RESEARCH ARTICLE



Open Access

Dichotomous roles for the orphan nuclear receptor NURR1 in breast cancer

Shawn Llopis¹, Brittany Singleton¹, Tamika Duplessis², Latonya Carrier², Brian Rowan² and Christopher Williams^{1*}

Abstract

Background: NR4A orphan nuclear receptors are involved in multiple biological processes which are important in tumorigenesis such as cell proliferation, apoptosis, differentiation, and glucose utilization. The significance of NR4A family member NURR1 (NR4A2) in breast cancer etiology has not been elucidated. The purpose of this study was to ascertain the impact of NURR1 expression on breast transformation, tumor growth, and breast cancer patient survival.

Methods: We determined the expression of NURR1 in normal breast versus breast carcinoma in tissue microarrays (immunohistochemistry), tissue lysates (immunoblot), and at the mRNA level (publically available breast microarrays). In addition NURR1 expression was compared among breast cancer patients in cohorts based on p53 expression, estrogen receptor α expression, tumor grade, and lymph node metastases. Kaplan-Meier survival plots were used to determine the correlation between NURR1 expression and relapse free survival (RFS). Using shRNA-mediated silencing, we determined the effect of NURR1 expression on tumor growth in mouse xenografts.

Results: Results from breast cancer tissue arrays demonstrate a higher NURR1 expression in the normal breast epithelium compared to breast carcinoma cells ($p \le 0.05$). Among cases of breast cancer, NURR1 expression in the primary tumors was inversely correlated with lymph node metastases ($p \le 0.05$) and p53 expression ($p \le 0.05$). Clinical stage and histological grade were not associated with variation in NURR1 expression. In gene microarrays, 4 of 5 datasets showed stronger mean expression of NURR1 in normal breast as compared to transformed breast. Additionally, NURR1 expression was strongly correlated with increase relapse free survival (HR = 0.7) in a cohort of all breast cancer patients, but showed no significant difference in survival when compared among patients whom have not been treated systemically (HR = 0.91). Paradoxically, NURR1 silenced breast xenografts showed significantly decreased growth in comparison to control, underscoring a biphasic role for NURR1 in breast cancer progression.

Conclusions: NURR1 function presents a dichotomy in breast cancer etiology, in which NURR1 expression is associated with normal breast epithelial differentiation and efficacy of systemic cancer therapy, but silencing of which attenuates tumor growth. This provides a strong rationale for the potential implementation of NURR1 as a pharmacologic target and biomarker for therapeutic efficacy in breast cancer.

Keywords: Breast cancer, NURR1, NR4A2, Orphan receptor

* Correspondence: Cwilli35@xula.edu

¹Division of Basic Pharmaceutical Sciences, College of Pharmacy, Xavier University of Louisiana, 1 Drexel Dr, New Orleans, LA 70125, USA Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© 2013 Llopis et al.; licensee BioMed Central Ltd. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Background

The NR4A family (NR4A1, NR4A2, and NR4A3) is a family of orphan nuclear receptors whose activity is shown to promote cell proliferation, apoptosis, and terminal differentiation in a tissue dependent manner [1]. All three family members have been shown to play roles in hematopoietic differentiation, while NURR1 (NR4A2, TINUR) activity is necessary for dopaminergic neuron differentiation [2-5]. Structural studies suggest that NR4As are "true orphan receptors", in that the ligand binding pocket is thought to be obstructed by hydrophobic amino acid side chains rendering it inaccessible to ligands [6]. Despite the lack of a physiological ligand, NR4A receptors are targeted by several hormones and xenobiotic compounds which induce NR4A gene expression and/or directly bind to and elicit NR4A transactivation function [7-11]. Functionally, NR4As mediate gene expression by binding as monomers to NBRE [(NGFI-β Nerve growth factor inducible β) Response Element], as homodimers to NURRE (NUR-like Response Element), or as heterodimers with retinoid X receptor to DR5 response elements [12-15]. In addition to transactivation functions, NR4As have been shown to translocate to the mitochondria to induce apoptosis (NR4A1) and to modulate the activity of other proteins through proteinprotein interactions (NURR1) [16-18].

Despite its role in differentiation, NURR1 has been implicated in promotion of cancer cell proliferation. Cytoplasmic localization of NURR1 is associated with decreased patient survival in bladder cancer patients while expression of NURR1 allowed HeLa retrovertant cell lines to regain tumorigenicity [19,20]. Additionally, prostaglandin-mediated cytoprotection has also been shown to be dependent on NURR1 expression [21]. Similarly, thromboxane A mediated lung cancer cell proliferation is in part mediated through NURR1 [22]. Conversely, drugs which transactivate NURR1 have been shown to be associated with apoptosis. For instance, NURR1 has been identified as a target of the antineoplastic drug 6-mercaptopurine, and may contribute to its anti-neoplastic functions, while 1, 1-bis(3'indolyl)-1-(p-chlorophenyl)methane (DIM-C-pPhCl), an activator of NURR1 has been shown to mediate apoptosis in bladder cancer cells [10,23].

Despite these findings, the impact of NURR1 expression has yet to be elucidated in breast cancer. In order to gain insight into the function of NURR1 in breast cancer, we performed immunohistochemical staining for NURR1 on breast tissue arrays and compared the expression of NURR1 protein in normal vs. transformed breast tumor samples. Furthermore, we compared the level of NURR1 expression among tumor samples stratified according to lymph node status, histological grade, estrogen receptor α (ER α) status, and p53 expression

status. To support these findings, we codified NURR1 expression in several publically available microarray datasets in which normal breast epithelium was compared to cancerous breast. Relapse free survival (RFS) of patients exhibiting high or low NURR1 expression was compared to determine the association of NURR1 with breast cancer recurrence. Additionally, we developed a xenograft model to determine the impact of NURR1 silencing in breast tumor development. These studies support the contention that NURR1 could be an efficacious target in cancer chemoprevention and therapy, as well as a potential biomarker for treatment efficacy in breast cancer.

Methods

Breast tissue microarrays and immunohistochemistry

Breast tumor microarrays (BR953) were purchased from US Biomax incorporated for immunohistochemical staining. Briefly, slides were deparaffininzed at 60°C and incubated in xylenes for 3 minutes. Slides were subsequently rehydrated by incubation in graded ethanol at 100%, 90%, and 75%. Heat-induced epitope retrieval (HIER) was performed in an autoclave at 100°C, for 10 minutes, at 15 PSI, in 20mM Tris, pH 8.5. Antibodies used for immunohistochemical staining included normal rabbit IgG (negative control) or α -NURR1 (N-20, Santa Cruz Biotechnology). Peroxide block, blocking, antibody incubation, and secondary detection were performed utilizing UltraVision One Polymer IHC detection systems (Thermo Scientific) in accordance with the manufacturer's instruction. Stained core images were captured using an Olympus BX51 and DP72 color camera. Cores were each scored according to staining intensity (0 = negative, 1 = marginal/weak, 2 = moderate, 3 = strong) twice each by 2 blind observers and the mean scores recorded. Mean IHC scores of normal and cancerous epithelium were compared using Mann-Whitney U-test. For further analyses, biopsies with a mean score of less than 1.5 were scored as NURR1(-), and those at 1.5 or above were designated as NURR1(+). Utilizing pathology reports, patient data was stratified according to TP53 expression, ERa expression, lymph node status, and histological grade. Statistical significance was determined using Fisher's exact test. Images of histological (hematoxylin and eosin) stains for each tumor core are available at http://www.biomax.us/tissue-arrays/Breast/BR953.

Western immunoblot

For Western immunoblots, normal and cancerous tumor lysates were purchased from Origene technologies. Lysates from established cell lines (MDA-MB-468 and MDA-MB-231) cell lines were generated from 60% confluent 100 cm² cell culture plates using 1% SDS buffer supplemented with protease and phosphatase inhibitor cocktail. All protein lysates were fractionated by polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE) and transferred to nitrocellulose. Nitrocellulose blots were blocked and probed in the presence of 5% bovine serum albumin for the presence of α -NURR1 and a β -actin antibodies. Secondary immunodetection was performed by incubation with AlexaFluor-647 or AlexaFluor-488 secondary antibodies, respectively. Immunofluorescence was detected using the BioRad VersaDoc imaging system.

Tissue culture and cell line generation

MDA-MB-468 and MDA-MB-231 cells were acquired from American Tissue Type Collection (ATCC) and utilized to generate novel cell lines (4A2KD-468, 4A2KD-231, Vec-468, and Vec-231). 4A2KD- and Vec- cells lines were generated by stable transfection with plasmids (pGFP-V-RS vector, Origene) expressing scrambled short hairpin RNA (shRNA) or a shRNA targeting NURR1 (Vec- and 4A2KD- cells, respectively) using Fugene HD (Roche) in accordance with the manufacturer's protocols. Stably transfected cells were selected by FACS (fluorescence assisted cell sorting) gating according to GFP fluorescence at 5 days post-transfection (Tulane University Cell Analysis Core). All cell lines were cultured in Dulbecco's modified eagle's media (DMEM), supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum and penicillin/streptomycin, and maintained at 37°C and 5% CO₂

Tumor xenografts

Four- to five-week old female homozygous athymic nude mice (Hsd-nude-Foxn1^{nu}, approximately 20 grams each) were purchased from Harlan Laboratories. After 10 days quarantine, each mouse was identified by numbered ear tags and randomly assigned to 4 cage groups with 6 mice each: Vec-468, 4A2KD-468, Vec-231, and 4A2KD-231. The GFP-expressing cells were cultured to 80% confluence in 150 mm² tissue culture plates, then collected and divided into aliquots containing 5×10^5 cells with Matrigel suspension. Each mouse was inoculated once by injection of cell/Matrigel suspension (200 µl) into the inguinal mammary fat pad with 5×10^5 cells. After day 10, tumors were imaged for GFP fluorescence using the Maestro Flex small animal imager (day 0), and then weekly for five weeks thereafter to measure tumor progression as indicated by fluorescence intensity. During imaging, mice were anesthetized (intraperitoneal injection with ketamine/xylazine) to immobilize the animals during image acquisition. Images were spectrally unmixed and fluorescence totals reported. Upon termination of the study, mice were euthanized by exposure to CO_2 in a manner as to minimize animal distress. All animals were housed in the Animal Care Facility on-site and received humane care according to the guidelines of the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) of Xavier University of Louisiana.

Data mining

GEO microarray array public repository was initially searched for microarrays in which global gene expression in normal breast epithelium was compared to that of cancerous breast tissue. Within the search, 5 studies were identified. NURR1 expression values were derived from each dataset, and relative expression of NURR1 was compared using Student's T-test for significance, where significance was determined as $p \le 0.05$.

Kaplan-Meier survival analysis was performed utilizing kmplotter server (kmplot.com), which analyzes breast cancer patient survival data from public microarray data repositories. Patients were stratified as NURR1-low or NURR1-high according to the median expression values for NURR1 throughout the cohort (Affymatrix probe 216248_s). RFS in the total population (2898 patients) was determined and compared to that of patients which did not receive systemic therapy (845 patients).

Results

NURR1 is strongly expressed in normal, but not cancerous breast tissue

NURR1 impacts proliferation and differentiation in a context-dependent fashion. As such, we sought to compare the expression of NURR1 in normal and cancerous breast epithelium using tissue microarrays. Tissue microarrays were stained with antibodies directed against NURR1 or with normal IgG, and each tissue core was scored according to the intensity of NURR1 staining in epithelial cells (0 = absent and 3 = greatest intensity). NURR1 was strongly expressed in normal breast epithelium, with a mean intensity score of 2.4 (Figure 1A, B). This differed significantly from cancerous tissue cores which had a mean intensity score of 1.4 (Figure 1A, B). This specific silencing of NURR1 in transformed breast was confirmed in using Western immunoblots, where relative NURR1 expression was determined in lysates from normal breast epithelium, transformed breast, and established cell lines (MDA-MB-468 and MDA-MB-231). Loss of NURR1 expression is evident in cancerous breast as compared to normal breast, (Figure 1C). These findings support the contention that NURR1 expression in the breast is commensurate with a normal, terminally differentiated epithelial phenotype, whereas as silencing/ dysregulation of NURR1 may play a role in oncogenic transformation of breast epithelial cells.

NURR1 expression is associated with specific prognostic indices in breast cancer

Since NURR1 showed a highly significant decrease in expression in breast cancer versus normal breast, we



investigated whether NURR1 was associated with specific surrogate prognostic indices among breast cancer patients. Breast tumors were categorized into groups of either negative to marginally expressing NURR1 [NURR(-)] and those moderately to strongly expressing NURR1 [NURR(+)], based on previously determined mean IHC scores (0.0-1.49, 1.50-3.0, respectively). Subsequently, each sample was categorized according to accompanying pathology reports with regard to $ER\alpha$ status, p53 status, presence of lymph node metastases (from TNM classification), and histological grade. NURR1 expression was strikingly lower among primary tumors of patients with lymph node metastases (Figure 2A). Indeed each of the primary tumors associated with lymph nodes was NURR1(-) suggesting a strong link between NURR1 silencing and cancer cell invasiveness (Figure 2A). Additionally, we found that NURR1 expression was inversely related to expression of p53 (Figure 2B). Though the correlation was not of statistical significance, NURR1(-) tumors tended to be $ER\alpha(+)$ (Figure 2C). No differences were observed between the histological grades represented with regard to NURR1 expression (Figure 2D).

Data mining confirms NURR1 silencing in transformed breast as compared to normal breast epithelia

To confirm that NURR1 expression is selectively silenced in transformed breast cells, we analyzed published gene expression microarrays in the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) repository which entailed comparisons of normal and transformed breast epithelium. We then compared the expression of NURR1 between cancerous and normal patient samples. Four of five studies revealed decreases in mean NURR1 mRNA expression in transformed breast cancer samples as compared to normal breast epithelium, three of which reached statistical significance, $p \leq 0.05$ (Table 1) [24-28]. This supports our own breast tissue microarrays findings demonstrating that loss of NURR1 expression is indeed associated with oncogenic transformation of the breast epithelium. Interestingly, those cohorts showing the most significant difference appeared in studies in which normal tissue was derived from breast reduction mammoplasty where no diagnosis of breast cancer had been made. Conversely, the 2 datasets (GDS2739 and GDS2635) which showed no statistically significant difference in NURR1 expression, involved use of tumoradjacent normal breast tissue. As such, it is plausible that



the loss of NURR1 expression is not only a marker for breast epithelial cell transformation, but may be a marker of pre-neoplastic breast epithelium.

NURR1 is associated with prolonged RFS in breast cancer patients

Lymph node metastases and p53 expression serve as surrogate markers for breast cancer prognosis, but may not correlate directly with patient survival. As such, we utilized the kmplot.com server to determine the relationship between NURR1 expression and RFS in breast cancer patients [29]. Using the JETset best probe function, we identified Affymatrix probe 216248_s_at as the most suitable probe for determination of NURR1 expression. Patients were designated as NURR-high or NURR1-low based on the median expression of NURR1 within the complete cohort. Kaplan-Meier analysis of 2898 breast cancer patients reveal that NURR1 is significantly associated with improved prognosis as determined by RFS, where HR = 0.7 (0.62-0.79, Logrank $P = 2 \times 10^{-8}$) (Figure 3A). However, when limited to patients not receiving systemic therapy (846 patients), NURR1 failed to reveal any RFS advantage [HR = 0.91 (0.72-1.14, logrankP = 0.40) (Figure 3B). This suggests that the positive prognostic significance of NURR1 may be less related to disease progression, but more indicative of the efficacy of systemic therapy in prolonging time to relapse.

NURR1 silencing inhibits breast tumor xenograft growth

In order to determine the impact of NURR1 silencing on breast cancer cell proliferation, we stably transfected MDA-MB-468 and MDA-MB-231 cells with a short hairpin RNA (shRNA) encoding plasmids directed toward either NURR1 or a scrambled hairpin RNA as a control, (4A2KD- and Vec-, respectively), resulting in suppression of NURR1 protein expression in 4A2KD-468 and 4A2KD-231 cells. In addition, each plasmid

Table 1 NURR1 expression in gene expression microarrays comparing normal and cancerous breast epithelium

GEO dataset	Normal	Cancer/Hyperplasia	T-Test	PMID
GDS3139	1942 ± 396.6 N=15	417.8 ± 35.81 N=14	P=0.0010	18058819
GDS2739	1691 ± 943.0 N=8	487.2 ± 115.3 N=8	P = 0.2257	17591970
GDS3716	1718 ± 265.2 N=18	535.6 $_{\pm \ 108.7 \ N=18}$	P = 0.0002	20197764
GDS2635	327.3 _{± 93.02 N=19}	296.2 ± 59.50 N=11	P=0.8143	17389037
GDS2250	$7.780 \ \pm \ _{0.3715} \ _{N=7}$	$6.290 \pm 0.2538 \text{ N}{=}40$	P = 0.0223	16473279,20400965



also encoded green fluorescent protein (GFP), allowing efficient determination of stable transgene incorporation and monitoring of tumor growth. We subsequently established orthotopic mouse tumors in Hsd-Nude-foxn1^{nu} athymic nude mice; after which the tumors were allowed to progress for 35 days and the tumor size was monitored by GFP fluorescence. A divergence in tumor growth rate was observed by day 14 and by day 35, Vec-468 tumors had grown at a significantly faster rate and were 60% larger than 4A2KD468tumors, Figure 4A, B). 4A2KD-231 cells were more efficient in establishing tumors, and by day 35 showed a substantial increase in growth as compared to Vec-231 derived tumors. Additionally, 4 of 6 mice inoculated with Vec-231 cells developed detectable contralateral mammary lesions whereas none were detected among mice inoculated with 4A2KD-231 cells, highlighting a potential role for NURR1 expression in breast cancer cell invasion. When taken into consideration with previous findings, these data suggest a dichotomous role for NURR1 in breast cancer development in which NURR1 is highly expressed in normal, non-proliferating breast epithelium, but acquires proliferation promoting effects in transformed tissue.

Discussion

Our studies suggest that NURR1 has profound, context dependent effects on breast cancer and normal breast epithelium with regard to tumorigenicity and terminal differentiation, respectively. We have demonstrated that NURR1 is strongly expressed in the normal breast epithelium, but is suppressed in the transformed breast, suggesting a potential role for NURR1 in the maintenance of a differentiated epithelial phenotype. Our studies also reveal that NURR1(-) primary tumors are more likely to be associated with p53 expression as well as increased incidence of lymph node metastases, when compared to NURR1(+) tumors. Paradoxically, breast cancer xenograft tumors in which NURR1 has been targeted by stable transfection with shRNA reveal that further loss of NURR1 leads to decreased tumor growth as compared to control. These findings suggest a dichotomous role for NURR1 in breast cancer development which may be substantially impacted by the cellular context under which the receptor is expressed.

NURR1 in cancer

The contention that NURR1 plays an important role in the maintenance of terminal differentiation of epithelia is supported in the literature. Developmental animal models have shown that suppression of NURR1 is necessary for the maintenance of pluripotency of hematopoietic progenitor cells [3]. Similarly, genetic models have demonstrated that the loss of NR4A-family receptors results in increased incidence of leukemia [30]. NURR1 expression and activity is induced in response to several compounds with anti-neoplastic effects such as 6 mercaptopurine and 1,1-bis(3'-indolyl)-1-(aromatic)methane (C-DIM) analogs [7,10]. In contrast, several studies suggest that NURR1 is associated with increased proliferation of cancer cells. In HeLa cells, loss of NURR1 was associated with decreased anchorage independent growth and resulted in apoptosis, suggesting that NURR1 was necessary for the maintenance of a tumorigenic phenotype [20]. In colon cancer, it has been demonstrated that prostaglandin E2 mediated proliferation is inhibited by expression of a dominant negative NURR1, demonstrating that NURR1 is indeed necessary for eicosanoid-mediated proliferation in colon cancer [21]. It is feasible that NURR1, which is highly regulated at the transcriptional and post-translational levels, may have different roles in cancer based on the regulatory



influences present within the cellular environment. To this point, NURR1 mislocalization to the cytoplasm is associated with poor clinical prognosis, yet pharmacological modulation of the transcriptional function of NURR1 is associated with compounds which induce apoptosis [10,19]. Together, these findings suggest that nuclear localization, and presumably transcriptional activity of NURR1 is associated with differentiation, whereas a cytosolic localization and a lack of transcriptional activation are supportive of tumorigenesis. Indeed, our own immunohistochemical studies suggest that in normal cells, NURR1 is strongly localized to the nuclear compartment, supporting the contention that NURR1 has differential roles in the normal and transformed breast. Therefore, expression of the receptor alone may not be indicative of its role in cancer, but its transcriptional activity may be the key to elucidating its role in the inhibition or promotion of breast cancer. Further elucidation of this potential mechanism is complicated by the fact that breast cancer cell lines are often intolerant of NURR1 overexpression (data not shown). Therefore, functional studies involving the transfection of wild-type and transcriptionally inactive variants of NURR1 will likely require a more nuanced approach, such as conditional overexpression models.

NURR1 and breast cancer

In our studies, we have found that NURR1 silencing is associated with increased incidence of lymph node metastasis, and is associated with decreased expression of the tumor suppressor p53. Strikingly, no NURR1(+) tumors were associated with lymph node metastases, which supports the notion that NURR1 functions as a tumor suppressor. The prognostic significance of the inverse relationship between NURR1 and p53 expression is unclear, but may yield some insight into the potential mechanistic role of NURR1 in prevention of cancer development. One intriguing possibility is that NURR1 serves as a regulatory point secondary to p53 thus preventing entry into the cell cycle in the absence of p53 expression. A complicating factor however, is that p53 is frequently mutated in cancer, thereby making it difficult to assert whether p53 is active when it is expressed in breast cancer [18].

Based on the findings in tissue arrays, it might be expected that experimental silencing of NURR1 would result in an increase in tumor development and growth. To the contrary, our observation that NURR1 silencing caused a decrease in tumor growth in two xenograft models suggests that NURR1 acquires a tumor promoting function when expressed in transformed cells. It therefore is unlikely that the tumor promoting effect of NURR1 is a passive effect of inactive NURR1. Instead, we contend that NURR1 actively contributes to oncogenic signaling under currently undefined cellular conditions that could include posttranslational modification of NURR1, protein-protein interactions, or differential transactivation function of NURR1. Interestingly, mRNAs encoding splice variants of NURR1 have been characterized, and several of these presumed gene products have dominant negative effects with regard to NURR1 -dependent transcriptional activity [31,32]. As mentioned above, our early attempts to transiently overexpress NURR1 in breast cancer suggest that breast cancer cells are intolerant to NUR1 expression, resulted in rapid cell death (data not shown). If taken into context with these findings, this suggests that there may be some threshold or "gene-dose" effect of NURR1 on proliferation/survival in cancer, where low NURR1 expression levels may support proliferation, but higher levels of expression may lead to cell cycle arrest or cell death through distinct mechanisms

Conclusions

From these studies, we conclude that NURR1 expression and transactivation is an integral component of normal breast epithelial differentiation and functions as an indicator for the efficacy of systemic therapy in breast cancer. Additionally, we conclude that NURR1 acquires tumor promoting effects within the context of the cancerous breast, in which tolerable, low, significant levels of NURR1 expression support breast tumor development.

Abbreviations

NURR1: Nuclear receptor related 1; TINUR: Transcriptionally inducible nuclear receptor; ERa: Estrogen receptor a; RFS: Relapse free survival; HR: Hazard ratio; NBRE: NGFI β response element; NuRE: Nur-like response element; IHC: Immunohistochemistry; GFP: Green fluorescent protein; IgG: Immunoglobulin G.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contribution

SL and LC established cell lines, performed tissue culture as well as established and quantified mouse xenograft studies. TD and BS scored

immunohistochemical staining of tissue arrays for NURR1 expression. BR contributed to the conceptualization of the project as well as material support. CW directed all activities, as well as performed immunohistochemical staining, and data mining of public microarray repositories for expression and RFS data. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

This work supported by NIH grant #K01CA129078 , RCMI of Xavier University #G12MD007595, Xavier University Center of Excellence Program grant #S21MD000100, and Louisiana Cancer Research Consortium. We would also like to acknowledge the LCRC FACS Core for sorting GFP(+) cells for these studies. The contents are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official views of the Louisiana Cancer Research Consortium or the NIH.

Author details

¹Division of Basic Pharmaceutical Sciences, College of Pharmacy, Xavier University of Louisiana, 1 Drexel Dr, New Orleans, LA 70125, USA. ²Department of Structural and Cellular Biology, Tulane University School of Medicine, 1483 Tulane Av, New Orleans, LA 70118, USA.

Received: 27 November 2012 Accepted: 14 March 2013 Published: 21 March 2013

References

- Maxwell MA, Muscat GE: The NR4A subgroup: immediate early response genes with pleiotropic physiological roles. Nucl Recept Signal 2006, 4:e002.
- Ramirez-Herrick AM, Mullican SE, Sheehan AM, Conneely OM: Reduced NR4A gene dosage leads to mixed myelodysplastic/myeloproliferative neoplasms in mice. *Blood* 2011, 117:2681–2690.
- Sirin O, Lukov GL, Mao R, Conneely OM, Goodell MA: The orphan nuclear receptor Nurr1 restricts the proliferation of haematopoietic stem cells. Nat Cell Biol 2010, 12:1213–1219.
- Zetterström RH, Solomin L, Jansson L, Hoffer BJ, Olson L, Perlmann T: Dopamine neuron agenesis in Nurr1-deficient mice. Science 1997, 276:248–250.
- Saucedo-Cardenas O, Quintana-Hau JD, Le WD, Smidt MP, Cox JJ, De Mayo F, Burbach JP, Conneely OM: Nurr1 is essential for the induction of the dopaminergic phenotype and the survival of ventral mesencephalic late dopaminergic precursor neurons. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1998, 95:4013–4018.
- Wang Z, Benoit G, Liu J, Prasad S, Aarnisalo P, Liu X, Xu H, Walker NP, Perlmann T: Structure and function of Nurr1 identifies a class of ligand-independent nuclear receptors. *Nature* 2003, 423:555–560.
- Wansa KDSA, Muscat GEO: TRAP220 is modulated by the antineoplastic agent 6-Mercaptopurine, and mediates the activation of the NR4A subgroup of nuclear receptors. J Mol Endocrinol 2005, 34:835–848.
- Davis IJ, Lau LF: Endocrine and neurogenic regulation of the orphan nuclear receptors Nur77 and Nurr-1 in the adrenal glands. *Mol Cell Biol* 1994, 14:3469–3483.
- Chinnaiyan P, Varambally S, Tomlins SA, Ray S, Huang S, Chinnaiyan AM, Harari PM: Enhancing the antitumor activity of ErbB blockade with histone deacetylase (HDAC) inhibition. Int J Cancer 2006, 118:1041–1050.
- Inamoto T, Papineni S, Chintharlapalli S, Cho SD, Safe S, Kamat AM: 1,1-Bis (3'-indolyl)-1-(p-chlorophenyl)methane activates the orphan nuclear receptor Nurr1 and inhibits bladder cancer growth. *Mol Cancer Ther* 2008, 7:3825–3833.
- Zhan Y, Du X, Chen H, Liu J, Zhao B, Huang D, Li G, Xu Q, Zhang M, Weimer BC, Chen D, Cheng Z, Zhang L, Li Q, Li S, Zheng Z, Song S, Huang Y, Ye Z, Su W, Lin SC, Shen Y, Wu Q: Cytosporone B is an agonist for nuclear orphan receptor Nur77. Nat Chem Biol 2008, 4:548–556.
- Maira M, Martens C, Philips A, Drouin J: Heterodimerization between members of the Nur subfamily of orphan nuclear receptors as a novel mechanism for gene activation. *Mol Cell Biol* 1999, 19:7549–7557.
- Wilson TE, Fahrner TJ, Milbrandt J: The orphan receptors NGFI-B and steroidogenic factor 1 establish monomer binding as a third paradigm of nuclear receptor-DNA interaction. *Mol Cell Biol* 1993, 13:5794–5804.
- Philips A, Lesage S, Gingras R, Maira MH, Gauthier Y, Hugo P, Drouin J: Novel dimeric Nur77 signaling mechanism in endocrine and lymphoid cells. *Mol Cell Biol* 1997, 17:5946–5951.

- Perlmann T, Jansson L: A novel pathway for vitamin a signaling mediated by RXR heterodimerization with NGFI-B and NURR1. *Genes Dev* 1995, 9:769–782.
- Lin B, Kolluri SK, Lin F, Liu W, Han YH, Cao X, Dawson MI, Reed JC, Zhang XK: Conversion of Bcl-2 from protector to killer by interaction with nuclear orphan receptor Nur77/TR3. *Cell* 2004, 116:527–540.
- Luciano F, Krajewska M, Ortiz-Rubio P, Krajewski S, Zhai D, Faustin B, Bruey JM, Bailly-Maitre B, Lichtenstein A, Kolluri SK, Satterthwait AC, Zhang XK, Reed JC: Nur77 converts phenotype of Bcl-B, an antiapoptotic protein expressed in plasma cells and myeloma. *Blood* 2007, 109:3849–3855.
- Zhang T, Wang P, Ren H, Fan J, Wang G: NGFI-B nuclear orphan receptor Nurr1 interacts with p53 and suppresses its transcriptional activity. *Mol Cancer Res* 2009, 7:1408–1415.
- Inamoto T, Czerniak BA, Dinney CP, Kamat AM: Cytoplasmic mislocalization of the orphan nuclear receptor Nurr1 is a prognostic factor in bladder cancer. Cancer 2010, 116:340–346.
- Ke N, Claassen G, Yu DH, Albers A, Fan W, Tan P, Grifman M, Hu X, Defife K, Nguy V, Meyhack B, Brachat A, Wong-Staal F, Li QX: Nuclear hormone receptor NR4A2 is involved in cell transformation and apoptosis. *Cancer Res* 2004, 64:8208–8212.
- Holla VR, Mann JR, Shi Q, DuBois RN: Prostaglandin E2 regulates the nuclear receptor NR4A2 in colorectal cancer. J Biol Chem 2006, 281:2676–2682.
- Li X, Tai HH: Activation of thromboxane A(2) receptors induces orphan nuclear receptor Nurr1 expression and stimulates cell proliferation in human lung cancer cells. *Carcinogenesis* 2009, **30**:1606–1613.
- Ordentlich P, Yan Y, Zhou S, Heyman RA: Identification of the antineoplastic agent 6-mercaptopurine as an activator of the orphan nuclear hormone receptor Nurr1. J Biol Chem 2003, 278:24791–24799.
- Tripathi A, King C, De la Morenas A, Perry VK, Burke B, Antoine GA, Hirsch EF, Kavanah M, Mendez J, Stone M, Gerry NP, Lenburg ME, Rosenberg CL: Gene expression abnormalities in histologically normal breast epithelium of breast cancer patients. *Int J Cancer* 2008, 122:1557–1566.
- Graham K, De las Morenas A, Tripathi A, King C, Kavanah M, Mendez J, Stone M, Slama J, Miller M, Antoine G, Willers H, Sebastiani P, Rosenberg CL: Gene expression in histologically normal epithelium from breast cancer patients and from cancer-free prophylactic mastectomy patients shares a similar profile. *Br J Cancer* 2010, **102**:1284–1293.
- Turashvili G, Bouchal J, Baumforth K, Wei W, Dziechciarkova M, Ehrmann J, Klein J, Fridman E, Skarda J, Srovnal J, Hajduch M, Murray P, Kolar Z: Novel markers for differentiation of lobular and ductal invasive breast carcinomas by laser microdissection and microarray analysis. BMC Cancer 2007, 7:55.
- Alimonti A, Carracedo A, Clohessy JG, Trotman LC, Nardella C, Egia A, Salmena L, Sampieri K, Haveman WJ, Brogi E, Richardson AL, Zhang J, Pandolfi PP: Subtle variations in Pten dose determine cancer susceptibility. *Nat Genet* 2010, 42:454–458.
- Richardson AL, Wang ZC, De Nicolo A, Lu X, Brown M, Miron A, Liao X, Iglehart JD, Livingston DM, Ganesan S: X chromosomal abnormalities in basal-like human breast cancer. *Cancer Cell* 2006, 9:121–132.
- Györffy B, Lanczky A, Eklund AC, Denkert C, Budczies J, Li Q, Szallasi Z: An online survival analysis tool to rapidly assess the effect of 22,277 genes on breast cancer prognosis using microarray data of 1,809 patients. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2010, 123:725–731.
- Mullican SE, Zhang S, Konopleva M, Ruvolo V, Andreeff M, Milbrandt J, Conneely OM: Abrogation of nuclear receptors Nr4a3 and Nr4a1 leads to development of acute myeloid leukemia. Nat Med 2007, 13:730–735.
- Xu P, Le W: Novel splicing variant of the human orphan nuclear receptor Nurr1 gene. Chin Med J 2004, 117:899–902.
- Michelhaugh SK, Vaitkevicius H, Wang J, Bouhamdan M, Krieg AR, Walker JL, Mendiratta V, Bannon MJ: Dopamine neurons express multiple isoforms of the nuclear receptor nurr1 with diminished transcriptional activity. J Neurochem 2005, 95:1342–1350.

doi:10.1186/1471-2407-13-139

Cite this article as: Llopis *et al.*: Dichotomous roles for the orphan nuclear receptor NURR1 in breast cancer. *BMC Cancer* 2013 13:139.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

) BioMed Central

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit