mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) (Lange et al, 2012) were pulse-labeled with the thymidine analog 5'-bromo-2'-deoxyuridine (BrdU) for 15 min, released into fresh medium, and harvested at the indicated time points. FACS analysis showed that cell cycle progression was altered in $Rev3l^{-/-}$ MEFs (Fig 1A). Indeed, $Rev3l^{-/-}$ cells exhibited a significant higher percentage in late S/G2-phase at 4, 6, and 8 h as compared to control cells. This is mirrored by a depletion of BrdU-positive cells in G1/early S at the same time periods (Fig 1A, see red arrows in upper panel and histograms in lower panel). Moreover, analysis of BrdU-negative cells showed that $Rev3l^{-/-}$ cells tend to accumulate in G2-phase as compared to $Rev3l^{+/+}$ (Fig 1A, see green arrows in upper panel). Similar observations were obtained using REV3Ldepleted human cells (Fig EV1). These results indicate that cell cycle progression in Rev3l^{-/-} cells is impaired in late S-phase and G2/M-phase and prompted us to investigate the patterns of spatiotemporal replication in Rev3l null MEFs.

S-phase can be divided into different stages by immunofluorescence observation of characteristic thymidine analog incorporation patterns corresponding to early, mid-, or late S-phase (Dimitrova & Berezney, 2002; Guenatri et al, 2004). To analyze the spatial organization of DNA replication in *Rev3l^{-/-}* MEFs, cells were pulsedlabeled with EdU and the replication patterns typically observed in mouse cells were identified and quantified (Guenatri et al, 2004). Representative replication patterns for each category are represented: early S-phase pattern with a high density of small foci distributed throughout the nucleus (stage I), early-mid-S-phase pattern with foci which become bigger and less abundant than stage I (stage II), mid-late S-phase pattern with a typical ring-shaped labeling around pericentromeric heterochromatin domains (stage III), and late S-phase pattern with a few big dots located mainly at the nuclear periphery and in heterochromatin area (stage IV) (Fig 1B, upper panel). We found that in the absence of REV3L, the proportion of cells with an early replication spatial pattern (stage I) decreased whereas the proportion of cells in mid- and late S-phase increased (Fig 1B, middle and lower panels). A similar effect was found after depletion of REV3L in human cells (Fig 1C). These results suggest that lack of REV3L could affect the temporal control of DNA replication.

Loss of REV3L delays replication timing in specific genomic loci

We thus examined the replication timing in $Rev3l^{+/+}$ and $Rev3l^{-/-}$ cells after a few (p5 and p7 in $Rev3l^{+/+}$ and $Rev3l^{-/-}$ cells, respectively) or serial passages (p60) in cell culture (Fig EV2A). To perform genome-wide profiling of replication timing (RT), cells were pulse-labeled with BrdU and sorted into early and late S-phase fractions by flow cytometry (see methods (Fernandez-Vidal *et al*, 2014)). Newly synthesized DNA of each fraction was BrdU-immunoprecipitated and

specifically labeled (Cy3 for early fraction and Cy5 for late fraction) before co-hybridization on microarrays. The replication timing of genomic domains was obtained by measuring the log2-ratio of early

versus late fractions and analyzed using the START-R program (Hadjadj *et al*, 2020). We first compared the replication timing obtained at different passages for each cell line. For $Rev3l^{+/+}$ MEF, there was a



Figure 1.

Figure 1. S-phase progression is impaired in $Rev3I^{-/-}$ MEFs.

- A *Rev3I^{+/+}* and *Rev3I^{-/-}* MEFs were pulse-labeled with BrdU prior to harvesting and analyzed by flow cytometry at different time points. The analysis was focused on S-phase divided into three parts: C1/early S, middle S, and late S/C2 BrdU⁺ cells. Red arrow represents BrdU⁺ cells that re-entry in S-phase, and green arrow shows BrdU⁻ cells in G2-phase. Histograms represent the percentage of cells in G1/early and late S/G2-phase after BrdU pulse. Error bars represent standard error for three independent experiments. ns: not significant, **P* < 0.05 by Student's *t*-test.
- B $Rev3I^{+/+}$ and $Rev3I^{-/-}$ MEFs were pulse-labeled with EdU for 15 min, permeabilized, fixed, and stained for EdU incorporation (green). S-phase sub-stages from I to IV were evaluated by visual inspection of the cycling population (> 300 EdU⁺ cells, top panel). Scale bar = 5 μ m. Dot plots and pie charts show the relative proportion (percentage of total S) of each sub-stage from I to IV (middle and bottom panel, respectively). Each dot represents the mean of two technical replicates.
- C Seventy-two hours after transfection with non-targeting (NT) or *Rev3I* siRNA, HeLa cells were pulse-labeled with BrdU for 15 min, permeabilized, fixed, and stained for BrdU (red). As in (B), S-phase sub-stages were evaluated by visual inspection of the cycling population (> 300 BrdU⁺ cells, top panel). Scale bar = 5 μm. Dot plots and pie charts show the relative proportion (percentage of total S) of early, middle–late, and late S-phase (middle and bottom panel, respectively). Each dot represents the mean of two technical replicates.

1.9% difference between p5 and p60. For $Rev3l^{-/-}$ cells, we observed only 0.2% difference between p7 and p60, suggesting that the replication timing remains stable during cell culture passages in both cell lines, and can be considered as two independent replicates.

We next compared the replication timing between $Rev3l^{t++}$ and $Rev3l^{-/-}$ MEF at early passage. The START-R analysis identified a set of genomic compartments that changed replication timing in response to Rev3l inactivation (Fig 2A). About 5.7% of the whole genome was affected; 19.2% (in bp) of these regions were advanced in timing, and 80.8% of regions were delayed (Fig EV2B). A major effect of Rev3l loss was boundary shifts as exemplified in Fig 2A, corresponding to a delay in regions that lie between early- and late-replicating domains called temporal transition regions (TTR) by Gilbert and colleagues (Hiratani *et al*, 2008). We observed that 67% of disturbed domains fall in TTR (corresponding to 83% of delayed regions).

We then explored the correlation between genomic regions that changed replication timing in $Rev3l^{-/-}$ cells (called disturbed regions) and the coverage for GC and LINE-1 contents (Fig 2B) and also for H3K27ac, H3K4me3, and H3K27me3 epigenetic marks (Fig 2C). For each parameter, we observed a molecular signature intermediate between that of early and late domains, strengthening our hypothesis that these disturbed regions correspond to TTR. In $Rev3l^{-/-}$ cells, 18.7% of TTR were disturbed. These results reveal that Rev3l inactivation in MEFs induces changes in the temporal replication program, especially in specific genomic regions located in TTR and suggest that REV3L/Pol ζ might contribute to replicate these specific loci.

To confirm these observations, we examined the replication timing in human cells depleted for REV3L. For that, HeLa cells were

transfected with non-targeting siRNA (siNT) or siRNA against *Rev3l*. Sixty hours later, cells were pulse-labeled with BrdU for 1.5 h and processed as for MEFs. Analysis by the START-R program revealed 116 disturbed regions in REV3L-depleted cells, corresponding to approximatively 5.4% of the whole genome with more than 70% of delayed regions (Fig EV2C and D). We found that depletion of REV3L in human cells affected mostly genomic domains that replicate late in S-phase (Fig EV2E). Thus, the specific replicating domains disturbed after REV3L depletion are not exactly the same in MEFs and HeLa genomes (TTR versus Late, respectively).

Rev31 inactivation results in epigenetic changes with downregulation of numerous developmentally regulated genes

Links between transcription and replication timing have been well documented (Hiratani et al, 2008; Sima et al, 2019). Genome-wide analyses have identified a strong correlation between early replication and high transcriptional potential (MacAlpine et al, 2004; Farkash-Amar et al, 2008; Hiratani et al, 2008). We reasoned that changes in replication timing in the absence of Rev3l may impact the transcriptional program. We therefore performed microarraybased transcriptome profiling from $Rev3l^{+/+}$ and $Rev3l^{-/-}$ MEFs (Fig 3A). Genes altered by at least threefold between conditions were considered (FDR < 0.05). Analysis of microarray data indicated a total of 317 genes with mRNA expression altered by \geq 3-fold in Rev3l-deficient cells as compared to control cells, with 112 genes up-regulated and 205 genes that were down-regulated. Genes displaying a down-regulation in $Rev3l^{-/-}$ MEFs had significant enrichment for Gene Ontology terms related to organ and system development (Fig 3B). Prominent repressed genes are in the HoxB

Figure 2. Loss of REV3L disrupts the replication timing in specific genomic loci.

A Cells were pulse-labeled with BrdU for 1.5 h and sorted by flow cytometry in two fractions, S1 and S2, corresponding to early and late S-phase fractions, respectively. Neo-synthesized DNA was immunoprecipitated with BrdU antibodies. Early and late neo-synthesized DNAs were labeled with Cy3 and Cy5, respectively, and hybridized on microarrays. After processing analysis with the START-R software, replication-timing profiles were obtained from two replicates (see Fig EV2A). Shown are the zoomed microarray profiles of the timing of replication on chromosome 1 (136.6–143.5 Mb), chromosome 5 (127.3–134.7 Mb), and chromosome 9 (46.1–51.3 Mb) from *Rev3I^{+/+}* and *Rev3I^{-/-}* MEFs overlaid. Blue lines represent replication timing from *Rev3I^{+/+}* MEFs, and red lines represent replication timing from *Rev3I^{-/-}* MEFs. Genomic regions displaying significant difference between *Rev3I^{+/+}* and *Rev3I^{-/-}* MEFs by START-R are indicated by a pink line (*P* < 0.01).

B Analysis of GC and Line-1 content in early, mid, late, and TTR compared with disturbed replicating regions found in *Rev31^{-/-}* MEFs. Bar in boxplot represents the median, and red points represent the mean. The limit of the boxes corresponds to the 0.25–0.75 quartiles with whiskers extending to the maximum value of 1.5 times the interquartile range. Graphs show data from two biological experiments.

C Analysis of the active marks H3K27ac and H3K4me3 and facultative heterochromatin mark H3K27me3 content in early, mid, late, and TTR compared with disturbed replicating regions found in *Rev3I^{-/-}* MEFs. Bar in boxplot represents the median, and red points represent the mean. The limit of the boxes corresponds to the 0.25–0.75 quartiles with whiskers extending to the maximum value of 1.5 times the interquartile range. Graphs show data from two biological experiments.



Figure 2.



Figure 3. Inactivation of Rev3I impairs expression of numerous developmentally and imprinted genes.

- A Heat map showing log2 fold change in differentially expressed genes in *Rev3I^{+/+}* and *Rev3I^{-/-}* MEFs from 2 independent biological experiments (Rev3I^{+/+}: samples 1 and 2, Rev3I^{-/-}: samples 5 and 6) with 2 technical replicates (samples 3,4,7,8: technical repeats from samples 1,2,5,6, respectively). Several developmentally regulated genes and imprinting genes (paternally in blue and maternally in pink) down-regulated in *Rev3I^{-/-}* MEFs are indicated on the right. Yellow and blue indicate high and low mRNA expression levels, respectively.
- B Top five biological process gene ontology (GO) terms of genes found down-regulated in $Rev3l^{-/-}$ MEFs transcriptome analysis.
- C Volcano plot shows in red genes involved in development and imprinting with high fold changes \geq 3 (FDR < 0.05).
- D Relative mRNA levels of four genes involved in development (*Hoxb2, Hoxb8, Hoxb9,* and *WT1*) were validated through qRT–PCR from *Rev31^{+/+}* and *Rev31^{+/+}* MEF samples. The data were normalized to the amount of GAPDH mRNA. Error bars indicate standard error of the mean for three independent experiments. ****P* < 0.001 and ***P* < 0.005 by Student's *t*-test.
- E Relative mRNA levels of genes involved in imprinting (paternal expressed genes: Dlk1 and Slc18a4 and maternal expressed genes: Dcn1 and H19) were validated through qRT–PCR from $Rev3I^{+/+}$ and $Rev3I^{-/-}$ MEF samples. The data were normalized to the amount of *GAPDH* mRNA. Error bars indicate standard error of the mean for three independent experiments. ***P < 0.001 by Student's t-test.

Source data are available online for this figure.

gene cluster, including *Hoxb2* to *Hoxb9* as well as two *HoxA* genes (Fig 3A and C). *Rev3l* inactivation also resulted in the decrease in expression of approximately 14 genes from imprinted loci (corresponding to 6.8% of down-regulated genes,). These included paternally expressed genes *Dlk1*, *Snrpn*, *Peg3*, and *Mest* (Fig 3A, blue) and maternally expressed genes *H19*, *Dcn*, *Meg3*, and *Cdkn1c* (Fig 3A, pink). *WT1* is a well-characterized developmental gene that is mutated in Wilms' tumor and was identified as being imprinted (Schwienbacher *et al*, 2000). This gene was significantly down-regulated by at least 50-fold in *Rev3l^{-/-}* MEFs as compared to control cells. qRT–PCR from independent cell cultures of *Rev3l^{-/-}* and *Rev3l^{+/+}* MEFs confirmed the down-regulation of multiple *HoxB* and imprinted genes (Fig 3D and E).

We next asked whether genes showing altered mRNA expression in the absence of REV3L are located in genomic regions that displayed a RT delay. For this, we integrated the data on gene expression and genome-wide profiling of replication timing. We found that of the 24 genes (corresponding to 7.6% of deregulated genes) located in these specific genomic domains (Table 1), all showed less expression in REV3L-defective cells. These observations are consistent with the fact that late-replicating genes are often silenced. However, the majority of deregulated genes do not fall within disturbed regions of replication timing, indicating that downregulation of genes might be a secondary consequence of REV3L inactivation. Nevertheless, these data suggest that loss of *Rev3l* is correlated to dysregulation of genes involved in growth and development.

In addition to gene expression, various genomic features are linked to replication timing. These include histone modification, DNA methylation, DNA repeat sequences, ordered chromatin structure, and nuclear compartmentalization (Aladjem, 2007; Hiratani *et al*, 2008). Changes in replication timing as well as dysregulation of gene expression in response to *Rev3l* inactivation prompted us to assess whether these modifications were associated with variations in global levels of histone modifications. Total histones from *Rev3l*^{+/+} and *Rev3l*^{-/-} MEFs were screened by immunoblotting with a panel of histone modification-specific antibodies. *Rev3l* inactivation resulted in a fourfold up-regulation of histone H3K27 tri-methylation (Fig 4A) and a more than two-fold increase in H3K9me3 and H3K4me3. While H3K27me3 and H3K9me3 are repressive marks, H3K4me3 is associated with active genes.

We then examined repressive histone modifications (H3K27me3 and H3K9me3) at gene loci down-regulated in $Rev3l^{-/-}$ MEFs (including Hoxb2, Hoxb8, WT1). By ChIP-qPCR, we found that H3K27me3 and H3K9me3 levels were higher in $Rev3l^{-/-}$ than in $Rev3l^{+/+}$ MEFs (Fig 4B). In contrast, we observed a trend toward

Table 1. Loci displaying both delay in RT and misregulation in $Rev3I^{-/-}$ MEFs.

Domain	Chr	Size (bp)	Replication timing domain	Gene	Misregulation (fold change ^a)
1	1	1,042,476	TTR	Pax3	-3.57
2	2	2,573,606	TTR	Plcb4	-4.17
3	6	6 628 128	TTR	Frmd4b	-3.13
4	8	671,566	TTR	Hand2	-7.69
5	8	641,165	TTR	Lpl	-27.03
6	10	567,222	TTR	Ptprb	-5.56
6	10	567,222	TTR	Tspan8	-7.14
7	11	920,184	TTR	Meis1	-5.56
8	12	487,988	TTR	Foxg1	-20.41
9	12	548,987	TTR	Dlk1	-6.25
9	12	548,987	TTR	Meg3	-5.26
9	12	548,987	TTR	Mirg	-6.67
10	12	1,372,498	TTR	Mycn	-5.88
11	13	1,179,925	TTR	Sfrp4	-6.25
12	14	671,779	TTR	Wnt5a	-4.55
13	15	1,054,278	TTR	Fbxl7	-8.33
14	15	1,145,389	TTR	Has2	-4.55
15	17	808,766	TTR	Rftn1	-12.35
16	18	402,349	TTR	Gata6	-12.82
17	18	539,392	TTR	Pcdhb20	-3.45
17	18	539,392	TTR	Pcdhb21	-5.56
18	18	1,232,897	TTR	Ppp2r2b	-8.33
19	19	509,162	TTR	Aldh1a1	-11.33
19	19	509,162	TTR	Aldh1a7	-3.57

 $^{a}FDR < 0.05.$



Figure 4.

Figure 4. Loss of REV3L results in epigenetic changes.

- A Total histones were acid extracted from *Rev3I^{+/+}* and *Rev3I^{-/-}* MEFs. Samples were analyzed by Western blot using indicated antibodies specific to histone marks (right panel). An anti-pan H4 or H3 was used as loading control (left panel). Histograms show WT/KO *Rev3I* fold change relative to the immunoblot shown on the top panel (using 1× sample intensity).
- B Histone mark levels were examined at selected genes repressed in *Rev3I^{-/-}* MEFs (*Hoxb2, Hoxb8, WT1*). Histograms represent enrichment of H3K9me3 and H3K27me3 at indicated loci assessed by ChIP-qPCR in *Rev3I^{+/+}* and *Rev3I^{-/-}* MEFs. Error bars indicate standard error of the mean for three independent experiments.
- C H3K9me3 and H3K27me3 mark levels were evaluated at selected genes that localized in genomic loci displaying replication timing delay and down-regulated in *Rev3I^{-/-}* MEFs (*FoxG1, GATA6*). Histograms represent enrichment of H3K9me3 and H3K27me3 assessed by ChIP-qPCR at indicated loci in *Rev3I^{-/-}* MEFs. Error bars indicate standard error of the mean for three independent experiments.
- D Southern blot analysis of genomic DNA extracted from *Rev3I^{+/+}* and *Rev3I^{-/-}* MEFs and digested with the CpG methylation-sensitive enzyme MaeII (5' -ACGT- 3'). The membrane was hybridized with radiolabeled probes specific to major satellites. The presented data are representative of two repeats (see Source Data file for this figure).

Source data are available online for this figure.

reduced active marks H3K9ac and H3K27ac in $Rev3l^{-/-}$ cells (Appendix Fig S1). We also observed enrichment of repressive histone marks in gene loci that showed a delay in replication timing in $Rev3l^{-/-}$ cells such as *FoxG1* and *GATA6* genes (Fig 4C), supporting the idea that the down-regulation of gene expression observed in $Rev3l^{-/-}$ cells might be caused by epigenetic silencing.

Constitutive heterochromatin exhibits a chromatin landscape marked by high levels of H3K9me3, DNA methylation, and histone hypoacetylation. The pericentromere is a heterochromatic domain that provides a structural scaffold for centromere formation and plays a crucial role in genome stability (Allshire & Karpen, 2008). In mouse cells, pericentromeric heterochromatin consists of $\sim 10^5$ major satellite DNA repeats that are methylated, decorated by H3K9me3, and enriched in the heterochromatin protein 1 alpha (HP1a) (Maison et al, 2002). Since we observed an up-regulation of H3K9me3 levels in Rev3l-deficient cells, we next investigated the DNA methylation pattern at major satellite repeats. Genomic DNA was extracted from $Rev3l^{+/+}$ and $Rev3l^{-/-}$ MEFs, digested with methylation-sensitive restriction enzymes MaeII, and DNA blots hybridized with major satellite probes. In Rev3l-deficient cells, a fraction of DNA satellite was resistant to enzymatic digestion, indicating an increase in DNA methylation in the absence of REV3L (Fig 4D). Therefore, our data suggest that REV3L can play a role in the duplication of DNA repeatrich pericentromeric regions known to be replicated in mid-to-late Sphase in mouse cells (Guenatri et al, 2004). Loss of REV3L results in modification of epigenetic marks in such heterochromatic regions.

Direct interaction of REV3L with HP1 dimer allows REV3L recruitment at pericentromeric regions

We reasoned that if REV3L is implicated in heterochromatin replication, it may interact with heterochromatin components. As no commercially available antibodies can detect endogenous REV3L, we generated a MEF cell line with an alteration at the genomic *Rev3l* locus so that endogenous REV3L protein harbors a 3X-Flag tag (Flag-REV3L) (Fig EV3A). We first verified that we were able to detect endogenous Flag-REV3L protein in this cell line (Fig EV3B and C). We then used co-immunoprecipitation to examine interaction of REV3L with heterochromatin proteins. We found that both HP1 α and ATRX, a chromatin remodeling protein known to localize in heterochromatin (Eustermann *et al*, 2011), coimmunoprecipitated with REV3L. As positive control, we confirmed that REV7, the regulatory subunit of Pol ζ , was also co-immunoprecipitated (Fig 5A). To strengthen these observations, we performed a proximity ligation assay (PLA) that detects protein associations within 40 nm. As expected, we observed specific PLA signals between REV7 and Flag-REV3L in the nucleus. We also detected PLA signals between HP1 α and Flag-REV3L (Figs 5B and EV3D). We then tested whether REV3L was spatially close to particular modified histone marks. We found REV3L enriched in proximity to H3K9me3, H3K27me3, H3K4me3, H4K20me3, but not to H3K9ac (Figs 5C and EV3E). Together, these results show that REV3L localizes in heterochromatin regions and interacts with heterochromatin proteins and repressive histone marks.

To better characterize the molecular mechanisms linking Pol⁽/ REV3L to heterochromatin, we examined the subcellular localization of REV3L. In mouse cells, pericentromeric heterochromatin (PHC) domains are easily identified by their intense DNA staining with the dye DAPI. They are clearly discernible during interphase when major satellites from different chromosomes associate with form clusters (so called chromocenters) that co-localize with HP1 α (Maison et al, 2002). To explore REV3L subcellular localization, we generated a panel of eGFP-tagged REV3L truncated proteins (Fig 5D, upper panel). All constructs were detected mainly in the nucleus and localized into chromocenters, visualized by dense DAPI staining (Fig 5D, lower panel). Interestingly, the smaller REV3L construct (REV3L^{761-1,029}) consisting of 268 residues was sufficient to target most, if not all the fusion protein into the nucleus, suggesting that this polypeptide fragment contains a putative nuclear localization signal (NLS); the amino acid sequence KSRKRRKMSKKLPP at position 960-973 is a good candidate (Nguyen & Lavenier, 2009). More importantly, this small construct is also sufficient to target fusion protein into chromocenters. We then confirmed that location in PHC by visualizing the colocalization of $\text{REV3L}^{761-1,029}$ with HP1 $\!\alpha$ and H3K9me3 by immunofluorescence (Fig 5E). In the mouse, the association of HP1a at pericentromeric heterochromatin is severely compromised in cells lacking the H3 Lys9 methyltransferases, Suv39h1 and Suv39h2 (Peters et al, 2001). We therefore investigated REV3L localization in Suv39h double-null (dn) cells. HP1a was no longer enriched at PHC in the Suv39h dn cells as previously reported (Maison et al, 2002). Likewise, REV3L^{761-1,029} displayed a diffuse staining throughout the nucleus (Fig EV4A). We also observed that REV3L^{761-1,029} staining was disrupted when cells were treated with trichostatin A (TSA), a histone deacetylase inhibitor which reversibly increases the acetylation level of histone H3K9 at pericentromeric regions. In TSA-treated cells, HP1a dissociates from heterochromatic domains (Taddei et al, 2001), and REV3L^{761-1,029}



Figure 5.

Figure 5. REV3L localizes in heterochromatin through a direct interaction with HP1 dimer.

- A Asynchronous MEFs expressing Flag-tagged REV3L from the endogenous locus were lysed, and REV3L was immunoprecipitated using anti-Flag (M2) antibodies. Coimmunoprecipitated proteins were analyzed by immunoblotting using the indicated antibodies. *: IgG light chain. This experiment was repeated 2–4 times.
- B Asynchronous MEFs expressing Flag-tagged REV3L were subjected to *in situ* proximity ligation assay (PLA) to test the interactions REV3L-REV7 and REV3L-HP1α. Nuclear foci were quantified (more than 150 nuclei for each condition were counted). Reactions omitting one of the primary antibodies were used as negative controls. Horizontal bars show the mean. Mann–Whitney test, ns: not significant, ****P* < 0.001. Experiments were repeated three times.
- C Asynchronous MEFs expressing Flag-tagged REV3L were subjected to PLA to test the interactions REV3L-H4K20me3, REV3L-H3K9me3, REV3L-H3K27me3, REV3L-H3K4me3, and REV3L-H3K9me3, Nuclear foci were quantified as in (B). Horizontal bars show the mean. Mann–Whitney test, ns: not significant, *****P* < 0.0001. Three independent experiments were performed.
- D Schematic representation of human REV3L and truncated constructs. Conserved domains between yeast and human REV3L are in black blue (the N-terminal domain, 1–333 aa) and in green (the catalytic domain, 2,276–3,130 aa), the REV7 interacting domains are in red (1,880–2,001 aa). A large region in royal blue not found in yeast protein is almost exclusively encoded by exon 14. All the truncated constructs lack the NTD domain and were fused to GFP. MEFs cells were transiently transfected with various GFP-REV3L constructs and fixed with 4% formaldehyde. The distribution of the GFP-REV3L mutants was detected by autofluorescence, and nuclei were visualized using DAPI staining. Scale bar = 5 µm.
- E MEFs cells were transiently transfected with GFP-REV3L^{761-1,029} and fixed with 4% formaldehyde. The distribution of GFP- REV3L^{761-1,029} was detected by autofluorescence (green), chromocenters were visualized by H3K9me3 immunostaining (red, top panel) or HP1α (red, bottom panel), and DNA was counterstained with DAPI. Line scans represent the colocalization of proteins within each image (right panels). Scale bar = 5 µm.
- F Sequence alignment of proteins containing the PxVxL motif important for an interaction with HP1, with canonical residues shown in red.
- G MEFs cells were transfected with GFP-REV3L^{761-1,029} WT PSVVL or ASAVA mutant and fixed with 4% formaldehyde. The distribution of GFP-REV3L constructs was detected by autofluorescence (green), chromocenters were visualized by HP1α immunostaining (red), and DNA was counterstained with DAPI. Scale bar = 10 μm.
 H Human 293T cells were transfected with either FH-REV3L⁷⁰⁰⁻⁹⁰⁰, mutant V802D F-H-REV3L⁷⁰⁰⁻⁹⁰⁰, or empty vector. Forty-eight hours after transfection, cell lysates
- H Human 293T cells were transfected with either FH-REV3L⁷⁰⁰⁻⁹⁰⁰, mutant V802D F-H-REV3L⁷⁰⁰⁻⁹⁰⁰, or empty vector. Forty-eight hours after transfection, cell lysates were made and used for immunoprecipitation with HP1 antibody. Western blot was processed, and membranes were immunoblotted with the indicated antibodies.
- 1 293T cells were co-transfected with F-H-REV3L⁷⁰⁰⁻⁹⁰⁰ or empty vector and V5-HP1γ, mutant IY/EE V5-HP1γ or empty vector. Forty-eight hours after transfection, cell lysates were made and used for immunoprecipitation with α-V5 antibody. After electrophoresis, samples were immunoblotted with anti-HA, anti-HP1γ, or anti-α-Tubulin as indicated.
- J 293 cells were transfected with various GFP-REV3L constructs or empty vector (GFP). Twenty-four hours after transfection, cell lysates were made and GFP-REV3L was affinity-purified on GFP-Trap beads. After electrophoresis, samples were analyzed by immunoblotting with antibodies against SCAI or HP1β as indicated.
- K MEF^{Flag-REV3L} were transfected with various GFP-SCAI constructs, empty vector (GFP), or not transfected (Ø). Twenty-four hours after transfection, cell lysates were made and Flag-REV3L was affinity-purified on M2 beads. After electrophoresis, samples were analyzed by immunoblotting with antibodies against GFP or Flag (M2). *: non-specific bands.

Source data are available online for this figure.

followed this distribution (Fig EV4B). The localization to chromocenters was re-established rapidly after drug removal. Collectively, these results strongly suggest that HP1 α is required for targeting REV3L to pericentromeric heterochromatin.

We therefore assessed whether REV3L directly interacts with HP1 α . The chromoshadow domain of HP1 α interacts with proteins containing a pentapeptide motif, PxVxL (Murzina et al, 1999; Thiru et al, 2004). Such a motif is present at position 800-804 in the 268amino acid polypeptide (REV3L^{761-1,029}) that can target pericentromeric heterochromatin (Fig 5F). The PxVxL sequence and the putative NLS in this region are both completely conserved in vertebrate REV3L [(Fig EV4C) and Appendix Fig S1 in Lange *et al*, 2016)]. We tested whether this motif was critical for REV3L localization into chromocenters by introducing point mutations in the PSVVL motif. Localization of a transfected mutant GFP fusion protein (e.g., ASAVA) was strongly impaired (Fig 5G). Two alternative candidate PxVxL motifs are present near the C-terminus of REV3L. The expression of a REV3L construct containing these two motifs (GFP-REV3L^{2,732–3,130}) in mouse cells showed a diffuse staining in both nucleus and cytoplasm (Fig EV4D), indicating that these motifs alone cannot target REV3L at PHC. Taken together, these data reveal that the PxVxL motif at position 800-804 is important for REV3L localization at pericentromeric heterochromatin.

To confirm a direct interaction between REV3L and HP1, we performed a GST pull-down assay by using fusion polypeptides purified from *E. coli*. GST-XPA was used as negative control. While GST-REV3L^{700–900} constructs bound to His-HP1 α and His-HP1 γ , the V802D mutant REV3L^{700–900} did not (Fig EV4E), demonstrating that REV3L directly interacts with HP1 via its PxVxL motif at position

800–804. We confirmed these results by transfecting 293T cells with plasmids expressing F-H-REV3L^{700–900}, V802D F-H-REV3L^{700–900}, or empty vector. WT REV3L^{700–900} but not the mutant co-immunoprecipitated using HP1 γ antibody (Fig 5H).

Homodimerization of HP1 through its chromoshadow domain is critical for HP1 binding of H3K9me3 chromatin and chromatin condensation (Hiragami-Hamada *et al*, 2016). To gain insight into the molecular interaction between REV3L and HP1, we asked whether HP1 dimerization is mandatory for REV3L interaction with HP1. 293T cells were co-transfected with F-H-REV3L^{700–900} or empty vector and V5-HP1 γ , IY165-168EE V5-HP1 γ mutant (unable to dimerize), or empty vector. We found that dimerization of HP1 is required for its interaction with REV3L (Fig 51). We confirmed this result using F-H-tagged full-length REV3L (Fig EV4F). These results suggest that REV3L binds HP1 when HP1 forms condensed H3K9me3-modified chromatin.

We then evaluated at the endogenous level whether REV3L interacts with HP1 only at chromocenters, or also in heterochromatic regions dispersed in the genome. For that, we used images acquired for REV3L-HP1 PLA quantification (Figs 5B and EV3D) and determined the localization of each signal in the nucleus (Fig EV4G). Given that replication of pericentromeric regions occurs mainly at the surface of the chromocenters where PCNA is located (Quivy *et al*, 20042004), we distinguished foci "In/around" of chromocenters with foci "Out" of chromocenters. We used DAPI density to recognize these structures. We determined the localization of REV3L-HP1 PLA signals in 115 nuclei. We observed that 41/115 cells (36%) have \geq 2 REV3L-HP1 PLA foci in/around chromocenters, suggesting that REV3L interacts with HP1 in pericentromeric regions in one third of cells which probably undergo DNA replication. The mean of REV3L-HP1 PLA foci in and out of chromocenters/nucleus was 1.25 and 4.4, respectively (Fig EV4G), consistent with the idea that REV3L interacts with HP1 in pericentromeric heterochromatin, but also in other heterochromatic regions localized throughout the genome. To further explore specific interactions of REV3L with heterochromatin, we examined the HP1-binding protein SCAI (suppressor of cancer cell invasion; C9orf126) (Nozawa et al, 2010). SCAI functions in connection with 53BP1 to mediate ATMdependent DSB signaling in heterochromatin (Hansen et al, 2016). A mass spectrometry-based approach identified REV3L as a highconfidence SCAI interactor (Appendix Fig S1 in Isobe et al, 2017). We validated the REV3L-SCAI interaction by co-immunoprecipitation with GFP-tagged REV3L constructs (Fig 5J). These experiments showed that SCAI-REV3L interaction is mediated by a region in REV3L between amino acids 1,030-1,876. HP1B was used as positive control for its interaction with residues 800-804 in REV3L. Further, full-length SCAI, but not SCAI^{1–262} or SCAI^{1–442}, can be coimmunoprecipitated with endogenous REV3L (Fig 5K), indicating an interaction of REV3L with the C-terminal part of SCAI.

REV3L facilitates replication through pericentromeric heterochromatin, preventing DNA double-stranded breaks

These observations prompted us to investigate whether Polζ/REV3L may facilitate DNA replication at pericentromeric heterochromatin. DNA combing coupled with PNA probe hybridization was performed to specifically analyze pericentromeric fibers independently from bulk DNA fibers by using pericentromeric Satellite III (SatIII) repeats as probe (5'-TGGAA). We found that depletion of REV3L in HeLa cells resulted in shorter nascent DNA tracks and an increased fork ratio at SatIII regions, indicating that REV3L loss impairs fork progression at pericentromeric regions (Fig 6A). In contrast, REV3L depletion does not have a major effect on genome replication dynamics globally. However, a slight but significant

increase in fork ratio was observed at globally after REV3L depletion, suggesting that Polζ/REV3L might assist replication of genomic regions in addition to pericentromeric heterochromatin.

Given that REV3L loss leads to genomic instability and chromosome breaks (Wittschieben *et al*, 2000), we asked whether DNA double-stranded breaks (DSBs) occur in heterochromatin regions. We first used PLA to examine whether DSB markers 53BP1 and γ H2AX were spatially close to the heterochromatin-associated factor HP1 in *Rev3l^{-/-}* cells. We observed that specific PLA signals between 53BP1 and HP1 α (Fig 6B) and γ H2AX and HP1 β (Fig 6C) were higher in *Rev3l^{-/-}* cells than in *Rev3l^{+/+}* cells in unchallenged conditions. We confirmed these observations in human cells after depleting REV3L in RPE and HeLa cells (Fig 6D and E, respectively). These results suggest that DNA replication forks in heterochromatic regions might be prone to collapse in the absence of Pol ζ /REV3L.

Thus, we explored the consequences of REV3L depletion on PHC stability. DNA fluorescence in situ hybridization was performed on metaphase chromosome spreads using major satellite DNA as probe. For spontaneous breaks (in the absence of aphidicolin), a *Rev3l* defect elevates the frequency of breaks ~20fold in pericentromeric regions (Fig 6F, upper panel), and about 10-fold in non-pericentromeric regions (Fig 6G). The consequence of Rev3l disruption is thus highly biased toward pericentromeric breaks, indicating an especially important function of Pol ζ in PHC. A variety of abnormalities were observed in PHC, including breaks/gaps, loss, duplication, and rearrangement (Fig 6F, lower panel). Exposure to aphidicolin (causing fork slowing) increases breaks in all regions. In PHC, the increase is Rev3l-dependent (Fig 6F), indicating that pol ζ is also particularly important in PHC for limiting the frequency of aphidicolin-stimulated breaks. In non-pericentromeric regions, Rev3l status did not affect the aphidicolin-stimulated frequency, showing that other mechanisms are effective globally in preventing the additional breaks initiated by aphidicolin.

Figure 6. REV3L facilitates replication in pericentromeric heterochromatin, preventing DNA double-stranded breaks.

- A Representative fibers of newly synthesized DNA labeled with IdU (red) for 30 min and CldU (green) for 30 min in HeLa cells transfected with non-targeting siRNA (siNT) or siRNA against *Rev3l*. SatIII probe is visualized in blue. Scale bar: 10 μ m = 20 kb. Relative *Rev3l* mRNA level normalized to GAPDH mRNA level is shown (top panel). Distribution of fork speeds (kb/min) and fork ratios (IdU/CldU track length) are shown in dot plots for SatIII and global DNA (bottom panel). The number of fibers analyzed is indicated in (n). Bars represent the median \pm interquartile range (Mann–Whitney test. ns: not significant, **P* < 0.05; ***P* < 0.01, ****P* < 0.001 and *****P* < 0.0001). The presented data are representative of three biological repeats.
- B Asynchronous $Rev3I^{+/+}$ and $Rev3I^{-/-}$ MEFs were fixed with PFA then subjected to *in situ* proximity ligation assay (PLA) using 53BP1 and HP1 α antibodies; then, PLA foci were counted in both cell lines (more than 150 nuclei for each condition were counted). *P*-values were calculated by Mann–Whitney test (****P < 0.0001). Red lines indicate the mean values. Error bars: SEM. Controls with a single antibody are also shown. Experiments were repeated three times. Representative images are shown. Scale bar = 5 μ m.
- C Asynchronous *Rev31^{+/+}* and *Rev31^{-/-}* MEFs were subjected to PLA as by using γH2AX and HP1β antibodies and processed as in (B). *P*-values were calculated by Mann–Whitney test (**P* < 0.05). Red lines indicate the mean values. Error bars: SEM. Controls with a single antibody are also shown. Three independent experiments were performed.
- D RPE cells were transfected with non-targeting siRNA (siNT) or siRNA against *Rev3l*; then, 72 h later cells were subjected to PLA by using 53BP1 and HP1α antibodies and processed as in (B). *P*-values were calculated by Mann–Whitney test (*****P* < 0.0001). Red lines indicate the mean values. Error bars: SEM. Three independent experiments were performed.
- E HeLa cells were transfected with non-targeting siRNA (siNT) or siRNA against *Rev3l*; then, 72 h later cells were subjected to PLA by using 53BP1 and HP1α antibodies and processed as in (B). *P*-values were calculated by Mann–Whitney test (****P* < 0.001). Red lines indicate the mean values. Error bars: SEM. Three independent experiments were performed.
- F,G *Rev3*^{+/+} and *Rev3*^{-/-} MEFs were incubated with or without 0.23 μM aphidicolin for 24 h before metaphase spreading. FISH was performed using major satellite probe to quantify breaks in pericentromeric regions (F). Representative images of chromosomes showing abnormalities (see arrows) in pericentromeric regions from *Rev3*^{-/-} MEFs. Chromosomes were labeled with DAPI, and breaks in non-pericentromeric regions were quantified (G). Error bars indicate standard error of the mean for three independent experiments. Mann–Whitney test (ns: not significant, **P* < 0.05; ****P* < 0.001).



Figure 6.

Rev3I-deficient cells show increased number of genomic deletions

To gain deeper insight into the role of Pol\(2)/REV3L in preventing DSBs and genomic instability at the genome-wide level in mammalian cells, we characterized structural variations that arise upon loss of Rev3l. Whole-genome sequencing from subclones of Rev3l-deficient MEFs was analyzed (Fig 7A). We identified in total 102 structural variants, including 66 deletions, 13 inversions, 10 duplications, and 13 complex events from 4 samples (Fig 7B), using both GRIDSS2 and Manta algorithms (see Materials and Methods). The mean deletion size was 2,092 bp and ranged from 55 to 9,115 bp. Importantly, we found 61 deletions in $Rev3l^{-/-}$ samples and only 5 in $Rev3l^{+/+}$ samples (95%CI = 7.6–13.4, P-value < 2.2e-16, Poisson test) and the number of deletions was consistent between replicates (Fig 7C). Note that none deletion was shared between samples, suggesting that they occurred during independent cell cultures. Moreover, the size of the deletions that accumulate in $Rev3l^{-/-}$ cells was within a rather narrow range, with 21 deletions (34%) smaller than 1,000 bp.

We then correlated deletions with replication timing observed in $Rev3l^{-/-}$ cells and found that deletions were preferentially localized in late-replicating regions as well as in domains for which replication timing was disturbed in the absence of REV3L (Fig 7D). Given that heterochromatic regions (facultative and constitutive) replicate in mid- and late S-phase, we assume that deletions found in $Rev3l^{-/-}$ mouse cells might be initiated by replication-associated DSBs that arise when replication forks stall and collapse in difficult-to-replicate heterochromatic regions.

Loss of REV3L compromises heterochromatin-associated DSB repair

The results above imply that spontaneous DSBs originate in *Rev3l*deficient cells, at least partly, as a consequence of inefficient replication fork progression through heterochromatin. However, it has been proposed that Pol ζ /REV3L can also facilitate DSB repair by homologous recombination (Sharma *et al*, 2012). Therefore, the high level of DSBs in the absence of Pol ζ could be due to a combination of increased replication-associated DSBs and inefficient repair of those breaks.

To investigate the effect of PolC/REV3L in regulating repair of heterochromatin-associated DSBs, we challenged MEFs with UV radiation. This should lead to enhanced replication-associated DSBs in $Rev3l^{-/-}$ cells (Sonoda *et al*, 2003). DSB signaling and repair were monitored in several ways. Phosphorylation of the Kruppelassociated box (KRAB)-associated co-repressor, KAP1 by ATM in response to DSBs, occurs during DNA repair in heterochromatin (Goodarzi et al, 2008). There was a marked increase in S824-phosphorylated KAP1 from 2 J/m² in $Rev3l^{-/-}$ MEFs (Fig 8A and B) which remained elevated 24 h after UV irradiation. In contrast, induction of pKAP1 required higher doses in Rev3l^{+/+} MEFs and Rev3l^{-/-} cells complemented with REV3L, and largely disappeared after 24 h. This suggests that heterochromatin-associated DSBs accumulate in UV-irradiated cells when Pol^{\(\zeta\)} is missing. Moreover, *Rev3l*^{-/-} cells had increased activation of phosphorylated (S4-S8) RPA2 (an indicator of resection at DSBs), and a persistent level of pCHK1 (Fig 8A and B). Similar results were obtained in human cells after down-regulating REV3L in HeLa and RPE cells (Fig EV5).

We further examined the dynamics of DSB formation and repair by single-cell immunofluorescence. Following irradiation with 4 J/ m^2 , the levels of 53BP1 foci in EdU⁺ cells slightly increased 6 h after UV exposure in *Rev3l*-proficient cells and returned to a basal level 24 h later (Fig 8C and F). In *Rev3l*^{-/-} cells in S-phase, 53BP1 foci accumulated at 5.6-fold higher levels 24 h post-UV.

To assess a potential defect in *Rev3l*-deficient cells to complete DNA repair by homologous recombination (HR), we quantified the level of resection indirectly by measuring levels of chromatin-bound RPA2 in individual cell nuclei 24 h after UV irradiation. In agreement with pRPA2 immunoblots, we observed that chromatin-bound RPA2 was 3.6-fold higher in cells lacking REV3L than in wild-type cells (Fig 8D and G). We also evaluated RAD51 focus formation, an indicator of HR initiation. Twenty-four hours after UV exposure, RAD51 foci were elevated 3-fold in *Rev3l*-deficient MEFs compared with wild-type cells (Fig 8E and H). This suggests that Polζ/REV3L may be required for efficient completion of DSB repair by HR.

Discussion

Polζ contributes to heterochromatin replication and repair

This study unveils a direct role for mammalian Pol(Z/REV3L in replication and repair in heterochromatin. Several lines of evidence point toward this conclusion, summarized in Fig 8I. First, inactivation of Rev3l drives an elevated number of breaks and structural variations in heterochromatic regions, particularly deletions that likely arise as a consequence of replication fork stalling or collapse occurring during DNA replication. Second, Pol^ζ/REV3L influences replication fork progression in pericentromeric heterochromatin. REV3Lcompromised cells exhibited a marked decrease in fork speed at pericentromeres which contain highly repeated DNA sequences embedded into compacted chromatin, making them difficult to replicate. A disruption of replication timing at specific loci mainly located in TTR may also be ascribed to heterochromatin replication and repair-associated functions of Pol^C. Third, we show that Pol^C directly interacts with specific components of heterochromatin including HP1 and SCAI. Fourth, we show that REV3L disruption is correlated with changes in epigenetic landscape and transcriptional control of developmentally regulated genes.

Polζ/REV3L interacts with heterochromatin proteins

We found that REV3L directly interacts, via a central PxVxL motif, with the heterochromatin component HP1. Dimerization of HP1 is necessary for interaction with REV3L, indicating that such binding occurs only when HP1 is engaged on chromatin through its reader interaction with H3K9me3 (Hiragami-Hamada *et al*, 2016). The PxVxL motif located at positions 800–804 in the human REV3L sequence is embedded in a larger region that is well-conserved in vertebrates but absent in invertebrate and fungal genomes. This indicates that the heterochromatin targeting of Pol ζ described in this study evolved in concert with larger genomes having more complex controls. The REV7 subunit also is associated with HP1 α (Tomida *et al*, 2018), suggesting another mode of interaction of Pol ζ with heterochromatin. It is not yet known whether Pol ζ associates with the replisome to duplicate heterochromatin regions. The replisome



Figure 7. *Rev3l*-deficient cells show numerous genomic deletions.

- A Experimental design for the whole genome sequencing of *Rev3I*-deficient cells. Populations of mouse embryonic fibroblasts *Rev3I*^{+/+} and *Rev3I*^{-/-} were isolated from *Rev3I*^{+/+} and *Rev3I*^{dox/flox} littermates, and *Rev3I* was excised using CRE recombinase. The resulting *Rev3I*-deficient cells and *Rev3I*^{+/+} cells were expanded for 90 doublings. *Rev3I*^{+/+} and *Rev3I*^{-/-} subclones were then isolated for deep sequencing.
- B Percentage of rearrangements (deletions, duplications, and inversions) identified in $Rev3l^{+/+}$ and $Rev3l^{-/-}$ subclones.
- C Size distribution of the deletions detected in Rev3l^{+/+} and Rev3l^{-/-} subclones. Poisson test. Deletions were counted from four independent clones.
- D Distribution of the deletions detected in $Reu3I^{-/-}$ subclones related to the replication timing in deletions per megabase. Difference between late and early regions is statistically significant (P = 0.01454, Poisson test with the correction for different length of intervals through the ratio). Data were calculated from two independent clones.



Figure 8.

Figure 8. REV3L loss compromises heterochromatin-associated DSB repair.

- A Asynchronous Rev3I^{+/+} and Rev3I^{-/-} MEFs were UV-irradiated at the indicated doses and harvested 6 or 24 h later. Cell lysates were analyzed by immunoblotting with indicated antibodies.
- B Asynchronous *Rev3I^{-/-}* MEFs complemented with empty vector (EV) or REV3L were UV-irradiated at the indicated doses and harvested 6 or 24 h later. Cell lysates were analyzed by immunoblotting with indicated antibodies.
- C–E Asynchronous $Rev3I^{++}$ and $Rev3I^{-/-}$ MEFs were pulse-labeled for 15 min using 10 μ M EdU then UV-irradiated at 4 J/m². Cells pre-treated with CSK buffer were fixed, and 53BP1, RPA, and RAD51 were detected by immunofluorescence. Representative images in non-irradiated cells (NI) and quantification of 53BP1 foci in EdU-positive cells at different time points are shown (C). Scale bar = 10 μ m. Quantification of the intensity of chromatin-bound RPA2 (D) and RAD51 foci (E) 24 h after UV irradiation was performed. The number of cells analyzed is indicated in (n) on each graph. ***P < 0.001 (Kruskal–Wallis test). The presented data are representative of 2 repeats. Bars represent the median \pm interquartile range.
- F–H Asynchronous $Rev3l^{-/-}$ MEFs complemented with empty vector (EV) or REV3L were processed as in (C). Quantification of 53BP1 foci in EdU-positive cells at different time points is shown (F). Quantification of the intensity of chromatin-bound RPA2 (G) and RAD51 foci (H) 24 h after UV irradiation was performed. The number of cells analyzed is indicated in (n) on each graph. ***P < 0.001 (Kruskal–Wallis test). The presented data are representative of two repeats. Bars represent the median \pm interquartile range.
- I Model that summarizes the results obtained in this study.

Source data are available online for this figure.

may change throughout the S-phase to assist conventional DNA polymerases to duplicate challenging areas of the genome such as compacted heterochromatin. Potentially, targeting of REV3L to heterochromatin could facilitate a proposed catalytic subunit switch with Polô, mediated by PCNA and the shared POLD2 and POLD3 subunits. The interaction of the DONSON protein and the FANCM translocase with the replisome complex is dependent on replication timing and chromatin environment (Zhang *et al*, 2020). Further, progression of replication forks through pericentromeric heterochromatin is also facilitated by the shelterin subunit TRF2, in association with the helicase RTEL1 (Mendez-Bermudez *et al*, 2018). Investigation is warranted to define whether REV3L/Pol ζ works together with TRF2 and RTEL1 to facilitate fork progression in heterochromatic regions.

Polζ limits heterochromatin-associated DSBs

Our data also show that Rev3l-deficient MEFs exhibit an increased incidence of deletions, preferentially in late-replicating regions. This is consistent with the observed ~10-fold increase in the frequency of DNA double-stranded breaks and chromosome rearrangements in such MEFs (Lange et al, 2013, 2016), similar to the spectrum of changes in HR-deficient MEFs (Wittschieben et al, 2006). The present study shows that many spontaneous DSBs originate during replication of pericentromeric heterochromatic regions. We also provide evidence that Rev3l inactivation compromises repair of heterochromatin-associated DSBs. Low doses of UV radiation provoke replication-associated DSBs, leading to a massive increase of sustained 53BP1 foci in S-phase, but also markers of HR including pRPA2 and RAD51 foci. One hypothesis to explain the high level of these breaks can be the delay in repair caused by saturation of the repair machinery in *Rev3l* KO cells. Alternatively, Pol²/REV3L might be required for efficient HR-associated DNA synthesis to complete DSB repair (Sharma et al, 2012). There is evidence that Polζ-mediated DNA synthesis occurs during DSB repair in some settings in different organisms (Kane et al, 2012) (Martin & Wood, 2019). The contribution of specific DNA polymerases in HR-associated DNA synthesis may be subject to temporal control of replication and to chromatin composition. Indeed, our results showed that Rev3l-deficient cells fail to efficiently resolve DSBs in heterochromatin, with a pronounced persistence of S824-phosphorylated KAP1, known to facilitate chromatin decondensation and allows efficient DSB repair in heterochromatin (Goodarzi *et al*, 2008). Consistent with this, we demonstrated that REV3L interacts with the SCAI protein, a mediator of 53BP1-dependent repair of heterochromatin-associated DSBs (Hansen *et al*, 2016). By interacting with 53BP1, SCAI counteracts RIF1 function, facilitating BRCA1-mediated repair (Isobe *et al*, 2017). Future studies may determine the mechanisms by which Polζ/REV3L is involved in the repair of heterochromatin-associated DSBs by interaction with SCAI.

Absence of Pol² disrupts replication timing at specific loci

We found that REV3L loss perturbs the temporal replication program in mouse and human cells, which might be a consequence of fork progression alterations. The replication-timing defect affects only specific areas, mainly in temporal transition regions, corresponding to 5.7% of the whole genome in Rev3l-deficient mouse cells. This is a contrast to the change in replication patterns following inactivation of RIF1, with a shift in replication timing in over 40% of all replication segments (Cornacchia et al, 2012; Hayano et al, 2012). Our data indicate an involvement of REV3L/Pol² in the replication of particular TTRs that lie between early-replicating DNA and late-replicating DNA and, therefore, often replicate in mid-to-late S-phase. Consistent with this, pericentromeric heterochromatin is replicated in mid-to-late Sphase in mouse cells (Guenatri et al, 2004; Natale et al, 2018). Replication in TTR is achieved by either sequential activation of a series of origins (Guilbaud et al, 2011) or sequential activation of single long unidirectional fork that initiates at an adjacent early origin (Norio et al, 2005; Hiratani et al, 2008; Schultz et al, 2010). It has been reported that the replication machinery propagates at a slower velocity in some TTRs (Farkash-Amar et al, 2008; Desprat et al, 2009; Donley & Thayer, 2013), suggesting that one major consequence is an increase in the probability of replication fork stalling and breaks as has been proposed for fragile sites (Watanabe & Maekawa, 2010; Letessier et al, 2011; Ozeri-Galai et al, 2014). Consistent with this, Rev3l-deficient MEFs exhibit genomic deletions in perturbed TTR.

Potential contribution of REV3L/Polζ to the mutation rate in late-replicating heterochromatic regions

A growing body of evidence suggests that the replication-timing program strongly influences the spatial distribution of mutagenic events during both species and cancer evolution with an increasing gradient of single-nucleotide substitutions that correlate with latereplicating heterochromatic regions (Stamatoyannopoulos et al, 2009; Koren et al, 2012; Schuster-Bockler & Lehner, 2012). Given the error-prone activity of Polζ, our results suggest that REV3L/Polζ may contribute to this increased mutation rate in heterochromatic regions which are relatively poor in transcribed genes. This is consistent with a bioinformatic analysis showing that mutations related to Pol² signature increase in late-replicating regions of the human genome (Seplyarskiy et al, 2015). Moreover, experiments in yeast established that late-replicating regions of the genome also have higher rates of spontaneous mutagenesis than early-replicating regions. Deletion of yRev1 significantly lowers mutation rate specifically in these late-replicating regions, suggesting that Rev1/Pol complex is involved in the gradient of mutagenesis in lower eukaryotes (Lang & Murray, 2011).

REV3L loss impacts the epigenetic landscape and transcriptional program

The majority of disturbed regions in Rev3l-deficient mouse cells (> 80%) replicate later than in wild-type cells, suggesting heterochromatinization of these regions that shifts their replication timing. We further found that the inactivation of Rev3l affects epigenetic landscape and transcriptional program in mouse cells, with a substantial increase in H3K27me3 levels and, to a lesser extent, H3K9me3 and H3K4me3. This may be linked to DSB repair processes. Heterochromatin factors including KAP1, HP1, and the H3K9 methyltransferase Suv39h1 accumulate rapidly and transiently at DNA damage sites, in both euchromatic and heterochromatic regions (Lemaitre & Soutoglou, 2014; Nikolov & Taddei, 2016). Moreover, polycomb proteins involved in gene silencing at facultative heterochromatin are also recruited to DSB sites, where they are thought to switch off transcription to facilitate DSB repair (Vissers et al, 2012; Ui et al, 2015). Thus, continued DSB formation in Rev3l-deficient cells might result in a "heterochromatinization" at particular genomic regions. Interestingly, the TLS polymerase REV1, a key partner of Pol^ζ, also influences the epigenetic landscape. During DNA replication, REV1 is required for the maintenance of repressive chromatin marks and gene silencing in the vicinity of G4 structure in DT40 chicken cells (Sarkies et al, 2010). Moreover, REV7 has been shown to function in epigenetic reprogramming by interacting with the G9a/G9a-like protein (GLP) histone lysine methyltransferase complex which catalyzes H3K9 mono- and dimethylation (Pirouz et al, 2013).

Our transcriptome analysis revealed that *Rev3l*-deficient cells repress numerous genes, known to contain bivalent promoters such as multiple *Hox* genes which are regulated by H3K27me3/H3K4me3 (Sachs *et al*, 2013). Whether REV3L/Polζ contributes to H3K27me3 regulation during DNA replication is largely unexplored. Of note, the large majority of the genes whose expression is down-regulated by *Rev3l* deletion do not fall within disturbed regions of replication timing. One possibility is that gene repression is due to the heterochromatinization of the loci that undergo continued DSBs repair. This implies that DSBs induced by *Rev3l* inactivation occur not only in heterochromatin, but also in euchromatin. We also found that several imprinted genes are down-regulated in the absence of *REV3L*. Rajewsky and colleagues suggested that the overall phenotype of $Rev3l^{-/-}$ embryos appears comparable to androgenetic embryos (Fundele & Surani, 1994), raising the possibility that Pol ζ participates in imprinting establishment (Esposito *et al*, 2000). Thus, our results on the transcriptional program in *Rev3l*-deficient mouse cells may partially explain the embryonic lethality observed in *Rev3l* KO mice.

Conclusion

Unique among translesion synthesis DNA polymerases, Pol ζ is essential during embryogenesis and cell proliferation (Wittschieben *et al*, 2000; Lange *et al*, 2012, 2018). However, the mechanisms of essentiality under normal growth conditions have not been clear. This study shows that Pol ζ prevents spontaneous chromosome break formation, rearrangements, and deletions in pericentromeric regions by facilitating fork progression, and may also operate in heterochromatin-associated DSB repair. Altogether, these results reveal a new function of Pol ζ in preventing chromosome instability during replication of heterochromatic regions possibly at the expense of increased mutations in late-replicating regions found in numerous tumors.

Materials and Methods

Cell culture and treatment

Immortalized mouse embryonic fibroblast (MEF) Rev3l^{-/-} and $Rev3l^{+/+}$ were previously described (Lange *et al*, 2012) and were cultivated in D-MEM (Dulbecco's modified Eagle medium; Gibco) containing 100 U/ml penicillin, 100 µg/ml streptomycin, and 10% fetal calf serum (FCS) in an atmosphere containing 5% CO₂. MEFs expressing functional REV3L with a 3X-Flag epitope tag at the endogenous locus were derived from knock-in mice as described below. *Rev3l*^{-/-} MEFs complemented with POZ empty vector (clone 4-5 POZN Cl2) or POZhREV3L (clone 4-5 POZRev3l2 Cl H11) have been previously described (Lange et al, 2016). Suv39h double-null MEFs kindly provided by T. Jenuwein and NIH3T3 cells (ATCC) were cultivated in D-MEM supplemented with 10% FCS, 100 U/ml penicillin, and 100 µg/ml streptomycin. hTERT RPE-1 cells (ATCC) were grown in D-MEM/F-12 (Gibco) supplemented with 10% FCS, 100 U/ml penicillin, and 100 µg/ml streptomycin. HeLa cells (ATCC) were cultivated in D-MEM containing sodium pyruvate, penicillin/ streptomycin, and 10% FCS. All cell lines were incubated at 37°C in a 5% CO₂ atmosphere and were regularly tested for mycoplasma.

For UVC irradiation (254 nm), cells were rinsed in pre-heated phosphate buffer saline (PBS) and irradiated without any medium at a fluency of 0.65 J/m²/s. Aphidicolin (Sigma) stock solutions was at 3 mM in DMSO, and trichostatin A (Sigma) stock solution was at 2 mg/ml in methanol.

Generation of knock-in mice expressing 3x-FLAG tag REV3L

Ethics statement

All animal work in this study was done according to The University of Texas, MD Anderson Cancer Center Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee guidelines, and approved by the MD Anderson Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC).

Construction of the targeting vector

The targeting vector construction was designed and performed by genOway (Lyon, France). The *Rev3l* targeting vector was constructed from 129 Sv/Pas mouse strain genomic DNA with a long (5.8 kb) homology arm upstream of exon 1, and a short (1.5 kb) homology arm downstream of exon 1. A 3x-FLAG peptide sequence was inserted in frame with the ATG codon. A positive selection neomycin gene was flanked by loxP sites. The targeting vector also incorporated a diphtheria toxin-negative selection cassette.

Screening of Rev3l targeted knock-in ES cell clones

Linearized targeting vector was transfected into 129 Sv ES cells (genOway, Lyon, France) according to genOway's electroporation procedures (i.e., 5×10^6 ES cells with 40 µg of linearized plasmid, 260 V, 500 µF). Positive selection started 48 h after electroporation in medium containing 200 µg/ml of G418 (150 µg/ml of active component, Life Technologies, Inc.). *Rev3l*-resistant clones were isolated and amplified in 96-well plates. Duplicates of 96-well plates were made. The set of plates containing ES cell clones amplified on gelatin were genotyped by both PCR and *Southern blot analysis*.

For PCR analysis, one primer pair was designed to validate the presence of the 3xFLAG tag within the 5' homology arm. This primer pair was designed to specifically amplify the targeted locus:

Forward (Neo cassette): 5'-CCTGCTCTTTACTGAAGGCTCTTTAC TATTGC-3'

Reverse: 5'-GGAACCCACAGTGGTTGTCCTAGTGC-3'

PCR products were sequenced in order to validate the presence of all elements. The targeted locus was confirmed by Southern blotting using a 3' probe (Fig EV4A). Six clones were identified as correctly targeted and containing the 3x-FLAG tag at the *Rev3l* locus.

Generation of mosaic mice and breeding scheme

Clones were microinjected into C57BL/6J blastocysts and gave rise to male mosaics with a significant ES cell contribution (as determined by agouti coat color). Mice were bred to C57BL/6 mice expressing the Cre recombinase to remove the Neo cassette.

Genotyping of the knock-in mouse line

The following primers were used to monitor the Cre-mediated excision event and served for genotyping.

Forward: 5'-ACGAGTTCGCGGGTCCTTAGAGGTC-3' Reverse: 5'-ACTTTCTACAGCCACAGCATCTCCGG-3'

The wild-type allele generates a product of 195 bp, the recombined allele 1,958 bp, and the Cre-excised allele 289 bp.

Heterozygous mutant mice (which were maintained as pure C57BL/6 mice) were used to produce MEFs from embryos isolated at days 13.5 dpc. Seven heterozygous clones were obtained and T-antigen immortalized as described (Lange *et al*, 2012).

Plasmid construction

The human REV3L full-length cDNA was kindly provided by C. Lawrence (University of Rochester Medical Center, Rochester, NY,

USA). To generate the series of GFP-*Rev3l* plasmids, constructs were obtained by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification from *Rev3l* cDNA as a XhoI–EcoRI fragment and cloned into peGFP-C3 expression vector (Clontech). Point mutants were generated by PCR-based methods using a QuikChange® site-directed mutagenesis kit (Stratagene) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Full-length REV3L with an N-terminal FLAG-HA dual-epitope tag was constructed in the pOZN vector as described previously (Tomida *et al*, 2015).

The cDNA encoding REV3L amino acids 700–900 was PCR amplified from REV3L/pETDuet-1 (Tomida *et al*, 2015) as a XhoI–NotI fragment with 5' REV3L (XhoI) primer and 3' REV3L (NotI) primers (5'-CC GCTCGAGATGAATACATTGGGCAAAAATTCTTTC and 5'-TAAAAGCG GCCGCTTATCCAAAGTGACAGTCTATAAAAC) to clone into pGEX6P-1 (GE Healthcare). The V802D mutation was introduced into pGEX6P-1 using a site-directed mutagenesis kit. The GST fusion protein was expressed and purified from *E. coli* as described (Tomida *et al*, 2015).

The HP1 α (CBX5) and HP1 γ (CBX3) cDNAs were obtained from Thermo Fisher Scientific. The cDNAs were cloned into vectors pETDuet-1 and pCDH-EF1α-V5-MCS-IRES-Puro for His and V5tagging, respectively. pCDH-EF1α-V5-MCS-IRES-Puro was cloned into pCDH-EF1a-V5-REV7-IRES-Puro from pRSFDuet-1 (Novagen) XhoI-NotI fragment. pCDH-EF1a-V5-REV7-IRES-Puro was initially PCR amplified from pOZN REV7 (Tomida et al, 2018) with 5' V5-1st primer and 3' pOZ 3' primers (5'-ATTCTACGCTCGAGATGAC CACGCTCACACGACAAG and 5'-CGGAATTGATCCGCTAGAG). Three subsequent rounds of PCR used the following primer sets: 5' V5 2nd primer and 3' pOZ 3' primers (5'-CTCTCCTCGGTCTCGATTC TACGCTCGAGATGACCACGC and 5'-CGGAATTGATCCGCTAGAG); 5' V5 3rd primer and 3' pOZ 3' primers (5'-AGCCTATCCC TAACCCTCTCCGGTCTCGATTCTAC and 5'-CGGAATTGATCCGC TAGAG); 5' V5 4th primer (EcoRI) and 3' pOZ 3' primers (5'-GG AATTCATGGGTAAGCCTATCCCTAACCCTCTCCTCG and 5'-CGGA ATTGATCCGCTAGAG). The resulting V5-REV7 fragment (XhoI–NotI) was cloned into pCDH-EF1α-MCS-IRES-Puro (System Biosciences). Mutations were introduced using a site-directed mutagenesis kit (Stratagene). His-XPA was as described (Manandhar et al, 2017). All constructs were verified by DNA sequencing. Details on all constructs are available upon request.

The cDNA encoding SCAI was cloned from HeLa mRNA by RT– PCR using the following primers: 5'-ATGCATCTCGAGGTCAGAG GAGCCCGGCAGCCCCAGCAGC-3' and 5'-ATGCATGAATTCTTAA TAGTCATCAATGGTATTCTCAAAGAA-3'. The resulting SCAI construct (XhoI–EcoRI) was verified by Sanger sequencing then cloned into peGFP-C3 (Clontech).

Transfection

For transient transfection, plasmids were transfected using jetPEI (Polyplus), according to the manufacturer's instructions, and cells were processed 24–48 h later.

siRNAs were purchased from Dharmacon: siRNA *mRev3l* (ON-TARGETplus Smart pool), non-targeting siRNA (ON-TARGETplus non target pool). Cells were transfected with 30 nM of siRNAs using INTERFERin (Polyplus) according to the manufacturer's instructions and were processed 72 h later.

Protein extraction, Immunoprecipitation, and Western blotting

Histone extraction was carried out in 10 volumes of CSK buffer (200 mM NaCl, 300 mM sucrose, 3 mM MgCl₂, 10 mM Tris–HCl pH 7, 1 mM EGTA, 0.5% Triton X-100, 1 mM PMSF, 10 mM Na-Buty-rate) during 5 min at 37° C. After centrifugation, the pellet was resuspended in 0.4 mM H₂SO₄ supplemented with Na-Butyrate and PMSF for 1 h, then centrifuged before adding acetone to supernatant, and incubated overnight in cold room. After centrifugation, the pellet was resuspended in distilled water and histone extracts were kept at -80° C.

For immunoprecipitation, cells were lysed in NETN buffer (50 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 0.5% NP-40, protease inhibitor cocktail and anti-phosphatases) for 30 min on ice and sonicated twice at 29% amplitude for 10 s. Samples were cleared by centrifugation for 5 min at 4°C. Immunoprecipitations were performed with Anti-FLAG M2 Agarose Beads (Sigma-Aldrich) overnight at 4°C on a wheel. Beads were extensively washed in NETN, with 300 mM NaCl for the final wash, and denatured in 2× Laemmli buffer.

For GFP-Trap, cells transfected with plasmids expressing GFP, GFP-REV3L mutants, GFP-SCAIwt, or GFP-SCAI mutants were collected in NETN buffer, incubated for 30 min on ice, sonicated twice at 29% Amplitude for 10 s then centrifuged at 4°C. The supernatant was incubated with GFP-Trap beads (Chromotek) for 2 h at 4°C under rotation. Beads were washed and eluted in 2× Laemmli buffer.

Proteins were separated on 5, 8, or 15% acrylamide SDS–PAGE, transferred on Nylon membrane (GE Healthcare), and detected with the indicated antibodies and ECL reagents (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

GST pull-down assay

GST-tagged REV3L fragments and His-tagged test proteins were incubated together with Glutathione-Sepharose 4B (GS4B) beads (GE Healthcare) at 4°C for 4 h in 500 μ l of buffer 0.1B (100 mM KCl, 20 mM Tris–HCl [pH 8.0], 5 mM MgCl₂, 10% glycerol, 1 mM PMSF, 0.1% Tween-20, 10 mM 2-mercaptoethanol). The beads were washed three times with 0.1B and eluted with 30 μ l of 2× SDS loading buffer (100 mM Tris–HCl [pH 6.8], 4% SDS, 0.2% bromophenol blue, 20% glycerol, 200 mM DTT).

Flow cytometry

In order to study the S-phase progression in $Rev3L^{-/-}$ and $Rev3L^{+/+}$ fibroblasts, cells were incubated with 50 µM bromodeoxyuridine (BrdU) for 15 min prior to be harvested at different time points. Cells were collected, washed in PBS, and fixed in 80% ice-cold ethanol overnight at -20° C. Total DNA was stained in 2.5 µg propidium iodide (IP) and 50 µg/µl RNAse (Sigma) in PBS for 30 min at room temperature. Samples were analyzed on a C6 flow cytometer using the C6 Flow software (BD Accuri).

Immunofluorescence

For the visualization of DNA replication sites, asynchronous cells seeded on glass coverslips were incubated with 30 μM of thymidine

analog (EdU or BrdU) for 15 min, pre-extracted with CSK100 buffer (100 mM NaCl, 300 mM sucrose, 10 mM PIPES [pH 6.8], 3 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM EGTA, 0.5% Triton X-100, protease inhibitors) for 5 min at 4°C and fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde. For BrdU detection, cells were treated with 2 M HCl at 37°C for 20 min and then neutralized in 0.1 M borate buffer (pH 8.5). After washing in PBS, cells were incubated for 1 h at room temperature with anti-BrdU mAb (347580, BD Biosciences). After washes, secondary antibodies coupled to Alexa Fluor were incubated for 30 min at room temperature. After washing three times with PBS, cells were mounted with mounting medium (Dako) supplemented with DAPI (Sigma). For EdU-mediated foci visualization, EdU was detected using the ClickiT EdU Alexa Fluor 488 Imaging Kit (Life Technologies) according to manufacturer's instructions.

For detection of heterochromatin-associated proteins and repressive histone marks, cells were pre-extracted or not with CSK100 buffer as described above for 5 min on ice with gentle agitation then fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde. GFP-REV3L mutants were detected by autofluorescence, and interesting proteins were visualized by immunodetection with indicated primary antibodies for 1 h then processed as described above. Images were acquired using Axio Imager Z1 microscope (Zeiss) or confocal Leica SPE.

For quantification of immunofluorescence in UV-irradiated cells, MEFs growing on coverslips were incubated with 30 μM EdU for 15 min just before UV irradiation at 4 J/m^2 . The soluble proteins were extracted by incubating cells in CSK100 for 5 min then fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde. After washes in PBS, EdU was detected using the Click-iT EdU Alexa Fluor 488 Imaging Kit (Life Technologies) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Subsequently, coverslips were blocked for 30 min with 3% BSA in PBS and then incubated 1 h 30 at room temperature with indicated primary antibodies in PBS containing 3% BSA, 0.1% Tween-20. The coverslips were further washed with PBS and incubated with Alexa Fluor 555 for 1 h at room temperature. The DNA was stained with DAPI, and the coverslips were mounted in fluorescent mounting medium (DAKO). Images were acquired on an Axio Imager Z1 microscope equipped with a motorized stage, EC Plan-Neofluar x20 dry objective, and a digital monochrome Hamamatsu ORCA-ER camera. For each condition, 20-50 images were acquired under non-saturating conditions. Identical settings were applied to all samples within one experiment. Images were analyzed with the Axio Vision software (Zeiss). Specific module was generated for nuclei segmentation based on DAPI signal according to intensity threshold, generating a mask that identified each individual nucleus as an individual object. Focus segmentation for 51BP1 and RAD51 was performed using an integrated spot-detection module. After segmentation and pixel quantification, the quantified values for each cell/foci (mean and total intensities, area, number of foci) were extracted and exported to a home-made software as well as to CellProfiler software.

DNA FISH on metaphase chromosome spreads

A mouse major satellite repeat probe was generated by PCR with 5'-ATATGTTGAGAAAACTGAAAATCACG-3' and 5'-CCTTCAGTG TGCATTTCTCATTTTTCAC-3' primers and murine genomic DNA as a template. The probe was labeled by nick translation with biotin-16-dUTP. $Rev3l^{-/-}$ and $Rev3l^{+/+}$ MEFs were treated with 0.15 µg/ml of colcemid for 3 h before fixation to arrest cells in metaphase.

Trypsinized cells were rinsed in PBS and incubated in 75 mM KCl for 15 min then fixed with ethanol: acetic acid (3:1 ratio). The cells were then dropped onto glass slides and left to dry. Samples were incubated with 0.1 mg/ml RNase A solution at 37°C for 1 h in humid chamber then washed once for 5 min in 2× SSC. Slides were dehydrated in 70, 85, and 100% (v/v) ethanol for 5 min each and then air-dried. Metaphase chromosome spreads were hybridized with mouse major satellite probe in hybridization buffer (10% dextran sulfate, 50% formamide, 2× SSC, 1% Tween-20), denatured on a hot plate at 72°C for 4 min and then incubated overnight at 37°C in a humid chamber. Following hybridization, slides were washed with 50% formamide and $2\times$ SSC pH 7 solution then washed in 2× SSC and incubated in BlockAid blocking solution (Thermo Fisher Scientific, B10710) and 0.05% Tween-20. Immunodetection was performed by successive incubation (30 min at 37°C in BlockAid solution) with Alexa Fluor 555 streptavidin (S32355, Thermo Fisher Scientific), rabbit anti-streptavidin biotin conjugated followed by Alexa Fluor 555 streptavidin. Samples were washed three times with 1× PBS after each incubation. After final wash, samples were mounted with VectaShield (Vector Laboratories, H-1000). Images were acquired using Axio Imager Z1 microscope (Zeiss).

DNA combing-coupled FISH

HeLa cells transfected with non-targeting siRNA (siNT) or siRNA against *Rev3l* were pulse-labeled for 30 min with IdU followed by 30 min with CldU (100 μ M final concentration), and a final 10-min incubation with 1 mM thymidine. Genomic DNA was combed onto in-house silanized coverslips using a Genomic Vision apparatus. FISH and immunostaining of analogues were performed essentially as described in Mendez-Bermudez *et al* (2018). Slides were denatured in 1 N NaOH for 6 min at room temperature, rinsed in 1× PBS at 4°C for 5 min, and dehydrated in 70% ethanol (v/v) for 5 min at -20°C, followed by 85 and 100% ethanol for 5 min each at room temperature.

For SatIII hybridization, coverslips were incubated overnight at 37°C with the PNA probe (SatIII: Biotin-O-TTCCATTCCATTC-CATTCCA; Eurogentec) diluted in hybridization mix (10% dextran sulfate, 50% formamide, 2× SSC, 1% Tween-20) to a final concentration of 1 µM and denatured for 5 min at 95°C. Coverslips were washed three times in 50% formamide, 2× SSC pH 7, three times in 2× SSC and one time in PBS, before blocking for 30 min at 37°C in BlockAid blocking solution (Thermo Fisher Scientific, B10710). Immunodetection was performed by successive incubations (30 min at 37°C in BlockAid solution) with: (i) Alexa Fluor 488 streptavidin (Thermo Fisher Scientific, S32354, 1/100), (ii) rabbit anti-streptavidin-biotin conjugated (Rockland, 200-406-095, 1/50), (iii) Alexa Fluor 488 streptavidin (1/100) + mouse anti-BrdU (BD Biosciences, 347580, 1/5) + rat anti-BrdU (Abcam Ab6326, 1/50), and (iv) goat anti-mouse Cy5.5 (Abcam ab6947, 1/100) + goat anti-rat Alexa Fluor 555 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, A21434, 1/100). Coverslips were washed three times with $1 \times PBS$ after each incubation, with the addition of a 6-min wash in NaCl 0.5 M, Tris 20 mM pH 7.8, Tween-20 0.5% after step 3. After final wash, samples were mounted with VectaShield (Vector Laboratories, H-1000).

For global replication analysis, coverslips were blocked in BlockAid solution and incubated with: (i) mouse anti-BrdU + rat

anti-BrdU, (ii) goat anti-mouse Alexa Fluor 488 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, A11029, 1/50) + goat anti-rat Alexa Fluor 555 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, A21434, 1/50), (iii) mouse anti-ssDNA (DSHB, AB10805144, 1/25), (iv) goat anti-mouse Cy5.5 (Abcam ab6947, 1/100), and (v) donkey anti-goat Cy5.5 (Abcam ab6951, 1/100).

Images were acquired on an epifluorescence microscope Axio Imager.Z2(Zeiss) equipped with a motorized stage and a 63× objective lens (PL APO, NA 1.4 Oil DIC M27) connected to a chargecoupled device camera (Cool-SNAP HQ2; Roper Scientific). MetaMorph software (Roper Scientific) was used for image acquisition and analysis.

Only unbroken replication signals, as evidenced by embedment in total DNA staining or SatIII FISH signal, were taken into consideration. Fork speed (in kb/min) was calculated by divided the length of the IdU or CldU track (in kb) by the labeling time (in min). Fork ratio was calculated for each unbroken bicolor replication signal as the ratio between max (IdU length, CldU length) and min (IdU length, CldU length). Statistical analysis was performed with the nonparametric Mann–Whitney rank-sum test using GraphPad Prism 6 software.

Proximity Ligation Assay (PLA)

Mouse embryonic fibroblast (MEF) Flag-REV3L were seed on coverslips for 24 h and washed with PBS; then, protein pre-extraction was carried out with CSK50 (50 mM NaCl for PLA Flag-Histones) or CSK100 (100 mM NaCl for PLA DSB markers) for 5 min on ice, fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde, and blocked in BSA-PBS 3% for 30 min. Coverslips were incubated with primary antibodies for 1 h at RT. Proximity ligation was performed using the Duolink[®] In Situ Red Starter Kit Mouse/Rabbit (Sigma-Aldrich) according to the manufacturer's protocol. The oligonucleotides and antibody-nucleic acid conjugates used were those provided in the Sigma-Aldrich PLA kit (DUO92101). Images were quantified by counting the number of foci per nucleus using ImageJ software. Statistical analysis was performed with the nonparametric Mann–Whitney rank-sum test using GraphPad Prism 5 software.

Southern blotting

DNA methylation status at major satellites was performed on 5 µg of genomic DNA (gDNA) extracted from $Rev3L^{-/-}$ and $Rev3L^{+/+}$ fibroblasts and digested with the methylation-sensitive enzyme MaeII (NEB) overnight at 65°C. After precipitation with EtOH, the digested gDNA was run on an agarose gel overnight and successively depurinated with a 250 mM HCl solution, denatured with a solution containing 0.5 M NaOH and 1.5 M NaCl. After neutralization, the gDNA was transferred onto a Hybond-N⁺ membrane (GE Healthcare Amersham) overnight. The membrane was prehybridized with hybridization buffer (6× SSC, 5× Denhardt's, $0.5\,\%$ SDS) containing 100 µg/ml salmon sperm DNA for 1 h at 65°C and incubated overnight at 65°C with the hybridization buffer containing radiolabeled probes against major satellites (5'-GTGAAATATGGCGAGGAAAACT-3'). The membrane was washed once with 5× SSC, 0.1% SDS at 65°C for 10 min, twice with 3× SSC, 0.1% SDS at 65°C for 10 min and twice with $2 \times$ SSC, 0.1% SDS at room temperature for 10 min before visualization using X-ray film.

RNA extraction and RT–qPCR

Total RNAs were extracted from cell cultures and purified using RNeasy Mini Kit (QIAGEN), and concentration was measured with a Qubit Fluorometer (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). Total RNAs were then reverse transcribed using Superscript III (Invitrogen). cDNAs were used for quantitative PCR using TaqMan Gene Expression Assays (Applied Biosystems) on an ABI Prism 7500 apparatus (Applied Biosystems). *GAPDH* was used as an internal control. The comparative threshold (Δ Ct) method was used.

ChIP-qPCR

 $Rev3L^{-/-}$ and $Rev3L^{+/+}$ fibroblasts were seeded at 1.5×10^6 and 10^6 cells per dish, respectively. After 24 h, cells were cross-linked with 1% formaldehyde for 10 min at RT and formaldehyde was quenched with Glycine 0.1 M for 5 min. Cells were washed twice with PBS + protease inhibitor, scraped in PBS + protease inhibitor, and then centrifuged at $800 \times g - 4^{\circ}C$ for 5 min. Cell pellets were lysed in 1 ml of Cell Lysis Buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl [pH 8], 85 mM KCl, 0.5% NP-40) for 15 min on ice and centrifuged at $800 \times g$ at 4°C for 5 min. Pellets were then resuspended in 1 ml of Nuclei Lysis Buffer (Tris-HCl pH 8 50 mM, EDTA pH 8 10 mM, SDS 1%), sonicated using QSonica, Amplitude 40% for 10 cycles of 15 s ON/45 s OFF, and samples were centrifuged at $10,000 \times g - 4^{\circ}C$ for 10 min. For each IP, supernatants were diluted 10 times in Dilution Buffer (0.01% SDS, 1.1% Triton X-100, 1.2 mM EDTA, 16.7 mM Tris-HCl [pH 8], 150 mM NaCl) and incubated with 5 µg antibody, 20 µl Dynabeads Magnetic beads and 1% protease inhibitor cocktail overnight at 4°C with rotation. After magnetic separation, supernatant was removed and beads were washed successively with Low Salt Immune Complex Wash Buffer, High Salt Immune Complex Wash Buffer, and LiCl Immune Complex Wash Buffer followed by magnetic separation. The last wash was performed in 200 µl TE Buffer for 3min at 4°C with rotation; then, beads were resuspended in 100 µl TE Buffer. Samples were then deproteinated by adding Proteinase K and incubated at 55°C overnight with shaking. After proteinase K inactivation, beads were removed by magnetic separation to keep the supernatant. DNA purification was performed by phenol/chloroform extraction followed by ethanol precipitation and DNA resuspension in EB buffer. DNA samples were analyzed by quantitative real-time PCR on the Applied Biosystems Real Time PCR system (7500 system) using the Maxima SYBR Green/Rox qPCR Mastermix (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The primers used are listed below.

Replication-timing experiments and microarrays

Cells were incubated with 50 μ M of BrdU for 1.5 h and collected, washed three times with DPBS (Dulbecco's phosphate-buffered saline, Gibco Life Technologies), and then fixed in ethanol 75%. Cells were resuspended in DPBS with RNAse (0.5 mg/ml) and then with propidium iodide (50 μ g/ml) followed by incubation in the dark at room temperature for 30 min with low agitation. Two fractions of 100,000 cells, S1 and S2 corresponding to early and late S-phase fractions, respectively, were sorted by flow cytometry using INFLUX 500 (Cytopeia BD Biosciences). Whole DNA was extracted with a lysis buffer (50 mM Tris [pH 8], 10 mM EDTA, 300 mM

NaCl, 0.5% SDS) and 0.2 mg/ml of Proteinase K for 2 h at 65°C. Neo-synthesized DNA was immunoprecipitated with BrdU antibodies (Anti-BrdU Pure, BD Biosciences, # 347580) as previously described (Fernandez-Vidal et al, 2014). To control the quality of enrichment of early and late fractions in S1 and S2, qPCR was performed with BMP1 oligonucleotides (early control) and with Dppa2 oligonucleotides (late control; data not shown, (Hiratani et al, 2008)). Microarray hybridization requires a minimum of 1,000 ng of DNA. To obtain sufficient specific immunoprecipitated DNA for this hybridization step, whole genome amplification was conducted (WGA, Sigma) on immunoprecipitated DNA. A post-WGA qPCR was performed to preserve specific enrichment in both S1 and S2 fractions. Early and late amplified neo-synthesized DNAs were then labeled with Cy3 and Cy5 ULS molecules, respectively (Genomic DNA labeling Kit, Agilent). The hybridization was performed according to the manufacturer instructions on 4×180K mouse microarrays (SurePrint G3 Mouse CGH Microarray Kit, 4x180K, AGILENT Technologies, reference genome: mm9). Microarrays were scanned with an Agilent High-Resolution C Scanner using a resolution of 3 μm and the autofocus option. Feature extraction was performed with the Feature Extraction 9.1 software (Agilent Technologies). For each experiment, the raw data sets were automatically normalized by the Feature extraction software. Analysis was performed with the Agilent Genomic Workbench 5.0 software. The log₂-ratio timing profiles were smoothed using the Triangular Moving Average option of the Agilent Genomic Workbench 5.0 software with the linear algorithm and 500 kb windows.

Identification of replication domains and changes in replicationtiming profiles

To determine the replication domains in control cells and in $Rev3L^{-/-}$ MEFs, the algorithms from the STAR-R software were exploited as previously described (Hadjadj *et al*, 2020). A comparison was conducted between early and late domains from both cell lines in order to determine segments where replication-timing changes.

GC content

For the GC content, two steps were required: (i) Loading of the DNA sequence from interval files was performed with the Extract Genomic DNA tool with the mm9 genome annotation and (ii) calculation of the GC content for each interval by the GeeCee EMBOSS tool installed on the GALAXY Web site.

Intersection and coverage with H3K27ac, H3K4me3, and H3K27me3 marks

Data are loaded from the UCSC Web site. Peak coordinates of H3K27me3 come from the Broad Institute in the mm8 genome annotation (http://genome-euro.ucsc.edu/cgi-bin/hgTrackUi?hgsid = 199709818_uvGUfB28yATavzb9eAlxuHR5KGbQ&c = chr12&g = broad ChromatinChIPSeq). The conversion of coordinates to mm9 genome annotation was performed with the Lift-over tool available in the GALAXY Web site (https://usegalaxy.org/). The peak coordinates of H3K4me3 and H3K27ac were obtained from the Ludwig Institute for Cancer Research via the UCSC Web site (http://genome-euro.ucsc. edu/cgi-bin/hgTrackUi?hgsid = 208559602_SARngKJBy54VXgefrlgnd

8rJaWFD&c = chr12&g = wgEncodeLicrHistone) in MEF mouse cell line and then used knowingly for intersection and coverage with the Intersection and Coverage tools installed on the GALAXY Web site. The statistical analysis was performed with the R package.

LINE-1 content

We obtained the line1 coordinates from the RepeatMasker track via the UCSC Web site. Intersection and coverage were performed with the Intersection and Coverage tools installed on the GALAXY Web site.

Structural variant analysis

Single cells from $Rev3L^{-/-}$ and $Rev3L^{+/+}$ fibroblasts were isolated, amplified, and subjected to whole genome sequencing. The genomes were sequenced according to the manufacturer protocols (BGI Tech solutions, Hong Kong, Co., Ltd) with a mean coverage of $30 \times$ using 150 bp paired-end reads with BGISEQ-500 sequencer. Reads were then aligned to the mm9 mouse genome using BWA mem software (Li & Durbin, 2009) and sorted with SAMtools (Li *et al*, 2009). Then, we removed duplicates from the BAM file according to the GATK Best Practices pipeline (Van der Auwera *et al*, 2013). To identify structural variants (SV) from genomic data, we used GRIDSS2 (Cameron *et al*, 2017) and Manta (Chen *et al*, 2016) software and compared replicates 1 and 2 between each other for $Rev3l^{+/+}$ and $Rev3l^{-/-}$ samples. Resulting structural variants from two methods were intersected using BEDTools for each sample (Quinlan, 2014) with overlap at least 90% and used for further analysis.

Gene expression profiling data analysis

RNA was extracted using Qiagen column (RNeasy kit) according to the manufacturer's protocols. The quantity and purity of the total RNA were evaluated using a NanoDrop spectrophotometer. Quality of RNA samples was assessed using Lab-on-a-chip Bioanalyser 2000 technology (Agilent Technologies), based on the 28S/18S ribosomal RNAs ratio. All samples included in this study displayed a ratio of ribosomal RNAs between 1.5 and 2.

Labeling of RNA samples was done according to Agilent oligo Cy5 or Cy3 probes labeling protocol using the Agilent Low Input QuickAmp labeling kit (ref 5190-2306) adapted for small amount of total RNA (100 ng total RNA per reaction). Hybridization was performed using the Agilent Hybridization Protocol (Gene expression Hyb kit Large - ref 5188-5280). Scanning was performed with an Agilent G2505C DNA Microarray scanner using default parameters: 20 bits mode, 3 µm resolution, at 20 C in low ozone concentration environment. Microarray images were analyzed by using Feature extraction software version 10.7.3.1 from Agilent technologies and Agilent normalization protocol GE2_107_Sep09 with 028005_D_F_20130207 as design. Default settings are used. A quantile array normalization was performed on raw MedianSignal values with in-house scripts using Bioconductor LIMMA package. Controls and flags spots were filtered. Missing values were completed with KNN imputation method. Then, the median of all probes for a given transcript was computed to summarize the data. Normalized data (intensities in log2) were imported in BrB Arrays Tools (https://brb.nci.nih.gov/BRB-ArrayTools/). Class Comparison was performed as weighted *t*-test and an FDR adjustment (< 10^{-5}) for multiple testing between Rev3l wt and KO samples (Class Comparison in BrB Arrays Tools 4.6.0) [https://brb.nci.nih.gov/BRB-ArrayTools]. Gene expressions altered by at least threefold between conditions were considered.

Antibodies

Antibody	Source	Identifier
Mouse Monoclonal anti-Flag M2	Sigma-Aldrich	F1804
Mouse Monoclonal anti-flag M2 affinity gel	Sigma-Aldrich	A2220
Rabbit Polyclonal anti-Flag	Sigma-Aldrich	F7425
Rabbit Polyclonal anti-Histone H3K4me3	Abcam	ab8580
Goat anti-Histone H3	Abcam	ab12079
Rabbit polyclonal anti-Histone H4	Active Motif	39269
Rabbit Polyclonal anti-ATRX	Santa Cruz	sc-15408
Mouse Monoclonal anti-BrdU (for FACS) BU20a	Dako	M0744
Purified Mouse Anti-BrdU (for IF) clone B44	BD Biosciences	347580
Rabbit Polyclonal anti-Histone H3K9me3	Abcam	ab8898
Rabbit Polyclonal anti-acetyl Histone H3 (Lys 9/14)	Cell Signaling technology	9677
Mouse Monoclonal anti-Histone H3K27me3	Abcam	ab6002
Mouse Monoclonal anti-Histone H4K20me3	Active Motif	39672
Rabbit polyclonal anti-Histone H4 (acetyl K12)	Abcam	ab46983
Rabbit monoclonal anti-Histone H4 (acetyl K16)	Abcam	ab109463
Rabbit monoclonal anti-Histone H4 (acetyl K5)	Abcam	ab51997
Mouse monoclonal anti-HP1 α	Millipore	05-689
Mouse monoclonal anti-HP1 β	Abcam	ab10478
Mouse Monoclonal anti-phospho Histone H2A.X (ser139)	Millipore	05-636
Rabbit Polyclonal anti-53BP1	Abcam	ab21083
Rabbit monoclonal anti-HA Tag	Cell Signaling Technologies	3724
Mouse monoclonal anti-His	Cell Signaling Technologies	2366
Mouse monoclonal anti-V5	Invitrogen	R962-25
Mouse monoclonal anti-HP1 γ	Millipore Sigma	MAB3450
Anti-GST-HRP conjugate	Millipore Sigma	RPN1236
Rabbit monoclonal anti-Mad2L2/REV7	Abcam	ab180579
Mouse monoclonal anti-Chk1 (G-4)	Santa Cruz	sc-8408

Tuble (continued)		
Antibody	Source	Identifier
Rabbit anti-pChk1 S345 (133D3)	Cell Signaling	2348S
GFP-Trap	Chemotek	gta-20
Rabbit anti-RPA2	Bethyl	A300-244A
Rabbit anti-pRPA2 S4/S8	Bethyl	A300-245A
Rabbit anti-KAP1	Abcam	ab10484
Rabbit anti-pKAP1 (Ser824)	Bethyl	A300-767A
Rabbit anti-SCAI antibody EPR4128	Abcam	124688
Rabbit anti-Rad51 antibody EPR4030	Abcam	ab133534
Alexa Fluor 555 streptavidin	Thermo Fisher Scientific	S32355
rabbit anti-streptavidin biotin conjugated	Rockland	200-406-095
Alexa Fluor 488 streptavidin	Thermo Fisher Scientific	S32354
rat anti-BrdU	Abcam	ab6326
goat anti-mouse Cy5.5	Abcam	ab6947
anti-rat Alexa Fluor 555	Thermo Fisher Scientific	A21434
anti-ssDNA	DSHB	AB10805144
anti-goat Cy5.5	Abcam	ab6951

Table (continued)

Primers

Gene	Forward	Reverse		
Primers for	ChIP-qPCR			
Hoxb2	CCGAGTGAGTCCGTTTGGTT	GACTCCAGCCATGAGTAGCC		
Hoxb8	GCCGGATGCAAAATACCGAC	GAGAAGATGTGGGGTGGGTG		
Hoxb9	CCCTTTCACAGGTGAGTCCC	GGTGTCCACAGGAAGAGCAA		
WT1	GAGGGAGGGAGATGAGAGGC	CCTGCATCTCAGGGCACTTT		
FoxG1	TGAGGACAGGCCAGGAAAAC	GTCAAGGCTTCCATGTGTGC		
Gata6	CGACTTGGGAGGACCTGTTG	TGGTACATTTCCTCCGGCTG		
Primers for screening KI mouse line				
Rev3l	ACGAGTTCGCGGGTCCTTA GAGGTC	ACTTTCTACAGCCACAGCATCTC		
Primers for major satellite probe (FISH)				
Maj sat	ATATGTTGAGAAAACTGAAA ATCACG	CCTTCAGTGTGCATTTCTCATT TTTCAC		

List of TaqMan Gene Expression Assays used in this study. (TaqMan Gene Expression Assays consist of forward and reverse primers with TaqMan minor groove binder probe for each gene).

Gene	Catalog #
Hoxb2	Mm04209931-m1
Hoxb8	Mm00439368-m1
Hoxb9	Mm01700220-m1
WT1	Mm01337048-m1

Table (continued)

Gene	Catalog #
DIk1	Mm00494477-m1
SIcI8a4	Mm0045056-m1
Dcn	Mm0051435-m1
H19	Mm011567221-g1
GAPDH	Mm999999915-g1

Data availability

- Microarray data: EMBL-EBI E-MTAB-8338 (https://www.ebi.ac. uk/arrayexpress/experiments/E-MTAB-8338/).
- Replication-timing data: GSE178927 (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc = GSE178927).

Expanded View for this article is available online.

Acknowledgements

We thank T. Jenuwein (Max Planck Institute, Germany) for providing Suu39h dn cells. We are also grateful to Niels de Wind (Leiden University, Netherland) and Julien Duxin (Copenhagen University, Denmark) for sharing unpublished results on HP1 and SCAI, respectively. P.L.K. and E.D. express their gratitude to Michelle Debatisse and Stephane Koundrioukoff for their help and valuable advice on the application of the DNA combing-FISH technique to study replication dynamics in pericentromeric heterochromatin. We thank Nikola Djordjevic, Charlène Garandeau, Loélia Babin, and Kei-ichi Takata for experimental help and all members of the Kannouche lab for helpful discussions, especially Said Aoufouchi. This work was performed thanks to Gustave Roussy core facilities (Cell imaging, Genomics and Bio-informatics). The P.L.K lab was supported by La Ligue Nationale contre le Cancer (Equipe labellisée). This work was supported by grants from Institut National du Cancer (INCa) PLBIO16-011 (P.L.K. and J-C.C), INCa-DGOS-Inserm 12551, ARC-ARCPGA12019120001055 1578, and ANR-14-CE10-0008-01 (P.L.K. and J-C.C). S.A.S received support from the Ministère de l'Enseignement Supérieur et de la Recherche and the Foundation ARC and B.B received support from the Ministère de l'Enseignement Supérieur et de la Recherche and the Foundation pour la Recherche Médicale. The J-C.C lab is supported by the IdEX Université de Paris ANR-18-IDEX-0001. R.D.W. and J.T were funded by National Institutes of Health grant CA193124, Department of Defense grant W81XWH-17-10239, and the J. Ralph Meadows Chair in Carcinogenesis Research.

Author contributions

BBY performed experiments for protein interaction (co-IP and PLA) and ChIPqPCR; SA-S performed GFP-fused protein localization experiments and FACS analyses. QD performed FISH on metaphase spread, and ED carried out experiments with DNA combing. JG and RC performed immunofluorescence analysis on UV-irradiated cells. JCC, ND, GB, and DG designed experiments for replication timing, and JCC performed genome-wide analysis. PD performed transcriptome analysis. AY, SN, and PLK designed experiments for whole genome sequencing and AY and SN performed mutational analysis. JT and SB carried out GST pulldown and Co-IP experiments involving HP1 protein. SSL designed the endogenous tagged Rev3I mouse and derived all MEF cell lines used in this research. MTM-G helped in the design of cellular studies, and CP brought technical support in many experiments. PLK and RDW wrote the manuscript and all authors reviewed it. PLK, RDW, JCC, and JT conceived and planned the study.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

- Aladjem MI (2007) Replication in context: dynamic regulation of DNA replication patterns in metazoans. *Nat Rev Genet* 8: 588–600
- Allshire RC, Karpen GH (2008) Epigenetic regulation of centromeric chromatin: old dogs, new tricks? *Nat Rev Genet* 9: 923–937
- Baranovskiy AG, Lada AG, Siebler HM, Zhang Y, Pavlov YI, Tahirov TH (2012) DNA polymerase delta and zeta switch by sharing accessory subunits of DNA polymerase delta. *J Biol Chem* 287: 17281–17287
- Bergoglio V, Boyer AS, Walsh E, Naim V, Legube G, Lee MY, Rey L, Rosselli F, Cazaux C, Eckert KA *et al* (2013) DNA synthesis by Pol eta promotes fragile site stability by preventing under-replicated DNA in mitosis. *J Cell Biol* 201: 395–408
- Bhat A, Andersen PL, Qin Z, Xiao W (2013) Rev3, the catalytic subunit of Polzeta, is required for maintaining fragile site stability in human cells. *Nucleic Acids Res* 41: 2328–2339
- Burgers PMJ, Kunkel TA (2017) Eukaryotic DNA replication fork. Annu Rev Biochem 86: 417-438
- Cameron DL, Schroder J, Penington JS, Do H, Molania R, Dobrovic A, Speed TP, Papenfuss AT (2017) GRIDSS: sensitive and specific genomic rearrangement detection using positional de Bruijn graph assembly. *Genome Res* 27: 2050–2060
- Chen X, Schulz-Trieglaff O, Shaw R, Barnes B, Schlesinger F, Kallberg M, Cox AJ, Kruglyak S, Saunders CT (2016) Manta: rapid detection of structural variants and indels for germline and cancer sequencing applications. *Bioinformatics* 32: 1220–1222
- Cornacchia D, Dileep V, Quivy JP, Foti R, Tili F, Santarella-Mellwig R, Antony C, Almouzni G, Gilbert DM, Buonomo SB (2012) Mouse Rif1 is a key regulator of the replication-timing programme in mammalian cells. *EMBO* J 31: 3678–3690
- Despras E, Sittewelle M, Pouvelle C, Delrieu N, Cordonnier AM, Kannouche PL (2016) Rad18-dependent SUMOylation of human specialized DNA polymerase eta is required to prevent under-replicated DNA. *Nat Commun* 7: 13326
- Desprat R, Thierry-Mieg D, Lailler N, Lajugie J, Schildkraut C, Thierry-Mieg J, Bouhassira EE (2009) Predictable dynamic program of timing of DNA replication in human cells. *Genome Res* 19: 2288–2299
- Dimitrova DS, Berezney R (2002) The spatio-temporal organization of DNA replication sites is identical in primary, immortalized and transformed mammalian cells. *J Cell Sci* 115: 4037–4051
- Donley N, Thayer MJ (2013) DNA replication timing, genome stability and cancer: late and/or delayed DNA replication timing is associated with increased genomic instability. *Semin Cancer Biol* 23: 80–89
- Esposito G, Godindagger I, Klein U, Yaspo ML, Cumano A, Rajewsky K (2000) Disruption of the Rev3l-encoded catalytic subunit of polymerase zeta in mice results in early embryonic lethality. *Curr Biol* 10: 1221–1224
- Eustermann S, Yang JC, Law MJ, Amos R, Chapman LM, Jelinska C, Garrick D, Clynes D, Gibbons RJ, Rhodes D *et al* (2011) Combinatorial readout of histone H3 modifications specifies localization of ATRX to heterochromatin. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* 18: 777–782

- Farkash-Amar S, Lipson D, Polten A, Goren A, Helmstetter C, Yakhini Z, Simon
 I (2008) Global organization of replication time zones of the mouse genome. *Genome Res* 18: 1562–1570
- Fernandez-Vidal A, Guitton-Sert L, Cadoret JC, Drac M, Schwob E, Baldacci G, Cazaux C, Hoffmann JS (2014) A role for DNA polymerase theta in the timing of DNA replication. *Nat Commun* 5: 4285
- Fundele RH, Fundele RH, Surani MA (1994) Experimental embryological analysis of genetic imprinting in mouse development. *Dev Genet* 15: 515–522
- Gan GN, Wittschieben JP, Wittschieben BO, Wood RD (2008) DNA polymerase zeta (pol zeta) in higher eukaryotes. *Cell Res* 18: 174–183
- Goodarzi AA, Noon AT, Deckbar D, Ziv Y, Shiloh Y, Lobrich M, Jeggo PA (2008) ATM signaling facilitates repair of DNA double-strand breaks associated with heterochromatin. *Mol Cell* 31: 167–177
- Guenatri M, Bailly D, Maison C, Almouzni G (2004) Mouse centric and pericentric satellite repeats form distinct functional heterochromatin. *J Cell Biol* 166: 493–505
- Guilbaud G, Rappailles A, Baker A, Chen CL, Arneodo A, Goldar A, d'Aubenton-Carafa Y, Thermes C, Audit B, Hyrien O (2011) Evidence for sequential and increasing activation of replication origins along replication timing gradients in the human genome. *PLoS Comput Biol* 7: e1002322
- Hadjadj D, Denecker T, Guerin E, Kim SJ, Fauchereau F, Baldacci G, Maric C, Cadoret JC (2020) Efficient, quick and easy-to-use DNA replication timing analysis with START-R suite. *NAR Genom Bioinform* 2: Iqaa045
- Hansen RK, Mund A, Poulsen SL, Sandoval M, Klement K, Tsouroula K, Tollenaere MA, Raschle M, Soria R, Offermanns S *et al* (2016) SCAI promotes DNA double-strand break repair in distinct chromosomal contexts. *Nat Cell Biol* 18: 1357–1366
- Hayano M, Kanoh Y, Matsumoto S, Renard-Guillet C, Shirahige K, Masai H (2012) Rif1 is a global regulator of timing of replication origin firing in fission yeast. *Genes Dev* 26: 137–150
- Hiragami-Hamada K, Soeroes S, Nikolov M, Wilkins B, Kreuz S, Chen C, De La Rosa-Velazquez IA, Zenn HM, Kost N, Pohl W *et al* (2016) Dynamic and flexible H3K9me3 bridging via HP1beta dimerization establishes a plastic state of condensed chromatin. *Nat Commun* 7: 11310
- Hiratani I, Ryba T, Itoh M, Yokochi T, Schwaiger M, Chang CW, Lyou Y, Townes TM, Schubeler D, Gilbert DM (2008) Global reorganization of replication domains during embryonic stem cell differentiation. *PLoS Biol* 6: e245
- Isobe SY, Nagao K, Nozaki N, Kimura H, Obuse C (2017) Inhibition of RIF1 by SCAI allows BRCA1-mediated repair. *Cell Rep* 20: 297–307
- Johnson RE, Prakash L, Prakash S (2012) Pol31 and Pol32 subunits of yeast DNA polymerase delta are also essential subunits of DNA polymerase zeta. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 109: 12455–12460
- Johnson RE, Washington MT, Haracska L, Prakash S, Prakash L (2000) Eukaryotic polymerases iota and zeta act sequentially to bypass DNA lesions. *Nature* 406: 1015–1019
- Kane DP, Shusterman M, Rong Y, McVey M (2012) Competition between replicative and translesion polymerases during homologous recombination repair in *Drosophila*. *PLoS Genet* 8: e1002659
- Koren A, Polak P, Nemesh J, Michaelson JJ, Sebat J, Sunyaev SR, McCarroll SA (2012) Differential relationship of DNA replication timing to different forms of human mutation and variation. *Am J Hum Genet* 91: 1033–1040
- Lang GI, Murray AW (2011) Mutation rates across budding yeast chromosome VI are correlated with replication timing. *Genome Biol Evol* 3: 799–811
- Lange SS, Bedford E, Reh S, Wittschieben JP, Carbajal S, Kusewitt DF, DiGiovanni J, Wood RD (2013) Dual role for mammalian DNA polymerase zeta in maintaining genome stability and proliferative responses. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 110: E687–696

Lange SS, Bhetawal S, Reh S, Powell KL, Kusewitt DF, Wood RD (2018) DNA polymerase zeta deficiency causes impaired wound healing and stress-induced skin pigmentation. *Life Sci Alliance* 1: 1–15

Lange SS, Tomida J, Boulware KS, Bhetawal S, Wood RD (2016) The polymerase activity of mammalian DNA Pol zeta is specifically required for cell and embryonic viability. *PLoS Genet* 12: e1005759

Lange SS, Wittschieben JP, Wood RD (2012) DNA polymerase zeta is required for proliferation of normal mammalian cells. *Nucleic Acids Res* 40: 4473–4482

Lee YS, Gregory MT, Yang W (2014) Human Pol zeta purified with accessory subunits is active in translesion DNA synthesis and complements Pol eta in cisplatin bypass. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 111: 2954–2959

Lemaitre C, Soutoglou E (2014) Double strand break (DSB) repair in heterochromatin and heterochromatin proteins in DSB repair. *DNA Repair* 19: 163–168

Letessier A, Millot GA, Koundrioukoff S, Lachages AM, Vogt N, Hansen RS, Malfoy B, Brison O, Debatisse M (2011) Cell-type-specific replication initiation programs set fragility of the FRA3B fragile site. *Nature* 470: 120–123

Li H, Durbin R (2009) Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform. *Bioinformatics* 25: 1754–1760

Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, Fennell T, Ruan J, Homer N, Marth G, Abecasis G, Durbin R (2009) The sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. Bioinformatics 25: 2078–2079

MacAlpine DM, Rodriguez HK, Bell SP (2004) Coordination of replication and transcription along a *Drosophila* chromosome. *Genes Dev* 18: 3094–3105

Maison C, Bailly D, Peters AH, Quivy JP, Roche D, Taddei A, Lachner M, Jenuwein T, Almouzni G (2002) Higher-order structure in pericentric heterochromatin involves a distinct pattern of histone modification and an RNA component. *Nat Genet* 30: 329–334

Makarova AV, Burgers PM (2015) Eukaryotic DNA polymerase zeta. DNA Repair 29: 47–55

Makarova AV, Stodola JL, Burgers PM (2012) A four-subunit DNA polymerase zeta complex containing Pol delta accessory subunits is essential for PCNA-mediated mutagenesis. *Nucleic Acids Res* 40: 11618–11626

Manandhar M, Lowery MG, Boulware KS, Lin KH, Lu Y, Wood RD (2017) Transcriptional consequences of XPA disruption in human cell lines. *DNA Repair* 57: 76–90

Martin SK, Wood RD (2019) DNA polymerase zeta in DNA replication and repair. *Nucleic Acids Res* 47: 8348–8361

McCulloch SD, Kunkel TA (2008) The fidelity of DNA synthesis by eukaryotic replicative and translesion synthesis polymerases. *Cell Res* 18: 148–161

Mendez-Bermudez A, Lototska L, Bauwens S, Giraud-Panis MJ, Croce O, Jamet K, Irizar A, Mowinckel M, Koundrioukoff S, Nottet N *et al* (2018) Genome-wide control of heterochromatin replication by the telomere capping protein TRF2. *Mol Cell* 70: 449–461.e5

Murzina N, Verreault A, Laue E, Stillman B (1999) Heterochromatin dynamics in mouse cells: interaction between chromatin assembly factor 1 and HP1 proteins. *Mol Cell* 4: 529–540

Natale F, Scholl A, Rapp A, Yu W, Rausch C, Cardoso MC (2018) DNA replication and repair kinetics of Alu, LINE-1 and satellite III genomic repetitive elements. *Epigenet Chromatin* 11: 61

Nguyen VH, Lavenier D (2009) PLAST: parallel local alignment search tool for database comparison. *BMC Bioinformatics* 10: 329

Nikolov I, Taddei A (2016) Linking replication stress with heterochromatin formation. *Chromosoma* 125: 523–533

Norio P, Kosiyatrakul S, Yang Q, Guan Z, Brown NM, Thomas S, Riblet R, Schildkraut CL (2005) Progressive activation of DNA replication initiation in large domains of the immunoglobulin heavy chain locus during B cell development. *Mol Cell* 20: 575–587 Ozeri-Galai E, Tur-Sinai M, Bester AC, Kerem B (2014) Interplay between genetic and epigenetic factors governs common fragile site instability in cancer. *Cell Mol Life Sci* 71: 4495–4506

Peters AH, O'Carroll D, Scherthan H, Mechtler K, Sauer S, Schofer C, Weipoltshammer K, Pagani M, Lachner M, Kohlmaier A *et al* (2001) Loss of the Suv39h histone methyltransferases impairs mammalian heterochromatin and genome stability. *Cell* 107: 323–337

Pirouz M, Pilarski S, Kessel M (2013) A critical function of Mad2l2 in primordial germ cell development of mice. *PLoS Genet* 9: e1003712

Polak P, Karlic R, Koren A, Thurman R, Sandstrom R, Lawrence M, Reynolds A, Rynes E, Vlahovicek K, Stamatoyannopoulos JA *et al* (2015) Cell-of-origin chromatin organization shapes the mutational landscape of cancer. *Nature* 518: 360–364

Quinlan AR (2014) BEDTools: the swiss-army tool for genome feature analysis. *Curr Protoc Bioinformatics* 47: 11-34

Quivy J-P, Roche D, Kirschner D, Tagami H, Nakatani Y, Almouzni G (2004) A CAF-1 dependent pool of HP1 during heterochromatin duplication. *EMBO J* 23: 3516–3526

Sachs M, Onodera C, Blaschke K, Ebata KT, Song JS, Ramalho-Santos M (2013) Bivalent chromatin marks developmental regulatory genes in the mouse embryonic germline in vivo. *Cell Rep* 3: 1777–1784

Sarkies P, Reams C, Simpson LJ, Sale JE (2010) Epigenetic instability due to defective replication of structured DNA. *Mol Cell* 40: 703–713

Schultz SS, Desbordes SC, Du Z, Kosiyatrakul S, Lipchina I, Studer L, Schildkraut CL (2010) Single-molecule analysis reveals changes in the DNA replication program for the POUSF1 locus upon human embryonic stem cell differentiation. *Mol Cell Biol* 30: 4521–4534

Schuster-Bockler B, Lehner B (2012) Chromatin organization is a major influence on regional mutation rates in human cancer cells. *Nature* 488: 504–507

Schwienbacher C, Angioni A, Scelfo R, Veronese A, Calin GA, Massazza G, Hatada I, Barbanti-Brodano G, Negrini M (2000) Abnormal RNA expression of 11p15 imprinted genes and kidney developmental genes in Wilms' tumor. *Cancer Res* 60: 1521–1525

Seplyarskiy VB, Bazykin GA, Soldatov RA (2015) Polymerase zeta activity is linked to replication timing in humans: evidence from mutational signatures. *Mol Biol Evol* 32: 3158–3172

Sharma S, Hicks JK, Chute CL, Brennan JR, Ahn JY, Glover TW, Canman CE (2012) REV1 and polymerase zeta facilitate homologous recombination repair. *Nucleic Acids Res* 40: 682–691

Sima J, Chakraborty A, Dileep V, Michalski M, Klein KN, Holcomb NP, Turner JL, Paulsen MT, Rivera-Mulia JC, Trevilla-Garcia C *et al* (2019) Identifying cis elements for spatiotemporal control of mammalian DNA replication. *Cell* 176: 816–830.e18

Sonoda E, Okada T, Zhao GY, Tateishi S, Araki K, Yamaizumi M, Yagi T, Verkaik NS, van Gent DC, Takata M *et al* (2003) Multiple roles of Rev3, the catalytic subunit of polzeta in maintaining genome stability in vertebrates. *EMBO* / 22: 3188–3197

Stamatoyannopoulos JA, Adzhubei I, Thurman RE, Kryukov GV, Mirkin SM, Sunyaev SR (2009) Human mutation rate associated with DNA replication timing. *Nat Genet* 41: 393–395

Stone JE, Kissling GE, Lujan SA, Rogozin IB, Stith CM, Burgers PM, Kunkel TA (2009) Low-fidelity DNA synthesis by the L979F mutator derivative of Saccharomyces cerevisiae DNA polymerase zeta. Nucleic Acids Res 37: 3774–3787

- Taddei A, Maison C, Roche D, Almouzni G (2001) Reversible disruption of pericentric heterochromatin and centromere function by inhibiting deacetylases. *Nat Cell Biol* 3: 114–120
- Thiru A, Nietlispach D, Mott HR, Okuwaki M, Lyon D, Nielsen PR, Hirshberg M, Verreault A, Murzina NV, Laue ED (2004) Structural basis of HP1/PXVXL motif peptide interactions and HP1 localisation to heterochromatin. *EMBO* J 23: 489–499
- Tomida J, Takata K, Lange SS, Schibler AC, Yousefzadeh MJ, Bhetawal S, Dent SY, Wood RD (2015) REV7 is essential for DNA damage tolerance via two REV3L binding sites in mammalian DNA polymerase zeta. *Nucleic Acids Res* 43: 1000–1011
- Tomida J, Takata KI, Bhetawal S, Person MD, Chao HP, Tang DG, Wood RD (2018) FAM35A associates with REV7 and modulates DNA damage responses of normal and BRCA1-defective cells. *EMBO J* 37: e99543
- Tsao WC, Eckert KA (2018) Detours to replication: functions of specialized DNA polymerases during oncogene-induced replication stress. *Int J Mol Sci* 19: 1–25
- Ui A, Nagaura Y, Yasui A (2015) Transcriptional elongation factor ENL phosphorylated by ATM recruits polycomb and switches off transcription for DSB repair. *Mol Cell* 58: 468–482
- Van der Auwera GA, Carneiro MO, Hartl C, Poplin R, Del Angel G, Levy-Moonshine A, Jordan T, Shakir K, Roazen D, Thibault J *et al* (2013) From FastQ data to high confidence variant calls: the Genome Analysis Toolkit best practices pipeline. *Curr Protoc Bioinformatics* 43: 11 10 11–11 10 33

- Van Sloun PP, Varlet I, Sonneveld E, Boei JJ, Romeijn RJ, Eeken JC, De Wind N (2002) Involvement of mouse Rev3 in tolerance of endogenous and exogenous DNA damage. *Mol Cell Biol* 22: 2159–2169
- Vissers JH, van Lohuizen M, Citterio E (2012) The emerging role of Polycomb repressors in the response to DNA damage. *J Cell Sci* 125: 3939–3948
- Watanabe Y, Maekawa M (2010) Spatiotemporal regulation of DNA replication in the human genome and its association with genomic instability and disease. *Curr Med Chem* 17: 222–233
- Wittschieben JP, Reshmi SC, Gollin SM, Wood RD (2006) Loss of DNA polymerase zeta causes chromosomal instability in mammalian cells. *Cancer Res* 66: 134–142
- Wittschieben J, Shivji MK, Lalani E, Jacobs MA, Marini F, Gearhart PJ, Rosewell I, Stamp G, Wood RD (2000) Disruption of the developmentally regulated Rev3l gene causes embryonic lethality. *Curr Biol* 10: 1217–1220
- Zhang J, Bellani MA, James RC, Pokharel D, Zhang Y, Reynolds JJ, McNee GS, Jackson AP, Stewart GS, Seidman MM (2020) DONSON and FANCM associate with different replisomes distinguished by replication timing and chromatin domain. *Nat Commun* 11: 3951



License: This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is noncommercial and no modifications or adaptations are made.