Contents lists available at ScienceDirect





journal homepage: www.journals.elsevier.com/interventional-pain-medicine

An essential rule; Do not proceed without seeing needle depth

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords Fluoroscopy Cervical Epidural interlaminar injection Pain medicine

Dear Editor,

We have read the article "Iatrogenic Cervical Spine Cord Injury After Interlaminar Cervical Epidural Injection" by Chabra et al. [1] with great interest. Significantly, the article mentions the cord injury, which is a catastrophic complication of cervical epidural steroid injections. As the author says, there were certainly predisposing factors, such as anterior cervical discectomy and fusion surgery history, anatomic patterns precluding loss of resistance, repetitive microtrauma of posterior vertebral ligaments, and narrow cervical epidural space, that led to spinal cord injury in this case. It is significant for us, interventional pain practitioners, that the article highlights these factors that may offer important clinical implications in the treatment of chronic cervicalgia. Above all these predisposing factors, we get sight of a major inaccuracy in the injection technique. It appears that, during the first needle attempt, the cervical epidural interlaminar injection was advanced only in the anteroposterior view, and the loss of resistance technique was used without taking the contralateral oblique view. Subsequently, after the patient experienced paresthesia, the needle was withdrawn and then reinserted for a second attempt. We believe that the cord injury occurred at this first needle attempt.

This case report illustrates the danger of the classic technique that is still taught, namely to contact the lamina as a measure of the depth, rather than obtaining an early depth view to determine safe needle tip location. The superior laminar margin may not always be accurately visualized and cannot be relied upon, as is demonstrated by this case. In order to avoid cord injury, it is recommended that, after confirming that the needle is in the midline or paramedian line in the AP view, the needle should be advanced under the contralateral oblique view or lateral view as a safety precaution. The needle depth must be observed under a contralateral oblique view [2,3]. After approaching the ligamentum flavum, the loss of resistance technique should be applied [4]. The AP view should be used only for being coaxial; the needle should not be advanced to deeper structures in this view [5]. In our opinion, this sequence should be the primary consideration. Although multiple predisposing factors may cause cord injury, cervical interlaminar epidural procedures should not be proceeded with without observing the needle depth.

Author disclosures

None of the authors have potential conflicts of interest to be disclosed.

No funding was received.

Declaration of Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

References

- Chabra R, Maung C, Pazionis T, Habibi B. Iatrogenic cervical spinal cord injury after interlaminar cervical epidural injection. Interventional Pain Medicine Dec. 2023;2 (4):100288. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.inpm.2023.100288.
- [2] Ozturk EC, Sacaklidir R, Sencan S, Ertan G, Gunduz OH. The impact of contrast spread patterns to clinical outcomes of cervical interlaminar epidural steroid injection: an observational study. Turk Neurosurg 2023;33(6).
- [3] Gill JS, Aner M, Jyotsna N, Keel JC, Simopoulos TT. Contralateral oblique view is superior to lateral view for interlaminar cervical and cervicothoracic epidural access. Pain Med 2015;16(1):68–80.
- [4] House LML, Barrette K, Mattie R, McCormick ZL. Cervical epidural steroid injection: techniques and evidence. Phys Med Rehabil Clin Feb. 01, 2018;29(1):1–17. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.pmr.2017.08.001. W.B. Saunders.
- [5] Furman MB, et al. Atlas of image-guided spinal procedures e-book. Elsevier Health Sciences; 2017.

Sinem Ozler

Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Division of Pain Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Marmara University, Istanbul, Turkey

Savas Sencan

Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Division of Pain Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Marmara University, Istanbul, Turkey

Serdar Kokar

Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Division of Pain Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Marmara University, Istanbul, Turkey

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.inpm.2024.100398

Received 25 January 2024; Received in revised form 19 February 2024; Accepted 19 February 2024 Available online 6 March 2024



^{2772-5944/© 2024} The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of International Pain & Spine Intervention Society. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Osman Hakan Gunduz Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Division of Pain Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Marmara University, Istanbul, Turkey ^{*} Corresponding author. Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Division of Pain Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Marmara University, Istanbul, Turkey. *E-mail address:* drsinemozler@gmail.com (S. Ozler).