

ORIGINAL PAPER

# Developing a Minimum Data Set (MDS) for Cardiac Electronic Implantable Devices Implantation

Hadi Kazemi-Arpanahi<sup>1</sup>,  
Ali Vasheghani-Farahani<sup>2</sup>,  
Abdolvahab Baradaran<sup>2</sup>,  
Nilofar Mohammadzadeh<sup>3</sup>,  
Marjan Ghazisaeeedi<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Allied Medical Sciences, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

<sup>2</sup>Cardiac Primary Prevention Research Center (CPPRC), Tehran Heart Center, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

<sup>3</sup>Department of Health Information Management, School of Allied Medical Sciences, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

Corresponding author: associate professor Marjan Ghazisaeeedi, PhD. Department of Health Information Management, School of Allied Medical Sciences, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. ORCID ID: <http://www.orcid.org/0000-0002-2400-209X> E-mail: [ghazimar@tums.ac.ir](mailto:ghazimar@tums.ac.ir)

doi: 10.5455/aim.2018.26.164-168

ACTA INFORM MED. 2018 SEPT 26(3):164-168

Received: Jul 11, 2018 • Accepted: Aug 16, 2018

© 2018 Hadi Kazemi-Arpanahi, Ali Vasheghani-Farahani, Abdolvahab Baradaran, Nilofar Mohammadzadeh, Marjan Ghazisaeeedi

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

## ABSTRACT

**Background:** There is no established minimum data set (MDS) for cardiovascular implantable electronic devices (CIEDs), which have led to a lack of standardized assessment criteria in this field to ensure access to a reliable and coherent set of data. **Objective:** To establish the minimum data set of CIEDs implantation that enables consistency in data gathering, uniform data reporting and data exchange in clinical and research information systems. **Methods:** This descriptive and cross-sectional study was conducted in 2018. That comprised a literature review to provide an overview of cardiovascular documents, registries, guidelines and medical record forms to extract an initial draft of potential data elements then asked from experts to review the initial draft of variables to score the items according to the importance perceived by them based on a five-point Likert scale. The items scored as important or highly important by at least 75% of the experts were included in the final list of minimum data set. **Results:** Initial dataset were refined by experts and essential data elements was selected in eight data classes including administrative data, past medical history, sign and symptoms, physical examinations, laboratory results, procedure session, post procedure complications and discharge outcomes. For each category required variables and possible respondents where determined. **Conclusions:** The minimum dataset will facilitate standardized and effective data management of CIEDs implantation; and presents a platform for meaningful comparison across contexts.

**Keywords:** Cardiovascular implantable electronic device, Pacemaker, Implantable cardioverter defibrillator, minimum data set.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Cardiovascular implantable electronic devices (CIEDs) era began in 1958. Since then their use has become more widespread (1, 2). CIEDs are internal devices with the main purpose of correcting the irregular electrical activity of the heart (3). With growing indications these devices in the treatment of rhythm disorders, heart failure and prevention of sudden cardiac death, the implantations broaden and frequency of device utilization increases the supervision of these patients and their devices become in consideration (4-8). In Iran, history of these devices goes back to 1995 (9). For the purpose of this article, pacemakers and implantable cardioverter defibrillators (ICDs) will be the focus; however, implantable loop recorders are also considered CIEDs. Pacemaker and cardioverter defibrillators are increasingly recognized as efficient tools for management of cardiac

rhythm disorders. Pacemakers, which are capable to send electrical impulses via intracardiac conductors to avoid Brady arrhythmias; the implantable cardioverter-defibrillator (ICD), which is effective in the inhibition of sudden cardiac death (SCD) through programmable anti-tachycardia pacing and/or DC shocks; and CRT devices, which are able to perform right and left ventricular pacing, usually in synchrony, to resynchronize ventricular contraction in patients with heart failure and conduction disturbances (10, 11). In this context, in order to establishing and maintenance a comprehensive information management system, existence of minimum dataset is essential. The most important step of any information management system is data collection; Disparity in data collection impedes the use of patient data for direct care and prevents data reuse for many other applications. Accordingly, there is a need

to move towards a unified dataset (12-14). Therefore, to facilitate standardized data entry and consistent data gathering, a minimum data set will suggest to uniform data reporting in the CIEDs field.

## 2. AIM

This paper represents the first attempt undertaken to develop minimum data set of cardiac implantable electronic devices (CIEDs) implantation. The specific goal of CIEDs-MDS is to establish a consistent, interoperable, and national framework as a basis for both clinical care and clinical research information systems.

## 3. MATERIALS AND METHODS

To design this dataset a combination of literature review and expert consensus approach was used. The research presented in this paper is a descriptive cross-sectional study that performed in 2018. The CIEDs minimum data set was developed via a three-stage process:

### Assembly of the expert team

In view of the need for different types of knowledge, expertise, and skills, the team of working group of leading experts in the fields of cardiology and Health Information Management was convened to simplify our workflow and accomplish national consensus among all Electro physiologist clinicians. This five member team working group design study plan, determine initial draft of data element and construct the questionnaire.

### Determination of initial draft MDS-CIEDs

There are a number of identified international cardiovascular databases with different contents and structures. Using existing registries and published data sources (Table 1) as a starting point, a preliminary list was collected and refined through consensus discussions steered by the work group. Consequently, variables for possible inclusion in the MDS import to questionnaire.

Title	Source
ACC-NCDR Registries	
CathPCI Registry	<a href="http://www.ncdr.com/webncdr/cathpci/home/datacollection">www.ncdr.com/webncdr/cathpci/home/datacollection</a>
ICD Registry	<a href="http://www.ncdr.com/webncdr/icd/home/datacollection">www.ncdr.com/webncdr/icd/home/datacollection</a>
CARE Registry	<a href="http://www.ncdr.com/webncdr/care/home/datacollection">www.ncdr.com/webncdr/care/home/datacollection</a>
Society of Thoracic Surgeons Adult Cardiac Surgery Data Registry	<a href="http://www.sts.org/national-database/database-managers/adult-cardiac-surgery-database">www.sts.org/national-database/database-managers/adult-cardiac-surgery-database</a>
ACC/AHA Data Standards documents	
Adult cardiovascular EHR	Weintraub et al (15)
Cardiac imaging	Hendel et al.(16)
Electrophysiology	Buxton et al.(17)
ACS	Cannon et al.(18)

Table 1. Data source of preliminary list

### Selection and Confirming of Variables in the minimum data set

In this phase, selection of data element from preliminary MDS-CIEDs was achieved by consensus of the group after review and discussion. A researcher-made questionnaire was created in order to validate data elements of the preliminary MDS-CIEDs. The experts participating in the study were asked to review the initial draft of variables to score the

items according to the importance perceived by them based on a five-point Likert scale. In this scale, a score of 1 naturally represented the “lowest level of importance” and a score of 5 represented the “highest level of importance”. Only the data elements with average score of 3.75 and higher were allowed into the MDS. Moreover, where asked from experts if intended to change, delete or add a variable for a specific purpose they should write an acceptable reason. The content validity of the questionnaire was done using the comments from 2 cardiologists and 3 HIM experts. For the reliability of the questionnaire was used the test-retest method. The population of this study comprised 15 cardiologists with at least three years of work experience in medical centers performing EP procedures. Responses were received from 15 members. In the next step, the collected data were analyzed with IBM SPSS Statistics software (version 22).

## 4. RESULTS

We managed to collect 15 filled questionnaires out of 15 that had been distributed (100%). The CIEDs-MDS implantation data elements were divided into four categories, a first category is administrative data; that is included patient demographic and current episode of hospitalizations. The second category is clinical EP LAB visit that are included past medical history, sign and symptoms, physical examinations, lab-tests. Third category is data elements related to procedure session that included ICD insertion, Pacemaker Insertion, lead assessment, device identifiers, and fourth category is post procedure evaluation that includes post procedure complications, discharge outcomes and discharge drugs.

### Patient demographics

There was consensus to include Name, Last name, father's name, gender, date of birth, place of birth, marital status, occupation, education level, National number, Home address and Phone number.

### Current Episode of hospitalization

There was consensus to include Care facility name, Physician name, admission date, Reason for admission, Insurance payers and medical record number.

### Past medical history

The first section of the clinical EP LAB visit category is related to past medical history which was classified into four subsections of cardiovascular diseases history, non-cardiovascular diseases history, family history of cardiovascular diseases and prior history of cardiovascular procedures.

### History of Cardiovascular diseases

That included Heart Failure, Heart Failure stage, Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (HCM), Non-Ischemic Dilated Cardiomyopathy, Idiopathic dilated cardiomyopathy (DCM), Right ventricular cardiomyopathy (RVC), Restrictive cardiomyopathy (RCM), Pericarditis, Peripheral vascular disease, Stable Angina, Unstable Angina, NSTEMI, STEMI, Primary Valvular Heart Disease, Tetralogy of Fallout, Ventricular Septal Defect, Common Ventricle, Epstein's Anomaly, Atrial Septal Defect (ASD), Amyloidosis, Chagas Disease, Giant Cell Myocarditis, Left Ventricular Aneurysm, Left Ventricular Non-compaction Syndrome, Right Ventricular Dysplasia (ARVD), Sarcoidosis.

### History of Non-cardiac diseases

That included Stroke, Transient ischemic attack, chronic

Data classes	Data items	Data item subcategories	
Procedure general information	Date of procedure	yy/mm/dd	
	Duration of procedure	In minutes	
	Sedation type	1	Minimal Sedation
		2	Moderate Sedation
		3	Deep sedation
4		General Anesthesia	
Procedure type	1	Initial device implant	
	2	Generator change	
	3	Lead displacement	
	4	Lead Extraction	
	5	Lead assessment	
Cardioverter-Defibrillator Implantation	ICD type	1	Single chamber
		2	Dual chamber
		3	Biventricular
	Current ICD Mode	1	VVEV
		2	VVED
		3	DDED
		4	AAEV
		5	DDHD
	Generator site of implantation	6	Other
		1	Right Pectoral- subcutaneous
		2	Left Pectoral- subcutaneous
		3	Right Pectoral - sub muscular
		4	Left Pectoral - sub muscular
	5	Abdominal subcutaneous	
	Permanent pacemaker implantation	type of pacemaker	1
2			Single chamber (ventricular)
3			Dual chamber (both atrial and ventricular)
4			Biventricular of any type
Current pacing mode		1	VVIR
		2	DDD
		3	DDDR
		4	DDI
		5	DDIR
		6	AAI
		7	Other
Venous access		1	Subclavian
		2	Axillary
		3	Internal jugular
		4	External jugular
Lead location	1	RA endocardial	
	2	LV epicardial	
	3	RV endocardial	
	4	SVC/subclavian	
	5	LV via coronary venous system	
	6	Subcutaneous array (S-ICD)	
	7	Other	
lead configuration	1	Unipolar	
	2	Bipolar	

renal failure, Currently on Dialysis, Chronic Lung Disease, Diabetes Mellitus, Hyperthyroidism, Hypothyroidism, cirrhosis disease, Obstructive Sleep Apnea, Patient Life Expectancy of >= 1 Year by physician estimate, Cancer, Hyperlipidemia, Hypertension, Cigarette smoker, Opium addiction.

**Family History of Cardiovascular diseases**

That included Family history of arrhythmias, Family history of recurrent syncope, Specific familial arrhythmia syndromes, Family history of sudden cardiac death, Family history of ischemic heart disease, Familial history of cardiomyopathy.

Indications	1	Not applicable	
	2	Normal EOL	
	3	Premature EOL	
	4	Upgrade to dual chamber	
	5	Upgrade to biventricular / CRT	
	6	Upgrade to atrial therapy	
	7	Sensing/pacing failure	
	8	Software (algorithm) failure	
	9	Connector/header failure	
	10	Recall	
	11	Skin erosion/infection	
	12	Systemic infection /endocarditis	
	13	Malfunction	
	14	Elective (patient request)	
	15	Device relocation	
Reposition/Repair/Replacement/Extracted procedure	Extracted treatment recommendation	1 No, Re-implant	
		2 Downgrade	
If upgrade , reason for upgrade	1	Single ICD to Dual ICD	
	2	ICD to CRT-D	
Method of lead extraction	1	Laser sheaths	
	2	Electrosurgical dissection sheaths (EDS)	
	3	Mechanical sheaths	
	4	Femoral extraction tools and/or snares	
	5	Locking stylets	
Lead assessment	Lead implant date	yy/mm/dd	
	Lead Status	1	Extracted
		2	Abandoned
		3	Reused
	Lead Function	1	Normal
		2	Abnormal
		3	Not assessed
	Lead Extraction Indications	1	Infection
		2	Venous obstruction
		3	Lead dislodgment
4		Perforation	
5		Erosion	
6		Conductor failure	
7		Insulation failure	
8		Venous obstruction	
9		Lead malfunction	
10		Returned to Manufacturer/recall	

**Table 2. Cardiac implantation electronic Devices MDS**

**History of Invasive Cardiac Interventions/Surgery**  
That included previous pacemaker (pacemaker type, Indication), Previous ICD implant (ICD type, ICD Implant Site, ICD implants Date, Indication), Prior catheter ablation, Prior Diagnostic Coronary Angiography, Prior PCI, Prior CABG, Prior Heart Transplant and Prior Valve Surgery.

**Sign and symptoms**

This category was included of Asymptomatic, Fatigue, Palpitations, Dyspnea, Chest pain, NYHA functional classification, Presyncope, Syncope, Orthopnea, Paroxysmal Nocturnal Dyspnea (PND), Cardiac arrest / aborted sudden death.

**Physical examinations**

This category was included of Heart rate, Blood pressure, Respiratory rate, Height, Weight, Third heart sound (S3), Fourth heart sound (S4), Lung examination, Waist circumference.

**Laboratory data**

This category include Blood urea nitrogen (BUN), Com-

Post procedure complications(19).				
Major complications	1	Cardiac Arrest	1	Device-related pain
	2	Myocardial infarction	2	Inappropriate shocks
	3	Transient ischemic Attack	3	Bleeding
	4	Drug reaction	4	Pericardial effusion
	5	pericardial Tomponad	5	Vascular damage
	6	Stroke	6	Arteriovenous fistula
	7	Ventricular tachycardia	7	Hematoma
	8	Ventricular fibrillation	8	Hemothorax
	9	Death	9	Air embolism
	10	Cardiac perforation	10	Pneumothorax
Minor complications	11	Coronary venous dissection	11	Infection
	12	Lead dislodgement	12	Pulmonary vein injury
	13	Lead fracture	13	Sever PV stenosis
	14	Erosion of device through skin	14	Esophageal injury
	15	Urgent cardiac surgery		
	16	Deep venous thrombosis		
	17	Cardiac valve injury		
	18	Conduction block		
	19	Peripheral embolus		
	20	Peripheral nerve injury		
	21	Upper extremity edema		
	22	Set screw problem		
	23	Venous obstruction		
	24	Pulmonary embolism		
	25	AV fistula		
Discharge outcomes	1	Discharge Date		
	2	Discharge Status		
	3	If Deceased, Death During the Procedure		
	4	If Deceased, Cause of Death		
	5	Date of follow up		
	6	Prescribed drug name		
	7	drug dose		

Table 2. continued. Cardiac implantation electronic Devices MDS

plete blood count (CBC), Hemoglobin, Platelet count, Hemoglobin, Hemoglobin A1c, Hematocrit, White blood count, Sodium, Creatinine, Potassium, Fasting blood sugar, Total cholesterol, HDL cholesterol, LDL cholesterol, Triglycerides, Protrombine Time(PT), PTT, Thyroid stimulating hormone (TSH).

Since the main focus of this paper is to present a minimum data set of cardiac implantation electronic devices, Table 1 classified these data elements.

## 5. DISCUSSION

This paper represents a developed MDS subsequent wide discussion with a range of related expertise over a period of time. This paper aims to design a minimum dataset to meet collection of data elements believed to be essential and sufficient to reflect a need for uniform reporting of cardiac Implantable electronic devices and additionally to improve efficiency and data quality in this field. Once selected, all data elements were clustered into standard classes (20). These classes specify the medical background in which the data element is anticipated to be obtained or collected and reflect the usual work low organization of information in typical clinical settings for a single episode of care. These Classes are Personal History and Family History, Physical Examination at the time of the encounter, Laboratory tests, Therapeutic Procedures, post procedure complications, Discharge Information

and outcomes.

Lack of data standards has been the main obstacle to use of health care data for secondary purposes, such as research or quality monitoring. A basic dataset is a minimum, chosen, and complete agreed of elements related to each domain that could be used for investigation, strategy creating, and planning. One of the incentives for developing an MDS is to promote health through providing high quality information. Also, the MDS could be used for monitoring the patient's condition, health care provider or system assessment, and comparison in national and international levels, as well as serving as an indicator of health care provided by different institutes (21, 22). MDS also can support data sharing and interoperability in medical information systems (23).

While there is a growing interest in Iran to adopt MDS, no research has been undertaken so far in order to identify minimum data set for consistency reporting of CIEDs implantations. Therefore this paper represents our attempt to identify minimum data set for CIEDs. This MDS can be used as a basis for uniform data reporting in to electronic health record or clinical registries related to cardiac implantable electronic devices. We hope our MDS will enable and accelerate improvements in the outcomes of patients who undertaken to implant these devices, by providing consistent measurement of meaningful outcomes and allowing comparison between different care providers. This MDS also can be used as infrastructure for data interoperability between medical information systems in clinical and research domains related to cardiac implantable electronic devices.

We acknowledge that this work does have limitations. The proposed minimum dataset has not been widely consulted on and has been derived from consensus opinions of cardiologist physicians in Tehran heart center hospital. However, the working group has made these required data elements based on the best currently available appropriate evidence and a vast collective wealth of experience. Moreover it is not possible to comprehensively collect all the data items which limit the practicality of the MDS; however this will be outweighed by providing the most required data elements and possible subcategories.

## 6. CONCLUSION

This paper has highlighted the need for consistency in collecting and reporting data in healthcare environment. That could help to generate higher-quality data that would lead to better clinical decisions. In this regard a combination of experts-consensus and data-driven approaches was used to develop a Cardiac Implantable electronic devices implantation minimum dataset. This Minimum dataset can be also useful in designing electronic patient records or registry in this field toward integration of their fragmented records across continuum of the health care system and for the shared patient care.

- **Abbreviations:** MDS: Minimum Data Set; CIEDs: Cardiovascular implantable electronic devices; EPS: electrophysiology studies.
- **Acknowledgments:** This study was part of the first author's PhD dissertation, which was supported by a grant from Tehran University of Medical Sciences. I would like to thank all cardiologists who participated in this study and played a role in the validation of the data elements.

- **Author's contribution:** All authors were included in all phases of preparing this article. Final proof reading was made by the first author.
- **Conflict of interest:** The authors declare there is no conflict of interest.

## REFERENCES

1. Hess PL, Mi X, Curtis LH, Wilkoff BL, Hegland DD, Al-Khatib SM. Follow-up of patients with new cardiovascular implantable electronic devices: is adherence to the experts' recommendations associated with improved outcomes? *Heart Rhythm*. 2013 Aug;10(8):1127-33.
2. Ishikawa T. Development of cardiovascular implantable electronic devices - A history of trouble shooting. *Journal of Arrhythmia*. 2014;30(2):75-6.
3. Kirkfeldt RE, Johansen JB, Nohr EA, Jorgensen OD, Nielsen JC. Complications after cardiac implantable electronic device implantations: an analysis of a complete, nationwide cohort in Denmark. *Eur Heart J*. 2014 May;35(18):1186-94.
4. Wilkoff BL, Auricchio A, Brugada J, Cowie M, Ellenbogen KA, Gillis AM, et al. HRS/EHRA Expert Consensus on the Monitoring of Cardiovascular Implantable Electronic Devices (CIEDs): description of techniques, indications, personnel, frequency and ethical considerations: developed in partnership with the Heart Rhythm Society (HRS) and the European Heart Rhythm Association (EHRA); and in collaboration with the American College of Cardiology (ACC), the American Heart Association (AHA), the European Society of Cardiology (ESC), the Heart Failure Association of ESC (HFA), and the Heart Failure Society of America (HFSA). Endorsed by the Heart Rhythm Society, the European Heart Rhythm Association (a registered branch of the ESC), the American College of Cardiology, the American Heart Association. *Europace: European pacing, arrhythmias, and cardiac electrophysiology: journal of the working groups on cardiac pacing, arrhythmias, and cardiac cellular electrophysiology of the European Society of Cardiology*. *Europace*. 2008 Jun;10(6):707-25.
5. Bardy GH, Lee KL, Mark DB, Poole JE, Packer DL, Boinneau R, et al. Amiodarone or an implantable cardioverter-defibrillator for congestive heart failure. *N Engl J Med*. 2005 Jan 20;352(3):225-37.
6. Linde C, Leclercq C, Rex S, Garrigue S, Lavergne T, Cazeau S, et al. Long-term benefits of biventricular pacing in congestive heart failure: results from the MULTISITE STimulation in cardiomyopathy (MUSTIC) study. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2002 Jul 3;40(1):111-8.
7. Saxon LA. Survival after Tachyarrhythmic Arrest — What Are We Waiting For? *N Engl J Med*. 2008 Jan 3;358(1):77-9.
8. Moss AJ, Hall WJ, Cannom DS, Klein H, Brown MW, Daubert JP, et al. Cardiac-Resynchronization Therapy for the Prevention of Heart-Failure Events. *N Engl J Med*. 2009 Oct 1;361(14):1329-38.
9. Haghjoo M, Mollazadeh R, Aslani A, Dastmalchi J, Mashreghi-Moghadam H, Heidari-Mokarar H, et al. Prediction of midterm performance of active-fixation leads using current of injury. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol*. 2014 Feb;37(2):231-6.
10. Alsheikh-Ali AA, Hersi AS, Hamad AK, Al Fagih AR, Al-Samadi FM, Almusaad AM, et al. The gulf implantable cardioverter-defibrillator registry: Rationale, methodology, and implementation. *Heart Views*. 2015 Oct-Dec;16(4):125-30.
11. Valzania C, Torbica A, Tarricone R, Leyva F, Boriani G. Implant rates of cardiac implantable electrical devices in Europe: A systematic literature review. *Health Policy*. 2016 Jan;120(1):1-15.
12. Khresheh R, Barclay L. Implementation of a new birth record in three hospitals in Jordan: a study of health system improvement. *Health Policy Plan*. 2008 Jan;23(1):76-82.
13. Ahmadi M, Mohammadi A, Chraghbaigi R, Fathi T, Shojaee Baghini M. Developing a minimum data set of the information management system for orthopedic injuries in iran. *Iran Red Crescent Med J*. 2014 Jul;16(7):e17020.
14. Alipour J, Ahmadi M, Mohammadi A. The need for development a national minimum data set of the information management system for burns in Iran. *Burns*. 2016 May;42(3):710.
15. Weintraub WS, Karlsberg RP, Tchong JE, Boris JR, Buxton AE, Dove JT, et al. ACCF/AHA 2011 key data elements and definitions of a base cardiovascular vocabulary for electronic health records. *Circulation*. 2011;124(1):103-23.
16. Hendel RC, Budoff MJ, Cardella JF, Chambers CE, Dent JM, Fitzgerald DM, et al. ACC/AHA/ACR/ASE/ASNC/HRS/NASCI/RSNA/SAIP/SCAI/SCCT/SCMR/SIR 2008 key data elements and definitions for cardiac imaging: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Data Standards (Writing Committee to Develop Clinical Data Standards for Cardiac Imaging). *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2015 Aug 25;66(8):982.
17. Buxton AE, Calkins H, Callans DJ, DiMarco JP, Fisher JD, Greene HL, et al. ACC/AHA/HRS 2006 key data elements and definitions for electrophysiological studies and procedures. *Circulation*. 2006 Dec 5;114(23):2534-70.
18. Cannon CP, Battler A, Brindis RG, Cox JL, Ellis SG, Every NR, et al. American College of Cardiology key data elements and definitions for measuring the clinical management and outcomes of patients with acute coronary syndromes. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2001 Dec;38(7):2114-30.
19. Vashghani-Farahani A, Shafiee A, Akbarzadeh M, Bahrololoumi-Bafraee N, Alizadeh-Diz A, Emkanjoo Z, et al. Acute Complications in Cardiac Electrophysiology Procedures: A Prospective Study in a High-volume Tertiary Heart Center. *Res Cardiovasc Med* 2018;7:20-5
20. Radford MJ, Heidenreich PA, Bailey SR, Goff DC, Grover FL, Havranek EP, et al. ACC/AHA 2007 methodology for the development of clinical data standards: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Data Standards. *Circulation*. 2007 Feb 20;115(7):936-43.
21. Ahmadi M, Alipour J, Mohammadi A, Khorami F. Development a minimum data set of the information management system for burns. *Burns*. 2015 Aug;41(5):1092-9.
22. Ghodsi Z, Rahimi Movaghar V, Zafarghandi M, Saadat S, Mohammadzadeh M, Fazel M, et al. The Minimum Dataset and Inclusion Criteria for the National Trauma Registry of Iran: A Qualitative Study. *Archives of Trauma Research*. 2017;6(2):- . DOI: 10.5812/at.39725
23. Spisla C. Enhancement of interoperability of disaster-related data collection using Disaster Nursing Minimum Data Set. *Stud Health Technol Inform*. 2009;146:780-1.