## npg

### Letter to the Editor

# Comment on 'A comparison of three methods for detecting KRAS mutations in formalin-fixed colorectal cancer specimens'

### N Normanno\*,1,2, A Rachiglio2, C Roma2, F Ciardiello3 and C Pinto4

<sup>1</sup>Cell Biology and Biotherapy Unit, INT-Fondazione Pascale, Naples 80131, Italy; <sup>2</sup>Laboratory of Pharmacogenomic, Centro di Ricerche Oncologiche di Mercogliano—CROM Mercogliano (AV) 83013, Italy; <sup>3</sup>Medical Oncology, Department of Experimental and Clinical Medicine and Surgery F. Magrassi and A. Lanzara, Second University of Naples, Naples, Italy; <sup>4</sup>Medical Oncology, S Orsola-Malpighi Hospital, Bologna, Italy

British Journal of Cancer (2012) **107**, 1791–1792. doi:10.1038/bjc.2012.431 www.bjcancer.com Published online 25 September 2012 © 2012 Cancer Research UK

Sir,

The study by Gonzalez de Castro et al (2012) published in British Journal of Cancer compared the sensitivity and specificity of three different methods, the COBAS KRAS mutation kit by Roche (Basel, Switzerland), the Therascreen KRAS kit by Qiagen (Hilden, Germany) and direct Sanger sequencing of PCR product (PCR/ sequencing), to detect KRAS mutations in formalin-fixed paraffinembedded tissues from colorectal carcinoma (CRC) patients. The study clearly demonstrated the good reproducibility of the COBAS test. However, we feel that some conclusions might be misleading.

The authors compared COBAS and PCR/sequencing in a cohort of samples including specimens with high levels of necrosis, low tumour content and low-frequency mutation. The COBAS showed higher sensitivity as compared with PCR/sequencing, although a surprising excellent agreement between the two methods was found in the most challenging specimens. However, the sensitivity of PCR/ sequencing can be significantly improved by enrichment of the tumour cell content through macrodissection, which was not performed in this study. Therefore, we feel that the results obtained by the authors might be flawed by the inappropriate processing of the specimens. In this regard, we and other groups have previously demonstrated that real-time PCR-based techniques such as the Therascreen kit are superior to PCR/sequencing only in specimens with  $\leq 30\%$  tumour cells after macrodissection, which are relatively rare in CRC (Carotenuto *et al*, 2010; Tol *et al*, 2010).

The authors stressed in their conclusions the importance to assess all KRAS mutations, including codon 61 mutations, in agreement with the approval by the European Medical Agency of anti-EGFR monoclonal antibodies (MAbs) for KRAS wild-type CRC patients. However, the clinical studies that led to the approval of EGFR MAbs for KRAS wild-type CRC patients only investigated codons 12 and 13 mutations, and in the majority of the studies only the seven most frequent KRAS mutations detected by the

Therascreen kit were assessed (Amado et al, 2008; Karapetis et al, 2008; Van Cutsem et al, 2009; Bokemeyer et al, 2011). The data regarding the role of codon 61 mutations in the resistance to anti-EGFR agents in CRC have not been obtained in the context of randomized clinical trials. In addition, these data are related only to patients that have been treated with anti-EGFR MAbs as monotherapy in third or further lines of therapy, or that received cetuximab to revert resistance to irinotecan (De Roock et al, 2011). These findings cannot be transferred to patients treated in first or second line with combinations of polychemotherapy regimens and anti-EGFR MAbs, as recently suggested for BRAF mutations (Van Cutsem et al, 2011). Therefore, the correct interpretation of these mutations is that their role in the resistance to anti-EGFR MAbs in CRC has not been proven yet.

Analysis with COBAS resulted in two false-positive cases (one in each site). We believe the fact that this system does not allow the operator to analyse the amplification curves and provides only a result of 'mutation detected' or 'mutation not detected', might lead to misleading results that could be avoided by the analysis of raw data by experienced molecular biologists.

The COBAS kit does not distinguish between mutations in codons 12 and 13. Although the role of G13D mutation in the resistance to anti-EGFR MAbs is not clear because of the contrasting results that have been reported up to now (Peeters et al, 2011; Tejpar et al, 2012), the fact that the COBAS KRAS kit does not tell between codons 12 and 13 mutations limits the possibility to increase our knowledge on the outcome of these different mutations.

In conclusion, the superiority of COBAS and more generally of real-time PCR-based methods over PCR/sequencing is limited to a small fraction of CRC specimens, and reporting of rare KRAS mutations not investigated in randomized clinical trials should be accompanied by a cautious interpretation.

#### REFERENCES

Amado RG, Wolf M, Peeters M, Van Cutsem E, Siena S, Freeman DJ Juan T, Sikorski R, Suggs S, Radinsky R, Patterson SD, Chang DD (2008)

\*Correspondence: Dr N Normanno;

E-mail: nicnorm@yahoo.com or n.normanno@istitutotumori.na.it

Published online 25 September 2012

Wild-type KRAS is required for panitumumab efficacy in patients with metastatic colorectal cancer. *J Clin Oncol* **26**: 1626–1634

Bokemeyer C, Bondarenko I, Hartmann JT, de Braud F, Schuch G, Zubel A, Celik I, Schlichting M, Koralewski P (2011) Efficacy according to biomarker status of cetuximab plus FOLFOX-4 as first-line treatment for metastatic colorectal cancer: the OPUS study. Ann Oncol 22: 1535–1546 1792

- Carotenuto P, Roma C, Rachiglio AM, Tatangelo F, Pinto C, Ciardiello F, Nappi O, Iaffaioli RV, Botti G, Normanno N (2010) Detection of KRAS mutations in colorectal carcinoma patients with an integrated PCR/ sequencing and real-time PCR approach. *Pharmacogenomics* 11: 1169–1179
- De Roock W, De Vriendt V, Normanno N, Ciardiello F, Tejpar S (2011) KRAS, BRAF, PIK3CA, and PTEN mutations: implications for targeted therapies in metastatic colorectal cancer. *Lancet Oncol* 12: 594–603
- Gonzalez de Castro D, Angulo B, Gomez B, Mair D, Martinez R, Suarez-Gauthier A, Shieh F, Velez M, Brophy VH, Lawrence HJ, Lopez-Rios F (2012) A comparison of three methods for detecting KRAS mutations in formalin-fixed colorectal cancer specimens. *Br J Cancer* 107(2): 345–351
- Karapetis CS, Khambata-Ford S, Jonker DJ, O'Callaghan CJ, Tu D, Tebbutt NC, Simes RJ, Chalchal H, Shapiro JD, Robitaille S, Price TJ, Shepherd L, Au H-J, Langer C, Moore MJ, Zalcberg JR (2008) K-ras mutations and benefit from cetuximab in advanced colorectal cancer. N Engl J Med 359: 1757–1765
- Peeters M, Douillard JY, Van Cutsem E, Siena S, Zhang K, Williams R, Wiezorek J (2011) Evaluation of individual codon 12 and 13 mutant KRAS alleles as prognostic and predictive biomarkers of response to panitumumab in patients with metastatic colorectal cancer. *Eur J Cancer* 47(suppl 2): 16

- Tejpar S, Celik I, Schlichting M, Sartorius U, Bokemeyer C, Van Cutsem E. Association of KRAS G13D tumor mutations with outcome in patients with metastatic colorectal cancer treated with first-line chemotherapy with or without cetuximab (2012) *J Clin Oncol*; e-pub ahead of print 25 June 2012; doi:10.1200/JCO.2012.42.2592
- Tol J, Dijkstra JR, Vink-Borger ME, Nagtegaal ID, Punt CJ, van Krieken JH, Ligtenberg MJ (2010) High sensitivity of both sequencing and real-time PCR analysis of KRAS mutations in colorectal cancer tissue. *J Cell Mol Med* 14: 2122–2131
- Van Cutsem E, Kohne C-H, Hitre E, Zaluski J, Chang Chien C-R, Makhson A, D'Haens G, Pinter T, Lim R, Bodoky G, Roh JK, Folprecht G, Ruff P, Stroh C, Tejpar S, Schlichting M, Nippgen J, Rougier P (2009) Cetuximab and chemotherapy as initial treatment for metastatic colorectal cancer. *N Engl J Med* 360: 1408–1417
- Van Cutsem E, Kohne CH, Lang I, Folprecht G, Nowacki MP, Cascinu S, Shchepotin I, Maurel J, Cunningham D, Tejpar S, Schlichting M, Zubel A, Celik I, Rougier P, Ciardiello F (2011) Cetuximab plus irinotecan, fluorouracil, and leucovorin as first-line treatment for metastatic colorectal cancer: updated analysis of overall survival according to tumor KRAS and BRAF mutation status. J Clin Oncol 29: 2011–2019