# Contents lists available at ScienceDirect American Heart Journal Plus: Cardiology Research and Practice

journal homepage: www.sciencedirect.com/journal/ american-heart-journal-plus-cardiology-research-and-practice

# Predictors and outcomes of quality of life in elderly patients with heart failure

Nelson Wang<sup>a,b,e</sup>, Susan Hales<sup>d</sup>, Robyn Gallagher<sup>b</sup>, Geoffrey Tofler<sup>b,c,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Royal Prince Alfred Hospital. Sydney, Australia

<sup>b</sup> Sydney Medical School, Sydney, Australia

<sup>c</sup> Royal North Shore Hospital, Sydney, Australia

<sup>d</sup> Ryde Hospital, Sydney, Australia

<sup>e</sup> The George Institute for Global Health, UNSW, Sydney, Australia

ARTICLE INFO ABSTRACT Keywords: Study objective: This study aims to identify predictors of health related quality of life (HRQoL) among patients Heart failure with heart failure (HF) and assess whether HRQoL was a predictor of rehospitalisation and mortality, and if age Quality of life influenced the findings. Hospitalisation Design: Observational cohort study. Setting: Seven hospitals in the Northern Sydney Local Health District, Sydney, Australia. Participants: Community dwelling patients who completed a Minnesota Living with HF questionnaire (MLHFQ) within 30 days of discharge after a HF hospitalisation. Main outcome measure: Multivariable linear regression models were used to identify predictors of MLHFQ scores (higher score = worse HRQoL) and adjusted Cox regression models to assess the impact of MLHFQ scores on oneyear rehospitalisation and mortality. Separate analyses were conducted for those aged  $\leq$ 80 or >80 years. Results: 1911 patients of mean age 79 years (57 % aged >80 years) were included in this analysis. Among those aged ≤80 years; younger age, lower haemoglobin and presenting symptoms at hospitalisation of exertional dyspnoea, peripheral oedema and fatigue were predictors of worse post-discharge MLHFQ scores. In patients aged >80 years, living alone, chronic kidney disease, exertional dyspnoea and peripheral oedema were predictors of worse MLHFQ scores. Worse MLHFQ scores predicted one-year HF readmissions in those aged >80 years (HR 1.22, 95 % CI 1.07-1.37) but not those aged <80 years (HR 0.90 95 % CI 0.71-1.10). Conclusions: In-hospital predictors can be identified for worse HRQoL post-discharge for HF. These vary ac-

# 1. Introduction

Heart failure (HF) is associated with high morbidity and mortality and reduced quality of life [1,2]. Health related quality of life (HRQoL) measures are important tools to assess for how an individual's health affects their quality of life (QoL) they have been shown to predict important clinical outcomes including rehospitalisation and mortality [3-6]. The impact of HF on HRQoL appears to be greater than many other chronic cardiac and non-cardiac diseases [7]. The determinants of HRQoL in HF are less well understood, particularly in the elderly. For instance one study of HF patients aged >65 years found that age, sex, diabetes and respiratory diseases predicted some dimensions of HRQoL but not others [8]. A second study of HF patients aged >65 years found

that higher NYHA class, lower income, and longer duration of HF were independent predictors of worse HRQoL [9]. However, factors are inconsistent, so that in another study of 542 HF patients aged >60 years in a primary care setting female sex, higher NYHA class, depression, low socioeconomic class, comorbid disease and older age to be associated with worse HRQoL [10]. There has also been suggestion that chronic HF appears to have lesser impact on HRQoL in older patients compared to their younger counterparts [11,12]. To our knowledge no prior study has assessed the impact of recent hospitalisation features such as presenting symptoms, precipitants and laboratory investigations on HRQoL. Other unknowns include whether determinants of HRQoL differ between younger and older patients with HF, and whether the impact of HRQoL on clinical outcomes differs in an elderly population.

\* Corresponding author at: Cardiology Department, Royal North Shore Hospital, Reserve Rd, St Leonards, NSW 2065, Australia. E-mail address: Geoffrey.Tofler@health.nsw.gov.au (G. Tofler).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ahjo.2022.100188 Received 24 July 2022; Accepted 3 August 2022

Available online 5 August 2022

2666-6022/© 2022 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/bync-nd/4.0/).



Research paper





cording to age, and should be addressed prior to discharge.

#### Table 1

Baseline patient characteristics according to quartile of Minnesota Living with Heart Failure Questionnaire score.

	Quartile 1 0–25	Quartile 2 26–45	Quartile 3 46–62	Quartile 4 63–105	p-Value for trend
Number of patients	496	487	455	473	
Patient demographics					
Age, years (SD)	81 (9)	80 (9)	79 (11)	77 (12)	< 0.001
Age >80 years, n (%)	315 (64)	291 (60)	250 (55)	228 (48)	< 0.001
Male sex, n (%)	251 (51)	282 (58)	257 (57)	258 (55)	0.327
Lives alone, n (%)	193 (39)	192 (40)	154 (34)	119 (26)	< 0.001
Single (unmarried or widowed), n (%)	45 (9)	45 (9)	36 (8)	39 (8)	0.461
Speaks English at home, n (%)	458 (93)	454 (94)	434 (96)	435 (93)	0.907
Born in Australia, n (%)	275 (55)	288 (59)	280 (62)	276 (58)	0.187
Has private insurance, n (%)	245 (50)	206 (43)	187 (42)	211 (46)	0.104
Medical history					
HFpEF (LVEF $\geq$ 50 %), n (%)	154 (34)	162 (36)	152 (37)	172 (39)	0.146
Atrial fibrillation, n (%)	53 (11)	61 (13)	56 (12)	54 (11)	0.426
Hypercholesterolaemia, n (%)	182 (38)	178 (38)	155 (36)	158 (35)	0.425
Chronic kidney disease, n (%)	54 (11)	69 (15)	74 (17)	81 (18)	0.001
Diabetes, n (%)	108 (22)	111 (23)	112 (26)	126 (28)	0.042
Hypertension, n (%)	311 (64)	277 (58)	279 (65)	276 (62)	0.311
Ischemic heart disease, n (%)	263 (54)	256 (54)	254 (59)	234 (52)	0.844
Current smoker, n (%)	23 (5)	13 (3)	18 (4)	21 (5)	0.924

HFpEF, heart failure with preserved ejection fraction; LVEF, Left ventricular ejection fraction. All numbers are expressed as means and standard deviation unless otherwise specified.

Given the aging population, the prevalence of HF will continue to rise, particularly in the very elderly group aged over 80 years. It is estimated that 12 % of Americans aged over 80 years and 16 % of Europeans aged over 80 years have HF [13,14]. The Management of Cardiac Failure (MACARF) program includes a particularly elderly group of patients, and is a unique dataset to gain better understanding predictors of QoL among very elderly patients with HF. This is particularly important since management priorities in this patient group focus more on maintaining QoL and minimizing time in hospital rather than reducing mortality. Indeed most patients with HF value QoL as more important than prolonged survival [15,16]. This study aims to 1) identify predictors of HRQoL in HF, 2) assess whether HRQoL was a predictor of rehospitalisation and mortality, and 3) determine whether these findings differ according to age.

# 2. Methods

#### 2.1. Study population

This study was an analysis of data collected prospectively from patients hospitalised with a primary diagnosis of HF between November 1998 and June 2019. HF was diagnosed using the Framingham criteria [17] and all patients >18 years were offered a referral to the program. The study included seven hospitals in the Northern Sydney Area Health District, Australia, who were enrolled in the MACARF program. The program aims to reduce the burden of HF by offering home visits, patient education and follow-up phone calls from HF specialist nurses. Funding of the program and database was through the Northern Sydney Local Health District (G. Tofler, Medical Director of MACARF).

In the present analysis, we included patients who survived their index HF hospitalisation, and subsequently had a HF nurse follow-up home visit and completed a Minnesota living with heart failure questionnaire (MLHFQ) within 30 days of discharge. The MLHFQ questionnaire was conducted by the HF nurse at the time of the home visit. The MLHFQ is a self-administered disease-specific questionnaire for patients with HF, comprising 21 items rated on six-point Likert scales, representing different degrees of impact of HF on HRQoL, from 0 (none) to 5 (very much) [18]. It provides a total score (range 0–105, from best to worst HRQoL), as well as scores for two dimensions, physical (8 items, range 0–40) and emotional (5 items, range 0–25). The other eight items (of the total of 21) are only considered for the calculation of the total score. A higher MLHFQ score indicates greater impairment and worse

QoL. The MLHFQ has been validated in community dwelling patients with HF [19].

Recorded data included sociodemographic, risk factors, comorbid diseases and hospitalisation details including presenting symptoms, precipitants of HF hospitalisation, hospital length of stay, laboratory results and left ventricular ejection fraction on transthoracic echocardiogram. Presenting symptoms were reported by the patients to dedicated HF nurses, who recorded the data. Precipitants of HF were determined from a pre-determined list of potential causes of HF decompensation which includes: ischemic heart disease, arrhythmia, medication non compliance, dietary non compliance, medication changes, other precipitants or unknown precipitants. These precipitants were identified by the treating medical team, and more than one precipitant could be attributed to a single hospitalisation. Readmissions were differentiated based on whether the primary cause was a HF exacerbation or non-HF. All patients were followed up for subsequent readmissions and death. Readmissions were recorded if the patients were rehospitalised in the seven participating hospitals within the Northern Sydney Local Health District, Australia. Ischemic heart disease and chronic kidney disease were noted if they were mentioned as a prior diagnosis in the medical records. Data were recorded into a database by dedicated HF nurses.

## 2.2. Study outcomes

Outcomes of interest included 1-year hospital rehospitalisation due to HF or non-HF causes, and all-cause mortality. If a patient suffered the outcome of interest prior to their 30 day follow-up home visit, they were excluded from analysis for that particular event.

Mortality was documented through a combination of hospital records, follow-up phone calls and death notices.

# 2.3. Statistical analysis

Analyses were performed for the entire cohort, and then separately for those patients aged >80 years and  $\leq$ 80 years. Age 80 years was chosen because it was close to the average age of the total cohort (79 years). Categorical data were summarized as counts and percentages, and continuous data were expressed as either mean (SD) or median (interquartile range). Tests for linear trends in MLHFQ scores according to baseline and hospitalisation characteristics were assessed by including MLHFQ score as a continuous variable in a linear regression

#### Table 2

Hospitalisation details according to quartile of Minnesota Living with Heart Failure Questionnaire score.

$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		e score.				
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		Quartile	Quartile	Quartile	Quartile	p-Value
Presenting symptoms      Presenting Exertional      412 (88)      435 (92)      406 (92)      430 (95)      <0.001        Hard Stress      116 (25)      149 (32)      148 (34)      175 (39)      <0.001						for trend
symptoms Exertional dyspace, n (%) Paroxysmal116 (25)149 (32)406 (92)403 (95)<0.001paroxysmal dyspace, n (%)116 (25)149 (32)148 (34)175 (39)<0.001		0–25	26-45	46–62	63–105	
Evertional      412 (88)      435 (92)      406 (92)      430 (95)      <0.001	Presenting					
dyspnoea, n (%) Paroxysmal nocturnal dyspnoea, n (%)116 (25)149 (32)148 (34)175 (39)<0.001nocturnal dyspnoea, n (%)127 (27)153 (33)119 (27)128 (28)0.991Fatigue, n (%)140 (30)189 (40)187 (42)198 (44)<0.001						
Paroxysmal nocturnal dyspnoca, n (%)116 (25)149 (32)148 (34)175 (39)<0.001Adyspnoca, n (%) Cough, n (%)127 (27)153 (33)119 (27)128 (28) 189 (40)0.991Fatigue, n (%) davine, n (%)140 (30)189 (40)187 (42)198 (44)<0.001		412 (88)	435 (92)	406 (92)	430 (95)	< 0.001
nocturnal dyspnoea, n (%)127 (27)153 (33)119 (27)128 (28)0.991Fatigue, n (%)140 (30)189 (40)187 (42)198 (44)<0.001		11((05)	1 40 (00)	1 40 (04)	175 (00)	0.001
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	•	116 (25)	149 (32)	148 (34)	175 (39)	<0.001
Cough, n (%)127 (27)153 (33)119 (27)128 (28)0.991Patigue, n (%)140 (30)189 (40)187 (42)198 (44)<0.001						
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		127 (27)	153 (33)	110 (27)	128 (28)	0 001
Needing to take more rest during daytime, 0(%)80 (17)109 (23)124 (28)119 (26)<0.001More rest during daytime, 0(%)44 (9)65 (14)73 (17)79 (18)<0.001						
more rest during daytime, n (%)    weight gain, n (%)    44 (9)    65 (14)    73 (17)    79 (18)    <0.001      (%)    Peripheral    169 (36)    230 (49)    237 (54)    264 (59)    <0.001	-					
Weight gain, n (%) $44 (9)$ (%) $65 (14)$ (7) $73 (17)$ (7) $79 (18)$ $<0.001$ (%)Peripheral oedema, n (%) $169 (36)$ (30) $230 (49)$ (47) $237 (54)$ (47) $264 (59)$ $<0.001$ (00)Anorexia, n (%) $61 (13)$ (13) $77 (16)$ (47 (10) $94 (21)$ 	0					
(%)    Peripheral    169 (36)    230 (49)    237 (54)    264 (59)    <0.001	-					
Peripheral oedema, n (%)169 (36)230 (49)237 (54)264 (59)<0.001Anorexia, n (%)61 (13)77 (16)94 (21)89 (20)<0.001	Weight gain, n	44 (9)	65 (14)	73 (17)	79 (18)	< 0.001
oedema, n (%) Anorexia, n (%)61 (13) 61 (11)77 (16) 77 (16)94 (21) 94 (21)89 (20) 63 (14)<0.020 0.020Palpitations, n50 (11)47 (10)57 (13)63 (14)0.020(%)191 (21)80 (18)0.3900.010Precipitant of admission, n (%)124 (26)110 (23)130 (29)141 (30)0.095Ischemic heart (%)124 (26)110 (23)130 (29)141 (30)0.126(%)135 (28)121 (25)141 (32)140 (30)0.126(%)135 (28)121 (25)141 (32)140 (30)0.126(%)122 (25)133 (28)115 (26)120 (26)0.775Dietary non- compliance, n (%)38 (8)45 (9)43 (10)43 (9)0.785Compliance, n (%)38 (8)45 (9)43 (10)43 (9)0.785Medication adverse effect, n (%)12 (3)8 (2)19 (4)15 (3)0.064Medication, n (%)12 (3)8 (2)19 (4)15 (3)0.015precipitant, n (%)113 (24)82 (19)93 (20)0.724precipitant, n (%)113 (24)82 (19)93 (20)0.724Investigations129 (4)138 (4)138 (5)138 (5)0.173mod/L (SD)139 (4)138 (4)138 (5)138 (5)0.173mod/L (SD)204 (42)206 (45)214 (45)0.0613mond/L (SD)128 (18)124 (16)123 (58)120 (64)<	(%)					
Anorexia, n (%)    61 (13)    77 (16)    94 (21)    89 (20)    <0.001	Peripheral	169 (36)	230 (49)	237 (54)	264 (59)	< 0.001
Palpitations, n (%)50 (11)47 (10)57 (13)63 (14)0.020(%)Chest discomfort, n (%)72 (15)91 (19)91 (21)80 (18)0.390Precipitant of admission, n (%)124 (26)110 (23)130 (29)141 (30)0.095Ischemic heart (%)124 (26)110 (23)130 (29)141 (30)0.126(%)Arrhythmia, n135 (28)121 (25)141 (32)140 (30)0.126(%)Medication non- scompliance, n (%)32 (7)27 (6)39 (9)26 (6)0.988Infection, n (%)122 (25)133 (28)115 (26)120 (26)0.775Dietary non- (%)38 (8)45 (9)43 (10)43 (9)0.785compliance, n (%)						
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	-	50 (11)	47 (10)	57 (13)	63 (14)	0.020
n (%) Precipitant of admission, n (%) Ischemic heart disease, n (%) Arrhythmia, n 135 (28) 121 (25) 141 (32) 140 (30) 0.126 (%) Arrhythmia, n 135 (28) 121 (25) 141 (32) 140 (30) 0.126 (%) Medication non- 32 (7) 27 (6) 39 (9) 26 (6) 0.988 compliance, n (%) Infection, n (%) 122 (25) 133 (28) 115 (26) 120 (26) 0.775 Dietary non- 38 (8) 45 (9) 43 (10) 43 (9) 0.785 compliance, n (%) Medication 12 (3) 8 (2) 19 (4) 15 (3) 0.064 adverse effect, n (%) Change in 34 (7) 36 (8) 30 (7) 35 (8) 0.929 medication, n (%) Unknown 96 (20) 113 (24) 82 (19) 93 (20) 0.724 precipitant, n (%) Investigations Length of 183 (37) 204 (42) 206 (45) 214 (45) 0.005 hospital stay ≥7 days, n (%) Serum 30dum, 139 (4) 138 (4) 138 (5) 138 (5) 0.173 mmol/L (SD) Serum 4.3 (0.5) 4.4 (0.6) 4.3 (0.6) 4.3 (0.5) 0.808 potassium, mmol/L (SD) Serum 128 (18) 124 (19) 126 (19) 125 (18) 0.354 haemoglobin, g/L (SD) Left ventricular 40 (16) 41 (16) 42 (16) 42 (17) 0.425		72 (15)	01 (10)	01 (21)	90 (19)	0.200
Precipitant of admission, n (%)      Ischemic heart    124 (26)    110 (23)    130 (29)    141 (30)    0.095      Ischemic heart    124 (26)    110 (23)    141 (32)    140 (30)    0.126      (%)	-	72 (13)	91 (19)	91 (21)	ou (1o)	0.390
admission, n (%) Ischemic heart disease, n (%)124 (26)110 (23)130 (29)141 (30)0.095disease, n (%)135 (28)121 (25)141 (32)140 (30)0.126(%)Medication non- (%)32 (7)27 (6)39 (9)26 (6)0.988compliance, n (%)122 (25)133 (28)115 (26)120 (26)0.775Dietary non- (%)38 (8)45 (9)43 (10)43 (9)0.785compliance, n (%)12 (3)8 (2)19 (4)15 (3)0.064adverse effect, n (%)736 (8)30 (7)35 (8)0.929medication (adverse effect, n (%)25 (5)30 (6)37 (8)43 (9)0.015precipitant, n (%) Unknown96 (20)113 (24)82 (19)93 (20)0.724Investigations Length of hospital stay $\geq 7$ days, n (%)138 (37)204 (42)206 (45)214 (45)0.005Serum sodium, protasium, mmol/L (SD)138 (4)138 (5)138 (5)0.173Serum creatinine, mmol/L (SD)118 (60)121 (58)123 (58)120 (64)0.613serum albumin, sorum37 (5)36 (5)37 (5)37 (4)0.413g/L (SD) Serum128 (18)124 (19)126 (19)125 (18)0.354haemoglobin, g/L (SD)128 (18)124 (19)126 (19)125 (18)0.354						
Ischemic heart disease, n (%)124 (26)110 (23)130 (29)141 (30)0.095Arrhythmia, n (%)135 (28)121 (25)141 (32)140 (30)0.126(%)Medication non- (%)32 (7)27 (6)39 (9)26 (6)0.988compliance, n (%)122 (25)133 (28)115 (26)120 (26)0.775Dietary non- (%)38 (8)45 (9)43 (10)43 (9)0.785compliance, n (%)12 (3)8 (2)19 (4)15 (3)0.064adverse effect, n (%)						
disease, n (%) Arrhythmia, n 135 (28) 121 (25) 141 (32) 140 (30) 0.126 (%) Medication non- 32 (7) 27 (6) 39 (9) 26 (6) 0.988 compliance, n (%) Infection, n (%) 122 (25) 133 (28) 115 (26) 120 (26) 0.775 Dietary non- 38 (8) 45 (9) 43 (10) 43 (9) 0.785 compliance, n (%) Medication 12 (3) 8 (2) 19 (4) 15 (3) 0.064 adverse effect, n (%) Change in 34 (7) 36 (8) 30 (7) 35 (8) 0.929 medication, n (%) Other 25 (5) 30 (6) 37 (8) 43 (9) 0.015 precipitant, n (%) Unknown 96 (20) 113 (24) 82 (19) 93 (20) 0.724 precipitant, n (%) Investigations Length of 183 (37) 204 (42) 206 (45) 214 (45) 0.005 hospital stay $\geq 7$ days, n (%) Serum 4.3 (0.5) 4.4 (0.6) 4.3 (0.6) 4.3 (0.5) 0.808 potassium, mmol/L (SD) Serum 118 (60) 121 (58) 123 (58) 120 (64) 0.613 mmol/L (SD) Serum 128 (18) 124 (19) 125 (18) 0.354 haemoglobin, g/L (SD) Left ventricular 40 (16) 41 (16) 42 (16) 42 (17) 0.425		124 (26)	110 (23)	130 (29)	141 (30)	0.095
(%) Medication non- compliance, n (%)32 (7) 27 (6)27 (6) 39 (9)26 (6) 26 (6)0.988 0.988compliance, n (%)122 (25)133 (28)115 (26)120 (26)0.775Dietary non- compliance, n (%)38 (8)45 (9)43 (10)43 (9)0.785Medication adverse effect, n (%)12 (3)8 (2)19 (4)15 (3)0.064Medication adverse effect, n (%)12 (3)8 (2)19 (4)15 (3)0.064Other precipitant, n (%)25 (5)30 (6)37 (8)43 (9)0.015Other precipitant, n (%)25 (5)30 (6)37 (8)43 (9)0.015Unknown precipitant, n (%)96 (20)113 (24)82 (19)93 (20)0.724Investigations Length of hospital stay ≥7 days, n (%)139 (4)138 (4)138 (5)138 (5)0.005Serum serum mool/L (SD)4.3 (0.5)4.4 (0.6)4.3 (0.6)4.3 (0.5)0.808potassium, mmol/L (SD)36 (5)37 (5)37 (4)0.413g/L (SD) Serum Serum Serum Serum Serum 128 (18)124 (19)126 (19)125 (18)0.354haemoglobin, g/L (SD)128 (18)124 (16)42 (16)42 (17)0.425						
Medication non- compliance, n (%)32 (7)27 (6)39 (9)26 (6)0.988Infection, n (%)122 (25)133 (28)115 (26)120 (26)0.775Dietary non- sompliance, n (%)38 (8)45 (9)43 (10)43 (9)0.785Compliance, n (%)	Arrhythmia, n	135 (28)	121 (25)	141 (32)	140 (30)	0.126
compliance, n (%)Infection, n (%)122 (25)133 (28)115 (26)120 (26)0.775Dietary non- compliance, n (%)38 (8)45 (9)43 (10)43 (9)0.785Medication adverse effect, n (%)12 (3)8 (2)19 (4)15 (3)0.064Medication adverse effect, n (%)12 (3)8 (2)19 (4)15 (3)0.064Other precipitant, n (%)36 (8)30 (7)35 (8)0.929Medication, n (%)0133 (6)37 (8)43 (9)0.015Other precipitant, n (%)25 (5)30 (6)37 (8)43 (9)0.015Unknown precipitant, n (%)96 (20)113 (24)82 (19)93 (20)0.724Investigations Length of hospital stay ≥7 days, n (%)138 (37)204 (42)206 (45)214 (45)0.005Serum sodium, mmol/L (SD)139 (4)138 (4)138 (5)138 (5)0.173mmol/L (SD)Serum albumin, serum albumin, serum37 (5)36 (5)37 (5)37 (4)0.413g/L (SD)128 (18)124 (19)126 (19)125 (18)0.354haemoglobin, g/L (SD)128 (18)124 (19)126 (19)125 (18)0.354haemoglobin, g/L (SD)128 (16)41 (16)42 (16)42 (17)0.425	(%)					
(%)Infection, n (%)122 (25)133 (28)115 (26)120 (26)0.775Dietary non- compliance, n (%)38 (8)45 (9)43 (10)43 (9)0.785Omedication (%)12 (3)8 (2)19 (4)15 (3)0.064Medication adverse effect, n (%)12 (3)8 (2)19 (4)15 (3)0.064Other precipitant, n (%)34 (7)36 (8)30 (7)35 (8)0.929medication, n (%)0013 (24)82 (19)93 (20)0.724precipitant, n (%)113 (24)82 (19)93 (20)0.724unknown precipitant, n (%)96 (20)113 (24)82 (19)93 (20)0.724Investigations Length of hospital stay ≥7 days, n (%)138 (37)204 (42)206 (45)214 (45)0.005Serum sodium, mmol/L (SD)139 (4)138 (4)138 (5)138 (5)0.173Serum (reatinine, mmol/L (SD)118 (60)121 (58)123 (58)120 (64)0.613serum albumin, ag/L (SD)37 (5)36 (5)37 (5)37 (4)0.413g/L (SD) Serum128 (18)124 (19)126 (19)125 (18)0.354haemoglobin, g/L (SD)124 (16)42 (16)42 (17)0.425		32 (7)	27 (6)	39 (9)	26 (6)	0.988
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						
Dietary non- compliance, n (%)38 (8)45 (9)43 (10)43 (9)0.785Medication adverse effect, n (%)12 (3)8 (2)19 (4)15 (3)0.064adverse effect, n (%)736 (8)30 (7)35 (8)0.929medication, n (%)736 (8)30 (7)35 (8)0.929Other25 (5)30 (6)37 (8)43 (9)0.015precipitant, n (%)713 (24)82 (19)93 (20)0.724Unknown96 (20)113 (24)82 (19)93 (20)0.724precipitant, n (%)7206 (45)214 (45)0.005hospital stay ≥7 days, n (%)138 (37)204 (42)206 (45)214 (45)0.005Serum sodium, mmol/L (SD)139 (4)138 (4)138 (5)138 (5)0.173serum creatinine, serum albumin, g/L (SD)121 (58)123 (58)120 (64)0.613Serum serum serum (L (SD)124 (19)126 (19)125 (18)0.354haemoglobin, g/L (SD)124 (19)126 (19)125 (18)0.354haemoglobin, g/L (SD)124 (16)42 (16)42 (17)0.425						
compliance, n      (%)      Medication    12 (3)    8 (2)    19 (4)    15 (3)    0.064      adverse effect, n    (%)    1    15 (3)    0.064      (%)    Change in    34 (7)    36 (8)    30 (7)    35 (8)    0.929      medication, n (%)    0    0    0    0    0    0    0      Other    25 (5)    30 (6)    37 (8)    43 (9)    0.015      precipitant, n (%)    0    113 (24)    82 (19)    93 (20)    0.724      precipitant, n (%)    0    113 (24)    82 (19)    93 (20)    0.724      Investigations    1    113 (24)    82 (19)    93 (20)    0.724      Investigations    1    113 (24)    82 (19)    93 (20)    0.724      Investigations    1    183 (37)    204 (42)    206 (45)    214 (45)    0.005      hospital stay ≥7    3    138 (5)    138 (5)    138 (5)    0.173      mmol/L (SD)    Serum    4.3 (0.5)    4.4 (0.6)    4.3 (0.6)    4.3 (0.5)    0.808   <						
(%)Medication12 (3)8 (2)19 (4)15 (3)0.064adverse effect, n(%)736 (8)30 (7)35 (8)0.929medication, n (%)0735 (8)0.929medication, n (%)0735 (8)0.929medication, n (%)0735 (8)0.929medication, n (%)0735 (8)0.929Unknown96 (20)113 (24)82 (19)93 (20)0.724precipitant, n (%)7777Investigations7777Length of183 (37)204 (42)206 (45)214 (45)0.005hospital stay ≥77777days, n (%)7777Serum sodium,139 (4)138 (4)138 (5)138 (5)0.173mmol/L (SD)55774.3 (0.5)4.4 (0.6)4.3 (0.6)4.3 (0.5)0.808potassium,118 (60)121 (58)123 (58)120 (64)0.613120 (41)0.413g/L (SD)Serum albumin,37 (5)36 (5)37 (5)37 (4)0.413g/L (SD)126 (19)125 (18)0.354haemoglobin, g/L (SD)55555120 (64)0.425126 (19)125 (18)0.354haemoglobin, g/L (SD)555742 (17)0.425126 (17)0.425		38 (8)	45 (9)	43 (10)	43 (9)	0.785
Medication    12 (3)    8 (2)    19 (4)    15 (3)    0.064      adverse effect, n    (%)	-					
adverse effect, n (%) Change in 34 (7) 36 (8) 30 (7) 35 (8) 0.929 medication, n (%) Other 25 (5) 30 (6) 37 (8) 43 (9) 0.015 precipitant, n (%) Unknown 96 (20) 113 (24) 82 (19) 93 (20) 0.724 precipitant, n (%) Investigations Length of 183 (37) 204 (42) 206 (45) 214 (45) 0.005 hospital stay $\geq 7$ days, n (%) Serum 4.3 (0.5) 4.4 (0.6) 4.3 (0.6) 4.3 (0.5) 0.808 potassium, mmol/L (SD) Serum 118 (60) 121 (58) 123 (58) 120 (64) 0.613 mmol/L (SD) Serum 128 (18) 124 (19) 126 (19) 125 (18) 0.354 haemoglobin, g/L (SD) Serum 128 (18) 124 (19) 126 (19) 125 (18) 0.354 haemoglobin, g/L (SD) Left ventricular 40 (16) 41 (16) 42 (16) 42 (17) 0.425 ejection fraction,		12 (3)	8 (2)	19 (4)	15 (3)	0.064
		(*)	- (-)	(1)	(-)	
medication, n (%)    medication, n (%)    medication, n (%)    medication, n (%)      Other    25 (5)    30 (6)    37 (8)    43 (9)    0.015      precipitant, n (%)    113 (24)    82 (19)    93 (20)    0.724      precipitant, n (%)    113 (24)    82 (19)    93 (20)    0.724      precipitant, n (%)    113 (24)    82 (19)    93 (20)    0.724      precipitant, n (%)    113 (24)    82 (19)    93 (20)    0.724      precipitant, n (%)    113 (24)    82 (19)    93 (20)    0.724      precipitant, n (%)    113 (24)    82 (19)    93 (20)    0.724      Investigations    Length of    183 (37)    204 (42)    206 (45)    214 (45)    0.005      hospital stay ≥7    days, n (%)    5    5    138 (5)    138 (5)    0.173      serum sloum, 139 (4)    138 (4)    138 (5)    138 (5)    0.808      potassium, mmol/L (SD)    5    5    120 (64)    0.613      serum albumin, 37 (5)    36 (5)    37 (5)    37 (4)    0.413      g/L (SD)    5    5    <						
Other25 (5)30 (6)37 (8)43 (9)0.015precipitant, n (%)113 (24)82 (19)93 (20)0.724precipitant, n (%)113 (24)82 (19)93 (20)0.724precipitant, n (%)113 (24)82 (19)93 (20)0.724Investigations183 (37)204 (42)206 (45)214 (45)0.005hospital stay ≥72206 (45)214 (45)0.005hospital stay ≥738 (37)204 (42)206 (45)214 (45)0.005hospital stay ≥738 (37)138 (4)138 (5)138 (5)0.173mmol/L (SD)Serum sodium,139 (4)138 (4)138 (5)138 (5)0.808potassium,mmol/L (SD)Serum creatinine,118 (60)121 (58)123 (58)120 (64)0.613mmol/L (SD)Serum albumin,37 (5)36 (5)37 (5)37 (4)0.413g/L (SD)Serum128 (18)124 (19)126 (19)125 (18)0.354haemoglobin, g/L(SD)Left ventricular40 (16)41 (16)42 (16)42 (17)0.425	Change in	34 (7)	36 (8)	30 (7)	35 (8)	0.929
precipitant, n (%)    96 (20)    113 (24)    82 (19)    93 (20)    0.724      precipitant, n (%)    Investigations    113 (24)    82 (19)    93 (20)    0.724      precipitant, n (%)    Investigations    113 (24)    82 (19)    93 (20)    0.724      length of    183 (37)    204 (42)    206 (45)    214 (45)    0.005      hospital stay ≥7    days, n (%)    38 (4)    138 (5)    138 (5)    0.173      Serum sodium,    139 (4)    138 (4)    138 (5)    138 (5)    0.173      mmol/L (SD)    Serum    4.3 (0.5)    4.4 (0.6)    4.3 (0.6)    4.3 (0.5)    0.808      potassium,    mmol/L (SD)    Serum creatinine,    118 (60)    121 (58)    123 (58)    120 (64)    0.613      mmol/L (SD)    Serum albumin,    37 (5)    36 (5)    37 (5)    37 (4)    0.413      g/L (SD)    Serum    128 (18)    124 (19)    126 (19)    125 (18)    0.354      haemoglobin, g/L (SD)    Left ventricular    40 (16)    41 (16)    42 (16)    42 (17)    0.425      ejection fraction, <t< td=""><td>medication, n (%)</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	medication, n (%)					
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		25 (5)	30 (6)	37 (8)	43 (9)	0.015
precipitant, n (%)      Investigations      Length of    183 (37)    204 (42)    206 (45)    214 (45)    0.005      hospital stay ≥7    days, n (%)    38    138 (5)    138 (5)    0.173      days, n (%)    Serum sodium,    139 (4)    138 (4)    138 (5)    138 (5)    0.173      mmol/L (SD)    Serum creatinine,    138 (60)    121 (58)    123 (58)    120 (64)    0.613      mmol/L (SD)    Serum creatinine,    118 (60)    121 (58)    123 (58)    120 (64)    0.613      serum dlumin,    37 (5)    36 (5)    37 (5)    37 (4)    0.413      g/L (SD)    Serum    128 (18)    124 (19)    126 (19)    125 (18)    0.354      haemoglobin, g/L (SD)    Left ventricular    40 (16)    41 (16)    42 (16)    42 (17)    0.425						
Investigations      Length of    183 (37)    204 (42)    206 (45)    214 (45)    0.005      hospital stay ≥7    - </td <td></td> <td>96 (20)</td> <td>113 (24)</td> <td>82 (19)</td> <td>93 (20)</td> <td>0.724</td>		96 (20)	113 (24)	82 (19)	93 (20)	0.724
Length of    183 (37)    204 (42)    206 (45)    214 (45)    0.005      hospital stay ≥7    days, n (%)						
hospital stay ≥7      days, n (%)      Serum sodium,    139 (4)    138 (4)    138 (5)    138 (5)    0.173      mmol/L (SD)      Serum    4.3 (0.5)    4.4 (0.6)    4.3 (0.6)    4.3 (0.5)    0.808      potassium,    mmol/L (SD)       0.808      potassium,    mmol/L (SD)       0.613      Serum creatinine,    118 (60)    121 (58)    123 (58)    120 (64)    0.613      mmol/L (SD)            Serum albumin,    37 (5)    36 (5)    37 (5)    37 (4)    0.413      g/L (SD)            Serum    128 (18)    124 (19)    126 (19)    125 (18)    0.354      haemoglobin, g/L    (SD)          Left ventricular    40 (16)    41 (16)    42 (16)    42 (17)    0.425      ejection fraction,		183 (37)	204 (42)	206 (45)	214 (45)	0.005
days, n (%)      Serum sodium,    139 (4)    138 (4)    138 (5)    138 (5)    0.173      mmol/L (SD)    Serum    4.3 (0.5)    4.4 (0.6)    4.3 (0.6)    4.3 (0.5)    0.808      potassium,    mmol/L (SD)    Serum creatinine,    118 (60)    121 (58)    123 (58)    120 (64)    0.613      mmol/L (SD)    Serum albumin,    37 (5)    36 (5)    37 (5)    37 (4)    0.413      g/L (SD)    Serum    128 (18)    124 (19)    126 (19)    125 (18)    0.354      haemoglobin, g/L (SD)    Left ventricular    40 (16)    41 (16)    42 (16)    42 (17)    0.425		105 (57)	204 (42)	200 (43)	214 (43)	0.005
Serum sodium,    139 (4)    138 (4)    138 (5)    138 (5)    0.173      mmol/L (SD)    Serum    4.3 (0.5)    4.4 (0.6)    4.3 (0.6)    4.3 (0.5)    0.808      potassium,    mmol/L (SD)    Serum creatinine,    118 (60)    121 (58)    123 (58)    120 (64)    0.613      mmol/L (SD)    Serum albumin,    37 (5)    36 (5)    37 (5)    37 (4)    0.413      g/L (SD)    Serum    128 (18)    124 (19)    126 (19)    125 (18)    0.354      haemoglobin, g/L    (SD)    Left ventricular    40 (16)    41 (16)    42 (16)    42 (17)    0.425	–					
mmol/L (SD)      Serum    4.3 (0.5)    4.4 (0.6)    4.3 (0.6)    4.3 (0.5)    0.808      potassium,    mmol/L (SD)          Serum creatinine,    118 (60)    121 (58)    123 (58)    120 (64)    0.613      mmol/L (SD)            Serum albumin,    37 (5)    36 (5)    37 (5)    37 (4)    0.413      g/L (SD)            Serum    128 (18)    124 (19)    126 (19)    125 (18)    0.354      haemoglobin, g/L    (SD)           Left ventricular    40 (16)    41 (16)    42 (16)    42 (17)    0.425      ejection fraction,		139 (4)	138 (4)	138 (5)	138 (5)	0.173
potassium,      mmol/L (SD)      Serum creatinine,    118 (60)    121 (58)    123 (58)    120 (64)    0.613      mmol/L (SD)      Serum albumin,    37 (5)    36 (5)    37 (5)    37 (4)    0.413      g/L (SD)      Serum    128 (18)    124 (19)    126 (19)    125 (18)    0.354      haemoglobin, g/L    (SD)	mmol/L (SD)					
mmol/L (SD)      Serum creatinine,    118 (60)    121 (58)    123 (58)    120 (64)    0.613      mmol/L (SD)      Serum albumin,    37 (5)    36 (5)    37 (5)    37 (4)    0.413      g/L (SD)      Serum    128 (18)    124 (19)    126 (19)    125 (18)    0.354      haemoglobin, g/L    (SD)    (SD)    (SD)    126 (16)    42 (17)    0.425      ejection fraction,    40 (16)    41 (16)    42 (16)    42 (17)    0.425	Serum	4.3 (0.5)	4.4 (0.6)	4.3 (0.6)	4.3 (0.5)	0.808
Serum creatinine, mmol/L (SD)      118 (60)      121 (58)      123 (58)      120 (64)      0.613        Serum albumin, g/L (SD)      37 (5)      36 (5)      37 (5)      37 (4)      0.413        g/L (SD)      serum      128 (18)      124 (19)      126 (19)      125 (18)      0.354        haemoglobin, g/L (SD)      text      text      text      126 (19)      125 (18)      0.354        Left ventricular      40 (16)      41 (16)      42 (16)      42 (17)      0.425        ejection fraction,      text      text      text      text      text      text	potassium,					
mmol/L (SD)      Serum albumin,    37 (5)    36 (5)    37 (5)    37 (4)    0.413      g/L (SD)      Serum    128 (18)    124 (19)    126 (19)    125 (18)    0.354      haemoglobin, g/L    (SD)    (SD)    Left ventricular    40 (16)    41 (16)    42 (16)    42 (17)    0.425      ejection fraction,    40 (16)    41 (16)    42 (16)    42 (17)    0.425						
Serum albumin,      37 (5)      36 (5)      37 (5)      37 (4)      0.413        g/L (SD)      Serum      128 (18)      124 (19)      126 (19)      125 (18)      0.354        haemoglobin, g/L      (SD)      Left ventricular      40 (16)      41 (16)      42 (16)      42 (17)      0.425        ejection fraction,		118 (60)	121 (58)	123 (58)	120 (64)	0.613
g/L (SD) Serum 128 (18) 124 (19) 126 (19) 125 (18) 0.354 haemoglobin, g/L (SD) Left ventricular 40 (16) 41 (16) 42 (16) 42 (17) 0.425 ejection fraction,		07 (5)	0((5)	07 (5)	07 (4)	0.410
Serum      128 (18)      124 (19)      126 (19)      125 (18)      0.354        haemoglobin, g/L      (SD)		37 (5)	36 (5)	37 (5)	37 (4)	0.413
haemoglobin, g/L (SD) Left ventricular 40 (16) 41 (16) 42 (16) 42 (17) 0.425 ejection fraction,	0. , ,	128 (18)	124 (10)	126 (10)	125 (18)	0 354
(SD) Left ventricular 40 (16) 41 (16) 42 (16) 42 (17) 0.425 ejection fraction,		120 (10)	147 (17)	120 (17)	120 (10)	0.004
Left ventricular 40 (16) 41 (16) 42 (16) 42 (17) 0.425 ejection fraction,	0 .0					
ejection fraction,		40 (16)	41 (16)	42 (16)	42 (17)	0.425
percent (SD)	ejection fraction,					
	percent (SD)					

All numbers are expressed as means and standard deviation unless otherwise specified.

model. The relationships between physical and emotional MLHFQ scores were assessed with scatter plots and Pearson correlation coefficients. All univariate variables with p values <0.05 were considered for the multivariate linear regression. Collinearity (defined as  $R\geq0.50$ ) was checked between relevant variables, and only 1 of the 2 variables

was entered into the final model if demonstrated. For certain variables that were closely correlated we included one variable only – we included exertional dyspnoea but not paroxysmal nocturnal dyspnoea; fatigue over needing to take more rest; peripheral oedema over weight gain and living alone over single marital status. Separate models were constructed for the overall score and the two dimensions, physical and emotional. Missing data were dealt with using a pair-wise analysis.

The associations of physical, emotional and overall MLHFQ scores to first occurrence of each study outcome were estimated using Hazard Ratios (HR) and 95 % CIs derived from Cox proportional regression models. HRs for QOL score was estimated per 1-SD increase. The models were adjusted for age, sex, living alone, left ventricular ejection fraction, hospital length of stay and comorbidities at baseline including atrial fibrillation, ischemic heart disease, hypertension, diabetes, smoking status and chronic kidney disease. Separate models were constructed for physical, emotional and overall MLHFQ scores because these measures were highly correlated. A linear trend across quarters of MLHFQ scores was tested taking the quartiles as a continuous variable ranging from 1 to 4. All analyses used p < 0.05.

# 3. Results

There was a total of 7845 patients registered in the MACARF database from November 1998 and June 2019, of which a total of 1911 patients completed a MLHFQ at a follow-up home visit within 30 days of discharge. The mean (SD) total MLHFQ score was 44.0 (24.0), mean (SD) physical MLFHQ score was 22.8 (11.2) and mean (SD) emotional MLHFQ score was 9.0 (7.2). Physical scores were significantly correlated with emotional scores (R = 0.58, p < 0.001) (Supplemental Fig. 1). The mean (SD) age of the population was 79 [11] years, and younger patients had higher overall MLHFQ scores (worse QoL) (Table 1). Patients with higher overall MLHFQ scores were less likely to live alone, had a greater prevalence of chronic kidney disease and diabetes and had a longer length of hospital stay for the index HF admission (Table 2). Patients with higher proportions of presenting symptoms for their index admission had higher overall MLHFQ scores (Table 2). The baseline characteristics of patients aged <80 years and >80 years according to quartiles/quarters of MLHFQ scores are shown in Supplementary Tables 1-4.

# 3.1. Predictors of HRQoL

Among the entire cohort, older age and living alone was associated with lower overall, physical and emotional MLHFQ scores (Supplemental Table 5). Meanwhile, chronic kidney disease, exertional dyspnoea, fatigue and peripheral oedema were predictors of higher overall MLHFQ scores.

Among patients aged  $\leq$ 80 years, younger age was associated with higher overall, physical and emotional MLHFQ scores (Fig. 1). A lower haemoglobin was associated with higher overall and physical but not emotional MLHFQ scores. Exertional dyspnoea and peripheral oedema were also predictors of overall and physical but not emotional MLHFQ scores whereas fatigue was a predictor of overall and emotional but not physical MLHFQ scores (Fig. 1).

Among patients aged >80 years, those who lived alone had lower overall, physical and emotional MLHFQ scores (Fig. 1). Chronic kidney disease was associated with higher overall and emotional MLHFQ scores. Exertional dyspnoea, peripheral oedema and palpitations were predictors of higher overall, physical and emotional MLHFQ scores (Fig. 1).

#### 3.2. Effect of HRQoL scores on readmission and death

In those patients aged  $\leq$ 80 years, MLHFQ scores were not independent predictors of one year all-cause, HF and non-HF readmissions (Table 3). There was a trend for higher mortality rates in those patients

# **Total QoL**





**Physical QoL** 



**Emotional QoL** 



**Fig. 1.** Independent predictors of Minnesota Living with Heart Failure Questionnaire score in patients aged less than and older than 80 years. Predictors identified by including those variables with p < 0.05 in univariate analysis into a multivariate linear regression. r variables included in the multivariate model for age  $\leq$ 80 years were chronic kidney disease, left ventricular ejection fraction, dietary non-compliance, and other precipitants of the index hospitalisation. Other variables included in the multivariate model for age  $\geq$ 80 years model were fatigue and anorexia as presenting symptoms of index hospitalisation and arrhythmia and dietary non-compliance as precipitants of index hospitalisation.

with higher overall MLHFQ scores (HR 1.14, 95 % CI 1.00–1.29). Among those patients aged >80 years, higher overall MLHFQ scores were predictive of one-year HF readmissions (HR 1.22, 95 % CI 1.07–1.37) and all-cause readmissions (HR 1.10, 95 % CI 1.01–1.21) but not non-HF readmissions (HR 1.03, 95 % CI 0.92–1.14) (Table 3). The risk of 1 year HF readmissions increased log-linearly with increasing overall MLHFQ scores in those aged >80 years (p = 0.008) (Fig. 2).

#### 4. Discussion

In this study of community dwelling HF patients who had a recent HF hospitalisation, worse HRQoL scores were independent predictors of HF readmissions in those patients aged >80 years but not those aged  $\leq$ 80 years. Presenting symptoms at the time of HF hospitalisation were significant predictors of worse HRQoL post-discharge. These findings reinforce the importance of identifying and adequately addressing

#### Table 3

Association of Minnesota Living with Heart Failure Questionnaire score with clinical outcomes in patients aged  $\leq$ 80 years and >80 years.

Total population	Events/Total	HR (95 % CI)	p-Value	
One year readmission	738/1679	1.05 (0.98, 1.12)	0.164	
One year HF readmission	294/1682	1.10 (0.98, 1.22)	0.092	
One year non-HF readmission	577/1680	1.01 (0.92, 1.10)	0.873	
One year mortality	202/1683	1.14 (1.00, 1.29)	0.056	
Age $\leq$ 80 years	Events/total	HR (95 % CI)	p-Value	
One year readmission	281/731	0.99 (0.86, 1.10)	0.807	
One year HF readmission	110/733	0.90 (0.71, 1.10)	0.314	
One year non-HF readmission	219/732	1.00 (0.86, 1.12)	0.970	
One year mortality	59/734	1.26 (0.99, 1.54)	0.063	
Age >80 years	Events/total	HR (95 % CI)	p-Value	
One year any readmission	457/948	1.10 (1.01, 1.21)	0.032	
One year HF readmission	184/949	1.22 (1.07, 1.37)	0.004	
One year non-HF readmission	358/948	1.03 (0.92, 1.14)	0.612	
One year mortality	143/949	1.09 (0.93, 1.26)	0.280	

Cox models adjusted for age, sex, living status, left ventricular ejection fraction, hospital length of stay and comorbidities including atrial fibrillation, ischemic heart disease, hypertension, diabetes, smoking status and chronic kidney disease. HF, heart failure.



Fig. 2. Association of overall Minnesota Living with Heart Failure Questionnaire score with 1-year HF hospitalisation in patients aged >80 years. Cox models were adjusted for age, sex, living status, left ventricular ejection fraction, hospital length of stay and comorbidities including atrial fibrillation, ischemic heart disease, hypertension, diabetes, smoking status and chronic kidney disease.

patient symptoms as a key strategy for improving HRQoL and preventing HF rehospitalisation in the elderly. HRQoL assessments should also form part of longitudinal care for all patients with HF, but particularly the elderly, where the goals are often to minimize rehospitalisation.

In the management of HF, clinicians focus on patient comorbidities, investigation results and hospital length of stay as markers of worse clinical outcomes. In our study, patient symptoms at the time of HF hospitalisation were a significant determinant of HRQoL in the community during the home visit up to 30 days after hospital discharge. It is likely that the patients' symptoms were not adequately controlled at the time of hospital discharge and contributed to not only worse QoL in the community, but also higher risk of subsequent HF readmission. Indeed HF patients are frequently readmitted for the same precipitants of their initial HF admission [20]. Clinicians should be reminded to routinely identify and address their patients' presenting symptoms during each HF hospitalisation and have a targeted management for these symptoms in the community. For example, patients with ischemic precipitants of HF should have their angina appropriately treated, whether through medical therapy or revascularisation [21].

Prior studies have shown that worse HRQoL is associated with increased risk of rehospitalisation and mortality in patients with HF [3–6,22–24]. The link between worse HRQoL scores and all-cause death and clinical outcomes appears to be independent of the severity of HF and traditional markers of a patient's HF severity [24]. Our study assessed whether hospitalisation characteristics are able to predict HRQoL in the community. We found that presenting symptoms at the time of hospitalisation, in particular exertional dyspnoea and peripheral oedema, were significant determinants of HRQoL after discharge. Prior studies have identified NYHA class, a marker of dyspnoea and congestion, as a predictor of worse HRQoL, but these studies acknowledge that NYHA class is unlikely to capture all elements of HRQoL for each individual [1,24].

Our findings reinforce the importance of treating congestion, with clinicians ideally ensuring that patients are euvolemic at the time of discharge, and receive close monitoring in the community for signs and symptoms of congestion. With bed limitations and financial constraints increasing the pressure to discharge patients after a short length of hospital stay, the minimization of congestive symptoms post-discharge is particularly important. We found that chronic kidney disease was a predictor of worse HRQoL, which may be related to symptoms such as fatigue, pain and pruritis [25]. However, it may also be related to worsening congestion in those patients with concomitant kidney disease and HF, who often require cautious balance of diuretic dose to achieve effective diuresis while avoiding renal deterioration [26].

Optimising treatment of non-congestive symptoms is also important to improve HRQoL. In our study, palpitations and fatigue were also important contributors to HRQoL and may be helped by specific interventions. For example, maintaining sinus rhythm in HF patients with atrial fibrillation through medication or ablation procedures can improve HRQoL [27,28]. Similarly, iron deficiency is associated with worse HRQoL in HF, and intravenous iron administration can improve 6minute walk distance and HRQoL [29–31]. Addressing depression with both non-pharmacological and pharmacological approaches may improve HRQoL in HF patients, and depression should be regularly screened and treated when appropriate [32–34].

Our finding that younger age was a predictor of worse HRQoL, has been observed in prior studies [35,36]. The mechanisms underlying this remain unclear but are likely influenced by the mismatch between reality and expectations. Early referral of patients with HF to supportive/ palliative care for adequate symptom control may not only improve QoL but also prevent HF readmission. A prior study of patients with acute HF found that that inpatient palliative care involvement was associated with improved symptom burden and QoL [37]. Interestingly, we found that living alone was associated with better HRQoL in the elderly, both in terms of physical, emotional and total QoL outcomes. This finding may be related to the importance of the elderly maintaining their independence on perceived QoL, particularly given we did not find any significant association between being single and HRQoL. The ability to live alone may also represent a higher level of functioning or resilience.

Current HF guidelines recommend therapies that have proven efficacy in reducing mortality and hospitalisation [38,39]. Several of these therapies are associated with improved HRQoL including angiotensin receptor blocker/neprolysin inhibitors [40] in HFrEF and sodiumglucose Cotransporter-2 (SGLT2) inhibitors in both HFpEF and HFrEF [41]. Exercise programs can also improve HRQoL in addition to increasing exercise capacity [42,43]. Future trials should continue to investigate the impact of novel therapies on quality of life and assess the role of HRQoL as a surrogate for clinical outcomes.

There are several limitations to discuss. Firstly, our patient population was not a random sample of HF patients. Nevertheless, all patients with HF admitted to hospital were screened for enrolment and patients were excluded only if they refused. Secondly, patients received patient education, phone calls and home visits from specialist nurses. Thirdly, HF signs at the post discharge visit were not included in this analysis. This was an observational study, with some incomplete data collection. Besides certain laboratory data such as serum albumin (33 %) and haemoglobin levels (21 %), rates of missing data in our dataset was low (<5 %). Rehospitalisations that occurred outside our health district may not have been recorded. Finally, we did not have data on MLHFQ scores at baseline, nor at the time of hospitalisation, and so we could not provide insights into predictors of change in HRQoL metrics.

In conclusion, reduced HRQoL on a follow-up home visit up to 30 days post discharge was associated with increased HF hospitalisation in patients aged >80 years. There are some differences in predictors of HRQoL based on patient age less than or >80 years, however presenting symptoms at the time of HF hospitalisation were important determinants of the HRQoL post discharge in the community in both age groups. These symptoms should be routinely identified and optimally treated with seamless linkage from the hospital to the community.

# CRediT authorship contribution statement

Nelson Wang: Conceptualization, Writing – original draft, Formal analysis, Validation, Methodology. Susan Hales: Data curation, Writing – review & editing. Robyn Gallagher: Data curation, Writing – review & editing, Supervision. Geoffrey Tofler: Conceptualization, Methodology, Resources, Writing – review & editing, Supervision, Project administration.

# Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

## Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ahjo.2022.100188.

## References

- J. Juenger, D. Schellberg, S. Kraemer, A. Haunstetter, C. Zugck, W. Herzog, et al., Health related quality of life in patients with congestive heart failure: comparison with other chronic diseases and relation to functional variables, Heart 87 (3) (2002) 235.
- [2] B. Riegel, D.K. Moser, D. Glaser, B. Carlson, C. Deaton, R. Armola, et al., The Minnesota living with heart failure questionnaire: sensitivity to differences and responsiveness to intervention intensity in a clinical population, Nurs. Res. 51 (4) (2002) 209–218.
- [3] N. Sepehrvand, A. Savu, J.A. Spertus, J.R. Dyck, T. Anderson, J. Howlett, et al., Change of health-related quality of life over time and its association with patient outcomes in patients with heart failure, J. Am. Heart Assoc. 9 (17) (2020), e017278.
- [4] M. Kosiborod, G.E. Soto, P.G. Jones, H.M. Krumholz, W.S. Weintraub, P. Deedwania, et al., Identifying heart failure patients at high risk for near-term cardiovascular events with serial health status assessments, Circulation 115 (15) (2007) 1975–1981.
- [5] G.E. Soto, P. Jones, W.S. Weintraub, H.M. Krumholz, J.A. Spertus, Prognostic value of health status in patients with heart failure after acute myocardial infarction, Circulation 110 (5) (2004) 546–551.
- [6] F. Rodríguez-Artalejo, P. Guallar-Castillón, C.R. Pascual, C.M. Otero, A.O. Montes, A.N. García, et al., Health-related quality of life as a predictor of hospital

readmission and death among patients with heart failure, Arch. Intern. Med. 165 (11) (2005) 1274–1279.

- [7] F. Hobbs, J. Kenkre, A. Roalfe, R. Davis, R. Hare, M. Davies, Impact of heart failure and left ventricular systolic dysfunction on quality of life. A cross-sectional study comparing common chronic cardiac and medical disorders and a representative adult population, Eur. Heart J. 23 (23) (2002) 1867–1876.
- [8] K. Franzén, B.-I. Saveman, K. Blomqvist, Predictors for health related quality of life in persons 65 years or older with chronic heart failure, Eur. J. Cardiovasc. Nurs. 6 (2) (2007) 112–120.
- [9] P. Erceg, N. Despotovic, D.P. Milosevic, I. Soldatovic, S. Zdravkovic, S. Tomic, et al., Health-related quality of life in elderly patients hospitalized with chronic heart failure, Clin. Interv. Aging 8 (2013) 1539–1546.
- [10] M. Gott, S. Barnes, C. Parker, S. Payne, D. Seamark, S. Gariballa, et al., Predictors of the quality of life of older people with heart failure recruited from primary care, Age Ageing 35 (2) (2006) 172–177.
- [11] N. Hou, M.A. Chui, G.J. Eckert, N.B. Oldridge, M.D. Murray, S.J. Bennett, Relationship of age and sex to health-related quality of life in patients with heart failure, Am. J. Crit. Care 13 (2) (2004) 153–161.
- [12] R. Gallagher, A. Sullivan, R. Burke, S. Hales, P. Sharpe, G. Tofler, Quality of life, social support and cognitive impairment in heart failure patients without diagnosed dementia, Int. J. Nurs. Pract. 22 (2) (2016) 179–188.
- S.S. Virani, A. Alonso, H.J. Aparicio, E.J. Benjamin, M.S. Bittencourt, C.
  W. Callaway, et al., Heart disease and stroke statistics—2021 update, Circulation 143 (8) (2021) e254–e743.
- [14] F. Ceia, C. Fonseca, T. Mota, H. Morais, F. Matias, A. de Sousa, et al., Prevalence of chronic heart failure in southwestern Europe: the EPICA study, Eur. J. Heart Fail. 4 (4) (2002) 531–539.
- [15] T.S. Rector, L.K. Tschumperlin, S.H. Kubo, A.J. Bank, G.S. Francis, K.M. McDonald, et al., Use of the living with heart failure questionnaire to ascertain patients' perspectives on improvement in quality of life versus risk of drug-induced death, J. Card. Fail. 1 (3) (1995) 201–206.
- [16] E.F. Lewis, P.A. Johnson, W. Johnson, C. Collins, L. Griffin, L.W. Stevenson, Preferences for quality of life or survival expressed by patients with heart failure, J. Heart Lung Transplant. 20 (9) (2001) 1016–1024.
- [17] P.A. McKee, W.P. Castelli, P.M. McNamara, W.B. Kannel, The natural history of congestive heart failure: the Framingham study, N. Engl. J. Med. 285 (26) (1971) 1441–1446.
- [18] B. Middel, J. Bouma, M. de Jongste, E. van Sonderen, M. Niemeijer, W. van den Heuvel, Psychometric properties of the Minnesota living with heart failure questionnaire (MLHF-O), Clin. Rehabil. 15 (5) (2001) 489–500.
- [19] J.C. Naveiro-Rilo, D.M. Diez-Juárez, A. Romero Blanco, F. Rebollo-Gutiérrez, A. Rodríguez-Martínez, M.A. Rodríguez-García, Validation of the Minnesota living with heart failure questionnaire in primary care, Rev. Esp. Cardiol. 63 (12) (2010) 1419–1427.
- [20] N. Wang, M. Farrell, S. Hales, K. Hanvey, G. Robertson, P. Sharp, et al., Prevalence and seasonal variation of precipitants of heart failure hospitalization and risk of readmission, Int. J. Cardiol. 316 (2020) 152–160.
- [21] I.Y. Elgendy, D. Mahtta, C.J. Pepine, Medical therapy for heart failure caused by ischemic heart disease, Circ. Res. 124 (11) (2019) 1520–1535.
- [22] S.M. Joseph, E. Novak, S.V. Arnold, P.G. Jones, H. Khattak, A.E. Platts, et al., Comparable performance of the Kansas City cardiomyopathy questionnaire in patients with heart failure with preserved and reduced ejection fraction, CirculationHeart Failure 6 (6) (2013) 1139–1146.
- [23] J.T. Parissis, M. Nikolaou, D. Farmakis, I.A. Paraskevaidis, V. Bistola, K. Venetsanou, et al., Self-assessment of health status is associated with inflammatory activation and predicts long-term outcomes in chronic heart failure, Eur. J. Heart Fail. 11 (2) (2009) 163–169.
- [24] I. Johansson, P. Joseph, K. Balasubramanian, J.J.V. McMurray, L.H. Lund, J. A. Ezekowitz, et al., Health-related quality of life and mortality in heart failure: the global congestive heart failure study of 23 000 patients from 40 countries, Circulation 143 (22) (2021) 2129–2142.
- [25] H. Almutary, A. Bonner, C. Douglas, Symptom burden in chronic kidney disease: a review of recent literature, J. Ren. Care 39 (3) (2013) 140–150.
- [26] W. Mullens, K. Damman, V.P. Harjola, A. Mebazaa, H.P. Brunner-La Rocca, P. Martens, et al., The use of diuretics in heart failure with congestion—a position statement from the heart failure Association of the European Society of cardiology, Eur. J. Heart Fail. 21 (2) (2019) 137–155.
- [27] M.N. Khan, P. Jaïs, J. Cummings, L. Di Biase, P. Sanders, D.O. Martin, et al., Pulmonary-vein isolation for atrial fibrillation in patients with heart failure, N. Engl. J. Med. 359 (17) (2008) 1778–1785.
- [28] L. Di Biase, P. Mohanty, S. Mohanty, P. Santangeli, C. Trivedi, D. Lakkireddy, et al., Ablation versus amiodarone for treatment of persistent atrial fibrillation in patients with congestive heart failure and an implanted device: results from the AATAC multicenter randomized trial, Circulation 133 (17) (2016) 1637–1644.
- [29] J.T. Parissis, K. Kourea, F. Panou, D. Farmakis, I. Paraskevaidis, I. Ikonomidis, et al., Effects of darbepoetin  $\alpha$  on right and left ventricular systolic and diastolic function in anemic patients with chronic heart failure secondary to ischemic or idiopathic dilated cardiomyopathy, American Heart Journal. 155 (4) (2008) 751, e1-. e7.
- [30] J.K. Ghali, I.S. Anand, W.T. Abraham, G.C. Fonarow, B. Greenberg, H. Krum, et al., Randomized double-blind trial of darbepoetin alfa in patients with symptomatic heart failure and anemia, Circulation 117 (4) (2008) 526–535.
- [31] K. Kourea, J.T. Parissis, D. Farmakis, I. Paraskevaidis, F. Panou, G. Filippatos, et al., Effects of darbepoetin-alpha on quality of life and emotional stress in anemic patients with chronic heart failure, Eur. J. Prev. Cardiol. 15 (3) (2008) 365–369.

#### N. Wang et al.

- [32] C.M. O'Connor, W. Jiang, M. Kuchibhatla, S.G. Silva, M.S. Cuffe, D.D. Callwood, et al., Safety and efficacy of sertraline for depression in patients with heart failure: results of the SADHART-CHF (Sertraline against depression and heart disease in chronic heart Failure) trial, J. Am. Coll. Cardiol. 56 (9) (2010) 692–699.
- [33] C.E. Angermann, G. Gelbrich, S. Störk, H. Gunold, F. Edelmann, R. Wachter, et al., Effect of escitalopram on all-cause mortality and hospitalization in patients with heart failure and depression: the MOOD-HF randomized clinical trial, JAMA 315 (24) (2016) 2683–2693.
- [34] G.L. Xiong, M. Fiuzat, M. Kuchibhatla, R. Krishnan, C.M. O'Connor, W. Jiang, et al., Health status and depression remission in patients with chronic heart failure: patient-reported outcomes from the SADHART-CHF trial, CirculationHeart Failure 5 (6) (2012) 688–692.
- [35] S.-L. Hwang, W.-C. Liao, T.-Y. Huang, Predictors of quality of life in patients with heart failure, Jpn. J. Nurs. Sci. 11 (4) (2014) 290–298.
- [36] C.H. Zambroski, D.K. Moser, G. Bhat, C. Ziegler, Impact of symptom prevalence and symptom burden on quality of life in patients with heart failure, Eur. J. Cardiovasc. Nurs. 4 (3) (2005) 198–206.
- [37] Inpatient palliative care for patients with acute heart failure: outcomes from a randomized trial, Journal of Palliative Medicine 18 (2) (2015) 134–142.
- [38] W. Yancy Clyde, M. Jessup, B. Bozkurt, J. Butler, E. Casey Donald, M. Colvin Monica, et al., 2017 ACC/AHA/HFSA focused update of the 2013 ACCF/AHA

guideline for the Management of Heart Failure, J. Am. Coll. Cardiol. 70 (6) (2017) 776–803.

- [39] T.A. McDonagh, M. Metra, M. Adamo, R.S. Gardner, A. Baumbach, M. Böhm, et al., 2021 ESC guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure: developed by the task force for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure of the european Society of Cardiology (ESC) with the special contribution of the heart failure association (HFA) of the ESC, Eur. Heart J. 42 (36) (2021) 3599–3726.
- [40] J.J. McMurray, M. Packer, A.S. Desai, J. Gong, M.P. Lefkowitz, A.R. Rizkala, et al., Angiotensin–neprilysin inhibition versus enalapril in heart failure, N. Engl. J. Med. 371 (2014) 993–1004.
- [41] M.N. Kosiborod, P.S. Jhund, K.F. Docherty, M. Diez, M.C. Petrie, S. Verma, et al., Effects of dapagliflozin on symptoms, function, and quality of life in patients with heart failure and reduced ejection fraction, Circulation 141 (2) (2020) 90–99.
- [42] R. Belardinelli, D. Georgiou, G. Cianci, A. Purcaro, Randomized, controlled trial of long-term moderate exercise training in chronic heart failure: effects on functional capacity, quality of life, and clinical outcome, Circulation 99 (9) (1999) 1173–1182.
- [43] U. Wisløff, A. Støylen, J.P. Loennechen, M. Bruvold, Ø. Rognmo, P.M. Haram, et al., Superior cardiovascular effect of aerobic interval training versus moderate continuous training in heart failure patients: a randomized study, Circulation 115 (24) (2007) 3086–3094.