

► Additional material is published online only. To view please visit the journal online (http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/ jmedgenet-2016-104320).

¹Department of Otolaryngology, the First Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing, China ²Department of Biotechnology, School of Basic Medical Science, Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing, China

Correspondence to

Professor Xin Cao, Department of Biotechnology, School of Basic Medical Science, Nanjing Medical University, 101 Longmian Road, Nanjing 211166, China; caoxin@njmu.edu.cn

GX and JY contributed equally.

Received 20 September 2016 Revised 5 December 2016 Accepted 22 December 2016 Published Online First 23 January 2017



To cite: Xing G, Yao J, Liu C, *et al. J Med Genet* 2017;**54**:426–430.

SHORT REPORT

GPRASP2, a novel causative gene mutated in an X-linked recessive syndromic hearing loss

Guangqian Xing,¹ Jun Yao,² Chunyu Liu,² Qinjun Wei,² Xuli Qian,² Lingxin Wu,¹ Yajie Lu,² Xin Cao²

ABSTRACT

Background A substantial amount of nuclear genes have been identified to be implicated in genetic hearing loss, while X-linked hearing loss is genetically heterogeneous and relatively infrequent.

Objective To identify the causative gene mutation in a five-generation Chinese family with an X-linked recessive syndromic hearing loss (SHL).

Methods Targeted X-chromosome exome sequencing was conducted, and cosegregation analysis was performed in the members of the affected family. The in silico and expression studies were also performed. **Results** A 2-bp missense mutation

(c.1717_1718GC>AA, p.A573N) in the G proteincoupled receptor associated sorting protein 2 (*GPRASP2*) gene was identified in four hemizygous male patients and two heterozygous female carriers, which was cosegregated with the clinical phenotypes in this family. In silico analysis supported that this gene mutation is functionally deleterious, and it was detected that homologous *Gprasp2* was highly expressed in multiple structures of the mouse cochlea, which suggested that *GPRASP2* might be the genetic cause for the described disease phenotypes.

Conclusions This study presented a novel X-linked SHL combined with unique and unrecognised clinical features, and a missense variation of *GPRASP2* was first identified to be implicated in X-linked SHL.

INTRODUCTION

Hereditary hearing loss accounts for at least half of cases with congenital or prelingual-onset hearing loss, which is associated with genetic mutations in autosomal dominant, autosomal recessive, maternal and X-linked inheritance patterns.¹⁻⁴ An impressive amount of nuclear genes have been implicated in genetic hearing loss, while X-linked hearing loss is genetically heterogeneous and relatively infrequent, accounting for 1%-5% hereditary hearing loss.⁴ To date, only four X-linked genes have been described for non-syndromic hearing loss (NSHL): POU3F4 (OMIM 300039),⁵ PRPS1 (OMIM 311850),⁶ SMPX (OMIM 300226)7 8 and COL4A6 (OMIM 303631).9 Hearing loss could also be one of the clinical features in 11 human genetic syndromes (http://hereditaryhearingloss.org/) and some mitochondrial diseases.¹⁰ Those syndromes are commonly caused by mutations in a single gene or multiple genes. Among these causative gene mutations for syndromic hearing loss (SHL), only one X-linked gene, COL4A5 (OMIM 301050), was

identified to be implicated in SHL as one of the symptoms of Alport syndrome (OMIM 301050).¹¹

Here we describe a Chinese family with a unique and previously unrecognised combination of clinical features, including ear anomalies, congenital conductive or mixed hearing loss and facial dysmorphism with bilateral ptosis. All affected familiar members were male, whereas the female subjects are phenotypically normal, which highly suggested the X-linked recessive inheritance of this affected family. Based on mentioned above, targeted X-chromosome exome sequencing (XES) was conducted to identify the underlying genetic defect. Via cosegregation analysis of the affected family, we identified a novel candidate X-linked SHL-related gene, encoding G protein-coupled receptor associated sorting protein 2 (GPRASP2. NC 000023.11, NM 001184874.2, Xq22.1). In silico and expression studies also strongly supported the implication of GPRASP2 in hearing function.

MATERIALS AND METHODS Subjects and clinical investigation

A general overview of the family's pedigree is presented in figure 1A. The pure tone audiograms test showed that the proband (V-4) exhibited bilateral symmetric and conductive hearing loss (see online supplementary figure S1 and table S1), while the parents (IV-11, IV-12) both presented normal hearing. A detailed medical history, including age at onset, evolution of hearing loss, presence of tinnitus, pathologic changes in the ear (or other relevant clinical manifestations), medication, noise exposure and family history, was interviewed in the family. A comprehensive examination of this family was conducted, including clinical examinations, audiological tests, intelligence evaluation, development evaluation, high-resolution CT scan of the temporal bone and laboratory tests. Three hundred normal hearing individuals were also included as ethnic-matched controls. This study was approved by the ethics committee of Nanjing Medical University, Jiangsu province, and informed consents were obtained from the participants.

Targeted X-chromosome capture and exome sequencing

Two affected individuals (III-13, IV-9) and one normal hearing family member (III-4) were included in targeted XES. A minimum of $3 \mu g$ DNA was used to make the indexed Illumina libraries according to the manufacturer's protocol. The







Figure 1 Clinical features and mutational analysis of the affected family with X-linked syndromic hearing loss (SHL). (A) Pedigree of affected family with X-linked SHL. Filled symbols for males (squares) and females (circles) represent patients, and empty, unaffected ones. An arrow denotes the proband (V-4). (B) Frontal and lateral views of affected family members (V-4, IV-9, III-6 and III-13). (C) CT scans of the temporal bone in selected patients. III-6: showing bulbous dilatation of internal auditory canal (IAC) with incomplete separation of cochlea basal turn from the fundus of IAC; III-13: showing narrow (right ear) and atresic (left ear) auditory canals; V-4: displaying normal inner ear. (D) Mutational analysis in the family members. In available 14 family members, there were 4 patients and 2 carriers (high lightened in red), and the mutation was not found in the remaining 8 family members with normal phenotypes.

final library size 300–400 bp including adapter sequences was finally selected. The whole exon regions of the X-chromosome were enriched using the target enrichment kit (MyGenostics, Beijing, China). The enrichment libraries were sequenced on Illumina HiSeq 2000 sequencer (Illumina, San Diego, California, USA) with 100 bp paired-end reads, and it was ensured that each sample was covered to an average sequencing depth of at least 80-fold.

Illumina clean reads were aligned to human reference genome using the Burrows-Wheeler Aligner (BWA) programme and quality scores were recalibrated and realigned to reference using the GATK software package. We focused on non-synonymous coding substitutions and frameshift mutations on X-chromosome. The identified variant should be shared by the three included individuals and cosegregated with the syndromic phenotypes in these three subjects. The possible pathogenic variant was evaluated according to the evolutionary conservation and change of conserved amino acid.

Mutation validation and in silico analysis

PCR primers flanking the *GPRASP2* exons and exon-intron boundaries are presented in online supplementary table S2. The whole exons of *GPRASP2* from all the available family members and 300 ethic-matched controls were subjected to the mutational analysis by direct PCR and Sanger sequencing.

The conservation analysis of residues in a multiple sequence alignment was conducted using Clustal X software. The threedimensional structure of GPRASP2 was modelled based on the lowest energy using Robetta programme (http://robetta.bakerlab. org/). The structure of mutant protein was built by the Swiss-Model tool (http://swissmodel.expasy.org/) which generates modelling for the target protein based on a sequence alignment between the target protein and a suitable template structure.

Gprasp2 expression analysis in mice

Total RNA of eight tissues (the cochlea, liver, kidney, muscle, lung, spleen, heart and brain) was obtained from a 12-week mouse (C57BL/6). The primers used for the amplification of *Gprasp2* cDNA (NM_001163015.1) were provided in online supplementary table S3. The reverse transcription was performed according to the manufacturer's protocol (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, California, USA), and the product cDNA was used to determine the mRNA expression levels by reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT- PCR) (Applied Biosystems, Step One Plus, USA).

The immunohistochemical study on the mouse cochleae was performed as mentioned in our previous work.¹² Briefly, rabbit anti-Gprasp2 antibody (Proteintech, USA) was used as the primary antibody (1:80), and horseradish peroxidase (HRP) conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG (Santa Cruz, USA) was used as the secondary antibody (1:100).

RESULTS

Clinical assessment

The pedigree of the family includes 60 members spanning five generations (figure 1A). All six affected individuals were male; four were alive and diagnosed with congenital, hereditary hearing loss by audiological evaluation or collected information of medical history. Detailed clinical features in these subjects are summarised in online supplementary table S1 and figure S1. In otological examination, abnormal auricular shapes including bat ear (III-13), posteriorly rotated small ear (IV-9), incomplete antihelix (IV-9, V-4), antitragus formation (IV-9, V-4) and

bilateral ptosis (III-13, IV-9 and V-4) were found in affected cases (figure 1B). In addition, the imaging study of the temporal bone demonstrated that those patients who exhibited bilateral mixed hearing loss had also a similar inner ear malformation: abnormally dilated internal auditory canal (IAC) with or without incomplete separation of cochlea basal turn from the fundus of IAC (figure 1C).

XES data and mutation validation

An average of 492.06 Mb of raw data was generated with at least 100X average coverage for each individual as paired-end 100 bp reads (see online supplementary figures S2, S3 and table S4). We identified an average of 372 SNPs (synonymous and non-synonymous) in coding regions (see online supplementary table S5). A total of eight variants were screened after comparison with SNP and indels databases (see online supplementary tables S6 and S7). Among them, five variants (including three non-synonymous SNPs and two indels) were predicted to potentially have a functional impact on the genes (see online supplementary tables S8–S10). In addition, it strongly indicated that a 2-bp missense mutation of c.1717-1718GC>AA in exon 5 of *GPRASP2* could be the most likely candidate pathogenic variant, which was confirmed by cosegregation validation via Sanger sequencing subjected to all candidate variants.

The cosegregation analysis showed that all the normal hearing family members are either unaffected (eight individuals) or c.1717-1718GC>AA heterozygous carriers (III-4, IV-11), while a hemizygous mutation was detected in III-6, III-13, IV-9 and V-4 in this pedigree (figure 1D). The patient IV-9 and V-4 carried a hemizygous mutation of c.1717-1718GC>AA, which was inherited from the heterozygous mothers of III-4 and IV-11, respectively, who had normal hearing. Additionally, it was noteworthy that a highly skewed X-chromosome inactivation was detected in III-4 and IV-11 (see online supplementary (X-inactivation files)). Thus, the mutation of c.1717-1718GC>AA in GPRASP2 was completely cosegregated with the X-linked recessive hearing loss phenotype of this family. Thereafter, we sequenced the entire coding region and exon-intron boundaries in GPRASP2, and no other mutations in GPRASP2 were identified in any available family members. No mutation of c.1717-1718GC>AA in GPRASP2 was detected in 300 ethnicity-matched controls.

In silico and expression analysis

The mutation of c.1717-1718GC>AA in *GPRASP2* caused a change of amino acid corresponding to codon 573 (p.A573N), which is highly conserved in different species by Clustal X Software (figure 2A), and the structural modelling revealed that the p.A573N mutation could result in the steric hindrance and polarity alternation of side chain, and lead to the structural change of protein (figure 2B, C), which might impair the function of GPRASP2.

The *Gprasp2* gene was strongly expressed in the mouse brain and cochlea, posteriorly in lung and muscle tissues (figure 2D), which was consistent with the previous studies.¹³ The immunohistochemical study on the mouse cochlea was performed to localise Gprasp2 in multiple structures of the mouse cochlea. Intensive staining for Gprasp2, which densely packed cytoplasmic structures of mostly positive cells, was detected in the spiral ganglion, stria vascularis, spiral ligament, inner hair cells and outer hair cells (figure 2E, F).



Figure 2 Expression and in silico analysis of GPRASP2/Gprasp2. (A) Multiple alignment of GPRASP2 amino acid sequences in different species. (B) Structure modelling of normal GPRASP2. (C) Structure modelling of mutated GPRASP2 of p.A573N (the structure changes were emphasised by yellow circle). (D) RT-qPCR analysis of the *Gprasp2* expression in mouse tissues. (E and F) Immunohistochemical localisation of Gprasp2 in the mouse cochlea (The stained slides were observed under the Leica upright microscope (Leica DM 4000B, Germany). (E) Low magnification (10×): Gprasp2 was strongly expressed in the spiral ganglion (SG), stria vascularis (SV), inner hair cells and outer hair cells. Gprasp2 was also detected in the spiral ligament. (F) High magnification (40×): SG and SV showed intensively staining of some positive cells).

DISCUSSION

In this study, a novel SHL-associated candidate gene, *GPRASP2*, was identified in a Chinese family with X-linked SHL using XES. The mutation of c.1717_1718GC>AA (p.A573N) in exon 5 of *GPRASP2* was identified to be completely cosegregated with the clinical phenotype of hearing loss in this family and absent in the controls. In silico and expression analysis strongly suggested that the mutation of *GPRASP2* could be functionally deleterious and might lead to the pathogenicity, and thereby the disease phenotype. To our knowledge, since the X-linked *COL4A5* gene was identified to be implicated in SHL as one of the symptoms of Alport syndrome,¹¹ the *GPRASP2* gene could be the second X-linked gene associated with SHL.

GPRASP2, also known as *GASP2*, consists of a single coding exon (exon 5) and encodes an 838 amino acid residue protein which is a member of the G protein-coupled receptor associated sorting proteins (GASPs) family.^{14 15} The GASP family including GASP1–GASP10 plays a role in G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) sorting. All the GASP family members display sequence similarities in their carboxyl-terminal domains of 250 amino acids residues, and GASP1–GASP5 contain a repeated motif of 15 amino acids outside this domain.¹⁵ It was noteworthy that the p.A573N mutation was just located in the carboxyl-terminal domain, which indicated that the carboxylterminal domain could play an important role in GPRASP2 functioning, and the mutation in the location could have a functional impact on the gene.

Tissue distribution of ESTs indicates that GPRASP2, like most of other GASP family members, is predominantly expressed in the central nervous system.¹⁴ ¹⁵ Additionally, strong expression of the *Gprasp2* gene was detected in the cochlea of 9-week mouse using a microarray assay (http://research.meei.harvard.

edu/Otopathology/tbimages/mouse.html), which was consistent with the results in our study. Cytological and subcellular study showed that GPRASP2 is found to be expressed in the cytoplasm and cell membranes of undifferentiated cells as well as in newly formed neurite-like extensions of retinoic acid-induced differentiated cells.¹³ In vitro binding studies demonstrated that GPRASP2 had the potential to interact with the carboxylterminal tail of several GPCRs including β-1 and β-2 adrenergic receptors,¹⁶ growth and differentiation factor-11,¹⁷ calcitonin and dopamine D2 receptors,¹⁸ indicating that the GPRASP might serve as general adaptors important for GPCRs' turnover. These interactional proteins are involved in a wide variety of processes including carcinogenesis, control of cellular ageing and survival, regulation of circadian rhythm and lysosomal sorting of GPCRs. Horn et al^{19} investigated the interaction between huntingtin and GPRASP2, and suggested that huntingtin protein might affect the receptor trafficking via the interaction with GPRASP2 which could cause the Huntington's disease. However, no functional assessments of GPRASP2 variants producing the X-linked syndromes and deafness phenotype were previously reported until it was identified in our work. It was presumed that the p.A573N mutation might affect its interactions with GPCRs, impair the function of GPCRs which could transduce diverse extracellular signals to intracellular effectors modulating cell function,²⁰ lead to the pathogenicity and present SHL phenotypes.

In summary, we reported *GPRASP2* as a novel gene mutated in an X-linked SHL. Although this SHL-causative mutation is now only detected in a Chinese family case, our study had strongly suggested that the *GPRASP2* might play a significant role in the hearing regulation and occurrence of this novel X-linked syndrome. However, it is still necessary to be validated in other familiar or sporadic cases, and the function of *GPRASP2* gene as well as the GPRASP2–proteins interactions will be investigated to illuminate the pathogenic mechanisms involved in this novel X-linked SHL in our future work.

Correction notice This article has been corrected since it published Online First. Non-syndromic hearing loss was incorrectly abbreviated to SHL, and SHL was not expanded in the first instance. Both these instances have been updated.

Acknowledgements The authors would like to thank the family members for their invaluable cooperation and participation. The authors thank MyGenostics Co. (Beijing) for targeted XES. The authors are grateful to Dr Kui Meng for helping the immunohistochemical analysis.

Contributors The first two authors (GX and JY) contributed equally to this work. GX, JY, QW, XQ and LW: performed the experiments. CL, XQ and YL: contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools. GX and JY: wrote the paper. XC: conception and design, revised the article.

Funding This research was supported by the grants from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (31171217, 31571302) and the Key Research and Development Program of Jiangsu Province (Social Development: BE2016762) to XC; the grants from Jiangsu Health Administration of China (LJ201120) and the Research Special Fund for Public Welfare Industry of Health, Ministry of Health of China (201202005) to GX.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent Obtained.

Ethics approval The Ethics Committee of Nanjing Medical University.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Open Access This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/

REFERENCES

- Bademci G, Foster J II, Mahdieh N, Bonyadi M, Duman D, Cengiz FB, Menendez I, Diaz-Horta O, Shirkavand A, Zeinali S, Subasioglu A, Tokgoz-Yilmaz S, Huesca-Hernandez F, de la Luz Arenas-Sordo M, Dominguez-Aburto J, Hernandez-Zamora E, Montenegro P, Paredes R, Moreta G, Vinueza R, Villegas F, Mendoza-Benitez S, Guo S, Bozan N, Tos T, Incesulu A, Sennaroglu G, Blanton SH, Ozturkmen-Akay H, Yildirim-Baylan M, Tekin M. Comprehensive analysis via exome sequencing uncovers genetic etiology in autosomal recessive nonsyndromic deafness in a large multiethnic cohort. *Genet Med* 2016;18:364–71.
- 2 Bayazit YA, Yilmaz M. An overview of hereditary hearing loss. ORL J Otorhinolaryngol Relat Spec 2006;68:57–63.
- 3 Egilmez OK, Kalcioglu MT. Genetics of nonsyndromic congenital hearing loss. Scientifica (Cairo) 2016;2016:7576064.
- 4 Petersen MB, Wang Q, Willems PJ. Sex-linked deafness. *Clin Genet* 2008;73:14–23.
- 5 de Kok YJ, van der Maarel SM, Bitner-Glindzicz M, Huber I, Monaco AP, Malcolm S, Pembrey ME, Ropers HH, Cremers FP. Association between X-linked mixed

deafness and mutations in the POU domain gene POU3F4. Science 1995;267:685–8.

- 6 Liu X, Han D, Li J, Han B, Ouyang X, Cheng J, Li X, Jin Z, Wang Y, Bitner-Glindzicz M, Kong X, Xu H, Kantardzhieva A, Eavey RD, Seidman CE, Seidman JG, Du LL, Chen ZY, Dai P, Teng M, Yan D, Yuan H. Loss-of-function mutations in the *PRPS1* gene cause a type of nonsyndromic X-linked sensorineural deafness, DFN2. *Am J Hum Genet* 2010;86:65–71.
- 7 Huebner AK, Gandia M, Frommolt P, Maak A, Wicklein EM, Thiele H, Altmüller J, Wagner F, Viñuela A, Aguirre LA, Moreno F, Maier H, Rau I, Giesselmann S, Nürnberg G, Gal A, Nürnberg P, Hübner CA, del Castillo I, Kurth I. Nonsense mutations in *SMPX*, encoding a protein responsive to physical force, result in X-chromosomal hearing loss. *Am J Hum Genet* 2011;88:621–7.
- 8 Schraders M, Haas SA, Weegerink NJ, Oostrik J, Hu H, Hoefsloot LH, Kannan S, Huygen PL, Pennings RJ, Admiraal RJ, Kalscheuer VM, Kunst HP, Kremer H. Next-generation sequencing identifies mutations of *SMPX*, which encodes the small muscle protein, X-linked, as a cause of progressive hearing impairment. *Am J Hum Genet* 2011;88:628–34.
- 9 Rost S, Bach E, Neuner C, Nanda I, Dysek S, Bittner RE, Keller A, Bartsch O, Mlynski R, Haaf T, Müller CR, Kunstmann E. Novel form of X-linked nonsyndromic hearing loss with cochlear malformation caused by a mutation in the type IV collagen gene *COL4A6. Eur J Hum Genet* 2014;22:208–15.
- Kokotas H, Petersen MB, Willems PJ. Mitochondrial deafness. *Clin Genet* 2007;71:379–91.
- 11 Barker DF, Hostikka SL, Zhou J, Chow LT, Oliphant AR, Gerken SC, Gregory MC, Skolnick MH, Atkin CL, Tryggvason K. Identification of mutations in the *COL4A5* collagen gene in Alport syndrome. *Science* 1990;248:1224–7.
- 12 Xing G, Yao J, Wu B, Liu T, Wei Q, Liu C, Lu Y, Chen Z, Zheng H, Yang X, Cao X. Identification of *OSBPL2* as a novel candidate gene for progressive nonsyndromic hearing loss by whole-exome sequencing. *Genet Med* 2015;17:210–18.
- 13 Beausoleil SA, Jedrychowski M, Schwartz D, Elias JE, Villén J, Li J, Cohn MA, Cantley LC, Gygi SP. Large-scale characterization of HeLa cell nuclear phosphoproteins. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2004;101:12130–5.
- 14 Abu-Helo A, Simonin F. Identification and biological significance of G protein-coupled receptor associated sorting proteins (GASPs). *Pharmacol Ther* 2010;126:244–50.
- 15 Bornert O, Møller TC, Boeuf J, Candusso MP, Wagner R, Martinez KL, Simonin F. Identification of a novel protein-protein interaction motif mediating interaction of GPCR-associated sorting proteins with G protein-coupled receptors. *PLoS ONE* 2013;8:e56336.
- 16 Heydorn A, Søndergaard BP, Ersbøll B, Holst B, Nielsen FC, Haft CR, Whistler J, Schwartz TW. A library of 7TM receptor C-terminal tails. Interactions with the proposed post-endocytic sorting proteins ERM-binding phosphoprotein 50 (EBP50), N-ethylmaleimide-sensitive factor (NSF), sorting nexin 1 (SNX1), and G protein-coupled receptor-associated sorting protein (GASP). J Biol Chem 2004;279:54291–303.
- 17 Lee YS, Lee SJ. Regulation of GDF-11 and myostatin activity by GASP-1 and GASP-2. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2013;110:E3713–22.
- 18 Bartlett SE, Enquist J, Hopf FW, Lee JH, Gladher F, Kharazia V, Waldhoer M, Mailliard WS, Armstrong R, Bonci A, Whistler JL. Dopamine responsiveness is regulated by targeted sorting of D2 receptors. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2005;102:11521–6.
- 19 Horn SC, Lalowski M, Goehler H, Dröge A, Wanker EE, Stelzl U. Huntingtin interacts with the receptor sorting family protein GASP2. J Neural Transm (Vienna) 2006;113:1081–90.
- 20 Shukla AK. G Protein-Coupled Receptors (GPCRs). Int J Biochem Cell Biol 2016;77:183.