

# MDF

### Article LAG-3, TIM-3 and VISTA Expression on Tumor-Infiltrating Lymphocytes in Oropharyngeal Squamous Cell Carcinoma—Potential Biomarkers for Targeted Therapy Concepts

Nora Wuerdemann <sup>1,2,3,\*,†</sup>, Katharina Pütz <sup>4,†</sup>, Hans Eckel <sup>2,3</sup>, Rishabh Jain <sup>2</sup>, Claus Wittekindt <sup>1</sup>, Christian U. Huebbers <sup>2,3,5</sup>, Shachi J. Sharma <sup>1,2,3</sup>, Christine Langer <sup>1</sup>, Stefan Gattenlöhner <sup>6</sup>, Reinhard Büttner <sup>4</sup>, Ernst-Jan Speel <sup>7</sup>, Malte Suchan <sup>2,3</sup>, Steffen Wagner <sup>1</sup>, Alexander Quaas <sup>4,‡</sup> and Jens P. Klussmann <sup>2,3,‡</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Head and Neck Surgery, University of Giessen, Klinikstrasse 33, 35392 Giessen, Germany; claus.wittekindt@klinikdo.de (C.W.); Shachi.Sharma@uk-koeln.de (S.J.S.); Christine.langer@hno-med.uni-giessen.de (C.L.); steffen.wagner@hno.med.uni-giessen.de (S.W.)
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Head and Neck Surgery, Medical Faculty, University of Cologne, Kerpener Strasse 62, 50937 Cologne, Germany; hans.eckel@uk-koeln.de (H.E.); s0rijain@uni-bonn.de (R.J.); christian.huebbers@uk-koeln.de (C.U.H.); malte.suchan@uk-koeln.de (M.S.); jens.klussmann@uk-koeln.de (J.P.K.)
- <sup>3</sup> Center for Molecular Medicine Cologne (CMMC), Faculty of Medicine and University Hospital Cologne, University of Cologne, Robert-Koch-Str. 21, 50931 Cologne, Germany
- <sup>4</sup> Institute of Pathology, University of Cologne, Kerpener Strasse 62, 50937 Cologne, Germany; katharina.puetz@uk-koeln.de (K.P.); Reinhard.buettner@uk-koeln.de (R.B.); alexander.quaas@uk-koeln.de (A.Q.)
- <sup>5</sup> Jean-Uhrmacher-Institute for Otorhinolaryngological Research, University of Cologne, Geibelstrasse 29–31, 50931 Cologne, Germany
- <sup>6</sup> Institute of Pathology, University of Giessen, Langhansstrasse 10, 35392 Giessen, Germany; Stefan.gattenloehner@patho.med.uni-giessen.de
- <sup>7</sup> Department of Pathology, GROW-School for Oncology and Developmental Biology, Maastricht University Medical Center, Universiteitssingel 40, 6229 ER Maastricht, The Netherlands; ernstjan.speel@mumc.nl
- \* Correspondence: nora.wuerdemann@uk-koeln.de; Tel.: +49-0221-478-4750
- † These authors contributed equally to this work.
- ‡ These authors contributed equally to this work.

Abstract: Tumor growth and survival requires a particularly effective immunosuppressant tumor microenvironment (TME) to escape destruction by the immune system. While immunosuppressive checkpoint markers like programmed cell death 1 ligand (PD-L1) are already being targeted in clinical practice, lymphocyte-activation-protein 3 (LAG-3), T-cell immunoglobulin and mucin-domain containing-3 (TIM-3) and V-domain Ig suppressor of T cell activation (VISTA) inhibitors are currently under investigation in clinical trials. Reliable findings on the expression status of those immune checkpoint inhibitors on tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs) in the TME of oropharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma (OPSCC) are lacking. This work aims to describe the expression of LAG-3, TIM-3, and VISTA expression in the TME of OPSCC. We created a tissue microarray of paraffin-embedded tumor tissue of 241 OPSCC. Expression of the immune checkpoint protein LAG-3, TIM-3, and VISTA in OPSCC was evaluated using immunohistochemistry and results were correlated with CD8+ T-cell inflammation and human papillomavirus (HPV)-status. 73 OPSCC stained positive for LAG-3 (31%; HPV+:44%; HPV-:26%, *p* = 0.006), 122 OPSCC stained positive for TIM-3 (51%; HPV+:70%; HPV-:44%, *p* < 0.001) and 168 OPSCC (70%; HPV+:75%; HPV-:68%, *p* = 0.313) for VISTA. CD8+ T-cells were significantly associated with LAG-3, TIM-3 and VISTA expression (p < 0.001, p < 0.001, p = 0.007). Immune checkpoint therapy targeting LAG-3, TIM-3, and/or VISTA could be a promising treatment strategy especially in HPV-related OPSCC. Future clinical trials investigating the efficacy of a checkpoint blockade in consideration of LAG-3, TIM-3, and VISTA expression are required.

**Keywords:** oropharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma; human papillomavirus; LAG-3; TIM-3; VISTA; CD8-positive T-lymphocytes; tumor microenvironment



Citation: Wuerdemann, N.; Pütz, K.; Eckel, H.; Jain, R.; Wittekindt, C.; Huebbers, C.U.; Sharma, S.J.; Langer, C.; Gattenlöhner, S.; Büttner, R.; et al. LAG-3, TIM-3 and VISTA Expression on Tumor-Infiltrating Lymphocytes in Oropharyngeal Squamous Cell Carcinoma—Potential Biomarkers for Targeted Therapy Concepts. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2021, 22, 379. https://doi. org/10.3390/ijms22010379

Received: 30 November 2020 Accepted: 25 December 2020 Published: 31 December 2020

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2020 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

#### 1. Introduction

Immune checkpoints (ICP) are expressed in healthy tissue to prevent autoimmune disease and are often being altered by cancer cells to evade the host immune system [1]. Bypassing immune surveillance and immune response of tumor cells is controlled by the upregulation of co-inhibitory checkpoints and the delivery of inhibitory signals to T-cells. Tumors activate certain ICP, particularly against tumor-antigen specific T-cells, as a mechanism of immune resistance [2].

In recent years, ICP blockage has emerged in therapy of multiple cancer entities with encouraging results [3,4]. Whereas cytotoxic T lymphocyte-associated antigen 4 (CTLA-4) and programmed death 1 (PD-1) and ligand 1 (PD-L1) are the most extensively studied and targeted ICP receptors in treatment of multiple solid tumors, further next-generation ICP are within reach [5–8].

Lymphocyte-activation gene 3 (LAG-3) belongs to the immunoglobulin superfamily (IgSF) and is displayed particularly on activated immune cells e.g., several forms of T-lymphocytes (CD4+, CD8+, regulatory T-cells (Treg) [9,10]. There is an alternative splice variant of LAG-3 that leads to a soluble form (sLAG-3) with controversial biological functions of the protein [11]. LAG-3 binds with higher affinity than CD4 to major histocompatibility complex II (MHC II). This is supported by its gene sequence, which is 20% identical to CD4 [9]. The LAG-3/MHC II complex on CD4+ cells negatively modulates T-cell activity and enhances antigen self-tolerance when displayed on CD8+ cells. Persistent antigen exposure in the tumor microenvironment possibly results in maintenance of LAG-3 expression on inflammatory cells, which contributes to a state of exhaustion (e.g., impaired proliferation of T-cells and cytokine production) and can enhance anti-tumor T-cell response [12–14].

T-cell immunoglobulin and mucin-domain containing-3 (TIM-3) is expressed by a variety of immune cells including dendritic cells, macrophages, and T-cells and mediates its suppressive activity on immune cells via its ligands phosphatidylserine, CEACAM-1 and the widely expressed ligand galectin-9 [15–19]. TIM-3 is expressed on activated T-cells and its signaling on cytotoxic T-cells leads to an exhausted phenotype, characterized by a reduction in proliferation, decreased production of effector cytokines and apoptosis of effector T-cells [16]. Multiple studies have reported on the presence of TIM-3 tumor-infiltrating T-lymphocytes (TILs) in human tumors with various effects [20–24].

V-domain Ig suppressor of T cell activation (VISTA) shares homology with PD-L1 and is another ICP expressed on TILs and myeloid cells simultaneously functioning as ligand on antigen-presenting cells and as receptor in T-lymphocytes [25–31]. When upregulated, VISTA suppresses T-cell activation, proliferation, and cytokine production [32].

Numerous studies have demonstrated that the success of immunotherapy is often limited to a specific subgroup. This also applies to head and neck cancer (HNSCC) patients, where objective response rates are about 15% [33–35]. Oropharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma (OPSCC) displays a subgroup of HNSCC with increasing incidences [36–38]. Besides nicotine and alcohol, the development of OPSCC is caused by persistent infection with high-risk human papillomavirus (HPV), predominantly type 16 [39,40]. HPV-related OPSCC are preferentially located in lymphoid tissue of the head and neck (tonsil, base of tongue) and dysregulation of the immune system in their surroundings might play an important role in carcinogenesis. While most patients with HPV-related OPSCC are characterized by superior locoregional control and favorable outcome in comparison to patients with HPV-negative OPSCC [41], morbidity and post-treatment toxicity rates are still high in both subgroups.

Therefore, more effective and less toxic treatment strategies are urgently needed in this entity and new immune checkpoint inhibitor (ICI)-approaches might enable such personalized therapies in the future. Recent clinical trials are investigating the blockage of e.g. LAG-3 (Trials: NCT02061761; NCT01968109, NCT03538028, NCT03625323), TIM-3 (Trials: NCT03652077) or VISTA (Trial: NCT02671955) in multiple solid cancers, including HNSCC.

The expression profile of LAG-3, TIM-3, and VISTA on immune cells in OPSCC displays the basis for applying targeted therapies in the future. However, little is known to this point.

Therefore, we aimed to analyze the expression profile of targetable ICP like LAG-3, TIM-3, and VISTA in association with each other and according to HPV-status in a well-characterized, retrospective OPSCC patient cohort.

#### 2. Results

#### 2.1. Patient and Tumor Characteristics

Clinicopathological details of the OPSCC patient cohort are presented in Table 1. The median age of OPSCC patients was 60 years, whereas it was 60.6 in HPV-negative and 57.9 years in HPV-related OPSCC patients. Among the 241 cases, 63/241 (26%) patients were diagnosed with an HPV-related OPSCC (positive for high-risk HPV-DNA and p16<sup>INK4a</sup> (p16) expression) and 177/241 (74%) with an HPV-negative OPSCC (Table 1). Patients with an HPV-related OPSCC were less frequently smokers and drinkers (each p < 0.001) and tumors were predominantly located in the tonsil region (p = 0.012) and associated with lymph node metastasis (p = 0.001). Patients with HPV-negative OPSCC were more often treated with surgery initially in comparison to patients with HPV-negative OPSCC (p = 0.006) and patients with HPV-negative OPSCC developed recurrent disease more frequently (p < 0.001).

Table 1. Clinicopathological features of the OPSCC (oropharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma) patient cohort (n = 241 \*).

|                          |                        | A         | 1              | HPV-R    | elated | HPV-Ne    | egative | 11         |  |
|--------------------------|------------------------|-----------|----------------|----------|--------|-----------|---------|------------|--|
| <b>Kisk Factors</b>      |                        | (n = 241) | 100%           | (n = 63) | 26%    | (n = 177) | 74%     | – <i>p</i> |  |
| NT                       | never                  | 44        | 18%            | 24       | 39%    | 20        | 11%     | -0.001     |  |
| Nicotine                 | former/current         | 195       | 82%            | 38       | 61%    | 156       | 89%     | <0.001     |  |
| 4111                     | $\leq$ 2 drinks/day    | 114       | 58%            | 50       | 96%    | 63        | 44%     | -0.001     |  |
| Alcohol                  | > 2 drinks/day         | 82        | 42%            | 2        | 4%     | 80        | 56%     | <0.001     |  |
| 1 30                     | young (< 60 years)     | 118       | 49%            | 34       | 54%    | 84        | 47%     | 0.275      |  |
| Age                      | old ( $\geq 60$ years) | 123       | 51%            | 29       | 46%    | 93        | 53%     | 0.375      |  |
| Gender                   | male                   | 189       | 189 78% 44 70% |          | 144    | 81%       | 0.057   |            |  |
|                          | female                 | 52        | 22%            | 19       | 30%    | 33        | 19%     | 0.057      |  |
| FCOC                     | healthy (0–1)          | 172       | 74%            | 45       | 78%    | 127       | 72%     | 0.417      |  |
| ECOG                     | sick (2–4)             | 62        | 26%            | 13       | 22%    | 49        | 28%     | 0.417      |  |
| Tumor<br>characteristics |                        |           |                |          |        |           |         |            |  |
|                          | tonsil                 | 126       | 53%            | 42       | 67%    | 83        | 48%     |            |  |
| Localization             | other than tonsil      | 110       | 47%            | 21       | 33%    | 89        | 52%     | 0.012      |  |
| LUCC7 starse             | I–III                  | 98        | 41%            | 24       | 38%    | 74        | 42%     | 0 5 ( 0    |  |
| UICC/ stages             | >III                   | 141       | 59%            | 39       | 62%    | 101       | 58%     | 0.562      |  |
| Tataaa                   | T1–3                   | 190       | 79%            | 54       | 86%    | 135       | 77%     | 0.1.40     |  |
| 1-stage                  | T> 3                   | 49        | 21%            | 9        | 14%    | 40        | 23%     | 0.149      |  |

|                     |       | Al        | 11   | HPV-R    | elated | HPV-Ne    | 11  |                    |
|---------------------|-------|-----------|------|----------|--------|-----------|-----|--------------------|
| <b>Risk Factors</b> |       | (n = 241) | 100% | (n = 63) | 26%    | (n = 177) | 74% | – <i>P</i>         |
| Nataza              | N0    | 69        | 29%  | 8        | 13%    | 61        | 35% | 0.001              |
| N-stage             | N+    | 170       | 71%  | 55       | 87%    | 114       | 65% | 0.001              |
| M-stage             | M0    | 222       | 95%  | 60       | 98%    | 161       | 94% | 0.001              |
|                     | M > 0 | 11        | 5%   | 1        | 2%     | 10        | 6%  | 0.296 <sup>a</sup> |
| 7                   | no    | 209       | 87%  | 62       | 98%    | 146       | 82% |                    |
| Recurrence          | yes   | 32        | 13%  | 1        | 2%     | 31        | 18% | <0.001 ª           |
| Treatment           | ,     |           |      |          |        |           |     |                    |
| Upfront Surgery     | Yes   | 175       | 73%  | 54       | 86%    | 120       | 68% | 0.000              |
|                     | No    | 66        | 27%  | 9        | 14%    | 57        | 32% | 0.006              |

Table 1. Cont.

*p*-values calculated by  $x^2$  test (Pearson, asymptotic, two-sided) or <sup>a</sup> exact test (Fisher, two-sided), significant *p*-values ( $p \le 0.05$ ) in bold; \* 1 case with unknown human papillomavirus (HPV)-status.

#### 2.2. Expression Profile of LAG-3, TIM-3 and VISTA

Illustrative images of the staining patterns of LAG-3, TIM-3, and VISTA on immune cells are displayed in Figure 1A–D.



**Figure 1.** Expression of (**A**) LAG-3 on immune cells (magnification  $200 \times$ ). (**B**) TIM-3 (magnification  $200 \times$ ) (**C**) VISTA (magnification  $200 \times$ ) on immune cells. (**D**) Membrane-pattern of CD8 positive lymphocytes in oropharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma (OPSCC) (magnification  $200 \times$ ). Arrows pointing to positive staining.

There was a significant association between positive HPV-status and LAG-3 and TIM-3 expression on TILs (p = 0.006, p < 0.001; Table 2), but not for VISTA expression (p = 0.313; Table 2). For LAG-3 44% (n = 28), TIM-3 70% (n = 44) and VISTA 75% (n = 47) of HPV-related OPSCC stained positive, while only 26% (n = 45), 44% (n = 78) and 68% (n = 120) and of HPV-negative tumors did, respectively (Table 2). Expression of all checkpoint markers significantly correlated with each other in the entire cohort and according to HPV-status (Table 2). Composition of the expression level of ICP in OPSCC is displayed in a heat-map according to HPV-status (Figure 2). In HPV-related OPSCC, double or triple expression of ICP in association with CD8+ TILs was more frequent than in HPV-negative OPSCC (Figure 2).



**Figure 2.** Heat-map of LAG-3, TIM-3, VISTA, and CD8 distribution within the tissue microarray (TMA). Each line presents one patient, whereas one column presents the expression of each immune-checkpoint marker on the TMA with blue indicating positive expression (>1%), red for negative expression ( $\leq$ 1%), and black for missing values.

|                   |     | LAG-3 Expression |     |        |         | TIM-3 Expression |                  |     |     |     |               | VISTA Expression |     |         |     |                |     | CD8 Expression |     |     |          |  |
|-------------------|-----|------------------|-----|--------|---------|------------------|------------------|-----|-----|-----|---------------|------------------|-----|---------|-----|----------------|-----|----------------|-----|-----|----------|--|
| All               |     | yes              | (%) | no     | (%)     | р                | yes              | (%) | no  | (%) | р             | yes              | (%) | no      | (%) | р              | yes | (%)            | no  | (%) | р        |  |
|                   |     | 73               | 31% | 166    | 69%     |                  | 122              | 51% | 119 | 49% |               | 168              | 70% | 73      | 30% |                | 89  | 37%            | 149 | 63% |          |  |
| LAC 2 Expression  | yes |                  |     |        |         |                  | 54               | 74% | 19  | 26% | .0.001        | 66               | 90% | 7       | 10% | -0.001         | 39  | 56%            | 31  | 44% | -0.001   |  |
| LAG-5 Expression  | no  |                  |     |        |         |                  | 68               | 41% | 98  | 59% | <0.001        | 101              | 61% | 65      | 39% | <0.001         | 50  | 30%            | 116 | 70% | <0.001   |  |
| TIM-3 Expression  | yes |                  |     |        |         |                  |                  |     |     |     |               | 113              | 93% | 9       | 7%  | <0.001         | 65  | 54%            | 55  | 46% | 0.001    |  |
| TIM-5 Expression  | no  |                  |     |        |         |                  |                  |     |     |     |               | 55               | 46% | 64      | 54% | <0.001         | 24  | 20%            | 94  | 80% | <0.001   |  |
| VISTA Expression  | yes |                  |     |        |         |                  |                  |     |     |     |               |                  |     |         |     |                | 71  | 43%            | 94  | 57% | 0.007    |  |
| VISIA Expression  | no  |                  |     |        |         |                  |                  |     |     |     |               |                  |     |         |     |                | 18  | 25%            | 55  | 75% | 0.007    |  |
| CD8 Expression    | yes |                  |     |        |         |                  |                  |     |     |     |               |                  |     |         |     |                |     |                |     |     |          |  |
| CD0 Expression    | no  |                  |     |        |         |                  |                  |     |     |     |               |                  |     |         |     |                |     |                |     |     |          |  |
| UDV valation y    | yes | 28               | 44% | 35     | 56%     | 0.006            | 44               | 70% | 19  | 30% | ~0.001        | 47               | 75% | 16      | 25% | 0 313          | 42  | 67%            | 21  | 33% | ~0.001   |  |
|                   | no  | 45               | 26% | 130    | 74%     | 0.000            | 78               | 44% | 99  | 56% | <b>CO.001</b> | 120              | 68% | 57      | 32% | 0.515          | 46  | 26%            | 128 | 74% | <0.001   |  |
| HPV-related OPSCC |     |                  | LAG | -3 Exp | ression |                  | TIM-3 Expression |     |     |     |               |                  | VIS | pressio | n   | CD8 Expression |     |                |     |     |          |  |
| <i>n</i> = 63     |     | yes              | (%) | no     | (%)     | р                | yes              | (%) | no  | (%) | р             | yes              | (%) | no      | (%) | р              | yes | (%)            | no  | (%) | р        |  |
|                   |     | 28               | 44% | 35     | 56%     | ,                | 44               | 70% | 19  | 30% | ,             | 47               | 75% | 16      | 25% | ,              | 42  | 67%            | 21  | 33% | ,        |  |
| LAC 2 Expression  | yes |                  |     |        |         |                  | 24               | 86% | 4   | 14% | 0.00(3        | 25               | 89% | 3       | 11% | 0.001.3        | 25  | 89%            | 3   | 11% | 0.001.3  |  |
| LAG-5 Expression  | no  |                  |     |        |         |                  | 20               | 57% | 15  | 43% | 0.026 ª       | 22               | 63% | 13      | 37% | 0.021 ª        | 17  | 49%            | 18  | 51% | 0.001 "  |  |
| TIM 2 Evennession | yes |                  |     |        |         |                  |                  |     |     |     |               | 41               | 93% | 3       | 7%  | 0.001.3        | 37  | 84%            | 7   | 16% | 0.001.3  |  |
| TIM-5 Expression  | no  |                  |     |        |         |                  |                  |     |     |     |               | 6                | 32% | 13      | 68% | <0.001 "       | 5   | 26%            | 14  | 74% | <0.001 " |  |
| VISTA Expression  | yes |                  |     |        |         |                  |                  |     |     |     |               |                  |     |         |     |                | 38  | 81%            | 9   | 19% | .0.001.3 |  |
| VISIA Expression  | no  |                  |     |        |         |                  |                  |     |     |     |               |                  |     |         |     |                | 4   | 26%            | 12  | 74% | <0.001 " |  |
| CD8 Expression    | yes |                  |     |        |         |                  |                  |     |     |     |               |                  |     |         |     |                |     |                |     |     |          |  |
| CDO Expression    | no  |                  |     |        |         |                  |                  |     |     |     |               |                  |     |         |     |                |     |                |     |     |          |  |

**Table 2.** Relation of LAG-3, TIM-3 and VISTA expression in association with CD8-positive TILs according to each other in the whole cohort (n = 241) and according to human papillomavirus (HPV)-status (HPV-related oropharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma (OPSCC), n = 63, HPV-negative OPSCC, n = 177).

|                     |     | LAG-3 Expression |     |     |     |   | TIM-3 Expression |     |    |     |       |     | VISTA Expression |    |                |          |     |     | CD8 Expression |     |       |  |  |
|---------------------|-----|------------------|-----|-----|-----|---|------------------|-----|----|-----|-------|-----|------------------|----|----------------|----------|-----|-----|----------------|-----|-------|--|--|
| All                 |     | yes              | (%) | no  | (%) | р | yes              | (%) | no | (%) | р     | yes | (%)              | no | (%)            | р        | yes | (%) | no             | (%) | р     |  |  |
| HPV-negative OPSCC  |     | LAG-3 Expression |     |     |     |   | TIM-3 Expression |     |    |     |       |     | rpressio         | n  | CD8 Expression |          |     |     |                |     |       |  |  |
| n = 177             |     | yes              | (%) | no  | (%) | р | yes              | (%) | no | (%) | р     | yes | (%)              | no | (%)            | р        | yes | (%) | no             | (%) | р     |  |  |
|                     |     | 45               | 26% | 130 | 74% |   | 78               | 44% | 99 | 56% |       | 120 | 68%              | 57 | 32%            |          | 46  | 26% | 128            | 74% |       |  |  |
| LAC 2 Expression    | yes |                  |     |     |     |   | 30               | 67% | 15 | 33% | 0.001 | 41  | 91%              | 4  | 9%             | 0.001.3  | 14  | 33% | 28             | 67% | 0.0(7 |  |  |
| LAG-5 Expression    | no  |                  |     |     |     |   | 48               | 40% | 82 | 60% | 0.001 | 78  | 60%              | 52 | 40%            | <0.001 " | 32  | 25% | 98             | 75% | 0.267 |  |  |
| TIM 2 Expression    | yes |                  |     |     |     |   |                  |     |    |     |       | 72  | 92%              | 6  | 8%             | 0.001    | 28  | 37% | 48             | 63% | 0.007 |  |  |
| This-5 Expression   | no  |                  |     |     |     |   |                  |     |    |     |       | 48  | 48%              | 51 | 52%            | <0.001   | 18  | 18% | 80             | 82% | 0.006 |  |  |
| VICTA European      | yes |                  |     |     |     |   |                  |     |    |     |       |     |                  |    |                |          | 32  | 27% | 85             | 73% | 0.00  |  |  |
| VISIA Expression    | no  |                  |     |     |     |   |                  |     |    |     |       |     |                  |    |                |          | 14  | 25% | 43             | 75% | 0.695 |  |  |
| $CD^{\circ}$ Events | yes |                  |     |     |     |   |                  |     |    |     |       |     |                  |    |                |          |     |     |                |     |       |  |  |
| CD8 Expression      | no  |                  |     |     |     |   |                  |     |    |     |       |     |                  |    |                |          |     |     |                |     |       |  |  |

Table 2. Cont.

*p*-values calculated by  $x^2$  test (Pearson, asymptotic, two-sided) or <sup>a</sup> exact test (Fisher, two-sided), significant *p*-values ( $p \le 0.05$ ) in bold.

A high number of CD8+ TILs was significantly associated with positive HPV-status (67% vs. 26%, p < 0.001; Table 2). 37% (n = 89) of OPSCC presented with high numbers of CD8+ TILs in their tumor microenvironment (TME) and this was significantly correlated with LAG-3, TIM-3 and VISTA expression in the whole cohort (p < 0.001, p < 0.001, p = 0.007).

No staining of tumor cells was observed according to LAG-3, TIM-3, or VISTA.

LAG-3, TIM-3 and VISTA expression on immune cells was associated with an inflamed tumor microenvironment (CD8+ TILs) in the entire cohort (p < 0.001, p < 0.001, p = 0.007,) and in HPV-related OPSCC (p = 0.001, p < 0.001, p < 0.001). In HPV-negative OPSCC patients, only TIM-3 expression was associated with high infiltrate of CD8+ TILs (p = 0.006) whereas LAG-3 and VISTA expression were not (p = 0.267, p = 0.695; Table 2).

#### 2.3. Survival Analysis

Patients with HPV-related OPSCC had a significantly improved survival compared to patients with HPV-negative OPSCC (HR 0.276., CI: 0.161–0.472; p < 0.001). Further, LAG-3 expression (HR 0.668, CI: 0.456–0.976, p = 0.037), TIM-3 expression (HR 0.515, HR 0.364–0.729, p < 0.001), VISTA expression (HR 0.707, CI 0.500–1.000, p = 0.050), CD8+ TILs (HR 0.308, CI: 0.202–0.470, p < 0.001), younger age (HR 1.794, CI: 1.297–2.517; p = 0.001), low ECOG (HR 2.529, CI: 1.773–3.606, p < 0.001) and low UICC 7 stage (HR 0.657, CI: 0.463–0.931, p = 0.018) were factors for an improved OS in univariate analysis (Table 3). Multivariate analysis identified a high number of CD8+ TILs (HR 0.432, CI 0.272–0.685, p < 0.001), HPV-status (HR 0.430, CI: 0.244–0.757, p = 0.003), age (HR 1.663, CI: 1.171–2.362, p = 0.004), ECOG (HR 2.377, CI: 1.626–3..475, p < 0.001) and UICC 7 stages (HR 0.812, CI: 0.673–0.979, p = 0.029) to be independent factors contributing to an improved survival in the whole cohort (Table 3).

| Univariate<br>Median Survival [Years] |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Multiv   | 7ariate  |   |
|---------------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|---|
|                                       | Ν   | OS   | C<br>Lower   | CI<br>Upper  | р  | 5Y-OS  | HR   | Lower  | CI<br>Upper  | $p^{a}$  | HR   | C<br>Lower   | 'I<br>Upper  | $p^{\mathrm{a}}$  |
| no                                    | 166   | 4.822  | 3.657  | 5.768  | 0.02(  | 51%  |  |  |  | 0.007  |  |  |  |   |
| yes                                   | 73  | 7.148  | 4.163  | 10.127   | 0.036  | 60%  | 0.668  | 0.456  | 0.976  | 0.037  |  |  |  | n.s.  |
| no                                    | 119   | 3.545  | 1.309  | 5.781  | <0.001   | 45%  |  |  |  | ~0.001   |  |  |  | ne  |
| yes                                   | 122   | 7.551  | n.a.   | n.a.   | <b>N0.001</b>  | 63%  | 0.515  | 0.364  | 0.729  | <0.001   |  |  |  | 11.5.   |
| no                                    | 73  | 3.129  | 0.489  | 5.768  | 0 049  | 45%  |  |  |  | 0.050  |  |  |  | ns  |
| yes                                   | 168   | 5.323  | 4.257  | 6.390  | 0.049  | 58%  | 0.707  | 0.500  | 1.000  | 0.050  |  |  |  | 11.5.   |
| no                                    | 149   | 2.490  | 1.189  | 3.792  | <0.001   | 40%  | 0.208  | 0 202  | 0.470  | <0.001   |  |  |  | <0.001  |
| yes                                   | 89  | n.a  | n.a.   | n.a.   | <0.001   | 78%  | 0.308  | 0.202  | 0.470  | <0.001   | 0.432  | 0.272  | 0.685  | <0.001  |
| HPV-negative                          | 177   | 4.019  | 2.554  | 5.484  | <0.001   | 44%  |  |  |  | <0.001   |  |  |  | 0.002   |
| HPV-related                           | 63  | n.a  | n.a.   | n.a.   | <0.001   | 81%  | 0.276  | 0.161  | 0.472  | <0.001   | 0.430  | 0.244  | 0.757  | 0.005   |
| young (<60 years)                     | 118   | 8.600  | 5.445  | 11.755   | 0.001  | 63%  |  |  |  | 0.001  |  |  |  | 0.004   |
| old ( $\geq 60$ years)                | 123   | 3.663  | 2.146  | 5.180  | 0.001  | 46%  | 1.794  | 1.297  | 2.517  | 0.001  | 1.663  | 1.171  | 2.362  | 0.004   |
| healthy (0–2)                         | 172   | 6.608  | 4.272  | 8.944  | <0.001   | 61%  |  |  |  | <0.001   |  |  |  | <0.001  |
| sick (3–4)                            | 62  | 1.668  | 0.970  | 2.367  | <0.001   | 31%  | 2.529  | 1.773  | 3.606  | <0.001   | 2.377  | 1.626  | 3.475  | <0.001  |
| 1–3                                   | 98  | 6.655  | 3.659  | 9.650  | 0.017  | 63%  |  |  |  | 0.010  |  |  |  | 0.020   |
| $\geq 4$                              | 141   | 4.181  | 2.053  | 6.309  | 0.017  | 48%  | 0.657  | 0.463  | 0.931  | 0.018  | 0.812  | 0.673  | 0.979  | 0.029   |
|                                       | no<br>yes<br>no<br>yes<br>no<br>yes<br>HPV-negative<br>HPV-related<br>young (<60 years)<br>old ( $\geq$ 60 years)<br>healthy (0–2)<br>sick (3–4)<br>1–3<br>$\geq$ 4 | $\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c }\hline & & & & & & \\ \hline & & & no & & 166 \\ & & & yes & & 73 \\ & & & no & & 119 \\ & & & yes & & 122 \\ & & & no & & 122 \\ & & & no & & 73 \\ & & & yes & & 168 \\ & & & no & & 149 \\ & & & yes & & 89 \\ & & & HPV\text{-negative} & & 177 \\ & & & & HPV\text{-negative} & & 177 \\ & & & & & HPV\text{-negative} & & 177 \\ & & & & & & HPV\text{-negative} & & 177 \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & &$ | $\begin{tabular}{ c c c c } & Univ Media \\ \hline Media \\ \hline N & OS \\ \hline & & \\ \hline \hline & & \\ \hline & & \\ \hline & & \\ \hline & & \\ \hline \hline \hline \hline$ | $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | $\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$ | $\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$ | $\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$ | $\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$ | $ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$ |

**Table 3.** Univariate and multivariate survival analysis according to risk factors and tumor characteristics in the whole cohort (*n* = 241).

HR hazard ratios estimated by Cox proportional-hazards models; CI 95% confidence interval. *p*-values calculated by Log Rank (Mantel–Cox) test; univariate; *p* < 0.05 in bold; N/A: not applicable. <sup>a</sup> *p*-values estimated by Cox proportional-hazards models, uni- and multivariate; *p* < 0.05 in bold.

#### 3. Discussion

PD-L1 expression status as a biomarker to select patients for anti-PD-1 immunotherapy in HNSCC is well investigated [42]. However little is known about the importance of the expression status of additional ICP in the TME of OPSCC, and especially according to HPV-status. The identification of new targetable ICP is gaining significance as subgroups of patients do not respond to anti-PD-1 immunotherapy in preliminary treatment or develop treatment resistance along the way. Possible reasons for this may be the interrelationship of multiple components in the tumor immune microenvironment, as it has been reported that the co-expression of LAG-3 with other inhibitory molecules such as TIM-3 or PD-1 induces the exhaustion of immune cells, resulting in downregulated cytokine expression [43,44]. As recent clinical trials are investigating alternative ICP receptors as LAG-3, TIM-3, and VISTA alone or in combination, knowledge of the expression status as biomarker is clinically relevant. Early clinical results have demonstrated success in dual immune blockage with LAG-3/PD-1 after developing resistance according to anti-PD-1 immunotherapy [45–47].

OPSCC, often caused by persistent infection with high-risk HPV, is a rising entity and subset of HNSCC [38]. In our cohort, 26% of OPSCC were related to high-risk type HPV. In this context, it must be acknowledged that this is not reflective of total incidences in Germany, as patient selection was performed according to suitability of tumor tissue. Nevertheless, the percentage does coincide with median incidences at our site. Higher expression of ICP in virus-related cancer has been reported as a sign for an immune-active TME [48], and viral oncoprotein expression has been proposed as biomarker for predicting success of ICP therapy [49,50]. Our data reveal a significant association between LAG-3, TIM-3, and VISTA expression in the entire cohort as well as according to HPV-status. HPVrelated OPSCC had significantly higher expression rates of LAG-3 and TIM-3 and presented with higher numbers of CD8+ TILs, whereas no significant difference was detected for VISTA expression according to HPV-status.

An overexpression of LAG-3 on tumor-infiltrating CD8+ T cells in different tumor types has also been reported for ovarian cancer, hepatocellular carcinoma, gastric cancer, and follicular lymphoma [51–54]. A study by Panda et al. revealed considerably high LAG-3 expression in HNSCC and higher LAG-3 expression in association with positive HPV-status based on mRNA expression in the TCGA cohort [49]. Further, they reported that CD8A expression was highly correlated with LAG-3 expression [49], which in is line with our results.

In a phase I/IIa study, the anti-LAG-3 antibody BMS-986016 was applied in combination with nivolumab in patients with malignant melanoma who previously developed progressive disease on PD-1 blockage [55]. The objective response rate to combinations of LAG-3 and PD-1 blockage was 3.5-fold higher in patients with immunohistochemistrybased LAG-3 expression  $\geq 1\%$  vs. <1% [55]. This gives cause to think, that HPV-related OPSCC might be more susceptible to single or combined anti-LAG-3 antibody therapy than HPV-negative OPSCC patients. Several ongoing trials targeting LAG-3 are at range for different cancer types [56], including head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (NCT03625323).

To this point, nothing is known about the expression profile of TIM-3 in OPSCC, according to HPV-status. Liu et al., reported that the TIM-3 expression was significantly up-regulated in HNSCC compared to dysplasia or normal tissue [20] and preclinical investigation in in vitro mice models demonstrated that, inhibiting TIM-3 alone, insufficiently improves overall survival rates [57]. Clinical trials in humans are currently evaluating the safety profile and efficacy of TIM-3 alone (NCT03652077) and in combination with PD-1/PD-L1 in advanced solid tumors (NCT02817633).

To date, there is one clinical trial investigating safety and tolerability of an anti-VISTA monoclonal antibody (NCT02671955) in subjects with advanced solid tumors. Whereas nothing is known about VISTA expression in OPSCC [58], Wu et al. investigated the role of VISTA in oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC) and found that VISTA protein expression was significantly higher in OSCC compared to normal tissue. Further, VISTA was no independent predictor for prognosis, which is consistent with our results. Kondo et al.

reported that blockage of VISTA increases T-cell recruitment to the TME of squamous cell carcinoma and that it efficiently converts CD8+ T-cells into functional effector cells in HNSCC [59]. Nevertheless, single blockage of VISTA was insufficient to reduce tumor growth compared to a simultaneous blockage of CTLA-4 and VISTA [59], recommending combined ICP-targeting in HNSCC.

To our knowledge, this is the first study investigating LAG-3, TIM-3, and VISTA expression in association with CD8+ TILs in a large cohort of OPSCC according to HPV-status. Clinical trials utilizing the safety and feasibility of LAG-3, TIM-3, and VISTA are currently on their way [60] and the first results are eagerly awaited.

In reference to the method chosen, it should be noted that when using TMAs, certain diagnostic limitations exist. Since only a small amount of tissue is harvested of each tumor, the morphological tumor heterogeneity or the heterogeneity of the infiltrating immune cells might be biased. Although we found that the TMA spots seem to represent tumor characteristics of oropharyngeal carcinoma, comparative studies on the reproducibility of TMA results should also be performed on full sections in the future to further validate our results. Concerning the evaluation of ICP expression, it has to be mentioned that we chose the criteria of 1% as it has been accepted in multiple clinical studies and recognized diagnostic scores. Increasing this cut-off would possibly disqualify cases that could actually benefit from appropriate ICP therapy regimes. However further investigations are necessary regarding applicable and reliable diagnostic scores for adequate therapeutic approaches.

Although not in the focus of our paper, survival analysis revealed that LAG-3, TIM-3, and VISTA have no significant impact on OS in multivariate analysis. Significance in univariate analysis is most likely attributable to the association with positive HPV-status and a high number of CD8+ TILs as these are both factors known to have a positive effect on OS.

In conclusion, the present study demonstrates that the co-expression of LAG-3, TIM-3, and VISTA is a frequent event in the TME of OPSCC, demonstrating an immune-rich phenotype. Therefore, it can be assumed that especially patients with HPV-related OPSCC might be susceptible to further ICP-therapy, alone or in combined regimes. However, the value of these ICI in OPSCC remains to be validated and further studies are mandatory to elucidate the role of expression status of LAG-3, TIM-3, and VISTA in relation to response rates and to establish reliable diagnostic scores for targeted immunotherapy concepts.

#### 4. Materials and Methods

#### 4.1. Patient Cohort

Patients who were diagnosed with OPSCC (C09, C10, International Classification of Diseases for Oncology (ICD-O)) and treated at the University Hospital Giessen between 2000 and 2016 and with sufficient pre-therapeutic tumor tissue samples available were included in this study. For preparation of tissue microarray (TMA) cores, formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded (FFPE) cancer tissue with a thickness of 2–3 mm was mandatory, resulting in 241 samples suitable for the analysis. Clinicopathological features of the entire cohort and according to HPV-status are displayed in Table 1. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients and the study protocol was approved by the Ethics committee of Giessen (AZ 95/15, dated 19 October 2015).

The 7th edition of the International Union against Cancer (UICC) TNM classification [61] and the WHO criteria for squamous cell carcinomas of the oral mucosa [62] served as reference for tumor staging and histological grading.

## *4.2.* p16<sup>INK4a</sup> Immunohistochemistry, HPV-DNA Genotyping and Construction of Tissue Microarray

p16 immunohistochemistry and HPV-DNA genotyping as wells as construction of TMA were performed as previously described [50].

Briefly, for TMA construction FFPE cancer tissue with a thickness of a least 2–3 mm was mandatory to produce TMA cores. The cores were taken from a tumor area including

tumor margins previously marked by a pathologist. A self-constructed semi-automated precision instrument was used to punch tissue cylinders with a diameter of 1.2 mm each from tumor tissue blocks. Subsequently, these tissue cylinders were embedded in empty recipient paraffin blocks to produce single spot TMAs and 4  $\mu$ m sections were transferred to an adhesive coated slide system (Instrumedics Inc Hackensack, NJ, USA).

#### 4.3. Immunohistochemistry

Immunohistochemical staining was performed on freshly cut 4  $\mu$  TMA slides by using a Bond Max automated system (Leica Biosystems, Wetzlar, Germany) in accordance with the manufacturer's protocol.

The following monoclonal antibodies were used for immunohistochemistry: LAG-3: the rabbit IgG monoclonal antibody D2G40 (Cell Signaling Technology, Leiden, Netherlands; dilution 1:300); TIM-3: the rabbit monoclonal antibody D5D5R (Cell Signaling Technology, Leiden, Netherlands; dilution 1:100), VISTA: the rabbit IgG monoclonal antibody D1L2G (Cell Signaling Technology, Leiden, Netherlands; dilution 1:100); CD8: the mouse monoclonal antibody C8/144B (Dako/Agilent, Carpinteria, CA, USA; dilution 1:200).

Human tonsil tissue on each of the TMA slides served as control for staining. The data was evaluated independently by two experienced pathologists (KP and AQ). Discrepant results were resolved by consensus review.

#### 4.4. Scoring of LAG-3, TIM-3, and VISTA

For LAG-3, TIM-3 and VISTA expression on immune cells <1% was defined as negative, whereas  $\geq$ 1% of expression was considered positive. This evaluation strategy follows the established assessment of LAG-3 and PD-L1 conducted in clinical trials in malignant melanoma, where response rates of LAG-3- and PD-L1-blockage correlated with LAG-3/PD-L1 expression of >1% [63,64]. For TIM-3 and VISTA this cut-off has been retained.

For CD8 expression <50 lymphocytes/mm<sup>2</sup> were categorized as negative, whereas  $\geq$ 50 lymphocytes/ mm<sup>2</sup> were classified as positive considering peritumoral and intratumoral distribution.

#### 4.5. Statistical Analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS statistical software (IBM SPSS 25.0, Armork, NY, USA). Differences in patient and tumor characteristics as well as immunostaining were calculated using Fisher's exact test or Pearson's Chi-squares test as appropriate. Survival curves were plotted according to the Kaplan–Meier method and analyzed using the log-rank test. To assess significant differences in OS, Cox proportional-hazards models were used to estimate hazard ratios (HR) with a confidence interval (CI) of 95% for OS in univariate and multivariate analysis. All tests were two-sided and *p*-values  $\leq 0.05$  were considered significant for all tests. The heat-map was created using Graphpad Prism (Graphpad Prism 8.3.0, San Diego, CA, USA). Data was plotted via the heat map tool using a double gradient heat map.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, A.Q. and J.P.K.; methodology, K.P. and A.Q.; software, K.P. and A.Q., C.U.H.; validation, K.P., S.W., and C.U.H., C.L.; formal analysis, H.E., M.S. and S.W.; investigation, K.P., A.Q. and N.W.; resources, J.P.K., S.G., A.Q., and R.B.; data curation, N.W. and S.W.; writing—original draft preparation, N.W., K.P., S.W., C.U.H., R.J. and H.E.; writing—review and editing, M.S., C.W., S.J.S., C.L. and E.-J.S.; visualization, N.W., K.P. and C.U.H.; supervision, A.Q. and J.P.K.; project administration, A.Q. and J.P.K. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** N.W. was supported by the Cologne Clinician Scientist Program (CCSP), funded by the German Research Council (FI 773/15–1). J.P.K., A.Q. and R.B. received a grant from EFRE.NRW (ImmunePredict).

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** The study was conducted according to the guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki, and approved by the Ethics committee of Giessen (AZ 95/15, dated 19 October 2015).

Informed Consent Statement: Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study.

**Data Availability Statement:** The data presented in this study are available on request from the corresponding author.

Acknowledgments: We would like to thank Wiebke Jeske and Maike Roth for their excellent technical support.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### References

- Pardoll, D.M. The Blockade of Immune Checkpoints in Cancer Immunotherapy. Nat. Rev. Cancer 2012, 12, 252–264. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Shi, T.; Ma, Y.; Yu, L.; Jiang, J.; Shen, S.; Hou, Y.; Wang, T. Cancer Immunotherapy: A Focus on the Regulation of Immune Checkpoints. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2018, 19, 1389. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Hodi, F.S.; O'Day, S.J.; McDermott, D.F.; Weber, R.W.; Sosman, J.A.; Haanen, J.B.; Gonzalez, R.; Robert, C.; Schadendorf, D.; Hassel, J.C.; et al. Improved Survival with Ipilimumab in Patients with Metastatic Melanoma. N. Engl. J. Med. 2010, 363, 711–723. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 4. Wolchok, J.D.; Kluger, H.; Callahan, M.K.; Postow, M.A.; Rizvi, N.A.; Lesokhin, A.M.; Segal, N.H.; Ariyan, C.E.; Gordon, R.-A.; Reed, K.; et al. Nivolumab plus Ipilimumab in Advanced Melanoma. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **2013**, *369*, 122–133. [CrossRef]
- Brahmer, J.R.; Tykodi, S.S.; Chow, L.Q.M.; Hwu, W.-J.; Topalian, S.L.; Hwu, P.; Drake, C.G.; Camacho, L.H.; Kauh, J.; Odunsi, K.; et al. Safety and Activity of Anti-PD-L1 Antibody in Patients with Advanced Cancer. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 2012, 366, 2455–2465. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Topalian, S.L.; Hodi, F.S.; Brahmer, J.R.; Gettinger, S.N.; Smith, D.C.; McDermott, D.F.; Powderly, J.D.; Carvajal, R.D.; Sosman, J.A.; Atkins, M.B.; et al. Safety, Activity, and Immune Correlates of Anti-PD-1 Antibody in Cancer. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 2012, 366, 2443–2454. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 7. Callahan, M.K.; Wolchok, J.D.; Allison, J.P. Anti-CTLA-4 Antibody Therapy: Immune Monitoring during Clinical Development of a Novel Immunotherapy. *Semin. Oncol.* **2010**, *37*, 473–484. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 8. Mangsbo, S.M.; Sandin, L.C.; Anger, K.; Korman, A.J.; Loskog, A.; Tötterman, T.H. Enhanced Tumor Eradication by Combining CTLA-4 or PD-1 Blockade with CpG Therapy. *J. Immunother.* **2010**, *33*, 225–235. [CrossRef]
- 9. He, Y.; Rivard, C.J.; Rozeboom, L.; Yu, H.; Ellison, K.; Kowalewski, A.; Zhou, C.; Hirsch, F.R. Lymphocyte-Activation Gene-3, an Important Immune Checkpoint in Cancer. *Cancer Sci.* 2016, *107*, 1193–1197. [CrossRef]
- Anderson, A.C.; Joller, N.; Kuchroo, V.K. Lag-3, Tim-3, and TIGIT: Co-Inhibitory Receptors with Specialized Functions in Immune Regulation. *Immunity* 2016, 44, 989–1004. [CrossRef]
- 11. Goldberg, M.V.; Drake, C.G. LAG-3 in Cancer Immunotherapy. Curr. Top. Microbiol. Immunol. 2011, 344, 269–278. [CrossRef]
- 12. Baitsch, L.; Legat, A.; Barba, L.; Fuertes Marraco, S.A.; Rivals, J.-P.; Baumgaertner, P.; Christiansen-Jucht, C.; Bouzourene, H.; Rimoldi, D.; Pircher, H.; et al. Extended Co-Expression of Inhibitory Receptors by Human CD8 T-Cells Depending on Differentiation, Antigen-Specificity and Anatomical Localization. *PLoS ONE* **2012**, *7*, e30852. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 13. Grosso, J.F.; Kelleher, C.C.; Harris, T.J.; Maris, C.H.; Hipkiss, E.L.; De Marzo, A.; Anders, R.; Netto, G.; Getnet, D.; Bruno, T.C.; et al. LAG-3 Regulates CD8+ T Cell Accumulation and Effector Function in Murine Self- and Tumor-Tolerance Systems. *J. Clin. Investig.* **2007**, *117*, 3383–3392. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 14. Demeure, C.E.; Wolfers, J.; Martin-Garcia, N.; Gaulard, P.; Triebel, F. T Lymphocytes Infiltrating Various Tumour Types Express the MHC Class II Ligand Lymphocyte Activation Gene-3 (LAG-3): Role of LAG-3/MHC Class II Interactions in Cell-Cell Contacts. *Eur. J. Cancer* **2001**, *37*, 1709–1718. [CrossRef]
- 15. Anderson, A.C. Tim-3, a Negative Regulator of Anti-Tumor Immunity. *Curr. Opin. Immunol.* 2012, 24, 213–216. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Wolf, Y.; Anderson, A.C.; Kuchroo, V.K. TIM3 Comes of Age as an Inhibitory Receptor. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* 2020, 20, 173–185. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 17. DeKruyff, R.H.; Bu, X.; Ballesteros, A.; Santiago, C.; Chim, Y.-L.E.; Lee, H.-H.; Karisola, P.; Pichavant, M.; Kaplan, G.G.; Umetsu, D.T.; et al. T Cell/Transmembrane, Ig, and Mucin-3 Allelic Variants Differentially Recognize Phosphatidylserine and Mediate Phagocytosis of Apoptotic Cells. *J. Immunol.* **2010**, *184*, 1918–1930. [CrossRef]
- 18. Huang, Y.-H.; Zhu, C.; Kondo, Y.; Anderson, A.C.; Gandhi, A.; Russell, A.; Dougan, S.K.; Petersen, B.-S.; Melum, E.; Pertel, T.; et al. CEACAM1 Regulates TIM-3-Mediated Tolerance and Exhaustion. *Nature* **2015**, *517*, 386–390. [CrossRef]
- 19. Zhu, C.; Anderson, A.C.; Schubart, A.; Xiong, H.; Imitola, J.; Khoury, S.J.; Zheng, X.X.; Strom, T.B.; Kuchroo, V.K. The Tim-3 Ligand Galectin-9 Negatively Regulates T Helper Type 1 Immunity. *Nat. Immunol.* **2005**, *6*, 1245–1252. [CrossRef]
- Liu, J.-F.; Ma, S.-R.; Mao, L.; Bu, L.-L.; Yu, G.-T.; Li, Y.-C.; Huang, C.-F.; Deng, W.-W.; Kulkarni, A.B.; Zhang, W.-F.; et al. T-cell Immunoglobulin Mucin 3 Blockade Drives an Antitumor Immune Response in Head and Neck Cancer. *Mol. Oncol.* 2017, 11, 235–247. [CrossRef]

- 21. Wiener, Z.; Kohalmi, B.; Pocza, P.; Jeager, J.; Tolgyesi, G.; Toth, S.; Gorbe, E.; Papp, Z.; Falus, A. TIM-3 Is Expressed in Melanoma Cells and Is Upregulated in TGF-Beta Stimulated Mast Cells. *J. Investig. Dermatol.* **2007**, 127, 906–914. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 22. Zhuang, X.; Zhang, X.; Xia, X.; Zhang, C.; Liang, X.; Gao, L.; Zhang, X.; Ma, C. Ectopic Expression of TIM-3 in Lung Cancers: A Potential Independent Prognostic Factor for Patients with NSCLC. *Am. J. Clin. Pathol.* **2012**, *137*, 978–985. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 23. Cai, C.; Xu, Y.-F.; Wu, Z.-J.; Dong, Q.; Li, M.-Y.; Olson, J.C.; Rabinowitz, Y.M.; Wang, L.-H.; Sun, Y. Tim-3 Expression Represents Dysfunctional Tumor Infiltrating T Cells in Renal Cell Carcinoma. *World J. Urol.* **2016**, *34*, 561–567. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 24. El Halabi, L.; Adam, J.; Marty, V.; Bosq, J.; Lazarovici, J.; Danu, A.; Ribrag, V.; Ghez, D. Strong Expression of the Immune Checkpoint Regulators LAG3 and Tim3 in Hodgkin Lymphoma. *Blood* **2016**, *128*, 2952. [CrossRef]
- 25. Mehta, N.; Maddineni, S.; Mathews, I.I.; Andres Parra Sperberg, R.; Huang, P.-S.; Cochran, J.R. Structure and Functional Binding Epitope of V-Domain Ig Suppressor of T Cell Activation. *Cell Reports* **2019**, *28*, 2509–2516. [CrossRef]
- 26. Flies, D.B.; Wang, S.; Xu, H.; Chen, L. Cutting Edge: A Monoclonal Antibody Specific for the Programmed Death-1 Homolog Prevents Graft-versus-Host Disease in Mouse Models. *J. Immunol.* **2011**, *187*, 1537–1541. [CrossRef]
- Wang, L.; Rubinstein, R.; Lines, J.L.; Wasiuk, A.; Ahonen, C.; Guo, Y.; Lu, L.-F.; Gondek, D.; Wang, Y.; Fava, R.A.; et al. VISTA, a Novel Mouse Ig Superfamily Ligand That Negatively Regulates T Cell Responses. J. Exp. Med. 2011, 208, 577–592. [CrossRef]
- Lines, J.L.; Pantazi, E.; Mak, J.; Sempere, L.F.; Wang, L.; O'Connell, S.; Ceeraz, S.; Suriawinata, A.A.; Yan, S.; Ernstoff, M.S.; et al. VISTA Is an Immune Checkpoint Molecule for Human T Cells. *Cancer Res.* 2014, 74, 1924–1932. [CrossRef]
- Flies, D.B.; Han, X.; Higuchi, T.; Zheng, L.; Sun, J.; Ye, J.J.; Chen, L. Coinhibitory Receptor PD-1H Preferentially Suppresses CD4<sup>+</sup> T Cell-Mediated Immunity. J. Clin. Investig. 2014, 124, 1966–1975. [CrossRef]
- Le Mercier, I.; Chen, W.; Lines, J.L.; Day, M.; Li, J.; Sergent, P.; Noelle, R.J.; Wang, L. VISTA Regulates the Development of Protective Antitumor Immunity. *Cancer Res.* 2014, 74, 1933–1944. [CrossRef]
- Bharaj, P.; Chahar, H.S.; Alozie, O.K.; Rodarte, L.; Bansal, A.; Goepfert, P.A.; Dwivedi, A.; Manjunath, N.; Shankar, P. Characterization of Programmed Death-1 Homologue-1 (PD-1H) Expression and Function in Normal and HIV Infected Individuals. *PLoS* ONE 2014, 9, e109103. [CrossRef]
- Lines, J.L.; Sempere, L.F.; Broughton, T.; Wang, L.; Noelle, R. VISTA Is a Novel Broad-Spectrum Negative Checkpoint Regulator for Cancer Immunotherapy. *Cancer Immunol. Res.* 2014, 2, 510–517. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ferris, R.L.; Blumenschein, G.; Fayette, J.; Guigay, J.; Colevas, A.D.; Licitra, L.; Harrington, K.; Kasper, S.; Vokes, E.E.; Even, C.; et al. Nivolumab for Recurrent Squamous-Cell Carcinoma of the Head and Neck. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 2016, 375, 1856–1867. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Chow, L.Q.M.; Haddad, R.; Gupta, S.; Mahipal, A.; Mehra, R.; Tahara, M.; Berger, R.; Eder, J.P.; Burtness, B.; Lee, S.-H.; et al. Antitumor Activity of Pembrolizumab in Biomarker-Unselected Patients With Recurrent and/or Metastatic Head and Neck Squamous Cell Carcinoma: Results From the Phase Ib KEYNOTE-012 Expansion Cohort. J. Clin. Oncol. 2016, 34, 3838–3845. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 35. Cohen, E.E.W.; Soulières, D.; Le Tourneau, C.; Dinis, J.; Licitra, L.; Ahn, M.-J.; Soria, A.; Machiels, J.-P.; Mach, N.; Mehra, R.; et al. Pembrolizumab versus Methotrexate, Docetaxel, or Cetuximab for Recurrent or Metastatic Head-and-Neck Squamous Cell Carcinoma (KEYNOTE-040): A Randomised, Open-Label, Phase 3 Study. *Lancet* 2019, 393, 156–167. [CrossRef]
- Chaturvedi, A.K.; Engels, E.A.; Pfeiffer, R.M.; Hernandez, B.Y.; Xiao, W.; Kim, E.; Jiang, B.; Goodman, M.T.; Sibug-Saber, M.; Cozen, W.; et al. Human Papillomavirus and Rising Oropharyngeal Cancer Incidence in the United States. *J. Clin. Oncol.* 2011, 29, 4294–4301. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 37. Tinhofer, I.; Jöhrens, K.; Keilholz, U.; Kaufmann, A.; Lehmann, A.; Weichert, W.; Stenzinger, A.; Stromberger, C.; Klinghammer, K.; Becker, E.-T.; et al. Contribution of Human Papilloma Virus to the Incidence of Squamous Cell Carcinoma of the Head and Neck in a European Population with High Smoking Prevalence. *Eur. J. Cancer* 2015, *51*, 514–521. [CrossRef]
- Wittekindt, C.; Wagner, S.; Bushnak, A.; Prigge, E.-S.; von Knebel Doeberitz, M.; Würdemann, N.; Bernhardt, K.; Pons-Kühnemann, J.; Maulbecker-Armstrong, C.; Klussmann, J.P. Increasing Incidence Rates of Oropharyngeal Squamous Cell Carcinoma in Germany and Significance of Disease Burden Attributed to Human Papillomavirus. *Cancer Prev. Res.* 2019, 12, 375–382. [CrossRef]
- 39. Kreimer, A.R.; Clifford, G.M.; Boyle, P.; Franceschi, S. Human Papillomavirus Types in Head and Neck Squamous Cell Carcinomas Worldwide: A Systematic Review. *Cancer Epidemiol. Biomark. Prev.* 2005, 14, 467–475. [CrossRef]
- Castellsagué, X.; Alemany, L.; Quer, M.; Halec, G.; Quirós, B.; Tous, S.; Clavero, O.; Alòs, L.; Biegner, T.; Szafarowski, T.; et al. HPV Involvement in Head and Neck Cancers: Comprehensive Assessment of Biomarkers in 3680 Patients. *J. Natl. Cancer Inst.* 2016, 108, djv403. [CrossRef]
- Maxwell, J.H.; Grandis, J.R.; Ferris, R.L. HPV-Associated Head and Neck Cancer: Unique Features of Epidemiology and Clinical Management. Annu. Rev. Med. 2016, 67, 91–101. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 42. Oliva, M.; Spreafico, A.; Taberna, M.; Alemany, L.; Coburn, B.; Mesia, R.; Siu, L.L. Immune Biomarkers of Response to Immune-Checkpoint Inhibitors in Head and Neck Squamous Cell Carcinoma. *Ann. Oncol.* **2019**, *30*, 57–67. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 43. Li, N.; Workman, C.J.; Martin, S.M.; Vignali, D.A.A. Biochemical Analysis of the Regulatory T Cell Protein Lymphocyte Activation Gene-3 (LAG-3; CD223). J. Immunol. 2004, 173, 6806–6812. [CrossRef]
- 44. Baumeister, S.H.; Freeman, G.J.; Dranoff, G.; Sharpe, A.H. Coinhibitory Pathways in Immunotherapy for Cancer. *Annu. Rev. Immunol.* **2016**, *34*, 539–573. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Woo, S.-R.; Turnis, M.E.; Goldberg, M.V.; Bankoti, J.; Selby, M.; Nirschl, C.J.; Bettini, M.L.; Gravano, D.M.; Vogel, P.; Liu, C.L.; et al. Immune Inhibitory Molecules LAG-3 and PD-1 Synergistically Regulate T-Cell Function to Promote Tumoral Immune Escape. *Cancer Res.* 2012, 72, 917–927. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 46. Waugh, K.A.; Leach, S.M.; Moore, B.L.; Bruno, T.C.; Buhrman, J.D.; Slansky, J.E. Molecular Profile of Tumor-Specific CD8+ T Cell Hypofunction in a Transplantable Murine Cancer Model. *J. Immunol.* **2016**, *197*, 1477–1488. [CrossRef]
- Huang, R.-Y.; Eppolito, C.; Lele, S.; Shrikant, P.; Matsuzaki, J.; Odunsi, K. LAG3 and PD1 Co-Inhibitory Molecules Collaborate to Limit CD8+ T Cell Signaling and Dampen Antitumor Immunity in a Murine Ovarian Cancer Model. *Oncotarget* 2015, 6, 27359–27377. [CrossRef]
- 48. Mandal, R.; Şenbabaoğlu, Y.; Desrichard, A.; Havel, J.J.; Dalin, M.G.; Riaz, N.; Lee, K.-W.; Ganly, I.; Hakimi, A.A.; Chan, T.A.; et al. The Head and Neck Cancer Immune Landscape and Its Immunotherapeutic Implications. *JCI Insight* **2016**, *1*, e89829. [CrossRef]
- 49. Panda, A.; Rosenfeld, J.A.; Singer, E.A.; Bhanot, G.; Ganesan, S. Genomic and Immunologic Correlates of LAG-3 Expression in Cancer. *Oncolmmunology* **2020**, *9*, 1756116. [CrossRef]
- Wuerdemann, N.; Gültekin, S.E.; Pütz, K.; Wittekindt, C.; Huebbers, C.U.; Sharma, S.J.; Eckel, H.; Schubotz, A.B.; Gattenlöhner, S.; Büttner, R.; et al. PD-L1 Expression and a High Tumor Infiltrate of CD8+ Lymphocytes Predict Outcome in Patients with Oropharyngeal Squamous Cells Carcinoma. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2020, *21*, 5228. [CrossRef]
- 51. Matsuzaki, J.; Gnjatic, S.; Mhawech-Fauceglia, P.; Beck, A.; Miller, A.; Tsuji, T.; Eppolito, C.; Qian, F.; Lele, S.; Shrikant, P.; et al. Tumor-Infiltrating NY-ESO-1–Specific CD8+ T Cells Are Negatively Regulated by LAG-3 and PD-1 in Human Ovarian Cancer. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2010, 107, 7875–7880. [CrossRef]
- 52. Li, F.-J.; Zhang, Y.; Jin, G.-X.; Yao, L.; Wu, D.-Q. Expression of LAG-3 Is Coincident with the Impaired Effector Function of HBV-Specific CD8+ T Cell in HCC Patients. *Immunol. Lett.* **2013**, *150*, 116–122. [CrossRef]
- 53. Takaya, S.; Saito, H.; Ikeguchi, M. Upregulation of Immune Checkpoint Molecules, PD-1 and LAG-3, on CD4+ and CD8+ T Cells after Gastric Cancer Surgery. *Yonago Acta Med.* **2015**, *58*, 39–44.
- Yang, Z.-Z.; Kim, H.J.; Villasboas, J.C.; Chen, Y.-P.; Price-Troska, T.; Jalali, S.; Wilson, M.; Novak, A.J.; Ansell, S.M. Expression of LAG-3 Defines Exhaustion of Intratumoral PD-1 + T Cells and Correlates with Poor Outcome in Follicular Lymphoma. *Oncotarget* 2017, 8, 61425–61439. [CrossRef]
- 55. Ascierto, P.A.; Bono, P.; Bhatia, S.; Melero, I.; Nyakas, M.S.; Svane, I.-M.; Larkin, J.; Gomez-Roca, C.; Schadendorf, D.; Dummer, R.; et al. Efficacy of BMS-986016, a Monoclonal Antibody That Targets Lymphocyte Activation Gene-3 (LAG-3), in Combination with Nivolumab in Pts with Melanoma Who Progressed during Prior Anti–PD-1/PD-L1 Therapy (Mel Prior IO) in All-Comer and Biomarker-Enriched Populations. *Ann. Oncol.* 2017, *28*, v611–v612. [CrossRef]
- Qin, S.; Xu, L.; Yi, M.; Yu, S.; Wu, K.; Luo, S. Novel Immune Checkpoint Targets: Moving beyond PD-1 and CTLA-4. *Mol. Cancer* 2019, 18, 155. [CrossRef]
- 57. Kim, J.E.; Patel, M.A.; Mangraviti, A.; Kim, E.S.; Theodros, D.; Velarde, E.; Liu, A.; Sankey, E.W.; Tam, A.; Xu, H.; et al. Combination Therapy with Anti-PD-1, Anti-TIM-3, and Focal Radiation Results in Regression of Murine Gliomas. *Clin. Cancer Res.* **2017**, *23*, 124–136. [CrossRef]
- Wu, L.; Deng, W.-W.; Huang, C.-F.; Bu, L.-L.; Yu, G.-T.; Mao, L.; Zhang, W.-F.; Liu, B.; Sun, Z.-J. Expression of VISTA Correlated with Immunosuppression and Synergized with CD8 to Predict Survival in Human Oral Squamous Cell Carcinoma. *Cancer Immunol. Immunother.* 2017, 66, 627–636. [CrossRef]
- 59. Kondo, Y.; Ohno, T.; Nishii, N.; Harada, K.; Yagita, H.; Azuma, M. Differential Contribution of Three Immune Checkpoint (VISTA, CTLA-4, PD-1) Pathways to Antitumor Responses against Squamous Cell Carcinoma. *Oral Oncol.* **2016**, *57*, 54–60. [CrossRef]
- Luke, J.J.; Azad, N.S.; Edwards, R.; Huang, S.-M.A.; Comprelli, A.; Monga, M.; Reilly, T.P.; Hodi, F.S. Phase 1, Open-Label, Adaptive Biomarker Trial That Informs the Evolution of Combination Immuno-Oncology (IO) Therapies (ADVISE), a Precision IO Approach to Personalized Medicine. J. Clin. Oncol. 2018, 36, TPS3101. [CrossRef]
- 61. Sobin, L.H.; Gospodarowicz, M.K.; Wittekind, C. TNM Classification of Malignant Tumours; John Wiley & Sons: Hoboken, NJ, USA, 2011.
- 62. El-Naggar, A.K.; Chan, J.K.C.; Grandis, J.R.; Takata, T.; Slootweg, P.J. WHO Classification of Head and Neck Tumors; International Agency for Research on Cancer: Lyon, France, 2017.
- 63. Ascierto, P.A.; McArthur, G.A. Checkpoint Inhibitors in Melanoma and Early Phase Development in Solid Tumors: What's the Future? J. Transl. Med. 2017, 15, 173. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 64. Khunger, M.; Hernandez, A.V.; Pasupuleti, V.; Rakshit, S.; Pennell, N.A.; Stevenson, J.; Mukhopadhyay, S.; Schalper, K.; Velcheti, V. Programmed Cell Death 1 (PD-1) Ligand (PD-L1) Expression in Solid Tumors As a Predictive Biomarker of Benefit From PD-1/PD-L1 Axis Inhibitors: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *JCO Precis. Oncol.* **2017**, *1*, 1–15. [CrossRef]