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#### Abstract

SummaryComparative genomic hybridization (CGH) was applied to squamous cell carcinomas (SCC) of the lung to define chromosomal imbalances that are associated with the metastatic phenotype. In total, 64 lung SCC from 50 patients were investigated, 25 each with or without evidence of metastasis formation. The chromosomal imbalances summarized by a CGH histogram of the 50 cases revealed deletions most frequently on chromosomes 1p21-p31, 2q34-q36, 3p, 4p, 4q, 5q, 6q14-q24, 8p, 9p, 10q, 11p12-p14, 13q13-qter, 18q12-qter and 21q21. DNA over-representations were most pronounced for chromosomes 1q11-q25, 1q32-q41, 3q, 5p, 8q22-qter, 11q13, 12p, $17 q 21-q 22,17 q 24-q 25,19,20 q$ and $22 q$. In ten cases, paired samples of primaries and at least one metastasis were analysed. The comparison revealed a considerable chromosomal instability and genetic heterogeneity; however, the CGH pattern indicated a clonal relationship in each case. The difference in histograms from the metastatic and non-metastatic tumour groups was most useful in pinpointing chromosomal imbalances associated with the metastatic phenotype, indicating that the deletions at 3p12-p14, 3p21, 4p15-p16, 6q24-qter, 8p22-p23, 10q21-qter and 21q22, as well as the over-representations at 1q21-q25, 8q, 9q34, 14q12 and 15q12-q15, occurred significantly more often in the metastatic tumour group. The comparison of the paired samples confirmed these findings in individual cases and suggested distinct genetic changes, in particular the extension of small interstitial deletions, during tumour progression. Importantly, metastasisassociated lesions were frequently detectable in the primary tumour providing a method of identifying patients at risk for tumour dissemination. Individual profiles and histograms are accessible at our web site http://amba.charite.de/cgh. © 2000 Cancer Research Campaign
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Lung cancer carries the highest cancer-related mortality throughout the world and has an incidence of about 60 new cases per year in 100000 people (Pisani et al, 1993). The major distinction of clinical importance is made between small-cell lung cancer (SCLC) ( $\sim 20 \%$ ) and non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC) ( $\sim 80 \%$ ). The histopathological diagnosis of SCLC is highly predictable for the clinical course since almost every tumour has metastasized at the time of diagnosis, or will do so within the near future. In contrast, it is far more difficult to predict the outcome of NSCLC based on morphological grounds. Some tumours are similar to SCLC with a highly aggressive behaviour and early metastasis formation, whereas others may be cured after surgery or remain stable for a considerable period of time even in the case of residual disease.

Squamous cell carcinomas (SCCs) together with adenocarcinomas constitute the two major subtypes of NSCLC. Adenocarcinomas are typically located in the lung periphery and often cause first symptoms by a distant metastasis. In contrast, SCCs develop preferentially from the bronchial epithelium of the central lung and may present regional lymph node metastases prior to haematogeneous spread. However, the distribution of bloodborne metastases is similar in both entities affecting almost any organ, but with preference to the liver, bone, adrenal gland and the nervous system.

The genetic mechanisms underlying lung cancer development and progression are only beginning to emerge. Many defects have only been described on the chromosomal level and, although several genetic lesions have been identified, many still remain unknown. The chromosome regions identified by allelotyping suggesting the involvement of tumour suppressor genes include $1 \mathrm{p}, 2 \mathrm{q}, 3 \mathrm{p}, 4 \mathrm{p}, 4 \mathrm{q}, 5 \mathrm{q}, 6 \mathrm{p}, 6 \mathrm{q}, 8 \mathrm{p}, 9 \mathrm{p}, 10 \mathrm{q}, 11 \mathrm{p}, 11 \mathrm{q}, 14 \mathrm{q}, 17 \mathrm{q}, 18 \mathrm{q}$ and 22q (Sekido et al, 1998). Comparative genomic hybridization $(\mathrm{CGH})$ also detected deletions at $1 \mathrm{p}, 2 \mathrm{q}, 3 \mathrm{p}, 4 \mathrm{p}, 4 \mathrm{q}, 5 \mathrm{q}, 6 \mathrm{q}, 8 \mathrm{p}, 9 \mathrm{p}$, $10 \mathrm{q}, 11 \mathrm{p}, 11 \mathrm{q}, 13 \mathrm{q}, 17 \mathrm{p}, 18 \mathrm{p}, 18 \mathrm{q}$ and 21 q , as well as overrepresentations on 1q, 3q, 5p, 8q, 11q13, 12p, 17q, 19q, 20q and 22q (Ried et al, 1994; Levin et al, 1994, 1995; Petersen et al, 1997a, 1997b; Schwendel et al, 1997).

We recently took advantage of the fact that the primary data in CGH are derived from digital analysis of fluorescence images and are already computerized to calculate histograms and difference histograms for statistical analysis of tumour groups (Petersen et al, 1997b). In the present study we applied this approach to evaluate the incidence of chromosomal alterations in SCC and to highlight chromosomal subregions being associated with the metastatic phenotype. The statistical analysis of the tumour subgroups was supplemented by the direct comparison of primary and metastatic tumours in ten cases.
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## MATERIALS AND METHODS

## Tumour samples

Tumour specimens were mainly obtained from surgical resections at the Department of Surgery of the Charite Hospital at the Humboldt University Berlin. Operation specimens were transferred to the Institute of Pathology within 1 h after surgical removal. Generally, no adjuvant radiotherapy or chemotherapy was applied before surgery. Additionally, tumour specimens of primary and metastatic lesions were collected at post-mortem examinations at the Pathology Institutes of the Charité, the University Hospital of Kiel and the University Hospital of Zurich. These specimens were frozen 3-48 h after the patients died. One aliquot was frozen in liquid nitrogen and kept at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until DNA extraction. DNA was extracted from several $30 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ cryostat tissue sections by proteinase K and phenol-chloroform extraction which was verified to consist of a minimum of $70 \%$ tumour cells in each case. A second aliquot was submitted to formalin fixation and paraffin embedding. The histopathological diagnosis was established in every case according to the WHO guidelines on haematoxylin and eosin (H\&E)-stained tissue sections (WHO, 1981).

Samples from 50 patients with SCC were investigated. One group consisted of 25 non-metastatic ( $\mathrm{pNO} / \mathrm{pM} 0$ ) tumours that were derived from surgical resections, except one autopsy case (L101, no information on treatment). In the second group, 19 primary and 20 metastatic lesions ( 18 haematogeneous and two lymph node metastases) from another 25 patients, seven with stage pN 2 and 18 with stage pM 1 disease, were investigated. Of these, 15 cases were autopsy cases (radiotherapy in six cases: L24, L26, L29, L30, L34, L36; no treatment in seven cases: L23, L27, L33, L35, L37, L39, L40; no information on treatment in two cases: L28, L31) and ten resections. Paired samples of primary tumour and corresponding metastases were analysed in ten cases (seven autopsy cases: L23, L27, L31, L34, L37, L39, L40; three resections: L171, L219, L220).

## Comparative genomic hybridization

Chromosome metaphase spreads, DNA labelling, hybridization and detection were performed as described previously (Petersen et al, 1997a, 1997b). Briefly, tumour and normal DNA were differentially labelled with biotin-16-dUTP and digoxigenin-11-dUTP (both Boehringer Mannheim, Germany) respectively. One microgram of each tumour and normal DNA, together with $30 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ human Cot1 DNA and $10 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ salmon sperm DNA were ethanol precipitated and applied to denatured and dried normal metaphase spreads. After 3 days of hybridization at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the tumour and normal genomes were specifically detected by avidin-FITC (fluorescein isothiocyanate; Vector Laboratories) and anti-digoxigenin-rhodamin-TRITC (Boehringer Mannheim, Germany) respectively. The chromosomes were counterstained with 4,6-diamino-2-phenolindol dihydrochloride (DAPI) and embedded in $90 \%$ glycerol containing 2.3\% 4,6-diazabicyclo[2.2.2]octane (Sigma Aldrichs).

## Digital image analysis

Fluorescence images were obtained with a Zeiss Axiophot epifluorescence microscope in conjunction with a 12-bit cooled chargecoupled device camera (Photometrics, Tucson, AZ, USA). Three
images per metaphase spread were acquired, quantitated as 8 -bit grey level images and stored in a TIFF-format. The DAPI image was used for chromosome identification. FITC and TRITC, specific for the tumour and reference genome, respectively, were used to compute fluorescence ratio images and ratio profiles. Generally, 15 metaphases per karyogram were analysed for each case. CGH sum-karyograms as well as mean ratio profiles with confidence intervals (CI) were calculated (Petersen et al, 1997a; Roth et al, 1997).

## Statistical determination of chromosomal imbalances

Alterations were determined by calculating the mean FITC-TRITC profile with its $95 \%$ and $99 \%$ CIs. The profile was tested for significant deviations from the normal ratio of 1.0 by a Student's $t$-test (Bockmühl et al, 1997; Petersen et al, 1997b). This type of evaluation constitutes a quite sensitive measure for evaluation of DNA imbalances which is best explained by the example of Figure 2B. In case L37, the ratio profile of the primary tumour on the left showed only minute deviations from the normal ratio value of 1.0 represented by the middle line. The left and right lines represent the 0.75 and 1.25 ratio respectively. If these fixed thresholds, or even the less restrictive 0.8 or 1.2 thresholds were applied for the determination, no DNA imbalance would be scored. The statistical method, however, already counts those deviations in which the profile together with its CI is on one side of the 1.0 ratio line, e.g. the deletion at $10 \mathrm{q} 23-\mathrm{q} 25$.

We used the fixed ratio values 1.5 and 0.5 as thresholds to define pronounced DNA gains and losses respectively. The 1.5 ratio threshold has been already used by us to define high copy amplifications in SCLC (Petersen et al, 1997a). Similarly, pronounced DNA losses probably correspond to multicopy deletions within the tumour genome.
After the statistical determination of the chromosomal imbalances of each tumour, a histogram of all cases was calculated (Figure 1A). It includes one tumour sample per case and represents the incidence of DNA gains and losses of the tumour group along each chromosome, e.g. the maximum value of $100 \%$ is reached if all tumours of the same tumour group carry a change at a specific chromosomal region. The two significance levels are indicated by distinct colours: blue areas indicate those alterations with $99 \%$ significance, whereas the green areas include those with $95 \%$ significance. In addition, pronounced DNA copy number changes are depicted in red.

## Statistical comparison of tumour subgroups

The alterations with $99 \%$ significance were included into the difference histogram of Figure 1B. It represents the genetic imbalances of non-metastatic and metastatic SCC providing a statistical comparison of the two subgroups. Again, one tumour per case was included into the histogram, with preference for metastatic lesions in the $\mathrm{pM} 1 / \mathrm{pN} 2$ subgroup. The primary tumour was used in ten cases (L24, L36, L33, L41, L42, L44, L143, L157, L172, L245), in which no metastasis was available. The percentage of changes occurring only in the $\mathrm{pN} 0 / \mathrm{M} 0$ tumours is represented by the green colour, whereas the excess of changes in the metastatic tumour group is shown in red. The white areas beneath the coloured part of the histogram represent the percentage of changes that are present in both subgroups. A large coloured area thus indicates a
Table 1 Comparison of genetic abnormalities between primary and corresponding metastatic tumours

| Case | Common changes | Different changes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L220 | $1 p \downarrow, 2 p \uparrow$ ，3pter－q13．3 $\downarrow, 3 q 23$－qter $\uparrow \uparrow, 4 p t e r-q 26 \downarrow, 4 q 31 \downarrow, 5 p \uparrow \uparrow, 5 q \downarrow, 6 p 21-$ pter $\downarrow$ ，6q25－qter $\downarrow$ ，7q35－qter $\downarrow, 8 p 21-\mathrm{p} 23 \downarrow$ ，8q24个，9p11－p13 $\downarrow$ ， $9 \mathrm{q} \uparrow$ ，10p14－ pter $\downarrow, 10 q 21$－qter $\downarrow$ ，11p $\downarrow$ ，11q13 $\uparrow$ ，12q14－qter $\downarrow$ ，13q31－qter $\downarrow, 14 \mathrm{q} \uparrow$ ，15q $\downarrow$ ， 16q11－q21个，16q23－qter $\downarrow$ ，17q12－q24个，18q12－q22 $\downarrow$ ，19p12－q13．3个，20p $\uparrow \uparrow$ ， 21q $\downarrow, 22 q 13 \downarrow$ | $1 \mathrm{q} 11-\mathrm{q} 25 \uparrow \uparrow(\mathrm{In}), 1 \mathrm{q} \uparrow(\mathrm{pt}), 1 \mathrm{q} 43-\mathrm{qter} \downarrow(\mathrm{ln}), 4 \mathrm{q} 27-\mathrm{q} 28 \downarrow(\mathrm{In}), 4 \mathrm{q} 32-\mathrm{qter} \downarrow(\mathrm{In}), 6 \mathrm{p} 11 \uparrow(\mathrm{pt}), 6 q 15-\mathrm{q} 21 \downarrow(\mathrm{pt}), 7 \mathrm{pter}-\mathrm{q} 31 \uparrow(\mathrm{pt})$ ， $7 q 32-q 34 \downarrow(\mathrm{In}), 8 q 24 \uparrow \uparrow(\mathrm{pt}), 8 \mathrm{q} 12-\mathrm{q} 23 \uparrow(\mathrm{pt}), 8 \mathrm{q} 21-\mathrm{q} 23 \uparrow(\mathrm{In}), 9 \mathrm{p} 21-\mathrm{p} 22 \downarrow(\mathrm{ln}), 10 \mathrm{p} 12-\mathrm{p} 13 \downarrow(\mathrm{pt}), 11 \mathrm{q} 21-\mathrm{q} 23 \uparrow(\mathrm{In}), 12 \mathrm{p} 11-$ p12 $\uparrow$（pt），13q11－q22 $\downarrow$（In），18pter－q11 $\downarrow$（pt），18q23 $\downarrow$（pt） |
| L219 | $1 q \downarrow, 2 q 12 \uparrow$ ，2q14－qter $\downarrow$ ，3pter－q25 $\downarrow$ ， $5 q \downarrow$ ，6q21－qter $\downarrow$ ， $7 p \downarrow$ ，7q35－qter $\downarrow$ ，8p12－ pter $\downarrow, 8 q \uparrow, 9 \downarrow, 10 p 15 \downarrow, 10 q 24-q t e r \downarrow, 13 q \downarrow$ ，14q21 $\downarrow$ ，15q21－qter $\downarrow, 18 p \downarrow$ ，18q11－ q12 $, 19 q 13 \uparrow, 20 q 11-q 12 \uparrow, 21 q 21-q 22 \downarrow, 22 q 11-q 12 \uparrow \uparrow$ | ```1p32-pter }\downarrow\mathrm{ (pt), 1p13-p31 }\downarrow(\textrm{ln}),4\downarrow(\textrm{In}),5p\uparrow(pt),6p22-pter \downarrow(In), 6q11-q16\downarrow(In), 7q21-q34\downarrow(In), 10p11-p14\downarrow(In) 10q21\downarrow(In), 10q22\uparrow(pt),11p15\downarrow(pt), 11p11-p14\downarrow(In), 11q12-q13\uparrow(In), 12p\downarrow(In), 12q15-q22\downarrow(In), 14q11.2\uparrow(In), 14q12- q13\downarrow(pt), 14q22-qter\downarrow(pt), 15q11-q12\uparrow(In), 16\downarrow(pt), 17\downarrow(pt), 17p11-p21\uparrow(b), 18q21-qter`(pt), 20p12-pter\downarrow(In), 22q22\downarrow(pt)``` |
| L171 | $1 p 21-p 31 \downarrow, 1 q 21-q 24 \uparrow, 2 q 11-q 12 \uparrow, 2 q 34-q 36 \downarrow, 3 p 12-p 14 \downarrow, 3 p 24-p t e r \downarrow, 3 q 25-$ qter $\uparrow \uparrow, 4 q 21-q \operatorname{ter} \downarrow, 5 q 14-q 23 \downarrow, 6 p 11 \uparrow, 6 q 14-q 24 \downarrow, 7 q 11.2 \uparrow, 8 p 12-q 22 \downarrow, 8 q 12-$ q21．3 $\downarrow, 8 q 24$－qter $\uparrow, 9 p 12-p 21 \downarrow, 10 q 21-q 22 \downarrow, 11 q 13 \uparrow, 12 q 13-q 14 \uparrow, 12 q 24 \uparrow$ ， $14 q 13-q 22 \downarrow, 15 q 11-q 12 \uparrow, 16 p 13 \uparrow, 17 q 12-q 24 \uparrow, 18 q 12-q 22 \downarrow$ ， 21 q11－q22 $\downarrow$ ， 22q11－q13 $\uparrow$ | 1 p33－p34 $\uparrow(\mathrm{lu}), 1 \mathrm{q} 25-\mathrm{qter} \uparrow(\mathrm{pt}), 2 \mathrm{p} 13$－pter $\uparrow(\mathrm{lu}), 2 \mathrm{q} 21-\mathrm{q} 23 \downarrow(\mathrm{pt}), 2 q 31-\mathrm{q} 32 \uparrow(\mathrm{lu}), 3 \mathrm{p} 21-\mathrm{p} 23 \downarrow(\mathrm{lu}), 4 \mathrm{p} 11-\mathrm{p} 15 \downarrow(\mathrm{lu})$ ， $4 q 16 \uparrow(\mathrm{pt}), 5 q 12-\mathrm{q} 13 \downarrow(\mathrm{lu}), 5 \mathrm{q} 31-\mathrm{qter} \downarrow(\mathrm{lu}), 7 \mathrm{p} 21-\mathrm{pter} \uparrow(\mathrm{pt}), 7 \mathrm{q} 21-\mathrm{qter} \uparrow(\mathrm{lu}), 7 \mathrm{q} 31-\mathrm{q} 34 \downarrow(\mathrm{pt}), 8 \mathrm{q} 23-\mathrm{q} 24.1 \uparrow(\mathrm{lu}), 9 \mathrm{q} 21-$ q33 $\downarrow$（lu）， $9 \mathrm{q} 34 \uparrow$（pt）， $10 \mathrm{p} 14-\mathrm{q} 21 \downarrow(\mathrm{lu}), 10 \mathrm{q} 23-\mathrm{q} 25 \downarrow$（lu），11p13－p14 $\downarrow$（pt），11q14－q22 $\downarrow$（pt），11q23－qter $\downarrow$（lu）， 12 pter－ q12 ${ }^{(l u)}, 13 q 14-q 33 \downarrow(p t), 16 p 11-p 12 \uparrow(l u), 19 p t e r-q 13.2 \uparrow$（pt） |
| L31 | $1 \mathrm{p} \downarrow, 1 \mathrm{q} \uparrow$ ， $2 \mathrm{q} 14 \uparrow$ ， $2 \mathrm{q} 37 \uparrow, 3 \mathrm{p} \downarrow, 3 q \uparrow \uparrow, 4 q 13-q \operatorname{ter} \downarrow, 5 \mathrm{p} \uparrow \uparrow, 5 q 13$－qter $\downarrow, 6 p \downarrow, 8 \uparrow \uparrow$ ， 9q个，10q11 $\downarrow$ ，11q24－q25 $\downarrow$ ，12pter－q12个，13q12 $\downarrow$ ，14q个，15q11－q24 $\downarrow$ ，16个，17个， 18q $\downarrow$ ，20q $\uparrow$ ，21q $\downarrow$ ，22q11．2－q13 $\uparrow$ | $2 \mathrm{p} 24-\mathrm{q} 13 \uparrow(\mathrm{pt}), 2 \mathrm{q} 21-\mathrm{q} 36 \uparrow(\mathrm{pt}), 4 \mathrm{p} 15-\mathrm{q} 12 \downarrow$（ki）$, 6 \mathrm{q} \downarrow(\mathrm{ki}), 6 \mathrm{q} 14-\mathrm{q} 24 \uparrow(\mathrm{pt}), 7 \mathrm{p} \downarrow$（ki）， $7 \mathrm{q} 21-\mathrm{qter} \downarrow(\mathrm{ki}), 10 \mathrm{p} \downarrow(\mathrm{ki}), 10 \mathrm{q} 21-$ q25 $\downarrow$（ki）， 11 p14－p15 $\uparrow$（ki）， 11 q14－q23 $\downarrow$（ki）， 12 q14－23 $\downarrow$（ki）， 12 q13－q21 $\uparrow$（pt）， $13 q 13-q \operatorname{ter} \downarrow$（ki）， $19 \downarrow$（pt）， $19 p \downarrow$（pt）， $19 \uparrow$（ki） |
| L37 | $1 p 11-q 2 \uparrow \uparrow, 1 q 25-q \operatorname{ter} \uparrow, 2 q 34-q 37 \downarrow, 3 p 12-p 23 \downarrow, 3 q 23-q \operatorname{ter} \uparrow, 4 p 11-p 15 \downarrow, 4 q 21-$ qter $\downarrow, 5 q 12$－qter $\downarrow, 7 q 11 \uparrow, 8 p 12-p t e r \downarrow, 8 q 22-q t e r \uparrow$ ，9p11－pter $\downarrow$ ，9q11－q33 $\downarrow$ ， $10 q 11 \uparrow, 10 q 23-q 25 \downarrow$ ，11p11－p15 $\downarrow$ ，11q14－qter $\downarrow$ ，12pter－q12 $\uparrow \uparrow$ ，12q13－q15 $\uparrow$ ， 12q24－qter $\uparrow$ ，13q21－qter $\downarrow$ ，14q12－q31 $\downarrow$ ，15q12－q21 $\downarrow$ ，17p11－q11 $\uparrow$ ，18q11 $\uparrow$ ， 19个，20q11－q12个个，21q21q $\downarrow, 22 q \uparrow$ |  $7 \mathrm{p} 11-\mathrm{pter} \uparrow(\mathrm{li}), 7 \mathrm{q} 21-\mathrm{qter} \uparrow(\mathrm{li}), 8 \mathrm{p} 11 \uparrow(\mathrm{pt}), 9 \mathrm{q} 34 \downarrow(\mathrm{li}), 10 \mathrm{p} 11-\mathrm{pter} \uparrow(\mathrm{li}), 10 \mathrm{q} 21-\mathrm{q} 22 \uparrow(\mathrm{li}), 10 \mathrm{q} 26 \downarrow(\mathrm{li}), 11 \mathrm{q} 12-\mathrm{q} 13 \uparrow(\mathrm{pt})$ ， $14 q 32-q \operatorname{ter} \downarrow(\mathrm{pt}), 15 q 22-q 23 \downarrow(\mathrm{li}), 16 \mathrm{p} 12 \downarrow(\mathrm{li}), 17 \mathrm{p} 12-\mathrm{p} 13 \uparrow(\mathrm{li}), 17 \mathrm{q} 12-\mathrm{q} 21 \uparrow(\mathrm{li}), 18 \mathrm{p} 11 \uparrow(\mathrm{li}), 18 q 12-21 \uparrow(\mathrm{li}), 20 \mathrm{p} 11-$ p13个（li），20q13个（li），21q22－qter $\downarrow$（li） |
| L23 | $1 p 36 \downarrow$ ，1q31－qter $\uparrow$ ，2q14－q21 $\uparrow$ ，2q34－q37 $\downarrow, 3 p \downarrow, 3 q 23-q \operatorname{ter} \uparrow \uparrow, 5 p \uparrow \uparrow$ ，6p22－ $\mathrm{p} 24 \downarrow, 6 \mathrm{p} 11-\mathrm{p} 21 \uparrow \uparrow, 7 \mathrm{q} 21 \uparrow, 8 \mathrm{q} 12-\mathrm{qter} \uparrow$ ，10q21 $\downarrow$ ，15q21－qter $\uparrow$ ，16p11－p12 $\downarrow$ ， 18p11．2 $\uparrow$ ，18q21－22 $\uparrow, 19 q 13 \uparrow \uparrow, 20 q 12-q 13 \uparrow$ | 2p24－pter $\downarrow$（pt），4q24－qter $\downarrow(p t), 4 q 28-q \operatorname{ter} \uparrow(p l), 5 q 12-q 23 \downarrow(p t), 5 q 32-q t e r \uparrow(p l), 7 p 11-p 21 \uparrow(p l), 8 p 21-p 22 \downarrow(p t), 9 p 13-$ $\operatorname{pter} \downarrow(\mathrm{pt}), 10 \mathrm{q} 23-\mathrm{qter} \downarrow(\mathrm{pt}), 11 \mathrm{p} 14 \downarrow$（pt），12p11－p12个（pl），11q13－qter $\downarrow$（pt），13q21－qter $\downarrow$（pt），14q12个（pl），14q21－ qter $\downarrow$（pt），17p11－p12 $\downarrow$（pt），17p11－p13 $\uparrow$（pl），19p11－pter $\downarrow$（pl），20p12 $\downarrow$（pt），22q11－q12 $\uparrow$（pt） |
| L34 | $1 p 12-p 31 \downarrow, 1 q 21 \uparrow, 2 p \downarrow$ ，2q31个，2q36－qter $\downarrow, 3 p \downarrow, 3 q 12-q 25 \uparrow$ ，3q26－qter $\downarrow, 4 \downarrow$ ， $5 p \uparrow, 5 q \downarrow, 6 q 11-q 24 \downarrow, 7 p 11-p 12 \downarrow, 7 q 21-q t e r \downarrow, 8 p \downarrow, 8 q \uparrow$ ， $9 p t e r-q 22 \downarrow$ ， $9 q 31$－ter $\uparrow$ ， 10p14个，10p12－q24 $\downarrow$ ，10q26 $\downarrow$ ，11个，12pter－q15 $\uparrow$ ， <br> 12q23－qter $\uparrow$ ，13q $\downarrow, 15 q 11-q 15 \uparrow$ ，15q22－q24 $\uparrow$ ，16p13 $\downarrow$ ，16q23－qter $\downarrow$ ，17p $\downarrow$ ， $18 p \downarrow, 18 q \uparrow, 19 p \uparrow, 20 p 12-p t e r \downarrow, 21 q \downarrow$ |  $14 q 24 \downarrow(\mathrm{pt}), 14 q 11-\mathrm{q} 23 \uparrow(\mathrm{li2}, \mathrm{li} 3), 16 q 11-q 22 \downarrow(\mathrm{li} 2), 17 q \uparrow(\mathrm{pt}), 17 \mathrm{q} \uparrow(\mathrm{pt}), 17 \mathrm{q} 21 \uparrow$（li1）， $17 \mathrm{q} 25 \uparrow(\mathrm{li} 1), 18 q \uparrow \uparrow(\mathrm{li} 2)$ ， $20 \mathrm{q} 11 \uparrow(\mathrm{pt}), 20 \mathrm{q} 13 \uparrow(\mathrm{pt}), 20 \mathrm{q} \downarrow(\mathrm{li} 2), 22 \downarrow(\mathrm{li} 2)$ |
| L27 | 1 p13－p22 $\downarrow$ ，1q23－q25 $\uparrow$ ，1q32－q42 $\uparrow$ ，2q21－q22 $\uparrow, 3 p 11 \downarrow, 3 q 25-q 28 \uparrow, 4 q 13-q 28 \downarrow$ ， $4 q 31 \downarrow, 4 q 34 \downarrow, 5 q 12-q 22 \downarrow, 6 p 12-p 21 \uparrow, 7 q 11 \downarrow, 7 q 22-q 34 \uparrow, 8 p 22-p 23 \downarrow, 9 p 12-$ p22 $\downarrow, 10 p 13 \uparrow, 10 q 22-q 23 \downarrow, 10 q 26 \downarrow$ ，11p11－p14个，11q14 1 ，12q24．1个，13q34 $\downarrow$ ， $14 q 24 \downarrow, 15 q 24 \uparrow$ ，15q15 $\downarrow, 16 q 13 \uparrow, 16 q 23-q 24 \downarrow, 17 q 22 \uparrow, 19 q 13.1 \uparrow$ ，21q11－ q22 $\downarrow$ ，22q11－q13 $\uparrow$ | 1 p32－p34（pt），1p21－p22 $\uparrow(\mathrm{ki}), 1 \mathrm{p} 32-\mathrm{p} 36 \downarrow(\mathrm{li}), 1 \mathrm{p} 34 \downarrow(\mathrm{ki}), 1 \mathrm{q} 31 \uparrow(\mathrm{li}, \mathrm{ki}), 1 \mathrm{q} 43-\mathrm{qter} \uparrow(\mathrm{li}, \mathrm{ki}), 2 \mathrm{p} 12-\mathrm{p} 24 \uparrow(\mathrm{li}, \mathrm{ki}), 2 q 23-$ q32 $\uparrow(\mathrm{li}, \mathrm{ki}), 2 q 32-\mathrm{q} 36 \uparrow(\mathrm{ki}), 3 \mathrm{p} 12-\mathrm{pter} \downarrow(\mathrm{pt}), 3 \mathrm{p} 14-\mathrm{p} 21 \downarrow(\mathrm{ki}), 3 \mathrm{q} 21 \uparrow(\mathrm{ki}), 3 \mathrm{q} 12 \uparrow(\mathrm{ki}), 3 \mathrm{q} 25-\mathrm{q} 28 \uparrow \uparrow(\mathrm{li})$ $4 p 12-\mathrm{pter} \downarrow$（pt）， $4 \mathrm{p} 11-\mathrm{q} 11 \downarrow$（li）， $4 \mathrm{q} 32 \downarrow$（pt）， $4 \mathrm{q} 35 \downarrow$（pt）， $5 \mathrm{p} \uparrow$（li）, $5 \mathrm{q} 23-\mathrm{qter} \downarrow$（pt）， $5 \mathrm{q} 35 \downarrow$（ki）$, 6 \mathrm{p} 11-\mathrm{p} 21 \uparrow \uparrow(\mathrm{li}), 6 \mathrm{p} 22-\mathrm{pter} \uparrow(\mathrm{ki})$ ， $6 q 11-q 22 \uparrow(k i), 7 p 11-p 12 \downarrow(p t), 7 q 21 \uparrow(l i, k i), 7 q 35-q t e r \uparrow(l i, k i), 8 p 11-p 21 \downarrow(k i), 8 q 13-q \operatorname{ter} \uparrow(k i), 8 q 24 \uparrow(l i), 9 p 23-p t e r \downarrow$（pt）， $9 q 11-q 12 \downarrow(\mathrm{li}, \mathrm{ki}), 9$ q22－q32 $\uparrow$（li，ki）， 10 p 14 －pter $\uparrow(\mathrm{ki}), 10 \mathrm{p} 12-\mathrm{q} 11 \downarrow$（ii）， $10 \mathrm{q} 21 \downarrow$（pt）， $10 \mathrm{q} 24-\mathrm{q} 25 \downarrow$（pt），11q23－qter $\downarrow$（pt）， $12 p 11-p \operatorname{ter} \uparrow(\mathrm{ki}), 12 q 24.2-q \operatorname{ter} \uparrow(\mathrm{li}), 12 q 11-q 12 \downarrow(\mathrm{li}), 12 q 14-\mathrm{q} 23 \uparrow(\mathrm{ki}), 13 q 11-\mathrm{q} 33 \downarrow(\mathrm{pt}), 13 q 11-\mathrm{q} 12 \downarrow(\mathrm{ki}), 13 q 21 \uparrow(\mathrm{li})$, $14 q 21 \uparrow(l i, k i), 14 q 12 \downarrow(p t), 15 q 25 \downarrow(p t), 15 q 11-q 14 \downarrow(\mathrm{ki}), 15 q 21 \downarrow(\mathrm{ki}), 16 q 21-\mathrm{q} 23 \uparrow(\mathrm{li}), 16 \mathrm{p} 11-\mathrm{p} 13 \downarrow(\mathrm{ki}), 17 \mathrm{p} 12 \uparrow(\mathrm{li})$ ， $17 q 11-q 21 \uparrow(p t), 17 q 23-q 25 \uparrow(i), 18 p 11 \uparrow(l i, k i), 18 q 12-q 23 \uparrow(k i), 19 p 11-p 12 \uparrow(p t), 19 q 13.1 \uparrow \uparrow(i), 19 q 13.2-13.3 \uparrow(p t)$, 20p12个个（i），20q13．1个（pt） |
| L39 | $1 p 31-p 34.1 \uparrow, 1 q 21-q 42 \uparrow, 2 q 23-q 36 \downarrow, 3 q 27-q t e r \uparrow, 4 p 12-p 15.1 \downarrow, 4 q 22-q 34 \downarrow$ ， $5 p \uparrow, 5 q \downarrow, 6 p \uparrow, 6 q 11-q 14 \downarrow, 7 q 21 \downarrow, 8 p 12-p t e r \downarrow, 8 q 24 \uparrow, 9 p \downarrow, 9 q 21-q 33 \downarrow, 10 q \downarrow$ ， $11 p \downarrow, 11 q 13 \uparrow, 12 q 13-q 14 \uparrow, 12 q 21-q 23 \downarrow, 16 q 22 \uparrow$ ，18p11－q11个，18q12－qter $\downarrow$ ， 20p11－qter $\uparrow$ | 1 p21－p31 $\downarrow$（li2），3p $\downarrow$（li1 ，li2），3p14－p26个（pt），3q11－q25 $\downarrow$（li1 ，li2），4q13－q21 $\downarrow$（li1 ，li2），6q15－q23 $\downarrow$（li1 ，li2），6q24－qter $\downarrow(\mathrm{li})$ ）， $7 \mathrm{p} 13-\mathrm{pter} \uparrow(\mathrm{pt}), 7 \mathrm{p} 12-\mathrm{q} 21 \downarrow$（li1）， $7 \mathrm{p} 11-\mathrm{p} 21 \downarrow$（li2）， $7 \mathrm{q} 21 \downarrow$（li2）， $7 \mathrm{q} 31 \downarrow$（li2）， $7 \mathrm{q} 21-\mathrm{qter} \downarrow$（pt）， $8 \mathrm{p} 11-\mathrm{q} 23 \uparrow(\mathrm{pt}), 8 \mathrm{q} 22-\mathrm{q} 23 \uparrow(\mathrm{li} 1)$, $8 \mathrm{q} 12-\mathrm{q} 23 \downarrow$（li2），10p14－pter个（pt），11q24－q25 $\downarrow$（li1），11q14－qter $\downarrow$（li2），13q $\downarrow$（pt），13q14－q33 $\downarrow$（li2），14q24－qter $\downarrow$（pt）， <br>  $\mathrm{q} 23 \uparrow(\mathrm{pt}), 17 \mathrm{p} 11-\mathrm{q} 21 \uparrow$（li2）$), 17 \uparrow$（pt），19p11－p13 $\downarrow$（li1），19p13－qter $\uparrow$（li2），19q13．1（li1），20p12－pter $\downarrow$（pt）， $20 \mathrm{p} 12 \uparrow$（lii ，li2）， 21q21 $\downarrow$（pt，li2），22q11－q13 $\uparrow$（lii，li2） |
| L40 | $1 p 11-p 34 \uparrow, 1 q 11-q 41 \uparrow, 2 \uparrow, 3 p \downarrow, 3 q \uparrow, 4 q 22-q t e r \downarrow, 5 q 11-q 23 \downarrow, 5 q 32-q 33 \uparrow$ ， $6 p 23-q 13 \uparrow, 6 q 14-q 21 \uparrow, 6 q 22-q t e r \downarrow, 7 \uparrow$ ， $9 p t e r-q 21 \downarrow$ ， $9 q 22-q 34 \uparrow$ ，10p12 $\uparrow$ ，10q $\downarrow$ ， $11 p 15 \downarrow, 11 p 13-p 14 \uparrow, 11 q 12-q 24 \uparrow, 12 \uparrow, 13 q \downarrow, 14 q 11-q 31 \downarrow, 15 q 12-q 21 \uparrow$ ， $15 q 23-q 25 \uparrow$ ，16p12－p13个，16q $\downarrow$ ，17p11 $\downarrow$ ，17q21－q23 $\uparrow$ ，19p12－p13个，19q13个， 20 $\downarrow$ ，22q $\downarrow$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 p 12-p 15 \uparrow(\ln 1, \ln 2, a d), 4 q 13-q 21 \uparrow(\ln 1, \ln 2, a d), 5 p 14 \uparrow(\ln 2, a d), 8 \uparrow(\ln 2, a d), 14 q 32 \downarrow(p t, \ln 2, a d), 17 p 12-p \operatorname{ter} \downarrow(p t, \ln 1, \ln 2), \\ & 17 q 24-q \operatorname{ter} \uparrow(p t, \ln 2, a d), 18 q 11-q 22 \uparrow, 21 q 21 \uparrow(a d) \end{aligned}$ |




Figure 1 (A) Summary of the chromosomal alterations in 50 SCCs of the lung in a histogram representation. The chromosomal imbalances are shown as incidence curves along each chromosome. Areas on the left side of the chromosome ideogram correspond to loss of genetic material; those on the right side correspond to DNA gains. The frequency of the alterations can be determined from the $0.5(50 \%)$ and $1.0(100 \%)$ incidence lines depicted parallel to the chromosome ideograms. DNA changes with $99 \%$ significance are coloured in blue, additional changes with $95 \%$ significance are depicted in green. The proportion of pronounced DNA gains and losses being defined as imbalances for which the ratio profiles exceeded the thresholds of 1.5 and 0.5 , respectively, are visualized in red. They are most likely to represent high copy amplifications or multicopy deletions. The centromeric regions especially of chromosomes 1,9 , $13,14,15,16,19,21$ and 22, and the sex chromosomes must be excluded from the evaluation. (B) Difference histogram between non-metastatic and metastatic SCC of the lung. Green, percentage of changes that are exclusively present in non-metastatic SCC. Red, excess of changes in metastatic tumours. White areas beneath the coloured parts of each histogram, percentage of changes that are present in both tumour subgroups. Grey horizontal lines, statistically significant differences between non-metastatic and metastatic lung SCC. Light grey lines, regions with $95 \%$ significance; dark grey lines, $99 \%$ significance according to the $\chi^{2}$ test. Again, the centromeric regions must be excluded due to variability of fluorescence signals in these regions.


Figure 2 (A) The CGH results of a primary tumour (case L39) and two of its liver metastases are shown by a line representation. Lines on the left side of the ideograms represent deletions, lines on the right side indicate DNA gains. The alterations of the primary tumour are depicted in the indexed position proximal to the chromosome ideogram, the lines in the middle position and the third position from the ideogram correspond to a large and small liver metastases respectively. The aberration pattern of chromosomes $4,5,6 p, 8 p, 9,10 q, 11 p, 18$ and 20 clearly indicates the clonal relationship between the three tumours. In addition, the comparison of the alterations of chromosomes $2 \mathrm{q}, 6 \mathrm{q}$ and 8 revealed that these deletions had expanded during tumour progression. (B) Examples of specific chromosome ratio profiles of three primary tumours (left) and their corresponding metastases (right). The profiles are shown along with the $99 \%$ confidence interval. The number of chromosomes included into the statistical analysis are indicated at each chromosome ideogram. The example of chromosome 1 suggested that the overrepresentation of the entire long arm was reduced to a DNA gain of the region 1q21-q25 most probably carrying the metastasis-associated gene. The example of chromosome 8 indicates the intensification of chromosomal change with the transition from low copy to high copy imbalances. The example of chromosome 10 suggests a triggering effect of small interstitial deletions.
pronounced difference between the tumour groups. The differences were tested for significance by a $\chi^{2}$ test. Areas with $95 \%$ significance $(0.01<P<0.05)$ are depicted in bright grey, and areas with $99 \%$ significance $(P<0.01)$ are depicted in dark grey.

Detailed protocols of the preparation and digital image analysis have been published previously (Petersen et al, 1997a, 1997b; Roth et al, 1997) and are available on our website http://amba.charite.de/cgh.

## RESULTS

The chromosomal imbalances of 50 lung SCCs are summarized in Figure 1A by a histogram representation. One tumour per patient was included in the histogram. The most prevalent deletions with an incidence of more than $50 \%$ were observed for chromosomes $1 \mathrm{p} 21-\mathrm{p} 31,2 \mathrm{q} 34-\mathrm{q} 36,3 \mathrm{p}, 4 \mathrm{p}, 4 \mathrm{q}, 5 \mathrm{q}, 6 \mathrm{q} 14-\mathrm{q} 24,8 \mathrm{p}, 9 \mathrm{p}, 10 \mathrm{q}$, 11 p12-p14, 13q13-qter, 18q12-qter and 21q21. In addition, the following regions were slightly less frequently affected by deletions ( $30 \%$ < incidence $\leq 50 \%$ ): 1p34-p36, 2q22-q33, 2q37, $9 \mathrm{q} 13-\mathrm{q} 31,10 \mathrm{p}, 11 \mathrm{q} 14-\mathrm{qter}, 12 \mathrm{q} 21,14 \mathrm{q} 21,15 \mathrm{q} 21$ and 17 p .

Most prevalent DNA over-representations (>50\%) were seen on chromosomes 1q11-q25, 1q32-q41, 3q, 5p, 8q22-qter, 11q13, $12 \mathrm{p}, 17 \mathrm{q} 21-\mathrm{q} 22,17 \mathrm{q} 24-\mathrm{q} 25,19,20 \mathrm{q}$ and 22 q and less frequently ( $30 \%$ < incidence $\leq 50 \%$ ) on 1 p 32 -pter, 2 pter- 2 q 21 , $2 \mathrm{q} 24-\mathrm{q} 32$, $6 \mathrm{p} 11-\mathrm{p} 21,7,8 \mathrm{q} 11-\mathrm{q} 21,9 \mathrm{q} 22-\mathrm{q} 34,12 \mathrm{q} 23-\mathrm{q} 24.3,14,15 \mathrm{q} 11-\mathrm{q} 14$, $15 \mathrm{q} 23-\mathrm{q} 25,16 \mathrm{p}$ and $18 \mathrm{p} 11.2-\mathrm{q} 11.2$.

Pronounced DNA copy number changes generally co-localized with peaks of the incidence curve, i.e. deletions at $1 \mathrm{p} 22-\mathrm{p} 31$, $3 \mathrm{p} 12-\mathrm{p} 14, \quad 4 \mathrm{p} 14-\mathrm{p} 15.1, \quad 8 \mathrm{p} 12-\mathrm{p} 22, \quad 9 \mathrm{p} 21,10 \mathrm{q} 21,10 \mathrm{q} 23$, $11 \mathrm{p} 13-\mathrm{p} 14,11 \mathrm{q} 14-\mathrm{q} 22,11 \mathrm{q} 24-\mathrm{q} 25,13 \mathrm{q} 21-\mathrm{q} 31,14 \mathrm{q} 21,15 \mathrm{q} 21$, $18 \mathrm{q} 12-\mathrm{q} 21,18 \mathrm{q} 22$ and 21 q 21 , and over-representations at $1 \mathrm{q} 21-\mathrm{q} 25,1 \mathrm{q} 32-\mathrm{q} 41,2 \mathrm{q} 31,3 \mathrm{q} 27-\mathrm{q} 28,5 \mathrm{p} 15.3,6 \mathrm{p} 12,7 \mathrm{p} 21-\mathrm{p} 22$, $7 \mathrm{p} 12-\mathrm{p} 13,7 \mathrm{q} 21-\mathrm{q} 22,8 \mathrm{q} 13-\mathrm{q} 21.1,8 \mathrm{q} 22,8 \mathrm{q} 24.1,9 \mathrm{q} 34,11 \mathrm{q} 13$, $12 \mathrm{p}, 12 \mathrm{q} 13,13 \mathrm{q} 33-\mathrm{q} 34,14 \mathrm{q} 23-\mathrm{q} 24,17 \mathrm{q} 21-\mathrm{q} 22,17 \mathrm{q} 24-\mathrm{q} 25$, $18 q 11,19 p 13.3,19 q 13-1$. q13.2, $20 \mathrm{p} 12,20 \mathrm{q}$ and 22 q .

In general, lung SCC showed a very complex pattern of DNA imbalances in which almost any chromosome region was affected by DNA gains and losses. The only exception was the over-representation of chromosome 3 p that was never observed even by our quite sensitive statistical method for the determination of copy number changes.

The direct comparison of ten primary tumours and their corresponding metastases is summarized in Table 1. It revealed a large number of common changes between the tumours of the same patient, indicating a clonal relationship as well as a considerable number of aberrant alterations. Thus, the interpretation of this data is complicated by the considerable genetic instability and heterogeneity of lung carcinomas. Therefore we decided to focus on the comparison of tumour groups, i.e. metastatic and non-metastatic lung SCC, since it facilitates the identification of those imbalances relevant for the metastatic phenotype by reducing the influence of tumour heterogeneity.

The statistical analysis of the metastatic and non-metastatic SCC by a difference histogram is represented in Figure 1B. It is based on the comparison of 25 primary tumours without evidence of metastases formation at the time of diagnosis, i.e. of stage $\mathrm{pNO} 0 / \mathrm{M} 0$, and 25 metastasizing carcinomas. Only few chromosomal regions carry an excess of changes in the non-metastatic subgroup represented by the green colour when compared to the metastatic carcinomas. One such region was observed on
chromosome 5 p which, however, failed to achieve statistical significance. The overall excess of changes in the metastatic tumour group is visible by the prevailing red colour in the difference histogram.
For the most prevalent imbalance region (incidence > 50\%), the statistical comparison using the $\chi^{2}$ test indicated that DNA losses of the chromosome regions $3 \mathrm{p} 12-\mathrm{p} 14,3 \mathrm{p} 21,4 \mathrm{p} 15-\mathrm{p} 16,8 \mathrm{p} 22-\mathrm{p} 23$ and $10 \mathrm{q} 21-\mathrm{qter}$, as well as the over-representations of the chromosomal bands 1q21-q25 and several regions on 8q, were associated with a statistical significance for the metastatic phenotype. For the less frequent changes ( $30 \%$ < incidence $\leq 50 \%$ ), the statistical analysis indicated in addition deletions on $6 \mathrm{q} 24-\mathrm{qter}$ and 21 q 22 , and the DNA gains at $9 \mathrm{q} 34,14 \mathrm{q} 12$ and $15 \mathrm{q} 12-\mathrm{q} 15$. These chromosomal regions were consistently found when different evaluation schemes, i.e. using fixed ratio thresholds and statistical methods, were applied for the determination of the imbalances of individual cases. These different evaluation schemes are accessible at our web site http://amba.charite.de/cgh. For single chromosome regions, there was, however, a certain variability for locus assignment.
The direct comparison of ten primary tumours and their corresponding metastases confirmed the results of the statistical comparison of the tumour subgroups since single cases showed the statistically significant changes additionally in the metastatic lesions. In the majority of cases, however, these changes were already detectable in the primary tumour. In addition, the comparison suggested distinct genetic changes in the evolution of chromosomal imbalances during tumour progression. Typical examples of the comparison are shown in Figure 2. The CGH analysis of a primary tumour and two liver metastases is shown in Figure 2A. One metastasis measured 2.5 cm and the other 1 cm in diameter. The profiles for chromosomes $2 \mathrm{q}, 6 \mathrm{q}$ and 8 indicated that size of deletions increased during tumour progression. The deletion on chromosome 3 p including the band 3 p 12 was not detectable in the primary tumour.
In Figure 2B, examples of specific chromosome ratio profiles of three primary tumours and one of their corresponding metastases is shown. The example of chromosome 1 indicated that the overrepresentation of the entire long arm was reduced to a DNA gain of the region 1q21-q25, which is the region that was also indicated by the statistical comparison of the tumour subgroups thus most probably carrying the metastasis-associated gene. We observed an identical transition twice exclusively for chromosome 1q. The example of chromosome 8 indicates the intensification of chromosomal change with the transition from low copy to high copy imbalances. The example of chromosome 10 suggests a triggering effect of small imbalances. Again it illustrates the extension of deletions during tumour progression, which was a general finding and does not seem to be restricted to specific chromosomes. The detailed comparison of the primary tumours and their metastases is listed in Table 1. The profiles and line representations of all ten cases can also be accessed at our web page http://amba.charite.de/cgh.

## DISCUSSION

In the present study we used CGH to define the frequency of chromosomal imbalances in a collective of lung SCC and to search for genetic alterations that are associated with the metastatic phenotype.

## CGH histograms of lung SCC

The histogram of 50 lung SCCs indicates the incidence of DNA gains and losses at specific chromosome sites providing an overview of those regions that are characteristically affected in this tumour entity. We applied a quite sensitive method for the determination of chromosomal imbalances. It is based on the statistical evaluation whether the deviation of the ratio profile from the normal ratio value 1.0 is significant or not. In contrast to the evaluation by fixed thresholds, no specific ratio values were defined to score a DNA gain or loss. The comparison in sensitivity can be seen by the profile of tumour L37 in Figure 2B. Since the profile with its confidence interval of the primary tumour shows a deviation from the normal ratio value 1.0 , DNA imbalances are scored by the statistical method, whereas no change would be detected by using the ratio thresholds 0.75 and 1.25 (e.g. Joos et al, 1995), or even the more sensitive values of 0.85 and 1.15 (e.g. Tarkkanen et al, 1995). The threshold-based methods used in the initial period of CGH, which are still very popular, are probably partially responsible for the higher frequency of DNA gains compared to DNA losses that have been detected in many studies. Although statistical evaluation is evolving as the method of choice (Moore et al, 1997; Kirchhoff et al, 1998), there is not yet a consensus about the best way to determine DNA changes by CGH.

The higher sensitivity of the statistical method does correlate to the relatively high incidence of imbalances observed in this study. Although the exact frequency still needs to be determined, the histogram does visualize the most frequently affected sites and their relative importance. Another advantage of the histogram representation is the fact that it enables the statistical comparison of tumour subgroups. To our knowledge it provides the first method to search genome wide for phenotype-genotype correlations (Petersen et al, 1997b). Again, for this comparison it is important that DNA gains and losses are detected with equal sensitivity. The good correlation of the result of the difference histogram with our allelotyping analysis, which was performed on the similar tumour collective, supports the validity of our CGH findings (Petersen et al, 1998a, 1998b). We identified several candidate regions associated with metastasis formation and tumour progression. Although the exact localization of corresponding genetic defects needs to be confirmed by future studies we think that the overall complexity of the CGH pattern corresponds well with the intricacy of systemic tumour dissemination.

## Chromosomal alterations associated with the metastatic phenotype

The difference histogram suggested that the deletions of several chromosomal regions were statistically significant for the metastatic phenotype, e.g. deletions at 3 p $12-\mathrm{p} 14,3 \mathrm{p} 21,4 \mathrm{p} 15-\mathrm{p} 16$, $6 q 24-q t e r, 8 p 22-p 23,10 q 21-q t e r ~ a n d ~ 21 q 22$. Some of these regions have already been implicated in tumour progression and metastases formation in other neoplasms, supporting the hypothesis that many features of tumour cell dissemination are not specific for one entity but are shared between multiple tumour types.

Deletions of the chromosome band 4 p 16 have recently been observed more frequently in metastases of colorectal carcinomas than in primary tumours (Paredes-Zaglul et al, 1998). In SCLC, Levin et al observed a metastatic lesion with a deletion of chromo-
some 4 p that was not detectable in the primary tumour (Levin et al, 1995). For chromosomes $6 q, 8 p$ and $10 q$ there is functional as well as genetic evidence suggesting that they harbour genes that are important in metastases formation. Chromosome transfer experiments revealed that they were able to suppress the metastatic potential of either rodent or human cell lines (Welch et al, 1994; Ichikawa et al, 1996). Three regions of allelic loss on chromosome 8 p have been defined, particularly in high grade head and neck SCC (Wu et al, 1997). Deletions of chromosome 10q have been described in multiple advanced solid tumours. We previously showed that loss of heterozygosity ( LOH ) was significantly more frequent in metastatic tumours and defined three distinct regions of allelic imbalance. Meanwhile, several candidate genes have been identified most of which, however, seem to be of minor importance in lung cancer (Petersen et al, 1998a, 1998b).

Regarding chromosome 21q there have been only limited data that it is involved in tumour progression. Deletions on 21 q were recently found to be statistically more significant in brain metastases of NSCLC than in early stage primary tumours (Kohno et al, 1998).

Chromosome 3p has been implicated particularly in tumour initiation (Hung et al, 1995). Interestingly, our data suggested that deletions of 3p12-p14 are important for cancer progression. Whereas non-metastatic tumours more frequently carry interstitial deletions, the aggressive carcinomas showed the loss of the entire chromosome arm including the band 3p12-p14. This is a typical finding in SCLC (Petersen et al, 1997a).

In general, the analysis by the difference histogram strengthens the notion that the accumulation of deletions with the putative inactivation of tumour suppressor genes is particularly important for haematogeneous tumour spread. However, there were also some DNA over-representations associated with the metastatic phenotype, e.g. gains of $1 \mathrm{q} 21-\mathrm{q} 25,8 \mathrm{q}, 9 \mathrm{q} 34,14 \mathrm{q} 12$ and 15q12-q15.

The over-representation on chromosome 1q21-25 being of $95 \%$ significance has been previously reported in metastatic renal cell carcinomas (Gronwald et al, 1997). DNA gains on 8q, and in particular amplifications of the c-myc proto-oncogene at 8 q 24 , are genetic markers for tumour progression (Brison et al, 1993). Recently the 8 q gain along with the 8 p loss has been found in bone metastases of prostate cancer (Alers et al, 1997). To our knowledge the gains at 9 q 34 and $15 \mathrm{q} 11-\mathrm{q} 13$ have not yet been reported in advanced tumour stages. The chromosomal band 9 q 34 as well as chromosomes $19,22 \mathrm{q}$ and the very telomeric regions, e.g. 8 q 24 , might give rise to false positive results in CGH analysis (Moore et al, 1997; Kirchhoff et al, 1998). Nevertheless, we feel that these over-representations are valuable findings since we also observed high copy over-representations of these regions which can not be simply regarded as CGH artefacts. The Abe gene is one candidate for the 9 q 34 region. For chromosome 15 q , only allelic loss has been so far observed in association with the metastatic phenotype of breast carcinomas (Wick et al, 1996).

## Chromosomal alterations in the clonal evolution of metastatic tumour cells

In general, the observation that metastatic lung SCCs carry more chromosomal alterations than the pM 0 tumours supports the hypothesis that they are derived from non-metastatic precursors by the acquisition of additional changes, suggesting a clonal evolution. This clonal relationship was confirmed in each of the ten cases
in which multiple tumours were investigated similar to our previous study in SCLC (Schwendel et al, 1997). However, it is in contrast to CGH studies on other tumour entities in which clonality could not be established in every case (Kuukasjarvi et al, 1997). This seeming discrepancy can be explained by several factors. First, tumour heterogeneity might heavily influence the establishment of genetic correlations especially if there is a long latency period for the occurrence of metastases. Second, normal cell contamination and the quality of tumour DNA may reduce the sensitivity for the detection of chromosomal imbalances by CGH (Isola et al, 1994). We investigated exclusively synchronous metastases and DNA from frozen tumour tissue that was controlled for the amount of contaminating normal tissue in each case.

The comparison of primary tumours and their corresponding metastases confirmed the findings of the statistical analysis by the difference histogram in single cases. Additionally it suggested distinct genetic changes during the process of tumour progression being exemplified in Figure 2. First, for chromosome 1q we observed twice over-representations of the entire chromosome arm in the primary tumours, whereas the metastases showed DNA gain or even amplification of single bands. This correlates with the finding that gene amplifications are typically found in advanced tumour stages (Brison et al, 1993). Second, as a more general finding we observed that small interstitial deletions were often extended to large DNA under-representations that affected chromosome arms or even entire chromosomes. This observation points to the importance of triggering effects in the evolution of chromosomal defects. Third, the genetic changes were often more pronounced in the metastatic lesion. This might be explained by the fact that out of the genetically heterogeneous primaries those cells which carry a selection advantage for tumour dissemination are enriched in the metastatic lesions. In addition, the metastatic lesions do generally have a less pronounced contamination by normal tissue.

In summary, we identified several chromosomal imbalances that were associated with the metastatic phenotype of lung SCC, offering new candidate regions for the identification of metastasisassociated genes. Importantly, the specific chromosome defects were frequently detectable in the primary tumours thus offering a mean to evaluate the patient risk by the analysis of biopsies or tumour resections. Although these changes were generally not simultaneously detectable in a single case it is obviously the accumulation that mediates the potential for tumour dissemination. The complexity of the genetic changes already detectable by CGH clearly indicates that it will be necessary to monitor a large number of genes to evaluate the malignant potential of a tumour. The identification of these genes and their role in tumour progression will be a fascinating task in the forthcoming years. Despite the limitation of CGH being the fact that it does not detect defects of specific genes its power to provide a comprehensive picture of the chromosomal alterations has revealed a remarkable pheno-type-genotype correlation in lung carcinomas. Therefore CGH does supply valuable information for a refined tumour characterization and may serve as a paradigm for the feasibility of a genetic classification of solid tumours.
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