

# The presence of the DNA repair genes *mutM*, *mutY*, *mutL*, and *mutS* is related to proteome size in bacterial genomes

## Aurian Garcia-Gonzalez, Ruben J. Rivera-Rivera and Steven E. Massey \*

Biology Department, University of Puerto Rico – Rio Piedras, San Juan, Puerto Rico

#### Edited by:

Frank T. Robb, University of California, USA

#### Reviewed by:

Awdhesh Kalia, University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, USA David Nelson, Indiana University Bloomington, USA Zvi Kelman, University of Maryland, USA

#### \*Correspondence:

Steven E. Massey, Biology Department, University of Puerto Rico – Rio Piedras, PO Box 23360, San Juan PR 00931, Puerto Rico. e-mail: stevenemassey@gmail.com

DNA repair is expected to be a modulator of underlying mutation rates, however the major factors affecting the distribution of DNA repair pathways have not been determined. The Proteomic Constraint theory proposes that mutation rates are inversely proportional to the amount of heredity information contained in a genome, which is effectively the proteome. Thus, organisms with larger proteomes are expected to possess more efficient DNA repair. We show that an important factor influencing the presence or absence of four DNA repair genes mutM, mutY, mutL, and mutS is indeed the size of the bacterial proteome. This is true both of intracellular and other bacteria. In addition, the relationship of DNA repair to genome GC content was examined. In principle, if a DNA repair pathway is biased in the types of mutations it corrects, this may alter the genome GC content. The presence of the mismatch repair genes mutL and mutS was not correlated with genome GC content, consistent with their involvement in an unbiased DNA repair pathway. In contrast, the presence of the base excision repair genes mutM and mutY, whose products both correct  $GC \rightarrow AT$  mutations, was positively correlated with genome GC content, consistent with their biased repair mechanism. Phylogenetic analysis however indicates that the relationship between the presence of *mutM* and *mutY* genes and genome GC content is not a simple one.

Keywords: proteome size, AT bias, DNA repair, bacterial genome

### **INTRODUCTION**

DNA repair is fundamental for the survival of organisms but some bacterial genomes, particularly intracellular bacteria, lack DNA repair genes that are well conserved elsewhere (Himmelreich et al., 1996; Glass et al., 2000; Moran and Wernegreen, 2000; Shigenobu et al., 2000; Moran and Mira, 2001; Akman et al., 2002; Moran, 2002; Dale et al., 2003). The reason for these absences is unclear. An explanation is provided by the Proteomic Constraint theory, which proposes a selective pressure proportional to the size of the proteome (defined as the total number of codons) that acts to maintain the integrity of heredity information. The theory proposes that a larger proteome exerts a larger selective pressure (Proteomic Constraint) to minimize the occurrence of mutations (Massey and Garey, 2007; Massey, 2008). This is because the size of the mutational target is larger, and hence the mutational load is likely to be higher. This is expected to result in the evolution and maintenance of proofreading and DNA repair mechanisms. It follows that a reduction in the size of a proteome over evolutionary time will result in a reduction of the selection pressure, leading to loss of proofreading and DNA repair mechanisms. The proteomes of intracellular bacteria, for instance, have undergone sometimes extreme reductions in size, thus the absence of some DNA repair genes is consistent with this explanation. A distinction is made between proteome size and genome size. Not all regions of a genome are under evolutionary constraint; this is especially so in the case of eukaryotes that may have large amounts of junk DNA, but also the case with bacteria; for example, intergenic regions and significant parts of the regulatory regions. The proteome is used as

a proxy for the total amount of information in a genome because (1) the large majority of heredity information is protein coding; (2) it is accurate to calculate. Ideally, the regulatory regions should be included in the calculation (Massey, 2008) – but this is difficult to calculate computationally; even if there is an estimate for one species of bacteria, it is not clear how this would vary for all the different species of bacteria with vastly different lifestyles and habitats.

Alternatively, both the action of Muller's ratchet and increased drift have been invoked to account for a decrease in the strength of selection observed in intracellular genomes (Muller's ratchet; Lynch, 1996, 1998; Moran, 1996; Brynnel et al., 1998; Lynch and Blanchard, 1998; increased drift; Wernegreen and Moran, 1999; Funk et al., 2001; Herbeck et al., 2003; Fry and Wernegreen, 2005; Mamirova et al., 2007; Kuo et al., 2009). These factors could also account for a reduction in the selection pressure to retain DNA repair genes in intracellular bacterial genomes. However, as formulated these explanations do not apply to extracellular bacteria, as it has been proposed that both Muller's ratchet and increased drift are a consequence of the intracellular lifestyle.

Differences in DNA repair may potentially influence genome GC content; genome GC contents, particularly of bacteria, have been known to differ widely since the early days of nucleic acid biochemistry, but an accepted explanation is lacking. Various hypotheses fall into two categories; adaptationist and neutralist, and are applicable to all three domains of life. Adaptationist explanations invoke changes in genome GC content as an adaptation to factors such as temperature (body temperature; Bernardi et al.,

1988, environmental temperature; Kagawa et al., 1984), halophilic conditions (Kennedy et al., 2001), aerobic environments (Naya et al., 2002), low nitrogen environments (Dufresne et al., 2005), high UV environments (Singer and Ames, 1970), or energetic costs (Rocha and Danchin, 2002). Neutralist explanations invoke changes in mutation bias as a cause of variations in genome GC content (Freese, 1962; Sueoka, 1962). In principle, if a DNA repair pathway preferentially corrects GC  $\rightarrow$  AT or AT  $\rightarrow$  GC mutations, then it has the potential to alter the genome GC content (King and Jukes, 1969). This assumes that most mutations are neutral, or nearly neutral. While changes in mutation bias is a potential proximate cause for differences in genome GC content, the ultimate (mechanistic) cause remains elusive and is addressed here.

The hypothesis that differences in DNA repair has led to changes in genome GC content has not been tested, and if correct it is unclear which genes amongst the many DNA repair genes are responsible for exerting an effect on genome GC content. The gene products of *mutM* and *mutY*, which are components of the base excision repair (BER) pathway, may be able to influence genome GC content, as they both correct  $GC \rightarrow AT$  mutations (Michaels et al., 1991; Noll et al., 1999; Figure 1A). Consequently, it has been demonstrated that deletion of the Salmonella typhimurium mutM and mutY BER genes leads to an elevation in  $GC \rightarrow AT$ mutations (Lind and Andersson, 2008), consistent with findings regarding deletion of these genes in Escherichia coli (mutY, Nghiem et al., 1988; mutM, Cabrera et al., 1988), Helicobacter pylori (mutY, Kulick et al., 2008), and Neisseria meningitidis (mutY, Davidsen et al., 2005). Unbiased DNA repair pathways include the mismatch repair (MMR) pathway. The pathway recognizes seven of the eight possible mismatches in bacteria (Lahue et al., 1989). In contrast to mutM and mutY, deletion of each of the mutL and mutS MMR genes (Figure 1B) in *E. coli* results in a large increase in transitions (Schaaper and Dunn, 1987), but these do not show a difference in GC/AT mutation bias compared to wild type strains (Schaaper

et al., 1986), consistent with the unbiased nature of the MMR pathway.

In the analyses described here, the distribution of genes involved in the biased BER pathway and the unbiased MMR pathway were compared to proteome sizes and genome GC contents, across 699 complete bacterial genomes. Proteome size is shown to be a factor influencing the presence or absence of DNA repair genes in bacterial genomes. A positive relationship between genome GC content and the presence of the biased repair *mutM* and *mutY* genes is shown, indicating that they may be an influence on genome GC content. However, phylogenetic analysis indicates that the relationship is not a simple one.

# **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

#### **GENE AND GENOME DATA**

All 699 completed bacterial genomes present in the Integrated Microbial Genomes (IMG) database (Joint Genomes Institute) on 14th January 2009 were used for analysis. DNA repair genes chosen for the analysis were mutM (8-oxoguanine DNA glycosylase) and mutY (adenine DNA glycosylase; BER) and mutL and mutS (MMR). These are the best characterized members of a biased DNA repair pathway (BER) and an unbiased DNA repair pathway (MMR). The presence or absence of the genes in complete genomes was initially determined using gene annotations. Genomes that lacked an annotated gene were then Blast searched using the respective gene sequence from a related bacterium, chosen according to the relationships displayed at the NCBI microbial Blast web-site (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sutils/genom\_table.cgi), in order to verify the absence of the gene. Hits of an expect value below E-15 were discounted. In the interests of accuracy, for each gene this process was conducted manually and separately by two workers, the gene identifications were subsequently cross checked after the genes were identified from their IMG annotations, and subsequently any additional homologs identified by



Blast searching were cross checked. Proteome sizes were calculated from the respective GenBank genome entries using a Perl script that counted the amino acids present in predicted ORFs, and included plasmids.

The definitions of the different bacterial phenotypes analyzed in the study were as follows. Intracellular bacteria were defined as those bacteria that have an obligate intracellular existence in a host cell, extracellular bacteria are defined as all remaining bacteria; these may live outside a host cell but inside host tissue, or may live in the environment without a close association with another organism, these are termed free living bacteria. Pathogenic bacteria have the ability to act as a pathogen. They may be host associated or opportunistic. Host associated pathogenic bacteria are those that reside within or on a host for any part of their lifecycle and typically cause disease, while opportunistic pathogens do not necessarily reside in or on a host, and if they do, do not typically cause disease. Some bacteria may be both commensal and pathogenic; these are classified as host associated pathogens and include H. pylori and Xylella fastidiosa. Host associated pathogenic bacteria may be intracellular or extracellular.

#### **TESTING THE DIFFERENCES OF MEANS**

Mean genome GC contents and proteome sizes were generated for genomes that possessed or lacked individual DNA repair genes. The differences in these means were examined statistically. The datasets generated were not normally distributed (data not shown); skew in the data may affect Student's *t*-test (Bridge and Sawilowsky, 1999). Thus, the non-parametric Mann–Whitney test was conducted on the datasets. While gain/loss events are likely to be nested amongst lineages, this is expected to affect N, however the test is of facility in determining a non – significant difference in means.

#### **USE OF THE PHI COEFFICIENT TO ANALYZE GENE INTERACTIONS**

The co-distribution of the four DNA repair genes in the 699 genomes was examined by using the phi coefficient. This was conducted as follows on each pairwise combination of genes (six in total). The tabulated data were transformed into binary notation whereby 0 represented the absence of a gene in a genome and 1 represented the presence of a gene in a genome. The data were inputted into the following equation:

$$\Phi = \frac{ad - bc}{\sqrt{(a+b) \cdot (c+d) \cdot (a+c) \cdot (b+d)}}$$

where  $\Phi$  is the phi coefficient, and the values *a*, *b*, *c*, *d* refer to the table below

|                  | Gen              |                 |        |
|------------------|------------------|-----------------|--------|
|                  | 1 (Gene present) | 0 (Gene absent) |        |
| 1 (Gene present) | а                | b               | Gene z |
| 0 (Gene absent)  | С                | d               |        |

A significant correlation ( $\Phi = +0.3$  to +0.7 is a weak positive association,  $\Phi = +0.7$  to +1.0 is a strong positive association)

can be interpreted two ways: either there is a positive epistatic interaction between the two genes leading to a selective pressure to maintain the two genes in the genome, or similar mutational pressures lead to a selective pressure for maintenance of the two genes in the genome. The latter assumes that the two genes are involved in repairing the same types of mutations. This methodology may be applied to other gene pairs in bacterial genomes for the detection of previously uncharacterized epistatic interactions.

#### PHYLOGENETIC RECONSTRUCTION

A species tree of those cyanobacteria used in the comparative genomics analysis was reconstructed using 16S rRNA sequences. The Muscle program (Edgar, 2004) was used to construct an alignment and the jModelTest program (Posada, 2008) was used to estimate model parameters of GTR matrix with a gamma parameter of 0.54 and a proportion of invariant sites of 0.55. Then, the MrBayes program (Ronquist and Huelsenbeck, 2003) was used to infer phylogeny using a burn in of 25% of generations and building a consensus tree from the last 25% of generations. A species tree of the alphaproteobacteria species used in the comparative genomics analysis was reconstructed using the same methodology as for cyanobacteria, but with a gamma parameter of 0.58 and a proportion of invariant sites of 0.43.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

# FACTORS AFFECTING THE PRESENCE OR ABSENCE OF DNA REPAIR GENES

As discussed above, a factor suggested to increase the loss of DNA repair genes is a reduction in the size of the Proteomic Constraint, resulting from a reduction in proteome size (Massey, 2008). A reduced Proteomic Constraint can account for the absence of mutM and mutY (mean proteome sizes where mutM and mutY are absent are 717216 and 706366 amino acids, respectively; mean proteome sizes where present are 1154894 and 1206817 amino acids, respectively; Table 1A; Figure A1 in Appendix). Additional factors are present, since not only bacteria with reduced proteome sizes lack *mutM* or *mutY*; these remain to be elucidated. *mutL* and *mutS* are not influenced as strongly by the size of the proteome (mean proteome sizes where *mutL* and *mutS* are absent are 877910 and 992286 amino acids, respectively; mean proteome sizes where present are 1131088 and 1080884 amino acids, respectively; Table 1A; Figure A1 in Appendix). A potential reason for the weaker relationship with proteome size, compared to mutM and *mutY*, is that there is a greater selective pressure to maintain the mutL and mutS genes, indicated by the smaller number of genes absent from the 699 genomes (123 and 83, respectively, compared to 135 and 189 for *mutM* and *mutY*, respectively; **Table 1A**). To mitigate the potential effects of sample bias, the analysis was repeated with one species from each genus, with the same conclusions (Table 1B). The loss or absence of DNA repair genes in smaller proteomes may help explain the inverse relationship between genome/proteome size and mutation rates (Drake, 1991; Drake et al., 1998; Massey, 2008).

Given that DNA repair genes are more likely to be absent in bacteria with small proteomes, this may explain an intriguing feature of intracellular bacterial genomes; their high substitution rates (discussed in Massey, 2008). A high substitution rate is likely

| Gene | Number of genes absent<br>from 699 total genomes or<br>604 genomes from<br>extracellular bacteria | Mean GC<br>content if gene<br>present (%) | Mean GC<br>content if gene<br>absent (%) | <i>p</i> -Value<br>(Mann–<br>Whitney) | Mean proteome<br>size if gene<br>present (codons) | Mean proteome<br>size if gene<br>absent (codons) | <i>p</i> -Value<br>(Mann–<br>Whitney) |
|------|---|---|--|---------------------------------------|---|--|---------------------------------------|
| Α    |   |   |  |                                       |   |  |                                       |
| mutM | 135   | 50.5                                      | 38.2                                     | 3.1E-21                               | 1154894   | 717216   | 9.2E-18                               |
|      | 94 (extracellular)  | 52.4                                      | 39.9                                     | 4.6E-18                               | 1239048   | 885246   | 4.0E-11                               |
| mutY | 189   | 51.9                                      | 38.1                                     | 6.3E-35                               | 1206817   | 706366   | 1.2E-27                               |
|      | 129 (extracellular)   | 52.9                                      | 41.5                                     | 1.7E-19                               | 1262652   | 894257   | 1.4E-13                               |
| mutL | 123   | 48.1                                      | 48.1                                     | ns                                    | 1131088   | 877910   | 1.9E-7                                |
|      | 93 (extracellular)  | 49.8                                      | 53.9                                     | 3.9E-3                                | 1226575   | 1068544  | 5.1E-4                                |
| mutS | 83  | 47.7                                      | 51.2                                     | 0.03                                  | 1080884   | 992286   | 0.02                                  |
|      | 50 (extracellular)  | 49.1                                      | 64.5                                     | 8.4E-17                               | 1158575   | 1454162  | 8.0E-4                                |
| Gene | Number of genes absent from   | Mean GC                                   | Mean GC                                  | p-Value                               | Mean proteome                                     | Mean proteome                                    | <i>p</i> -Value                       |
|      | 272 total genomes or 234  | content if gene                           | content if gene                          | (Mann–                                | size if gene                                      | size if gene                                     | (Mann–                                |
|      | extracellular genomes   | present (%)                               | absent (%)                               | Whitney)                              | present (codons)                                  | absent (codons)                                  | Whitney)                              |
| В    |   |   |  |                                       |   |  |                                       |
| mutM | 59  | 52.4                                      | 40.0                                     | 9.1E-10                               | 1208453   | 760976   | 1.3E-9                                |
|      | 41 (extracellular)  | 54.2                                      | 43.3                                     | 3.4E-7                                | 1298041   | 960711.9   | 1.4E-6                                |
| mutY | 79  | 53.2                                      | 41.1                                     | 2.0E-11                               | 1258561   | 751846   | 1.7E-11                               |
|      | 56 (extracellular)  | 54.5                                      | 45.2                                     | 9.8E-7                                | 1327864   | 956273.1   | 8.5E-7                                |
| mutL | 47  | 50.0                                      | 47.8                                     | ns                                    | 1167556   | 842510   | 2.6E-5                                |
|      | 32 (extracellular)  | 51.7                                      | 56.2                                     | 0.03                                  | 1258482   | 1115556  | 0.02                                  |
| mutS | 35  | 49.8                                      | 48.9                                     | ns                                    | 1145003   | 883780   | 0.002                                 |
|      | 20 (extracellular)  | 51.2                                      | 63.7                                     | 7.9E-6                                | 1226206   | 1375153  | ns (0.56)                             |

Table 1 | Mean GC contents and proteome sizes of bacterial genomes that possess or lack the DNA repair genes mutM, mutY, mutL, and mutS.

A Mann–Whitney test was conducted to test the significance of the difference in the means of GC content and proteome size, between the genomes that possess a gene and those that do not. "ns" denotes "not significant." Table (A) shows the results of the analysis on the entire dataset, (B) shows results of the analysis on the dataset where only one species was selected from each genus.

to indicate a high underlying mutation rate (Itoh et al., 2002), which may be caused by the loss of DNA repair. The Proteomic Constraint theory therefore indirectly explains the high mutation/substitution rates of intracellular bacteria by proposing that a reduction in size of the proteome reduces the constraint on genetic fidelity, resulting in the loss of DNA repair genes, leading to an elevation in mutation rates (Massey, 2008). The data support this interpretation. In addition, the data show that extracellular bacteria with smaller proteome sizes also have a tendency to lack the four DNA repair genes. This observation may explain the increase in substitution rates of cyanobacteria such as *Prochlorococcus marinus* SS120 and MED4 (Dufresne et al., 2005), and the increase in mutation rate in *Oenococcus oeni* (Marcobal et al., 2008), which are both unusual for being free living bacteria that have undergone reductions in the sizes of their proteomes.

The two alternative explanations of Muller's ratchet and/or an increase in drift may also explain the absence of DNA repair genes in intracellular bacteria. However, they do not explain the absence of DNA repair genes in extracellular bacteria with smaller proteome sizes. This then casts doubt on the explanations of Muller's ratchet and/or increased drift for the absence of DNA repair genes in intracellular bacteria; given the free living status of these bacteria they are both unlikely to operate. However, the Proteomic Constraint theory provides an explanation for the absence of DNA repair genes in these bacteria. Lastly, it may be hypothesized that larger genomes possess more genes, and are therefore more likely to possess more DNA repair genes, simply by chance, However, this is not likely if it is accepted that the presence of genes in a genome are maintained by natural selection. A pertinent comment to this effect was made by Daubin and Moran (2004).

Analysis of different gene pairs reveals that only *mutL* and *mutS* are significantly co-distributed (**Table 2**; **Figure A2** in Appendix). This is despite the observation that the presence of all four genes is influenced by the same factor, proteome size. The codistribution of *mutL* and *mutS* can be explained as they have a protein–protein interaction as a vital part of the MMR pathway (Schofield et al., 2001). Even though *mutM* and *mutY* are components of the same pathway, the data indicate that they do not have an epistatic interaction, indicating that their function is not interdependent. Therefore, it is not clear if BER is best described as a "pathway." The function of *mutY* is not as important as that of *mutM*, given that it is absent in more genomes than *mutM*.

The analysis is consistent with the theory of a "Proteomic Constraint" operating on the genetic information system. Implicit in this is that mutation rate modulator genes (i.e., DNA repair genes) should be influenced by the size of the proteome; when large there should be more selective pressure to evolve and maintain mutation modulators that reduce mutation rates, when small such mutation modulators are more likely to be lost.

# INVESTIGATING THE RELATIONSHIP OF DNA REPAIR GENES TO PATHOGENICITY

Although there is a difference in average proteome sizes of pathogenic versus non-pathogenic extracellular bacteria (**Table 3A**), this was not found to be significant. No clear link was found between the lack of DNA repair genes and pathogenicity in general (**Table 3A**), although mutY is more likely to be present in pathogenic extracellular bacteria. As many of the pathogenic bacteria in the dataset are opportunistic pathogens it would seem that there is no link between the presence/absence of these genes and a predisposition for pathogenicity, with the possible exception of mutY. When host associated extracellular pathogenic bacteria were compared with the remaining extracellular bacteria, no substantial differences were observed (**Table 3B**)

#### Table 2 | $\Phi$ Coefficient for pairwise distributions of DNA repair genes.

| Gene pairs                           | mutL-mutS | mutM–mutY | mutM–mutL | mutL–mutY | mutM–mutS | mutS-mutY |
|--------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Total dataset (699 genomes)          | 0.66      | 0.25      | 0         | 0.08      | 0         | 0.10      |
| Extracellular bacteria (604 genomes) | 0.70      | 0.32      | 0.11      | -0.03     | -0.08     | -0.12     |

The  $\Phi$  coefficient was calculated as described in Section "Materials and Methods," for each pairwise combination of the genes mutM, mutY, mutL, and mutS.

| Table 3   Gene contents of pathogenic and non-pathogenic extracellular bacteria 604 extracellular bacterial genomes were examined for their |
|---|
| average proteome sizes, average GC contents, and gene contents.   |

|                                       | Extracellular pathogens<br>(237 genomes) | Extracellular non-pathogens<br>(367 genomes) | <i>p</i> -Value (Mann–Whitney) |  |
|---------------------------------------|--|--|--------------------------------|--|
| Α                                     |  |  |                                |  |
| Mean proteome size (codons)           | 1139797                                  | 1202806                                      | ns                             |  |
| Mean GC content                       | 49%                                      | 51%  | 0.045                          |  |
| Percentage that lack <i>mutM</i>      | 24                                       | 24   | -                              |  |
| Percentage that lack <i>mutY</i>      | 42                                       | 72   | -                              |  |
| Percentage that lack mutL             | 31                                       | 31   | -                              |  |
| Percentage that lack <i>mutS</i>      | 25                                       | 20   | -                              |  |
|                                       | Host associated extracellular            | Other extracellular                          | <i>p</i> -Value (Mann–Whitney) |  |
|                                       | pathogens (82 genomes)                   | bacteria (522 genomes)                       |                                |  |
| В                                     |  |  |                                |  |
| Mean proteome size (codons)           | 1094197                                  | 1198376                                      | ns                             |  |
| Mean GC content                       | 50%                                      | 50%  | No difference in the means     |  |
| Percentage that lack <i>mutM</i>      | 22                                       | 25   | -                              |  |
| Percentage that lack mutY             | 46                                       | 64   | -                              |  |
| Percentage that lack mutL             | 30                                       | 31   | -                              |  |
| Percentage that lack <i>mutS</i>      | 20                                       | 21   | -                              |  |
|                                       | Intracellular bacteria                   | Extracellular bacteria                       | <i>p</i> -Value (Mann–Whitney) |  |
|                                       | (95 genomes)                             | (604 genomes)                                |                                |  |
| C                                     |  |  |                                |  |
| Mean proteome size (number of codons) | 342246                                   | 1183493                                      | 2.0E-50                        |  |
| Mean GC content                       | 34%                                      | 50%  | 2.6E–29                        |  |
| Percentage that lack <i>mutM</i>      | 46                                       | 25   | -                              |  |
| Percentage that lack <i>mutY</i>      | 72                                       | 61   | -                              |  |
| Percentage that lack mutL             | 40                                       | 31   | -                              |  |
| Percentage that lack <i>mutS</i>      | 44                                       | 23   | -                              |  |

(A) Pathogenic (opportunistic and host associated) extracellular bacteria were compared with non-pathogenic extracellular bacteria; (B) host associated pathogenic extracellular bacteria were compared with all other extracellular bacteria; (C) Intracellular bacteria were compared with extracellular bacteria. "ns" denotes not significant.

again with the exception of *mutY*, which is more likely to be present in host associated extracellular pathogenic bacteria. Possibly, *mutY* has a role in combating the mutagenic (oxidizing) conditions of the innate immune system. The average proteome size of host associated extracellular pathogenic bacteria was smaller than that of the other extracellular bacteria (1094197 codons compared to 1198376 codons), but this was not significant.

When intracellular bacterial genomes are compared to extracellular bacterial genomes, intracellular bacteria are much more likely to lack the four DNA repair genes than extracellular bacteria (**Table 3C**). This may be attributed to either their intracellular habit (i.e., the effects of Muller's ratchet/increased drift or a diminished exposure to mutagens), or their sometimes extreme reduction in proteome size, causing a reduction in the proposed Proteomic Constraint. THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN DNA REPAIR AND GENOME GC CONTENT

Bacterial genomes that lack *mutM* and *mutY* were found to be substantially more AT biased on average than those that possess the genes (50.5% GC and 51.9% GC where *mutM* and *mutY* are present, respectively; 38.2% GC and 38.1% GC where they are absent; **Table 1A**; **Figure A3** in Appendix). This may be understood by the observation that the enzymes encoded by these genes correct GC  $\rightarrow$  AT mutations, and deletion of these genes in experimental systems significantly affects mutation biases. Consistent with this interpretation, genomes that lack *mutL* and *mutS* are not more AT biased than those that do not (51.9% GC and 47.7% GC where *mutL* and *mutS* are present, respectively; 51.9% GC and 51.2% GC where they are absent; **Table 1A**; **Figure A3** in Appendix). Likewise, this is explained by the observation that the enzymes encoded by these genes are involved in an unbiased DNA repair pathway.





The dataset of bacterial genomes contains the genomes of 95 intracellular bacteria; these may be subject to unusual evolutionary dynamics so the analysis was repeated using extracellular and free living bacteria only. The results were essentially unchanged, with all four genes showing a positive relationship with proteome size, and a positive correlation between the presence of *mutM* and *mutY*, and genome GC content (**Table 1A**). This demonstrates that the relationship between reduced proteome size and absence of DNA repair genes is not due to the inclusion of intracellular bacteria in the original dataset. Likewise, the relationship between absence of *mutM* and *mutY* and AT bias is not due to the inclusion

of intracellular bacteria in the original dataset, many of which may be strongly AT biased. To mitigate the potential effects of sample bias, only one species from each genus was selected and the analysis repeated, again with the same qualitative results (**Table 1B**).

A correlation does not automatically assume causality. However, as there is a mechanistic explanation for the correlations, a case can be made for causality, i.e., that the presence/absence of *mutM* and *mutY* has an effect on genome GC content. However, the datapoints represented by individual genomes are not independent; loss/gain events of the genes are nested within nodes of a phylogenetic tree. In order to dissect the possible effects of this phylogenetic non-independence, phylogenetic trees were constructed for the cyanobacterial and alpha bacterial genomes utilized in the study. When the presence or absence of *mutM*, *mutY*, *mutL*, and *mutS* are superimposed on the trees, the relationship with GC content becomes more complex. For example, a large clade of cyanobacteria containing all the *Prochlorococcus* strains and six *Synechococcus* strains (indicated in **Figure 2A**) does not appear to show a relationship between the absence of *mutY* and *lowered* GC content. Likewise, in the *Rickettsia* and *Wolbachia/Ehrlichia* clades of the alphaproteobacteria (indicated in **Figure 2B**) both show lowered GC contents, but the values do not appear to be related to the absence of *mutM*, which has sporadic

#### REFERENCES

- Akman, L., Yamashita, A., Watanabe, H., Oshima, K., Shiba, T., Hattori, M., and Aksoy, S. (2002). Genome sequence of the endocellular obligate symbiont of tsetse flies, *Wig*glesworthia glossinidia. Nat. Genet. 32, 402–407.
- Bernardi, G., Mouchiroud, D., Gautier, C., and Bernardi, G. (1988). Compositional patterns in vertebrate genomes: conservation and change in evolution. *J. Mol. Evol.* 28, 7–18.
- Bridge, P. D., and Sawilowsky, S. S. (1999). Increasing physicians' awareness of the impact of statistics on research outcomes: comparative power of the t-test and Wilcoxon Rank-Sum test in small samples applied research. J. Clin. Epidemiol. 52, 229–235.
- Brynnel, E. U., Kurland, C. G., Moran, N. A., and Andersson, S. G. (1998). Evolutionary rates for tuf genes in endosymbionts of aphids. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 15, 574–582.
- Cabrera, M., Nghiem, Y., and Miller, J. H. (1988). MutM, a second mutator locus in *Escherichia coli* that generates G.C-T.A transversions. *J. Bacteriol*.170, 5405–5407.
- Dale, C., Wang, B., Moran, N., and Ochman, H. (2003). Loss of DNA recombinational repair enzymes in the initial stages of genome degeneration. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 20, 1188–1194.
- Daubin, V., and Moran, N. A. (2004). Comment on "The origins of genome complexity." *Science* 306, 978a.
- Davidsen, T., Bjoras, M., Seeberg, E. C., and Tonjum, T. (2005). Antimutator role of DNA glyscosylase MutY in pathogenic *Neisseria* species. *J. Bacteriol.* 187, 2801–2809.
- Drake, J. W. (1991). A constant rate of spontaneous mutation in DNAbased microbes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* U.S.A. 88, 7160–7164.
- Drake, J. W., Charlesworth, B., Charlesworth, D., and Crow, J. F. (1998). Rates of spontaneous mutation. *Genetics* 148, 1667–1686.

- Dufresne, A., Garczarek, L., and Partensky, F. (2005). Accelerated evolution associated with genome reduction in a free-living prokaryote. *Genome Biol.* 6, R14.
- Edgar, R. C. (2004). MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 32, 1792–1797.
- Freese, E. (1962). On the evolution of base composition of DNA. J. Theor. Biol. 3, 82–101.
- Fry, A. J., and Wernegreen, J. J. (2005). The roles of positive and negative selection in the molecular evolution of insect endosymbionts. *Gene* 355, 1–10.
- Funk, D. J., Wernegreen, J. J., and Moran, N. A. (2001). Intraspecific variation in symbiont genomes: bottlenecks and the aphid-*Buchnera* association. *Genetics* 157, 477–489.
- Glass, J. I., Lefkowitz, E. J., Glass, J. S., Heiner, C. R., Chen, E. Y., and Cassell, G. H. (2000). The complete sequence of the mucosal pathogen *Ure-aplasma urealyticum*. *Nature* 407, 757–762.
- Herbeck, J. T., Funk, D. J., Degnan, P. H., and Wernegreen, J. J. (2003). A conservative test of genetic drift in the endosymbiotic bacterium *Buchnera*: slightly deleterious mutations in the chaperonin groEL. *Genetics* 165, 1651–1660.
- Himmelreich, R., Hilbert, H., Plagens, H., Pirkl, E., Li, B.-C., and Herrmann, R. (1996). Complete sequence analysis of the genome of the bacterium *Mycoplasma pneumoniae*. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 24, 4420–4449.
- Itoh, T., Martin, W., and Nei, M. (2002). Acceleration of genomic evolution caused by enhancd mutation rate in endocellular symbiosis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 99, 12944–12948.
- Kagawa, Y., Nojima, H., Nukiwa, N., Ishizuka, M., Nakajima, T., Yasuhara, T., Tanaka, T., and Oshima, T. (1984). High guanine plus cytosine content in the third letter of codons of an extreme thermophile.

distribution in the clades (although *mutY* is absent throughout). So, it would seem either that the impact of these genes on genome GC content is minimal or that there are confounding factors that moderate their influence.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

This work was funded by the Biology Department, University of Puerto Rico – Rio Piedras. Aurian Garcia-Gonzalez was supported by Amgen BioMinds and INBRE scholarships. We would like to thank Dr Henner Brinkmann (Universite de Montreal) for advice on rooting of the two phylogenetic trees. This work was supported by NSF grant 0959864.

DNA sequence of the isopropylmalate dehydrogenase of *Thermus thermophilus. J. Biol. Chem.* 259, 2956–2960.

- Kennedy, S. P., Ng, W. V., Salzberg, S. L., Hood, L., and DasSarma, S. (2001). Understanding the adaptation of *Halobacterium* species NRC-1 to its extreme environment through computational analysis of its genome sequence. *Genome Res.* 11, 1641–1650.
- King, J. L., and Jukes, T. H. (1969). Non-Darwinian evolution. *Science* 164, 788–798.
- Kulick, S., Moccia, C., Kraft, C., and Suerbaum, S. (2008). The *Helicobacter pylori* mutY homologue HP0142 is an antimutator gene that prevents specific C to A transversions. *Arch. Microbiol.* 189, 263–270.
- Kuo, C. H., Moran, N. A., and Ochman, H. (2009). The consequences of genetic drift for bacterial genome complexity. *Genome Res.* 19, 1450–1454.
- Lahue, R. S., Au, K. G., and Modrich, P. (1989). DNA mismatch correction in a defined system. *Science* 245, 160–164.
- Lind, P. A., and Andersson, D. I. (2008). Whole-genome mutational biases in bacteria. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 105, 17878–17883.
- Lynch, M. (1996). Mutation accumulation in transfer RNAs: molecular evidence for Muller's ratchet in mitochondrial genomes. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 13, 209–220.
- Lynch, M. (1998). Mutation accumulation in nuclear, organelle, and prokaryotic transfer RNA genes. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 14, 914–925.
- Lynch, M., and Blanchard, J. L. (1998). Deleterious mutation accumulation in organelle genomes. *Genetica* 102/103, 29–39.
- Mamirova, L., Popadin, K., and Gelfand, M. S. (2007). Purifying selection in mitochondria, free-living and obligate intracellular proteobacteria. *BMC Evol. Biol.* 7, 17. doi:10.1186/1471-2148-7-17

- Marcobal, A. M., Sela, D. A., Wolf, Y. I., Makarova, K. S., and Mills, D. A. (2008). Role of hypermutability in the evolution of the genus Oenococcus. *J. Bacteriol.* 190, 564–570.
- Massey, S. E. (2008). The proteomic constraint and its role in molecular evolution. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 25, 2557–2565.
- Massey, S. E., and Garey, J. R. (2007). A comparative genomics analysis of codon reassignments reveals a link with mitochondrial proteome size and a mechanism of genetic code change via suppressor tRNAs. J. Mol. Evol. 64, 399–410.
- Michaels, M. L., Pham, L., Cruz, C., and Miller, J. H. (1991). MutM, a protein that prevents G-C-T-A transversions, is formamidopyrimidine-DNA glycosylase. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 19, 3629–3632.
- Moran, N. (2002). Microbial minimalism: genome reduction in bacterial pathogens. *Cell* 108, 583–586.
- Moran, N. A. (1996). Accelerated evolution and Muller's ratchet in endosymbiotic bacteria. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 93, 2873–2878.
- Moran, N. A., and Mira, A. (2001). The process of genome shrinkage in the obligate symbiont *Buchnera aphidicola. Genome Biol.* 2, 54.
- Moran, N. A., and Wernegreen, J. J. (2000). Lifestyle evolution in symbiotic bacteria: insights from genomics. *Trends Ecol. Evol. (Amst.)* 15, 321–326.
- Naya, H., Romero, H., Zavala, A., Alvarez, B., and Musto, H. (2002). Aerobiosis increases the genomic guanine plus cytosine content (GC%) in prokaryotes. J. Mol. Evol. 55, 260–264.
- Nghiem, Y., Cabrera, M., Cupples, C. G., and Miller, J. H. (1988). The mutY gene: a mutator locus in *Escherichia coli* that generates G.C-T.A transversions. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 85, 2709–2713.
- Noll, D. M., Gogos, A., Granek, J. A., and Clarke, N. D. (1999). The C-terminal domain of the adenine-DNA

glycosylase MutY confers specificity for 8-oxoguanine.adenine mispairs and may have evolved from MutT, an 8-oxo-dGTPase. *Biochemistry* 38, 6374–6379.

- Posada, D. (2008). jModelTest: phylogenetic model averaging. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 25, 1253–1256.
- Rocha, E. P. C., and Danchin, A. (2002). Base composition bias might result from competition for metabolic resources. *Trends Genet.* 18, 291–294.
- Ronquist, F., and Huelsenbeck, J. P. (2003). MrBayes 3: Bayesian phylogenetic inference under mixed models. *Bioinformatics* 19, 1572–1574.
- Schaaper, R. M., Danforth, B. N., and Glickman, B. W. (1986). Mechanisms of spontaneous mutagenesis: an analysis of the

spectrum of spontaneous mutation in the *Escherichia coli* lacI gene. *J. Mol. Biol.* 189, 273–284.

- Schaaper, R. M., and Dunn, R. L. (1987). Spectra of spontaneous mutations in defective in mismatch *Escherichia coli* strains correction: the nature of in vivo DNA replication errors. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 84, 6220–6224.
- Schofield, M. J., Nayak, S., Scott, T. H., Du, C., and Hsieh, P. (2001). Interaction of *Escherichia coli* mutS and mutL at a DNA mismatch. *J. Biol. Chem.* 276, 28291–28299.
- Shigenobu, S, Watanabe, H., Hattori, M., Sakaki, Y., and Ishikawa, H. (2000). Genome sequence of the endocellular bacterial symbiont of aphids *Buchnera* sp. APS. *Nature* 407, 81–86.

- Singer, C. E., and Ames, B. N. (1970). Sunlight radiation and bacterial DNA ratios. *Science* 170, 822–826.
- Sueoka, N. (1962). On the genetic basis of variation and heterogeneity of DNA base composition. *Genetics* 48, 582–591.
- Wernegreen, J. J., and Moran, N. A. (1999). Evidence for genetic drift in endosymbionts (*Buchnera*): analyses of protein-coding genes. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 16, 83–97.

**Conflict of Interest Statement:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Received: 14 September 2011; accepted: 03 January 2012; published online: 28 February 2012.

Citation: Garcia-Gonzalez A, Rivera-Rivera RJ and Massey SE (2012) The presence of the DNA repair genes mutM, mutY, mutL, and mutS is related to proteome size in bacterial genomes. Front. Gene. **3**:3. doi: 10.3389/fgene.2012.00003 This article was submitted to Frontiers in Evolutionary and Genomic Microbiology, a specialty of Frontiers in Genetics.

Copyright © 2012 Garcia-Gonzalez, Rivera-Rivera and Massey. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial License, which permits non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in other forums, provided the original authors and source are credited.

## **APPENDIX**





