

HHS Public Access

Author manuscript

Obesity (Silver Spring). Author manuscript; available in PMC 2013 September 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Obesity (Silver Spring). 2013 March; 21(3): 449–453. doi:10.1002/oby.20053.

Differences in motivations and weight loss behaviors in young adults and older adults in the National Weight Control Registry

Jessica Gokee LaRose, PhD^{1,2}, Tricia M. Leahey, PhD^{1,2}, James O. Hill, PhD³, and Rena R. Wing, PhD^{1,2}

¹Department of Psychiatry and Human Behavior, Alpert Medical School of Brown University

²Weight Control and Diabetes Research Center, The Miriam Hospital, University of Colorado Denver

³University of Colorado Denver

Abstract

The goal of this study was to compare young adults (YA) and older adults (OA) in the National Weight Control Registry on motivations for weight loss and weight loss behaviors. Participants (N=2,964, 82% female, 94% White, BMI=24.8±4.4) were divided into two age groups (18–35 vs. 36–50) and compared on motivations, strategies for weight loss, diet, physical activity (PA), and the TFEQ. YA were 28.6% of the sample (n=848). YA and OA achieved similar weight losses (p=.38) but duration of maintenance was less in YA (43 vs. 58 months, p<001). YA were more likely to cite appearance and social motivations for weight loss, were less motivated by health, and were less likely to report a medical trigger for weight loss (p's<.001). YA were more likely to use exercise classes and to lose weight on their own, and less likely to use a commercial program (p's<.001). YA reported engaging in more high intensity PA (p=.001). There were no group differences in total calories consumed (p=.47), or percent calories from fat (p=.97), alcohol (p=.52) or sugar sweetened beverages (p=.26). In sum, YA successful weight losers (SWL) are motivated more by appearance and social influences than OA, and physical activity appears to play an important role in their weight loss efforts. The differences reported by YA and OA SWL should be considered when developing weight loss programs for YA.

The National Weight Control Registry (NWCR) provides unique data on the motivations and behavioral strategies used by individuals who have been successful at long-term weight loss (1–4). Previous studies have demonstrated that those NWCR members whose weight loss was precipitated, or "triggered", by a medical concern lost more weight upon entry into the Registry and also maintained their weight losses better over time compared with those who reported other types of triggers (5). Additionally, data indicate that the successful weight losers in the NWCR report consuming a low calorie, low fat diet, maintaining high levels of physical activity and self-weighing frequently (1–4). Although findings from the

Users may view, print, copy, and download text and data-mine the content in such documents, for the purposes of academic research, subject always to the full Conditions of use:http://www.nature.com/authors/editorial_policies/license.html#terms

Address correspondance to: Dr. Jessica Gokee LaRose Weight Control and Diabetes Research Center 196 Richmond Street Providence, RI 02903 Phone: 401-793-8283 Fax: 401-793-8944 Jessica_Gokee_LaRose@brown.edu.

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Registry have greatly enhanced our understanding of the general characteristics and behaviors associated with successful long-term weight loss in an adult population, none of these studies have focused specifically on young adults.

According to the most recent Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance Survey (BRFSS) data, approximately half of young adults <35 years of age are overweight or obese (6). Further, this age group is at greater risk for weight gain than older adults, and overweight young adults are at the highest risk for additional weight gain (7–9). Despite the prevalence of obesity in the young adult population, this age group is less likely to enroll in standard behavioral weight loss programs than older adults; they are also less likely to be retained over time and on average lose less weight in these programs than older adults (10). Thus, recently there has been a call to develop weight control programs specifically targeting young adults (11). A better understanding of the motivations and behaviors of young adults who are successful at long-term weight loss compared to that of older adults may help to inform the development of effective weight control approaches for this high-risk age group.

Methods

Participants

The sample was drawn from the National Weight Control Registry (NWCR), a self-selected sample of successful weight losers (SWL) who are recruited through advertisements in national and local publications, and through our website (www.nwcr.ws). To be eligible for the NWCR, individuals must have maintained at least a 30lb weight loss for 1 year or longer; on average, members of the Registry have lost over 60lbs prior to enrolling and have maintained the minimum 30lb weight loss for more than 6 years. For the purposes of the present study we focused on the comparison of young adult SWL (18–35) and older adult SWL (36–50) (please see statistical analysis section for rationale for these cut offs). Therefore, all participants over 50 years of age were excluded, resulting in 2,964 participants who were retained for analyses.

Measures

Weight and Weight History—Baseline weight (entry into NWCR), weight history, family weight history, and dieting history were all based on self-report using standard items collected as part of the NWCR. The reliability and validity of these self-reports within the NWCR has been examined, and the correlation between documented weight taken by a physician or weight loss counselor and self-reported weight was 0.97, and the correlation for self-reported maximum weight was 0.98 (1).

Motivating Factors for Weight Loss—Motivating factors for participants' initial weight loss were assessed at baseline using a questionnaire developed for the NWCR. Instructions read as follows: "Listed below are nine reasons why people try to lose weight. Please rate how important each reason was to you at the start of your successful weight loss." Participants were asked to respond on a 5-point Likert scale ranging from "not at all important" to "extremely important" for each item (e.g., health concerns, improving your appearance).

Strategies for Weight Control—At entry into the NWCR participants are asked about the strategies they used to lose weight initially. The specific item asked is: "In achieving this most recent weight loss, you may have used several different approaches. Please indicate which of the following strategies you used to lose weight." Participants are then given a list of 12 strategies (e.g., commercial program, exercise classes, medication), and instructed to check all that apply.

Physical Activity—Physical activity was assessed using the Paffenbarger Physical Activity Questionnaire (16). From the PAQ we derived estimated total energy expenditure (total kcals / week), as well as expenditure from high intensity activity. The PAQ has satisfactory reliability and is sensitive to PA change in intervention studies (12).

Diet—Dietary intake was assessed using the Block Food-Frequency Questionnaire (13). We examined total energy intake, percentage of calories from fat, and percentage of calories from alcohol and sugar-sweetened beverages. The Block FFQ has been used in previous studies with a six-month reporting interval and found to closely correspond with dietary records (14) and has been validated against 3-day records (13).

Restraint, Disinhibition and Hunger—The Eating Inventory (TFEQ) (15) is a 51-item self-report instrument with three factors, assessing dietary restraint, disinhibition and hunger. The Restraint factor (range 0–21) assesses the degree of conscious control one is exerting over eating behaviors; two subscales within the restraint factor include rigid and flexible control over eating (16). The Disinhibition factor (range 0–16) measures susceptibility to loss of control over eating; three subscales within the disinhibition factor represent habitual, emotional, and situational susceptibility to disinhibition (17). Scores on the hunger factor range from 0–14; two subscales within the hunger subscale represent internal and external locus for hunger (17). The TFEQ has well-established psychometric properties (15–17) and has been used in a variety of weight control interventions; higher scores represent higher levels on all factors /subscales.

Procedure

Data for the current study were collected as part of the standard protocol for the NWCR. Registry participants are a self-selected group of SWL across the country, who join either by calling or visiting the website. Questionnaires are mailed to participants on an annual basis. Compensation is not provided. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board at the Miriam Hospital in Providence, RI.

Statistical Analyses

Age was treated as a categorical variable for all analyses using 18–35 years old as the criteria for young adult successful weight loser (YASWL) and 35–50 years old as the criteria for older adult successful weight loser (OSWL). We selected 35 years as our upper cut off for young adult given the considerable risk for weight gain and obesity observed prior to age 35 and to be consistent with previous studies focused on weight control among young adults (10, 18–22) as well as the NIH definition of young adult for weight control studies (22–23). We selected 50 years as our upper cut off for older adult because this

corresponds with the onset of menopause, which represents a distinct set of weight-related issues (24–26), and has been used as the upper cut off in previous trials targeting premenopausal women (27) and as the lower cut off in previous trials targeting postmenopausal women (28). YASWL and OSWL were compared on demographic characteristics and weight history variables using Analyses of Variance (ANOVA) or chisquare tests for continuous or categorical variables, respectively. Significant demographic and weight history differences were controlled for when comparing the two groups. Group comparisons were conducted using ANOVAs or binary logistic regression, depending on variable type and using a significance level of p<.01. For change variables, baseline measures were included as covariates. All analyses were conducting using SPSS, Version 14.0 (©SPSS, Inc., 2009, Chicago, IL, www.spss.com).

Results

YASWL and OSWL reported a comparable amount of weight loss at entry into the Registry, with no significant differences between groups (p=.38, see Table 1). However, YASWL had maintained their weight losses for a shorter period of time (p<.001). A comparison of YASWL and OSWL on demographic and all weight history variables is presented in Table 1.

Motivating Factors for Weight Loss

Adjusted mean ratings for each of the 9 possible reasons for weight loss are displayed in Table 2. There were significant differences between age groups on six of the nine motivating factors assessed. Compared with OSWL, YASWL rated appearance and social factors as more important in motivating their weight loss (e.g., improving your appearance, wanting to feel better about yourself, and improved social life) and rated health concerns as less motivating (all p-values <.001). Further, a majority of both YASWL and OSWL reported a specific trigger / event that precipitated their successful weight loss (61% and 64%, respectively); however, the type of trigger varied by age group. Specifically, young adults were less likely to report a medical trigger (14% vs. 24%, p<.01) and were more likely to report an emotional trigger (18% vs. 10%, p<.01).

Weight Loss Behaviors

The specific strategies used by each of the age groups for their initial weight loss are displayed in Table 3. When asked how they lost their weight initially, YASWL were more likely than OSWL to report using exercise classes and to indicate losing weight on their own without help, and were less likely to report using a commercial program (p's<.001).

Both YASWL and OSWL reported very high levels of physical activity at entry into the Registry (2739 vs. 2570 total kcals/week, p=.09), but YASWL reported significantly higher levels of high intensity activity (1125 vs. 895 kcals /week, p=.001) and a slightly higher percentage of young adults indicated they had modified their physical activity routine to achieve weight loss (93% vs. 88%, p<.01). Further, YASWL were more likely to report exercising in a group (40% vs. 30%, p<.001) and nearly half of YASWL reported exercising with a friend during their weight loss attempt (49% vs. 43%, p<.05). There were no

significant differences between YASWL and OSWL on any of the dietary variables assessed, including total dietary kilocalories (p=.47), percent calories from fat (p=.97), percent calories from alcohol (p=.52), or percent calories from sugar sweetened beverages (p=.26).

Finally, after relevant demographic and weight history variables were controlled, young adults reported higher levels of situational disinhibition (2.7 vs. 2.3, p<.001), internal hunger (3.9 vs. 3.7, p<.01), and external hunger (6.7 vs. 6.4, p<.01). There were no significant differences between groups on flexible control (4.8 vs. 5.0, p=.013), rigid control (5.0 vs. 4.9, p=.39), habitual disinhibition (9.1 vs. 9.1, p = .38) or emotional disinhibition (1.4 vs. 1.3, p=.52).

Discussion

These data demonstrate that young adults are able to achieve weight losses comparable to those of older adults in the Registry. Of note, both groups maintained their weight losses for a considerable period of time prior to entering the NWCR; however, young adults' duration of weight loss maintenance was significantly shorter compared with older adults. Further, young adults' motivation for weight loss and the strategies they use differ from those reported by older NWCR members. Previous studies of the NWCR have suggested the importance of health concerns as a motivator for weight loss and shown that those who report medical events as a trigger have better initial weight loss and better maintenance than those reporting other triggers (5). Health concerns do not appear to be as strong of a motivation for weight loss for young adults in the NWCR; rather, young adults' were less likely to have a medical trigger for their weight loss and data indicate that their motivation for weight loss may be driven more by appearance and social factors. In fact, on average, YASWL rated feeling better about themselves and improving their appearance as the most important reasons for wanting to lose weight. These findings are consistent with recent data from a survey of young adults in which appearance was rated the highest among reasons for wanting to lose weight (29).

Weight loss behaviors also differed for young adults, compared with older SWL. YASWL reported being more likely to lose weight on their own and less likely to join a commercial program, which is consistent with previous studies demonstrating that young adults are dramatically underrepresented in standard behavioral weight loss programs and that it is difficult to recruit this age group to formal weight loss programs (10). Further, YASWL reported using exercise to achieve their weight losses, and compared with OSWL, young adults reported significantly more high intensity physical activity at entry into the Registry. Physical activity can help to maintain energy balance and lead to effective long-term weight control (30); in addition, physical activity may lead to improvements in mood (31–32) and a sense of "feeling better", which may be more important to this age group for whom the health consequences of obesity are more distal.

Although moderately rated, social factors were also emphasized more among young adults than older adults as a motivating factor for weight loss. Social influence may play a larger role for this population; data indicate that social influences are greater for young adults

compared with older adults (33–34) and peers play a significant role in the initiation and maintenance of many health-related behaviors during these years (35–36). Further, although not specific to young adults, in a recent study examining the spread of obesity within social networks, Christakis & Fowler (2007) reported that same-sex mutual friends appeared to have the strongest influence on each other's weight gain (37). Peers can clearly serve as *positive* interpersonal influences as well; in fact, we recently demonstrated that among overweight / obese young adults, having more social contacts trying to lose weight was associated with greater intention to lose weight (38). Similarly, in the present study roughly half of YASWL reported exercising with a friend as part of their weight loss attempt. Thus, enhancing peer supports or incorporating peers in treatment may be one way to make behavioral programs more appealing to young adults, and also improve weight losses in this age group.

These findings should be interpreted in light of the limitations of the present study. The NWCR is a self-selected sample and is predominantly both non-Hispanic White and female. Also, given the nature of the NWCR, weight data is self-report instead of objectively measured. However, previous studies have demonstrated high correlations between selfreport weight and measured weight in the Registry specifically (1). Additionally, participants were asked to recall their motivations for weight loss retrospectively. Since participants in the NWCR have maintained their weight loss for at least 1 year upon study entry, it is possible that there may be some bias in their recall of these details. Future studies should seek to replicate these findings in a sample of participants initiating weight loss and then follow them over time to gain a better understanding of the factors that prompt young adults to lose weight initially, as well as those that are central to their success over time; doing so within a more diverse sample would also allow the findings to be more readily generalized to the population. Finally, it would be of interest to compare young and older SWL in the Registry over time to determine whether their differential motivations and weight loss behaviors contribute to differential patterns of weight maintenance or weight regain.

The present study offers new insights that may serve to inform future research studies and treatment development work with this age group. The differences noted above should be carefully considered as we seek to develop effective weight loss approaches for YA. Efforts to engage YA in weight loss programs might be more effective if appearance and social factors were stressed. Further, since these individuals appear less likely to enroll in formal treatment programs, alternatives to in-person programs such as Internet, mobile phones, and other forms of technology should be explored. In addition, programs for this age group might be advised to have an increased emphasis on physical activity and possibly offer group-based exercise or involve peers in treatment to enhance engagement.

In sum, these data demonstrate that young adults are able to achieve significant weight losses comparable with older adults in the NWCR. However, the motivations and strategies used by YASWL differ from those of older SWL. Specifically, young adults appear to place a greater emphasis on appearance, social factors, and physical activity and less emphasis on their health and the use of formal programs. These differences should be considered in future efforts to develop weight loss interventions targeting this age group.

Acknowledgements

Preparation of this paper was supported in part by grants K23DK083440 from the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases to JGL and U01HL090864 from the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute to RRW.

References

- 1. McGuire MT, Wing RR, Klem ML, Lang W, Hill JO. What predicts weight regain in a group of successful weight losers? J Consult Clin Psychol. 1999; 67:177–185. [PubMed: 10224727]
- 2. Klem ML, Wing RR, McGuire MT, Seagle HM, Hill JO. A descriptive study of individuals successful at long-term maintenance of substantial weight loss. Am J Clin Nutr. 1997; 66:239–46. [PubMed: 9250100]
- 3. Wing RR, Phelan S. Long-term weight loss maintenance. Am J Clin Nutr. 2005; 82(1 Suppl):222S-225S. [PubMed: 16002825]
- 4. Phelan S, Wyatt HR, Hill JO, Wing RR. Are the eating and exercise habits of successful weight losers changing? Obesity. 2006; 14(4):710. [PubMed: 16741274]
- Gorin AA, Phelan S, Hill JO, Wing RR. Medical triggers are associated with better short- and longterm weight loss outcomes. Prev Med. 2004; 39(3):612–6. [PubMed: 15313102]
- BRFSS. Overweight and obesity prevalence and trends data retrieved from. 2010. http:// apps.nccd.cdc.gov/BRFSS/age.asp?cat=OB&yr=2010&qkey=4409&state=UB on June 30, 2011
- 7. Truesdale KP, Stevens J, Lewis CE, Schreiner PJ, Loria CM, Cai J. Changes in risk factors for cardiovascular disease by baseline weight status in young adults who maintain or gain weight over 15 years: the CARDIA study. Int J Obes (Lond). 2006; 30:1397–1407. [PubMed: 16534519]
- Lewis CE, Jacobs DR Jr, McCreath H, Kiefe CI, Schreiner PJ, Smith DE, et al. Weight gain continues in the 1990s: 10-year trends in weight and overweight from the CARDIA study. Coronary Artery Risk Development in Young Adults. Am J Epidemiol. 2000; 15(12):1172–81. 151.
 [PubMed: 10905529]
- 9. Norman JE, Bild D, Lewis CE, Liu K, Smith-West D. The impact of weight change on cardiovascular disease risk factors in young black and white adults: the CARDIA study. International Journal of Obesity. 2003; 27:369–376. [PubMed: 12629565]
- Gokee-LaRose J, Gorin AA, Raynor HA, Laska MN, Jeffrey RW, Levy RL, et al. Are standard behavioral weight loss programs effective for young adults? International Journal of Obesity. 2009; 33(12):1374–80. [PubMed: 19786967]
- 11. Loria CM, Signore C, Arteaga S. The need for targeted weight control approaches in young women and men. Am J Prev Med. 2010; 38(2):233–5. [PubMed: 20117581]
- 12. Paffenbarger RS, Wing AL, Hyde RT. Physical activity as an index of heart attack risk in college alumni. Am J Epidemiol. 1978; 108:161–175. [PubMed: 707484]
- 13. Block G, Woods M, Potosky A, Clifford C. Validation of a self-administered diet history questionnaire using multiple diet records. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology. 1990; 43(12):1327–1335. [PubMed: 2254769]
- Jeffery RW, Wing RR, Thorson C, et al. Strengthening behavioral interventions for weight loss: A randomized trial of food provision and monetary incentives. J Consult Clin Psychol. 1993; 61(6): 1038–1045. [PubMed: 8113481]
- 15. Stunkard, AJ.; Messick, S. Eating Inventory Manual. Psychological Corporation; New York: 1988.
- Westenhoefer J, Stunkard AJ, Pudel V. Validation of the flexible and rigid control dimensions of dietary restraint. Int J Eat Disord. 1999; 26:53–64. [PubMed: 10349584]
- 17. Bond MJ, McDowell AJ, Wilkinson JY. The measurement of dietary restraint, disinhibition and hunger: an examination of the factor structure of the Three Factor Eating Questionnaire (TFEQ). Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. 2001; 25:900–906. [PubMed: 11439306]
- 18. Gokee-LaRose J, Gorin AA, Wing RR. Behavioral self-regulation for weight loss in young adults: A randomized controlled trial. International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity. 2009; 6(10)

 LaRose JG, Tate DF, Gorin AA, Wing RR. Preventing weight gain in young adults: A randomized controlled pilot study. American Journal of Preventive Medicine. 2010; 39(1):63–68. [PubMed: 20537843]

- 20. LaRose JG, Leahey TM, Weinberg BM, Kumar R, Wing RR. Young adults' performance in a low intensity weight loss campaign. Obesity. 2012 published online Feb 9.
- 21. Tate, DF.; LaRose, JG.; Espeland, M.; Bahnson, J.; Ferguson, E.; Erickson, K.; Perdue, L.; Wing, RR. Recruitment of young adults for weight gain prevention. Accepted for presentation at the annual meeting of the Society of Behavioral Medicine; New Orleans, LA. Apr. 2012
- National Institute of Health Request for Applications. Targeted approaches to weight control for young adults. Retrieved at http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/rfa-files/RFA-HL-08-007.html on March 11, 2012
- 23. National Institute of Health Press Release. Trials use technology to help young adults achieve healthy weights. Retrieved at http://public.nhlbi.nih.gov/newsroom/home/GetPressRelease.aspx? id=2744 on June 27, 2011
- 24. Lovejoy JC. The menopause and obesity. Primary Care. 2003; 30:317–325. [PubMed: 14567150]
- 25. Wing RR, Matthews KA, Kuller LH, Meilahn EN, Platinga PL. Weight gain at the time of menopause. Archives of Internal Medicine. 1991; 151:97–102. [PubMed: 1985614]
- 26. Pinto AM, Gokee-LaRose J, Wing RR. Behavioral approaches to weight control: A review of current research. Women's Health. 2007; 3(3):341–353.
- Simkin-Silverman LR, Wing RR, Boraz MA, Kuller LH. Lifestyle intervention can prevent weight gain during menopause: Results from a 5-year randomized clinical trial. Annals of Behavioral Medicine. 2003; 26:212–220. [PubMed: 14644697]
- 28. The Writing Group for the WHI Investigators. Risks and benefits of estrogen plus progestin in healthy post-menopausal women: Principal results of the Women's Health Initiative randomized controlled trial. JAMA. 2002; 288(3):321–333. [PubMed: 12117397]
- 29. LaRose, JG.; Wing, RR. Prevent weight gain vs. Achieve a healthy weight: Which message is more appealing to young adults?. Accepted for presentation at the annual meeting of The Obesity Society; Orlando, FL. Oct. 2011
- 30. Wing, RR. Behavioral approaches to the treatment of obesity. In: Bray; Bouchard, editors. Handbook of Obesity: Clinical Applications. 2nd edition. 2004. p. 147-167.
- 31. Rethorst CD, Wipfli BM, Landers DM. The antidepressive effects of exercise: a meta-analysis of randomized trials. Sports Med. 2009; 39(6):491–511. [PubMed: 19453207]
- 32. Yeung RR. The acute effects of exercise on mood state. J Psychosom Res. 1996; 40(2):123–41. [PubMed: 8778396]
- 33. Kerr, M.; Stattin, H.; Bisecker, G.; Ferrer-Wreder, L. Parents and peers as developmental context. In: Lerner, RM.; Easterbrooks, MA.; Mistry, J.; Weiner, IB., editors. Comprehensive handbook of psychology: developmental psychology. John Wiley; New York: 2002.
- 34. Albarracin D, Kumkale GT, Johnson BT. Influences of social power and normative support on condom use decisions: a research synthesis. AIDS Care. 2004; 16(6):700–723. [PubMed: 15370059]
- 35. Borsari B, Carey KB. How the quality of peer relationships influences college alcohol use. Drug and Alcohol Review. 2006; 25:361–370. [PubMed: 16854663]
- 36. Thompson JK, Shroff H, Herbozo S, Cafri G, Rodriguez J, Rodriguez M. Relations among multiple peer influences, body dissatisfaction, eating disturbance, and self-esteem: A comparison of average weight, at risk for overweight, and overweight adolescent girls. Journal of Pediatric Psychology. 2006; 32:24–29. [PubMed: 16861704]
- 37. Christakis NA, Fowler JH. The spread of obesity in a large social network over 32 years. The New England Journal of Medicine. 2007; 357:370–379. [PubMed: 17652652]
- 38. Leahey TM, LaRose JG, Fava J, Wing RR. Social influences are associated with BMI and weight loss intentions in young adults. Obesity. 2011; 19(6):1157–1162. [PubMed: 21164501]

Table 1

Participant Demographics and Weight History for Young Adults (18–35) and Older Adults (35–50)

Variable	Older SWL	Young Adult SWL	р	% Diff or Cohen's d
Female	81%	87%	<.001	6%
College degree or higher	62%	64%	.34	
Married	67%	47%	<.001	20%
Non-Hispanic White	94%	93%	.12	
1 Overweight parent	73%	78%	.06	
Early onset of overweight (by elementary school)	18%	20%	.82	
Current BMI	24.9 (4.5)	24.4 (4.4)	.009	.11
Maximum BMI	36.9 (8.7)	36.2 (8.2)	.03	
Weight loss at entry (kg)	35.3(17.5)	33.6(17.6)	.38	
Weight maintenance duration (in months)	58	43	<.001	.3

Table 2

Motivating Factors for Weight Loss

Reason	Older SWL	Young Adult SWL	P	Cohen's d
Health Concerns	4.15 (.03)	3.75 (.04)	<.001	.21
Improving Your Appearance	4.35 (.02)	4.59 (.03)	<.001	.17
Social Pressure	2.24 (.03)	2.88 (.05)	<.001	.21
Wanting to Feel Better about Yourself	4.39 (.02)	4.67 (.03)	<.001	.20
Social Event (e.g., wedding, reunion)	1.43 (.03)	1.64 (.04)	<.001	.11
Improved Energy	3.57 (.03)	3.54 (.05)	.53	
Improved Social Life	2.43 (.04)	3.14 (.06)	<.001	.3
Improved Work Performance	2.11 (.03)	2.12 (.05)	.90	
Feeling Physically Uncomfortable	3.93 (.03)	3.86 (.05)	.19	

Note: Values displayed are adjusted means (standard error). Values have been adjusted for gender, marital status, current BMI and duration of weight loss maintenance

Table 3
Percent Endorsing Specific Strategies for Weight Loss

Strategies Used for Initial Weight Loss	Older SWL	Young Adult SWL	P	% Difference
Commercial Program (e.g., WW)	40%	35%	.001	5%
Self-Help Group (e.g., OA, TOPS)	8%	7%	.21	
Individual Sessions with Psychologist	7%	8%	.44	
Individual Sessions with Dietician	10%	10%	.75	
Individual Sessions with Personal Trainer	11%	14%	.41	
Individual Contact with a Physician	12%	9%	<.05	
Exercise Classes	28%	39%	<.001	11%
Prescription or Over Counter Medication	7%	8%	.44	
Followed Diet Program from a Book etc.	23%	25%	.36	
Hypnosis	1%	<1%	.42	
Surgery	3%	1%	.02	
Lost Weight on Own Without Help	42%	50%	<.001	8%

Note: p value reflects significance level after adjusting for covariates, including: gender, marital status, current BMI and duration of weight loss maintenance