## Quickly attainable and highly engrafting hematopoietic stem cells

## Hal E. Broxmeyer\*

Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Indiana University School of Medicine, Indianapolis, Indiana, USA

There are three clinically used sources of hematopoietic stem cells (HSC) and hematopoietic progenitor cells (HPC) for hematopoietic cell transplantation (HCT): bone marrow (BM), umbilical cord blood (CB), and cytokine-induced mobilized peripheral blood (mPB) cells.<sup>1-5</sup> Each source of clinically used cells has its advantages and disadvantages. Of these, mPB cells make up the majority of autologous and allogeneic HCT efforts worldwide. The advantage of mPB is that one can, in many cases, usually collect more than enough cells to ensure a rapid and longlasting donor graft, be it for autologous or allogeneic HCT. The "gold" standard for collection of mPB has been, and continues to be, the cells mobilized from the BM to peripheral blood by multiple additions of the cytokine granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (G-CSF) each day over a number of days. However, G-CSF-induced mPB does not always yield enough cells for a graft, takes 4-5 days for optimal yield of HSC and HPC, and can entail leukocytapheresis efforts to obtain enough cells for a transplant. This requires the donor to be ready and willing for multiple days of G-CSF treatment. Moreover, there are patients who do not mobilize HSC and HPC well for a number of reasons, including low numbers of these cells inherent in the BM of the patient undergoing an autologous transplant-an example being patients with Fanconi anemia, under such conditions, one might attempt to use gene therapy on the mobilized cells to correct the Fanconi anemia gene defect. New, simple, and less timeconsuming efforts to mobilize HSC and HPC for clinical use would be advantageous. In this context, a recent article by Hoggatt et al.<sup>6</sup> describes a new regimen combining two small molecules (GRO-beta and AMD3100) to quickly mobilize HSC and HPC in mice.

As a background to the article by Hoggatt et al.,<sup>6</sup> a number of small molecules have been used in mice and/or man, alone or in combination with G-CSF, to enhance collection of HSC and HPC with an optimized mobilization procedure. This includes the chemokine, macrophage inflammatory protein (MIP)-1 alpha (also referred to as CCL3),<sup>7-10</sup> which, although active as a

Conflicts of interest: The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest related to the subject matter or materials discussed in this article. Blood Science, (2019) 1, 113-115

Received May 22, 2019; Accepted May 22, 2019.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/BS9.00000000000000000

mobilizer in mice, did not show much, if any, efficacy in humans when its analog BB10010 was used.<sup>7-10</sup> Among a number of small molecules that have been used to mobilize HSC and HPC, one that stands out and has been used for good advantage is AMD3100 (Plerixafor).<sup>3-16</sup> AMD3100 has been used alone but synergizes with G-CSF to greatly enhance mobilization of HSC and HPC in both mice and humans.<sup>11–14</sup> The combination of the two usually entails 4 days or more of G-CSF given twice a day with AMD3100 given on the last day of G-CSF administration, and the cells are collected hours later. Another small molecule, the chemokine growth-regulated protein (GRO)-beta (also referred to as CXCL2), has shown some efficacy in mice and rhesus monkeys<sup>17</sup> and now in humans<sup>6</sup> as a mobilizer of HSC and HPC, although the GRO-beta by itself in humans had only modest effects.<sup>6</sup> In contrast to G-CSF, which takes days to mobilize sufficient HSC and HPC, AMD3100 and GRO-beta, each when given alone, act quickly in mice within 15 minutes to a few hours.

In an effort to more quickly and simply mobilize HSC and HPC, groups from the Indiana University and the Harvard University collaborated to assess the combined mobilizing effects of GRObeta and AMD3100 in mice.<sup>6</sup> Take-home messages from their article were several fold: A single injection of both GRO-beta, which acts as an agonist through the C-X-C chemokine receptor type 2 (CXCR2) on neutrophils resulting in release of metalloproteinase (MMP) 9,6 and AMD3100, which can act as an antagonist of the stromal cell-derived factor (SDF) 1/C-X-C chemokine ligand 12 (CXCL12)-CXCR4 receptor axis interaction<sup>11-14</sup> but which also manifests agonistic effects on this latter interaction,<sup>18</sup> results in mobilizing the same numbers of HSC and HPC in mice within 15 minutes, which takes days to mobilize using G-CSF. Of significance and high biological interest, they noted that the combination of GRO-beta and AMD3100 mobilized a higher engrafting and competitive HSC population than that of G-CSF.<sup>6</sup> This may not be too surprising a find, because there was some evidence that AMD3100 alone and in combination with G-CSF also seems to mobilize a high engrafting HSC population.<sup>11</sup>

As exciting as their mouse data<sup>6</sup> is, the combination of MIP-1alpha plus AMD3100 plus G-CSF was a more potent mobilizer in mice than either combination of two alone,<sup>9</sup> and whether or not such an efficacious mobilization procedure using the combination of GRObeta and AMD3100 will work in humans remains to be seen. It may take a while to sort out the best mobilization timing in humans. While GRO-beta seems to work within 15 minutes in mice and humans as a mobilizer,<sup>6</sup> AMD3100 takes a much longer time to mobilize in humans than in mice (e.g., AMD3100 in mice peaks at about 1 hour but takes 9-12 hours in humans for maximal effectiveness).<sup>11-14</sup> However, sorting this window of timing out for the combined use of GRO-beta and AMD3100 in humans should not be too difficult.

<sup>\*</sup> Address correspondence: Hal E. Broxmeyer, Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Indiana University School of Medicine, Indianapolis, Indiana 46202-5181, USA. E-mail address: hbroxmey@iupui.edu (H.E. Broxmeyer).

Copyright © 2019 The Authors. Published by Wolters Kluwer Health Inc., on behalf of the Chinese Association for Blood Sciences. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-No Derivatives License 4.0 (CCBY-NC-ND), where it is permissible to download and share the work provided it is properly cited. The work cannot be changed in any way or used commercially without permission from the journal.

A most intriguing aspect of the work by Hoggatt et al.<sup>6</sup> is the characteristics of the cells mobilized by the combination of GRObeta plus AMD3100. What exactly is this higher engrafting and competing HSC population? RNA sequencing found that these highly engrafting and competitive mouse cells have a distinct transcriptome different from that of G-CSF mobilized mouse cells,<sup>6</sup> but it is not yet clear if these differences can be defined with regard to the surface phenotype of HSC to separate out these highly engrafting from lesser engrafting HSC. Cluster density (CD)166 is a type 1 cell surface transmembrane glycoprotein that is a member of the immunoglobulin superfamily of molecules.<sup>19,20</sup> CD166 is present on the surface of mouse and human HSC as well as on the regulatory cells in the microenvironment niche. Perhaps CD166 may be a usable surface marker candidate when added to the most rigorously defined phenotypic HSC populations to distinguish differentially engrafting cells. Can adding CD166 as a marker further define this highly functional HSC population in mice and man, and what about other cell surface functional markers such as CXCR4, the homing and survival receptor for SDF-1/CXCL12,<sup>21-26</sup> and dipeptidyl peptidase (DPP) 4 that can truncate and change the activities of a number of biologically active proteins including SDF-1/ CXCL12?<sup>27-31</sup> How well these additional markers work for human cells to define the more efficacious engrafting cells remains to be determined and may well depend on the expression levels of these surface proteins.

Once the characteristics of these highly engrafting cells are known, the question becomes if this engrafting ability can be further enhanced or are these cells already maximally fit for engraftment? A number of different measures have been used to enhance engraftment of CB HSC, in part through enhancing the expression of CXCR4 on the cells and increasing the homing capacity of these cells. This includes using short-term ex vivo pulsing periods (e.g., hours) with inhibitors of DPP4<sup>27,30</sup> or of the enzyme histone deacetylase (HDAC)5<sup>32</sup> and/or use of prostaglandin E (PGE),<sup>33,34</sup> glucocorticosteroids (e.g., dexamethosone or Flonase),<sup>35</sup> or hyperthermia treatment<sup>36</sup> of the donor cells, as well as short-term DPP4 inhibitor administration to the recipient before administration of the donor cells.<sup>30,37-39</sup> Combined PGE pulsing of mouse BM donor cells into DPP4-inhibitor-treated recipients has already been shown to enhance engraftment of mouse BM cells into lethally irradiated mice.<sup>40</sup>

Efforts to enhance the collection and engrafting capability of donor cells are ongoing in numerous laboratories worldwide. We have found that collecting mouse BM and human CB cells under hypoxic conditions (e.g., at 3% oxygen) or in ambient air in the presence of cyclosporine A mitigates the effects of extra physiological oxygen shock/stress (EPHOSS) that acts through a cyclophilin D-P53-mitochondrial permeability transition pore axis, which involves the release of reactive oxygen species, hypoxia-inducing factor, and the hypoximer, miR210.41 EPHOSS, upon collection of cells in ambient air, causes rapid loss of HSC through a differentiation, rather than cell death, phenomenon. Would such collections of the mPB in hypoxia or in air in the presence of cyclosporine A or combinations of inhibitors of antioxidants and/or epigenetic enzymes<sup>42</sup> enhance numbers of GRO-beta and AMD3100 mPB HSC?

It is only through rigorous experimentation that we will enhance the efficiency of HCT using not only mPB but also BM and CB. However, a note of caution is necessary when trying to move from mouse studies to human trials. Some efforts that work in humans do not seem to work in mice.<sup>32,35</sup> For example, glucocorticosteroids and HDAC5 inhibitors worked with human, but not mouse, cells and it is possible that certain efforts that work in mice will not work with humans, or the timing differences for optimal efficacy may vary. The only way to know for sure is to do the experiments and then test them to determine if they work in humans.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Blume KG, Thomas ED. Forman SJ, Negrin RS, Antin JH, Appelbaum FR. A history of allogeneic and autologous hematopoietic cell transplantation. *Thomas' Hematopoietic Cell Transplantation* Vol. 1. 5th ed.Chichester, UK: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd; 2015;1–7.
- [2] Horowitz MM. Forman SJ, Negrin RS, Antin JH, Appelbaum FR. Uses and growth of hematopoietic cell transplantation. *Thomas' Hematopoietic Cell Transplantation* Vol. 1. 5th ed.Chichester, UK: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd; 2015;8–15.
- [3] Shea TC, DiPersio JF. Forman SJ, Negrin RS, Antin JH, Appelbaum FR. Mobilization of peripheral blood hematopoietic cells for autologous HCT. *Thomas' Hematopoietic Cell Transplantation* Vol. 1. 5th ed. Chichester, UK: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd; 2015;452–459.
- [4] Schmitz N, Dreger P. Forman SJ, Negrin RS, Antin JH, Appelbaum FR. Peripheral blood hematopoietic cells for allogeneic transplantation. *Thomas' Hematopoietic Cell Transplantation* Vol. 1. 5th ed.Chichester, UK: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd; 2015;460–468.
- [5] Broxmeyer HE, Farag SS, Rocha V. Forman SJ, Negrin RS, Antin JH, Appelbaum FR. Cord blood hematopoietic cell transplantation. *Thomas' Hematopoietic Cell Transplantation* Vol. 1. 5th ed.Chichester, UK: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd; 2015;437–455.
- [6] Hoggatt J, Singh P, Tate TA, et al. Rapid mobilization reveals a highly engraftable hematopoietic stem cell. Cell 2018;172 (1-2):191-204.
- [7] Lord BI, Woolford LB, Wood LM, et al. Mobilization of early hematopoietic progenitor cells with BB-10010: a genetically engineered variant of human macrophage inflammatory protein-1 alpha. *Blood* 1995;85:3412–3415.
- [8] Broxmeyer HE, Cooper S, Hangoc G, Gao JL, Murphy PM. Dominant myelopoietic effector functions mediated by chemokine receptor CCR1. J Exp Med 1999;189:1987–1992.
- [9] Broxmeyer HE, Hangoc G, Cooper S, Campbell T, Ito S, Mantel C. AMD3100 and CD26 modulate mobilization, engraftment, and survival of hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells mediated by the SDF-1/ CXCL12-CXCR4 axis. Ann N Y Acad Sci 2007;1106:1–19.
- [10] Broxmeyer HE, Orazi A, Hague NL, Sledge GWJr, Rasmussen H, Gordon MS. Myeloid progenitor cell proliferation and mobilization effects of BB10010, a genetically engineered variant of human macrophage inflammatory protein-1alpha, in a phase I clinical trial in patients with relapsed/refractory breast cancer. *Blood Cells Mol Dis* 1998;24:14–30.
- [11] Broxmeyer HE, Orschell CM, Clapp DW, et al. Rapid mobilization of murine and human hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells with AMD3100, a CXCR4 antagonist. J Exp Med 2005;201:1307–1318.
- [12] Liles WC, Broxmeyer HE, Rodger E, et al. Mobilization of hematopoietic progenitor cells in healthy volunteers by AMD3100, a CXCR4 antagonist. *Blood* 2003;102:2728–2730.
- [13] Hübel K, Liles WC, Broxmeyer HE, et al. Leukocytosis and mobilization of CD34+ hematopoietic progenitor cells by AMD3100, a CXCR4 antagonist. *Support Cancer Ther* 2004;1:165–172.
- [14] Liles WC, Rodger E, Broxmeyer HE, et al. Augmented mobilization and collection of CD34+ hematopoietic cells from normal human volunteers stimulated with granulocyte-colony-stimulating factor by single-dose administration of AMD3100, a CXCR4 antagonist. *Transfusion* 2005;45:295–300.
- [15] Pulliam AC, Hobson MJ, Ciccone SL, et al. AMD3100 synergizes with G-CSF to mobilize repopulating stem cells in Fanconi anemia knockout mice. *Exp Hematol* 2008;36:1084–1090.
- [16] Pantin J, Purev E, Tian X, et al. Effect of high-dose plerixafor on CD34+ cell mobilization in healthy stem cell donors: results of a randomized crossover trial. *Haematologica* 2017;102:600–609.
- [17] King AG, Horowitz D, Dillon SB, et al. Rapid mobilization of murine hematopoietic stem cells with enhanced engraftment properties and evaluation of hematopoietic progenitor cell mobilization in rhesus monkeys by a single injection of SB-251353, a specific truncated form of the human CXC chemokine GRObeta. *Blood* 2001;97:1534–1542.
- [18] Dar A, Schajnovitz A, Lapid K, et al. Rapid mobilization of hematopoietic progenitors by AMD3100 and catecholamines is

mediated by CXCR4-dependent SDF-1 release from bone marrow stromal cells. *Leukemia* 2011;25:1286–1296.

- [19] Chitteti BR, Kobayashi M, Cheng Y, et al. CD166 regulates human and murine hematopoietic stem cells and the hematopoietic niche. *Blood* 2014;124:519–529.
- [20] Chitteti BR, Bethel M, Kacena MA, Srour EF. CD166 and regulation of hematopoiesis. Curr Opin Hematol 2013;20:273–280.
- [21] Kim CH, Broxmeyer HE. In vitro behavior of hematopoietic progenitor cells under the influence of chemoattractants: stromal cell-derived factor-1, steel factor, and the bone marrow environment. *Blood* 1998;91: 100–110.
- [22] Peled A, Petit I, Kollet O, et al. Dependence of human stem cell engraftment and repopulation of NOD/SCID mice on CXCR4. *Science* 1999;283:845–848.
- [23] Gotoh A, Reid S, Miyazawa K, Broxmeyer HE. SDF-1 suppresses cytokine-induced adhesion of human haemopoietic progenitor cells to immobilized fibronectin. Br J Haematol 1999;106:171–174.
- [24] Broxmeyer HE, Cooper S, Kohli L, et al. Transgenic expression of stromal cell-derived factor-1/CXC chemokine ligand 12 enhances myeloid progenitor cell survival/antiapoptosis in vitro in response to growth factor withdrawal and enhances myelopoiesis in vivo. *J Immunol* 2003;170:421–429.
- [25] Lee Y, Gotoh A, Kwon HJ, et al. Enhancement of intracellular signaling associated with hematopoietic progenitor cell survival in response to SDF-1/CXCL12 in synergy with other cytokines. *Blood* 2002;99: 4307–4317.
- [26] Broxmeyer HE, Kohli L, Kim CH, et al. Stromal cell-derived factor-1/ CXCL12 directly enhances survival/antiapoptosis of myeloid progenitor cells through CXCR4 and G(alpha)i proteins and enhances engraftment of competitive, repopulating stem cells. J Leukoc Biol 2003;73:630–638.
- [27] Christopherson KW2nd, Hangoc G, Broxmeyer HE. Cell surface peptidase CD26/dipeptidylpeptidase IV regulates CXCL12/stromal cell-derived factor-1 alpha-mediated chemotaxis of human cord blood CD34+ progenitor cells. J Immunol 2002;169:7000–7008.
- [28] Christopherson KW2nd, Cooper S, Broxmeyer HE. Cell surface peptidase CD26/DPPIV mediates G-CSF mobilization of mouse progenitor cells. *Blood* 2003;101:4680–4686.
- [29] Christopherson KW, Cooper S, Hangoc G, Broxmeyer HE. CD26 is essential for normal G-CSF-induced progenitor cell mobilization as determined by CD26-/- mice. *Exp Hematol* 2003;31: 1126–1134.

- [30] Broxmeyer HE, Hoggatt J, O'Leary HA, et al. Dipeptidylpeptidase 4 negatively regulates colony-stimulating factor activity and stress hematopoiesis. *Nat Med* 2012;18:1786–1796.
- [31] Ou X, O'Leary HA, Broxmeyer HE. Implications of DPP4 modification of proteins that regulate stem/progenitor and more mature cell types. *Blood* 2013;122:161–169.
- [32] Huang X, Guo B, Broxmeyer HE. Inhibition of HDAC5-Mediated p65 deacetylation enhances human cord blood hematopoietic stem cell homing and engraftment in NSG mice. *Blood* 2016;128:884.
- [33] North TE, Goessling W, Walkley CR, et al. Prostaglandin E2 regulates vertebrate haematopoietic stem cell homeostasis. *Nature* 2007;447:1007–1011.
- [34] Hoggatt J, Singh P, Sampath J, Pelus LM. Prostaglandin E2 enhances hematopoietic stem cell homing, survival, and proliferation. *Blood* 2009;113:5444–5455.
- [35] Guo B, Huang X, Cooper S, Broxmeyer HE. Glucocorticoid hormone promotes human hematopoietic stem cell homing and engraftment by chromatin remodeling. *Nat Med* 2017;23:424–428.
- [36] Capitano ML, Hangoc G, Cooper S, Broxmeyer HE. Mild heat treatment primes human CD34(+) cord blood cells for migration toward SDF-1α and enhances engraftment in an NSG mouse model. *Stem Cells* 2015;33:1975–1984.
- [37] Farag SS, Srivastava S, Messina-Graham S, et al. In vivo DPP-4 inhibition to enhance engraftment of single-unit cord blood transplants in adults with hematological malignancies. *Stem Cells Dev* 2013;22:1007–1015.
- [38] Vélez de Mendizábal N, Strother RM, Farag SS, et al. Modelling the sitagliptin effect on dipeptidyl peptidase-4 activity in adults with haematological malignancies after umbilical cord blood haematopoietic cell transplantation. *Clin Pharmacokinet* 2014;53:247–259.
- [39] Farag SS, Cairo MS, Perkins S, et al. Systemic inhibition of dipeptidylpeptidase-4 to enhance engraftment of single umbilical cord blood transplantation. Oncotarget 2017;8 66:110350–110357.
- [40] Broxmeyer HE, Pelus LM. Inhibition of DPP4/CD26 and dmPGE2 treatment enhances engraftment of mouse bone marrow hematopoietic stem cells. *Blood Cells Mol Dis* 2014;53:34–38.
- [41] Mantel CR, O'Leary HA, Chitteti BR, et al. Enhancing hematopoietic stem cell transplantation efficacy by mitigating oxygen shock. *Cell* 2015;161:1553–1565.
- [42] Cai Q, Capitano M, Huang X, Guo B, Cooper S, Broxmeyer S HE. Combinations of antioxidants and/or of epigenetic enzyme inhibitors allow for enhanced collection of mouse bone marrow hematopoietic stem cells in ambient air. *Blood Cells Mol Dis* 2018;71:23–28.