# Avoidable Mortality: The Core of the Global Cancer Divide

Purpose The incidence of infection-associated cancers and lethality of cancers amenable to treatment are closely correlated with the income of countries. We analyzed a core part of this global cancer divide—the distribution of premature mortality across country income groups and cancers—applying novel approaches to measure avoidable mortality and identify priorities for public policy.

Methods We analyzed avoidable cancer mortality using set lower- and upper-bound age limits of 65 and 75 years (empirical approach), applying cancer-specific and country income group-specific ages of death (feasibility approach), and applying cancer-specific ages of death of high-income countries to all low- and middle-income countries (LMICs; social justice approach). We applied these methods to 2015 mortality data on 16 cancers for which prevention is possible and/or treatment is likely to result in cure or significant increase in life expectancy.

**Results** At least 30% and as much as 50% of cancer deaths are premature, corresponding to between 2.6 and 4.3 million deaths each year, and 70% to 80% are concentrated in LMICs. Using the feasibility approach, 36% of cancer deaths are avoidable; with the social justice approach, 45% of cancer deaths are avoidable. Five cancer types—breast, colorectal, lung, liver, and stomach—account for almost 75% of avoidable cancer deaths in LMICs and worldwide.

**Conclusion** Each year, millions of premature cancer deaths could be avoided with interventions focused on four priority areas: infection-associated cancers, lifestyle and risk factors, women's cancers, and children's cancers. Our analysis of the global burden and the specific cancer types associated with avoidable cancer mortality suggests significant opportunities for health systems to redress the inequity of the global cancer divide.

© by American Society of Clinical Oncology Licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License

# **INTRODUCTION**

Suffering and death as a result of cancer disproportionately affect the poor. This glaring, unacceptable difference between wealthy and poorer countries is the cancer divide. Shrouded in the myth that cancer is a disease of the wealthy, the divide remains understudied, underprioritized, and undertreated.<sup>1</sup> Of the 8.7 million global cancer deaths in 2015, more than two-thirds occurred in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs).<sup>2</sup> Lethality, approximated by mortality/ incidence in any given year, is highest in lowincome countries for almost all cancers that are screening-detectable or treatable.<sup>1,3</sup> Although the incidence of infection-associated cancers is inversely associated with income, the opportunity to survive cancers that are amenable to treatment is closely correlated with the income of a country. In 2012, a child living in one of the 25 poorest countries of the world diagnosed with leukemia had approximately a 10% chance of survival, whereas in Canada the figure was close to  $90\%.^4$ 

An increasing body of evidence shows that a significant proportion of the cancer burden, especially in LMICs, could be avoided by reducing exposure to risk factors and increasing access to screening for early detection and treatment.<sup>1,3</sup> However, the necessary public health and medical interventions are much less accessible to the poor, which largely explains the disparate mortality rates between and within population groups.

Our analysis unveils one aspect of the enigma of the cancer divide: avoidable mortality across country income groups. We present estimates of premature cancer mortality using data for 2015<sup>2</sup> and comparing low, lower-middle, upper-middle, and high-income countries. We apply the concept of avoidable mortality, which refers to premature deaths that, given current medical knowledge and technology, could be avoided by a health system through either prevention and/or

Felicia Marie Knaul Hector Arreola-Ornelas Natalia M. Rodriguez Oscar Méndez-Carniado Xiaoxiao Jiang Kwete Esteban Puentes-Rosas Afsan Bhadelia

Author affiliations and support information (if applicable) appear at the end of this article. **Corresponding author:** Felicia

Marie Knaul, PhD, University of Miami, 1541 Brescia Ave, Suite 110, Coral Gables, FL 33146; Twitter: @FeliciaKnaul; e-mail: fknaul@miami.edu.

1

treatment and should not occur in the presence of effective and timely health care.<sup>5-7</sup>

We identify 16 types of cancer for which prevention and/or treatment would likely result in a cure or a significant increase in life expectancy and analyze the avoidable mortality associated with each using three distinct conceptual and empirical approaches: specific age cutoffs; assuming that any country should be able to have the same cancer-specific median ages of death as other countries in a group that faces similar economic conditions; and applying the cancer-specific median ages of death of high-income countries to all country income groups, assuming that poorer countries should be able to expect the same outcomes as those of rich countries.

The WHO Global Action Plan for the prevention and control of noncommunicable diseases (NCDs) 2013- 2020, is specifically aimed at reducing premature mortality from NCDs, including cancer, by 25% by 2025.<sup>8</sup> Our analysis contributes to this global effort by highlighting the large proportion of cancer mortality that should be considered avoidable, measuring the concentration of these deaths in LMICs, and identifying high-priority cancer types that could be targets for health system and other policy interventions and innovations.

## **METHODS**

Analysis of avoidable mortality assumes a goal for life expectancy of a population and identifies all deaths from a specific cause that occur before that age. The term avoidable mortality originates from efforts to benchmark quality of care through the measurement of unnecessary, untimely deaths.<sup>5</sup> Initial empirical analysis at the population level was conducted to assess mortality differences in England and Wales and first introduced the terms "avoidable mortality" and ailments "amenable to medical intervention."<sup>9(p691)</sup>

Traditional methodologies, like that of WHO,<sup>10</sup> use specific age cutoffs, and because of increased global life expectancy, the age at which point a death is no longer avoidable tends to shift upward over time. Until recently, the majority of literature on avoidable mortality had typically established premature death using an age limit of 65 years.<sup>11-15</sup> As life expectancy increased, the choice of an upper limit of 65 years was no longer justified, and some studies began setting an age limit of 75 years, more closely aligned with life expectancy in developed countries.<sup>16,17</sup> More innovative approaches include the frontier country methodology that is based on the lowest risk of mortality for each sex-age group across countries.<sup>18</sup>

Our methods build off work by Knaul et al,<sup>1</sup> which described two additional conceptual approaches beyond that of a 65-year empirical cutoff: a feasibility approach, which used the median age of death in the best-performing country of each income group (low, lower-middle, upper-middle, and high) for each cancer as the threshold; and a social justice approach that used an empirical cutoff of 75 years, which is close to the mean age of death observed in high-income countries, and considers that poor countries should hold the same standard as rich countries. Here, we refine and expand on these conceptual approaches to analyze avoidable mortality associated with 16 cancer types across country income groups, using 2015 Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation cancer mortality data<sup>2</sup> and 2015 World Bank country income classifications.<sup>19</sup>

Our selection of cancer types is based on earlier research,<sup>6,11-15</sup> focusing specifically on those for which prevention and/or treatment would likely result in a cure or a significant increase in life expectancy. The cancer types considered in our analysis are: bladder, breast, cervix-uteri, colorectal, corpus uteri (endometrial), Hodgkin lymphoma, leukemia (in children), lip and oral cavity (including larynx), liver, lung (including trachea and bronchus), non-Hodgkin lymphoma, prostate, skin melanoma (malignant), stomach, testicular, and thyroid.

For a cancer death to be considered avoidable it must have occurred prematurely, as defined in Equation 1:

$$AM_{ij} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} D_k \qquad (1)$$

where  $AM_{ij}$  is the avoidable mortality associated with cancer type *i* in country *j*;  $D_k$  is the number of deaths in age stratum k; and *n*, for each scenario, is the age limit from which a death is considered potentially avoidable with appropriate prevention and treatment interventions in place. We applied three different conceptual approaches to establish the age limit below which a cancer death could be considered avoidable.

## **Empirical Approach**

Under this scenario, a death is considered avoidable if the age at which the death occurred is younger than 65 years, a lower-bound threshold on life expectancy and a minimum cutoff for countries to achieve. An exception to this rule is death as a result of leukemia, for which the age limit in the literature is usually 45 years.<sup>20-22</sup> This approach follows the majority of the literature and also happens to be the approximate global median age of death in our data across the 15 cancers (all excluding leukemia) (Table 1).<sup>11-15</sup> In this scenario, n = 64 years in Equation 1.

The empirical approach considers an age limit of 75 years as an upper-bound threshold that is close to overall life expectancy levels observed in high-income countries. Although some topperforming countries have average life expectancies longer than 75 years, disaggregated cancer mortality data above age 75 years are largely unavailable, and this cutoff has also been used widely in the literature.<sup>2,16,17</sup> In this scenario, n = 74 years in Equation 1.

## Feasibility Approach

The feasibility approach goes beyond the concept of a singular cutoff and applies the median age of death for each of the cancers in each of the four country income groups as the threshold for what can be achieved, thereby establishing 64 separate cancer- and income group–specific age limits (Table 1). This approach assumes that any country should be able to do as well as other countries in a group that faces similar economic challenges and restrictions.

Thus, Equation 1 becomes modified as follows (Equation 2):

$$AM_{ijl} = \sum_{k=0}^{n_i} D_k \qquad (2)$$

where *l* corresponds to the income group and takes the values of low, lower-middle, uppermiddle, or high. The previous *n* changes to  $n_l$  and corresponds to the age limit from which a death is considered potentially avoidable with appropriate prevention and treatment interventions in place in income group *l*.

## **Social Justice Approach**

The social justice approach applies the median age of death of high-income countries for each of the 16 cancer types as the standard expectancy of age of death to be applied worldwide. This approach focuses on the achievable life expectancy on the basis of the wealthiest countries in the world and reflects the view that residents of poorer countries should be able to expect the same outcomes as those of rich countries and that, on average, people living with cancer in wealthier countries have higher life expectancies. This approach is centered on the principle of fairness, particularly on ensuring equitable health capabilities for all, both the opportunity and process freedoms to achieve better health.<sup>23,24</sup> In this scenario, *nl* in Equation 2 corresponds to the median age of death in high-income countries.

Each of these approaches was applied to each country's mortality data and age at death by cancer type from the Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation 2015 Mortality Database.<sup>2</sup> Total mortality estimates for each cancer type are presented by income group (Table 2).

# RESULTS

Using the empirical approach with a threshold of 65 years, approximately 30% (2.6 million) of the 8.7 million global deaths from cancer in 2015 are considered avoidable, of which > 80% occurred in LMICs. Using the most demanding threshold of 75 years, approximately half (4.3 million) of the 8.7 million global deaths from cancer in 2015 could be avoided, > 76% of which occurred in LMICs (Table 3).

Applying the feasibility approach, approximately 36% (almost 3.1 million) of the 8.7 million global cancer deaths in 2015 are considered avoidable. The burden of avoidable cancer mortality still falls largely on the poor, as > 71% of global avoidable cancer deaths occurred in LMICs.

Results from the social justice approach suggest that in an idealized scenario, where residents of poor countries are able to expect the same outcomes as those of rich countries currently, up to 45% of all global cancer deaths could be avoided, and > 77% occur in LMICs. This means that nearly 3.9 million deaths could be avoided if the highest standards of medical interventions, programs, and known efficient health policies

	0 0	Low	Ľ	wer-N	Lower-Middle			pper-N	Upper-Middle			LMIC	<u>ں</u>			High	÷			Global	al	
Cancer Type	Median SD	SD Min Max	Median	SD	Min	Мах	Median	SD	Min	Max	Median	SD	Min	Max	Median	SD	Min	Max	Median	SD	Min	Мах
Bladder	65.0	2.5 62.0 72.5	68.4	2.8	62.8	74.3	72.0	3.0	64.8	75.9	68.8	3.6	62.0	75.9	76.4	4.4	57.2	79.3	70.7	4.6	57.2	79.3
Breast	57.0	1.9 51.5 62.1	57.4	2.6	53.2	63.7	61.4	3.7	53.0	68.0	57.7	3.3	51.5	68.0	67.8	6.0	47.8	71.5	59.9	5.3	47.8	71.5
Cervix-uteri	57.7	1.6 51.7 60.4	58.0	2.5	52.0	64.3	60.1	2.9	53.6	64.7	58.3	2.7	51.7	64.7	67.1	5.1	50.3	71.7	59.5	4.7	50.3	71.7
Colon and rectum	61.9	2.6 56.4 68.6	63.7	3.4	55.4	70.4	68.1	3.8	58.3	74.2	64.1	3.9	55.4	74.2	73.3	5.7	53.8	76.2	66.9	5.4	53.8	76.2
Corpus-uteri	61.6	2.4 58.6 67.7	63.7	3.2	57.8	72.6	67.2	3.4	57.4	72.4	64.5	3.5	57.4	72.6	72.5	4.9	53.0	75.7	65.9	5.0	53.0	75.7
Hodgkin Iymphoma	40.0	4.8 28.3 54.6	48.0	4.9	37.6	58.3	51.7	4.9	41.4	60.6	47.7	6.3	28.3	60.6	60.6	6.6	42.1	0.69	50.7	8.1	28.3	0.69
Leukemia	37.3	4.1 32.2 45.9	42.5	6.8	31.8	60.3	51.9	7.8	32.5	65.0	45.1	8.8	31.8	65.0	69.4	9.1	44.7	73.6	48.4	12.6	31.8	73.6
Lip and oral cavity (including larynx)	60.4	1.9 54.2 64.7	62.0	2.9	56.2	68.5	64.4	3.2	58.8	71.3	62.3	3.2	54.2	71.3	67.2	5.0	50.6	74.4	63.4	4.2	50.6	74.4
Liver	55.3	4.0 49.1 64.2	61.3	4.0	53.4	69.0	66.6	3.6	56.9	71.4	61.8	5.2	49.1	71.4	70.5	4.4	53.6	75.4	64.2	6.0	49.1	75.4
Lung (including trachea, bronchus)	63.2	1.9 57.1 67.7	63.7	2.5	59.2	70.6	65.5	2.9	58.9	70.9	64.0	2.7	57.1	70.9	70.0	3.8	57.5	75.9	65.3	3.6	57.1	75.9
Non-Hodgkin Iymphoma	44.5	6.0 34.9 56.8	52.7	6.0	35.6	62.4	59.0	4.8	46.7	65.7	53.6	7.5	34.9	65.7	69.8	7.7	45.7	75.4	56.8	6.6	34.9	75.4
Prostate	70.8	1.7 67.7 75.8	73.2	2.0	69.4	77.2	75.7	2.0	71.1	78.5	73.4	2.6	67.7	78.5	77.8	2.8	65.5	80.0	75.1	3.0	65.5	80.0
Skin melanoma (malignant)	59.8	2.2 52.0 64.0	59.4	3.1	53.6	66.5	63.0	3.6	54.9	68.3	60.8	3.4	52.0	68.3	66.7	6.0	46.3	71.8	61.9	4.7	46.3	71.8
Stomach	63.6	2.5 56.9 68.4	64.0	2.8	58.4	70.5	67.7	3.0	59.8	71.7	65.0	3.2	56.9	71.7	73.0	4.9	55.9	76.3	66.9	4.6	55.9	76.3
Testicular	38.6	3.1 34.1 46.6	42.2	5.4	33.6	59.0	44.1	5.5	33.1	56.7	41.7	5.4	33.1	59.0	49.7	5.7	34.8	56.7	43.2	6.0	33.1	59.0
Thyroid	63.1	1.5 59.8 67.4	64.3	2.4	60.1	70.0	66.7	3.2	56.4	71.0	64.4	2.8	56.4	71.0	71.9	5.2	51.8	77.3	66.0	4.4	51.8	77.3
All 16 cancers	60.1	2.4 28.3 75.8	61.7	3.0	31.8	77.2	64.9	3.5	32.5	78.5	62.1	3.4	28.3	78.5	6.9	5.2	34.8	80.0	63.8	4.8	28.3	80.0
NOTE. Source: Aut Abbreviations: LMI	thor calcul C, Iow- an	NOTE. Source: Author calculations from Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation Global Burden of Disease 2015 database. <sup>2</sup> Abbreviations: LMIC, low- and middle-income country; Max, maximum; Min, minimum; SD, standard deviation.	e for Health untry; Max	Metric. , maxin	s and E num; M	valuation C lin, minimu	uation Global Burden of Disease 2 minimum; SD, standard deviation.	len of C ndard (	Disease 2	2015 data n.	base. <sup>2</sup>											

Table 1. Median Age of Death (years) by Cancer Type, by Country Income Group, and Globally

 Table 2. Mortality Estimates (total deaths) by Cancer Type and Income Group

Cancer Type	Low	Lower-Middle	Upper-Middle	High	Global
Bladder	7,602	38,907	58,084	81,997	186,589
Breast cancer	23,359	143,547	177,133	186,226	530,265
Cervix-uteri	32,540	84,501	89,867	30,351	237,259
Colon and rectum	24,781	137,315	322,470	339,566	824,132
Corpus-uteri	6,182	24,241	33,204	25,808	89,435
Hodgkin lymphoma	1,093	8,824	8,844	4,986	23,747
Leukemia	14,888	92,874	130,189	110,745	348,695
Lip and oral cavity (including larynx)	8,683	103,043	88,165	49,498	249,389
Liver	48,794	126,535	485,263	138,815	799,406
Lung (including trachea, bronchus)	29,100	249,944	823,218	607,732	1,709,995
Non-Hodgkin lymphoma	11,801	54,602	70,271	92,632	229,306
Prostate	17,732	66,869	126,124	152,403	363,127
Skin melanoma (malignant)	1,133	6,783	18,457	33,164	59,537
Stomach	34,849	137,124	466,762	175,653	814,389
Testicular	628	3,716	3,346	1,649	9,338
Thyroid	1,147	8,300	12,804	9,390	31,641
Total deaths from 16 cancers	264,312	1,287,122	2,914,202	2,040,613	6,506,249
Total cancer deaths	380,803	1,761,984	3,806,811	2,741,035	8,690,633

NOTE. Source: Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation Global Burden of Disease 2015 database.<sup>2</sup>

were made available to all populations at a global level.

We next consider cancer-specific global avoidable mortality as a proportion of total deaths from each specific cancer type (Table 4). Under both the feasibility approach and social justice approach scenarios, the five cancer types with the highest proportions of avoidable mortality globally are testicular, liver, corpus-uteri, cervixuteri, and breast. Irrespective of the approach used, breast, cervix-uteri, liver, and testicular cancers rank among the top five.

Overall, the empirical approach lower and upper bounds of 65 and 75 years suggest that between 40% and 67% of global deaths from these 16 cancer types are avoidable. Under the social justice approach scenario, 58% of all global deaths from these 16 cancers are considered avoidable. Considering the economic context and restrictions of each income group separately, the feasibility approach, which we consider the most realistic scenario for all countries, still suggests that nearly 47% of global deaths from these 16 cancer types are avoidable.

We use this feasibility approach to show cancer-specific avoidable mortality by income group and globally (Table 5). Globally, lung cancer is the leading cause of avoidable mortality, accounting for one in four avoidable cancer deaths. Five cancers (lung, liver, stomach, colorectal, and breast) account for almost 75% of all avoidable cancer deaths in LMICs overall and worldwide.

## DISCUSSION

Building off previous work,<sup>1</sup> we used three different conceptual approaches for estimating avoidable mortality and applied these to a subset of cancers that can be prevented or treated successfully in low-resource settings using current knowledge and medical advances. Our estimates suggest that there are between 2.6 and 4.3 million deaths from cancer each year that could be avoided with effective prevention and treatment. LMICs account for the majority (70% to 80%) of this avoidable cancer mortality.

Using both the empirical and social justice approaches results in a clear gradient from lowto high-income countries in the proportion of cancer deaths that can be considered avoidable. A much larger proportion of deaths in LMICs could be prevented; avoidable mortality as a proportion of total cancer deaths is 1.5 to two times higher in low-income countries compared with high-income countries. Many of these deaths are from infection-associated cancers. Variation

e Group
Income
þ
Mortality
Cancer
Avoidable
ë
Table

Ef Form         Form         To Reaching Approach         Fosial Justice Approach         Social Jus			Empirica	Empirical Approach					
% of Global De Events by me Group         % of Global So of Total Cancer Avoidable Deaths in nome Group         % of Global Deaths in nome Group         % of Global So of Total Cancer Avoidable Deaths in nome Group         % of Global Deaths in nome Group         % of Global Deaths in nome Group         % of Global Peaths in nome Group         % of Global Peaths in nome Group         % of Global Deaths in nome Group         % of Global Deaths in nome Group         % of Global Peaths in nome Group         % of Global Deaths in nome Group         % of Global De		65 Year			s	Feasibility Ap	proach	Social Justice	Approach
dalate motatify         3         4         5         3         4         5           dalate motatify         3         148,00         5         5         3         124,200         53         203,600           modele         148,000         25         56         23         35         203,600         203,600           modele         37         25         56         23         35         20         51           able motatify         37         25         56         23         35         20         51           modele         37         25         56         23         35         51         903,900           modele         37         23         35         36         37         303,900         303,900         303,900         303,900         303,900         303,900         303,900         303,900         303,900         303,900         303,900         302,900         302,900         302,900         302,900         302,900         302,900         302,900         302,900         303,900         303,900         303,900         303,900         303,900         302,900         303,900         303,900         302,900         303,900         303,900         30	Avoidable Events by Income Group	% of Total Cancer Deaths in Income Group	% of Global Avoidable Cancer Deaths	I	% of Global Avoidable Cancer Deaths	% of Total Cancer Deaths in Income Group	% of Global Avoidable Cancer Deaths	% of Total Cancer Deaths in Income Group	% of Global Avoidable Cancer Deaths
dable montality         39         6         58         5         33         4         53           Ia wordable dearbs         148,000         220,400         214,200         203,600         203,600           midele         148,000         25         56         23         26         23         20         20         203,500           midele         37         25         56         23         26         20         20         20         203,500         204,500	Low								
I wordable deaths         148,000         220,400         124,200         203,600	Avoidable mortality	39	9	58	£	33	4	53	Ð
middle         25         56         23         35         20         51           able motality         37         25         56         23         35         50         51           able motality         37         58,500         978,400         51         903,900         903,900           middle         658,500         978,400         47         50         903,900           middle         1,289,700         2,101,800         48         38         47         50           able motality         34         1,289,700         2,101,800         47         50         1,898,400           able motality         19         20         28         38         47         50         50           able motality         19         20         29         29         29         29         20	Total avoidable deaths	148,000	0	220,40	0	124,20	0	203,60	0
Jable mortality         37         25         56         23         35         20         51           I avoidable deaths         658,500         978,400         612,700         51         903,900           Indele         658,500         978,400         612,700         612,700         50           Indele mortality         34         49         55         48         38         47         50           I adole mortality         1,289,700         2,101,800         1,464,300         1,464,300         1,898,400           I avoidable deaths         1,289,700         2,101,800         24         32         29         32           I avoidable deaths         19         20         34         32         32         32           I avoidable deaths         19         1,036,700         36         32         36,700         36,700           I avoidable deaths         10         10         36         32         36,700         36,700           I avoidable deaths         10         10         36         10         36,700         36,700	Lower-middle								
I avoidable deaths         658,500         978,400         612,700         903,900	Aoidable mortality	37	25	56	23	35	20	51	23
middle	Total avoidable deaths	658,500		978,40	0	612,70	0	903,90	0
Idable mortality         34         49         55         48         38         47         50           I avoidable deaths         1,289,700         2,101,800         1,464,300         1,898,400         1,898,400           I avoidable deaths         1,289,700         20         38         24         32         29         32           I avoidable deaths         10         20         38         24         32         886,700         886,700           I avoidable deaths         10,700         1,036,700         29         32         886,700         886,700         10           I avoidable deaths         10         1	Upper-middle								
I avoidable deaths         1,289,700         2,101,800         1,464,300         1,898,400         1,898,700         290         292	Avoidable mortality	34	49	55	48	89	47	50	49
Idable mortality       19       20       38       24       32       32         Idable mortality       19       510,700       1,036,700       886,700       886,700       886,700         Idable mortality       30       100       50       10       886,700       45       1         Idable mortality       30       100       50       100       36       10       45       1         Idable deaths       2,606,900       4,337,200       3,087,900       3,087,900       3,892,600       3,892,600	Total avoidable deaths	1,289,70	00	2,101,8	00	1,464,30	00	1,898,4	00
Idable mortality         19         20         38         24         32         32           I avoidable deaths         510,700         1,036,700         886,700	High								
I avoidable deaths         510,700         1,036,700         886,700 <td>Avoidable mortality</td> <td>19</td> <td>20</td> <td>38</td> <td>24</td> <td>32</td> <td>29</td> <td>32</td> <td>23</td>	Avoidable mortality	19	20	38	24	32	29	32	23
Idable mortality         30         100         50         100         36         100         45           avoidable deaths         2,606,900         4,337,200         3,087,900         3,087,900         3,892,600	Total avoidable deaths	510,700		1,036,7(	00	886,70	0	886,70	0
30         100         50         100         36         100         45           iths         2,606,900         4,337,200         3,087,900         3,892,600         3,892,600	Global								
2,606,900 4,337,200 3,087,900	Avoidable mortality	30	100	50	100	36	100	45	100
	Total avoidable deaths	2,606,90	0	4,337,20	OC	3,087,9(	OC	3,892,6	00

NOTE: Avoidable death estimates were rounded to the nearest 100. Source: Author calculations from Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation Global Burden of Disease 2015 database.<sup>2</sup>

## Table 4. Global Avoidable Mortality by Condition

		% of Deaths C	onsidered Avoidable	
	Empirical	Approach	Feasibility	Social Justice
Cancer Type	65 Years	75 Years	Approach	Approach
Bladder	23	51	44	54
Breast	55	76	50	63
Cervix-uteri	63	83	51	69
Colon and rectum	33	61	45	55
Corpus-uteri	44	71	52	67
Hodgkin lymphoma	63	85	40	57
Leukemia	29	9*	41	63
Lip and oral cavity (including larynx)	49	76	50	58
Liver	52	79	57	70
Lung (including trachea, bronchus)	38	70	45	56
Non-Hodgkin lymphoma	45	70	39	59
Prostate cancer	13	42	46	54
Skin melanoma (malignant)	46	69	48	52
Stomach cancer	37	67	48	62
Testicular cancer	80	89	59	66
Thyroid cancer	36	64	43	55
All 16 cancers	40	67	47	60

NOTE. Source: Author calculations from Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation Global Burden of Disease 2015 database.<sup>2</sup>

\*For leukemia, we consider a standard age limit of 45 years for the empirical approach.

is lower using the feasibility approach, and levels of avoidable mortality are uniformly high at 33%, 35%, 38%, and 32% in low, lowermiddle, upper-middle, and high-income countries, respectively. This reveals that even in high-income countries, a considerable proportion of deaths from cancer could potentially be avoided with universal access to prevention and/or treatment.

Even with severe resource constraints, a wellconceived and well-managed national cancer program, spanning the cancer continuum from prevention to treatment to palliation, can reduce avoidable cancer mortality and improve the lives of patients with cancer. Understanding the causes and determinants of avoidable cancer mortality has important implications for targeting health policy to those cancers with particularly high avoidable mortality rates and for which cancer-specific interventions should be promoted and prioritized in health financing and social insurance. The evidence can assist countries in identifying both cancers that affect large proportions of the population (eg. lung) and others that affect smaller numbers of people but are highly treatable or preventable (eg,

testicular). In some countries, health reform and universal health coverage initiatives have been designed using evidence on avoidable cancer mortality balanced with concerns for equity and feasibility.<sup>25,</sup>

Although income and geography should not determine the probability of dying as a result of a disease, in reality they do. LMICs suffer a larger share of global mortality, as compared with global incidence, for almost all cancers that are screening-detectable or treatable.<sup>1</sup> As science and innovation uncover new methods for early detection, treatment, and cure, the suffering and death as a result of these cancers becomes increasingly concentrated among the poor.

Our findings reveal four key areas that should be prioritized by LMICs, for which significant opportunities exist for health sector policies, programs, and quality medical interventions. These are: controlling risk factors, infection-associated cancers, women's cancers, and pediatric and adolescent cancers.

Our results for middle- and high-income countries show highest avoidable mortality proportions for lung, breast, colorectal, liver, and stomach cancers, revealing a persistent need

	Avoid	Avoidable Deaths as % of Death	s % of Deaths C	s Caused by Specific Cancer Type	scific Cancer	Type		%	of All Avoidab	% of All Avoidable Cancer Deaths	ths	
	۲  	Low	Lower-Middle	1iddle	Upper-	Upper-Middle	TMIC	U	High	h.	Global	al la
	Avoidable Deaths as % of Deaths Caused by Specific Cancer	% of All Avoidable Cancer	Avoidable Deaths as % of Deaths Caused by Canceffic	% of All Avoidable Cancer	Avoidable Deaths as % of Deaths Caused by Specific Cancer	% of All Avoidable Cancer	Avoidable Deaths as % of Deaths Caused by Specific Cancer	% of All Avoidable Cancer	Avoidable Deaths as % of Deaths Caused by Specific Cancer	% of All Avoidable Cancer	Avoidable Deaths as % of Deaths Caused by Specific Cancer	% of All Avoidable Cancer
Cancer Type	Type	Deaths	Type	Deaths	Type	Deaths	Type	Deaths	Type	Deaths	Type	Deaths
Bladder	42	m	47	m	47	2	47	2	40	4	44	33
Breast	53	10	50	12	52	9	51	8	48	10	50	6
Cervix-uteri	50	13	50	7	53	3	51	5	50	2	51	4
Colon and rectum	44	6	47	11	48	11	48	10	42	16	45	12
Corpus-uteri	52	с	52	2	56	1	54	2	48	1	52	2
Hodgkin lymphoma	47	0	40	1	39	0	40	0	41	0	40	0
Leukemia	49	9	44	7	44	4	44	Ð	34	4	41	Ð
Lip and oral cavity (including larynx)	46	3	51	6	50	3	50	2	47	3	50	4
Liver	42	17	48	10	65	21	60	18	46	7	57	15
Lung (including trachea, bronchus)	45	11	48	20	44	24	45	22	45	31	45	25
Non-Hodgkin Iymphoma	43	4	41	4	41	2	41	ю	37	4	39	ю
Prostate	50	7	47	5	49	4	48	5	43	7	46	5
Skin melanoma (malignant)	45	0	48	1	51	1	50	1	46	2	48	1
Stomach	50	14	46	10	52	16	50	15	41	8	48	13
Testicular	62	0	58	0	58	0	58	0	61	0	59	0
Thyroid	44	0	42	1	47	0	45	0	40	0	43	0
All 16 Cancers	47	100	48	100	50	100	49	100	43	100	47	100
Total avoidable cancer deaths	124	124,200	612,7	.2,700	1,46	1,464,300	2,201,200	200	886,700	200	3,087,900	006
Total deaths from 16 cancers	264	264,300	1,287,100	,100	2,91	2,914,200	4,465,600	600	2,040,600	,600	6,506,200	200
Total cancer deaths	380	380,800	1,762,000	000	3,80(	3,806,800	5,949,600	600	2,741,000	,000	8,690,600	500
NOTE. Total death estimates were rounded to the nearest 100. Source: Author calculations from Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation Global Burden of Disease 2015 database. <sup>2</sup> Abbreviation: LMIC, low- and middle-income country.	is were rounded nd middle-incom	to the nearest 10 ne country.	00. Source: Autho	r calculations fro	om Institute for	Health Metrics a	nd Evaluation Glo	bal Burden of Di	sease 2015 dati	abase. <sup>2</sup>		

to address behavioral risk factors and expand access to screening and early detection. These interventions coincide with the best buys that have been highlighted by the WHO.<sup>26</sup>

Cancers associated with infection account for almost 25% of all cancers<sup>27,28</sup> and disproportionately affect poor populations. Our results show that the cancers that comprise the largest proportion of all avoidable cancer deaths in low-income countries are liver, stomach, and cervix-uteri cancers. Access to low-cost interventions for primary prevention through vaccination against human papillomavirus or hepatitis B, as well as increasingly low-cost screening, early detection, and treatment of certain infections, should be expanded as part of universal health coverage, with a focus on poor population groups. These cancers are concentrated among the poor in middle- and high-income countries, and prevention and early detection should be a high, targeted priority.<sup>29</sup>

Our results from both the feasibility and social justice approaches reveal the five cancer types with the highest proportions of avoidable mortality globally, in rank order: testicular, liver, corpusuteri, cervix-uteri, and breast. Three of these five cancers are almost exclusively endured by women, a reality that exacerbates sex inequality worldwide. Furthermore, these cancers tend to affect young women in their reproductive and productive years, accounting for a large number of healthy years of life lost and affecting entire families.<sup>30-32</sup> This presents a key opportunity for LMICs to integrate early detection programs for women's cancers into existing health and development initiatives, including maternal and child health, sexual and reproductive health, HIV/ AIDS programs, and antipoverty platforms.<sup>1</sup>

LMICs stand to benefit significantly from policies that address childhood and adolescent cancers, like leukemia. These cancers account for a high number of potential years of healthy life lost and are important targets for national cancer planning. Furthermore, the cancer divide is most pronounced for children, with high mortalityto-incidence ratios in the poorest countries of the world and low ratios in the United States, Canada, and Western Europe due to access to treatment.

Our research has several limitations that point to opportunities for future work. Our calculations

provide point-in-time estimates of avoidable mortality for 2015 but do not speak to future trends. Although our estimates are likely to effectively capture the potential to reduce the impact of infection-associated cancers for which rates of incidence and mortality are high in lower-income countries and low in high-income countries (eg, cervical cancer), they will likely understate the potential to reduce the burden of cancers that are currently of low incidence in LMICs. For diseases such as breast cancer, where prevention is difficult and for which incidence is expected to increase as countries undergo epidemiologic and demographic transitions, our methodology will underestimate the associated avoidable mortality. Additional research should be undertaken to project the stream of future avoidable mortality, accounting for these transitions. In addition, in countries with a high burden of HIV and cancer comorbidity, the cancer-specific age at death would be lower, and future analysis should consider comorbidities. Furthermore, our estimates are based on overall mortality estimates for all ages, and future research should replicate the analysis specifically for children and by sex. Results should also be disaggregated by geographic region, and country-specific results should be analyzed where national cancer registries exist to identify country-specific priorities.

Each year millions of premature cancer deaths could be avoided with low-cost interventions focused on reducing risk factors, promoting screening and early detection, and providing access to basic treatment. Despite vast inequities in access to cancer medicines, vaccines, and technologies, effective low-cost treatment, financial protection programs, and innovative care delivery models exist and can be applied to resource-constrained settings to reduce avoidable cancer mortality worldwide.<sup>1,33,34</sup> The results presented herein can facilitate the design of national cancer control plans and strategies to expand access that should be rooted in a comprehensive care continuum from public health prevention strategies, to screening and early detection interventions linked to accessible and quality treatment, to palliative care required to relieve the pain and suffering associated with all cancers.1,35

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1200/JG0.17.00190 Published online on jgo.org on August 10, 2018.

#### AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conception and design: Felicia Marie Knaul, Hector Arreola-Ornelas

**Collection and assembly of data:** Felicia Marie Knaul, Hector Arreola-Ornelas, Natalia M. Rodriguez, Oscar Méndez-Carniado

Data analysis and interpretation: All authors

Manuscript writing: All authors

Final approval of manuscript: All authors

Accountable for all aspects of the work: All authors

#### AUTHORS' DISCLOSURES OF POTENTIAL CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The following represents disclosure information provided by authors of this manuscript. All relationships are considered compensated. Relationships are self-held unless noted. I = Immediate Family Member, Inst = My Institution. Relationships may not relate to the subject matter of this manuscript. For more information about ASCO's conflict of interest policy, please refer to www. asco.org/rwc or ascopubs.org/jco/site/ifc.

#### Felicia Marie Knaul

Honoraria: Roche

Consulting or Advisory Role: Merck Serono Research Funding: F. Hoffman-La Roche (Inst), Sanofi (Inst), EMD Serono (Inst), Pfizer (Inst), Merck Serono, VITAS Healthcare

Travel, Accommodations, Expenses: Roche, Merck, Merck Serono

#### Hector Arreola-Ornelas

No relationship to disclose

#### Natalia M. Rodriguez

Patents, Royalties, Other Intellectual Property: Klapperich, C.M., Rodriguez, N.M., Linnes, J.C. "Detection Device Having Capture Region and Detection Region," U.S. Patent Application No. 62/266,424. December 11, 2015.

#### Oscar Méndez-Carniado

No relationship to disclose

#### Xiaoxiao Jiang Kwete

Stock and Other Ownership Interests: Expat (I) Research Funding: Roche (Inst) Patents, Royalties, Other Intellectual Property: My husband Patrick Kwete, has a granted patent called "Personalized Medical Treatment Provision Software" (https://patents. google.com/patent/US20130080425A1/en). (I)

Travel, Accommodations, Expenses: Expat (I)

#### Esteban Puentes-Rosas

Employment: Sanofi Pasteur Stock and Other Ownership Interests: Sanofi Pasteur

Afsan Bhadelia Research Funding: Roche (Inst)

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We thank the University of Miami Sylvester Comprehensive Cancer Center for financial support via the Sylvester Comprehensive Cancer Center Cancer Control Research Program. We thank David Barros Sierra Cordera, June-Ho Kim, and Javier Dorantes Aguilar for their helpful contributions.

#### Affiliations

Felicia Marie Knaul, Sylvester Comprehensive Cancer Center at the University of Miami; Felicia Marie Knaul and Natalia M. Rodriguez, University of Miami Institute for Advanced Study of the Americas; Hector Arreola-Ornelas, Afsan Bhadelia, and Xiaoxiao Jiang Kwete, University of Miami, Miami, FL; Felicia Marie Knaul, Hector Arreola-Ornelas, and Oscar Méndez-Carniado, Fundación Mexicana para la Salud, A.C.; Felicia Marie Knaul and Hector Arreola-Ornelas, Tómateloa a Pecho A.C., Mexico City; and Esteban Puentes-Rosas, Sanofi Pasteur, LATAM Region, Mexico.

#### REFERENCES

- Knaul FM, Gralow JR, Autn R, et al (eds): Closing the Cancer Divide: An Equity Imperative. Based on the work of the Global Task Force on Expanded Access to Cancer Care and Control in Developing Countries. Cambridge, MA, Harvard Global Equity Initiative, 2012
- Global Burden of Disease Study: 2015. Global Burden of Disease Study 2015 (GBD 2015) Cancer Incidence, Mortality, Years of Life Lost, Years Lived with Disability, and Disability-Adjusted Life Years 1990-2015. Seattle, WA, Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation (IHME), 2016. http:// ghdx.healthdata.org/record/global-burden-disease-study-2015-gbd-2015-cancer-incidencemortality-years-life-lost-years
- 3. Farmer P, Frenk J, Knaul FM, et al: Expansion of cancer care and control in countries of low and middle income: A call to action. Lancet 376:1186-1193, 2010
- 4. Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Dikshit R, et al: Cancer incidence and mortality worldwide: sources, methods and major patterns in GLOBOCAN 2012. Int J Cancer 136:E359-E386, 2015
- 5. Rutstein DD, Berenberg W, Chalmers TC, et al: Measuring the quality of medical care. A clinical method. N Engl J Med 294:582-588, 1976
- Castelli, A, Nizalova OY: Avoidable mortality: What it means and how it is measured. Working Papers 063cherp. Centre for Health Economics, University of York, 2011. https://ideas.repec. org/p/chy/respap/63cherp.html

- Nolte E, McKee M: Does Health Care Save Lives? Avoidable Mortality Revisited. London, The Nuffield Trust, 2004
- 8. World Health Organization: Global Action Plan for the Prevention and Control of Noncommunicable Diseases 2013-2020, 2013. http://www.who.int/nmh/events/ncd\_action\_plan/en/
- 9. Charlton JR, Hartley RM, Silver R, et al: Geographical variation in mortality from conditions amenable to medical intervention in England and Wales. Lancet 1:691-696, 1983
- 10. World Health Organization: Global Health Observatory: Premature NCD deaths. http://www.who. int/gho/ncd/mortality\_morbidity/ncd\_premature/en/
- Gispert R, Serra I, Barés MA, et al: The impact of avoidable mortality on life expectancy at birth in Spain: Changes between three periods, from 1987 to 2001. J Epidemiol Community Health 62:783-789, 2008
- 12. Gómez-Arias RD, Nolasco A, Pereyra-Zamora P, et al: Diseño y análisis comparativo de un inventario de indicadores de mortalidad evitable adaptado a las condiciones sanitarias de Colombia [Design and comparative analysis of an inventory of indicators of avoidable mortality adapted to the sanitary conditions of Colombia]. Pan American Journal of Public Health 26:385-397, 2009
- 13. Humblet PC, Lagasse R, Levêque A: Trends in Belgian premature avoidable deaths over a 20 year period. J Epidemiol Community Health 54:687-691, 2000
- Weisz D, Gusmano MK, Rodwin VG, et al: Population health and the health system: A comparative analysis of avoidable mortality in three nations and their world cities. Eur J Public Health 18:166-172, 2008
- 15. Tobias M, Jackson G: Avoidable mortality in New Zealand, 1981-97. Aust N Z J Public Health 25:12-20, 2001
- 16. Nolte E, Scholz R, Shkolnikov V, et al: The contribution of medical care to changing life expectancy in Germany and Poland. Soc Sci Med 55:1905-1921, 2002
- 17. Mackenbach JP, Looman CWN, Kunst AE, et al: Post-1950 mortality trends and medical care: Gains in life expectancy due to declines in mortality from conditions amenable to medical intervention in The Netherlands. Soc Sci Med 27:889-894, 1988
- Petrie D, Tang KK, Prasada Rao DS: Measuring avoidable health inequality with realization of conditional potential life years (RePLY). Health Economics 18:S55-S75, 2009
- 19. World Bank: Country Income Group Classifications. 2015. https://datahelpdesk.worldbank.org/ knowledgebase/articles/906519-world-bank-country-and-lending-groups
- Holland WW (ed): European Community Atlas Of 'Avoidable Death' 1985-89. Oxford, England, Oxford University Press, 1997
- 21. Treurniet HF, Looman CWN, van der Maas PJ, et al: Variations in 'avoidable' mortality: A reflection of variations in incidence? Int J Epidemiol 28:225-232, 1999
- 22. Levi F, Lucchini F, Negri E, et al: Trends in mortality from leukaemia in subsequent age groups. Leukemia 14:1980-1985, 2000
- Sen A: Development as freedom (1999). In Roberts JT, Hite AB, and Chorev N (eds): The Globalization and Development Reader: Perspectives on Development and Global Change. Hoboken, NJ, Wiley-Blackwell, 2014, pp. 525-548
- 24. Sen A: The Idea of Justice. Cambridge, MA, Harvard University Press, 2011
- 25. Knaul FM, González-Pier E, Gómez-Dantés O, et al: The quest for universal health coverage: Achieving social protection for all in Mexico. Lancet 380:1259-1279, 2012
- World Health Organization: From Burden to "Best Buys": Reducing the Economic Impact of Non-Communicable Diseases in Low-and Middle-Income Countries. Geneva, Switzerland, World Economic Forum, 2011
- 27. Sloan FA, Gelband H: Cancer Control Opportunities in Low-and Middle -Income Countries. Washington, DC, National Academy Press, 2007

- 28. Bernard W, Stewart CP, Freddie Bray DF, et al: World Cancer Report 2014: International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC). World Health Organization, Lyon, France. 2014
- 29. de Souza JA, Hunt B, Asirwa FC, et al: Global health equity: Cancer care outcome disparities in high-, middle-, and low-income countries. J Clin Oncol 34:6-13, 2016
- 30. Knaul FM, Bhadelia A, Gralow J, et al: Meeting the emerging challenge of breast and cervical cancer in low- and middle-income countries. Int J Gynaecol Obstet 119:S85-S88, 2012 (suppl 1)
- 31. Knaul FM, Bhadelia A, Arriola-Ornelas H, et al: Women's reproductive health in transition: The overlapping challenge of breast and cervical cancer. Cancer Contr 11:50-59, 2014
- Franco-Marina F, López-Carrillo L, Keating NL, et al: Breast cancer age at diagnosis patterns in four Latin American Populations: A comparison with North American countries. Cancer Epidemiol 39:831-837, 2015
- Gelband H, Jha P, Sankaranarayanan R, et al (eds): Cancer. Disease Control Priorities Volume 3 (ed 3). Washington, DC, World Bank, 2015
- 34. Gelband H, Sankarayanarayanan S, Gauvreau C, et al: Costs, affordability, and feasibility of an essential package of cancer control interventions in low-income and middle-income countries: key messages from Disease Control Priorities, 3rd edition. Lancet 387:2133-2144, 2016
- 35. Knaul FM, Farmer PE, Krakauer EL, et al: Alleviating the access abyss in palliative care and pain relief-an imperative of universal health coverage: the Lancet Commission report. Lancet 391:1391-1454, 2018