

Pneumatic Balloon Dilatation for Achalasia Cardia; Early & late results, a single center study

S. Waqar H. Shah¹, Arshad K. Butt², K. Malik³,
Altaf Alam⁴, Anwaar A. Khan⁵

ABSTRACT

Objective: Achalasia Cardia is treated by Pneumatic balloon dilatation, Heller's Myotomy and recently, by Peroral Esophageal Myotomy. This study reports the efficacy of pneumatic balloon dilatation as a non-surgical motility in achieving relief of dysphagia, clinical improvement and recurrence. Long-term complications were reported.

Methods: Eight hundred ninety two adult achalasia patients of both genders were treated from January 1988 till December 2011, with pneumatic balloon (Rigiflex Microvasive®) dilatation, under fluoroscopy Barium swallow was obtained prior to and five minutes after dilatation to evaluate for efficacy of dilatation as well as for complications. Patients not responding to 30 mm balloon had repeat dilatation with 35 mm balloon after 8 weeks. All patients were enrolled in regular follow up at one, six months and yearly intervals up to a period of five years. Recurrence was defined as an increase in symptom score at 8 weeks greater than 50% of their baseline value. These patients were treated with 35 mm balloon or referred for surgical intervention.

Results: Of 892 patients, follow up was obtained in 50% for 5 years, 9.2% for 4-years, 9.3% for 3-years, 10% for 2-years and 21.5% for 1-year of patients. One patient died after repeat dilatation. Eighty-eight patients were excluded from this analysis (20 died due to non-procedure related causes and another 68 were lost during follow up). Statistically significant improvement was noted in reduction in height and width of barium column and symptom score coupled with weight gain during follow up. Forty-eight patients were subjected to repeat dilatation with 35 mm balloon, two of these developed post-procedure perforations with one mortality. Three non-responsive patients required surgical laparoscopic myotomy. No carcinoma of esophagus was reported during follow up. One patient post dilatation, developed esophageal bezoar. A single pneumatic dilatation achieved a remission rate of 93% at four years, 90% at three years, 95% at two years and 92% at one year post dilatation.

Conclusion: Achalasia of esophagus can be effectively and safely treated with balloon dilatation to achieve adequate short and long-term symptomatic relief with a low complication rate.

KEYWORDS: Idiopathic Esophageal Achalasia, Lower esophageal sphincter, Pneumatic balloon dilatation.

doi: <https://doi.org/10.12669/pjms.335.13685>

How to cite this:

Shah SWH, Butt AK, Malik K, Alam A, Khan AA. Pneumatic Balloon Dilatation for Achalasia Cardia; Early & late results, a single center study. *Pak J Med Sci.* 2017;33(5):1053-1058. doi: <https://doi.org/10.12669/pjms.335.13685>

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Correspondence:

Prof. Dr. Anwaar A Khan, M.D, MACP, FACC, FRCP.
Doctors Hospital and Medical Center,
152-G/1, Canal Bank,
Johar Town,
Lahore 54590, Pakistan.
E-mail: anwaark@yahoo.com

- * Received for Publication: August 4, 2017
- * Revision Received: August 27, 2017
- * Accepted for Publication: September 25, 2017

INTRODUCTION

Idiopathic achalasia of esophagus is a motility disorder of uncertain etiology which presents as dysphagia, night regurgitation, vomiting, weight loss and chest pain.

Pathophysiologically, degeneration of myenteric plexus leads to high amplitude non-peristaltic contractions (vigorous achalasia) due to unopposed action of excitatory neurotransmitters. Progressive

loss of cholinergic neurons over time, results in dilatation and low amplitude simultaneous contractions in the esophageal body (classic achalasia).

Treatment options which include pneumatic dilation, surgical myotomy and Per-oral endoscopic myotomy (POEM) disrupt the integrity of lower esophageal sphincter (LES) to achieve symptom resolution, promote esophageal emptying and preventing progression to mega esophagus.^{1,2} Pneumatic dilatation can be offered to all age groups, as an outpatient procedure, under conscious sedation and early return to work. There may be mild if any occurrence of gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD).

Achalasia suspected on history, is confirmed by barium swallow, esophageal endoscopy and esophageal manometry. The disease usually seen in 3rd to 6th decade is most common in 4th decade. It has been reported from birth till even the 9th decade.¹ Reported estimates vary from 0.4 to 1.1 per 100,000 for incidence and 7.9 to 12.6 per 100,000 for prevalence.³

Circular muscle fibers of LES are disrupted by balloon guided forceful dilatation thereby overcoming functional obstruction of sphincter. Although, a number of balloons are available and used for pneumatic dilatation (Brown McHardy, Hurst Tucker, Mosher and Rider Moller), we prefer Rigiflex pneumatic balloon manufactured by Microvasive, Watertown, MA. These balloons are used either in a non-graded protocol or a gradually increasing size starting with the smallest balloon if results are considered non-satisfactory.^{3,4}

An observational study of 300 achalasia patients treated with pneumatic balloon dilatation with a median follow up of 3.8 years was reported from our centre in 2005.⁵ Corollary to this, additional 592 patients were enrolled with 1 year follow up intervals, until the fall of 2011, total of 5 years follow up.

This study aimed to assess:

1. Duration of clinical remission following dilatation.
2. To evaluate for possible complications i.e. perforation and post dilatation GERD, particularly with the use of larger 35 mm balloon diameter.
3. Any occurrence of cancer of the esophagus as reported in other studies.^{6,7}

METHODS

Achalasia suspected on symptoms of dysphagia, vomiting, regurgitation, loss of weight was

confirmed by combination of tests i.e. barium esophagogram, upper GI endoscopy, and esophageal manometry. Patients with secondary achalasia and those deemed unfit to tolerate endoscopic procedures, due to severe co-morbid conditions, were excluded from this study. All patients gave informed consent. Ethical approval for the study was granted by Hospital Administration since no formal Institutional Review Board existed at that time and all studies requiring ethical approval were processed by the Hospital Administrator/ Assistant Administrator.

As described in our previous report,⁴ symptom severity for dysphagia, night cough, chest pain and heartburn were recorded on a 4-point scale for each symptom (asymptomatic = 0, 1 = < 1 per month, 2 = several times per month, 3 = several times in a week and 4 = daily). Follow up was arranged at one and six months and then yearly after index dilatation. Patients were instructed to maintain a diary of their symptoms which was reviewed at each visit by the physician. At each visit scores reported by patients for individual symptoms were added to arrive at a composite symptom score. A reduction in composite symptom score of at least 50% compared with pre-treatment score was considered as evidence of clinical remission whereas a score greater than 50% at any time in follow up was deemed as relapse.

Barium esophagogram done five minutes after pneumatic dilatation was evaluated by a consultant radiologist for any tear or perforation, 50% reduction in height of baseline versus five minute post-dilatation esophageal barium column was considered effective emptying. Width of barium column was used to classify esophagi for dilatation as mild, 3.1-4.9 cms, moderate 5-6.9 cms, marked 7 to 8.9 cms and massive, more than 9 cms. Last group was excluded from the present study.

Esophageal manometric studies were performed by two experienced physicians (A.A.K and S.W.H.S) as described earlier.⁵ Manometric criteria required demonstration of absence of peristalsis of the esophageal body, incompletely relaxing lower

Table-I: Grading system for evaluation of clinical symptoms.

Symptoms/Score	0	1	2	3
Dysphagia to solids	none	Weekly	daily	each meal
Dysphagia to liquids	none	Weekly	daily	each meal
Active regurgitation	none	Weekly	daily	each meal
Passive regurgitation	none	Weekly	daily	daily
Chest pain	none	Weekly	daily	daily

Table-II: Demographic features and presenting symptoms.

Sex (M/F)	5 12/380 (Ratio 1.34:1)
Mean age at time of diagnosis (range)	46.7 yr± 14.01 (18-67)
Mean duration of symptoms at diagnosis (range)	27 months (2-98)
Regurgitation/vomiting	87%
Dysphagia	89%
Weight loss >2.0 kg or inability to gain weight	16%
Recurrent pneumonia	7%
Nocturnal cough	29%
Heartburn	7%

esophageal sphincter (LES) with a residual pressure > 5 mm Hg, and gastric pressure less than the esophageal pressure.⁸

Technique of balloon dilatation: Balloon dilatation was initiated, under fluoroscopy, with 30 mm balloon, relapsers were re-dilated with a 35 mm balloon, and non-responders were offered surgical intervention. The method of dilatation has been described earlier.⁵ Balloon distension time to obliterate waist, was six seconds since 1998, and 60 seconds from 1988 till 1998, inasmuch as, equal efficacy of 60 seconds versus six seconds was reported in our earlier study in 1998.⁹

On Post-dilatation, all patients were observed for 12 hours for complications, and subsequently followed up at the GI out-patient clinic at 1 and 6 months and then yearly intervals. In this study, Microvasive Rigiflex pneumatic balloon (Watertown, MA) was used for its ease. Rigiflex balloons are 10 cm long, with diameter, of 30, 35, and 40 mm. Over inflation, does not increase the diameter of balloon but results in longitudinal rupture at approximately 20 psi (1,050 mm Hg).

Statistical analysis: Demographic data was recorded as frequency/percentage while continuous variables were reported as Mean ± SD. Comparison of baseline, one, six months and yearly symptom scores recorded during follow up was done employing Friedman's 2-Way ANOVA. Both composite score at baseline, one, six months and yearly follow up of esophageal barium height and width at baseline and five minute post dilatation were compared by Wilcoxon matched pairs signed rank test. All data was analyzed with IBM SPSS version 20 with significance level at < 0.05.

RESULTS

This study reports post dilatation follow up of 892 patients for up to five years. The demographic features and presenting symptoms are shown in Table-II. Symptomatic relief was achieved with

dilatation using 30 mm balloon in 844 patients documented by serial improvement in mean composite symptom scores at 1 month (2.2 ± 0.46), 6 month (1.6 ± 0.7) and 12 month (1.6 ± 0.3) follow up, compared with baseline (11.3 ± 1.9). Data for change in symptom score at each visit analyzed using Friedman's 2-Way ANOVA test appears in Table-III. Table-IV presents pair-wise comparison of data for barium height, width and patient weight based on Wilcoxon test. Barium column height decreased significantly from 13.8 ± 6.2 cm pre-dilatation to 7.5 ± 3.4 cm post dilatation ($p < 0.05$). Width of barium column decreased from 4.9 ± 2.3 cm to 3.1 ± 3.6 cm post dilatation ($p < 0.05$). Mean patient weight improved from baseline value of 52.1 ± 6.7 kg to 59.2 ± 5.4 kg and 67.4 ± 5.3 kg at 6 and 12 months respectively, post-dilatation ($p < 0.05$).

Forty eight patients (29 males and 19 females) did not achieve adequate symptom relief and were subjected to repeat dilatation. Three of these did not achieve adequate symptom relief, hence, were referred for laparoscopic myotomy.

Immediate and late complications of dilatation: Post dilatation perforation with 35 mm balloon was noted in two of 892 patients. One responded to

Table-III: Symptom Scores at baseline, 1, 6 and 12 months of follow up.

Symptoms	Mean ± SD			
	Baseline	1 month	6 month	12 month
Dysphagia	4.3±1.3	1.3±0.4	1.4±0.5	1.1±0.7
Chestpain	0.7± 1.2	0.4±0.4	0.0	0.0
Regurgitation	2.7±0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
Night cough	1.7± 0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
Heartburn	1.6 ± 0.4	0.5 ± 0.3	0.2 ± 0.6	0.5 ± 0.4
Composite score	11.3 ± 1.9	2.2 ± 0.4	1.6 ± 0.4	1.6 ± 0.3

Following analyses are based on Friedman's ANOVA test: Composite symptom score basal vs. 1 month, basal vs. 6 months and basal vs 12 months $p < 0.05$.

Composite symptom score 1 month vs 6 and 12 months and 6 months vs 12 months $p > 0.05$.

Table-IV: Changes in barium parameters and weight of patients.

<i>Parameter</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>± S.D</i>
Barium studies		
a. Barium height, pre-dilatation (cm)	13.8	6.2
b. Barium height, 5 minute post-dilatation (cm)	7.5	3.4
c. Barium width, pre-dilatation (cm)	4.9	2.3
d. Barium width, 5 minute post-dilatation (cm)	3.1	1.6
Weight gain		
e. Weight, baseline (kg)	52.1	6.7
f. Weight, 6 months (kg)	59.2	5.4
g. Weight, 12 months (kg)	67.4	5.3

Following analyses are based on Wilcoxon test:
 Barium height pre and post-dilatation $p < 0.05$
 Barium width pre and post-dilatation $p < 0.05$
 Weight gain basal vs 6 months $p < 0.05$.

Table-V: Change in composite symptom score up to 5 years follow up.

<i>Follow up period</i>	<i>Time of evaluation</i>					
	<i>Baseline</i>	<i>1st-year</i>	<i>2nd-year</i>	<i>3rd-year</i>	<i>4th-year</i>	<i>5th-year</i>
5-years n = 416	11 ± 1.9	1.6 ± 1.2	1.4 ± 0.6	1.4 ± 0.5	1.0 ± 0.5	1.0 ± 0.4
4 years n = 69	11.4 ± 1.5	1.3 ± 0.8	1.1 ± 0.6	1.0 ± 0.6	1.3 ± 0.5	-
3 years n = 62	9.0 ± 2.6	2.0 ± 1.0	2.5 ± 0.8	4.0 ± 1.1	-	-
2 years n = 81	10.4 ± 2.2	3.9 ± 1.9	2.3 ± 0.9	-	-	-
1 year n = 176	10.5 ± 2.7	3.2 ± 0.9	-	-	-	-

Total patients enrolled = 892, Lost to follow up = 68, Died = 20, Total patients in follow up = 804.

medical management whereas, the second patient, a 72 years old diabetic lady with chronic bronchitis who underwent surgery, died of sepsis. Esophageal bezoar was noted in one patient during follow up evaluation, of acute onset of dysphagia and was treated endoscopically.¹⁰

Troublesome reflux symptoms were reported and medically treated in 52 patients (5.8%), 19 patients after first dilatation and 33 after second dilatation with larger, 35 mm balloon. Twenty one patients died from unrelated causes on follow up.

Follow up data: Follow up data of patients is presented in Table-V. Of 892 patients, follow up was obtained in 50% for 5 years, 9.2% for 4-years, 9.3% for 3-years, 10% for 2-years and 21.5% for 1-year. Symptom score recorded at each follow up visit showed a significant improvement in mean composite scores, compared with baseline. This improvement was sustained during follow up visits.

DISCUSSION

Definitive cure for achalasia is elusive; but significant symptomatic relief can be achieved.

There are several effective therapeutic options including pneumatic dilatation either by one time procedure or graded dilatation. Alternatively, laparoscopic myotomy or POEM are offered.² The choice between endoscopic and surgical procedures is dictated by local expertise and facilities. Early achalasia with dysphagia as principal symptom with normal endoscopy is often confused with gastroesophageal reflux disease. Esophageal manometry helps in early diagnosis. This, however, needs a high index of suspicion since vomiting, weight loss and nocturnal aspiration may be absent or occur intermittently at an early stage; hence the delay in diagnosis.¹¹

All presently available treatment options aim to improve esophageal emptying with symptomatic relief. None can restore the irreversible esophageal aperistalsis. Balloon dilatation, surgical myotomy and POEM all disrupt the integrity of circular muscle fibers of LES resulting in decreased resistance to esophageal emptying aided by gravity. Botulinum toxin (Botox[®]) injected at the LES also accomplishes the same result albeit, temporarily.¹² Calcium channel blockers and nitrates may provide

transient relief, particularly, in vigorous achalasia.¹³

Other studies showed efficacy of balloon dilatation varying from 86 to 100%.^{3,14,15} In their large prospective study, Barkin et al. reported success rate of 90%¹⁶ using 35 mm balloon. They reported short hospitalization or same day outpatient procedure with significant cost reduction without increase in complications. Although, potential for perforation is low, there were two cases of perforation in our study. Vaezi et al. reported two esophageal perforations in 10 year follow up, with a 3.0 cm balloon.¹³ Echaradt et al., reported remission of symptoms in 59% on 1year and 26% on 5 year follow up.¹⁷ Our results concur with published data, reporting success in 65 to 69% cases after a single dilatation, increasing to 77% after re-dilatation.¹⁷⁻¹⁹

The difference in results among studies reported, may be attributed to the types of balloons used, we used 30 & 35 mm Microvasive Balloon for its safety, as complications have been reported with larger size.¹¹

Balloon distension time varies across the studies from 15-60 seconds. In our earlier study, we compared six seconds vs 60 seconds in establishing safety and efficacy, both were found comparable.⁹ Therefore, in this study 6 second distention time was selected after the study report. Some investigators only performed a single dilation¹⁷ but most employed an incremental protocol with initial dilatation done using 30 mm, followed if required by progressively larger 35 and 40 mm balloons.¹⁴ Some centers from Europe have reported success with serial incremental dilations with the LES pressure less than 10-15 mm Hg as end point.^{14,16,20} We kept a 4-6 week follow up schedule in esophageal emptying studies, as reported by other centers.^{14,20,21}

Serious complications were infrequent, in this study. Of 892 patients, two patients developed perforation of esophagus after using 35 mm balloon. Vela et al have reported incidence of perforation up to 5%.²² Difficulty in maintaining the balloon in position at LES during the procedure is considered potential risk factor for perforation²³ but we did not encounter this complication on first dilatation. Others have reported higher risk of perforation with 35 mm balloon than a 30 mm balloon.²⁴

Minor problems of gastro esophageal reflux were seen in 52 patients (9.7%) in our study, managed with antireflux measures, whereas others reported up to 15-35%.²⁵ A meta-analysis of 24 studies of 1144 patients reported in 2009 by the same authors, with a mean follow-up of almost three years, using Rigiflex pneumatic balloon dilator showed, good

to excellent symptom relief in 74 to 90% of patients using 30, 35 and 40 mm balloons, respectively.²⁵

In some studies, about 30% experienced relapse after 4-6 year follow-up.^{26,27} Rarely, esophageal bezoar may present as a minor complication in achalasia and can be managed endoscopically.^{10,28}

CONCLUSION

This report indicates that symptomatic and objective relief, with a low complication rate can be achieved with pneumatic balloon dilatation in achalasia. We recommend it as the procedure of first choice in adults, preferably with 30mm ballon at outset for its safety, when equipped with the requisite skills. Whereas, surgical intervention or POEM may be offered as alternative therapy in achalasia or who fail to respond to balloon dilatation.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors wish to thank Prof. Shafique Ahmad and Dr. Mubashir Ijaz for assistance in the Department of Radiology and Ms. Nusrat Munir for typing the manuscript.

Grant Support & Financial Disclosures: None.

REFERENCES

1. Cox J, Buckton GK, Bennett JR. Balloon dilatation in achalasia: A new dilator. *Gut*. 1986;27:986-989.
2. von Renteln D, Inoue H, Minami H, Werner YB, Pace A, Kersten JF, et al. Peroral endoscopic myotomy for the treatment of achalasia: a prospective single center study. *Am J Gastroenterol*. 2012;107:411-417. doi: 10.1038/ajg.2011.388.
3. Gelfand MD, Kozarek RA. An experience with polyethylene balloonfor pneumatic dilatation in achalasia. *Am J Gastroenterol*. 1989;84:924-927.
4. Jankovic V, Vera L, Guelrud M, Villegas M. Dilatacion Neumaticadeacalasia con balones Rigiflex polituff 150. *GEN*. 1986;40:59-62. (In Turkish)
5. Khan AA, Shah SWH, Alam A, Butt AK, Shafaqat F. Sixteen years follow up of achalasia: A Prospective study of graded dilatation using Rigiflex balloon. *Dis Esophagus*. 2005;18:41-45.
6. Brucher BL, Stein HJ, Bartels H, Feussner H, Siewert JR. Achalasiaand esophageal cancer: incidence, prevalence, and prognosis. *World J Surg*. 2001;25:745-749.
7. Leeuwenburgh I, Scholten P, Alderliesten J, Tilanus HW, Looman CW, Steijerberg EW, et al. Long-term esophageal cancer in patientswith primary achalasia: a prospective study. *Am J Gastroenterol*. 2012;105:2144-2149. doi: 10.1038/ajg.2010.263.
8. Troshinsky MB, Castell DO. Achalasia. In: Castell DO, ed. *Esophageal motility testing*, 2nd ed. Norwalk, CT: Appleton & Lange, 1994;109-121.
9. Khan AA, Shah SWH, Alam A, Butt AK, Shafaqat F, Castell DO. Pneumatic Balloon Dilatation in Achalasia: A Prospective Comparison of Balloon Distention Time. *Am J Gastroenterol*. 1998;93:1064-1067.

10. Shah SWH, Khan AA, Alam A, Butt AK, Shafaqat F. Esophageal bezoar in achalasia: a rare condition. *J of Clinical Gastroenterol.* 1997;25:395-396.
11. Vantrappen G, Hellems J. Achalasia. In: G. Vantrappen J. Hellems, eds. New York Heidelberg, Berlin; Springer-Verlag. *Dis Esophagus.* 1974;287-354.
12. Pasricha PJ, Ravich WJ, Hendrix TR, Sostre S, Jones B, Kalloo AN. Intrasphincteric botulinum toxin for the treatment of achalasia. *N Engl J Med.* 1995;332:774-778.
13. Vaezi MF, Richter JE. Current therapies for achalasia: comparison and efficacy. *J Clin Gastroenterol.* 1998;27:21-25.
14. Kadakia SC, Wong RK. Graded pneumatic dilation using Rigiflex achalasia dilators in patients with primary esophageal achalasia. *Am J Gastroenterol.* 1993;88:34-38.
15. Cox J, Buckton GK, Bennett JR. Balloon dilatation in achalasia: a new dilator. *Gut.* 1986;27:986-989.
16. Barkin JS, Guelrud M, Reiner DK, Goldberg RI, Phillips RS. Forceful balloon dilation: an outpatient procedure for achalasia. *Gastrointest Endosc.* 1990;36:123-126.
17. Eckardt VF, Aignherr C, Bernhard G. Predictors of outcome in patients with achalasia treated by pneumatic dilation. *Gastroenterology.* 1992;103:1732-1738.
18. Vantrappen G, Hellems J. Treatment of achalasia and related motordisorders. *Gastroenterology.* 1980;79:144-154.
19. Kurlander DJ, Raskin HF, Kirsner JB, Palmer WL. Therapeutic value of pneumatic dilator in achalasia of the esophagus: long-term results in 62 living patients. *Gastroenterology.* 1963;45:604-613.
20. Nanson EM. Treatment of achalasia of the cardia. *Gastroenterology.* 1966;51:236-241.
21. Hulselmans M, Vanuytsel T, Degreef T, Sifrim D, Coosemans W, Lerut T, et al. Long-term outcome of pneumatic dilation in the treatment of achalasia. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2010;8:30-35.
22. Vela M, Richter JE, Khandwala E, Blackstone EH, Wachsberger D, Baker ME, et al. The long-term efficacy of pneumatic dilation and Heller myotomy for the treatment of achalasia. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2006;4:580-587. doi: 10.1016/S1542-3565(05)00986-9.
23. Richter JE. Update on the management of achalasia: balloons, surgery and drugs. *Expert Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2008;2:435-445. doi: 10.1586/17474124.2.3.435.
24. Guardino J, Vela M, Connor J, Richter JE. Pneumatic dilation for the treatment of achalasia in untreated patients and patients with failed Heller myotomy. *J Clin Gastroenterol.* 2004;38:855-860.
25. Kalrilas PJ. Motility Disorders of the Esophagus. In Yamada. T. Alpers. D (eds). *Text book of Gastroenterology.* 3rd edn. Philadelphia: J. B. Lippincott. 1999;1214-1221.
26. Metman EH, Lagasse JP, d'Alteroche L, Picon L, Scotto B, Barbieux JP. Risk factors for immediate complications after progressive pneumatic dilation for achalasia. *Am J Gastroenterol.* 1999;94:1179-1185.
27. Zerbib F, Thetiot V, Richy F, Benajah DA, Message Lamouliatte H. Repeated pneumatic dilations as long-term maintenance therapy for esophageal achalasia. *Am J Gastroenterol.* 2006;101:692-697. doi: 10.1111/j.1572-0241.2006.00385.x.
28. Kim KH, Choi SC, Seo GS, Kim YS, Choi CS, Im CJ. Esophageal Bezoar in a Patient with Achalasia: Case Report and Literature Review. *Gut and Liver.* 2010;4(1):106-109. doi: 10.5009/gnl.2010.4.1.106.

Author`s Contribution:

SWHS conceived, performed esophageal manometry, and did data collection and writing of manuscript.

AKB conceived, designed, performed balloon dilatations, performed statistical analysis and editing of manuscript.

KM & AA did patient follow up.

AAK performed balloon dilatations, did review and final approval of manuscript.

Authors:

1. Dr. S. Waqar H. Shah, MBBS.
 2. Dr. K. Malik, FCPS.
 3. Dr. Arshad K. Butt, FCPS.
 4. Dr. Altaf Alam, FRCP.
 5. Dr. Anwaar A. Khan, M.D, MACP, FACG, FRCP.
- 1-5: Department of Gastroenterology-Hepatology, Shaikh Zayed Medical Complex, Lahore, Pakistan.