Differences Defined by Bone Marrow Transplantation Suggest that *lpr* and *gld* Are Mutations of Genes Encoding an Interacting Pair of Molecules

By Ruth D. Allen, Jan D. Marshall, John B. Roths, and Charles L. Sidman

From the Jackson Laboratory, Bar Harbor, Maine 04609

Summary

Homozygosity for either of the lymphoproliferation (lpr) or generalized lymphoproliferative disease (gld) mutations of mice causes the development of systemic lupus erythematosus-like autoimmune syndromes that are characterized by severe lymphadenopathy and highly elevated serum immunoglobulin levels. Although the mutations are nonallelic, analysis of homozygous lpr/lpr and gld/gld mice on the same strain background has indicated that the pathology and severity of the autoimmune syndromes induced by these mutations are indistinguishable. To explain this, it has previously been suggested that lpr and gld may represent mutations in molecules involved in sequential steps of an intracellular metabolic pathway of T cells. We have now investigated the behavior of both lpr and gld in a variety of bone marrow chimeras and have found that functional differences between lpr and gld become apparent after bone marrow transfer. Transfer of lpr/lpr bone marrow to irradiated congenic +/+ recipients caused the development of a graft-vs.-host-like lymphoid wasting syndrome, whereas transfer of gld/gld bone marrow to +/+ recipients resulted in development of a gld-like autoimmune syndrome. Additionally, gld/gld hosts behaved like + /+ hosts irrespective of the genotype of the donor bone marrow, whereas lpr/lpr hosts behaved unlike +/+ hosts when reconstituted with either lpr/lpr, gld/gld, or +/+ bone marrow. These are the first clear differences between these two mutations yet described. Our studies indicate that the molecule altered by the *gld* mutation is expressed only by bone marrow-derived cells, whereas the molecule altered by the lpr mutation is expressed by both bone marrow-derived cells and by one or more peripheral radioresistant cell populations. To reconcile these differences with the fact that homozygous lpr/lpr and gld/gld mice are indistinguishable, we suggest an alternative model for the relationship between the lpr and gld mutations in which the two molecules affected represent an interacting ligand-receptor pair expressed by different cells.

H omozygosity for the recessive mutation lymphoproliferation (lpr) causes an SLE-like autoimmune syndrome in mice characterized by high serum Ig levels, development of autoantibodies and proliferation of a $CD4^-CD8^-$ T cell population (1-4). This mutation first arose during derivation of the MRL/MpJ (MRL) strain and was subsequently transferred by repeated backcrossing to a number of other inbred strain backgrounds including C3H/HeJ (C3H). Although the fundamental phenotype described above develops in lpr/lpr mice of all strains, there are differences in the severity and associated pathology of the lpr-induced autoimmune syndrome in different strains (3). This suggests that "background" genes can modify phenotypic characteristics of the lpr-induced autoimmune syndrome.

Another recessive mutation, designated generalized lymphoproliferative disease (gld) occurred in the C3H strain and produces phenotypic features very similar to those induced by lpr (3-7). It has been reported that the autoimmune syndromes induced by these two genes differ significantly (8). However, this conclusion was drawn by comparison of homozygous lpr/lpr and gld/gld mice of different strain backgrounds. When studied on the same strain background (e.g., C3H-gld/gld vs. C3H-lpr/lpr), no differences in longevity, degree or rate of lymphoproliferation, serum Ig levels, anti-DNA autoantibody levels, or phenotype of the abnormally expanded T cell population have been found (Sidman et al., unpublished data; see also references 7 and 9). Thus, it is likely that the previously noted differences in the effects of these two mutations were due to differences in modifying "background" genes and not to differences between the lpr and gld mutations.

The gld mutation has been mapped to chromosome 1 (5), while the location of the *lpr* mutation has not yet been determined. However, it has been demonstrated that gld and *lpr* are nonallelic (5). These two mutations therefore identify distinct loci but result in indistinguishable autoimmune syndromes. In view of this, it has been suggested that lpr and gld are mutations in sequential enzymes in an intracellular metabolic pathway of T cells (6).

Bone marrow from homozygous lpr/lpr mice demonstrates unusual behavior upon transfer to irradiated congenic +/+recipients. Rather than recreating an lpr-like autoimmune syndrome in +/+ hosts, lpr/lpr bone marrow induces a graftvs.-host (GVH)-like syndrome (10, 11) consisting of an early inflammatory response with transient splenomegaly and lymphadenopathy (12) followed by progressive lymphoid atrophy (13). This GVH-like syndrome in $lpr/lpr \rightarrow +/+$ bone marrow chimeras appears to be due to a functional defect associated with the lpr mutation rather than to an antigenic difference between congenic lpr/lpr and +/+ mice (12).

In the current report, we compare the behavior of cells from homozygous gld/gld and lpr/lpr mice in a series of bone marrow transfer experiments. The results obtained suggest that these two mutations are expressed by different cell compartments and thus argue against the previous hypothesis that these mutations affect sequential steps of a single intracellular metabolic pathway. We therefore propose an alternative model for the relationship between the lpr and gld mutations, in which they affect an interacting pair of molecules.

Materials and Methods

Mice. All experiments described were performed using mice of the C3H/HeJ (C3H) genetic background. Essential observations (absence of early splenomegaly in $gld/gld \rightarrow +/+$ chimeras, development of lymphadenopathy rather than lymphoid wasting in $gld/gld \rightarrow +/+$ chimeras, and development of a lymphoid wasting syndrome in $lpr/lpr \rightarrow gld/gld$ chimeras) were confirmed using mice of the C57BL/6J genetic background in order to verify that the observed differences in the behavior of the lpr and gld mutations were not due to strain-specific effects of background genes.

The lpr mutation arose during derivation of the MRL/MpJ strain and was subsequently transferred onto the C3H background by 10 cycles of cross-intercross matings (1-3). The gld mutation initially arose in the C3H strain (5). Donor and recipient mice in all bone marrow transfer experiments were 4-11 wk of age at transfer. Bone marrow chimeras are indicated as donor genotype \rightarrow host genotype. Although lpr and gld represent mutations at separate loci, by convention, only altered loci are indicated in chimera designations (e.g., gld/gld \rightarrow lpr/lpr is actually gld/gld, +/+ \rightarrow +/+, lpr/lpr).

Bone Marrow Transfer. Bone marrow cells were prepared by flushing the femurs and tibias of donor mice with Earle's Balanced Salt Solution (Gibco Laboratories, Grand Island, NY) supplemented with 2% FCS (Biofluids Inc., Rockville, MD) (EBSS/2% FCS). Cells were harvested by centrifugation at 200 g for 10 min at 4°C, washed twice in EBSS/2% FCS under the same conditions, and then resuspended in 0.9% NaCl to a concentration of 2×10^7 viable cells/ml. Recipient mice received 1,000 rad of irradiation from a ¹³⁷Cs source at a rate of 186–190 rad/min, and then received 5 $\times 10^6$ donor marrow cells via the tail vein.

Necropsy of Mice. Upon necropsy, the spleen, as well as the left and right axillary, brachial, and inguinal lymph nodes, were dissected free of adjacent tissue and weighed. The term "lymph node weight" used throughout Results refers to the combined weight of these six lymph nodes. Determination of Immunoglobulin Levels by ELISA. All Ig levels were determined by means of an ELISA method, as previously described (14).

Results

Differences between Bone Marrow Cells from lpr/lpr and gld/gld Mice

We have previously demonstrated that transfer of lpr/lprbone marrow to irradiated congenic +/+ recipients results in an early phase of lymphadenopathy and splenomegaly that begins at ~ 3 wk, peaks at 5 wk, and declines by 9 wk posttransfer (12). This phase of splenomegaly and lymphadenopathy is accompanied by a sharp rise in serum IgG1 and (to a lesser extent) IgG2 levels, which also peak at ~ 5 wk and return to normal by 9 wk post-transfer.

To determine whether the gld mutation causes the same effects after marrow transfer as the lpr mutation, we analyzed C3H + /+ mice reconstituted with congenic C3H + /+, -lpr/lpr, or -gld/gld bone marrow. Although transfer of lpr/lpr bone marrow to +/+ recipients resulted in significant splenomegaly by 40 d post-transfer, gld/gld \rightarrow + /+ chimeras demonstrated no comparable increase in spleen weight at this time (Table 1, groups 2a vs. 3a). This indicates a functional difference between lpr/lpr and gld/gld bone marrow.

We also compared the effects of lpr/lpr and gld/gld bone marrow cells on serum Ig levels in congenic + /+ recipient mice. Although lpr/lpr bone marrow caused a significant increase in serum IgG1 and IgG2b in congenic + /+ recipients by 5 wk post-transfer (as expected from previous results [12]), gld/gld bone marrow did not induce an early rise in IgG levels (Table 2). We have previously demonstrated that the early increase in serum IgG1 levels also occurs in $lpr/lpr \rightarrow lpr/lpr$ chimeras and is thus a functional effect of the lpr mutation per se, rather than of the interaction of lpr/lpr bone marrow cells with the +/+ host environment (12). The inability of gld/gld bone marrow to induce the transient IgG response therefore represents anther functional difference between the lpr and gld mutations.

Differences between the lpr/lpr and gld/gld Host Environments

Recipients of lpr/lpr Bone Marrow Cells. Although $lpr/lpr \rightarrow lpr/lpr$ chimeras did not demonstrate significant early splenomegaly (Table 1, group 2b vs. 1b), $lpr/lpr \rightarrow gld/gld$ chimeras did demonstrate this phenomenon (Table 1, group 2c vs. 1c). Histological analysis indicated that the splenomegaly occurring in $lpr/lpr \rightarrow gld/gld$ chimeras was due to the same type of inflammatory response as was observed in $lpr/lpr \rightarrow +/+$ chimeras (not shown). This indicates that donor cells of the lpr/lpr genotype recognize the gld/gld host environment as +/+-like rather than as lpr/lpr-like, and thus, that the gld/gld and lpr/lpr host environments differ in some functional parameter.

To further investigate this difference between the *lpr/lpr* and *gld/gld* host environments, we assessed the long-term development of chimeras constructed from all nine possible donor

Chimera	n	Spleen weight	Lymph node weight*				
		mg	mg				
1. $+/+$ Donor							
a. +/+ → +/+	6	93.7 ± 7.7	20.8 ± 1.9				
b. +/+ → <i>lpr/lpr</i>	7	109 ± 5	22.8 ± 2.0				
c. + / + → gld/gld	8	103 ± 5	22.4 ± 1.1				
2. lpr/lpr Donor							
a. $lpr/lpr \rightarrow +/+$	7	153 ± 16	27.4 ± 2.9†				
b. $lpr/lpr \rightarrow lpr/lpr$	7	112 ± 5	25.6 ± 2.3				
c. lpr/lpr → gld/gld	8	$164 \pm 16^{++}$	$31.2 \pm 3.2^{++}$				
3. gld/gld Donor							
a. $gld/gld \rightarrow +/+$	7	83.6 ± 3.5	17.9 ± 0.8				
b. gld/gld → lpr/lpr	7	91.1 ± 21.3	25.7 ± 2.6				
c. gld/gld → gld/gld	8	114 ± 8	23.9 ± 3.1				

Table 1. Lymphoid Organ Weights in Chimeras 5-6 wk after Transfer

Statistically significant ([+] $p \le 0.05$; [++] $p \le 0.01$ by Mann-Whitney analysis) increases in comparison with +/+ donor \rightarrow same recipient group. * Lymph node weight refers to the combined weights of the left and right axillary, brachial, and inguinal lymph nodes. Results are expressed to three significant figures and indicated as mean \pm SE.

 \rightarrow host combinations of the *lpr/lpr*, *gld/gld*, and +/+ genotypes. Sets of the nine groups of chimeras were necropsied at 5 and 12 mo post-transfer and assessed for the degree of lymph node and spleen enlargement or atrophy. As described below, significant differences emerged in the behavior of all marrow types in the *lpr/lpr* vs. the *gld/gld* host environments.

Confirming earlier studies (10–12), $lpr/lpr \rightarrow +/+$ chimeras (Table 3, group 2a) developed a lymphoid wasting syndrome characterized by decreased spleen weights and atrophic lymph nodes. Additionally, $lpr/lpr \rightarrow gld/gld$ chimeras (Table 3, group 2c) developed a similar lymphoid wasting syndrome, consistent with the occurrence of early splenomegaly in these mice (Table 1). However, the $lpr/lpr \rightarrow lpr/lpr$ chimeras (Table 3, group 2b) developed lymphadenopathy and splenomegaly typical of homozygous lpr/lpr mice.

Analysis of Ig levels at 5 mo post-transfer supported these findings. As shown in Table 4, Ig levels in $lpr/lpr \rightarrow +/+$ (group 2a) and $lpr/lpr \rightarrow gld/gld$ (group 2c) chimeras showed little elevation, with several isotypes being significantly depressed rather than increased. This was presumably because of the development of the lymphoid wasting syndrome in these mice. However, Ig levels in $lpr/lpr \rightarrow lpr/lpr$ chimeras (group 2b) were highly elevated.

In a separate experiment, we performed a kinetic analysis

Isotype	+/+ -> +/+	$lpr/lpr \rightarrow +/+$	gld/gld → +/+
	µg/ml	µg/ml	μg/ml
IgM	$680 \pm 40^*$	750 ± 90	640 ± 80
IgG1	240 ± 70	590 ± 10†	170 ± 10
IgG2a	190 ± 60	410 ± 90	190 ± 80
IgG2b	130 ± 20	$300 \pm 60 \dagger$	130 ± 20
IgG3	270 ± 80	900 ± 540	270 ± 20
IgA	310 ± 50	500 ± 130	380 ± 140
Total‡	$1,800 \pm 140$	3,500 ± 850†	$1,800 \pm 100$

 Table 2.
 Serum Ig Levels in Chimeras 5-6 wk after Transfer

(*) Statistically significant ($p \le 0.05$ by Mann-Whitney analysis) increases in comparison with $+/+ \rightarrow +/+$ group.

* Results are expressed to two significant figures and indicated as mean ± SE (three mice per group).

[‡] Total refers to the sum of the six Ig classes measured.

	Spleen	weight	Lymph node weight					
Chimera	5 mo	12 mo	5 mo	12 mo				
		mg		mg				
1. +/+ Donor		-		0				
a. +/+ → +/+	$77.6 \pm 6.8 (4)^*$	88.0 ± 24.0 (3)	$17.8 \pm 0.4 (4)$	$12.0 \pm 3.8 (3)$				
b. + / + → <i>lpr/lpr</i>	144 ± 16 (3) ⁴	$132 \pm 23 (6)$	$27.4 \pm 1.6 (3)^{\circ}$	21.9 ± 3.1 (6)				
c. + / + → gld/gld	85.7 ± 4.7 (9)	80.0 ± 2.0 (2)	$18.5 \pm 1.0 (9)$	$12.2 \pm 3.1 (2)$				
2. lpr/lpr Donor								
a. lpr/lpr → + / +	57.9 ± 8.1 (6)	$45.3 \pm 6.4 (5)$	Nodes atrophic	Nodes atrophic				
b. lpr/lpr → lpr/lpr	195 ± 3 (3)??†	397 ± 151 (6)↑↑↑↑	231 ± 34 (3)†	$150 \pm 43 (6)^{++}$				
c. lpr/lpr → gld/gld	53.2 ± 3.8 (8)+++	43.1 ± 10.5 (3)↓	Nodes atrophic	Nodes atrophic				
3. gld/gld Donor	.,		•	•				
a. gld/gld → +/+	$122 \pm 18 (6)^{\dagger}$	272 ± 59 (5)†	$100 \pm 20 (6)^{++}$	644 ± 160 (5)†				
b. gld/gld → lpr/lpr	$136 \pm 31 (3)$	$170 \pm 27 (8)$	$28.3 \pm 2.8 (3)^{++}$	96.1 ± 32.6 (8)+++				
c. gld/gld → gld/gld	164 ± 17 (8)†††	Dead	199 ± 39 (8)îttt	Dead				

Table 3. Lymphoid Organ Weights in Chimeras 5 and 12 mo after Transfer

* All values are expressed to three significant figures and are indicated as mean \pm SE. The number of mice in each group is indicated in parentheses. Open arrows indicate statistically significant ([\uparrow] $p \le 0.05$; [$\uparrow\uparrow$] $p \le 0.01$ by Mann-Whitney analysis) increases (\uparrow) or decreases (\downarrow) in comparison with same donor $\rightarrow +/+$ recipient.

Closed arrows indicate statistically significant ([†] $p \le 0.05$; [††] $p \le 0.01$; [†††] $p \le 0.001$ by Mann-Whitney analysis) increases (†) or decreases (†) in comparison with +/+ donor \rightarrow same recipient.

of a similar set of C3H chimeras, in which individual mice were bled at intervals until 300 d post-transfer and serum IgG2b levels were determined. As shown in Fig. 1, IgG2b levels increased steadily in $lpr/lpr \rightarrow lpr/lpr$ chimeras, but showed no increase in $lpr/lpr \rightarrow +/+$ or $lpr/lpr \rightarrow gld/gld$ chimeras.

These results indicate that lpr/lpr bone marrow behaves differently in the lpr/lpr vs. the gld/gld (and +/+) host en-

	Isotype																					
Chimera		Ig	м		IgC	51]	gC	2a]	lg(G2b	Iş	gG.	3		lg/	4		To	tal
		μ_g/ml																				
1. +/+ Donor																						
a. +/+ → +/+	460	±	40*	500	±	30	980	±	120	3	40	±	60	260	±	70	180	±	20	2,700	±	70
b. +/+ → lpr/lpr	850	±	280	410	±	70	1,500	±	590	3	70	±	170	350	±	90	110	±	20↓	3,600	±	900
c. + / + → gld/gld	530	±	40	560	±	110	1,300	±	170	3	00	±	60	250	±	50	120	±	10 ↓	3,000	±	330
2. lpr/lpr Donor																						
a. lpr/lpr → +/+	530	±	70	390	±	80+	1,900	±	410	1	30	±	40 +	600	±	130 †	110	±	20+	3,400	±	460
b. $lpr/lpr \rightarrow lpr/lpr$	1,500	±	480 Ŷ	1,600	±	180 <u>†</u> †	4,500	±	550 <u>4</u> 4	5,2	00	±	2,900 ⁴⁴	1,200	±	350†	160	±	20 Ŷ	14,000	±	2,9009†
c. lpr/lpr → gld/gld	490	±	30	500	±	70	1,100	±	170 √	1	70	±	20+	1,000	±	460	90	±	10 ∔	3,400	±	650
3. gld/gld Donor																						
a. gld/gld → +/+	720	±	90†	1,300	±	260†	3,800	±	880††	2,7)0	±	1,400++	840	±	190†	210	±	30	9,500	±	1,600++
b. gld/gld → lpr/lpr	1,300	±	300	750	±	170	2,000	±	570	5	30	±	100 ÷∻	240	±	60∻	180	±	40	5,000	±	1,000 ∜∜
c. gld/gld → gld/gld	960	±	140 <u>0</u> 0000	1,200	±	310	3,200	±	560††	3,4)0	±	1,000†††	610	±	140†	200	±	30††	9,600	±	1,000†††

Table 4. Serum Ig Levels in Chimeras 5 mo after Transfer

* Results are expressed to two significant figures and indicated as mean \pm SE (three to eight mice per group). Total refers to the sum of the six Ig classes measured. Symbols are used as defined in Table 3.



Figure 1. Kinetic analysis of changes in serum IgG2h levels of bone marrow chimeras constructed using all nine possible congenic C3H-+/+, -*lpr/lpr*, and -gld/gld donor \rightarrow host combinations. Each point represents the mean of two to eight animals. Arrow symbols refer to statistical comparison of serum IgG2b levels at 300 d post-transfer and were used as defined in Table 3. Error bars represent 1 SE above and/or below the mean (*) The gld/gld \rightarrow gld/gld group consisted of only two animals at 300 d, resulting in a low significance level (0.061 by Mann-Whitney analysis when compared with the +/+ \rightarrow gld/gld group) despite the obvious elevation in IgG2b levels in this group.

vironments, and therefore shows that the lpr/lpr and gld/gld host environments are functionally distinguishable.

Recipients of gld/gld Bone Marrow Cells. Recipients of gld/gld bone marrow did not develop an $lpr/lpr \rightarrow +/+-like$ lymphoid wasting syndrome. In fact, significant lymphadenopathy and splenomegaly were observed in $gld/gld \rightarrow +/+$ and $gld/gld \rightarrow gld/gld$ chimeras necropsied 5 or 12 mo post-transfer (Table 3, groups 3a and 3c). However, $gld/gld \rightarrow lpr/lpr$ chimeras (Table 3, group 3b) demonstrated far less lymphadenopathy than $gld/gld \rightarrow +/+$ (or $gld/gld \rightarrow gld/gld$) chimeras. This effect was not due to an inability of the lpr/lpr host environment to support lymphoproliferation, since $lpr/lpr \rightarrow$ lpr/lpr chimeras (Table 3, group 2b) demonstrated splenomegaly and lymphadenopathy comparable with those observed in $gld/gld \rightarrow +/+$ (Table 3, group 3a) and $gld/gld \rightarrow gld/gld$ (Table 3, group 3c) chimeras. Thus, the slow rate of development of lymphadenopathy in $gld/gld \rightarrow lpr/lpr$ chimeras appears to be due to an abnormal interaction occurring between gld/gld marrow-derived cells and the lpr/lpr host environment.

Ig levels in $gld/gld \rightarrow lpr/lpr$ chimeras (Table 4, group 3b) were not significantly elevated at 5 mo post-transfer, and were in fact much lower than those in $gld/gld \rightarrow gld/gld$ (Table 4, group 3c) or $gld/gld \rightarrow +/+$ (Table 4, group 3a) chimeras at this time. This finding was supported by the kinetic analysis of IgG2b levels (Fig. 1), which increased steadily in gld/gld $\rightarrow gld/gld$ and $gld/gld \rightarrow +/+$ chimeras, but much more slowly and with a later time of onset in $gld/gld \rightarrow lpr/lpr$ chimeras. Even after 1 yr, IgG2b levels in $gld/gld \rightarrow lpr/lpr$ chimeras were ~10 times lower than levels in $gld/gld \rightarrow +/+$ or $gld/gld \rightarrow gld/gld$ chimeras.

These findings with *gld/gld* bone marrow cells confirm the existence of a functional difference between the *lpr/lpr* and *gld/gld* host environments.

Recipients of +/+ Bone Marrow Cells. Throughout these studies, $+/+ \rightarrow lpr/lpr$ chimeras demonstrated slight abnormalities when compared with $+/+ \rightarrow +/+$ or $+/+ \rightarrow gld/gld$ chimeras. Whereas organ weights from $+/+ \rightarrow gld/gld$ chimeras were indistinguishable from those in $+/+ \rightarrow +/+$ chimeras, $+/+ \rightarrow lpr/lpr$ chimeras developed a small degree of lymphadenopathy (Table 3). Serum IgG2b levels in +/+ $\rightarrow lpr/lpr$ chimeras also tended to be depressed (Fig. 1), being >1 SD below mean levels of $+/+ \rightarrow +/+$ chimeras in 23/33 sera obtained at 100 or more days post-transfer, whereas only $3/18 +/+ \rightarrow gld/gld$ sera obtained at comparable times fell in this category (assuming a normal distribution, it would be expected that one in six sera would be >1 SD below the mean). Thus, even +/+ bone marrow behaves differently in the lpr/lpr vs. the gld/gld host environments.

Discussion

Although the lpr and gld mutations cause indistinguishable autoimmune syndromes in homozygous mice, they behave very differently after bone marrow transfer in two ways. First, gld/gld bone marrow does not induce a lymphoid wasting syndrome in irradiated congenic +/+ recipients analogous to that induced by *lpr/lpr* bone marrow. Second, there are fundamental differences in the behavior of all three marrow types studied when transferred to lpr/lpr vs. gld/gld (or +/+) host environments. Thus, lpr/lpr bone marrow causes a lymphoid wasting syndrome in gld/gld (and +/+) recipients, but a lymphoproliferative syndrome in lpr/lpr recipients; gld/gld bone marrow causes a lymphoproliferative syndrome in gld/gld (and +/+) recipients, but this syndrome is significantly retarded in lpr/lpr recipients; and +/+ bone marrow completely normalizes gld/gld (and +/+) recipients, but fails to do so in *lpr/lpr* recipients. Two possible explanations for these different behaviors are: (a) the lpr mutation may be linked to (or give rise to) a histocompatibility antigen that causes the effects noted above, whereas the gld mutation is not associated with any antigenic alteration; or (b) the lpr and gld mutations may be functionally different with respect both to donor bone marrow and host environment effects.

An antigenic basis for these differences is unlikely for several reasons. First, the GVH-like reaction induced by lpr/lprbone marrow has several notable differences from a typical antigen-induced GVH-syndrome. One such difference is that the GVH-like reaction here is not initiated by mature T cells present in the bone marrow inoculum (10–12). Second, although the reaction is T cell dependent, we have previously demonstrated that either +/+ or lpr/lpr T cells will mediate it (12), suggesting that their role is not in recognition of foreign antigen. Third, the increase in IgG1 levels that parallels the early inflammatory response occurring in $lpr/lpr \rightarrow +/+$ chimeras also occurs in $lpr/lpr \rightarrow lpr/lpr$ chimeras, and thus cannot be caused by an antigenic disparity (12). The most likely explanation of the results reported here is that there is a functional difference between the effects of the lpr and gld mutations that becomes apparent after bone marrow transfer.

In addition to this putative functional difference, the results reported here suggest that the *lpr* and *gld* mutations are expressed by different cell lineages or developmental stages. Bone marrow transfer allows splitting of the immune system into two cellular compartments. One of these consists of radiationsensitive, bone marrow-derived cells and is donor-derived in the resultant chimera. The other compartment consists of radiation-resistant stromal tissue and long-lived, bone marrow-derived cells, both of which are host derived in the resultant chimera. We will refer to these two cellular subsets as the bone marrow compartment and the peripheral compartment, respectively. Construction of the chimeras described here allowed us to compare the behavior of these two separate compartments in *lpr/lpr* and *gld/gld* mice.

The bone marrow compartment of lpr/lpr mice is abnormal in that it induces a GVH-like reaction in both +/+ and gld/gldrecipients. The lpr/lpr peripheral compartment is also abnormal as it behaves unlike the +/+ and gld/gld peripheral compartments when reconstituted with any of the three marrow types studied. These facts indicate that the molecule altered by the lpr mutation is expressed by cells in both the bone marrow and the peripheral compartments. The gld mutation, on the other hand, appears to affect only the bone marrow compartment, since the gld/gld peripheral compartment behaved similarly to that of +/+ mice in all cases studied. Thus, the molecule altered by the gld mutation is expressed by a population of cells that is completely contained within the bone marrow compartment. This differential localization of functional expression of the *lpr* and *gld* mutations suggests that the molecules affected are expressed by different cells.

If the lpr- and gld-affected genes are expressed by different cells, and cause functional differences on both the donor and recipient sides of bone marrow transfers, the question arises of how the lpr and gld mutations can give rise to near identical autoimmune syndromes. In view of the finding that lpr and gld are expressed by different cell subsets, it seems unlikely that the genes affected by these mutations are involved in sequential steps of a single metabolic pathway of T cells, as has been suggested previously (6). An alternate possibility that may fit the present observations better is that lpr and gld may be mutations in interacting molecules (e.g., a cytokine and its receptor or two interacting cell surface molecules expressed by different cell populations) (Fig. 2). In such a model, the phenotype of lpr/lpr and gld/gld homozygotes would be identical, since whether the receptor or ligand is impaired, the interaction between the two molecules would fail and the end result would be the same.

Since the molecule altered by the lpr mutation is hypothesized to be expressed by both the bone marrow compartment and by the peripheral compartment, whereas the molecule altered by the gld mutation is thought to be expressed only by the bone marrow compartment, the differential expression of these molecules in the various chimeras studied in this paper can be derived. As indicated in Table 5, the development of lpr- or gld-like autoimmune syndromes characterized by lymphadenopathy and hypergammaglobulinemia is associated with situations in which either the gld-associated molecule is replaced by the mutant gld variant or the lprassociated molecule is entirely replaced by the mutant lpr variant (i.e., in both the bone marrow and peripheral compartments). Partial expression of the lpr variant (i.e., in the bone marrow compartment but not the peripheral compartment or vice versa) would be expected to occur in some of the chimeras constructed $(lpr/lpr \rightarrow +/+, lpr/lpr \rightarrow gld/gld, +/+ \rightarrow$ lpr/lpr, and $gld/gld \rightarrow lpr/lpr$), and may provide a basis for



1372 The lpr and gld Mutations

Figure 2. Suggested model of the relationship between the *lpr-* and *gld*-associated molecules. A bone marrow-derived cell (A) secretes a cytokine, or expresses a cell surface molecule (Molecule 1), that interacts with a surface molecule (cytokine receptor?) (Molecule 2) expressed by both bone marrow-derived cells (B) and peripheral cells (either peripheral lymphoid tissue or long-lived, radiation-resistant, marrowderived cells) (C). The *gld* mutation results in loss of function of Molecule 1. The *lpr* mutation results in loss of function of Molecule 2. Loss of function of either molecule prevents the same interaction from occurring, and autoimmunity develops as a result of a cascade of events initiated by failure of this interaction.

	Hypothetical					
		Molecu	ile 2 (lpr)			
Chimera	Molecule 1 (gia) marrow*	Marrow [‡]	PeripheralS	Effects observed		
A. Normal form of both molecules						
Unmanipulated +/+	Normal	Normal	Normal	No abnormalities		
+/+ → +/+	Normal	Normal	Normal	No abnormalities		
$+/+ \rightarrow gld/gld$	Normal	Normal	Normal	No abnormalities		
B. Altered (gld) form of Molecule 1						
Homozygous gld/gld	gld	Normal	Normal	Lymphadenopathy/elevated Ig		
gld/gld - gld/gld	gld	Normal	Normal	Lymphadenopathy/elevated Ig		
gld/gld → +/+	gld	Normal	Normal	Lymphadenopathy/elevated Ig		
C. Altered (lpr) form of Molecule 2						
(complete expression)						
Homozygous lpr/lpr	Normal	lpr	lpr	Lymphadenopathy/elevated Ig		
lpr/lpr → lpr/lpr	Normal	lpr	lpr	Lymphadenopathy/elevated Ig		
D. Altered (lpr) form of Molecule 2		-	-			
(partial expression)						
$lpr/lpr \rightarrow +/+$	Normal	lpr.	Normal	GVH-like syndrome		
lpr/lpr → gld/gld	Normal	lpr	Normal	GVH-like syndrome		
$+/+ \rightarrow lpr/lpr$	Normal	Normal	lpr	Decreased Ig levels		
gld/gld → lpr/lpr	gld	Normal	lpr	Impaired gld-like syndrome		

Table 5. Hypothetical Expression of lpr- and gld-associated Molecules in the Chimeras Studied

Considering that gld is a mutation in a hypothetical molecule (Molecule 1) that is expressed only in the bone marrow compartment, while lpr is a mutation in a second hypothetical molecule (Molecule 2) that is expressed in both the bone marrow and peripheral compartments (Fig. 2), the expected expression of either the normal (i.e., wild-type) or mutant (gld in the case of Molecule 1; lpr in the case of Molecule 2) forms of each molecule in the various chimeras studied is indicated.

* The gld variant of Molecule 1 would be expressed in the bone marrow compartment only when donor marrow was of the gld/gld genotype.

* The *lpr* variant of Molecule 2 would be expressed in the bone marrow compartment only when donor marrow was of the *lpr/lpr* genotype. 5 The *lpr* variant of Molecule 2 would be expressed in the peripheral compartment only when the host was of the *lpr/lpr* genotype.

explaining the novel biological consequences of these transfers (Table 5, group D). This situation would not occur in any of the other chimeras studied (Table 5, groups A-C).

Considering the model proposed above in which gld and lpr may represent mutations in a cytokine-receptor pair, the aberrant effects associated with partial expression of the lpr variant could be explained by hypothesizing that production of this cytokine involves feedback regulation via its receptor. Thus, when cells with nonfunctional cytokine receptors (i.e., cells expressing the lpr variant) are present, cytokine production would be dysregulated, leading to higher than normal levels of cytokine. Partial expression of the functional cytokine receptor would mean some cells would be present that can respond to the cytokine while other cells present (those expressing the nonfunctional lpr variant) may produce it in a dysregulated manner. Thus, the aberrant effects observed in the chimeras expected to have partial expression of the nonfunctional (lpr) variant of the receptor could be due to the response of a cell population bearing normal cytokine receptor to high levels of the cytokine produced by a cell population bearing nonfunctional (lpr) cytokine receptor. Specifically, the GVH-like syndrome occurring in $lpr/lpr \rightarrow +/+$ and $lpr/lpr \rightarrow gld/gld$ chimeras vs. the lymphoproliferative syndrome in lpr/lpr homozygotes and $lpr/lpr \rightarrow lpr/lpr$ chimeras may result from the normal responses of peripheral +/+ or gld/gld cells but not of peripheral lpr/lpr cells to the involved cytokine. Similarly, the impaired responses of $+/+ \rightarrow lpr/lpr$ and $gld/gld \rightarrow lpr/lpr$ chimeras compared with those of $+/+ \rightarrow +/+$ and $gld/gld \rightarrow +/+$ chimeras may reflect the inability of lpr/lpr peripheral cells to respond to the cytokine.

The novel effects observed in chimeras expected to have partial expression of the *lpr*-associated gene product are perhaps a clue to the normal function(s) of this molecule. Partial expression by peripheral tissue (in *lpr/lpr* \rightarrow +/+ and *lpr/lpr* \rightarrow gld/gld chimeras) causes the development of an early inflammatory response characterized by neutrophil influx into the spleen and lymph nodes and a subsequent lymphoid wasting syndrome. This suggests that the molecules altered by the *lpr* and gld mutations may be involved in mediating inflammatory responses.

Recently, a new mutation at the lpr locus, lpr^{cg} , has been described (15). Unlike the original lpr allele, the lpr^{cg} allele "complements" the gld mutation; i.e., lpr^{cg}/+, gld/+ double heterozygotes develop lymphadenopathy similar to what occurs in lpr/lpr or gld/gld homozygous mice, whereas lpr/+, gld/+ double heterozygotes appear normal. Our model could explain this difference by proposing that the lpr mutation results in a nonfunctional, non-ligand-binding receptor, whereas the lpr^{cg} mutation results in a nonfunctional receptor that nevertheless binds ligand. In lpr^{cg}/+ heterozygotes, the nonfunctional receptor would compete for ligand with the functional receptor, thus lowering the effective ligand concentration. In $lpr^{cg}/+$, gld/+ double heterozygotes, the effective ligand concentration would first be decreased as a result of the presence of one dose of the gld allele as transcripts from this allele would be ineffective. Additionally, the presence of the lpr^{cg} allele would further lower the effective ligand concentration as nonfunctional receptor would compete for available ligand (unlike the situation in lpr/+, gld/+ heterozygotes). This double effect could cause effective ligand-receptor interactions to be reduced to such a degree that lymphadenopathy analogous to that observed in homozygous lpr/lprand gld/gld mice develops. Unlike homozygous mice, however, the double heterozygote would have the capacity to both produce the cytokine and respond to it, and could "adjust" by producing increasingly greater amounts of cytokine. This may explain why the lymphadenopathy becomes less severe as these mice age, whereas it becomes progressively more severe with age in homozygous lpr/lpr or gld/gld mice (15).

The model that we have proposed for the relationship between the *lpr* and *gld* mutations is therefore able to accommodate all of the observations made to date concerning the behavior and interaction of these two loci. Further studies are underway to delineate the cell populations expressing the *lpr-* and *gld-*associated molecules and to determine whether these molecules represent a known ligand-receptor pair.

We thank Drs. D. C. Roopenian and R. Evans for critical review of this manuscript.

These studies were supported by National Institutes of Health grants AI-20232, AI-25765, and CA-35845. R. Allen was supported by a Francis M. Sherwin Endowment Fellowship and by funds provided by The Jackson Laboratory.

Address correspondence to Charles L. Sidman, The Jackson Laboratory, Bar Harbor, ME 04609.

Received for publication 26 June 1990 and in revised form 16 August 1990.

References

- 1. Murphy, E.D., and J.B. Roths. 1976. A single gene model for massive lymphoproliferation with immune complex disease in new mouse strain MRL. *In* Proceedings of the 16th International Congress of Hematology. Excerpta Medica, Amsterdam. 69-72.
- Murphy, E.D. 1981. Lymphoproliferation (lpr) and other singlelocus models for murine lupus. *In* Immunologic Defects in Laboratory Animals. Vol. 2. M.E. Gershwin and B. Merchant, editors. Plenum Publishing Corporation, New York. 143–173.
- Roths, J.B. 1987. Differential expression of murine autoimmunity and lymphoid hyperplasia determined by single genes. *In New Horizons in Animal Models for Autoimmune Dis*ease. H. Wigzell and M. Kyogoku, editor. Academic Press, Tokyo. 21-33.
- Shultz, L.D., and C.L. Sidman. 1987. Genetically-determined murine models of immunodeficiency. Annu. Rev. Immunol. 5:367.
- Roths, J.B., E.D. Murphy, and E.M. Eicher. 1984. A new mutation, gld, that produces lymphoproliferation and autoimmunity in C3H/HeJ mice. J. Exp. Med. 159:1.
- Davidson, W.F., K.L. Holmes, J.B. Roths, and H.C. Morse. 1985. Immunologic abnormalities of mice bearing the gld mutation suggest a common pathway for murine nonmalignant lymphoproliferative disorders with autoimmunity. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 82:1219.

- Davidson, W.F., F.J. Dumont, H.G. Bedigian, B.J. Fowlkes, and H.C. Morse. 1986. Phenotypic, functional, and molecular genetic comparisons of the abnormal lymphoid cells of C3Hlpr/lpr and C3H-gld/gld mice. J. Immunol. 136:4075.
- Yui, K., S. Wadsworth, A. Yellen, Y. Hashimoto, Y. Kokai, and M.I. Greene. 1988. Molecular and functional properties of novel T cell subsets in C3H-gld/gld and nude mice. Implications for thymic and extrathymic maturation. *Immunol. Rev.* 104:121.
- Seldin, M.F., J.P. Reeves, C.L. Scribner, J.B. Roths, W.F. Davidson, H.C. Morse, and A.D. Steinberg. 1987. Effect of xid on autoimmune C3H-gld/gld mice. *Cell. Immunol.* 107:249.
- Fujiwara, M., and A. Kariyone. 1984. One-way occurrence of graft-versus-host disease in bone marrow chimaeras between congenic MRL mice. *Immunology*. 53:251.
- Theofilopoulos, A.N., R.S. Balderas, Y. Gozes, M.T. Aguado, L. Hang, P.R. Morrow, and F.J. Dixon. 1985. Association of *lpr* gene with graft-vs.-host disease-like syndrome. *J. Exp. Med.* 162:1.
- Allen, R.D., J.D. Marshall, J.B. Roths, and C.L. Sidman. 1990. Bone marrow transplantation from mutant *lpr/lpr* mice. Functional abnormalities rather than alloantigenic differences appear to determine the development of a graft-vs-host like syndrome. *Eur. J. Immunol.* In press.
- 13. Allen, R.D., J.D. Marshall, J.B. Roths, and C.L. Sidman. 1990.

Immunodeficiency after transplantation of alloantigen-matched bone marrow from an immunoregulatory mutant. Transplantation (Baltimore). In press.

14. Sidman, C.L., L.D. Schultz, R.R. Hardy, K. Hayakawa, and L.A. Herzenberg. 1986. Production of immunoglobulin isotypes by Ly-1⁺ B cells in viable motheaten and normal mice.

Science (Wash. DC). 232:1423. 15. Matsuzawa, A., T. Moriyama, T. Kaneko, M. Tanaka, M. Kimura, H. Ikeda, and T. Katagiri. 1990. A new allele of the lpr locus, lpr^g, that complements the gld gene in induction of lymphadenopathy in the mouse. J. Exp. Med. 171:519.