

Challenges in tolerogenic dendritic cell therapy for autoimmune diseases: the route of administration

María José Mansilla^{1,2}, Catharien MU Hilken³ and Eva M. Martínez-Cáceres^{1,2,*} 

¹Division of Immunology, LCMN, Germans Trias i Pujol University Hospital and Research Institute, Campus Can Ruti, Badalona, Spain,

²Department of Cellular Biology, Physiology and Immunology, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, 08193 Bellaterra (Cerdanyola del Vallès), Spain and

³Translational and Clinical Research Institute, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK

*Correspondence: Immunology Division, LCMN, Edifici IGTP-MAR, planta 2^a, Camí de les Escoles s/n, 08916 Badalona (Barcelona), Spain. Email: emmartinez.germanstrias@gencat.cat

Summary

Tolerogenic dendritic cells (tolDCs) are a promising strategy to treat autoimmune diseases since they have the potential to re-educate and modulate pathological immune responses in an antigen-specific manner and, therefore, have minimal adverse effects on the immune system compared to conventional immunosuppressive treatments. TolDC therapy has demonstrated safety and efficacy in different experimental models of autoimmune disease, such as multiple sclerosis (MS), type 1 diabetes (T1D), and rheumatoid arthritis (RA). Moreover, data from phase I clinical trials have shown that therapy with tolDCs is safe and well tolerated by MS, T1D, and RA patients. Nevertheless, various parameters need to be optimized to increase tolDC efficacy. In this regard, one important parameter to be determined is the most appropriate route of administration. Several delivery routes, such as intravenous, subcutaneous, intraperitoneal, intradermal, intranodal, and intraarticular routes, have been used in experimental models as well as in phase I clinical trials. This review summarizes data obtained from preclinical and clinical studies of tolDC therapy in the treatment of MS, T1D, and RA and their animal models, as well as data from the context of cancer immunotherapy using mature peptide-loaded DC, and data from *in vivo* cell tracking experiments, to define the most appropriate route of tolDC administration in relation to the most feasible, safest, and effective therapeutic use.

Keywords: tolerogenic dendritic cells, route of administration, autoimmunity, cell therapy, immune tolerance

Abbreviations: AQ4: aquaporin-4; CII: II collagen; CIA: collagen-induced arthritis; CNS: central nervous system; DCs: dendritic cells; Dexam: dexamethasone; EAE: experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis; i.a.: intraarticular; i.d.: intradermal; iDCs: immature DCs; i.n.: intranodal; i.p.: intraperitoneal; i.v.: intravenous; LPS: lipopolysaccharide; MOG: myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein; MPLA: monophosphoryl lipid A; MRI: magnetic resonance imaging; MS: multiple sclerosis; NOD: nonobese diabetes; PGIA: proteoglycan-induced arthritis; PGE2: prostaglandin E2; RA: rheumatoid arthritis; s.c.: subcutaneous; T1D: Type 1 diabetes; tolDCs: tolerogenic DCs; VIP: vasoactive intestinal peptide; VitD3: vitamin D3

Introduction

Tolerogenic dendritic cells (tolDCs) are described as semimature or maturation-resistant DCs exhibiting the tolerogenic functionality of immature DCs (iDCs) as well as some properties of mature DCs, such as antigen presentation to T cells, migration to lymphoid organs and functional stability. TolDCs are characterized by the expression of low levels of costimulatory molecules (CD: cluster of differentiation [CD40, CD80, and CD86]) and major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class II, the expression of inhibitory molecules (programmed death ligand 1 [PDL1], immunoglobulin-like transcript 3 [ILT3], and immunoglobulin-like transcript 4 [ILT4]), the production of immunoregulatory mediators and cytokines (tumor growth factor [TGF]- β , interleukin [IL]-10, indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase [IDO], and Fas ligand [FasL]) and decreased production of proinflammatory cytokines. Importantly, tolDCs induce T-cell hyporesponsiveness by promoting T-cell anergy, T-cell depletion, or regulatory T-cell (Treg) differentiation. Therefore, the use of tolDCs to restore long-lasting immune tolerance is a promising strategy targeting the origin

of autoimmune disorders such as multiple sclerosis (MS), type 1 diabetes (T1D), and rheumatoid arthritis (RA).

In this context, multiple protocols for *ex vivo* tolDC generation from autologous human peripheral blood monocytes have been described: treatment with pharmacological and immunosuppressive agents such as vitamin D3 (VitD3), dexamethasone (Dexa), rapamycin or nuclear factor-kappa B (NF- κ B) inhibitor (Bay 11-7082); culture in the presence of anti-inflammatory cytokines (IL-10 and TGF- β); or genetic engineering for the specific inhibition or induction of key molecules and pathways (such as the downregulation of CD40, CD80, and CD86 costimulatory molecules). A crucial step in tolDC manufacturing is their stability after exposure to proinflammatory conditions. For this reason, most of the tolDC manufacturing protocols include a maturation step using compounds such as lipopolysaccharide (LPS), monophosphoryl lipid A (MPLA), IL-1 β , TNF- α , prostaglandin E2 (PGE2), or IL-6 to induce an activation-resistant state in tolDCs. Furthermore, this maturation stimulus triggers C-C chemokine receptor 7 (CCR7) expression, which is necessary for tolDC migration to the lymph nodes.

Received: February 16, 2023; Accepted: July 17, 2023

© The Author(s) 2023. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the British Society for Immunology.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Table 1. Experimental models using tolDC treatment in a therapeutic approach

EAE model								Ref	
Route of administration	Dose	Number of administrations and interval of dose	EAE model	tolDC therapy		Type of treatment	Outcome		
				Tolerogenic agent	Antigen				
Intravenous	0.3 × 10 ⁶	3 administrations every 4 days (11, 14, and 17 pi)	C57Bl/6-MOG ₃₅₋₅₅	LPS	MOG ₃₅₋₅₅	LPS at 1 µg/ml for 24 h	MOG-LPS-DC treatment inhibited EAE development by inducing percentage of Treg (CD4 ⁺ CD25 ⁺ FoxP3 ⁺ GITR ⁺) that are CD127 ⁺ 3G11 ⁺ and reducing CD127 ⁺ 3G11 ⁺ Treg	[1]	
				Apoptotic thymocytes	MOG ₃₅₋₅₅	No	MOG-specific DC primed with irradiated (apoptotic) T cells abrogate EAE development by inhibiting CD4 ⁺ effector memory T cells and IFN γ production	Splenic CD11c ⁺ DCs instead of BMDCs were used	[2]
				VitD3	MOG ₃₅₋₅₅	No	Delay of disease onset and clinical amelioration. Increase proportions of Treg, CD4 ⁺ IL-10 ⁺ T cells and Breg in spleen and/or lymph nodes and reduced infiltration of Th1 and Th17 cells into spinal cord	Enhanced proportions of Th1 and Th17 cells in spleen and lymph nodes and increased level of IL-17 in serum	[4]
	1 × 10 ⁶	1 single administration at day 10 pi	C57Bl/6-MOG ₃₅₋₅₅	Engineered DCs to overexpress 25-hydroxyvitamin D 1 α -hydroxylase	MOG ₃₅₋₅₅	LPS at 100 ng/ml	Myelin-specific suppression of ongoing EAE symptoms related with induction of FoxP3 ⁺ Treg and reduction of inflammation and demyelination in the spinal cord	[5]	
		3 administrations every 3 days (days 10, 13, and 16 pi)	C57Bl/6-MOG ₃₅₋₅₅	K313	MOG ₃₅₋₅₅	LPS at 100 ng/ml for 24 h	Reduction of clinical severity, CNS infiltration and demyelination. Increased frequency of Treg and reduction of Th1 and Th17 cells in the spleen	[6]	
		3 administrations every 4 days (days 7, 11, and 15 pi)	C57Bl/6-MOG ₃₅₋₅₅	BD750	MOG ₃₅₋₅₅	LPS at 100 ng/ml for 24 h	Delay of disease onset and decreased EAE severity. Reduction of inflammatory infiltrates and demyelination in the CNS. Increase of Treg and reduction of Th1 and Th17 cells in the spleen	[7]	
		3 administrations every 4 days (days 19, 23, and 27 pi)	C57Bl/6-MOG ₃₅₋₅₅	BD750	MOG ₃₅₋₅₅	LPS at 100 ng/ml for 24 h	No clinical improvement	[7]	

Similar clinical benefit of antigen-specific VirD3-tolDCs

One (day 7 pi) or two (day 7 and 11 pi) administrations of antigen-specific tolDCs did not show clinical benefit

Table 1. Continued

EAE model										
Route of administration	Dose	Number of administrations and interval of dose	EAE model	tolDC therapy		Type of treatment	Outcome	Observations	Ref	
				Tolerogenic agent	Antigen					
		3 administrations every 4 days (days 7, 11, and 15 pi)	C57Bl/6-MOG ₃₅₋₅₅	Tofacitinib	MOG ₃₅₋₅₅	LPS at 100 ng/ml for 24 h	Early therapeutic treatment	Amelioration of clinical signs related with less leukocyte infiltration and demyelination in spinal cord. Reduction in of Th1 and Th17 cells and enhance of Treg in the spleen	[8]	
		3 administrations every 4 days (13, 17, and 21 pi)	C57Bl/6-MOG ₃₅₋₅₅	MOG mRNA electroporated-VitD3-tolDCs	MOG ₃₅₋₅₅	LPS at 100 ng/ml for 24 h	Therapeutic treatment	Reduced disease severity related with low number of spinal cord lesions (MRI), decreased MOG ₃₅₋₅₅ T-cell reactivity and less secretion of IL-17, IFN- γ , TNF, and GM-CSF	[9]	
		3 administrations every 4 days (14, 18, and 22 pi)	C57Bl/6-MOG ₄₀₋₅₅	VitD3-tolDCs	MOG ₄₀₋₅₅	LPS at 100 ng/ml for 24 h	Therapeutic treatment	Improvement of clinical symptoms. Inhibition of MOG ₄₀₋₅₅ T-cell reactivity, increase of FoxP3+ Treg and IL-10 secretion	[10]	
		3 administrations every 4 days (15, 19, and 23p i) for short-term treatment. Extra administrations at days 32, 41, 50, and 68 pi, for long-term treatment	C57Bl/6-MOG ₄₀₋₅₅	VitD3-tolDCs	MOG ₄₀₋₅₅	LPS at 100 ng/ml for 24 h	Therapeutic treatment	Clinical amelioration. Inhibition of MOG ₄₀₋₅₅ T-cell reactivity and induction of FoxP3+ Treg and IL-10 secretion (short-term treatment). Inhibition of MOG ₄₀₋₅₅ T-cell reactivity, increase of Breg and activated NKT cells, and reduction of % and activation of NK cells (long-term treatment)	[11]	
		3 administrations every 4 days (13, 17, and 21 pi)	C57Bl/6-MOG ₃₅₋₅₅	VitD3-tolDCs	MOG ₃₅₋₅₅	LPS at 100 ng/ml for 24 h	Therapeutic treatment	Improvement of clinical symptoms by MOG-tolDCs enhanced by MOG-tolDC+IFN- β combined therapy. Inhibition of MOG ₃₅₋₅₅ T-cell reactivity and increase secretion of IL-10	[3]	
NOD model										
Route of administration	Dose	Number of administrations and frequency	Model	tolDC therapy		Type of treatment	Outcome	Observations	Ref	
				Tolerogenic agent	Antigen					
Intraperitoneal	1 \times 10 ⁶	1 single administration 7 days after disease onset (in combination with 1 insulin unit)	NOD	NIT-1 apoptotic cells	Islet apoptotic bodies	No	Therapeutic	No amelioration of diabetes (determined by assessing insulinitis score and by measuring blood glucose levels and C-peptide concentration)	Tolerogenic therapy tested with or without rapamycin treatment (from the day of disease onset). No improvement was observed using rapamycin	[15]

Table 1. Continued

NOD model							
Route of administration	Dose	Number of administrations and frequency	Model	tolDC therapy		Type of treatment	Ref
				Tolerogenic agent	Antigen	Maturation	
Subcutaneous (abdominal flank, near to pancreas location)	2×10^6	1 single administration or 8 administrations (1 administ/week) w/o insulin co-treatment	NOD	Antisense oligonucleotides targeting mRNA of CD40, CD80 and CD86 costimulatory molecules	No	No	[16]
Subcutaneous (hind footpads)	1×10^5	Short treatment: 3 administrations every week Long treatment: 3 administrations every week + booster administrations every 2 weeks	NOD	Not used (iDC)	Insulin $\beta 9-23$ (DD), GAD65 ⁷⁸ , ⁹⁷ (SD) or GAD65 ²⁶⁰⁻²⁷⁹ (ID)	No	
Intravenous	1×10^6	1 single administration after the onset of hyperglycaemia	NOD	Electroporation of IL-4 mRNA (eDC/IL-4)	No	No	[18]
							[18]
							[18]
CIA model							
Route of administration	Dose	Number of administrations and frequency	Model	tolDC therapy		Type of treatment	Ref
				Tolerogenic agent	Antigen	Maturation	
Intravenous	2×10^5	1 single administration in mice with established CIA (score = 2)	CIA	VIP	CII	LPS at $\mu\text{g}/\text{ml}$ for 48 h	[23]
	1×10^6	1 single administration at day 32 (4 days after LPS booster)	CIA	DC/IL-4 (genetically modified to express IL-4)	No	No	[24]
			CIA	DC/FasL (iDC genetically modified to overexpress FasL)	No	No	[26]

Stable long-term restoration of pre-diabetic glucose levels, in the absence of any exogenous insulin administration for at least 4 months after cessation of tolDC administration

Multiple injections are required for stable, long-term restoration of blood glucose to levels similar to pre-diabetic conditions

Long-term treatment with iDC pulsed with SD and ID β cell peptides delay T1D onset by expanding and enhancing function of CD4+ FoxP3+ Treg

Increase of survival in 1/3 of mice treated.
Moderate hyperglycaemia and increased of CD25+ FoxP3+ T cells in spleen

Reduction of diabetes incidence

Abrogation of clinical disease progression. Inhibition of auto-antigen proliferation IFN- γ secretion, reduction of CII autoantibodies and expansion of IL-10/TGF β -producing Treg

Decrease in disease severity. Reduction in number and swelling of arthritic paws and joints with less inflammation and bone erosion

Reduced disease severity. Decrease in number of inflammatory cells, reduction of synovitis and less cartilage destruction in joints. Inhibition of T-cell proliferation and IFN- γ secretion after CII stimulation

Similar results in the EAE model

A single dose of DC/FasL confers a long-term therapeutic effect

Table 1. Continued

CIA model									
Route of administration	Dose	Number of administrations and frequency	Model	tolDC therapy agent			Type of treatment	Outcome	Ref
				Tolerogenic agent	Antigen	Maturation			
CIA model		1 single administration at day 28 (7 days after CII booster)	CIA	siRNA-BAFF DC	CII	LPS at 1 µg/ml for 24 h	Therapeutic	Clinical amelioration (reduction of arthritis index and swollen joint count). Decrease of synovial hyperplasia, cartilage erosion, and inflammation mediated by induction of CD25+ FoxP3+ Treg and inhibition of Th17 cells	[27]
	1 × 10 ⁴ , 1 × 10 ⁵ , 1 × 10 ⁶	3 administrations at days 27 (6 days after booster), 31, and 35	CIA	Tacrolimus	CII	LPS (1 µg/ml) + IFN-γ (1000 U/ml) for 24 h	Therapeutic	Doses of 1 × 10 ⁴ and 1 × 10 ⁵ cells did not improve CIA severity. Dose of 1 × 10 ⁶ delayed disease onset and reduced disease severity	[28]
	1 × 10 ⁶	1 single administration at day 27 (6 days after booster)					Therapeutic	Strong and long-term reduction of disease severity	
	1 × 10 ⁴ , 2 × 10 ⁵ , 1 × 10 ⁶ and 2.5 × 10 ⁶	3 administrations at days 3, 7, and 11 (3 days after disease onset)	CIA	Dexa+VitrD3	CII	LPS at 0.1 µg/ml LPS for 16 h	Therapeutic	Reduction of disease severity and progression of arthritis in relation with decrease of Th17 cells and increase of IL-10 producing T cells. At least 3 i.v. injections of 1 × 10 ⁶ tolDCs are needed for an optimal therapeutic effect	[29]
	5 × 10 ⁵	1 single administration at day 35 (14 days after CII booster)	CIA	Short-term LPS stimulation	CII	LPS at 1 µg/ml LPS for 4 h	Therapeutic	Amelioration of CIA clinical symptoms. Reduction of inflammatory infiltrates and joint damage	[30]
Intraperitoneal	2 × 10 ⁶	1 single administration at day 40 (after disease onset)	CIA	Bay 11-7082 or VIP	No	LPS (10 ng/ml) + TNF (10 ng/ml)	Therapeutic	Reduced disease severity. Decreased synovial hyperplasia, bone erosion, and inflammation	[31]
Subcutaneous	5 × 10 ⁵	1 single administration at day 6 post-induction	Monoarticular AIA	Bay 11-7082	mBSA	No	Therapeutic	Clinical disease suppression in a IL-10 dependent way	[32]
	2 × 10 ⁵	2 administrations at day 21 (after CII booster) and day 29	CIA	T74	CII	LPS at 1 µg/ml for 4 h	Early therapeutic	Clinical amelioration. Reduced joint inflammation, joint deformation, and bone erosion. Increase of Treg	[33]

I.v. injection of more than 1 × 10⁶ cells did not improve the therapeutic effect.
1 single i.v. administration of 1 × 10⁶ cells or 3 i.p. injections did not induce beneficial effect
2 doses of 4 hLPS/CII/DC at days 28 and 35 did not induce higher improvement

Similar clinical effect of VIP-DC and Bay 11-7082-DC treatment, but VIP-DC may exert a better preventive effect on bone destruction

Table 1. Continued

CIA model										
Route of administration	Dose	Number of administrations and frequency	Model	tolDC therapy agent		Type of treatment		Outcome	Observations	Ref
				Tolerogenic agent	Antigen	Maturation				
	2×10^5	2 administrations at day 21 (after CII booster) and day 29	CIA	Rosiglitazone	CII	LPS at 1 µg/ml for 24 h	Early therapeutic	Abrogation of disease progression. Reduction of inflammation and increase of Treg		[25]
AIA: antigen-induced arthritis; CIA: Collagen-induced arthritis; DD: Dominant determinants; ID: Ignored determinant; mBSA: methylated bovine serum albumin; SD: Subdominant determinant; T74: ((E)-1-(3-Aminophenyl)-3-(2,5-dimethoxyphenyl)prop-2-en-1-one), TGF-β signalling agonist; TNF: tumour necrosis factor-α; VIP: vasoactive intestinal peptide.										

AIA: antigen-induced arthritis; CIA: Collagen-induced arthritis; DD: Dominant determinants; ID: Ignored determinant; mBSA: methylated bovine serum albumin; SD: Subdominant determinant; T74: ((E)-1-(3-Aminophenyl)-3-(2,5-dimethoxyphenyl)prop-2-en-1-one), TGF-β signalling agonist; TNF: tumour necrosis factor-α; VIP: vasoactive intestinal peptide.

The success of tolDC therapy in autoimmune diseases is dependent on, among other factors, their effective migration to the lymph nodes or inflamed tissues to induce long-lasting immunoregulatory responses. In this context, the optimization of the tolDCs delivery route is critical for evaluating their efficacy in phase II clinical trials. This review analyses the clinical effects and routes of administration from animal models and clinical trials using therapeutic tolDCs in the treatment of autoimmune disorders.

Current knowledge from preclinical and clinical studies

Despite the promising potential of tolDCs to restore therapeutic antigen-specific tolerance in patients suffering from autoimmune disorders, important questions regarding the best dose and route of delivery remain unanswered. These questions are particularly challenging because, in contrast to pharmacological drugs, tolDC treatment is a cell therapy that exerts its therapeutic effect via complex and not completely understood mechanisms.

This review analyses data from preclinical and clinical studies using tolDCs in the treatment of MS, T1D, and RA and their respective animal models, experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis (EAE), nonobese diabetes (NOD), and collagen-induced arthritis (CIA) (Tables 1 and 2).

Multiple sclerosis

MS is a neurodegenerative disease of the central nervous system (CNS) caused by autoimmune attack and destruction of myelin sheaths producing different motor, visual and sensory alterations. EAE is the most used animal model of MS since it reproduces most of the clinical and histopathological features of patients with MS. EAE is induced in C57Bl/6 mice by administering peptide 35–55 of the myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein (MOG₃₅₋₅₅) emulsified with complete Freund adjuvant plus an additional injection of pertussis toxin (Day 0 and 2 post-induction). At Days 10–12 post-immunization, mice initiate a chronic non-relapsing clinical course of the disease consisting of ascending paralysis.

Many studies using tolDC therapy in EAE have been tested in recent years. Table 1 shows data from studies performed from 2015 to 2022 using early therapeutic (initiation of treatment close to the disease onset day) or therapeutic approaches (first dose of tolDCs administered after the onset of clinical symptoms). The preventive approach (administration of tolDCs before immunization) was excluded from the analysis. As shown in Table 1, all preclinical EAE studies were performed using antigen-specific tolDCs (MOG-tolDCs) injected by i.v. administration [1–11], probably because of the difficulty of injecting tolDCs directly into the affected tissue, the CNS (brain and spinal cord), and with the objective of restoring immune tolerance through peripheral lymphoid organs. Multiple tolDC administrations are required to induce a long-lasting clinical effect in EAE [11], and with the exception of the study of Li *et al.* using a single administration in an early therapeutic treatment, all the preclinical studies reported in this model applied three administrations of 0.3, 0.8, or 1 million MOG-tolDCs every 3 or 4 days (Table 1). The results from studies performed in mice showing clinical symptoms of the disease (therapeutic approach) showed promising abrogation of disease progression following MOG-tolDC treatment that was mediated mainly by Treg and Breg

Table 2. Continued

Type 1 diabetes											
Route of administration	Dose	Number of administrations and interval of dose	tolDC therapy		Antigen	Maturation	Number of patients	Phase	Study status	Outcome	NCT number
			Tolerogenic agent								
							24 newly diagnosed T1D patients	II	Unknown	NR	NCT02354911
	Dose escalation study using 5, 10, and 20×10^6 tolDCs/administ	2 administrations: day 0 and day 28	VitD3+Dexa		Proin-sulin Peptide (C19-A3)	GM-CSF, 800 U/ml; IL-1 β , 1600 U/ml; IL-6, 500 U/ml; TNF α , 335 U/ml and PGE2 (2 μ g/ml) for 48 h	9 patients with long-last-ing T1D	I	Completed	Treatment was fea-sible and safe [20]	NCT04590872 P1pepToIDC D-Sense trial
Rheumatoid arthritis											
Route of administration	Dose	Number of administrations and interval of dose	tolDC therapy		Antigen	Maturation	Number of patients	Phase	Study status	Outcome	NCT number
			Tolerogenic agent								
Intradermal	Low-dose (0.5 to 1×10^6 cells) and high dose (2 to 4.5×10^6 tolDCs)	1 single injec-tion	Bay 11-7082 (NF-KB inhibitor)		Citrullinated peptides from fibrinogen α and β chains, vimentin and collagen type II	No	18 RA patients carrying HLA-DRB1 SE alleles	I	Completed	Treatment was safe. Decrease of effector T cells and re-duction of proinflammatory cytokines and chemokines at 1 month after treatment [63]	Rheumavax
Subcutaneous	Low-dose (5×10^6 tolDCs) and high dose (15×10^6 tolDCs)	5 administrations (every 2 or 4 weeks)	NR		PAD4, RA33, citrullinated-flaggrin and vimentin	NR	12	I	Completed	Treatment was safe and well tolerated. Reduc-tion of antigen-specific autoantibodies [37]	CRIS KCT0000035 CreaVax-RA
Intraarticular injection (into the knee joint)	Dose escalation study using 1, 3, 5, 8, and 10×10^6 tolDCs	1 single admin-istration	Dexa (dif-ferentiation in presence of IFN- α /GM-CSF)		No	Azoximer bromides	12	I	Completed	Treatment was safe, well tolerated, and had a potential for long-term efficiency [38]	NCT03337165 TolDCforA
	Dose escalation study using 1, 3, and 10×10^6 tolDCs	1 single admin-istration	Dexa + VitD3		Autologous syno-vial fluid	MPLA (1 μ g/ml) for 20 h	9 RA patients with in-flammatory arthritis	I	Completed	Treatment was safe, feasible and acceptable for patients [39]	NCT01352858 AuToDeCRA
Intranodal (in-guinal lymph node)	Dose escalation study using low, in-termediate and high dose: 5, 10, and 15×10^6 tolDCs/injection	2 administrations every 4 weeks	Dexa + VitD3		B29-peptide of HSP70	MPLA (1 μ g/ml) for 20 h	18	I/II	Recruiting	–	NCT05251870, TOLERANT

Dexa: Dexamethasone; MPLA: monophosphoryl lipid A; NMO/SD: neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorders; NTR: not reported; PGE2: prostaglandin E2; RRMS: relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis patients.

induction, increased IL-10 production and reduced MOG-specific T-cell proliferation [3, 9–11]. Notably, late therapeutic treatment did not achieve clinical amelioration [7].

Currently, three phase I clinical trials have been conducted in MS patients (Table 2). In the first trial carried out in eight either relapsing-remitting or progressive MS (and four neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorders) patients, Dextra-tolDCs loaded with a pool of seven myelin peptides and one peptide of aquaporin-4 (AQ4₆₃₋₇₆) were injected i.v. three times every 2 weeks in a dose-escalation design (50, 150, and 300 million tolDCs). Clinically, peptide-loaded Dextra-tolDC treatment was safe and well tolerated, and immunoregulatory mechanisms induced by the tolerogenic therapy were suggested (such as increased production of IL-10 and reduction in memory CD8⁺ T cells and NK cells) (Table 2 [12]). However, a technical limitation to accomplishing the highest dose (300 million) of tolDCs was reported. Currently, a phase II clinical trial administering three doses of myelin-specific Dextra-tolDCs i.v. every 2 weeks is recruiting MS patients for receiving a combined treatment of tolDCs plus a low-to-moderate efficacy immunomodulatory drug (TolDecCOMBINEM) (Table 2). In contrast to the i.v. administration, another two coordinated phase I clinical trials for active MS patients have been conducted by injecting 5, 10, and 15 million VitD3-tolDCs loaded with a pool of seven myelin peptides using intradermal (i.d.) (MS-tolDCs) or intranodal (i.n.) (TOLERVIT-MS) delivery. Patients received a total of six administrations of peptide-loaded VitD3-tolDCs, the first four every 2 weeks and the last two every 4 weeks (Table 2 [13]). Preliminary results indicated that i.d. and i.n. delivery of myelin-specific VitD3-tolDCs are safe, feasible, and well tolerated by active MS patients [14].

Type 1 diabetes

T1D is an autoimmune disease caused by the destruction of pancreatic insulin-producing β cells. Because of their insulin deficiency, patients suffer from a complex metabolic derangement that needs to be managed with exogenous insulin administration. The NOD mouse model is a spontaneous model sharing genetic, immunological, and environmental similarities with T1D patients. NOD mice develop autoantibodies and autoreactive T cells, causing β cell destruction and hyperglycaemia (disease onset) at approximately 12 and 15 weeks of age. Because of the difficulty in anticipating the onset day of the disease for each animal, most of the studies using tolDCs have been performed using a prophylactic approach. Only four studies administering tolDCs in an early therapeutic or curative approach have been reported (Table 1 [15–18]). The study from Pujol-Autonell *et al.* using intraperitoneal (i.p.) administration of tolDCs loaded with islet apoptotic bodies did not achieve clinical amelioration, probably because the treatment was initiated late (7 days after disease onset) and only a single administration was performed [15]. In contrast, i.v. and subcutaneous (s.c.) (near the pancreas) administration of 1 and 2 million unpulsed genetically manipulated tolDCs (DCs overexpressing IL-4 or downregulating the expression of costimulatory molecules, respectively) restored pre-diabetic glucose levels; importantly, multiple injections were required for stable long-term clinical effects [16, 18].

The first clinical trial with therapeutic tolDCs in T1D patients was carried out by Giannoukakis *et al.* They injected (i.d.) 10 million unpulsed genetically engineered tolDCs (DCs treated with antisense oligonucleotides downmodulating

the expression of CD40, CD80, and CD86 costimulatory molecules) in T1D patients with insulin-requiring diabetes for at least 5 years between the time of clinical diagnosis and the first tolDCs injection. Patients received a total of four i.d. administrations every 2 weeks in the abdominal wall above the physical location of the stomach and pancreas. The results showed that treatment was safe and well tolerated, and an increase in B220⁺ CD11c⁺ B cells was found [19]. Currently, a phase II trial is ongoing to evaluate the efficacy of these unpulsed genetically engineered tolDCs in newly diagnosed T1D patients (NCT023544911, Table 2). In addition, a phase I trial in T1D patients receiving VitD3+Dextra-tolDCs loaded with the proinsulin peptide C19-A3 has been conducted (PiPepTolDCs, D-Sense trial). The treatment of long-duration T1D patients with two i.d. administrations of 5, 10, and 20 million C19-A3-loaded tolDCs was safe and feasible, and no signs of systemic immune suppression were detected [20]. Interestingly, immunological studies revealed that C19-A3-tolDC vaccine was able to control autoimmunity, after 6 months, in three patients exhibiting pre-existing vaccine peptide response by reducing antigen-specific proliferation and interferon-gamma (IFN- γ) production or increasing IL-10 secretion. Regarding glycemic control, all patients showed long standing but well-controlled T1D, together with a long-lasting (up to 3 years) decline in autoimmune response after receiving C19-A3-tolDC treatment [21]. A future phase II trial in recently diagnosed T1D patients with preserved C-peptide production needs to be planned to assess the efficacy of C19-A3-loaded tolDC therapy to delay or halt progressive loss of β -cell function. Finally, a phase I/II clinical trial administering VitD3-tolDCs differentiated in the presence of mesenchymal stem cells is planned to be initiated (NCT05207995). No information about the administration route to be used has been reported.

Rheumatoid arthritis

RA is a chronic and systemic inflammatory disease characterized by pain, swelling, and stiffness in the joints, although patients can also experience other systemic signs and symptoms [22]. CIA is the most common animal model that shares immunological and pathological features with RA patients. It is induced in mice by immunization with type II collagen (CII) emulsified with complete Freund adjuvant. Approximately 3 weeks post-immunization, an additional administration of CII is performed as a booster of the disease, and alternatively, synchronization can be induced by LPS injection. Different delivery routes have been used to administer tolDCs therapeutically in CIA mice: i.v., i.p., and s.c. (Table 1 [23–33]), with all of them showing a beneficial clinical effect. Most of the therapeutic studies carried out in CIA mice using i.v. delivery of tolDCs administered a single dose of cells (Table 1). In fact, Ren *et al.* reported that a single administration of 1 million CII-tacrolimus-tolDCs through an i.v. route was more effective than three doses of these tolDCs in suppressing CIA [28]. In contrast, Stoop *et al.* reported that three i.v. injections of Dextra-VitD3-tolDC were required for an optimal therapeutic effect [29]. Interestingly, both studies reported that i.v. injection of less than 1 million tolDCs did not provide clinical amelioration. Therefore, preclinical data in the CIA model indicate that i.v. administration of 1 to 3 million tolDCs, depending on the tolDC type, could be sufficient to abrogate disease progression. Moreover, the study of Stoop *et al.* also demonstrated that changing the route

of DEXA-VitD3-tolDC administration from i.v. to i.p. (three doses of 1 million and 2.5 million, respectively) abolished the beneficial effect of the therapy [29]. In contrast, Salazar *et al.* demonstrated that a single i.p. dose of 5×10^5 tolDCs generated by short-term stimulation with LPS was able to reduce clinical severity, and this effect was not increased by administering two i.p. doses of cells [30]. Similarly, therapeutic treatment with Bay 11-7082- and vasoactive intestinal peptide-induced tolDCs ameliorated clinical signs after a single i.p. administration of 2 million tolDCs [31]. Regarding s.c. delivery of tolDCs, there are no studies comparing multiple versus a single administration of tolDCs. In this context, clinical amelioration occurred after a single s.c. dose of 5×10^5 tolDCs (Bay 11-7082-induced tolDCs [32]) and following two s.c. doses of 2 million tolDCs (T74- and rosiglitazone-induced tolDCs [25, 33]). Finally, although CIA is the most common animal model used to study RA, beneficial effects of antigen-specific tolDC therapy in the proteoglycan-induced arthritis (PGIA) animal model have been also reported [34, 35].

A total of four phase I clinical trials analysing the safety and tolerability of tolDC treatment in RA patients have been conducted (Table 2 [36–39]) using different delivery routes. In the first trial (Rheumavax), enrolled RA patients received a single administration of 1 million tolDCs (citrullinated peptide pool-loaded Bay 11-7082-induced tolDCs) through i.d. delivery (Table 2 [36]). The results showed that the treatment was safe, and some immunomodulatory mechanisms of action were found (reduction in effector T cells and proinflammatory cytokines) [36]. Because RA patients suffer from joint inflammation, intraarticular (i.a.) administration (into the knee joint) was chosen in two trials [20, 38]. Patients from both trials, AuToDeCRA and TolDCfoRA, received a single administration of autologous synovial fluid-loaded DEXA+VitD3-tolDCs or unpulsed DEXA-tolDCs, respectively, in a dose-escalation design (from 1 to 10 million tolDCs). Both treatments were safe, feasible, and well tolerated. Currently, the second AuToDeCRA clinical trial is in preparation, in which DEXA-VitD3-tolDCs will be loaded with a cocktail of citrullinated autoantigens and injected via three different routes: i.n., i.d., and i.a. Regarding s.c. tolDC delivery, the CreaVax-RA trial reported that five administrations (every 2 or 4 weeks) of 5 and 15 million tolDCs loaded with a pool of peptides were safe and well tolerated and reduced antigen-specific autoantibodies (Table 2 [37]). Finally, a dose-escalation phase I/II trial in RA patients receiving two i.n. injections (into the inguinal lymph nodes) of 5, 10, and 15 million DEXA+VitD3-tolDCs loaded with B29-HSP70 peptide is now recruiting patients (TOLERANT).

Pros and cons of tolDC administration routes

Studies in non-human primates reported that i.v. administration is the most tolerogenic route of administration [40]. Moreover, in terms of feasibility, i.v. administration is the most convenient delivery route of human tolDCs and is the most common route of administration when the target tissue is not easily accessible. However, because i.v. delivery triggers tolDCs biodistribution throughout the body, the number of cells required to facilitate migration to secondary lymphoid organs is likely to be higher than with other routes of administration. Following these recommendations, i.v. injection of tolDCs could be the most convenient delivery route for MS patients

since the target tissue experiencing autoimmune attack is the CNS. However, the dose-escalation TolDec-EM-NMO trial reported a technical limitation for i.v. administration of the highest tolDC dose (300 million myelin-DEXA-tolDCs), making this approach less feasible. To solve this problem, most of the phase I clinical trials reported to date have injected tolDCs directly into the affected tissue (if it is accessible) or i.d., in proximity to the target organ. For example, two trials in RA patients, TolDCfoRA and AuToDeCRA, performed i.a. (into the knee joint) injection of tolDCs; in the MS-tolDC study, cells were administered to MS patients i.d. near the cervical lymph nodes; and finally, two clinical trials conducted in T1D patients used i.d. injections in the abdominal region near the pancreas (NCT00445913 and PipepTolDC). The main concern about i.d., s.c., and i.p. administration is the capability of tolDCs to migrate and reach draining lymph nodes or inflamed tissues, since tolDCs express low levels of CCR7. Consequently, direct i.n. injection of tolDCs has been proposed as a solution for the low migratory potential of tolDCs. In fact, myelin-VitD3-tolDCs have been injected into the cervical lymph nodes of MS patients as a well-tolerated delivery method for MS patients. However, highly trained personnel were necessary for performing echography-guided tolDC i.n. injection (TOLERVIT-MS).

Lessons learned from delivery of immunogenic DCs for cancer treatment

In the context of cancer immunotherapy, autologous DCs matured and loaded *ex vivo* with tumour antigens are a therapeutic strategy to induce long-lasting tumour-specific T-cell responses and are being evaluated in a wide variety of trials for cancer patients [41, 42].

Although the optimal route for DC vaccination is also a matter of debate in cancer therapy, the study of Verdijk *et al.* revealed that following i.d. vaccination of immunogenic DCs in melanoma patients, only up to 4% of DCs migrate to adjacent lymph nodes, whereas after i.n. injection, migration of up to 84% DC was observed [43]. Nevertheless, it has been found that after i.n. administration, DC migration to adjacent lymph nodes was achieved only when DCs were correctly injected into the lymph node. The study of de Vries in 2005 reported that correct i.n. administration was accomplished only in approximately 50% of vaccinations, although cells were injected by a highly experienced radiologist under ultrasound guidance [44]. Interestingly, Lesterhuis *et al.* reported that despite the elevated DC redistribution to adjacent lymph nodes following i.n. injection in melanoma patients; i.d. administration of immunogenic DCs resulted in superior antitumour T-cell induction [45]. Collectively, these data suggest that i.d. administration of tumour-specific DCs is easier and more effective than i.n. injection for the treatment of melanoma and cancer patients. However, in the context of tolerance induction, i.n. administration could be more convenient than i.d. tolDC injection. In agreement with this argument, it has been found that within 48 h post-i.n. injection, large numbers of apoptotic DCs are cleared by anti-inflammatory CD163 macrophages infiltrating lymph nodes, which may trigger an immunoregulatory response [43, 45].

Finally, a meta-analysis of 231 prostate cancer and 172 renal cell cancer patients revealed that vaccination routes with access to draining lymph nodes (i.d., i.n., intralymphatic, and s.c.) resulted in better clinical response rates in comparison

to direct i.v. injection [42], probably because mature DCs do not express the homing receptor CD62L necessary to enter lymph nodes across high endothelial venules from blood [42]. Indeed, murine studies in cancer and EAE have shown that i.v. administration of immunogenic DCs and tolDCs accumulate mainly in the spleen, lungs and liver but not in lymph nodes [10, 46].

***In vivo* tracking of therapeutic tolDCs**

It is known that the route of administration influences tolDCs biodistribution, therefore affecting their migration to the desired tissue and influencing the number of cells required to be injected. In this regard, we have reported that after i.v. administration of 1 million antigen-specific bone marrow-derived VitD3-induced tolDCs labelled with CellVue NIR815 dye (MOG-tolDC-NIR815) to EAE animals, cells immediately accumulated in the lungs [10]. After 24 h, MOG-tolDC-NIR815 was highly concentrated in the liver and moderately high in the spleen, where the cell-labelled signal increased after 48 h and remained elevated until Day 7 post-injection (and was detected even 14 days after administration). Moreover, after systemic administration of MOG-tolDC-NIR815, cells were also detected at low concentrations in other tissues, such as the thymus, lymph nodes, brain, kidneys, and bone marrow, meaning that following i.v. injection, cells were biodistributed throughout the body, but they were concentrated in the spleen, where they most likely exerted their tolerogenic effect. Clinical results revealed a reduction in disease severity, thus indicating that antigen-specific tolDCs do not need to cross the blood–brain barrier to reach the affected tissue to perform their tolerogenic function. Similarly, Kim *et al.* showed that following i.v. administration of PKH26 fluorescent-labelled or luciferase-transduced iDCs genetically modified to express IL-4 in animals with CIA, cells were found only in the liver, spleen, and lymph nodes at 6 h or 24 h post-injection [24]. Similarly, Stoop *et al.* reported that i.v. injected Dexamethasone-VitD3 tolDCs migrated to the lung, spleen, liver, feet, and draining lymph nodes [29]. Together, these results suggest that after systemic administration of tolDCs, cells migrate to the lymphoid organs, where they modulate T-cell responses.

Although it has not been conclusively demonstrated, a generally accepted dogma is that tolerance induction occurs in the lymphoid organs. Indeed, murine studies have shown that tolerance to harmless inhaled or ingested antigens requires CCR7-mediated migration of antigen-carrying DCs to the draining lymph nodes [47, 48]. The same has been reported in murine DC vaccination studies for cancer; the induction of optimal antitumour T-cell responses correlates with the number of antigen-loaded DCs reaching the draining lymph node [49, 50]. Therefore, it is reasonable to hypothesize that therapeutic tolDCs need to exert their regulatory actions in lymphoid tissue, where they can interact with naive T cells and induce antigen-specific regulatory T cells. However, it cannot be excluded that tolDCs could also have beneficial effects in the diseased target tissue, either through the induction of anergy in memory T cells and/or through the secretion of anti-inflammatory molecules that can dampen inflammation locally, such as IL-10 or TGF- β [51, 52].

Most tolDC clinical trials to date have been carried out with monocyte-derived DCs (moDCs). From studies in the cancer field, it is known that only low numbers of mature moDCs reach the draining lymph node after i.d. administration in

humans (under 5%) [43], although this low migration rate is sufficient to induce antitumour T-cell responses. Nevertheless, the inherent low migratory ability of moDCs may pose a problem for therapeutic tolDCs, as these cells are likely to have even lower migratory ability than mature moDCs due to the immunomodulatory agents used to generate these cells. For example, compared to mature moDCs, tolDCs generated with Dexamethasone and the active form of VitD3 have substantially reduced expression of CCR7 and therefore a limited capacity to migrate in a CCR7-dependent manner [53]. Indeed, these tolDCs failed to migrate towards the draining lymph node after administration into an inflamed knee joint of a RA patient, as determined by imaging of ^{111}In -labelled tolDCs [54]. This observation possibly explains the local but not systemic effects of tolDC administration [39]. It is therefore crucial to improve our understanding of the biodistribution of tolDCs after administration via different routes and how this relates to their immunomodulatory actions. *In vivo* tracking of tolDCs by non-invasive imaging would be the ideal method to provide novel insights into the location and migration of these cells after injection. *In vivo* tracking not only would help in determining the optimal injection route but also would be a useful tool for further optimization of tolDC products in terms of their tissue-homing ability. In addition, *in vivo* tracking could serve as a biomarker to confirm successful administration/migration of tolDCs into the desired tissue.

Currently, the most comprehensive method for DC imaging in humans is magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) (recently reviewed in [55]), not only because of its excellent soft tissue contrast and high spatial resolution but also because of pragmatic reasons, such as the availability of clinically approved MRI labelling agents that are suitable for DCs and the wide availability of clinical MRI scanners. Both ^1H and ^{19}F clinical MRI have been employed for *in vivo* tracking of DCs in cancer vaccines [44, 56], with ^{19}F -MRI having the advantage that it detects the ^{19}F nucleus, which is highly MR sensitive compared to most other MR-visible nuclei, and there is a negligible endogenous ^{19}F signal *in vivo*. Both approaches require *ex vivo* labelling of DCs, with superparamagnetic iron oxides (SPIOs) being the most used for ^1H MRI and perfluorocarbon for ^{19}F -MRI.

In the tolDC field, we (Hilkens and colleagues) have recently tested the labelling of therapeutic human tolDCs with nanoparticles containing ^{19}F (^{19}F -NP) for detection by ^{19}F -MRI [54]. We found that tolDCs readily endocytosed ^{19}F -NP with acceptable effects on cell viability and yield and, importantly, without affecting the tolerogenic features of the cells. The MRI signal-to-noise ratios obtained were estimated to allow the detection of approximately 150 000 ^{19}F -labelled tolDCs (using a 3 Tesla scanner), although a number of caveats likely enhance the detection limit *in vivo*, e.g. tolDC location and dispersal. While it is likely that these tolDC numbers can be detected in the skin or in tissues close to the skin (e.g. superficial lymph nodes), the detection of these cells in deeper tissues will pose more of a challenge. In addition, the MRI signal will be negatively impacted by the dispersal of the cells, e.g. through the cells spreading out locally, at the primary injection site, or through cell migration to secondary sites. This also means that the likelihood of tolDC detection at secondary sites is low, as it would require a substantial cell density to enable detection by ^{19}F -MRI. Indeed, Ahrens *et al.* have shown that although ^{19}F -labelled human mature moDCs could be detected at the primary injection site (the dermis) and the

signal diminished over time, no migration of any DC to, e.g. the draining lymph node could be observed [56]. Despite this limitation, there remains value in imaging tolDCs after administration. First, verifying that the cells have been injected correctly carries considerable importance, as incorrect injection may hinder the ability of DCs to reach the desired target tissue. For example, this is the case for intranodal injections, which can fail if the injection is not accurate and/or if there is a backflow of the cells. Indeed, an elegant study by de Vries *et al.* [44] showed that accurate delivery of mature moDCs into the lymph node under ultrasound guidance by an experienced radiologist was achieved in only ~50% of cases and that subsequent migration of these cells could be observed only if the cells had been injected correctly. Therefore, the accuracy of injection could be one of the factors that explains the variable immunological and clinical responses in DC-treated patients. Second, it will be of interest to monitor the ‘efflux’ of tolDCs after injection, which could indicate cell migration and/or the clearance of dead tolDCs by tissue macrophages.

A major limitation of any of the current clinical imaging methods is that the cells need to be labelled with an imaging agent. First, it cannot be excluded entirely that the labelling process does not affect some (known or unknown) functional features of the cells. It has been reported, e.g. that SPIO labelling of murine DCs can reduce their migratory ability *in vivo* [57]. Second, the imaging agent could be taken up by other phagocytic cells within the tissue, either after leaking from the cells or by clearing labelled dead cells. This could potentially lead to the misinterpretation of imaging data. Label-free or indirect-labelling imaging techniques would therefore be the preferred option. One possible approach is the use of chemical exchange saturation transfer MRI, which was recently applied for the label-free tracking of mesenchymal stem cells in a mouse model [58]. Another option would be to use reporter gene-based molecular imaging [59]. In this approach, cells are genetically engineered to ectopically express a reporter gene; the cells can then be detected *in vivo* following the administration of a suitable molecular probe (a radioisotope with a short half-life) that targets the reporter. This can be performed repeatedly, enabling the tracking of viable cells over time. This approach has already been successfully applied to Tregs and CAR-T cells in mouse models [60, 61], and it would be of considerable interest to develop this imaging technology for tolDCs. The only caveat is that tolDCs would have to be genetically engineered, but this raises the opportunity to include other genes of interest; an engineering strategy that combines reporter gene expression (for molecular imaging) and, e.g. CCR expression (e.g. CCR7 for enhanced lymph node homing potential) would for certain constitute a major advancement in the tolDC field. For example, it has been demonstrated that introducing mRNA encoding CCR5 by electroporation in VitD3-tolDCs enhanced their capacity to transmigrate in a chemokine gradient *in vitro* [62].

Questions to be addressed in future studies

Currently, data collected from studies using tolDC therapy in autoimmune disorders do not provide enough evidence to define the most appropriate route of tolDC administration. In this context, important concerns about other parameters, such as the dose, timing, and frequency of tolDC administration, need to be solved to establish the best route for tolDC administration. For example, since the optimal cell number

for tolDC treatment has not been determined for any of the available delivery routes, it is possible that the doses used in clinical trials were not adequate to induce potent clinical effects. In this regard, it is important to mention the relevance of monitoring changes on antigen-specific reactivity, cytokine profile, lymphocyte subpopulations, autoantibodies levels, etc. to determine efficacy of the treatment in early phase of clinical trials.

Novel strategies for *in vivo* tolDC imaging will help in the understanding of the biodistribution and accumulation of tolDCs and to define the most effective way to use tolDC therapy in the treatment of autoimmune diseases.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to all the patients that have participated in tolDCs clinical trials, as well as to all professionals involved in this research. The Editor-in-Chief, Tim Elliott, and handling editor, Marianne Boes, would like to thank the following reviewers, Arie Jan Stoppelenburg and Manon Jansen, for their contribution to the publication of this article.

Author contributions

All authors have participated in the design, discussion, and writing of the manuscript.

Funding

This work was funded in part by the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under grant agreement 779316 (RESTORE); the projects PI20/01313 and PI21/00944, integrated in the Plan Nacional de I + D + I and cosupported by the ISCIII-Subdirección General de Evaluación and the Fondo Europeo de Desarrollo Regional (FEDER); MJM is beneficiary of a Sara Borrell contract from the ISCIII and the FEDER. MJM and EMM-C are members of a consolidated group recognized by AGAUR (2021 SGR 00002), supported by the Government of Catalonia.

Conflict of interest

None declared.

References

1. Zhou F, Zhang GX, Rostami A. LPS-treated bone marrow-derived dendritic cells induce immune tolerance through modulating differentiation of CD4⁺ regulatory T cell subpopulations mediated by 3G11 and CD127. *Immunol Res* 2017; 65:630–8. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12026-016-8881-z>
2. Zhou F, Zhang GX, Rostami A. Apoptotic cell-treated dendritic cells induce immune tolerance by specifically inhibiting development of CD4⁺ effector memory T cells. *Immunol Res* 2016; 64:73–81. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12026-015-8676-7>
3. Quirant-Sánchez B, Mansilla MJ, Navarro-Barriuso J *et al.* Combined therapy of vitamin D3-Tolerogenic dendritic cells and interferon- β in a preclinical model of multiple sclerosis. *Biomedicines* 2021; 9:1758. <https://doi.org/10.3390/biomedicines9121758>
4. Xie Z, Chen J, Zheng C *et al.* 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D3-induced dendritic cells suppress experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis by increasing proportions of the regulatory lymphocytes and reducing T helper type 1 and type 17 cells. *Immunology* 2017; 152:414–24. <https://doi.org/10.1111/imm.12776>

5. Li CH, Zhang J, Baylink DJ et al. Dendritic cells, engineered to overexpress 25-hydroxyvitamin D 1 α -hydroxylase and pulsed with a myelin antigen, provide myelin-specific suppression of ongoing experimental allergic encephalomyelitis. *FASEB J* 2017; 31:2996–3006. <https://doi.org/10.1096/fj.201601243R>
6. Zhou Y et al. Regulatory dendritic cells induced by K313 display anti-inflammatory properties and ameliorate experimental autoimmune encephalitis in mice. *Front Pharmacol* 2020; 10:1579. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fphar.2019.01579>
7. Zhou Y, Leng X, Li H et al. Tolerogenic dendritic cells induced by BD750 ameliorate proinflammatory T cell responses and experimental autoimmune encephalitis in mice. *Mol Med* 2017; 23:204–14. <https://doi.org/10.2119/molmed.2016.00110>
8. Zhou Y, Leng X, Luo S et al. Tolerogenic dendritic cells generated with tofacitinib ameliorate experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis through modulation of Th17/Treg balance. *J Immunol Res* 2016; 2016(2016):1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2016/5021537>
9. Derdelinckx J, Mansilla MJ, De Laere M et al. Clinical and immunological control of experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis by tolerogenic dendritic cells loaded with MOG-encoding mRNA. *J Neuroinflammation* 2019; 16:167. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12974-019-1541-1>
10. Mansilla MJ, Sellès-Moreno C, Fàbregas-Puig S et al. Beneficial effect of tolerogenic dendritic cells pulsed with MOG autoantigen in experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis. *CNS Neurosci Ther* 2015; 21:222–30. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cns.12342>
11. Mansilla MJ, Contreras-Cardone R, Navarro-Barriuso J et al. Cryopreserved vitamin D3-tolerogenic dendritic cells pulsed with autoantigens as a potential therapy for multiple sclerosis patients. *J Neuroinflammation* 2016; 13:113. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12974-016-0584-9>
12. Zubizarreta I, Flórez-Grau G, Vila G et al. Immune tolerance in multiple sclerosis and neuromyelitis optica with peptide-loaded tolerogenic dendritic cells in a phase 1b trial. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2019; 116:8463–70. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1820039116>
13. Willekens B, Presas-Rodríguez S, Mansilla MJ et al; RESTORE consortium. Tolerogenic dendritic cell-based treatment for multiple sclerosis (MS): a harmonised study protocol for two phase I clinical trials comparing intradermal and intranodal cell administration. *BMJ Open* 2019; 9:e030309. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2019-030309>
14. Cools N, Willekens B, Presas-Rodríguez S et al. Safety and Feasibility of Intradermal and Intranodal Administration of Vitamin D3-Tolerogenic Dendritic Cells in Two Coordinated Phase I Clinical Trials in Active Multiple Sclerosis Patients. 23rd Annu. Meet. Fed. Clin. Immunol. Soc. (FOCIS), Boston, USA. 20-23 June 2023. Abstr. Submitt.
15. Pujol-Autonell I, Ampudia RM, Monge P et al. Immunotherapy with tolerogenic dendritic cells alone or in combination with rapamycin does not reverse diabetes in NOD mice. *ISRN Endocrinol* 2013; 2013:1–5. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/346987>
16. Di Caro V, Phillips B, Engman C et al. Involvement of suppressive B-lymphocytes in the mechanism of tolerogenic dendritic cell reversal of type 1 diabetes in NOD mice. *PLoS One* 2014; 9:e83575. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0083575>
17. Lo J, Xia CQ, Peng R et al. Immature dendritic cell therapy confers durable immune modulation in an antigen-dependent and antigen-independent manner in nonobese diabetic mice. *J. Immunol. Res* 2018; 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/5463879>
18. Creusot RJ, Chang P, Healey DG et al. A short pulse of IL-4 delivered by DCs electroporated with modified mRNA can both prevent and treat autoimmune diabetes in NOD mice. *Mol Ther* 2010; 18:2112–20. <https://doi.org/10.1038/mt.2010.146>
19. Giannoukakis N, Phillips B, Finegold D et al. Phase I (safety) study of autologous tolerogenic dendritic cells in type 1 diabetic patients. *Diabetes Care* 2011; 34:2026–32. <https://doi.org/10.2337/dc11-0472>
20. Nikolic T, Zwaginga JJ, Uitbeijerse BS et al. Safety and feasibility of intradermal injection with tolerogenic dendritic cells pulsed with proinsulin peptide-for type 1 diabetes. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol* 2020; 8:470–2. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2213-8587\(20\)30104-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2213-8587(20)30104-2)
21. Nikolic T, Suwandi JS, Wesselius J et al. Tolerogenic dendritic cells pulsed with islet antigen induce long-term reduction in T-cell autoreactivity in type 1 diabetes patients. *Front Immunol* 2022; 13:1054968. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2022.1054968>
22. Smolen JS, Aletaha D, McInnes IB. Rheumatoid arthritis. *Lancet (London, England)* 2016; 388:2023–38. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(16\)30173-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(16)30173-8)
23. Chorny A, Gonzalez-Rey E, Fernandez-Martin A et al. Vasoactive intestinal peptide induces regulatory dendritic cells with therapeutic effects on autoimmune disorders. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2005; 102:13562–7. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0504484102>
24. Kim SH, Kim S, Evans CH et al. Effective treatment of established murine collagen-induced arthritis by systemic administration of dendritic cells genetically modified to express IL-4. *J Immunol* 2001; 166:3499–505. <https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.166.5.3499>
25. Byun SH, Lee J-H, Jung N-C et al. Rosiglitazone-mediated dendritic cells ameliorate collagen-induced arthritis in mice. *Biochem Pharmacol* 2016; 115:85–93. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bcp.2016.05.009>
26. Kim SH, Kim S, Oligino TJ et al. Effective treatment of established mouse collagen-induced arthritis by systemic administration of dendritic cells genetically modified to express fasL. *Mol Ther* 2002; 6:584–90.
27. Zhao Y, Sun X, Yang X et al. Tolerogenic dendritic cells generated by BAFF silencing ameliorate collagen-induced arthritis by modulating the Th17/Regulatory T cell balance. *J Immunol* 2020; 204:518–30. <https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1900552>
28. Ren Y, Yang Y, Yang J et al. Tolerogenic dendritic cells modified by tacrolimus suppress CD4(+) T-cell proliferation and inhibit collagen-induced arthritis in mice. *Int Immunopharmacol* 2014; 21:247–54. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intimp.2014.05.004>
29. Stoop JN, Harry RA, von Delwig A et al. Therapeutic effect of tolerogenic dendritic cells in established collagen-induced arthritis is associated with a reduction in Th17 responses. *Arthritis Rheum* 2010; 62:3656–65. <https://doi.org/10.1002/art.27756>
30. Salazar L, Aravena O, Abello P et al. Modulation of established murine collagen-induced arthritis by a single inoculation of short-term lipopolysaccharide-stimulated dendritic cells. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2008; 67:1235–41. <https://doi.org/10.1136/ard.2007.072199>
31. Wu H, Shen J, Liu L et al. Vasoactive intestinal peptide-induced tolerogenic dendritic cells attenuated arthritis in experimental collagen-induced arthritic mice. *Int J Rheum Dis* 2019; 22:1255–62. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1756-185X.13578>
32. Martin E, Capini C, Duggan E et al. Antigen-specific suppression of established arthritis in mice by dendritic cells deficient in NF-kappaB. *Arthritis Rheum* 2007; 56:2255–66. <https://doi.org/10.1002/art.22655>
33. Oh JS, Hwang S-U, Noh K-E et al. Synthetic TGF- β signaling agonist-treated dendritic cells induce tolerogenicity and antirheumatic effects. *Curr Issues Mol Biol* 2022; 44:3809–21. <https://doi.org/10.3390/cimb44090261>
34. Spiering R, Margry B, Keijzer C et al. DEC205+ dendritic cell-targeted tolerogenic vaccination promotes immune tolerance in experimental autoimmune arthritis. *J Immunol* 2015; 194:4804–13. <https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1400986>
35. Spiering R, Van Der Zee R, Wagenaar J et al. Mycobacterial and mouse HSP70 have immuno-modulatory effects on dendritic cells. *Cell Stress Chaperones* 2013; 18:439–46. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12192-012-0397-4>
36. Benham H, Nel HJ, Law SC et al. Citrullinated peptide dendritic cell immunotherapy in HLA risk genotype-positive rheumatoid arthritis patients. *Sci Transl Med* 2015; 7(290):290ra87. <https://doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.aaa9301>
37. Joo YB, Park J-E, Choi C-B et al. Phase 1 Study of Immunotherapy Using Autoantigen-Loaded Dendritic Cells in Patients with Anti-Citrullinated Peptide Antigen Positive Rheumatoid Arthritis—ACR Meeting Abstracts. 2014 ACR/ARHP Annual

- Meeting, Abstract number 946 <https://acrabstracts.org/abstract/phase-1-study-of-immunotherapy-using-autoantigen-loaded-dendritic-cells-in-patients-with-anti-citrullinated-peptide-antigen-positive-rheumatoid-arthritis/>.
38. Kurochkina Y, Chernykh E, Sizikov A. The effect of tolerogenic dendritic cells in patients with Rheumatoid arthritis: news and promises. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2021;80(Suppl 1):581. <https://doi.org/10.1136/annrheumdis-2021-eular.2261>
 39. Bell GM, Anderson AE, Diboll J et al. Autologous tolerogenic dendritic cells for rheumatoid and inflammatory arthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2017; 76:227–34. <https://doi.org/10.1136/annrheumdis-2015-208456>
 40. Moreau A, Vandamme C, Segovia M et al. Generation and in vivo evaluation of IL10-treated dendritic cells in a nonhuman primate model of AAV-based gene transfer. *Mol Ther Methods Clin Dev* 2014; 1:14028. <https://doi.org/10.1038/mtm.2014.28>
 41. Laureano RS, Sprooten J, Vanmeerbeek I et al. Trial watch: Dendritic cell (DC)-based immunotherapy for cancer. *Oncoimmunology* 2022; 11. <https://doi.org/10.1080/2162402X.2022.2096363>
 42. Draube A, Klein-González N, Mattheus S et al. Dendritic cell based tumor vaccination in prostate and renal cell cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS One* 2011; 6:e18801. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0018801>
 43. Verdijk P, Aarntzen EHJG, Lesterhuis WJ et al. Limited amounts of dendritic cells migrate into the T-cell area of lymph nodes but have high immune activating potential in melanoma patients. *Clin Cancer Res* 2009; 15:2531–40. <https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-08-2729>
 44. De Vries IJM, Lesterhuis WJ, Barentsz JO et al. Magnetic resonance tracking of dendritic cells in melanoma patients for monitoring of cellular therapy. *Nat Biotechnol* 2005; 23:1407–13. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt1154>
 45. Lesterhuis WJ, de Vries IJM, Schreiber G et al. Route of administration modulates the induction of dendritic cell vaccine-induced antigen-specific T cells in advanced melanoma patients. *Clin Cancer Res* 2011; 17:5725–35. <https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-11-1261>
 46. Robert C, Klein C, Cheng G et al. Gene therapy to target dendritic cells from blood to lymph nodes. *Gene Ther* 2003; 10:1479–86. <https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.gt.3302008>
 47. Worbs T, Bode U, Yan S et al. Oral tolerance originates in the intestinal immune system and relies on antigen carriage by dendritic cells. *J Exp Med* 2006; 203:519–27. <https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20052016>
 48. Hintzen G, Ohl L, del Rio M-L et al. Induction of tolerance to innocuous inhaled antigen relies on a CCR7-dependent dendritic cell-mediated antigen transport to the bronchial lymph node. *J Immunol* 2006; 177:7346–54. <https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.177.10.7346>
 49. Martín-Fontecha A, Sebastiani S, Höpken UE et al. Regulation of dendritic cell migration to the draining lymph node: impact on T lymphocyte traffic and priming. *J Exp Med* 2003; 198:615–21.
 50. Eggert AAO, Schreurs MW, Boerman OC et al. Biodistribution and vaccine efficiency of murine dendritic cells are dependent on the route of administration. *Cancer Res* 1999; 59:3340–5.
 51. Anderson AE, Swan DJ, Wong OY et al. Tolerogenic dendritic cells generated with dexamethasone and vitamin D3 regulate rheumatoid arthritis CD4+ T cells partly via transforming growth factor- β 1. *Clin Exp Immunol* 2017; 187:113–23. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cei.12870>
 52. Anderson AE, Sayers BL, Haniffa MA et al. Differential regulation of naïve and memory CD4+ T cells by alternatively activated dendritic cells. *J Leukoc Biol* 2008; 84:124–33. <https://doi.org/10.1189/jlb.1107744>
 53. Anderson AE, Swan DJ, Sayers BL et al. LPS activation is required for migratory activity and antigen presentation by tolerogenic dendritic cells. *J Leukoc Biol* 2009; 85:243–50. <https://doi.org/10.1189/jlb.0608374>
 54. Cooke F, Neal M, Wood MJ et al. Fluorine labelling of therapeutic human tolerogenic dendritic cells for 19F-magnetic resonance imaging. *Front Immunol* 2022; 13:988667. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2022.988667>
 55. Bulte JWM, Shakeri-Zadeh A. In Vivo MRI tracking of tumor vaccination and antigen presentation by dendritic cells. *Mol Imaging Biol* 2022; 24:198–207. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11307-021-01647-4>
 56. Ahrens ET, Helfer BM, O'Hanlon CF et al. Clinical cell therapy imaging using a perfluorocarbon tracer and fluorine-19 MRI. *Magn Reson Med* 2014; 72:1696–701. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mrm.25454>
 57. Rohani R, de Chickera SN, Willert C et al. In vivo cellular MRI of dendritic cell migration using micrometer-sized iron oxide (MPIO) particles. *Mol Imaging Biol* 2011; 13:679–94. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11307-010-0403-0>
 58. Yuan Y, Wang C, Kuddannaya S et al. In vivo tracking of unlabelled mesenchymal stromal cells by mannose-weighted chemical exchange saturation transfer MRI. *Nat Biomed Eng* 2022; 6:658–66. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41551-021-00822-w>
 59. Ashmore-Harris C, Iafrate M, Saleem A et al. Non-invasive reporter gene imaging of cell therapies, including T cells and stem cells. *Mol Ther* 2020; 28:1392–416. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymthe.2020.03.016>
 60. Volpe A, Lang C, Lim L et al. Spatiotemporal PET imaging reveals differences in CAR-T tumor retention in triple-negative breast cancer models. *Mol Ther* 2020; 28:2271–85. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymthe.2020.06.028>
 61. Jacob J, Nadkarni S, Volpe A et al. Spatiotemporal in vivo tracking of polyclonal human regulatory T cells (Tregs) reveals a role for innate immune cells in Treg transplant recruitment. *Mol Ther Methods Clin Dev* 2020; 20:324–36. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.omtm.2020.12.003>
 62. De Laere M, Derdelinckx J, Hassi M et al. Shuttling tolerogenic dendritic cells across the blood-brain barrier In Vitro via the introduction of De Novo C-C chemokine receptor 5 expression using messenger RNA electroporation. *Front Immunol* 2018; 8:1964. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2017.01964>
 63. Benham H, Nel HJ, Law SC et al. Citrullinated peptide dendritic cell immunotherapy in HLA risk genotype-positive rheumatoid arthritis patients. *Sci Transl Med* 2015; 7:290ra87. <https://doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.aaa9301>