



Gamma Interferon Is Required for *Chlamydia* Clearance but Is Dispensable for T Cell Homing to the Genital Tract

Jennifer D. Helble,^a Rodrigo J. Gonzalez,^b Ulrich H. von Andrian,^{b,c} Michael N. Starnbach^a

^aDepartment of Microbiology, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA ^bDepartment of Immunology, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA ^cRagon Institute of MGH, MIT and Harvard, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA

ABSTRACT While there is no effective vaccine against *Chlamydia trachomatis* infection, previous work has demonstrated the importance of *C. trachomatis*-specific CD4⁺ T cells (NR1 T cells) in pathogen clearance. Specifically, NR1 T cells have been shown to be protective in mice, and this protection depends on the host's ability to sense the cytokine gamma interferon (IFN- γ). However, it is unclear what role NR1 production or sensing of IFN- γ plays in T cell homing to the genital tract or T cell-mediated protection against *C. trachomatis*. Using two-photon microscopy and flow cytometry, we found that naive wild-type (WT), IFN- $\gamma^{-/-}$, and IFN- γ R^{-/-} NR1 T cells specifically home to sections in the genital tract that contain *C. trachomatis*. We also determined that protection against infection requires production of IFN- γ in clearing *C. trachomatis* infection.

IMPORTANCE Chlamydia trachomatis is an important mucosal pathogen that is the leading cause of sexually transmitted bacterial infections in the United States. Despite this, there is no vaccine currently available. In order to develop such a vaccine, it is necessary to understand the components of the immune response that can lead to protection against this pathogen. It is well known that antigen-specific CD4⁺ T cells are critical for *Chlamydia* clearance, but the contexts in which they are protective or not protective are unknown. Here, we aimed to characterize the importance of gamma interferon production and sensing by T cells and the effects on the immune response to *C. trachomatis*. Our work here helps to define the contexts in which antigen-specific T cells can be protective, which is critical to our ability to design an effective and protective vaccine against *C. trachomatis*.

KEYWORDS Chlamydia, IFN- γ , T cells, genital tract immunity, interferons, mucosal immunity, mucosal pathogens

The human pathogen *Chlamydia trachomatis* is the most commonly reported sexually transmitted infection in the United States, with an estimated 3 million cases per year (1, 2). While typically treated with antibiotics, untreated genital infections can lead to downstream diseases, including pelvic inflammatory disease, ectopic pregnancy, and infertility (3). Ultimately, the best way to treat this epidemic is through the development of a vaccine. Recent vaccine efforts have highlighted the importance of mucosal priming in the generation of protection against *C. trachomatis* (4, 5). Mucosal priming is crucial for generating a protective antigen-specific CD4⁺ T cell population that can establish tissue residency in the genital tract, allowing rapid clearance of the pathogen upon challenge (4). In developing a *Chlamydia* vaccine that elicits an effective CD4⁺ T cell response, it is critical to thoroughly understand the precise circumstances under which CD4⁺ T cells are protective.

Chlamydia-specific T cell receptor transgenic T cells represent a valuable tool to

UH, Starnbach MN. 2020. Gamma interferon is required for *Chlamydia* clearance but is dispensable for T cell homing to the genital tract. mBio 11:e00191-20. https://doi.org/10 .1128/mBio.00191-20.

Citation Helble JD, Gonzalez RJ, von Andrian

Editor Jimmy D. Ballard, University of Oklahoma Health Sciences Center

Copyright © 2020 Helble et al. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license.

Address correspondence to Michael N. Starnbach, starnbach@hms.harvard.edu.

This article is a direct contribution from Michael N. Starnbach, a Fellow of the American Academy of Microbiology, who arranged for and secured reviews by Hao Shen, University of Pennsylvania, and Laurel Lenz, University of Colorado.

Received 28 January 2020 Accepted 30 January 2020 Published 17 March 2020



address questions regarding antigen-specific T cells in naive mice (6–8). We have previously established one such transgenic mouse, denoted the NR1 mouse, in which CD4⁺ T cells are specific for the *C. trachomatis* protein Cta1 (6). Following *C. trachomatis* infection, NR1 T cells can home to the genital tract using specific chemokine receptors (9) and host integrins (10) that are similar to those used by endogenous T cells (11–13). NR1 T cell homing to the genital tract is essential for *C. trachomatis* clearance, as T cells that cannot home to the genital tract are unable to clear infection (9, 10).

NR1 T cells have also been found to be protective in mice both when skewed to a Th1 phenotype (14, 15) or during secondary infection (4). Th1 T cells are typically characterized by their production of the cytokine gamma interferon (IFN- γ). Indeed, it has been shown that IFN- γ is essential for host clearance of *Chlamydia* (14–19). It is thought that antigen-specific CD4⁺ T cells can help control infection through their production of IFN- γ , as endogenous *C. trachomatis*-specific CD4⁺ T cells (14) and transgenic CD4⁺ T cells corresponding to both *C. trachomatis* and the mouse-adapted pathogen *C. muridarum* (8, 14, 15) have all been shown to produce IFN- γ . However, it is unknown if antigen-specific T cell production or sensing of IFN- γ is absolutely required for homing to the genital tract or for clearing infection.

In this study, we sought to determine the role of IFN- γ production and sensing by *C. trachomatis*-specific CD4⁺ T cells in the context of *C. trachomatis* genital tract infection. To this end, we generated NR1 T cells that were deficient in IFN- γ production (IFN- $\gamma^{-/-}$ cells) or in IFN- γ sensing (IFN- $\gamma R^{-/-}$ cells). We found that IFN- γ production and sensing are not required for T cell homing to the genital tract tissue as a whole or for homing to specific sites within the genital tract that contain bacteria. However, in the absence of host IFN- γ production, IFN- γ production but not sensing by NR1 T cells is required to clear *C. trachomatis* infection. Our data suggest that IFN- γ plays a key role as an effector cytokine in clearing *C. trachomatis* infection but does not mediate T cell homing.

RESULTS

NR1 T cells deficient in IFN- γ production or sensing are equally effective at homing to the genital tract following Chlamydia trachomatis infection. Antigenspecific CD4⁺ T cells from T cell receptor transgenic NR1 mice showing specificity to C. trachomatis use certain chemokine receptors and host integrins to traffic to the genital tract (9, 10); however, it is unclear whether or not IFN- γ production or sensing by these cells also plays a role. To address this issue, we generated NR1 mice that were deficient in IFN- γ production (IFN- $\gamma^{-/-}$ mice) or IFN- γ sensing (IFN- $\gamma R^{-/-}$ mice) and transferred the relevant cells into wild-type (WT) B6 mice. One day after transfer, mice were inoculated transcervically with C. trachomatis (14). Five days postinoculation, the upper genital tract and draining iliac lymph nodes were harvested and NR1 T cell populations were assessed by flow cytometry using red fluorescent protein-positive (RFP⁺) V β 8.3⁺ gating. Both IFN- $\gamma^{-/-}$ and IFN- $\gamma^{R-/-}$ NR1s trafficked to the draining lymph nodes (Fig. 1A and B) and were activated (CD44⁺ CD62L⁻) similarly to transferred WT control cells (Fig. 1C). We also found that trafficking to the draining iliac lymph nodes was dependent on the presence of infection, as NR1 T cells were unable to home to iliac lymph nodes in uninfected mice or to nondraining lymph nodes in infected mice (see Fig. S1 in the supplemental material). All three types of NR1 T cells were also able to home to the genital tract (Fig. 1D and E) and did so to similar extents. These data indicate that IFN- γ production and sensing are not required for naive NR1 T cell homing to the genital tract following C. trachomatis infection and that they likely use alternative chemokine receptors (9, 10) to traffic to the site of infection.

NR1 T cells localize to sections of the genital tract containing *C. trachomatis.* Given that there was no difference in T cell homing in general, we next sought to look more directly at the upper genital tract to address any changes in spatial distribution between WT, IFN- $\gamma^{-/-}$, and IFN- $\gamma R^{-/-}$ NR1 T cells during infection. To do this, we used two-photon microscopy, which allows us to visualize the entire uterine mucosa without the need for sectioning. We transferred WT, IFN- $\gamma^{-/-}$, or IFN- $\gamma R^{-/-}$ NR1 T cells to



FIG 1 NR1 T cells deficient in IFN- γ production or sensing are equally effective at homing to the genital tract following *Chlamydia trachomatis* infection. B6 mice received 10⁶ wild-type (WT), IFN- $\gamma^{-/-}$, or IFN- $\gamma R^{-/-}$ RFP NR1 T cells 1 day prior to infection with 5 × 10⁶ IFU *C. trachomatis*. (A to C) Five days postinfection, uterine draining lymph nodes were assessed by flow cytometry for (A and B) NR1 T cells (RFP⁺ V $\beta 8.3^+$ of CD4⁺) and (C) activated NR1 T cells (CD44⁺ CD62L⁻ of NR1⁺). (D and E) Upper genital tracts were assessed by flow cytometry for NR1 T cells. Data were pooled from results of two independent experiments performed with at least five mice per group and were analyzed using an ordinary one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Dunnett's multiple-comparison test.

E-cadherin-CFP (E-cadherin-cyan fluorescent protein) mice and inoculated the following day. Five days postinoculation, upper genital tracts were harvested, fixed, and analyzed through two-photon microscopy. Interestingly, we found that the T cells were distributed unequally throughout the tissue. Some sites within the genital tract contained very few NR1 T cells, regardless of genotype (Fig. 2A to C, left), while other areas contained a substantial number of NR1 T cells (Fig. 2A to C, right). Given the antigenspecific nature of the NR1 T cells, we hypothesized that they localized to specific sections in the tissue that contained C. trachomatis. To test this, we harvested the genital tract from B6 mice at 3 and 5 days postinoculation and subdivided the uterine horns into segments visibly containing NR1 T cells (NR1^{hi}) and visibly lacking NR1 T cells (NR1^{Io}) with the aid of a fluorescence dissecting microscope and confirmed the results by flow cytometry (Fig. 3A, D, and G). We found that WT, IFN- $\gamma^{-/-}$, and IFN- $\gamma R^{-/-}$ NR1 T cells all homed to specific sites in the genital tract that contained a higher bacterial burden (Fig. 2D to F). NR1^{hi} segments also contained greater numbers of endogenous CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cells (Fig. 3B, C, E, F, H, and I). Together, our data suggest that both antigen-specific and endogenous T cells can localize to the specific sites within the genital tissue containing bacteria and that IFN- γ plays a minimal role in directing NR1 T cell localization to specific sites within the genital tract that contain C. trachomatis.

IFN- γ production by NR1 T cells is necessary for NR1-mediated protection against *C. trachomatis.* It is known that host IFN- γ sensing during *Chlamydia* infection is necessary for bacterial clearance (15) and that T cell-mediated clearance of *Chlamydia* relies on Th1 T cells, which are typically characterized by IFN- γ production (15, 16). Indeed, it has previously been established that NR1 T cells skewed to a Th1 phenotype can protect mice that are deficient in IFN- γ (14, 15). Thus, we were curious if antigenspecific NR1 T cells that were defective for IFN- γ production or IFN- γ sensing would provide a similar level of protection. To address this issue, WT, IFN- $\gamma^{-/-}$, and IFN- $\gamma R^{-/-}$ NR1 T cells were skewed to a Th1 phenotype *in vitro* prior to transfer into IFN- $\gamma^{-/-}$ mice



FIG 2 NR1 T cells localize to sections of the genital tract containing *C. trachomatis.* E-cadherin–CFP mice (A to C) or B6 mice (D to F) received 10⁶ (A and D) WT RFP NR1 T cells, (B and E) IFN- $\gamma^{-/-}$ RFP NR1 T cells, or (C and F) IFN- $\gamma^{R-/-}$ RFP NR1 T cells 1 day prior to transcervical infection with 5 × 10⁶ IFU *C. trachomatis.* (A to C) Five days postinfection, upper genital tracts were harvested and visualized by two-photon microscopy for NR1 T cell homing. Images are maximum projections obtained from a depth up to 100 μ m, and scale bars denote 50 μ m. (D to F) At 3 and 5 days postinfection, genital tracts were analyzed by quantitative PCR (qPCR) for bacterial burden. Data shown in panels D to F were pooled from results of two independent experiments performed with at least five mice each and were analyzed using Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank test. *, *P* < 0.05; **, *P* < 0.01.

(Fig. S2). The WT and IFN- $\gamma^{-/-}$ NR1 T cells were skewed efficiently to a T-bet⁺ phenotype. However, the IFN- $\gamma R^{-/-}$ NR1 T cells were skewed slightly less efficiently, which likely reflects the importance of IFN- γ signaling in further differentiation of T cells into Th1 cells. We found that while the number of Th1 WT NR1 T cells and the number of Th1 IFN- $\gamma R^{-/-}$ NR1 T cells were comparable, there were substantially more Th1 IFN- $\gamma^{-/-}$ NR1 T cells in the draining lymph node (Fig. 4A and B). Correlating with this, there were significantly higher numbers of activated Th1 IFN- $\gamma^{-/-}$ NR1 T cells in the draining lymph node (Fig. S3A), suggesting that the higher number of Th1 IFN- $\gamma^{-/-}$ NR1 T cells also correlated with the number of activated endogenous CD4⁺ T cells (Fig. 4D), but there were no differences in the total numbers of endogenous CD4⁺ or total endogenous CD8⁺ T cells in the lymph nodes of these mice (Fig. S3B and C).



FIG 3 Endogenous CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cells also localize to sections in the genital tract containing NR1 T cells. B6 mice received 10⁶ (A to C) WT RFP NR1, (D to F) IFN- $\gamma^{-/-}$ RFP NR1, or (G to I) IFN- $\gamma^{R-/-}$ RFP NR1 T cells 1 day prior to transcervical infection with 5 × 10⁶ IFU *C. trachomatis*. At 3 and 5 days postinfection, genital tracts were subdivided into sections visibly containing NR1 T cells (NR1^{hi}) or not containing NR1 T cells (NR1^{lo}) and assessed by flow cytometry for the number of (A, D, and G) NR1 T cells, (B, E, and H) endogenous CD4⁺ T cells (CD4⁺ CD8⁻ of CD3⁺), and (C, F, and I) endogenous CD8⁺ T cells (CD8⁺ CD4⁻ of CD3⁺). Data were pooled from at least two independent experiments performed with five mice each and were analyzed using paired *t* tests. **, *P* < 0.001; ****, *P* < 0.001.

In the genital tract, we also observed higher levels of Th1 IFN- $\gamma^{-/-}$ NR1 T cells accumulated in the tissue than of Th1 WT and Th1 IFN- $\gamma R^{-/-}$ NR1 T cells (Fig. 4E and F), which again correlated with the total number of endogenous CD4⁺ T cells (Fig. 4G) but not with the total number of endogenous CD8⁺ T cells (Fig. S3D). The mice that had received Th1 IFN- $\gamma^{-/-}$ NR1 T cells actually had higher bacterial burden than the mice that received Th1 WT and Th1 IFN- $\gamma R^{-/-}$ NR1 T cells, with the latter showing protection from infection (Fig. 4H). Given these data, we believe that NR1 T cell production of IFN- γ (which can occur in Th1 WT and Th1 IFN- $\gamma R^{-/-}$ NR1 T cells) is critical to *C. trachomatis* clearance in mice without other sources of IFN- γ . In contrast, the mice that received Th1 IFN- $\gamma^{-/-}$ NR1 T cells had higher bacterial burden, potentially leading to further recruitment and accumulation of NR1 and endogenous CD4⁺ T cells in the genital tract.

Host IFN- γ production mediates bacterial clearance in the absence of IFN- γ production by NR1 T cells. Given that NR1 T cell production of IFN- γ is critical for bacterial clearance, we next wondered if host production of IFN- γ would be similarly important. To test this, we transferred Th1-skewed IFN- $\gamma^{-/-}$ NR1 T cells into either WT or IFN- $\gamma^{-/-}$ mice prior to inoculation. At 5 days postinoculation, we found that the IFN- $\gamma^{-/-}$ mice had substantially higher numbers of total Th1 IFN- $\gamma^{-/-}$ NR1 T cells



FIG 4 IFN- γ production by NR1 T cells is necessary for NR1-mediated protection against *C. trachomatis*. IFN- $\gamma^{-/-}$ mice received 10⁶ Th1-skewed WT, IFN- $\gamma^{-/-}$, or IFN- $\gamma^{R-/-}$ RFP NR1 T cells 1 day prior to infection with 5 × 10⁶ IFU *C. trachomatis*. (A to D) Five days postinfection, uterine draining lymph nodes were assessed by flow cytometry for (A and B) NR1 T cells, (C) activated NR1 T cells, and (D) activated endogenous CD4⁺ T cells (CD44⁺ CD62L⁻ of CD4⁺ RFP⁻). (E to H) Upper genital tracts were assessed by flow cytometry for (E and F) NR1 T cells and (G) endogenous CD4⁺ T cells and by qPCR for (H) bacterial burden. Data were pooled from results of two independent experiments performed with at least five mice per group and were analyzed by ordinary one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's multiple-comparison test (B to D, F, and G) or by Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's multiple-comparison test (H). *, *P* < 0.01; ***, *P* < 0.001; N.S., not significant.

(Fig. 5A and B), activated Th1 IFN- $\gamma^{-/-}$ T cells (Fig. 5C), total endogenous CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cells (Fig. S4A and B), and activated endogenous CD4⁺ T cells (Fig. 5D) in the draining lymph node than the WT B6 recipients. Similarly, there were significantly more Th1 IFN- $\gamma^{-/-}$ NR1 T cells (Fig. 5E and F) and endogenous CD4⁺ T cells (Fig. 5G), but not endogenous CD8⁺ T cells (Fig. S4C), in the genital tract of IFN- $\gamma^{-/-}$ mice and these mice also had higher bacterial burdens than WT B6 mice (Fig. 5H). Our data suggest that



FIG 5 Host IFN- γ production mediates bacterial clearance in the absence of IFN- γ production by NR1 T cells. WT B6 or IFN- $\gamma^{-/-}$ mice received 10⁶ Th1-skewed IFN- $\gamma^{-/-}$ RFP NR1 T cells 1 day prior to infection with 5 × 10⁶ IFU *C. trachomatis.* (A to D) Five days postinfection, uterine draining lymph nodes were assessed by flow cytometry for (A and B) NR1 T cells, (C) activated NR1 T cells, and (D) activated endogenous CD4⁺ T cells. (E to H) Upper genital tracts were assessed by flow cytometry for (E and F) NR1 T cells and (G) endogenous CD4⁺ T cells and by qPCR for (H) bacterial burden. Data were pooled from results of two independent experiments performed with five mice per group and were analyzed using unpaired *t* test (B to D, F, and G) or Mann-Whitney test (H). *, *P* < 0.05; **, *P* < 0.001; ***, *P* < 0.001;



FIG 6 Host IFN- γ can synergize with IFN- γ produced by NR1 T cells to mediate enhanced bacterial clearance. WT B6 mice received 10⁶ Th1-skewed WT or IFN- $\gamma^{-/-}$ RFP NR1 T cells 1 day prior to infection with 5 × 10⁶ IFU *C. trachomatis.* Five days postinfection, upper genital tracts were harvested and bacterial burden was assessed by qPCR. Data were pooled from results of two independent experiments performed with at least five mice per group and were analyzed using unpaired *t* test. *, *P* < 0.05.

host IFN- γ production can help clear *C. trachomatis* in the absence of IFN- γ production from antigen-specific NR1 T cells. We also tested whether the effect of IFN- γ production by the host and the NR1 T cells could be synergistic by assessing bacterial burden in WT B6 mice that received Th1 WT NR1 T cells versus the burden in WT B6 mice that received Th1 IFN- $\gamma^{-/-}$ NR1 T cells. Indeed, we found that the WT B6 mice with Th1 WT NR1 T cells had a significantly lower burden than the mice that received Th1 IFN- $\gamma^{-/-}$ NR1 T cells (Fig. 6), suggesting that these dual sources of IFN- γ can be additive in aiding with bacterial clearance. Together, our data suggest that both endogenous CD4⁺ T cell IFN- γ production and antigen-specific production are important for clearing *C. trachomatis*.

DISCUSSION

IFN- γ is a critical cytokine for controlling *Chlamydia* infection. In this study, we first sought to address whether or not IFN- γ production or IFN- γ sensing was necessary for antigen-specific CD4⁺ T cells to *C. trachomatis* (NR1 T cells) to home to the genital tract. We found that NR1 T cells deficient in IFN- γ production or sensing had no defect in trafficking to the draining lymph node or genital tract following *C. trachomatis* infection (Fig. 1). It is possible that IFN- γ does not have an effect on naive NR1 T cell trafficking because these T cells use specific chemokine receptors and host integrins to traffic to the genital tract that are independent of IFN- γ (9, 10).

When we looked via microscopy, we found that there were segments of the genital tract that had different densities of NR1 T cells and that this segmentation was observed whether the NR1 T cells were from a WT, IFN- $\gamma^{-/-}$, or IFN- $\gamma R^{-/-}$ background (Fig. 2). Not only did these segments containing higher numbers of NR1 T cells correlate with higher numbers of endogenous CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cells (Fig. 3), but the segments containing more NR1 T cells within the uterine horns also correlated with higher bacterial burden. Our data suggest not only that NR1 T cells can home to specific sites within the genital tract that contain *C. trachomatis* (which happens in an IFN- γ -independent manner) but also that there are specific segments in the genital tract that contain bacteria following transcervical inoculation. Whether or not this is reminiscent of human infection is unclear, but it does suggest that in the transcervical mouse model of *C. trachomatis* inoculation, there are localized inflammatory pockets within the genital tract that contain both host T cells and antigen-specific CD4⁺ T cells.

Other groups have also used microscopy to visualize antigen-specific CD8⁺ T cell responses to lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus (LCMV) in the female reproductive

tract of mice (20). Those studies showed that antigen-specific CD8⁺ T cells do localize in sections of the genital tract containing LCMV, correlating with our findings with *C. trachomatis*. Given this, it is possible that transgenic CD8⁺ T cells specific for *C. trachomatis* protein CrpA (7) or transgenic CD4⁺ T cells corresponding to *C. muridarum* (8) would similarly be able to home to the specific sites within the genital tract that contain bacteria. Future work will address whether or not this is an NR1 or transcervical inoculation-specific phenomenon within the context of *Chlamydia* infection. Indeed, transcervical inoculation and intravaginal inoculation with *C. muridarum* elicit different immune responses in the upper genital tract (21), and future work will determine if the inflammatory sections in the upper genital tract that occur with *C. trachomatis* transcervical inoculation also occur with *C. muridarum* intravaginal inoculation following ascension.

We also used IFN- $\gamma^{-/-}$ NR1 T cells to definitively show that IFN- γ production by antigen-specific Th1 T cells is sufficient to control C. trachomatis infection (Fig. 4). While this has previously been shown indirectly (8, 14, 15, 22), our use of IFN- $\gamma^{-/-}$ NR1 T cells confirms the importance of IFN- γ production during C. trachomatis infection. Interestingly, IFN- $\gamma R^{-/-}$ NR1 T cells were still able to protect mice, suggesting that their ability to produce IFN- γ was enough to help clear infection. This was the case despite the fact that these T cells were unable to be skewed to a T-bet⁺ phenotype as effectively as WT NR1 T cells, suggesting that the cells in the subset were T-bet⁺ were perhaps able to produce sufficient levels of IFN- γ to mediate protection. It is known that IFN- γ sensing can play an important role in shaping the Th1 response, as it can act in an autocrine manner with respect to T cells by allowing them to further differentiate into Th1 T cells (23). Indeed, Th1 IFN- $\gamma R^{-/-}$ NR1 T cells showed slightly lower levels of activation and of T-bet⁺ phenotype than WT Th1 NR1 T cells in the draining lymph node (see Fig. S2 and S3A in the supplemental material). However, since the ability to sense IFN- γ was not critical for bacterial clearance, it is possible that because IFN- $\gamma R^{-/-}$ T cells were previously skewed to a Th1 phenotype in vitro prior to transfer, this feedforward loop of IFN- γ signaling was not necessary for the T cells to be protective and that the protection was solely driven by the production of IFN- γ by these T cells. Mice that received IFN- $\gamma^{-/-}$ NR1 T cells showed an accumulation of both endogenous CD4⁺ T cells and IFN- $\gamma^{-/-}$ NR1 T cells in the genital tract and, to a lesser extent, draining lymph nodes. We suspect that the reason for NR1 T cell accumulation is the antigen-specific nature of these cells and that this process occurs cyclically. Specifically, IFN- $\gamma^{-/-}$ NR1 T cells (and endogenous CD4⁺ T cells that are likely antigen specific) become activated through the activity of C. trachomatis antigen and travel to the genital tract, where they are unable to clear infection because of their inability to produce IFN- γ . As a result, bacterial burden and antigen levels remain high and more IFN- $\gamma^{-/-}$ NR1 T cells are activated by C. trachomatis antigen, leading to an accumulation of these cells in the tissue and a lack of bacterial clearance (Fig. S5). While it is possible that the endogenous CD4⁺ T cells that accumulate in the lymph nodes and genital tract are specific to C. trachomatis, these T cells could also be specific to non-C. trachomatis antigen and accumulate in the tissues simply as a product of inflammation caused by infection. Determining the identity of these endogenous CD4⁺ T cells, as well as the inflammatory signals that lead to their accumulation in the tissues, can help to further elucidate their role during C. trachomatis infection. The lack of a difference in the levels of endogenous CD8⁺ T cell accumulation is consistent with the fact that CD8⁺ T cells are not naturally protective against C. trachomatis genital infection in mice (24, 25).

While IFN- γ production by antigen-specific T cells is important for clearing *C*. *trachomatis* infection, we also found that in the absence of IFN- γ production by NR1 T cells, host IFN- γ in WT mice can reduce bacterial burden. We speculate that this IFN- γ production is driven by endogenous CD4⁺ T cells, as had been previously shown to be the case following pulmonary *C. muridarum* infection in neonatal mice (26) and intravaginal infection with *C. muridarum* (17, 24, 27). While either endogenous CD4⁺ T cell production of IFN- γ or NR1 T cell production of IFN- γ can be beneficial with respect to bacterial clearance compared to a complete absence of IFN- γ production, having

IFN- γ production by both NR1 T cells and endogenous T cells offers a slight advantage compared to production by one or the other (Fig. 6). While this effect is significant, it is unsurprising that it is modest. Given that antigen-specific CD4⁺ T cells and endogenous cells produce sufficient IFN- γ individually to mediate bacterial clearance, the additional IFN- γ production that occurs when the two sources are combined can enhance clearance to only a limited degree. Our data further confirm that IFN- γ is a critical cytokine that is required for bacterial clearance.

Ultimately, understanding the importance of IFN- γ production and sensing by antigen-specific T cells is essential to developing an effective vaccine against *C*. *trachomatis*. Here, we show that IFN- γ production and sensing are not required for the homing of antigen-specific T cells to the sites of infection. Using two-photon microscopy, we found that antigen-specific T cell receptors are critical for sensing *C*. *trachomatis* antigen in specific pockets in the upper genital tract. Our work provides valuable insight into the mouse model of infection and emphasizes how critical CD4⁺ T cells are for clearance, and further work is necessary to determine whether or not this translates to human infection.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Growth and isolation of bacteria. *Chlamydia trachomatis* serovar L2 (434/Bu; ATCC) was propagated in McCoy cells as described previously (9, 28). Aliquots of purified elementary bodies were stored at -80° C in SPG buffer (250 mM sucrose, 10 mM sodium phosphate, 5 mM L-glutamic acid) and thawed immediately prior to use.

Mice. Six-to-8-week-old C57BL/6J mice, B6.12957-*lfng*^{tm1Ts}/J mice (IFN- $\gamma^{-/-}$), B6.129P2(Cg)-*Cdh*1^{tmCle}/J mice (E-cadherin–monomeric cyan fluorescent protein [E-cadherin–mCFP]), and B6.Cg-Tg-(CAG-mRFP1)1F1Hadj/J mice (β -actin RFP) were purchased from Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME). NR1 mice that recognize the peptide from the *C. trachomatis* antigen Cta1 (residues 133 to 152) have been previously described (6). To generate RFP NR1 mice, RFP and NR1 mice were crossed and assessed by flow cytometry for RFP expression. To generate RFP IFN- $\gamma^{-/-}$ NR1 mice or RFP IFN- $\gamma R^{-/-}$ or IFN- $\gamma R^{-/-}$ or IFN- $\gamma R^{-/-}$ or IFN- $\gamma R^{-/-}$ status was determined by PCR, while RFP expression determined by flow cytometry. All mice were housed in the Harvard Medical School Center for Animal Resources and Comparative Medicine, and all experiments were approved by Harvard's Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee.

T cell adoptive transfers. For naive NR1 transfers, CD4⁺ T cells were isolated from secondary lymphoid organs from RFP WT NR1 mice, RFP IFN- $\gamma^{-/-}$ NR1 mice, or RFP IFN- $\gamma^{R-/-}$ NR1 mice. For Th1-skewed NR1 T cells, CD4⁺ T cells were purified from secondary lymphoid organs of RFP WT NR1 mice, RFP IFN- $\gamma^{-/-}$ NR1 mice, or RFP IFN- $\gamma^{R-/-}$ NR1 mice using a Dynabeads Untouched mouse CD4 cell kit (Invitrogen). T cells were stimulated using irradiated splenocytes from a C57BL/6 mouse that had been pulsed with 5 μ M Cta1 peptide (Cta1₁₃₃₋₁₅₂) at a 4:1 stimulator/T cell ratio. Cells were cultured for 5 days in RPMI 1640 (Invitrogen) supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum, L-glutamine, HEPES, 50 mM 2-mercaptoethanol, 50 U/ml penicillin, and 50 mg/ml streptomycin along with 10 ng/ml interleukin-12 (IL-12; Peprotech, Rocky Hill, NJ) and 10 μ g/ml anti-IL-4 (Bio X Cell, West Lebanon, NH). A total of 10⁶ total naive or Th1-skewed NR1 T cells were injected intravenously in a 200- μ l volume into recipient mice 1 day prior to infection with *C. trachomatis*.

Infection of mice and preparation of tissue. At 1 week prior to infection, mice were treated subcutaneously with 2.5 mg medroxyprogesterone. Mice were infected transcervically with 5×10^6 inclusion-forming units (IFUs) of C. trachomatis mixed in 10 μ l SPG buffer or were inoculated with 10 μ l phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) using an NSET pipette tip (ParaTechs, Lexington, KY). At specific time points postinfection, upper genital tracts (uterine horns and ovaries) and draining iliac lymph nodes were harvested. Brachial lymph nodes were used for nondraining lymph node experiments. Single-cell suspensions of lymph nodes were prepared by grinding the tissue between frosted microscope slides. Upper genital tracts were minced with scalpels, incubated in Hanks balanced salt solution (HBSS)/Ca2+/ Mg2⁺ containing 1 mg/ml type XI collagenase and 50 Kunitz units/ml DNase for 30 min at 37°C and then washed in Ca2⁺/Mg2⁺-free PBS containing 5 mM EDTA. Tissues were then ground between frosted microscope slides prior to filtration through 70- μ m-pore-size mesh. For experiments that required dividing the uterine horns into NR1^{hi} and NR1^{lo} sections, tissues were harvested and cut open using scissors to expose the lumen. T cell clusters were visualized using a Leica MZ10 F fluorescence imaging microscope (Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany) and were cut into NR1hi (visible RFP T cells) and NR1ho (no visible RFP T cells) segments accordingly. Tissue pieces were then enzymatically dissociated with HBSS/Ca2⁺/Mg2⁺ containing 0.5 mg/ml type XI collagenase and 25 Kunitz units/ml DNase and then ground between frosted microscope slides and filtered as described above.

Flow cytometry. All antibodies were purchased from BioLegend except where otherwise noted. Following isolation, cells were stained with fluorochrome-conjugated antibodies against mouse CD3 (clone 17A2), CD4 (clone GK1.5), CD8 (clone 53-6.7), CD44 (clone 1M7), CD62L (clone Mel-14), and T cell receptor V β 8.3 (clone 1B3.3) (BD Biosciences) along with anti-FcR γ (Bio X Cell, West Lebanon, NH) and a LIVE/DEAD Fixable Aqua Dead cell stain kit to exclude dead cells (Invitrogen). To assess T-bet+ expression, a subset of skewed cells were fixed and permeabilized using an Invitrogen transcription factor fixation/permeabilization kit (Invitrogen) and staining with an anti-T-bet antibody (clone 4B10). AccuCheck counting beads (Invitrogen) were used to determine absolute cell counts. Data were collected on an LSR II flow cytometer (BD Biosciences) and were analyzed using FlowJo (Tree Star, Ashland, OR).

Two-photon microscopy and image processing. After upper genital tract harvest, the cervix and uterine horns were cut open using scissors to expose the lumen of the tissue. Tissues were placed into a tissue-embedding cassette and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) for at least 6 h at 4°C. Tissues were washed in PBS for at least 1 h at 4°C prior to mounting. Tissues were mounted (whole mount) for microscopy using GenTeal lubricant eye gel. Two-photon microscopy imaging of unsectioned fixed tissues was conducted using an upright microscope (Prairie Technologies) with a MaiTai Ti:sapphire laser (Spectra-Physics) tuned to 900 nm. Bandpass filters of 455/70 nm (CFP) and 590/50 nm (mRFP) were used to filter emitted light before detection. Z-stacks were obtained up to a depth of 100 μ m and were processed to obtain maximum projections using Imaris 9.2.1 (Bitplane, Belfast, United Kingdom) (29).

Quantitative PCR. Bacterial burden was determined through quantitative PCR as previously described (30). Briefly, DNA was extracted from genital tract tissue samples using a QIAamp DNA minikit (Qiagen). *Chlamydia* 16S DNA and mouse GAPDH (glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase) were quantified using primer pairs and dually labeled probes (IDT, San Jose, CA, or Applied Biosciences).

Statistical analysis. Statistical analysis was performed using Prism software (GraphPad). Differences were considered statistically significant if the *P* value was less than 0.05. All data are represented as means \pm standard errors of the means (SEM).

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Supplemental material is available online only.

FIG	S1 ,	PDF	file,	0.1	MB.
FIG	S2 ,	PDF	file,	0.1	MB.
FIG	S3 ,	PDF	file,	0.2	MB.
FIG	S4 ,	PDF	file,	0.1	MB.
FIG	S5 ,	PDF	file,	0.04	4 ME

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We acknowledge members of the Starnbach and von Andrian laboratories for their helpful input and Courtney Anderson for comments on the manuscript.

Funding was provided by the NIH grants Al39558 and Al113187 to M.N.S. and the Harvard Medical School Center for Immune Imaging.

Author contributions were as follows: conceptualization, J.D.H. and M.N.S.; methodology and investigation, J.D.H. and R.J.G.; writing, J.D.H. and M.N.S.; supervision, U.H.V.A. and M.N.S.; funding acquisition, U.H.V.A. and M.N.S.

We declare that we have no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. 2017. Sexually transmitted diseases surveillance 2016. https://www.cdc.gov/std/stats16/CDC_2016 _STDS_Report-for508WebSep21_2017_1644.pdf.
- Wiesenfeld HC. 2017. Screening for Chlamydia trachomatis infections in women. N Engl J Med 376:765–773. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMcp 1412935.
- Darville T, Hiltke TJ. 2010. Pathogenesis of genital tract disease due to Chlamydia trachomatis. J Infect Dis 201:114–125. https://doi.org/10 .1086/652397.
- Stary G, Olive A, Radovic-Moreno AF, Gondek D, Alvarez D, Basto PA, Perro M, Vrbanac VD, Tager AM, Shi J, Yethon JA, Farokhzad OC, Langer R, Starnbach MN, von Andrian UH. 2015. A mucosal vaccine against Chlamydia trachomatis generates two waves of protective memory T cells. Science 348:aaa8205. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaa8205.
- Nogueira CV, Zhang X, Giovannone N, Sennott EL, Starnbach MN. 2015. Protective immunity against Chlamydia trachomatis can engage both CD4+ and CD8+ T cells and bridge the respiratory and genital mucosae. J Immunol 194:2319–2329. https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1402675.
- Roan NR, Gierahn TM, Higgins DE, Starnbach MN. 2006. Monitoring the T cell response to genital tract infection. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 103:12069–12074. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0603866103.
- Roan NR, Starnbach MN. 2006. Antigen-specific CD8+ T cells respond to Chlamydia trachomatis in the genital mucosa. J Immunol 177:7974–7979. https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.177.11.7974.
- Poston TB, Qu Y, Girardi J, O'Connell CM, Frazer LC, Russell AN, Wall M, Nagarajan UM, Darville T. 30 August 2017, posting date. A Chlamydiaspecific TCR-transgenic mouse demonstrates Th1 polyfunctionality with

enhanced effector function. J Immunol https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol .1700914.

- Olive AJ, Gondek DC, Starnbach MN. 2011. CXCR3 and CCR5 are both required for T cell-mediated protection against C. trachomatis infection in the murine genital mucosa. Mucosal Immunol 4:208–216. https://doi .org/10.1038/mi.2010.58.
- Davila SJ, Olive AJ, Starnbach MN. 2014. Integrin α4β1 is necessary for CD4+ T cell-mediated protection against genital Chlamydia trachomatis infection. J Immunol 192:4284–4293. https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol .1303238.
- Perry LL, Feilzer K, Portis JL, Caldwell HD. 1998. Distinct homing pathways direct T lymphocytes to the genital and intestinal mucosae in Chlamydia-infected mice. J Immunol 160:2905–2914.
- Kelly KA, Rank RG. 1997. Identification of homing receptors that mediate the recruitment of CD4 T cells to the genital tract following intravaginal infection with Chlamydia trachomatis. Infect Immun 65:5198–5208. https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.65.12.5198-5208.1997.
- Lijek RS, Helble JD, Olive AJ, Seiger KW, Starnbach MN. 2018. Pathology after Chlamydia trachomatis infection is driven by nonprotective immune cells that are distinct from protective populations. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 115:2216–2221. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1711356115.
- Gondek DC, Olive AJ, Stary G, Starnbach MN. 2012. CD4+ T cells are necessary and sufficient to confer protection against Chlamydia trachomatis infection in the murine upper genital tract. J Immunol 189: 2441–2449. https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1103032.
- 15. Gondek DC, Roan NR, Starnbach MN. 2009. T cell responses in the absence

mBio

of IFN- γ exacerbate uterine infection with Chlamydia trachomatis. J Immunol 183:1313–1319. https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.0900295.

- Johansson M, Schön K, Ward M, Lycke N. 1997. Studies in knockout mice reveal that anti-chlamydial protection requires TH1 cells producing IFN-γ: is this true for humans? Scand J Immunol 46:546–552. https://doi .org/10.1046/j.1365-3083.1997.d01-167.x.
- Perry LL, Feilzer K, Caldwell HD. 1997. Immunity to Chlamydia trachomatis is mediated by T helper 1 cells through IFN-gamma-dependent and -independent pathways. J Immunol 158:3344–3352.
- Perry LL, Su H, Feilzer K, Messer R, Hughes S, Whitmire W, Caldwell HD. 1999. Differential sensitivity of distinct Chlamydia trachomatis isolates to IFN-gamma-mediated inhibition. J Immunol 162:3541–3548.
- Johansson M, Schön K, Ward M, Lycke N. 1997. Genital tract infection with Chlamydia trachomatis fails to induce protective immunity in gamma interferon receptor-deficient mice despite a strong local immunoglobulin A response. Infect Immun 65:1032–1044. https://doi.org/10 .1128/IAI.65.3.1032-1044.1997.
- Beura LK, Mitchell JS, Thompson EA, Schenkel JM, Mohammed J, Wijeyesinghe S, Fonseca R, Burbach BJ, Hickman HD, Vezys V, Fife BT, Masopust D. 2018. Intravital mucosal imaging of CD8+ resident memory T cells shows tissue-autonomous recall responses that amplify secondary memory. Nat Immunol 19:173–182. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41590 -017-0029-3.
- 21. Helble JD, Reinhold-Larsson NV, Starnbach MN. 2019. Early colonization of the upper genital tract by Chlamydia muridarum is associated with enhanced inflammation later in infection. Infect Immun 87:e00405-19. https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.00405-19.
- Li W, Murthy AK, Guentzel MN, Seshu J, Forsthuber TG, Zhong G, Arulanandam BP. 2008. Antigen-specific CD4+ T cells produce sufficient IFN-γ to mediate robust protective immunity against genital Chlamydia muridarum infection. J Immunol 180:3375–3382. https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.180 .5.3375.

- Bradley LM, Dalton DK, Croft M. 1996. A direct role for IFN-gamma in regulation of Th1 cell development. J Immunol 157:1350–1358.
- Morrison RP, Feilzer K, Tumas DB. 1995. Gene knockout mice establish a primary protective role for major histocompatibility complex class IIrestricted responses in Chlamydia trachomatis genital tract infection. Infect Immun 63:4661–4668. https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.63.12.4661-4668.1995.
- Morrison SG, Su H, Caldwell HD, Morrison RP. 2000. Immunity to murine *Chlamydia trachomatis* genital tract reinfection involves B cells and CD4+ T cells but not CD8+ T cells. Infect Immun 68:6979-6987. https:// doi.org/10.1128/iai.68.12.6979-6987.2000.
- Jupelli M, Guentzel MN, Meier PA, Zhong G, Murthy AK, Arulanandam BP. 2008. Endogenous IFN-γ production is induced and required for protective immunity against pulmonary chlamydial infection in neonatal mice. J Immunol 180:4148–4155. https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.180.6.4148.
- Cain TK, Rank RG. 1995. Local Th1-like responses are induced by intravaginal infection of mice with the mouse pneumonitis biovar of Chlamydia trachomatis. Infect Immun 63:1784–1789. https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.63.5.1784 -1789.1995.
- Howard L, Orenstein NS, King NW. 1974. Purification on renografin density gradients of Chlamydia trachomatis grown in the yolk sac of eggs. Appl Microbiol 27:102–106. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.27.1.102 -106.1974.
- Barreiro O, Cibrian D, Clemente C, Alvarez D, Moreno V, Valiente Í, Bernad A, Vestweber D, Arroyo AG, Martín P, von Andrian UH, Madrid FS. 2016. Pivotal role for skin transendothelial radio-resistant antiinflammatory macrophages in tissue repair. Elife 5:e15251. https://doi .org/10.7554/eLife.15251.
- Bernstein-Hanley I, Coers J, Balsara ZR, Taylor GA, Starnbach MN, Dietrich WF. 2006. The p47 GTPases Igtp and Irgb10 map to the Chlamydia trachomatis susceptibility locus Ctrq-3 and mediate cellular resistance in mice. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 103:14092–14097. https://doi.org/10 .1073/pnas.0603338103.