

# **HHS Public Access**

# Author manuscript

*Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry*. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2016 June 03.

## Published in final edited form as:

*Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry*. 2015 June 3; 59: 100–104. doi:10.1016/j.pnpbp. 2015.01.007.

# Regional Brain [<sup>11</sup>C]carfentanil Binding Following Tobacco Smoking

# Edward F Domino<sup>1</sup>, Mika Hirasawa-Fujita<sup>1</sup>, Lisong Ni<sup>1</sup>, Sally K Guthrie<sup>2,3</sup>, and Jon Kar Zubieta<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Pharmacology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI USA

<sup>2</sup>College of Pharmacy, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI USA

<sup>3</sup>Department of Psychiatry, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI USA

# Abstract

**Objective**—To determine if overnight tobacco abstinent carriers of the AG or GG (\*G) vs. the AA variant of the human mu opioid receptor (OPRM1) A118G polymorphism (rs1799971) differ in [ $^{11}$ C]carfentanil binding after tobacco smoking.

**Methods**—Twenty healthy American male smokers who abstained from tobacco overnight were genotyped and completed positron emission tomography (PET) scans with the mu opioid receptor agonist, [<sup>11</sup>C]carfentanil. They smoked deniconized (denic) and average nicotine (avnic) cigarettes during the PET scans.

**Results**—Smoking avnic cigarette decreased the binding potential (BP<sub>ND</sub>) of [<sup>11</sup>C]carfentanil in the right medial prefrontal cortex (mPfc; 6,56,18), left anterior medial prefrontal cortex (amPfc; -2,46,44), right ventral striatum (vStr; 16, 3, -10), left insula (Ins; -42,10, -12), right hippocampus (Hippo; 18, -6, -14) and left cerebellum (Cbl; -10, -88, -34), and increased the BP<sub>ND</sub> in left amygdala (Amy; -20,0, -22), left putamen (Put; -22, 10, -6) and left nucleus accumbens (NAcc; -10,12, -8). In the AA allele carriers, avnic cigarette smoking significantly changed the BP<sub>ND</sub> compared to after denic smoking in most brain areas listed above. However in the \*G carriers the significant BP<sub>ND</sub> changes were confirmed in only amPfc and vStr. Free mu opioid receptor availability was significantly less in the \*G than the AA carriers in the Amy and NAcc.

**Conclusion**—The present study demonstrates  $BP_{ND}$  changes induced by avnic smoking in OPRM1 \*G carriers were blunted compared to the AA carriers. Also \*G smokers had less free mu opioid receptor availability in Amy and NAcc.

<sup>© 2015</sup> Published by Elsevier Inc.

This manuscript version is made available under the CC BY-NC-ND 4.0 license.

Correspondence: Dr. E.F. Domino, Department of Pharmacology, 1301 MSRBIII, 1150 W. Medical Center Drive, Ann Arbor, MI 48109-5632, Tel. # 734-971-7363, Fax. # 734-763-4450, efdabcde@umich.edu.

**Publisher's Disclaimer:** This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

## Keywords

PET; [<sup>11</sup>C]carfentanil; OPRM1; A118G; smoking

# 1. Introduction

Many studies with mice have demonstrated that nicotine induces endogenous brain opioid release (Davenport et al., 1990; Dhatt et al., 1995; Isola et al., 2009). Furthermore C57BL/6 mice treated with large doses of nicotine results in marked tolerance to nicotine antinociception (Galeote et al., 2006). The C57B4/6 mu opioid knockout mice also develop tolerance to nicotine antinociception more quickly. The antinociceptive actions of nicotine in rodents are not reduced by mu opioid antagonists. In humans, nicotine/tobacco smoking is not an effective analgesic. However, some brain evoked potentials due to painful laser stimuli are reduced, but C fiber effects are enhanced by tobacco smoking (Miyazaki et al., 2009; Miyazaki et al., 2010).

Additional basic science studies support the importance of the opioid system, especially the mu opioid receptor (OPRM1), in drug addiction. Humanized h/mOPRM1-118 AA or h/ mOPRM1-118 GG receptors (knockin) mice show different reinforcement of alcohol. The GG mice have a four-fold greater vStr/NAcc DA release to alcohol than the former (Ramchandani et al., 2011). Also this gene is involved in opiate and cocaine addiction and treatment (Kreek et al., 2005). Recently Zhang et al. (2015) found that GG mice self-administrated more heroin and had more brain dopamine release in response to heroin than AA mice. In mice with a lack (knockout) of the mu opioid receptor, ethanol and cocaine (Becker et al., 2002) and nicotine (Berrendero et al., 2002; Walters et al., 2005) are not rewarding. Furthermore mice with the G allele of A112G SNP (which is equivalent to human OPRM1 A118G SNP) have reduced receptor protein, less morphine induced hyperactivity, and less locomotor sensitization. Additionally, Female mice have less morphine reward aversive naloxone precipitated withdrawal (Mague et al., 2009).

Ray et al. (2011) reported that smokers with the OPRM1 \*G allele have reduced [ $^{11}C$ ]carfentanil binding potentials (MOR BP<sub>ND</sub>) compared to AA carriers with 0.6 mg of nicotine (nic) cigarette smoking. Reduced BP<sub>ND</sub> assumes increased endogenous opioid release and less free mu opioid receptors (activation). They also found that \*G carriers had a positive association between decreased MOR BP<sub>ND</sub> and smoking reward. The present study reports the role of OPRM1 A118G in brain endogenous opioid release following tobacco smoking as measured by [ $^{11}C$ ]carfentanil displacement with denic and 1.0 mg nicotine avnic cigarettes.

# 2. Materials and Methods

Twenty four healthy American males were recruited for this study. Four of 24 subjects were omitted due to incomplete PET scans, blood samples, and greater than 10 ng/mL boost of plasma nicotine levels after smoking. In this study the subjects were all smokers who smoked 15–40 cigarettes per day for at least one year. These are the same subjects who participated in the published PET study with [<sup>11</sup>C]raclopride by (Domino et al., 2012). The

two counter balanced PET scan with both [<sup>11</sup>C]raclopride and [<sup>11</sup>C]carfentanil were done on two separate days. Each session was designed to have the volunteers inhale tobacco smoke from either two denic or avnic cigarettes with either [<sup>11</sup>C]raclopride or [<sup>11</sup>C]carfentanil. Detailed subject demographics, experimental design, PET scanning protocol, image and data acquisition, data analysis and genotyping were described in the previous published study (Domino et al., 2012). However for the [<sup>11</sup>C]carfentanil SPM5 ROI analysis, the threshold p< 0.01 and the extent threshold K=10 voxels were used in this study. It is important to note that due to the University of Michigan Hospital No Smoking rule the smoke of two denic or

avnic cigarettes was inhaled from an enclosed gallon bottle. The smoke was exhaled into a vacuum purging system and released into the environment on the roof of the hospital. The present study describes the results with [<sup>11</sup>C]carfentanil with a total mean  $\pm$  S.E. mass of carfentanil of 16.8 pg/kg per scan.

#### 2.1 Statistical analyses

The OPRM1 A118G SNP effects on BP<sub>ND</sub> were analyzed with using EZR version 1.2121 (Kanda, 2013). The p value was considered as significant if p < 0.05. In all figures significance are indicated as \*p<.05, \*\*p<.01 and \*\*\* p<.001. Effect size, Power, and sample size for Power = 0.8 with actual Effect size were calculated with G\*Power version 3.1.9.222 (Faul et al., 2007). The data were analyzed with a post-hoc analysis, and the significance level  $\alpha$  was fixed at 0.05 for all analyses.

# 3. Results

# 3.1 Tobacco smoking effects on [<sup>11</sup>C]carfentanil BP<sub>ND</sub>

First all 20 male subjects were compared as one group. Tobacco smoking altered regional brain endogenous mu opioid release. The decreased [<sup>11</sup>C]carfentanil BP<sub>ND</sub> after smoking denic cigarettes minus the BP<sub>ND</sub> after smoking avnic cigarettes was found in six regional brain areas. The decrease in the BP<sub>ND</sub> was interpreted as increases in endogenous mu opioid release (activation). Significant decreases in BP<sub>ND</sub> after avnic compared to after denic were found in the ventral striatum (vStr), left insula (Ins), right hippocampus (Hippo), left anterior medial prefrontal cortex (amPfc), left medial prefrontal cortex (mPfc), and left cerebellar vermis (Cbl; Figure 1A). The BP<sub>ND</sub>s after denic and avnic smoking were 0.81±0.68, 0.67±0.60, 2.08±0.31, 1.95±0.27, 1.91±0.68, 1.76±0.60, 1.75±0.44, 1.61±0.40, 1.95±0.39, 1.86±0.38, 1.15±0.52 and 1.01±0.53 in the brain areas listed above.

In contrast increased [<sup>11</sup>C]carfentanil BP<sub>ND</sub> after smoking avnic cigarettes minus the BP<sub>ND</sub> after smoking denic cigarettes occurred in three regional brain areas. The significant increase in the BP<sub>ND</sub> after smoking was interpreted as a decrease in endogenous opioid release (deactivation) in the amygdala (Amy), nucleus accumbens (NAcc), and putamen (Put) in the left hemisphere (Figure 1B). The BP<sub>ND</sub>s after denic and avnic smoking were  $1.33\pm0.69, 1.12\pm0.59, 1.40\pm0.66, 1.23\pm0.61, 1.32\pm0.51$  and  $1.16\pm0.52$  in the above brain areas. The values of [<sup>11</sup>C]carfentanil BP<sub>ND</sub> are similar to those of (Ray et al., 2011).

# 3.2 OPRM1 genotype comparisons on tobacco smoking effects and [<sup>11</sup>C]carfentanil BP<sub>ND</sub>

The subjects were genotyped and separated into two groups based on the existence OPRM1 G allele. There were 15 AA and 5 \*G (GA and GG) carriers. For each genotype,  $BP_{NDS}$  after denic and avnic smoking were compared in various regional brain areas. In AA allele carriers,  $BP_{NDS}$  were significantly different between after denic and after avnic smoking: vStr (t=2.75, df=14, p=0.02), left Ins (t=2.91, df=14, p=0.01), right Hippo (2.83, df=14, p=0.01), left amPfc (3.92, df=14, p=0.002), left mPfc (4.35, df=14, p<0.001), left Cbl (t=3.97, df=14, p=0.001), Amy (t=4.39, df=14, p<0.001) and NAcc (t=2.73, df=14, p=0.02). In the \*G carriers, the  $BP_{NDS}$  were significantly different between cigarette smoking conditions in vStr (t=3.57, df=4, p=0.02) and left amPfc (t=2.95, df=4, p=0.04).

#### 3.3 OPRM1 A118G genotype differences on free mu opioid receptor availability

There were fewer free mu opioid receptors in the left Ins (denic: t=2.58, df=16.9, p=0.02, surprisingly avnic effects were not significant), vStr (denic: t=2.67, df=17.9, p=0.02. avnic: t=3.10, df=17.9, p=0.006) and right Hippo (denic: t=2.83, df=18.0, p=0.01. avnic: t=3.22, df=17.8, p=0.005; Figure 2A) left Amy (avnic: t=2.40, df=6.53, p=0.05. denic: t=3.20, df=8.06, p=0.01) and NAcc (avnic: t=3.14, df=8.51, p=0.01. denic: t=2.47, df=7.98, p=0.04; Figure 2B) in the \*G compared to AA carriers.

#### 3.4 Effect size and Power analyses

The results of the Power analyses for denic and avnic cigarette smoking effects on  $BP_{ND}$  changes within the OPRM1 variant are summarized in Table 1A (for activation) and B (for deactivation). For the AA carriers Effect size and Power were adequate to conclude that avnic cigarette smoking changes  $BP_{ND}$  significantly. However for the \*G carriers Effect size and Power were relatively small to determine smoking effects.

Although the adequate p values for left Ins and right Hippo for comparison between the variants as illustrated in the Results section, Power analyses revealed poor statistical Power for OPRM1 genotype differences in endogenous mu opioid release in these brain areas (Table 2A).

In contrast Power analyses for OPRM1 genotype differences on decreases in endogenous mu opioid release indicated adequate Effect size and Power in left Amy (after denic) and NAcc (after avnic; Table 2B).

# 4. Discussion

Zhang et al. (2005) reported that mRNA and receptor protein expression levels are lower in the G receptor variant. Subsequently, several studies in mice also indicate that the A118G variant has less receptor protein expression (Mague et al., 2009; Wang et al., 2012). There are five asparagine (N) residues as putative N-glycosylation sites on the N terminus of OPRM1 (Comprehensive Amino Acids Sequences Annotated database; CAAS db). N-glycosylation is considered as an important process for stabilization and biosynthesis of glycoproteins (Solá and Griebenow, 2009). The receptor with 118G allele has smaller molecular mass and less stability compare to wild type mice due to the lack of N-

glycosylation (Peng et al., 2012). However the G variant has greater affinity for the endogenous opioid  $\beta$ -endorphin compared to A118A variant in AV-12 fused cells (Bond et al., 1998) but no change in binding affinity in HEK293 cells (Beyer et al., 2004).

In humans, Ray et al. (2006) used the number of cigarette puffs as a measure of nicotine reinforcement. They found that \*G allele women smokers took fewer puffs than males smoking after two hours of tobacco abstinence. The women did not distinguish between smoking denic or low nicotine (0.6 mg) cigarettes. Especially important, after 50 mg of oral naltrexone, but not after placebo, all of the \*G smokers had lower difference liking scores than did AA smokers. Lerman et al. (2004) reported that the G allele carriers were more likely to quit smoking successfully using nicotine replacement therapy. Furthermore Zhang et al. (2006) found in 688 Caucasian smokers and nonsmokers that three OPRM1 SNPs (rs9479757, rs2075572, and rs10485057) were highly significant for tobacco smoking initiation (p = 0.0002), and marginal for nicotine dependence (p = 0.05). Recently Verhagen et al. (2012) published a meta-analysis about smoking initiation, nicotine dependence and smoking cessation. They concluded that subjects with the OPRM1 AA allele had higher risk for nicotine dependence. These results indicated evidence of the association between the mu opioid system and tobacco use.

In the present study, both increases and decreases in endogenous mu opioid release following tobacco smoking were observed. In some agreement with our preliminary study by Scott et al. (2007) increased release was observed in the right mPfc and amPfc and decreased release in left Amy, Put, and NAcc. The vStr is activated with smoking related cues (David et al., 2005). Sustained damage to the Ins interrupt tobacco smoking (Naqvi et al., 2007). The Hippo is related to tobacco smoking via nicotinic acetylcholine receptors which induce endogenous opioid release. Chronic nicotine exposure increases receptor expression levels in the brain which results in a positive correlation between degree of tobacco use and the number of receptor binding sites (Breese et al., 1997). The Pfc is strongly activated with nicotine (Schroeder et al., 2001). Nicotine increases the rates of local cerebral glucose utilization which indicates nicotine binding receptors in several brain regions including Cbl (London et al., 1988). Koob and Le Moal (2001) reported Amy and opioid peptide neurons within this site are major components of a drug reward circuit. Galeote et al. (2006) reported repeated nicotine administrations decreases the density of mu opioid receptors in the caudate-Put as well as in the core and shell of NAcc in mice.

The present study is only in some agreement with Ray et al. (2011). They reported that OPRM1 AA tobacco smokers had more free brain mu opioid receptors than \*G carriers. In this study in the Ins, vStr, Hippo, Amy and NAcc, the \*G allele carriers also had less free mu opioid receptor density compared to the AA carriers. However our power analyses indicated that Effect size and Power were adequate only for amygdala and Nacc. As mentioned above in the Results section, smoking effects were confirmed in the AA carriers with increases and decreases in free mu opioid receptor levels between denic and avnic cigarette smoking. However the BP<sub>ND</sub> of \*G carriers after avnic smoking were only significantly different in vStr and amPfc compared to that of after denic cigarette smoking. This suggests that tobacco smoking/nicotine effects on endogenous mu opioid release were blunted in the \*G carriers supporting the concept of a "loss-of function" receptor (Mague et

al., 2009). Effect size and Power were acceptable for the AA carriers, but a larger number of \*G allele carrier is needed.

The present study has major limitations: (1) Limited number of subjects. (2) Lack of controls for the tobacco smokers. (3) Females were not studied (due to a lack of approved research funds for the role of sex differences). The number of G allele carriers was also limited. Larger groups including tobacco smokers and nonsmokers, males as well as females must be studied for Effect size and Power to be >0.8. (4) Since  $[^{11}C]$  carfentanil is potent selective mu opioid receptor agonist, its binding affinity may differ between SNP variants. Identifying the cell types releasing various types of endogenous opioids were not examined. The mass dose used in this study is in a common range (20-30 pg/kg) for human PET studies with [<sup>11</sup>C]carfentanil (Colasanti et al., 2012; Ray et al., 2011; Wager et al., 2007; Wand et al., 2011). The dose of  $[^{11}C]$  carfentanil is less than 15 % of the therapeutic dose for conscious sedation (Cortinez et al., 2005; Goodman et al., 2006) and is only effective as a radiotracer but not as a agonist to release endogenous opioids. One may conclude that specific MOR BP<sub>ND</sub> was only measured in this study. The BP<sub>ND</sub> after denic smoking was considered the baseline to compensate for non-specific binding (which was unlikely to occur). Before tobacco smoking MOR BPND in abstinent smokers and nonsmokers should be studied.

In conclusion this small genetic/PET study indicates that the OPRM1 A118G genotype altered tobacco smoking/nicotine effects on endogenous brain opioid release. The AA allele smokers had more free mu opioid receptor availability.

## Acknowledgements

This work was supported in part by the Smoking Research Foundation, Department of Pharmacology Psychopharmacology Research Fund C361024, Education and Research Development Fund 276157, and by the National Institute of Health Grants RO1 DA 016423 to EFD, and RO1 AT 001415 to JKZ. We thank the members of the University of Michigan PET Facility as well as the University of Michigan DNA Sequencing Core. The authors also would like thank Drs. Catherine L. Evans, Robert A. Koeppe for their help in the PET imaging, Kathleen B. Welch, the statistician Staff Specialist in the University of Michigan Center for Statistical Consultation and Research, Dr. Vicki L. Ellingrod for replicating the DNA A118G genotyping, Lisa and Victor Chen for their help in analyzing the data.

# References

- Becker A, Grecksch G, Kraus J, Loh H, Schroeder H, Höllt V. Rewarding effects of ethanol and cocaine in μ opioid receptor-deficient mice. Naunyn-Schmiedeberg's Arch Pharmacol. 2002; 365:296–302. [PubMed: 11919654]
- Berrendero F, Kieffer BL, Maldonado R. Attenuation of nicotine-induced antinociception, rewarding effects, and dependence in μ-opioid receptor knock-out mice. The journal of neuroscience. 2002; 22:10935–10940. [PubMed: 12486188]
- Beyer A, Koch T, Schröder H, Schulz S, Höllt V. Effect of the A118G polymorphism on binding affinity, potency and agonist - mediated endocytosis, desensitization, and resensitization of the human mu - opioid receptor. J Neurochem. 2004; 89:553–560. [PubMed: 15086512]
- Bond C, LaForge KS, Tian M, Melia D, Zhang S, Borg L, et al. Single-nucleotide polymorphism in the human mu opioid receptor gene alters β-endorphin binding and activity: possible implications for opiate addiction. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 1998; 95:9608–9613.

- Breese CR, Marks MJ, Logel J, Adams CE, Sullivan B, Collins AC, et al. Effect of Smoking History on [3H]Nicotine Binding in Human Postmortem Brain. J Pharmacol Exp Ther. 1997; 282:7–13. [PubMed: 9223534]
- Colasanti A, Searle GE, Long CJ, Hill SP, Reiley RR, Quelch D, et al. Endogenous Opioid Release in the Human Brain Reward System Induced by Acute Amphetamine Administration. Biol Psychiatry. 2012; 72:371–377. [PubMed: 22386378]
- Cortinez L, Munoz H, De la Fuente R, Acuna D, Dagnino J. Target-controlled infusion of remifentanil or fentanyl during extra-corporeal shock-wave lithotripsy. Eur J Anaesthesiol. 2005; 22:56–61. [PubMed: 15816575]
- Davenport KE, Houdi AA, Van Loon GR. Nicotine protects against μ-opioid receptor antagonism by β-funaltrexamine: Evidence for nicotine-induced release of endogenous opioids in brain. Neurosci Lett. 1990; 113:40–46. [PubMed: 2164174]
- David SP, Munafò MR, Johansen-Berg H, Smith SM, Rogers RD, Matthews PM, et al. Ventral Striatum/Nucleus Accumbens Activation to Smoking-Related Pictorial Cues in Smokers and Nonsmokers: A Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging Study. Biol Psychiatry. 2005; 58:488– 494. [PubMed: 16023086]
- Dhatt RK, Gudehithlu KP, Wemlinger TA, Tejwani GA, Neff NH, Hadjiconstantinou M. Preproenkephalin mRNA and Methionine - Enkephalin Content Are Increased in Mouse Striatum After Treatment with Nicotine. J Neurochem. 1995; 64:1878–1883. [PubMed: 7891117]
- Domino EF, Evans CL, Ni L, Guthrie SK, Koeppe RA, Zubieta J-K. Tobacco smoking produces greater striatal dopamine release in G-allele carriers with mu opioid receptor A118G polymorphism. Prog Neuro-Psychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry. 2012; 38:236–240.
- Faul F, Erdfelder E, Lang A-G, Buchner A. G\* Power 3: A flexible statistical power analysis program for the social, behavioral, and biomedical sciences. Behav Res Methods. 2007; 39:175–191. [PubMed: 17695343]
- Galeote L, Kieffer BL, Maldonado R, Berrendero F. Mu-opioid receptors are involved in the tolerance to nicotine antinociception. J Neurochem. 2006; 97:416–423. [PubMed: 16539669]
- Goodman, LS.; Gilman, A.; Brunton, LL.; Lazo, JS.; Parker, KL. Goodman & Gilman's the pharmacological basis of therapeutics. New York: McGraw-Hill; 2006.
- Isola R, Zhang H, Tejwani GA, Neff NH, Hadjiconstantinou M. Acute nicotine changes dynorphin and prodynorphin mRNA in the striatum. Psychopharmacology. 2009; 201:507–516. [PubMed: 18807250]
- Kanda Y. Investigation of the freely available easy-to-use software EZR for medical statistics. Bone Marrow Transplant. 2013; 48:452–458. [PubMed: 23208313]
- Koob GF, Le Moal M. Drug Addiction, Dysregulation of Reward, and Allostasis. Neuropsychopharmacology. 2001; 24:97–129. [PubMed: 11120394]
- Kreek MJ, Bart G, Lilly C, Laforge KS, Nielsen DA. Pharmacogenetics and Human Molecular Genetics of Opiate and Cocaine Addictions and Their Treatments. Pharmacol Rev. 2005; 57:1–26. [PubMed: 15734726]
- Lerman C, Wileyto E, Patterson F, Rukstalis M, Audrain-McGovern J, Restine S, et al. The functional mu opioid receptor (OPRM1) Asn40Asp variant predicts short-term response to nicotine replacement therapy in a clinical trial. The pharmacogenomics journal. 2004; 4:184–192. [PubMed: 15007373]
- London E, Connolly R, Szikszay M, Wamsley J, Dam M. Effects of nicotine on local cerebral glucose utilization in the rat. The Journal of Neuroscience. 1988; 8:3920–3928. [PubMed: 3193185]
- Mague SD, Isiegas C, Huang P, Liu-Chen L-Y, Lerman C, Blendy JA. Mouse model of OPRM1 (A118G) polymorphism has sex-specific effects on drug-mediated behavior. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 2009; 106:10847–10852.
- Miyazaki T, Wang X, Inui K, Domino EF, Kakigi R. Tobacco smoking can potentiate C-fiber evoked potentials in human brain. Open Pain J. 2009; 2:71–75.
- Miyazaki T, Wang X, Inui K, Domino EF, Kakigi R. The effect of smoking on pain-related evoked potentials. Brain Res. 2010; 1313:185–191. [PubMed: 20025851]
- Naqvi NH, Rudrauf D, Damasio H, Bechara A. Damage to the Insula Disrupts Addiction to Cigarette Smoking. Science. 2007; 315:531–534. [PubMed: 17255515]

- Peng H, Chongguang C, Stephen DM, Julie AB, Lee-Yuan L-C. A common single nucleotide polymorphism A118G of the mu opioid receptor alters its N-glycosylation and protein stability. Biochem J. 2012; 441:379–386. [PubMed: 21864297]
- Ramchandani VA, Umhau J, Pavon FJ, Ruiz-Velasco V, Margas W, Sun H, et al. A genetic determinant of the striatal dopamine response to alcohol in men. Mol Psychiatry. 2011; 16:809–817. [PubMed: 20479755]
- Ray R, Jepson C, Patterson F, Strasser A, Rukstalis M, Perkins K, et al. Association of OPRM1 A118G variant with the relative reinforcing value of nicotine. Psychopharmacology. 2006; 188:355–363. [PubMed: 16960700]
- Ray R, Ruparel K, Newberg A, Wileyto EP, Loughead JW, Divgi C, et al. Human Mu Opioid Receptor (OPRM1 A118G) polymorphism is associated with brain mu-opioid receptor binding potential in smokers. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 2011; 108:9268–9273.
- Schroeder BE, Binzak JM, Kelley AE. A common profile of prefrontal cortical activation following exposure to nicotine- or chocolate-associated contextual cues. Neuroscience. 2001; 105:535–545. [PubMed: 11516821]
- Scott DJ, Domino EF, Heitzeg MM, Koeppe RA, Ni L, Guthrie S, et al. Smoking Modulation of mu Opioid and Dopamine D2 Receptor-Mediated Neurotransmission in Humans. Neuropsychopharmacology. 2007; 32:450–457. [PubMed: 17091130]
- Solá RJ, Griebenow K. Effects of glycosylation on the stability of protein pharmaceuticals. J Pharm Sci. 2009; 98:1223–1245. [PubMed: 18661536]
- Verhagen M, Kleinjan M, Engels RC. A systematic review of the A118G (Asn40Asp) variant of OPRM1 in relation to smoking initiation, nicotine dependence and smoking cessation. Pharmacogenomics. 2012; 13:917–933. [PubMed: 22676196]
- Wager TD, Scott DJ, Zubieta J-K. Placebo effects on human μ-opioid activity during pain. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 2007; 104:11056–11061.
- Walters CL, Cleck JN, Kuo Y-c, Blendy JA. μ-Opioid receptor and CREB activation are required for nicotine reward. Neuron. 2005; 46:933–943. [PubMed: 15953421]
- Wand GS, Weerts EM, Kuwabara H, Frost JJ, Xu X, McCaul ME. Naloxone-induced cortisol predicts mu opioid receptor binding potential in specific brain regions of healthy subjects. Psychoneuroendocrinology. 2011; 36:1453–1459. [PubMed: 21549509]
- Wang YJ, Huang P, Ung A, Blendy JA, Liu-Chen LY. Reduced expression of the mu opioid receptor in some, but not all, brain regions in mice with OPRM1 A112G. Neuroscience. 2012; 205:178– 184. [PubMed: 22240251]
- Zhang L, Kendler KS, Chen X. The μ-opioid receptor gene and smoking initiation and nicotine dependence. Behavioral and brain functions. 2006; 2:28. [PubMed: 16887046]
- Zhang Y, Wang D, Johnson AD, Papp AC, Sadée W. Allelic expression imbalance of human mu opioid receptor (OPRM1) caused by variant A118G. J Biol Chem. 2005; 280:32618–32624. [PubMed: 16046395]
- Zhang Y, Picetti R, Butelman ER, Ho A, Blendy JA, Kreek MJ. Mouse Model of the OPRM1 (A118G) Polymorphism: Differential Heroin Self-Administration Behavior Compared with Wild-Type Mice. Neuropsychopharmacology. 2015

# **Highlights**

- Authors found that average nicotine (avnic) cigarette smoking decreases [<sup>11</sup>C]carfentanil binding potentials (BP<sub>ND</sub>) in several regional brain areas among overnight abstinent male tobacco smokers.
- In the OPRM1 AA allele carriers, avnic cigarettes significantly changed BP<sub>ND</sub> compared to after denicotinized (denic) smoking in the several regional brain regions.
- For the OPRM1 \*G carriers the significant BP<sub>ND</sub> changes were confirmed in only limited brain areas compared to the AA carriers.
- The \*G allele carriers had fewer free brain mu opioid receptor availability than the AA carriers in left amygdala and left nucleus accumbens.

Domino et al.





# B. Decreased release



#### Figure 1.

A: Increased and B: Decreased endogenous mu opioid release (N=20)

Domino et al.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Page 11



А

Domino et al.



B

# Figure 2.

A.OPRM1 A118G effects on increases in endogenous mu opioid release B.OPRM1 A118G effects on decreases in endogenous mu opioid release

			-	releases		the val	luar					
	Im	fc	aml	Pfc	II	SI	Sv	tr	Hip	od	Ð	10
kyz coordinates	-6,5	6,18	2,46	6,44	42, 10	), –12	16, 2.5	6, -9.8	-18, -	-1, -8	10,-8	8,–34
	АА	Ð *	AA	ů *	AA	Ð *	AA	ů *	AA	ů *	AA	9 *
Effect size	1.12	0.46	1.02	1.32	0.75	0.61	0.71	1.60	0.73	1.22	1.03	1.12
Power	0.98	0.12	0.94	0.57	0.75	0.18	0.70	0.73	0.73	0.51	0.95	0.45

B. Power analyses for	denic and av	mic smoking releases witl	g effects on de hin the variar	activation o	f endogenous	mu opioid
	An	ny	Pı	ıt	NA	vcc
xyz coordinates	20, –1	, -22	22,10	),—6	10,1	2,-8
	AA	Đ*	AA	Đ*	AA	Đ*
Effect size	1.13	0.15	0.42	1.53	0.64	0.85
Power	0.98	0.06	0.32	0.69	0.61	0.29

Domino et al.

# Table 2

u opioid release
endogenous m
on increases in
differences o
<b>I1 A118G</b>
or OPRN
. Power analyses f
Ā

	Įm	Pfc	am	Pfc	Ir	IS	SV	tr	Hip	odc	U	Pl
xyz coordinates	-6,5	6,18	2,46	6,44	42, 10	), –12	16, 2.5	6, -9.8	-18, -	-1, -8	10, -8	8,–34
Cigarettes smoked	Denic	Avnic	Denic	Avnic	Denic	Avnic	Denic	Avnic	Denic	Avnic	Denic	Avnic
Effect size	0.08	0.05	0.16	0.02	0.93	0.83	1.04	1.22	0.9	1.03	0.42	0.44
Power	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.05	0.38	0.32	0.46	0.58	0.36	0.45	0.12	0.12
B. Power analyses	for OPR	M1 A118	G differe	nces on d	lecreases	in endog	cenous m	ı opioid ı	release			
		Am	y		Put			NAcc				
xyz coordinates		20, -1,	-22		22,10,-	9		10,12,-8				
Cigarettes smoked	I A	vnic	Denic	Avi	nic	Denic	Avni	c I	Denic			

1.23 0.59

1.53 0.78

0.46

0.91

1.59

1.26 0.61

Effect size Power