

Journal of International Medical Research 2016, Vol. 44(6) 1156–1173 © The Author(s) 2016 Reprints and permissions: sagepub.co.uk/journalsPermissions.nav DOI: 10.1177/0300060516667132 imr.sagepub.com

Jianfei Wang¹, Xu Dong¹, Li Cao¹, Yangyang Sun¹, Yu Qiu¹, Yi Zhang¹,

Ruogiong Cao¹, Mihai Covasa^{2,3} and

mellitus: A meta-analysis

telomere length and diabetes

Association between

Abstract

Li Zhong^{1,2}

Objective: We investigated the relationship between diabetes and telomere length by metaanalysis.

Methods: We searched five popular databases for articles published between 1990 and 2015 using "diabetes" and "telomere" as search terms. Data were processed with RevMan5, and random- or fixed-effects meta-analysis was applied. The effects of geographical region, diabetes type, body mass index (BMI), age and sex were examined. Funnel plots were applied to evaluate publication bias.

Results: Seventeen articles were obtained from 571 references. We identified a significant association between telomere length and diabetes mellitus (standardized mean difference [SMD]: -3.41; 95% confidence interval [CI]: -4.01, -2.80; heterogeneity, $l^2 = 99\%$) by comparing 5575 patients with diabetes and 6349 healthy individuals. The pooled SMD by geographic region indicated a significant association between shortened telomere length and diabetes mellitus (SMD: -3.41; 95% CI: -4.01, -2.80; heterogeneity, $l^2 = 99\%$). In addition, telomere length was significantly associated with age (SMD: -3.41; 95% CI: -4.01, -2.80; heterogeneity, $l^2 = 99\%$). In addition, telomere length was significantly associated with age (SMD: -3.41; 95% CI: -4.01, -2.80), BMI (SMD: -1.61; 95% CI: -1.98, -1.23) and sex (SMD: -4.94; 95% CI: -9.47, -0.40).

Conclusions: The study demonstrated a close relationship between diabetes mellitus and telomere length, which was influenced by region, age, diabetes type, BMI and sex.

Keywords

Telomere length, diabetes mellitus, meta-analysis	¹ Laboratory of Biology Chip, College of Life Sciences, Hebei University, Baoding, China
Date received: 10 June 2016; accepted: 10 August 2016	 ²Western University of Health Sciences, College of Osteopathic Medicine, Pomona, CA, USA ³University "Stefan cel Mare" Suceava, Romania
Introduction	Corresponding author:
Diabetes incidence continues to increase globally at alarming rates. In 2013, 382	Li Zhong, Western University of Health Sciences, College of Osteopathic Medicine, Pomona, CA, USA. Email: Izhong@westernu.edu

Creative Commons CC-BY-NC: This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 3.0 License (http://www.creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/) which permits non-commercial use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the SAGE and Open Access pages (https://us. sagepub.com/en-us/nam/open-access-at-sage). million people were diagnosed with diabetes, with more than 100 million of those in China alone.¹ Diabetes mellitus is a metabolic disease characterized by hyperglycaemia and impaired biological functions leading to severe complications, such as cardiovascular, kidney and eye diseases. Treatment of diabetes and its co-morbidities imposes a high burden on the world economy, amounting to \$548 billion USD in 2013 and an estimated \$627 billion USD by 2035^{1} Therefore, understanding the molecular mechanisms underlying diabetes as well as finding and developing efficient treatment strategies are more pressing than ever.

The concept of "telomeres" was first proposed by McClintock and Muller.^{2,3} They determined that the stability and integrity of the chromosome was retained within the ends of the chromosome, which Muller named the "telomere".³ Thus, the telomere is located at the end of chromosomal DNA and is composed of thousands of tandem repeats of the TTAGGG nucleotide sequence and a number of associated proteins, which confer protection against chromosome degradation.⁴ The telomere is necessary for DNA replication. It undergoes shortening during each DNA replication cycle until it reaches a certain length, at which time cell apoptosis is signaled. Therefore, telomere length is often used as a biological marker for cell aging.⁵

Accumulating evidence indicates that diabetes may affect changes in telomere length. Jeanclos⁶ was the first to demonstrate an association between type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) and shortened telomere length. Similarly, Li^7 determined that the leukocyte telomere length in patients with diabetes was shorter than that in healthy individuals. They suggested that with the development of diabetes, islet β cells undergo senescence or apoptosis, because the telomere length gradually becomes shorter, which in turn can lead to

a cascade of damaging events characteristic of diabetes complications. Therefore, to further examine the association between diabetes mellitus and telomere length, this study employed a meta-analysis model to assess the contribution of geographical region, diabetes type, body mass index (BMI), age and sex on telomere length in patients with diabetes.

Methods

Study eligibility and identification

We performed systematic computerized searches of PubMed (MEDLINE), EMBASE, China National Knowledge Infrastructure, Wanfang data and the VIP database of China. We used "telomere" and "diabetes" as search terms to examine articles that were published between January 1st, 1990 and December 31st, 2015.

Study selection and exclusion criteria

We used the referenced QUADAS literature evaluation standard established by Whiting.⁸ Two authors (JW and LZ) reviewed studies for inclusion independently. Accordingly, the literature included in the meta-analysis was selected based on the following criteria: 1) it assessed the association between diabetes mellitus and telomere length; 2) it included case-control groups and a complete set of data; 3) the human subjects analyzed included adults only; and 4) it provided standardized mean differences (SMD) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) or presented sufficient information to allow their computational calculations. The following exclusion criteria were used: 1) the studies contained incomplete data; 2) the studies were conducted using children or animal models; 3) the studies included patients with gestational diabetes; and 4) the subjects were diagnosed with other pathologies, such as heart or kidney diseases.

Quality assessment and data extraction

Study quality was independently assessed by two authors (XD and LC) using the Cochrane Risk of Bias Tool (Newcastle-Ottawa scale⁹). Two authors (JW and XD) extracted data from selected articles independently. The following information was recorded from the selected literature: title, first author, year of publication, country, case-control basic information (subjects, age, sex and BMI), diabetes mellitus type, telomere length and the SMD and 95% CIs. The mean and SD (range, SE and CI for BMI) and other tests were tabulated along with the main findings reported in each study.

Meta-analysis

Meta-analyses were performed using RevMan5 software (The Cochrane Collaboration, Copenhagen, Denmark). Homogeneity was assessed using the χ^2 test and I^2 with Cochran Q statistics. Heterogeneity was defined as low, medium and high when $I^2 < 25\%$, $25\% < I^2 < 50\%$ and $I^2 > 50\%$, respectively. The fixed-effect model was used when there was no significant heterogeneity among the included studies $(P > 0.05 \text{ and } I^2 < 50\%)$. In all other cases, the random-effects model was applied.¹⁰

The SMD and 95% CIs were used to evaluate differences between groups.¹¹ We used a funnel plot to evaluate publication bias. Subgroup analyses were performed to evaluate the effect of region (Asia, Europe and the USA), age (below and above 60 years old), diabetes type (T1DM and T2DM), BMI (normal, overweight and obese) and sex (male and female). *P* values for all comparisons were obtained using a two-tailed model, and statistical significance was set at $\alpha < 0.05$.

Results

Literature search

Using the search terms "diabetes" and "telomere," our initial search yielded 571 studies. After applying the inclusion/exclusion criteria, 522 papers were excluded. Of the 49 papers selected, only 17 were included in the meta-analysis,^{12–28} including 2 publications in Chinese^{12,13} and 15 in English.^{14–28} The article selection process is summarized in Figure 1, and the primary parameters of the study are presented in Table 1.

Association between telomere length and diabetes

From 17 studies, we extracted 5575 experimental cases and 6389 controls. The results using RevMan5 software²⁹ are presented in Figure 2. There was a significant effect of heterogeneity ($\chi^2 = 2753.47$, $I^2 = 99\%$, P < 0.00001) among the studies included as well as a significant random-effect (P < 0.05). The pooled SMD (-3.41; 95% CI: -4.01, -2.80) and the diamond were located on the left side of the vertical line of the forest graph. These results indicated that telomere length in patients with diabetes was shorter than that in healthy individuals.

The shape of the funnel plots did not appear symmetrical, suggesting that there was a publication bias in the meta-analysis (Figure 3).

Subgroup analyses

The results of subgroup analyses and the respective sample sizes in each subgroup (region, age, type, BMI and sex) are summarized in Table 2.

The effect of geographical region on diabetes and telomere length

There were eight, five and four articles that included studies from Asia, Europe and the USA, respectively (Figure 4). The SMD in European studies (-2.34; 95% CI: -4.65, -0.04; P = 0.05) was significantly lower than that in Asian (-4.73; 95% CI: -6.29, -3.17; P < 0.00001) and US (-2.94; 95%



Figure 1. Flow chart of the literature search strategy and the process of manuscript selection.

CI: -3.97, -1.91; P < 0.00001) studies. Additionally, the SMD in Asian studies was higher than that in US studies (P < 0.00001). Therefore, the telomere length SMD in European patients with diabetes versus healthy individuals was lower than that in Asian and US patients (P < 0.00001). Similarly, the telomere length SMD in Asian patients with diabetes versus healthy individuals was significantly higher than that in US patients.

Additionally, we wanted to identify the difference in telomere length between patients with diabetes and healthy individuals from China (Figure 5). Analysis of the eight papers that included Chinese subjects revealed that

the SMD was lower in Chinese populations (-2.00; 95% CI: -2.91, -1.08; P < 0.0001) compared with that of other Asian countries (-14.88; 95% CI: -30.64, 0.87). This finding indicates that the telomere length SMD between patients with diabetes and healthy individuals in China was significantly lower than that in other Asian countries (P < 0.00001); however, the difference was not significant in a non-Chinese population.

The effect of age on diabetes and telomere length

Telomere shortening is considered the molecular clock that triggers cell senescence

			case						control				
First Author	Year	Country	Quantity	Туре	Male	Mean Age	Mean BMI	Telomere Length	Quantity	Male	Mean Age	Mean BMI	Telomere Length
Lu et al. ¹²	2007	China	20	_	=	23.85	I	8.29	20	=	28. I	I	8.94
Liu et al. ¹³	2012	China	21	2	=	44.9	23.73	1.34	47	24	42.5	22.48	3.83
Ma D et al. ^{14§}	2013	China	34	_	21	26.32	20.38	1.77	40	21	32.25	21.82	2.39
Ma D et al. ^{14§}	2013	China	62	2	35	50.15	23.39	1.67					
Dudinskaya et al. ¹⁵	2014	Russia	50	2	I	56	I	9.51	49	I	53.47	I	9.8
Fyhrquist et al. ¹⁶	2010	Finland	48	_	22	39	25	8.4	44	24	39.4	23.7	8.5
Ma et al. ¹⁷	2015	China	38	2	17	45.68	24.4	I.58	31	15	41.63	24	3.98
Adaikalakoteswari et al. ¹⁸	2005	India	40	7	20	49	25.2	6.01	40	20	49	23.5	9.11
Murillo et al. ¹⁹	2012	Mexico	93	2	93	54.5	25.5	5.4	98	98	52.8	27. I	9.5
Liu et al. ²⁰	2014	China	71	2	40	54.55	25.21	2.01	52	30	51.27	23.86	2.28
Sampson et al. ²¹	2006	NSA	21	2	21	62	29.5	4	28	28	61.2	17.3	5.5
Zee et al. ²²	2010	NSA	432	2	256	60	33.3	2.4	424	187	51	25.4	2.46
Olivieri et al. ²³	2009	ltaly	103	2	61	70	29	0.44	104	52	69	27	0.53
Testa et al. ²⁴	2011	Italy	217	2	121	65.9	29.3	0.46	400	220	65.1	26.9	0.45
Salpea et al. ²⁵	2010	ЗN	569	2	338	68	Ι	6.94	367	367	53	Ι	7.85
Monickaraj et al ²⁶	2012	India	145	2	Ι	43.6	25.9	0.97	145	I	41.4	24.5	1.2
You et al. ²⁷	2012	NSA	1675	2	0	62.11	31	3.97	2380	0	62.12	27	4.12
Shen et al. ²⁸	2012	China	1936	2	1140	64	25.I	0.98	2080	1452	58	24.5	I.04
[§] Ma Da included both BMI, body mass index.	type I and	l type 2 diabet	es with one co	ontrol gro	.dn								

 Table 1. Characteristics of studies included in the meta-analysis.

1160

	diabet	ic patie	ents	contro	individ	uals		Std. Mean Difference		Std. Mean	Differenc	e
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI		IV, Rando	m, 95% C	
Adaikalakoteswari A 2005	6.01	0.2	40	9.11	0.6	40	4.9%	-6.86 [-8.04, -5.69]		+		
Dudinskaya E 2014	9.51	0.1	50	9.8	0.1	49	5.8%	-2.88 [-3.45, -2.31]				
Fyhrquist F 2010	8.4	0.7	48	8.5	0.9	44	5.9%	-0.12 [-0.53, 0.29]			ł	
Liu J.L 2012	1.34	0.34	21	3.83	0.29	47	4.4%	-8.04 [-9.53, -6.56]				
Liu Z 2014	2.01	0.47	71	2.28	0.25	52	5.9%	-0.68 [-1.05, -0.32]				
Lu Y 2007	8.29	0.5	20	8.94	0.74	20	5.7%	-1.01 [-1.67, -0.35]		() -		
Ma D 2015	1.58	0.57	38	3.98	0.9	31	5.6%	-3.22 [-3.95, -2.49]		-		
Ma Da 2013	1.77	0.5	34	2.39	0.55	40	5.8%	-1.16 [-1.66, -0.67]				
Ma Db 2013	1.67	0.5	62	2.39	0.55	40	5.9%	-1.37 [-1.82, -0.93]		-		
Monickaraj F 2012	0.97	0.01	145	1.2	0.01	145	3.8%	-22.94 [-24.83, -21.05]				
Murillo-Ortiz B 2012	5.4	0.3	93	9.5	0.3	98	4.6%	-13.61 [-15.02, -12.20]				
Nai-chieh Y. You 2012	3.97	1.37	1675	4.12	1.4	2380	6.1%	-0.11 [-0.17, -0.05]				
Olivieri F 2009	0.44	0.02	103	0.53	0.02	104	5.8%	-4.48 [-5.00, -3.97]		•		
Salpea K.D 2010	6.94	0.1	569	7.58	0.2	367	6.0%	-4.34 [-4.57, -4.10]				
Sampson M.J 2006	4	1.1	21	5.5	1.1	28	5.7%	-1.34 [-1.97, -0.71]		-		
Shen Q 2012	0.98	0.34	1936	1.04	0.35	2080	6.1%	-0.17 [-0.24, -0.11]				
Testa R 2011	0.46	0.1	217	0.45	0.1	400	6.0%	0.10 [-0.07, 0.27]				
Zee R.Y 2010	2.4	0.3	432	2.46	0.31	424	6.1%	-0.20 [-0.33, -0.06]				
Total (95% CI)			5575			6389	100.0%	-3.41 [-4.01, -2.80]		•		
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 1.56;	Chi ² = 27	53.47.	df = 17	P < 0.00	001); F:	= 99%			-	1.		
Test for overall effect $Z = 11$	06 (P < 1	0.0000	D			0000000000			-20	J -10	U 10	20

Figure 2. Forest plot depicting meta-analysis of telomere length comparison between patients with diabetes and healthy individuals. Results are presented using a random effects model.

CI, confidence interval; IV, inverse variance method.



Figure 3. Funnel diagram analysis of telomere length comparison between patients with diabetes and healthy individuals.

					Hetero	geneity	
Characteristic	Studies	Case	Control	SMD (95% CI)	l ² (%)	P-Value	P-Value
All Studies	17	5575	6389	-3.41 (-4.01, -2.80)	99	<0.00001	<0.00001
Region				, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
Asia	8	2367	2495	-4.73 (-6.29, -3.17)	99	<0.00001	<0.00001
Europe	5	987	964	-2.34 (-4.65, -0.04)	100	<0.00001	0.05
USA	4	2221	2930	-2.94 (-3.97, -1.91)	99	<0.00001	<0.00001
Age							
> 60 years	7	4953	5783	-1.47 (-2.19, -0.76)	100	<0.00001	<0.0001
< 60 years	10	622	606	-5.45 (-7.33, -3.57)	99	<0.00001	<0.00001
Туре							
TIDM	3	102	104	-0.74 (-1.46, -0.03)	83	0.003	0.04
T2DM [¶]	15	5473	6285	-3.98 (-4.65, -3.31)	99	<0.00001	<0.00001
BMI				, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
Normal	3	155	158	-3.28 (-5.06, -1.50)	97	<0.00001	0.0003
Overweight	4	2095	2216	-1.69 (-2.82, -0.56)	98	<0.00001	0.003
Obese	5	2448	3336	-1.12 (-1.75, -0.49)	99	<0.00001	0.0005
Gender				, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
Male	2	114	126	-7.46 (-19.49, 4.56)	100	<0.00001	0.22
Female	I	1675	2380	-0.11 (-0.17, -0.05)	-	_	0.0007

Table 2. Results from the subgroup analysis of the meta-analysis.

[¶]Data originate from the same paper (Ref. 14). The same control group was used for each comparison (n = 80). SMD, standardized mean difference; CI, confidence interval; TIDM, type I diabetes mellitus; T2DM, type 2 diabetes mellitus; BMI, body mass index.

and can be used as a biological marker of aging.³⁰ We grouped the studies into two age categories: below and above 60 years of age. We determined that the pooled SMD for individuals older than 60 years of age (-1.47; 95% CI: -2.19, -0.76; P < 0.0001)was lower than that for younger individuals (-5.45;-7.33. -3.57: 95% CI: P < 0.00001). This indicates that the telomere length SMD between patients with diabetes and healthy individuals in older cohorts (>60 years of age) was significantly lower than that in younger cohorts (<60 years of age) (*P* < 0.00001) (Figure 6).

The effect of diabetes type on telomere length

We performed a subgroup analysis between patients with T1DM and patients with T2DM. The pooled SMD for patients with T1DM (-0.74; 95% CI: -1.46, -0.03; P = 0.04) was significantly lower than that for patients with T2DM (-3.98; 95% CI: -4.65, -3.31; P < 0.00001), demonstrating that the telomere length SMD between patients with T1DM and healthy individuals was significantly lower than that of patients with T2DM (Figure 7).

The effect of BMI on diabetes and telomere length

The pooled SMD for normal weight individuals (-3.28; 95% CI: -5.06, -1.50; P = 0.0003) was higher than that for overweight individuals (-1.69; 95% CI: -2.82, -0.56; P = 0.003). Furthermore, as expected, the pooled SMD for obese individuals (-1.12; 95% CI: -1.75, -0.49; P = 0.0005) was lower than that of normal weight (P < 0.00001) and overweight

	diabet	ic patie	ents	contro	l indivi	dual		Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	IV, Random, 95% Cl
1.1.1 Asia									
Adaikalakoteswari A 2005	6.01	0.2	40	9.11	0.6	40	4.9%	-6.86 [-8.04, -5.69]	
Liu J.L 2012	1.34	0.34	21	3.83	0.29	47	4.4%	-8.04 [-9.53, -6.56]	
Liu Z 2014	2.01	0.47	71	2.28	0.25	52	5.9%	-0.68 [-1.05, -0.32]	-
Lu Y 2007	8.29	0.5	20	8.94	0.74	20	5.7%	-1.01 [-1.67, -0.35]	-
Ma D 2015	1.58	0.57	38	3.98	0.9	31	5.6%	-3.22 [-3.95, -2.49]	-
Ma Da 2013	1.77	0.5	34	2.39	0.55	40	5.8%	-1.16 [-1.66, -0.67]	-
Ma Db 2013	1.67	0.5	62	2.39	0.55	40	5.9%	-1.37 [-1.82, -0.93]	
Monickaraj F 2012	0.97	0.01	145	1.2	0.01	145	3.8%	-22.94 [-24.83, -21.05]	
Shen Q 2012	0.98	0.34	1936	1.04	0.35	2080	6.1%	-0.17 [-0.24, -0.11]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			2367			2495	48.1%	-4.73 [-6.29, -3.17]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 5.46;	Chi² = 89	3.85, d	f= 8 (P	< 0.0000); I ^z =	99%			
Test for overall effect: $Z = 5$.	95 (P < 0.	00001)							
1.1.2 Europe									
Dudinskava E 2014	9.51	0.1	50	9.8	0.1	49	5.8%	-2.88 [-3.45, -2.31]	•
Fyhrquist F 2010	8.4	0.7	48	8.5	0.9	44	5.9%	-0.12 [-0.53, 0.29]	
Olivieri F 2009	0.44	0.02	103	0.53	0.02	104	5.8%	-4.48 [-5.00, -3.97]	
Salpea K.D 2010	6.94	0.1	569	7.58	0.2	367	6.0%	-4.34 [-4.57, -4.10]	
Testa R 2011	0.46	0.1	217	0.45	0.1	400	6.0%	0.10 (-0.07, 0.27)	100
Subtotal (95% CI)			987			964	29.5%	-2.34 [-4.65, -0.04]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 6.87;	Chi2 = 11	07.00.	df = 4 (F	< 0.000	001); F=	= 100%			
Test for overall effect: Z = 1.	99 (P = 0.	.05)	50 U.S.						
1.1.3 America									
Murillo-Ortiz B 2012	5.4	0.3	93	9.5	0.3	98	4.6%	-13.61 [-15.02, -12.20]	-
Nai-chieh Y. You 2012	3.97	1.37	1675	4.12	1.4	2380	6.1%	-0.11 [-0.17, -0.05]	1
Sampson M.J 2006	4	1.1	21	5.5	1.1	28	5.7%	-1.34 [-1.97, -0.71]	-
Zee R.Y 2010	2.4	0.3	432	2.46	0.31	424	6.1%	-0.20 [-0.33, -0.06]	. 1
Subtotal (95% CI)			2221			2930	22.4%	-2.94 [-3.97, -1.91]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.98;	Chi ² = 36	6.97, d	f= 3 (P	< 0.0000)1); I ² =	99%			
Test for overall effect: Z = 5.	58 (P < 0.	00001)							
Total (95% CI)			5575			6389	100.0%	-3.41 [-4.01, -2.80]	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 1.56;	Chi# = 27	53.47.	df = 17	(P < 0.00	0001); P	= 99%		-	
									30 40 0 40

Figure 4. Forest plot depicting meta-analysis of telomere length comparison between patients with diabetes and healthy individuals from different regions (Asia, Europe and the Americas). Results are presented using a random effects model.

CI, confidence interval; IV, inverse variance method.

	diabet	ic patie	ints	contro	ol individ	lual		Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	IV, Random, 95% Cl
9.1.1 China									
Liu J.L 2012	1.34	0.34	21	3.83	0.29	47	10.5%	-8.04 [-9.53, -6.56]	+
Liu Z 2014	2.01	0.47	71	2.28	0.25	52	11.5%	-0.68 [-1.05, -0.32]	-
Lu Y 2007	8.29	0.5	20	8.94	0.74	20	11.4%	-1.01 [-1.67, -0.35]	-
Ma D 2015	1.58	0.57	38	3.98	0.9	31	11.3%	-3.22 [-3.95, -2.49]	
Ma Da 2013	1.77	0.5	34	2.39	0.55	40	11.5%	-1.16 [-1.66, -0.67]	-
Ma Db 2013	1.67	0.5	62	2.39	0.55	40	11.5%	-1.37 [-1.82, -0.93]	-
Shen Q 2012	0.98	0.34	1936	1.04	0.35	2080	11.6%	-0.17 [-0.24, -0.11]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			2182			2310	79.2%	-2.00 [-2.91, -1.08]	•
Heterogeneity: 1 aur = 1.40; Test for overall effect: Z = 4.	28 (P < 0.	3.59, a 0001)	r= 6 (P	< U.UUUL	J1); I*=	91%			
9.1.2 non-China									
Adaikalakoteswari A 2005	6.01	0.2	40	9.11	0.6	40	10.9%	-6.86 [-8.04, -5.69]	
Monickaraj F 2012	0.97	0.01	145	1.2	0.01	145	9.9%	-22.94 [-24.83, -21.05]	+
Subtotal (95% CI)			185			185	20.8%	-14.88 [-30.64, 0.87]	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 128.5 Test for overall effect: Z = 1.	56; Chi² = 85 (P = 0	199.79 06)	, df= 1	(P < 0.00	0001); P	= 99%			
Total (95% CI)			2367			2495	100.0%	-4.73 [-6.29, -3.17]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 5.46;	Chi# = 89	3.85, dt	f=8 (P	< 0.0000	01); I ^z =	99%			
Test for overall effect: Z = 5.	95 (P < 0.	00001)			10.0				-20 -10 0 10 20
									diapeuc pauents control individu

Figure 5. Forest plot depicting meta-analysis of telomere length comparison between patients with diabetes and healthy individuals from China and other countries in Asia. Results are presented using a random effects model. CI, confidence interval; IV, inverse variance method.

	diabet	ic patie	ents	contro	l individ	dual		Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	IV, Random, 95% Cl
2.1.1 older than 60									
Nai-chieh Y. You 2012	3.97	1.37	1675	4.12	1.4	2380	6.1%	-0.11 [-0.17, -0.05]	-
Olivieri F 2009	0.44	0.02	103	0.53	0.02	104	5.8%	-4.48 [-5.00, -3.97]	1.01
Salpea K.D 2010	6.94	0.1	569	7.58	0.2	367	6.0%	-4.34 [-4.57, -4.10]	
Sampson M.J 2006	4	1.1	21	5.5	1.1	28	5.7%	-1.34 [-1.97, -0.71]	-
Shen Q 2012	0.98	0.34	1936	1.04	0.35	2080	6.1%	-0.17 [-0.24, -0.11]	
Testa R 2011	0.46	0.1	217	0.45	0.1	400	6.0%	0.10 [-0.07, 0.27]	+
Zee R.Y 2010	2.4	0.3	432	2.46	0.31	424	6.1%	-0.20 [-0.33, -0.06]	1
Subtotal (95% CI)			4953			5783	41.7%	-1.47 [-2.19, -0.76]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.91;	$Chi^2 = 14$	58.88,	df = 6 (F	< 0.000	101); F=	= 100%			
Test for overall effect: Z = 4.	02 (P < 0	0001)			1953(1953) S				
2.1.2 younger than 60									
Adaikalakoteswari A 2005	6.01	0.2	40	9.11	0.6	40	4.9%	-6.86 [-8.04, -5.69]	+
Dudinskava E 2014	9.51	0.1	50	9.8	0.1	49	5.8%	-2.88 [-3.45, -2.31]	-
Evhrauist F 2010	8.4	0.7	48	8.5	0.9	44	5.9%	-0.12 (-0.53, 0.29)	+
Liu J.L. 2012	1.34	0.34	21	3.83	0.29	47	4.4%	-8.04 (-9.53, -6.56)	-
Liu Z 2014	2.01	0.47	71	2.28	0.25	52	5.9%	-0.68 [-1.05, -0.32]	-
Lu Y 2007	8.29	0.5	20	8.94	0.74	20	5.7%	-1.01 (-1.67, -0.35)	-
Ma D 2015	1.58	0.57	38	3.98	0.9	31	5.6%	-3.22 [-3.95, -2.49]	-
Ma Da 2013	1.77	0.5	34	2.39	0.55	40	5.8%	-1.16 [-1.66, -0.67]	-
Ma Db 2013	1.67	0.5	62	2.39	0.55	40	5.9%	-1.37 [-1.82, -0.93]	-
Monickaraj F 2012	0.97	0.01	145	1.2	0.01	145	3.8%	-22.94 [-24.83, -21.05]	
Murillo-Ortiz B 2012	5.4	0.3	93	9.5	0.3	98	4.6%	-13.61 [-15.02, -12.20]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			622			606	58.3%	-5.45 [-7.33, -3.57]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 9.86;	Chi ² = 10	31.75,	df= 10	(P < 0.00	001); P	= 99%			*1225
Test for overall effect: Z = 5.	69 (P < 0.	00001)							
Total (95% CI)			5575			6389	100.0%	-3.41 [-4.01, -2.80]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 1.56:	Chi ² = 27	53.47.	df= 17	(P < 0.00	001); P	= 99%			
			1.121	- C.					-70 -10 0 10 20

Figure 6. Forest plot depicting meta-analysis of telomere length comparison between patients with diabetes and healthy individuals of different ages (below and above 60 years of age). Results are presented using a random effects model.

CI, confidence interval; IV, inverse variance method.

(P < 0.00001) individuals. Thus, in obese individuals, the telomere length SMD between patients with diabetes and healthy individuals was lower than that in normal weight and overweight individuals (Figure 8).

The effect of sex on diabetes and telomere length

The pooled SMD for females (-0.11, 95% CI: -0.17, -0.05; P = 0.0007) was lower than that for males (-7.46; 95% CI: -19.49, 4.56). These results suggest that the telomere length SMD between patients with diabetes and healthy individuals in female cohorts was lower than that in male cohorts (P = 0.03); however, the difference was not significant in males (Figure 9).

Discussion

This meta-analysis study demonstrates that diabetes affects telomere length. Specifically, telomere length in patients with diabetes is reduced compared with that of healthy individuals. We reviewed 17 papers that met the inclusion criteria and conducted a five-subgroup meta-analysis that included geographical region, age, diabetes type, BMI and sex. We determined that telomere length in patients with diabetes varied based geographical region. Specifically, on European patients with diabetes displayed a reduced telomere length compared with that of Asian and US patients. Additionally, we demonstrated that telomere length was affected by diabetes type, BMI, age and sex. As such, patients with T1DM, obese patients, patients over 60 years of age and

	diabet	ic patie	ents	contro	l individ	lual		Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	IV, Random, 95% Cl
3.1.1 T1DM									
Fyhrquist F 2010	8.4	0.7	48	8.5	0.9	44	5.9%	-0.12 [-0.53, 0.29]	
Lu Y 2007	8.29	0.5	20	8.94	0.74	20	5.7%	-1.01 [-1.67, -0.35]	-
Ma Da 2013	1.77	0.5	34	2.39	0.55	40	5.8%	-1.16 [-1.66, -0.67]	-
Subtotal (95% CI)			102			104	17.4%	-0.74 [-1.46, -0.03]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.33;	Chi ² = 11	.56, df	= 2 (P =	0.003);	= 83%				
Test for overall effect: $Z = 2$.	04 (P = 0.	04)	÷.						
3.1.2 T2DM									
Adaikalakoteswari A 2005	6.01	0.2	40	9.11	0.6	40	4.9%	-6.86 [-8.04, -5.69]	-
Dudinskava E 2014	9.51	0.1	50	9.8	0.1	49	5.8%	-2.88 [-3.45, -2.31]	-
Liu J.L 2012	1.34	0.34	21	3.83	0.29	47	4.4%	-8.04 [-9.53, -6.56]	
Liu Z 2014	2.01	0.47	71	2.28	0.25	52	5.9%	-0.68 [-1.05, -0.32]	-
Ma D 2015	1.58	0.57	38	3.98	0.9	31	5.6%	-3.22 [-3.95, -2.49]	+
Ma Db 2013	1.67	0.5	62	2.39	0.55	40	5.9%	-1.37 [-1.82, -0.93]	-
Monickaraj F 2012	0.97	0.01	145	1.2	0.01	145	3.8%	-22.94 [-24.83, -21.05]	
Murillo-Ortiz B 2012	5.4	0.3	93	9.5	0.3	98	4.6%	-13.61 [-15.02, -12.20]	-
Nai-chieh Y. You 2012	3.97	1.37	1675	4.12	1.4	2380	6.1%	-0.11 [-0.17, -0.05]	
Olivieri F 2009	0.44	0.02	103	0.53	0.02	104	5.8%	-4.48 [-5.00, -3.97]	-
Salpea K.D 2010	6.94	0.1	569	7.58	0.2	367	6.0%	-4.34 [-4.57, -4.10]	
Sampson M.J 2006	4	1.1	21	5.5	1.1	28	5.7%	-1.34 [-1.97, -0.71]	-
Shen Q 2012	0.98	0.34	1936	1.04	0.35	2080	6.1%	-0.17 [-0.24, -0.11]	1
Testa R 2011	0.46	0.1	217	0.45	0.1	400	6.0%	0.10 [-0.07, 0.27]	
Zee R.Y 2010	2.4	0.3	432	2.46	0.31	424	6.1%	-0.20 [-0.33, -0.06]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			5473			6285	82.6%	-3.98 [-4.65, -3.31]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 1.61;	Chi2 = 27	38.21,	df = 14	(P < 0.00	0001); l ^a	= 99%			
Test for overall effect: Z = 11	1.58 (P < 0	0.0000	1)						
Total (95% CI)			5575			6389	100.0%	-3.41 [-4.01, -2.80]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 1.56;	Chi² = 27	53.47,	df= 17	(P < 0.00	0001); P	= 99%		5. A 15.	
Test for overall effect: $Z = 11$	06 (P < 1	1 0000	1)	50 (CO.C.)					-20 -10 0 10 20

Figure 7. Forest plot depicting meta-analysis of telomere length comparison between patients with TIDM and T2DM and healthy individuals. Results are presented using a random effects model. CI, confidence interval; IV, inverse variance method.

female patients all exhibited a shorter telomere length compared with that of patients with T2DM, lean patients, patients below 60 years of age and male patients, respectively.

Our results are in agreement with recent meta-analysis reports demonstrating a significant association between diabetes and telomere length that was influenced by geographical region and diabetes type.^{31,32} Our study builds upon previous work that indicated that there was a significant difference in telomere length SMD between Chinese patients with and without diabetes compared with that in patients from other Asian countries. However, it should be noted that our analyses included only two reports that studied Asian patients with diabetes and telomere length. Furthermore, we demonstrated that BMI and sex are stronger predictors of the association between diabetes and telomere length.

Telomere length is often used as a biological marker for cell aging, because with increased age, telomere length is shortened.³³ However, how diabetes influences telomere length as a function of age is still unclear. Some studies have identified no agerelated decline in telomere length between patients with T1DM and non-diabetic patients,^{16,34} while others have demonstrated an age effect.^{6,35} You²⁷ compared Caucasian, African and Asian Americans and demonstrated that telomere length in Caucasian Americans was shorter than that of African and Asian Americans. Several contributing factors have been proposed that may account for these differences. For example, living at high altitudes could affect telomere length. Low oxygen levels at high

	diabet	ic patie	ents	contro	l individ	dual	1	Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	IV, Random, 95% Cl
4.1.1 normal									
Liu J.L 2012	1.34	0.34	21	3.83	0.29	47	3.7%	-8.04 [-9.53, -6.56]	
Ma D 2015	1.58	0.57	38	3.98	0.9	31	6.8%	-3.22 [-3.95, -2.49]	
Ma Da 2013	1.77	0.5	34	2.39	0.55	40	7.9%	-1.16 [-1.66, -0.67]	
Ma Db 2013	1.67	0.5	62	2.39	0.55	40	8.2%	-1.37 [-1.82, -0.93]	-
Subtotal (95% CI)			155			158	26.6%	-3.28 [-5.06, -1.50]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 3.10;	Chi# = 92	.30, df	= 3 (P <	0.00001); I ² = 9	7%			
Test for overall effect: Z = 3.	62 (P = 0	0003)							
4.1.2 overweight									
Adaikalakoteswari A 2005	6.01	0.2	40	9.11	0.6	40	4.8%	-6.86 [-8.04, -5.69]	
Fyhrquist F 2010	8.4	0.7	48	8.5	0.9	44	8.3%	-0.12 [-0.53, 0.29]	+
Liu Z 2014	2.01	0.47	71	2.28	0.25	52	8.5%	-0.68 [-1.05, -0.32]	-
Shen Q 2012	0.98	0.34	1936	1.04	0.35	2080	9.2%	-0.17 [-0.24, -0.11]	1
Subtotal (95% CI)			2095			2216	30.8%	-1.69 [-2.82, -0.56]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 1.23;	Chi ² = 13	0.89, d	f= 3 (P	< 0.0000	01); I ^z =	98%			
Test for overall effect: Z = 2.	93 (P = 0	003)							
4.1.3 obese									
Nai-chieh Y. You 2012	3.97	1.37	1675	4.12	1.4	2380	9.2%	-0.11 [-0.17, -0.05]	1
Olivieri F 2009	0.44	0.02	103	0.53	0.02	104	7.8%	-4.48 [-5.00, -3.97]	
Sampson M.J 2006	4	1.1	21	5.5	1.1	28	7.3%	-1.34 [-1.97, -0.71]	
Testa R 2011	0.46	0.1	217	0.45	0.1	400	9.1%	0.10 [-0.07, 0.27]	ł
Zee R.Y 2010	2.4	0.3	432	2.46	0.31	424	9.1%	-0.20 [-0.33, -0.06]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			2448			3336	42.6%	-1.12 [-1.75, -0.49]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.49;	Chi# = 29	7.53, d	f= 4 (P	< 0.0000	01); l ² =	99%			
Test for overall effect: Z = 3.	47 (P = 0	0005)							
Total (95% CI)			4698			5710	100.0%	-1.61 [-1.98, -1.23]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.39;	Chi ² = 64	5.79, d	f= 12 (F	° < 0.000	001); i ² =	= 98%			
Test for overall effect: Z = 8.	48 (P < 0	00001)							-4 -2 U Z 4
									maneuc pauerna controrm

Figure 8. Forest plot depicting meta-analysis of telomere length comparison between patients with diabetes and healthy individuals with different BMIs (normal, overweight and obese). Results are presented using a random effects model.

BMI, body mass index; CI, confidence interval; IV, inverse variance method.

	diabet	ic patie	ents	control	indivio	dual		Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean	Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	IV, Rande	om, 95% Cl
5.1.1 male										
Murillo-Ortiz B 2012	5.4	0.3	93	9.5	0.3	98	32.7%	-13.61 [-15.02, -12.20]	+	
Sampson M.J 2006	4	1.1	21	5.5	1.1	28	33.5%	-1.34 [-1.97, -0.71]		4
Subtotal (95% CI)			114			126	66.2%	-7.46 [-19.49, 4.56]		-
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 7	4.97; Chi	= 243.	03, df=	1 (P < 0.)	00001)	; I= 10	0%			
Test for overall effect: Z	= 1.22 (P	= 0.22)								
5.1.2 female										
Nai-chieh Y. You 2012	3.97	1.37	1675	4.12	1.4	2380	33.8%	-0.11 [-0.17, -0.05]		•
Subtotal (95% CI)			1675			2380	33.8%	-0.11 [-0.17, -0.05]		
Heterogeneity: Not appl	icable									
Test for overall effect: Z	= 3.39 (P	= 0.000	17)							
Total (95% CI)			1789			2506	100.0%	-4.94 [-9.47, -0.40]	+	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 1	5.85; Chi ²	= 366.	53, df =	2 (P < 0.)	00001)	; I ² = 99	%		100 10	
Test for overall effect: Z	= 2.13 (P	= 0.03)							-20 -10	U 1U 2
Test for subgroup differ	ences Ch	$i^{2} = 1.4$	4 df=	1(P = 0.2)	(3) F=	30.4%			diabetic patients	control individua

Figure 9. Forest plot depicting meta-analysis of telomere length comparison between patients with diabetes and healthy individuals based on sex (male and female). Results are presented using a random effects model. Cl, confidence interval; IV, inverse variance method.

altitudes can induce hypoxia-inducible factor-1 α , which can increase telomerase activity and preserve telomere length.³⁶ However, low oxygen levels can increase oxidative stress, which may accelerate telomere shortening.^{37,38} Furthermore, poor socioeconomic status and adverse living environments have also been associated with shorter telomeres because of increased oxidative stress, poor nutrition, unhealthy behaviours and physical, emotional and psychological pressure.^{39–43}

T1DM and T2DM differ in their pathogenicity. Therefore, the mechanisms by which the two diseases might affect telomere length are also different. T1DM is a T-cellmediated autoimmune disease, resulting from autoimmune destruction of pancreatic β-cells and typically leading to insulin deficiency.44,45 Markers of β-cell immune destruction include autoantibodies to insulin, islet cell autoantibodies, autoantibodies to glutamic acid decarboxylase and autoantibodies to the tyrosine phosphatases IA-2 and IA-2^β.⁴⁶ T1DM is primarily first diagnosed in infants and children, and its diagnosis generally relies on autoantibody examination, with ketoacidosis often present as a first manifestation.⁴⁷ Magalhase⁴⁸ demonstrated a link between autoimmunity and telomere length. However, short telomeres may be secondary to T1DM autoimmune changes, although both may together contribute to T1DM aetiology. Two polymorphisms (BsmI, FokI) in the Vitamin D Receptor (VDR) gene have been suggested as potential genetic factors underlying T1DM.⁴⁵ However, the specific cause(s) of T1DM and/or how T1DM influences telomere length remain unclear. It is worth pointing out that in a recent crosssectional study of patients with T1DM, relative telomere length did not correlate with HbA1c, oxidation or smoking but inversely correlated with age, T1DM duration, inflammation and vascular function.³⁴ This speaks to the well-known

complicated T1DM mechanisms that are affected by both genetic as well as environmental factors.^{49–51} Indeed, telomere length is determined early in life,⁵² with genetic factors⁵³ and intrauterine environment playing an important role.⁵⁴

In contrast to T1DM, T2DM is characterized by insulin resistance and typically relative (rather than absolute) insulin deficiency.⁴⁷ Almost 90% of patients with T2DM are adults, although T2DM prevalence in children is on the rise because of increasing rates of childhood obesity.^{55,56} As such, a recent large study of 301 twin pairs demonstrated that individuals with short telomere lengths are more likely to develop insulin resistance later in life.⁵⁷ Previous studies have demonstrated that oxidative stress is a major contributor to T2DM development.²¹ The telomere sequence is rich in guanine residues, and guanine is particularly prone to oxidative stress, which is markedly increased in uncontrolled diabetes.^{14,58,59} Therefore, it is likely that, at least in the case of T2DM, telomere length is influenced by oxidative stress. Once the telomere undergoes shortening, it increases the risk of β -cell injury and apoptosis, leading to a decline in islet cell functioning and diabetes development and progression.^{60,61} This is consistent with a recent report demonstrating that antioxidant defences are critical in maintaining telomere integrity, thereby reducing the progression of cardiovascular complications associated with T2DM.⁶² Several studies have identified a positive association between telomere length and T2DM time of onset and duration;¹⁹ however, this could be populationspecific, as such a correlation was not identified in a study using Chinese patients.^{21,28}

Obesity is one of the most important contributors to diabetes development,⁶³ with increasing obesity rates paralleling those of diabetes.⁶⁴ It is well known that obesity causes and aggravates insulin

resistance and increases oxidative stress, leading to β -cell apoptosis.⁶⁵ Thus, obesity development may shorten telomere length, this relationship has been although questioned.⁶⁶ Indeed, our meta-analysis demonstrated that increased BMI resulted in reduced telomere length. Among the multitude of factors contributing to obesity and diabetes development, overconsumption of high-fat, high-energy foods plays a major role.⁶⁷ Consumption of high-calorie, high-fat diets as well as the associated obesity have been shown to cause an increase in endoplasmic reticulum (ER) cellular stress. The ER is the organelle responsible for folding, maturation, quality control, trafficking and processing of secretory and membrane proteins.68 There is increasing evidence demonstrating that certain pathological stress conditions present in obese individuals disrupt ER homeostasis, leading to impaired control in the unfolded protein response, a complex process involved in the cellular stress response.⁶⁹ Furthermore, obesity is characterized by a chronic low-grade inflammatory state, a condition characterized by enhanced adipocyte hypertrophy and hyperplasia, increased inflammatory cell infiltration, inflammatory cytokine activation and increased markers of fatty acid-induced oxidative and ER stress in various tissues.^{70,71} As such, mitochondrial oxidative stress injury has been linked with shortening telomere length in patients with T2DM,⁷⁰ which may explain our results. In mice, short telomeres have been implicated in metabolic dysfunction via mitochondrial functional disruptions.⁷² Furthermore, disruption of Rap1, a telomere-binding protein, results in increased abdominal fat and insulin resistance.⁷³ Finally, complications of diabetes, such as cardiovascular events,74 can also influence telomere shortening.⁷⁵ However, such a correlation was not identified in patients with T1DM, but this effect might have been masked by survivor bias and vasoprotective

drug treatment.³⁴ Our study could not assess whether the effect of obesity on telomere length is more pronounced in individuals with both diabetes and obesity. Given the fact that both obesity and diabetes are associated with shorter telomere length, we speculate that the combination of obesity and diabetes may accentuate this effect; however, this remains to be elucidated.

In our meta-analysis study, we also determined that telomere length in females was shorter than that in males: however, the published results to date have been inconclusive. For example, Benetos et al.⁷⁶ determined that telomere length in females was longer than that in males. Furthermore, the authors demonstrated that telomere length in leukocytes, which is heritable, was also longer in women than in men. Oestrogen can diminish oxidative stress and stimulate telomerase production, which protects telomere lengthening and attenuates telomere attrition, an effect that may be lost during menopause.⁷⁷ Indeed, this is supported by studies investigating the effect of hormone therapy on telomerase activity that have demonstrated an upregulation of telomerase reverse transcriptase expression. This effect is mediated by the oestrogen-responsive element in the gene promoter, thus explaining longer telomeres in postmenopausal women undergoing hormone-replacement therapy.⁷⁸ Oestrogen stimulates telomerase via the phosphoinositol 3-Kinase/Akt pathway⁷⁹ or NO stimulation.⁸⁰ Therefore, low oestrogen levels and/or diabetes-induced changes in telomere length might have accounted for the short telomeres observed in our analysis. Furthermore, women display increased diabetes-induced systemic inflammation compared with men and are at higher risk of cardiovascular events and developing diabetes complications, all of which can result in shorter telomeres.^{81,82}. In contrast, shorter telomeres have also been reported in male patients with diabetes, which has been attributed to poor control

of diabetes in males compared with that in women, independent of smoking and drinking habits.²⁸ Therefore, additional larger studies are required to conclusively determine whether sex plays a role in influencing telomere length in patients with diabetes.

A meta-analysis is a useful statistical technique that applies quantitative methods to systematically evaluate and summarize multiple research results.⁸³ Based on heterogeneity, the pooled SMD and 95% CI resulting from the 17 referenced articles analysed, we demonstrated that diabetes accelerates the telomere shortening process. However, several limitations should be acknowledged when interpreting these results. These include a relatively low number of published studies, un-accounting variables in some studies (e.g., age of patients with diabetes differed from that of healthy individuals) as well as inconsistencies in calculating the telomere length (T/S)ratio (T stands for telomere, S stands for single-copy gene) or KB (kilo base pairs)). Nevertheless, our findings are consistent with previous data indicating that diabetes shortens telomere length, aggravates cell apoptosis and ultimately impacts quality of life.84,85

In summary, we have demonstrated that diabetes can significantly affect telomere length, an effect that is influenced by geographical region, diabetes type, obesity, age and sex. Understanding the mechanisms by which diabetes affects telomere length is important for diabetes mellitus prevention and treatment.

Declaration of conflicting interest

The Authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

Funding

MC is supported by the Romanian National Program PN-II-ID-PCE-2012-4-0608 no. 48/ 02.09.2013, "Analysis of novel risk factors influencing control of food intake and regulation of body weight". This work was also supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 81272444 and 81472744 to LZ).

References

- 1. International Diabetes Federation. IDF diabetes ATLAS. Sixth Edition, 2013, 12–15.
- McClintock B. The stability of broken end of chromosome in Zea mays. *Genetics* 1941; 26: 234–282.
- 3. Muller HJ. The remaking of chromosomes. *The collecting net-Woods Hole* 1938; 13: 181–198.
- 4. Blackburn EH. Structure and function of telomeres. *Nature* 1991; 350: 569–573.
- Zakian VA. Structure, function, and replication of Saccharomyces cerevisiae telomeres. *Annu Rev Genet* 1996; 30: 141–172.
- Jeanclos E, Krolewski A, Skurnick J, et al. Shortened telomere length in white blood cells of patients with IDDM. *Diabetes* 1998; 47: 482–486.
- Li FZ, Chen YX and He JJ. Telomere and diabetes (in Chinese). *Chinese Chronic Disease Prevention and Control* 2014; 22: 604–606.
- 8. Whiting P, Rutjes AW and Reitsma JB. The development of QUADAS: a tool for the quality assessment of studies of diagnostic accuracy included in systematic reviews. *BMC Med Res Methodol* 2003; 3: 25.
- Stang A. Critical evaluation of the Newcastle-Ottawa scale for the assessment of the quality of nonrandomized studies in meta-analyses. *Eur J Epidemiol* 2010; 25: 603–605.
- Dersimonian R and Laird N. Meta-analysis in clinical trials. *Control Clin Trials* 1986; 7: 177–188.
- 11. Zhou X, Qin B, Del Giovane C, et al. Efficacy and tolerability of antidepressants in the treatment of adolescents and young adults with depression and substance use disorders: a systematic review and metaanalysis. *Addiction* 2015; 110: 38–48.
- Lu Y, Lu Y, Cheng X, et al. Alteration and significance of telomere length of peripheral white blood cells in patients with type 1 diabetes mellitus (in Chinese). *Jiangsu Medicine* 2007; 33: 127–128.

- Liu ZL, Yang Y, Hu P, et al. The effect of glycemic control to the leukocyte telomere length in patients with Type 2 diabetes (in Chinese). *Journal of Clinical Department of Medicine* 2012; 29: 237–239.
- Ma D, Zhu W, Hu S, et al. Association between oxidative stress and telomere length in type 1and type 2 diabetic patients. *J Endocrinol Invest* 2013; 36: 1032–1037.
- Dudinskaya E, Brailova NV, Strazhesko ID, et al. The role of telomere biology and diabetes mellitus in vascular aging. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2014; 63: 2136.
- Fyhrquist F, Tiitu A, Saijonmaa O, et al. Telomere length and progression of diabetic nephropathy in patients with type 1 diabetes. *J Intern Med* 2010; 267: 278–286.
- Ma D, Yu Y, Yu X, et al. The changes of leukocyte telomere length and telomerase activity after sitagliptin intervention in newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Metab Res Rev* 2015; 31: 256–261.
- Adaikalakoteswari A, Balasubramanyam M and Mohan V. Telomere shortening occurs in Asian Indian type 2 diabetic patients. *Diabet Med* 2005; 22: 1151–1156.
- 19. Murillo-Ortiz B, Albarran-Tamayo F, Arenas-Aranda D, et al. Telomere length and type 2 diabetes in males, a premature aging syndrome. *Aging Male* 2012; 15: 54–58.
- 20. Liu Z, Zhang J, Yan J, et al. Leucocyte telomere shortening in relation to newly diagnosed type 2 diabetic patients with depression. *Oxid Med Cell Longev* 2014; 2014: 1–8.
- Sampson MJ, Winterbone MS, Hughes JC, et al. Monocyte telomere shortening and oxidative DNA damage in type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 2006; 29: 283–289.
- 22. Zee RY, Castonguay AJ, Barton NS, et al. Mean leukocyte telomere length shortening and type 2 diabetes mellitus: a case-control study. *Transl Res* 2010; 155: 166–169.
- Olivieri F, Lorenzi M, Antonicelli R, et al. Leukocyte telomere shortening in elderly Type2DM patients with previous myocardial infarction. *Atherosclerosis* 2009; 206: 588–593.
- 24. Testa R, Olivieri F, Sirolla C, et al. Leukocyte telomere length is associated with

complications of type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Diabet Med* 2011; 28: 1388–1394.

- Salpea KD, Talmud PJ, Cooper JA, et al. Association of telomere length with type 2 diabetes, oxidative stress and UCP2 gene variation. *Atherosclerosis* 2010; 209: 42–50.
- Monickaraj F, Aravind S, Gokulakrishnan K, et al. Accelerated aging as evidenced by increased telomere shortening and mito-chondrial DNA depletion in patients with type 2 diabetes. *Mol Cell Biochem* 2012; 365: 343–350.
- You NY, Chen BH, Song Y, et al. A prospective study of leukocyte telomere length and risk of type 2 diabetes in postmenopausal women. *Diabetes* 2012; 61: 2998–3004.
- Shen Q, Zhao X, Yu L, et al. Association of Leukocyte telomere length with type 2 diabetes in mainland Chinese populations. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2012; 97: 1371–1374.
- 29. Review Manager 5 Tutorial, Available from the Rev Man Help menu, Review Manager (RevMan) [Computer program], Version 5.0. Copenhagen: The Nordic Cochrane Centre. The Cochrane Collaboration 2008.
- Bekaert S, De Meyer T and Van Oostveldt P. Telomere attrition as aging biomarker. *Anticancer Res* 2005; 25: 3011–3021.
- D'Mello MJ, Ross SA, Briel M, et al. The association between shortened leukocyte telomere length and cardio-metabolic outcomes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Circ Cardiovasc Genet* 2015; 8: 82–90.
- Zhao J, Miao K, Wang H, et al. Association between telomere length and type 2 diabetes mellitus: a meta-analysis. *PLoS One* 2013; 8: e79993.
- Cawthon RM, Smith KR, O'Brien E, et al. Association between telomere length in blood and mortality in people aged 60 years or older. *Lancet* 2003; 361: 393–395.
- 34. Januszewski AS, Sutanto SS, McLennan S, et al. Shorter telomeres in adults with type 1 diabetes correlate with diabetes duration, but only weakly with vascular function and risk factors. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* 2016; 117: 4–11.
- 35. Uziel O, Singer JA, Danicek V, et al. Telomere dynamics in arteries and mononuclear cells of diabetic patients: effect of

diabetes and of glycemic control. *Exp Gerontol* 2007; 42: 971–978.

- Nishi H, Nakada T, Kyo S, et al. Hypoxiainducible factor 1 mediates up-regulation of telomerase (hTERT). *Mol Cell Biol* 2004; 24: 6076–6083.
- 37. Zhong YC, Luo PL, Jin GE, et al. The dynamic changing profiles of peripheral white blood cell telomere length in populations of different ages living at different altitude areas. *Zhonghua Yu Fang Yi Xue Za Zhi* 2008; 42: 502–505 [in Chinese, Abstract in English].
- 38. Satoh M, Ishikawa Y, Takahashi Y, et al. Association between oxidative DNA damage and telomere shortening in circulating endothelial progenitor cells obtained from metabolic syndrome patients with coronary artery disease. *Atherosclerosis* 2008; 198: 347–353.
- Robertson T, Batty GD, Der G, et al. Is telomere length socially patterned? Evidence from the West of Scotland twenty-07 study. *PLoS One* 2012; 7: e41805.
- Deroo LA, Parks CG, Kim S, et al. Childhood socioeconomic factors and telomere length. *Am J Epidemiol* 2009; 169: S88–S88.
- Sies H. Oxidative stress: oxidants and antioxidants. London: San Diego: Academic Press, 1991.
- Adams JM and White M. Biological ageing: a fundamental, biological link between socio-economic status and health? *Eur J Public Health* 2004; 14: 331–334.
- Blaze J, Asok A and Roth TL. The long-term impact of adverse caregiving environments on epigenetic modifications and telomeres. *Front Behav Neurosci* 2015; 9: 79.
- Kolb H, Kolb-Bachofen V and Roep BO. Autoimmune versus inflammatory type 1 diabetes: a controversy? *Immunol Today* 1995; 16: 170–172.
- 45. Wang G, Zhang Q, Xu N, et al. Associations between two polymorphisms (FokI and BsmI) of Vitamin D receptor gene and type 1 diabetes mellitus in Asian population: a meta-analysis. *PLoS One* 2014; 9: 89325.
- 46. Gavin JR, Alberti KGMM, Davidson MB, et al. Report of the expert committee on the

diagnosis and classification of diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes Care* 1998; 1: S5–S19.

- 47. American Diabetes Association Classification and diagnosis of diabetes. Sec2. In Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes 2015. *Diabetes Care* 2015; 38: S8-S16.
- 48. de Magalhaes JP. From cells to ageing: a review of models and mechanisms of cellular senescence and their impact on human ageing. *Exp Cell Res* 2004; 300: 1–10.
- Baxter AG and Jordan MA. From markers to molecular mechanisms: type 1 diabetes in the post-GWAS Era. *Rev Diabet Stud* 2012; 9: 201–223.
- Graham KL, Sutherland RM, Mannering SI, et al. Pathogenic mechanisms in type 1 diabetes: the islet is both target and driver of disease. *Rev Diabet Stud* 2012; 9: 148–168.
- Schneider DA and von Herrath MG. Potential viral pathogenic mechanism in human type 1 diabetes. *Diabetologia* 2014; 57: 2009–2018.
- Daniali L, Benetos A, Susser E, et al. Telomeres shorten at equivalent rates in somatic tissues of adults. *Nat Commun* 2013; 4: 1597.
- 53. Aviv A. Genetics of leukocyte telomere length and its role in atherosclerosis. *Mutat Res* 2012; 730: 68–74.
- 54. Entringer S, Epel ES, Kumsta R, et al. Stress exposure in intrauterine life is associated with shorter telomere length in young adulthood. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2011; 108: E513–E518.
- Peters A, Iverson E, Ruelas V, et al. Adult and childhood obesity and diabetes in underserved communities. *Diabetes* 2007; 56(Supplement 1): A241.
- Eckers A, Altschmied J and Haendeler J. Oxidative stress in endothelial cells and in diabetes type 2. Z Gerontol Geriatr 2012; 45: 90–94 [in German, English Abstract].
- Verhulst S, Dalgård C, Labat C, et al. A short leucocyte telomere length is associated with development of insulin resistance. *Diabetologia* 2016; 59: 1258–1265.
- Dabelea D and Harrod CS. Role of developmental overnutrition in pediatric obesity and type 2 diabetes. *Nutr Rev* 2013; 71(Suppl 1): S62–S67.

- Yang Y, Liu JL, Hu P, et al. Association of shortened telomere length with oxidative stress in leukocytes of T1DM and T2DM patients. *Chin J Diabetes* 2013; 21: 252–255.
- 60. Tentolouris N, Nzietchueng R, Cattan V, et al. White blood cells telomere length is shorter in males with type 2 diabetes and microalbuminuria. *Diabetes Care* 2007; 30: 2909–2915.
- Brownlee M. Biochemistry and molecular cell biology of diabetic complications. *Nature* 2001; 414: 813–820.
- Masi S, D'Aiuto F, Cooper J, et al. Telomere length, antioxidant status and incidence of ischaemic heart disease in type 2 diabetes. *Int J Cardiol* 2016; 216: 159–164.
- Ponlirolid AE and Galfi L. Duration of obesity is a risk factor for non-insulindependent diabetes mellitus, not for arterial hypertension or for hyperlipidaemia. *Acta Diabetol* 1998; 35: 130–136.
- Al-Goblan AS, Al-Alfi MA and Khan MZ. Mechanism linking diabetes mellitus and obesity. *Diabetes Metab Syndr Obes* 2014; 7: 587–591.
- 65. Jonas JC, Bensellam M, Duprez J, et al. Glucose regulation of islet stress responses and beta-cell failure in type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Obes Metab* 2009; 11(Suppl 4): 65–81.
- 66. Hardikar S, Song X, Risques RA, et al. Obesity and inflammation markers in relation to leukocyte telomere length in a crosssectional study of persons with Barrett's esophagus. *BMC obes* 2015; 2: 32.
- 67. Mutowo M, Gowda U, Mangwiro JC, et al. Prevalence of diabetes in Zimbabwe: a systematic review with meta-analysis. *Int J Public Health* 2015; 60: 1–11.
- Halperin L, Jung J and Michalak M. The many functions of the endoplasmic reticulum chaperones and folding enzymes. *IUBMB Life* 2014; 66: 318–326.
- 69. Kaufman RJ, Back SH, Song B, et al. The unfolded protein response is required to maintain the integrity of the endoplasmic reticulum, prevent oxidative stress and preserve differentiation in β-cells. *Diabetes Obes Metab* 2010; 12(Suppl 2): 99–107.
- 70. Ametov AS and Kulidzhanian NK. Diabetes mellitus is an independent risk factor for

cardiovascular disease. *Ter Arkh* 2012; 84: 91–94 [in Russian, English Abstract].

- Report of the expert committee on the diagnosis and classification of diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes Care* 1997; 20: 1183–1197.
- Sahin E, Colla S, Liesa M, et al. Telomere dysfunction induces metabolic and mitochondrial compromise. *Nature* 2011; 470: 359–365.
- Martínez P, Gómez-López G, García F, et al. RAP1 protects from obesity through its extratelomeric role regulating gene expression. *Cell rep* 2013; 3: 2059–2074.
- 74. Savarese G, Perrone-Filardi P, D'Amore C, et al. Cardiovascular effects of dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors in diabetic patients: a meta-analysis. *Int J Cardiol* 2015; 181: 239–244.
- Saliques S, Zeller M, Lorin J, et al. Telomere length and cardiovascular disease. *Arch Cardiovasc Dis* 2010; 103: 454–459.
- Benetos A, Dalgard C, Labat C, et al. Sex difference in leukocyte telomere length is ablated in opposite-sex co-twins. *Int J Epidemiol* 2014; 43: 799–1805.
- Needham BL, Diez Roux AV, Bradley R, et al. A Test of Biological and Behavioral Explanations for Gender Differences in Telomere Length: The Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis. *Biodemography Soc Biol* 2014; 60: 156–173.
- Lee DC, Im JA, Kim JH, et al. Effect of longterm hormone therapy on telomere length in postmenopausal women. *Yonsei Med J* 2005; 46: 471–479.
- Simoncini T, Hafezi-Moghadam A, Brazil DP, et al. Interaction of oestrogen receptor with the regulatory subunit of phosphatidylinositol-3-OH kinase. *Nature* 2000; 407: 538–541.
- Vasa M, Breitschopf K, Zeiher AM, et al. Nitric oxide activates telomerase and delays endothelial cell senescence. *Circ Res* 2000; 87: 540–542.
- Saltevo J, Kautiainen H and Vanhala M. Gender differences in adiponectin and low-grade inflammation among individuals with normal glucose tolerance, prediabetes, and type 2 diabetes. *Gend Med* 2009; 6: 463–470.

- Halm MA and Penque S. Heart failure in woman. *Prog Cardiovasc Nurs* 2000; 15: 121–133.
- Sackett DL. Evidence based medicine: How to practice and teach EMB, 2nd ed. Toronto: Churchill Livingstone Publish House, 2000.
- Elks CE and Scott RA. The long and short of telomere length and diabetes. *Diabetes* 2014; 63: 65–67.
- Salpea KD and Humphries SE. Telomere length in atherosclerosis and diabetes. *Atherosclerosis* 2010; 209: 35–38.