

# Modified Gracilis Autograft Preparation for Arthroscopic Anterior Talofibular and Calcaneofibular Ligament Anatomic Reconstruction: Technical Tip

Foot & Ankle Orthopaedics 2024, Vol. 9(2) 1–4 © The Author(s) 2024 DOI: 10.1177/24730114241242780 journals.sagepub.com/home/fao

# Bruno C. R. Olory, MD<sup>1</sup>, Theodorakys Marín Fermín, MD<sup>1,2</sup>, Pieter D'Hooghe, MD, MSc, MBA<sup>1</sup>, Emmanouil Papakostas, MD, FEBSM<sup>1</sup>, Khalid Al-Khelaifi, MD, FRCSC<sup>1</sup>, and Bashir A. Zikria, MD, MSc<sup>1</sup>

**Keywords:** chronic lateral ankle instability, autograft reconstruction, surgical technique, anterior talofibular ligament, calcaneofibular ligament

# Introduction

Acute lateral ankle sprains are the most common sports injury.<sup>8</sup> Many of the injured patients recover with conservative treatment; however, up to 40% of them progress to chronic lateral ankle instability requiring surgical stabilization.<sup>1</sup>

Surgical treatment for chronic ankle instability is controversial regarding approach (open vs arthroscopic), technique (repair vs reconstruction), indications, and timing, yet the Broström-Gould procedure remains the gold standard and first-line surgical treatment.<sup>1,8</sup> New trends advocate arthroscopic repair of the anterior talofibular ligament (ATFL) when the ligament remnants are competent, showing satisfactory outcomes, and anatomic reconstruction with tendon autograft when ligament remnants are insufficient or in a combined calcaneofibular ligament (CFL) injury.<sup>1,2,8</sup>

Recently, ATFL remnant quality has been the subject of study when defining the best approach,<sup>2</sup> but the ideal graft and preparation technique is yet unknown.<sup>5</sup> Lopes et al<sup>7</sup> combined arthroscopic ATFL and CFL reconstruction technique implements a 10-cm gracilis autograft, harvested using a tendon stripper through a short oblique incision, in which both ends are threaded with FiberLoop No. 2 (Arthrex, Naples, FL) and placing one of them through a 4.75- $\times$ 15-mm Bio-Tenodesis Screw system (Arthrex) for fixation to the talus. This study aims to describe a modified gracilis autograft preparation for the arthroscopic ATFL and CFL reconstruction as described by Lopes et al.<sup>7</sup>

# **Material and Methods**

#### Indication

The ideal candidates for this technique are active patients with combined ATFL and CFL tears confirmed on MRI and presenting as chronic lateral ankle instability and failure of a conservative treatment trial for a minimum of 6 months. Patients with isolated ATFL injury with a suspected poor remnant quality may also be eligible based on the recurrence of ankle sprains.

# **Operative Technique**

Setup. The patient is placed supine with a pillow under the ipsilateral buttock to position the lower limb in internal rotation and allow access to the anterior and lateral ankle (Figure 1). The contralateral lower limb is abducted or lowered for accessible and comfortable arthroscopic instrument use. A lateral support is positioned at the level of the lateral thigh to hold the knee flexion for gracilis tendon harvesting.

<sup>1</sup>Aspetar Orthopaedic and Sports Medicine Hospital, Doha, Qatar <sup>2</sup>Centro Médico Profesional Las Mercedes, Caracas, Venezuela

#### **Corresponding Author:**

Theodorakys Marín Fermín, MD, Centro Médico Profesional Las Mercedes, Av. Principal de Las Mercedes, piso 3, consultorio 37, Caracas 1060, Venezuela.

Email: theodorakysmarin@yahoo.com

Creative Commons Non Commercial CC BY-NC: This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits non-commercial use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the SAGE and Open Access pages (https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/open-access-at-sage).



**Figure 1.** Patient setup for the arthroscopic ATFL and CFL reconstruction.



Figure 2. Gracilis autograft harvesting approach.

*Gracilis tendon graft harvesting and preparation.* After sterile draping, a 2- to 5-cm vertical incision centered on the distal hamstring insertion is performed for gracilis harvesting, preserving its distal attachment (Figure 2). Contrary to the original technique, only the proximal end of the gracilis tendon is sutured with Vycril 2 (Ethicon, Cincinnati, OH) in a whipstitch pattern before sharply detaching its distal end for



**Figure 3.** (A) Progressing the suture loop inside the Bio-Tenodesis Screw System; (B) suture loop ready in the distal Bio-Tenodesis Screw System; and (C) the distal end (thickest) of the gracilis tendon is secured to the Bio-Tenodesis Screw System with the suture loop.

a fast and easy graft preparation. Similar allograft preparation can be achieved if preferred.

Arthroscopic portals, footprint preparation, and tunnel drilling. Arthroscopic portals, footprint preparation, and tunnel drilling follow the original technique.<sup>7</sup>

Graft passage and tensioning. The distal end (thickest) of the gracilis tendon is secured to a 4.75-mm SwiveLock (Arthrex) Bio-Tenodesis Screw by substituting the default sutures for a FiberLink (Arthrex) (Figure 3) and fixed into the talar tunnel (Figure 4). The FiberLink (Arthrex) is then cut at its emergence from the talar tunnel, and the graft fixation is tested by pulling it. An UltraButton (Smith & Nephew) adjustable suture-button system is placed around the graft, and the distal end of the graft is routed between the peroneal tendons and the lateral calcaneus cortex, exiting through the retromalleolar calcaneal incision and then through the calcaneal tunnel with the aid of guiding sutures (Figure 5). Likewise, the UltraButton (Smith & Nephew) adjustable suture-button system is pulled into the fibular tunnel with a guiding suture.

Under arthroscopic visualization from the anteromedial portal and plantigrade position, 1 cm of the graft is gradually progressed into the fibular tunnel. The graft is fixed in the calcaneal tunnel with a  $6 \times 20$ -mm interference screw while maintaining the foot plantigrade position, and additional



**Figure 4.** Arthroscopic view of the talar fixation of the gracilis autograft using the SwiveLock (Arthrex) Bio-Tenodesis Screw.



**Figure 5.** The proximal end of the gracilis autograft after exiting medially through the calcaneal tunnel. Note that only that end was whipstitched during graft preparation.

tension tuning can be achieved by tightening the UltraButton (Smith & Nephew) adjustable suture-button system.

#### Postoperative Treatment

Patients are allowed to bear total weight as tolerated with a walker-type boot for 15 days. Rehabilitation for ankle strengthening, pain-guided active range of motion exercises, and proprioception training start from day 8. Patients start jogging, running, and vertical jumping in the sixth week, progressing to uneven and unstable surfaces accordingly. Return to sports is allowed at 6 months.

### Discussion

Different ATFL and CFL graft alternatives have been implemented in the ATFL and CFL reconstruction with satisfactory outcomes. Wang and Xu,<sup>9</sup> in a retrospective case series of 25 patients undergoing a fluoroscopy-guided minimally invasive reconstruction with semitendinosus autograft, reported excellent satisfaction in 80% of patients and significant AOFAS score improvement (from 71.1 to 95.1) at a mean 32.3-month follow-up. Jung et al,<sup>4</sup> in a prospective study including 66 patients undergoing open reconstruction using semitendinosus allograft, reported a significant mean VAS pain score reduction (from 5.5 to 1.3) and AOFAS and Karlsson-Petersen score improvement (from 71.0 to 90.9, and 55.1 to 90.3, respectively) at a mean 22.1 months, and finding no clinical differences between pretensioning the graft or not. Similarly, Lopes et al,<sup>6</sup> in a prospective study including 171 patients undergoing arthroscopic reconstruction with gracilis autograft, reported high patient satisfaction and significant AOFAS and Karlsson score improvement (from 61.7 to 87.6 and 54.7 to 85.2, respectively) at a mean 9.6-month follow-up.

Anatomical studies have suggested that the semitendinosus tendon graft is closer to the ATFL diameter than the gracilis,<sup>5</sup> yet no graft option has been proven clinically superior. Moreover, several graft configurations, such as the Y configuration by Guillo et al<sup>1</sup> and the triangular-shaped (ALCtriangle) by Higashiyama et al,<sup>3</sup> have been described as suitable alternatives for ATFL and CFL reconstruction.<sup>4,7,9</sup>

The presented technique allows an easy and fast graft preparation by suturing the proximal end of the gracilis and leaving its thicker end for ATFL reconstruction with a Bio-Tenodesis Screw. This avoids the need to suture both graft ends, measure the graft, and/or make technically demanding graft configurations, potentially saving valuable time. The authors have performed this technique on 12 professional athletes participating in pivoting sports (10 males and 2 females), achieving excellent scores in "activities of daily living" and "sports" subscales in the Foot and Ankle Ability Measure (FAAM) at the 3-month follow-up and return to sports before the recommended time at a mean of 4 months after surgery.

#### **Ethical Approval**

No ethics approval was required for the presented study.

### **Declaration of Conflicting Interests**

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. Disclosure forms for all authors are available online.

#### Funding

The author(s) received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

#### **Informed Consent**

Written informed consent for publication was obtained from the patient/parent.

#### **ORCID** iDs

Theodorakys Marín Fermín, MD, D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1698-9517

Emmanouil Papakostas, MD, FEBSM, D https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1339-7900

#### References

- Guillo S, Archbold P, Perera A, Bauer T, Sonnery-Cottet B. Arthroscopic anatomic reconstruction of the lateral ligaments of the ankle with gracilis autograft. *Arthrosc Tech*. 2014;3(5):e593-e598. doi:10.1016/j.eats.2014.06.018
- Han J, Qian S, Lian J, et al. Modified classifications and surgical decision-making process for chronic anterior talofibular ligament injuries based on the correlation of imaging studies and arthroscopic findings. *Int Orthop.* 2023;47(11):2683-2692. doi:10.1007/s00264-023-05896-6
- Higashiyama R, Sekiguchi H, Takata K, Endo T, Takaso M. Arthroscopic reconstruction of the anterior talofibular ligament, lateral talocalcaneal ligament, and calcaneofibular ligament using a triangle-shaped tendon graft (ALC-triangle). *Arthrosc Tech*. 2020;9(2):e217-e223. doi:10.1016/j.eats.2019.09.020
- 4. Jung HG, Shin MH, Park JT, Eom JS, Lee DO, Lee SH. Anatomical reconstruction of lateral ankle ligaments

using free tendon allografts and biotenodesis screws. *Foot Ankle Int.* 2015;36(9):1064-1071. doi:10.1177/1071 100715584848

- Karahan N, Kaya M, Yılmaz B, Kurdal DP, Keskinoz EN, Çiçek EED. Hamstring autograft and anatomical footprint evaluation for anterior talofibular ligament reconstruction: cadaveric study. *J Orthop Surg (Hong Kong)*. 2020;28(3):2309499020974830. doi:10.1177/2309499020974830
- Lopes R, Andrieu M, Cordier G, et al. Arthroscopic treatment of chronic ankle instability: prospective study of outcomes in 286 patients. *Orthop Traumatol Surg Res.* 2018;104(8S):S199-S205. doi:10.1016/j.otsr.2018.09.005
- Lopes R, Decante C, Geffroy L, Brulefert K, Noailles T. Arthroscopic anatomical reconstruction of the lateral ankle ligaments: a technical simplification. *Orthop Traumatol Surg Res.* 2016;102(8S):S317-S322. doi:10.1016/j.otsr.2016. 09.003
- Marín Fermín T, Al-Dolaymi AA, D'Hooghe P. Acute ankle sprain in elite athletes: how to get them back to the game? *Foot Ankle Clin.* 2023;28(2):309-320. doi:10.1016/j. fcl.2022.12.007
- Wang B, Xu XY. Minimally invasive reconstruction of lateral ligaments of the ankle using semitendinosus autograft. *Foot Ankle Int.* 2013;34(5):711-715. doi:10.1177/ 1071100713478916