RESEARCH

Open Access

Right ventricular adaptation to pulmonary pressure load in patients with chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension before and after successful pulmonary endarterectomy - a cardiovascular magnetic resonance study

Andreas Rolf^{1,3*}, Johannes Rixe³, Won K Kim¹, Johannes Börgel¹, Helge Möllmann¹, Holger M Nef³, Christoph Liebetrau¹, Thorsten Kramm², Stefan Guth², Gabriele A Krombach⁴, Eckhard Mayer² and Christian W Hamm^{1,3}

Abstract

Background: The aim of the study was to characterize RV adaptation to varying loading conditions in patients with chronic thromboembolic hypertension (CTEPH) before and after pulmonary endarterectomy (PEA). Nearly 4% of patients with pulmonary embolism develop CTEPH. PEA offers a cure with excellent outcome. By use of cardiovascular magnetic resonance (CMR) combined with hemodynamic measurements pulmonary arterial elastance (E_{a-pulm_i}), end-systolic right ventricular elastance (E_{es-RV_i}) and ventriculo-arterial coupling (E_{a-pulm_i}/E_{es-RV_i}) can be studied before and after PEA.

Methods: Sixty-five patients (mean age 41 \pm 12 years, 28 female) underwent CMR pre- and post-PEA. Ejection fraction (EF), end-diastolic (EDV_i), end-systolic (ESV_i), and stroke (SV_i) volumes were indexed for body surface area. $E_{a-pulm-i}$ was calculated as pulmonary artery mean pressure (mPAP)/SV_i, and $E_{es-RV-i}$ as mPAP/ESV_i.

Results: mPAP decreased from 47 ± 12 to 25 ± 9 mmHg, p =0.0001. E_{a-pulm_i} was increased before PEA and normalized afterwards (2.8 ± 2.1 vs. 0.85 ± 0.4 mmHg/ml/m², p =0.0001). E_{es-RV_i} was depressed before and after PEA (0.72 ± 0.27 vs. 0.66 ± 0.3 mmHg/ml/m², p =0.13). EF improved from $25 \pm 12\%$ to $46 \pm 10\%$, p =0.0001, because ventriculo-arterial coupling was restored (4.2 ± 3 vs. 1.4 ± 0.6 , p =0.0001). EDV_i and ESVi mproved significantly (EDV_i 92 ± 32 to 72 ± 23 ml, p =0.0001; ESV_i 69 ± 31 to 41 ± 18 ml, p =0.0001).

Conclusion: RV function is largely determined by afterload and returns to normal once afterload is normalized. This is paralleled by a significant improvement of CMR indices of right ventricular remodelling.

Keywords: Cardiovascular magnetic resonance, Chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension, Pulmonary endarterectomy

Background

Chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension (CTEPH) is an important and frequent cause of pulmonary hypertension. The WHO has therefore acknowledged it as an independent entity in the renewed Dana Point Classification [1]. Estimates of its incidence after acute pulmonary

* Correspondence: andreas.rolf@me.com

Benekestrasse 2-8, Bad Nauheim 61231, Germany

embolism vary between 0.5 and 3.8% [2-4]. Detailed epidemiologic data are available from the UK, where dedicated pulmonary hypertension centers are monitored by the National Audit of Pulmonary Hypertenion (NAPH). Per year and per one million population 124 patients were seen, of which 19.2 percent were classified as having CTEPH, in the past annual surveillance period 143 patients underwent pulmonary endarterectomy (PEA) [5]. CTEPH is the only form of pulmonary hypertension that offers a potential cure PEA with excellent long-term results [6,7].



© 2014 Rolf et al.; licensee BioMed Central Ltd. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly credited. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

¹Department of Cardiology, Kerckhoff Heart and Thorax Centre,

³Department of Cardiology, University of Gießen, Gießen, Germany Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

The changes found in the pulmonary vasculature in CTEPH are a consequence of incomplete thrombus resolution, remodelling of the thrombus, and neoangiogenesis [8]. In later stages of the disease vascular remodelling extends beyond the central vessels and also involves the vascular bed not primarily affected by thrombemboli. At this stage the histopathology of the vasculature resembles that found in idiopathic pulmonary arterial hypertension [9]. Consequently, mean pulmonary arterial pressure (mPAP) and resistance (PVR) rise and are typically on the order of 49 ± 19 mmHg and $1,015 \pm 454$ dyn*sec*cm⁻⁵, respectively, in a surgically treated cohort [6]. This in turn leads to hemodynamic changes and remodelling of the right ventricle (RV). End-systolic and end-diastolic volumes increase while the ejection fraction deteriorates. Furthermore, diastolic properties of both ventricles are affected as diastolic pressures of the RV increase and a leftward shift of the septal wall ensues [10].

Deterioration of RV function can be readily assessed by cardiovascular magnetic resonance (CMR), which has emerged as the gold standard for evaluating RV function as it allows full coverage of the complex geometry of the RV [11-14]. This decline in RV function is preceded by a rise in RV afterload. In the early stages of the disease contractile properties of the RV compensate for this increase in afterload. Once the compensation is insufficient, RV function will quickly decrease.

For a more in-depth understanding of this process it is important to consider arterial load and ventricular performance independently as well as their coupling. $E_{a-pulm,,}$ the effective pulmonary artery elastance, is a well-validated measure of arterial load; E_{es-RV} characterizes the effective right ventricular elastance and is a measure of contractiliy. Their ratio E_{es-RV}/E_{a-pulm} describes ventriculo-arterial coupling, which should have a value close to 1.0 in order to achieve sufficient energy transfer from the RV to the pulmonary vasculature [15-19].

Traditionally, these parameters have been derived from conductance catheter measurements or simultaneously acquired CMR volumetric and right heart catheter (RHC) data [17]. Both methods are time-consuming, require specialized catheters, and are hence not suitable for clinical routine. Therefore, approximation methods have been proposed that are either completely non-invasive or combine CMR measurements and routine RHC measurements registered outside the MR suite [15,20-22].

In this study of 65 patients who underwent PEA for CTEPH, we combined cine-cardiac CMR data and hemodynamic data available from routine right heart catheterization to study RV adaption to pressure overload and reverse remodelling of the RV before and after surgery.

Although we are not aware of any study directly comparing CMR to Echo with respect to their accuracy of reflecting right ventricular remodelling in terms of volumes and mass, we are convinced that CMR is superior to Echo in this setting. While there are well validated echocardiographic indices of right ventricular function (TEI index, TAPSE etc.) volumetric evaluation of the RV is difficult with echo. Geometric assumptions on which left ventricular volumetric quantification is based, are not valid for the triangular shaped RV. In that respect CMR evaluation of the RV yields additional information not available from echo. D'Armini *et al.* report longitudinal data from CTEPH patients, which show reduced RV volumes after PEA, which are sustained after 12 month. The hemodynamic improvement, they found was paralleled by improved RV volumes and function. However the authors did not link hemodynamic data and CMR measurements.

CTEPH is an excellent setting to study these changes as it behaves like an on/off phenomenon of PH before and after surgery and is therefore the only entity of pulmonary hypertension, that allows to study potential reversal of CMR and hemodynamic indices of RV remodelling.

In this paper we try to establish a link between remodelling and the possible physiological bases for the volumetric changes observed by combining hemodynamic data and CMR indices.

Methods

Patients and ethics

We retrospectivley defined a two year period during which we screened all 159 patients who were referred to and underwent PEA.

Of these Sixty-five consecutive patients completed CMR as part of their perioperative routine workup and were enrolled in this study.

Indication for CMR was at the discretion of both the attending thoracic surgeon and cardiologist and was based on the need for further evaluation of right ventricular function.

Contraindications for CMR and exclusion criteria were renal failure with a glomerular filtration rate below 30 ml/min/1.73 m², incompatible metallic implants, known intolerance to gadolinium, and claustrophobia or the unability to lie supine for the duration of the protocol because of dyspnea.

The primary diagnosis of CTEPH was based on right heart catheter measurements, perfusion/ventilation scintigraphy and pulmonary angiography findings. All patients gave written informed consent. The study was approved by the ethics committee of the University of Gießen, Germany.

Hemodynamic background and formulas

Elastance describes the change in pressure for a given change in volume. Hence, effective arterial elastance of the pulmonary artery can be approximated by the following formula [19,20,22]:

$$E_{a-pulm} \approx RVESP/SV$$
 (1)

where RVESP is the end-systolic pressure of the RV and SV is the stroke volume of the RV. RVESP can be further approximated by [19]

$$RVESP \approx mPAP$$
 (2)

where mPAP is the systolic pressure of the pulmonary artery measured by routine RHC. Thus, effective arterial elastance can be simplified as

$$E_{a-pulm} \approx mPAP/SV$$
 (3)

 E_{a-pulm} is a reliable measure of the load faced by the right ventricle during systole and accounts for pulmonary vascular resistance, compliance, and impedance, and thus also includes the pulsatile components of arterial load [19,20].

Similarly, E_{es-RV} characterizes the chamber elastance at end-systole and can be approximated by [19,20]

$$E_{es-RV} \approx mPAP/RVESV$$
 (4)

where RVESV is the right ventricular end-systolic volume.

In order to provide sufficient energy transfer from the ventricle to the arterial system these properties should be equivalent. The ratio of these parameters, the ventriculo-arterial coupling, is defined as E_{a-pulm}/E_{es-RV} . Uncoupling describes the situation in which E_{es-RV} cannot compensate the disproportionate rise of E_{a-pulm} , and rapid deterioration of RV function ensues [19]. E_{a-pulm_i} and E_{es-RV_i} as well as E_{a-pulm}/E_{es-RV_i} were indexed for body surface area (BSA).

Cardiac MRI (CMR)

Volumetric measurements of right ventricular function were performed in a standard fashion by cine CMR covering the RV in short-axis slices from base to apex. Typical sequence parameters were TE 1.5 ms, TR 38.8 ms, 13 segments, 1.6×2.2 mm in-plane resolution, flip angle 70°, bandwidth 930 Hz/px, slice thickness 8 mm, interslice gap 2 mm.

Endocardial and epicardial contours were drawn on the RV to determine end-diastolic (EDV), end-systolic (ESV) and stroke (SV) volumes as well as RV myocardial mass (RVMASS) and ejection fraction (EF) using CAAS Software (Pie Medical, Maastricht, the Netherlands). Trabeculations were excluded from the myocardium. All volumetric parameters were normalized for BSA (EDV_i, ESV_i, RVMASS_i, SVi). Median time between preoperative CMR and surgery was 1 day (IQR 1- 3). Median time between postoperative CMR and surgery was 12 days (IQR 11-12).

Right Heart Catheter Measurements (RHC)

RHC measurements were performed using standard Swan Ganz catheters introduced via 6 F sheaths through the internal jugular, subclavian, or femoral vein. Measurements were obtained from routine RHC procedures during the preoperative evaluation and postoperative monitoring on the intensive care unit, median time difference between RHC and CMR preoperatively was 43 days (IQR 36 - 56) and median time between postoperative RHC and postoperative CMR was 11 days (IQR 10 - 11). Patients were not under therapy with vasoactive agents during postoperative RHC measurements.

Statistics

The Shapiro-Wilk test was used to test the data for normality. Metric values are presented as means \pm SD, and counts are presented as absolute frequencies and percentages. Student's t-test for paired data was used to test for significant differences between pre- and postoperative values. A chi-square test was used to compare count variables. An alpha error less than 0.05 was accepted as significant. All tests were computed using STATA11 (StataCorp, College Station, Texas, USA).

Results

Of the sixty-five consecutive patients enrolled in the study, 28 were female, the mean age was 56.7 ± 16 years, and the mean BSA was 1.96 m², the majority of patients was NYHA class III, 6 minute walking distance was 386 ± 116 before surgery and 399 ± 120 after surgery (Table 1). mPAP was markedly increased before PEA and significantly dropped after surgery (47 ± 12 vs. 25 ± 9 mmHg, p =0.0001). RV afterload, as represented by the effective arterial elastance E_{a-pulm_i} , was pathologically increased before PEA and decreased to near normal values after PEA (2.8 ± 2.1 vs. 0.85 ± 0.4 mmHg/ml/m², p =0.0001).

There was a lower, but not significantly lower right ventricular elastance E_{es-RV_i} after surgery, with only marginally changed absolute values (0.72 ± 0.27 vs. 0.66 ± 0.3 mmHg/ml/m², p =0.13). Consequently, there was marked ventriculo-arterial uncoupling E_{a-pulm}/E_{es-RV_i} before PEA, which significantly improved to near normal values after surgery (4.2 ± 3 vs. 1.4 ± 0.6 , p =0.0001) compare Figure 1.

The ejection fraction EF was severely depressed before PEA and significantly improved afterwards (25 ± 12 vs. $46 \pm 10\%$, p =0.0001). Right ventricular volumes (EDV_i and ESV_i) significantly decreased after PEA (92 ± 32 vs. 72 ± 23 ml/m², p =0.0001; 69 ± 31 vs. 41 ± 18 ml/m², p =0.0001, respectively). Conversely, SV_i improved significantly after PEA (22 ± 10 vs. 32 ± 9 ml/m², p =0.0001). RVMASS_i was increased before PEA and decreased significantly afterwards but was not clinically relevant (32 ± 9 vs. 30 ± 9 mg/m², p =0.03). Ea_pulm_i was well correlated

Table 1 patient characteristics, remodelling and hemodynamic parameters

	Before PEA	After PEA	p value
Mean age (years)	56.7 ± 16		
Female gender (%)	28(43)		
BSA (m ²)	1.96 ± 0.22		
NYHA class II(%)	7(11)		
NYHA class III(%)	48(74)		
NYHA class IV(%)	10(15)		
6 minute walking distance	386 ± 116	399 ± 120	0.48
mPAP (mmHg)	47 ± 12	25 ± 9	0.0001
PVR (dyn*sec/cm ⁵)	531 ± 176	331 ± 278	0.01
PCWP mmHg	8.7 ± 3.7	10.7 ± 5.7	0.19
CO (l/min)	4.7 ± 1.5	4.6 ± 1.3	0.7
EDVi (ml/m ²)	92 ± 32	72 ± 23	0.0001
ESVi (ml/m ²)	69 ± 31	41 ± 18	0.0001
SVi (ml/m²)	22 ± 10	32 ± 9	0.0001
RVMASSi (ml/m²)	32 ± 9	30 ± 9	0.03
EF (%)	25 ± 12	46 ± 10	0.0001
E _{a-pulm_i} (mmHg/ml/m ²)	2.8 ± 2.1	0.85 ± 0.4	0.0001
E _{es-RVi} (mmHg/ml/m ²)	0.72 ± 0.27	0.66 ± 0.3	0.13
E _{a-pulm} /E _{es-RV}	4.2 ± 3	1.4 ± 0.6	0.0001

Hemodynamic and volumetric results before and after PEA.

with mPAP (r =0.5499, p =0.0001) preoperatively and postoperatively Ea_pulm_i vs. mPAP (r =0.584, p =0.0004) compare Figure 2.

Compare Table 1 for an overview of the results.

Discussion

In contrast to pulmonary hypertension of other etiologies, CTEPH is potentially curable. After successful PEA, pulmonary vascular hemodynamics can return to normal [6]. Previous studies have shown that the RV has the extraordinary ability to undergo reverse remodelling after PEA, despite severely depressed function before surgery [23,24]. To the best of our knowledge this is the first study that examines RV adaptation to increased RV afterload in patients with CTEPH.

In combination with routine hemodynamic data, CMR offers the possibility to independently study RV function, remodelling, afterload, and contractile properties. Using this approach, we sought to determine how the RV adapts to increased afterload and what role RV afterload plays in the reverse remodelling of the RV.

Several methods have been proposed to quantify afterload without the use of conductance catheters for the right as well as the left ventricle, some even completely non-invasively [15,16,20,22,25]. The simplified method of calculating E_{a-pulm_i} and E_{es-RV_i} from mPAP and volumetric measurements seemed most reasonable to us, as it was simple to calculate and hemodynamic data were readily available over a long period postoperatively. Also, it was found to correlate well with PVR and complex methods to quantify E_a by several authors [15,20,22]. The negligence of the pulmonary capillary wedge pressure tends to overestimate E_{a-pulm} , but as we performed a longitudinal study, examining the same patients before and after PEA, this seemed irrelevant.

We found markedly increased pulmonary arterial load E_{a-pulm_i} before PEA that normalized after surgery. Data on normal values for E_{a-pulm_i} and RV volumetric parameters are sparse. On the basis of a normal value for mPAP of 10 to 20 mmHg and a stroke volume index of 55 ± 9 ml[14], a normal E_{a-pulm_i} can be estimated as ≈ 0.3 mmHg/ml/m². Consequently, the values of arterial load that we found were almost 9-fold higher than this calculated normal limit. These values are higher than published by Sanz *et al.* [20], who found an E_{a-pulm_i} of 0.88 in a cohort of 124 PH





patients of varying etiology and a similar mPAP (42 mmHg); however, only 3 patients of this cohort had CTEPH and ejection fraction as well as stroke volumes were much lower in our cohort, hence $E_{a\text{-pulm}_i}$ higher (RVEF 37% as compared to our 25% and 35.5 ml/m² as compared to our 22 ml/m²). Kühne *et al.* reported a similar E_{a-pulm} of 2.7 in a series of 6 patients with an mPAP of 56 mmHg, which was derived from simultaneously measured pressurevolume curves [17]. This value is slightly higher than that of our cohort; however, mPAP was also higher than that of our cohort by 13 mmHg. Conversely, Amin et al. found an $E_{\text{a-pulm}}$ (not normalized for BSA) of 0.75, which is lower than our values by the same degree, as mPAP in their study group was lower and stroke volumes were higher [22]. Thus, within the context of other published studies, our measurements seem plausible and demonstrate markedly increased RV afterload before PEA. Consequently, we also observed the typical remodelling of the RV with increased RVMASS_i and greater volumes.

The contractile state of the RV was depressed before and after PEA, with a trend towards lower values after surgery and only marginally changed absolute values. It is a typical response of the heart to increase E_{es} in the face of rising afterload, which has been intensively studied in the systemic circulation. This is frequently observed in hypertensive heart disease, where E_{es} compensates for increasing loading conditions until E_a increases disproportionately and Ees cannot rise accordingly [26-29]. In a swine model of repetitive acute pulmonary embolism, Kerbaul et al. found increasing E_{es-RV} in the initial period after the first embolism, which later decreased with rising afterload and reached levels below the initial value [30]. Ees-RV i values reported here are in good agreement with other studies [20,31]. Surprisingly E_{es-RV} i did not improve immediately after surgery. However, it is important to note that ESV_i decreased dramatically after PEA and that the computation of E_{es-RV_i} is based on a much smaller $\text{ESV}_{i^{\text{-}}}$ It is therefore essential to study $E_{\text{es-}RV_i}$ in relation to E_{a-pulm_i}.

The effective ventriculo-arterial coupling between pulmonary arterial vasculature and RV expressed as the ratio E_{a-pulm_i}/E_{es-RV_i} was markedly increased before PEA, indicating severe uncoupling. However, RV afterload dropped by 70% after PEA, and thus ventriculo-arterial coupling was restored despite the fact that contractile properties were still compromised, reflecting sufficient energy transfer from the RV to the pulmonary arterial system. The most efficient energy transfer was found to be at an E_a/E_{es} ratio of 0.6 to 1.2 for the left ventricle [27,32-34]; for the RV data on normal values are sparse. Kühne *et al.* reported an E_a/E_{es} ratio of 0.52 for controls and 0.91 for PH patients (in the original work E_{es}/E_a was reported; this is the inverse) [17], Sanz *et al.* reported an E_a/E_{es} ratio of 0.37 for controls and 1.26 for PH patients [20]. Hence, in light of data from these other publications, preoperative values of 4.2 indicate marked uncoupling of right ventricle and pulmonary artery. The postoperative value of 1.4 can probably be considered to be a significant improvement towards normal ventriculo-arterial coupling.

Essentially, PEA reverses the increased RV afterload like an on/off phenomenon in these CETPH patients. Our data show that the RV function is largely afterload dependent and that it can return to normal once normal pulmonary arterial afterload decreases and ventriculo-arterial coupling of the RV is restored. This is in good agreement with data of Kerbaul *et al.*, who showed in an animal model of pulmonary arterial embolism that levosimendan is superior to dobutamine in improving ventriculo-arterial coupling because it not only increases E_{es} (as dobutamine does) but sufficiently lowers E_{a} . Although contractility was more enhanced under dobutamine treatment, ventriculo-arterial coupling was better under levosimendan because afterload was nearly half the value found under dobutamine [30,35].

The E_{a-pulm_i}/E_{es-RV_i} ratio and the ejection fraction showed a curvilinear relationship, with a steep decline of the ejection fraction in the early phase of uncoupling (compare Figure 3).

Hemodynamic changes were paralleled by reverse remodelling of RV volumes and an improvement in the



ejection fraction. ESV_i decreased by 40% while RV-EF almost doubled. This has been described previously [24,36]; however, it is remarkable that the RV maintains the ability to undergo reverse remodelling despite its severely reduced function before PEA. Of note, the RV was also considerably hypertrophied, suggesting myocyte hypertrophy as well as interstitial adaptation are involved. Nevertheless, RV volumes and EF values returned to almost normal. As RV afterload and ventriculo-arterial coupling changed most substantially, these parameters seem to have the pivotal role in remodelling and reverse remodelling. The instant remodelling of the RV also suggests that we did not simply measure a short-term hemodynamic effect but a lasting restoration of ventricular and pulmonary arterial function.

Limitations

The inferences made in this study are based on surrogate markers and not on the gold standard of conductance catheters. However, these markers have been thoroughly validated in previous studies [20,22,30]. This is the largest cohort of CTEPH patients published to date and all parameters have been evaluated in a longitudinal setting, allowing conclusions from our data about the general physiology of the right ventricle.

In this paper we have looked at remodelling in terms of changed RV-volumes, mass and ejection fraction and a possible physiological basis for these changes. These findings do not allow to make inferences to histological remodelling. With the advent and further improvement of T1 Mapping techniques, we might be able to correlate our findings with interstitial remodelling in the future.

Conclusion

By combining readily available data from routine RHC measurements and CMR volumetric data in CTEPH patients, we were able to quantify RV contractile properties and pulmonary arterial afterload. We demonstrated that RV function is largely determined by afterload and returns to normal once ventriculo-arterial coupling and effective pulmonary arterial elastance are restored by PEA.

This makes CMR in combination with simple RHC measurements an ideal tool to study RV properties in the follow up of PH patients in the clinical and study setting.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

AR contributed to the design of the study, acquired and analyzed the data and drafted the manuscript. JR, WK, and JB contributed to data acquisition, design and analysis of the data and revised the manuscript. HM, HN, CL, HG, GK and CH contributed to the idea and design of the study, analysis of the data and revision of the manuscript. TK, SG and EM performed surgery and contributed to the design and idea of the study from the surgeons perspective and revised the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Author details

¹Department of Cardiology, Kerckhoff Heart and Thorax Centre, Benekestrasse 2-8, Bad Nauheim 61231, Germany. ²Department of Thoracic Surgery, Kerckhoff Heart and Thorax Centre, Bad Nauheim, Germany. ³Department of Cardiology, University of Gießen, Gießen, Germany. ⁴Department of Radiology, University of Gießen, Gießen, Germany.

Received: 27 February 2014 Accepted: 14 November 2014 Published online: 05 December 2014

References

- Nef HM, Mollmann H, Hamm C, Grimminger F, Ghofrani HA. Updated classification and management of pulmonary hypertension. *Heart*. 2010; 96:552–9.
- Pengo V, Lensing AW, Prins MH, Marchiori A, Davidson BL, Tiozzo F, Albanese P, Biasiolo A, Pegoraro C, Iliceto S, Pradoni P. Incidence of chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension after pulmonary embolism. N Engl J Med. 2004; 350:2257–64.
- Moser KM, Auger WR, Fedullo PF. Chronic major-vessel thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension. *Circulation*. 1990; 81:1735–43.
- Jamieson SW, Kapelanski DP. Pulmonary endarterectomy. Curr Probl Surg. 2000; 37:165–252.
- Gibbs JSR. Audit of pulmonary hypertension. In: Book Audit of Pulmonary Hypertension. Leeds, England: Health and Social Care Information Centre Part of the government statistical service; 2013.
- Mayer E, Dahm M, Hake U, Schmid FX, Pitton M, Kupferwasser I, Iversen S, Oelert H. Mid-term results of pulmonary thromboendarterectomy for chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 1996; 61:1788–92.
- Archibald CJ, Auger WR, Fedullo PF, Channick RN, Kerr KM, Jamieson SW, Kapelanski DP, Watt CN, Moser KM. Long-term outcome after pulmonary thromboendarterectomy. Am J Respir Crit Care Meds. 1999; 160:523–8.
- Lang I. Advances in understanding the pathogenesis of chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension. Br J Haematol. 2010; 149:478–83.
- Blauwet LA, Edwards WD, Tazelaar HD, McGregor CG. Surgical pathology of pulmonary thromboendarterectomy: a study of 54 cases from 1990 to 2001. *Human Pathol.* 2003; 34:1290–8.
- 10. Kret M, Arora R. Pathophysiological basis of right ventricular remodeling. *J Cardiovasc Pharmacol Ther.* 2007; **12:**5–14.
- Champion HC, Michelakis ED, Hassoun PM. Comprehensive invasive and noninvasive approach to the right ventricle-pulmonary circulation unit: state of the art and clinical and research implications. *Circulation*. 2009; 120:992–1007.
- Swift AJ, Rajaram S, Condliffe R, Capener D, Hurdman J, Elliot CA, Wild JM, Kiely DG. Diagnostic accuracy of cardiovascular magnetic resonance of right ventricular morphology and function in the assessment of suspected pulmonary hypertension. J Cardiovasc Magn Reson. 2012; 14:40.
- Grothues F, Moon JC, Bellenger NG, Smith GS, Klein HU, Pennell DJ. Interstudy reproducibility of right ventricular volumes, function, and mass with cardiovascular magnetic resonance. *Am Heart J.* 2004; 147:218–23.
- Hudsmith LE, Petersen SE, Francis JM, Robson MD, Neubauer S. Normal human left and right ventricular and left atrial dimensions using steady state free precession magnetic resonance imaging. J Cardiovasc Magn Reson. 2005; 7:775–82.
- Morimont P, Lambermont B, Ghuysen A, Gerard P, Kolh P, Lancellotti P, Tchana-Sato V, Desaive T, D'Orio V. Effective arterial elastance as an index of pulmonary vascular load. Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol. 2008; 294: H2736–42.
- Lankhaar J-W, Westerhof N, Faes TJC, Marques KMJ, Marcus JT, Postmus PE, Vonk Noordegraaf A. Quantification of right ventricular afterload in patients with and without pulmonary hypertension. Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol. 2006; 291:H1731–7.
- Kuehne T, Yilmaz S, Steendijk P, Moore P, Groenink M, Saaed M, Weber O, Higgins CB, Ewert P, Fleck E, Nagel E, Schulze-Neick I, Lange P. Magnetic resonance imaging analysis of right ventricular pressure-volume loops: in vivo validation and clinical application in patients with pulmonary hypertension. *Circulation*. 2004; 110:2010–6.
- Haddad F, Hunt SA, Rosenthal DN, Murphy DJ. Right ventricular function in cardiovascular disease, Part I anatomy, physiology, aging, and functional assessment of the right ventricle. 2008; 117:1436-1448.

- Krüger W, Ludmann AJ. Arterio-ventricular coupling. In: Acute Heart Failure. 1st ed. Basel: Birkhaeuser; 2009.
- Sanz J, García-Alvarez A, Fernández-Friera L, Nair A, Mirelis JG, Sawit ST, Pinney S, Fuster V. Right ventriculo-arterial coupling in pulmonary hypertension: a magnetic resonance study. *Heart.* 2011; 98:238-243.
- Kelly RP, Ting CT, Yang TM, Liu CP, Maughan WL, Chang MS, Kass DA. Effective arterial elastance as index of arterial vascular load in humans. *Circulation.* 1992; 86:513–21.
- Amin A, Taghavi S, Esmaeilzadeh M, Bakhshandeh H, Naderi N, Maleki M. Pulmonary arterial elastance for estimating right ventricular afterload in systolic heart failure. *Congest Heart Fail*. 2011; 17:288–93.
- Reesink HJ, Marcus JT, Tulevski II, Jamieson S, Kloek JJ, Vonk Noordegraaf A, Bresser P. Reverse right ventricular remodeling after pulmonary endarterectomy in patients with chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension: utility of magnetic resonance imaging to demonstrate restoration of the right ventricle. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 2007; 133:58–64.
- Kreitner K-FJ, Ley S, Kauczor H-U, Mayer E, Kramm T, Pitton MB, Krummenauer F, Thelen M. Chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension: pre- and postoperative assessment with breath-hold MR imaging techniques. *Radiology*. 2004; 232:535–43.
- Chen CH, Fetics B, Nevo E, Rochitte CE, Chiou KR, Ding PA, Kawaguchi M, Kass DA. Noninvasive single-beat determination of left ventricular end-systolic elastance in humans. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2001; 38:2028–34.
- Lam CSP, Shah AM, Borlaug BA, Cheng S, Verma A, Izzo J, Oparil S, Aurigemma GP, Thomas JD, Pitt B, Zile MR, Scott DS. Effect of antihypertensive therapy on ventricular-arterial mechanics, coupling, and efficiency. Eur Heart J. 2013; 34:676–83.
- Sunagawa K, Maughan WL, Sagawa K. Optimal arterial resistance for the maximal stroke work studied in isolated canine left ventricle. *Circ Res.* 1985; 56:586–95.
- Sunagawa K, Maughan WL, Sagawa K. Stroke volume effect of changing arterial input impedance over selected frequency ranges. Am J Physiol. 1985; 248:H477–484.
- Borlaug BA, Lam CSP, Roger VL, Rodeheffer RJ, Redfield MM. Contractility and ventricular systolic stiffening in hypertensive heart disease insights into the pathogenesis of heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2009; 54:410–18.
- Kerbaul F, Gariboldi V, Giorgi R, Mekkaoui C. Effects of levosimendan on acute pulmonary embolism-induced right ventricular failure*. *Crit Care.* 2007; 35:1948-1954.
- Kerbaul F, Rondelet B, Motte S, Fesler P. Effects of norepinephrine and dobutamine on pressure load-induced right ventricular failure*. *Crit Care*, 2004; 32:1035-1046.
- Asanoi H, Sasayama S, Kameyama T. Ventriculoarterial coupling in normal and failing heart in humans. Circ Res. 1989; 65:483–93.
- De Tombe PP, Jones S, Burkhoff D, Hunter WC, Kass DA. Ventricular stroke work and efficiency both remain nearly optimal despite altered vascular loading. *Am J Physiol.* 1993; 264:H1817–1824.
- Starling MR. Left ventricular-arterial coupling relations in the normal human heart. Am Heart J. 1993; 125:1659–66.
- Kerbaul F, Rondelet B, Demester J-P, Fesler P, Huez S, Naeije R, Brimioulle S. Effects of levosimendan versus dobutamine on pressure load-induced right ventricular failure. *Crit Care Med.* 2006; 34:2814–19.
- D'Armini AM, Zanotti G, Ghio S, Magrini G, Pozzi M, Scelsi L, Meloni G, Klersy C, Viganò M. Reverse right ventricular remodeling after pulmonary endarterectomy. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 2007; 133:162–68.

doi:10.1186/s12968-014-0096-7

Cite this article as: Rolf *et al.*: Right ventricular adaptation to pulmonary pressure load in patients with chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension before and after successful pulmonary endarterectomy - a cardiovascular magnetic resonance study. *Journal of Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance* 2014 **16**:96.