SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

Received: 26 January 2018 Accepted: 11 July 2018 Published online: 23 July 2018

OPEN Analyzing 74,248 Samples Confirms the Association Between CLU rs11136000 Polymorphism and Alzheimer's Disease in Caucasian **But Not Chinese population**

Zhijie Han¹, Jiaojiao Qu², Jiehong Zhao³ & Xiao Zou²

Clusterin (CLU) is considered one of the most important roles for pathogenesis of Alzheimer's Disease (AD). The early genome-wide association studies (GWAS) identified the CLU rs11136000 polymorphism is significantly associated with AD in Caucasian. However, the subsequent studies are unable to replicate these findings in different populations. Although two independent meta-analyses show evidence to support significant association in Asian and Caucasian populations by integrating the data from 18 and 25 related GWAS studies, respectively, many of the following 18 studies also reported the inconsistent results. Moreover, there are six missed and a misclassified GWAS studies in the two meta-analyses. Therefore, we suspected that the small-scale and incompletion or heterogeneity of the samples maybe lead to different results of these studies. In this study, large-scale samples from 50 related GWAS studies (28,464 AD cases and 45,784 controls) were selected afresh from seven authoritative sources to reevaluate the effect of rs11136000 polymorphism to AD risk. Similarly, we identified that the minor allele variant of rs11136000 significantly decrease AD risk in Caucasian ethnicity using the allele, dominant and recessive model. Different from the results of the previous studies, however, the results showed a negligible or no association in Asian and Chinese populations. Collectively, our analysis suggests that, for Asian and Chinese populations, the variant of rs11136000 may be irrelevant to AD risk. We believe that these findings can help to improve the understanding of the AD's pathogenesis.

Alzheimer's Disease (AD) is a commonest kind of neurodegenerative disorders with a complex pathogenesis, and has become one of the leading causes of death in elderly people^{1,2}. It is characterized by accumulation and toxic effect of the amyloid β -peptide (A β) deposits and neurofibrillary tangles in brain³. Previous studies predict that the newly diagnosed AD patients are expected to reach as many as 135 million by 2050 from about 35 million in 2009 around the world if lack of the effective preventive measures^{4,5}.

Clusterin (CLU) is considered one of the most important roles for pathogenesis of AD by influencing the structure and neurotoxic effects of A β deposits^{6–8}, and some of the variants at CLU can affect its expression level in brain^{9,10}. Two early genome-wide association studies (GWAS) identified a single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) rs11136000 (T < C) significantly associated with AD in the CLU gene by analyzing the large-scale Caucasian populations^{11,12}. In particular, Harold et al.¹¹ and Lambert et al.¹² analyzed 11,756 and 14,490 individuals from USA, UK, Ireland, Germany, France, Italy, Spain, Belgium and Finland, respectively, and both of them found that the minor allele variant of rs11136000 can reduce the risk of AD (95% confidence interval (CI) of odds ratio (OR) less than the value 1).

However, the subsequent studies report consistent^{13–18} and inconsistent^{19–28} results involved in Caucasian, Asian and African populations. For example, by analyzing 268 AD cases and 389 controls from China, Lin et al. find that the

¹Innovative Drug Research and Bioinformatics Group, School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Chongging University, Chongqing, 401331, China. ²Institute of Fungus Resources, College of Life Sciences, Guizhou University, Guiyang, 550025, China. ³College of Pharmacy, Guiyang University of Chinese Medicine, Guian new area, 550025, China. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to X.Z. (email: xzou@gzu.edu.cn)

Study	Vear	Country or	Fthnicity	No. of	No. of	Genotyping platform	Kind of genotype
Jia et al 35	2017	China	Asian	1 201	4 880	SNaPshot	
Shankaranna at al ³⁴	2017	India	Asian	242	4,007	TagMan	
Huong et al 37	2017	China	Asian	243	56	Saguanom	CC/CT/TT
I lug at al ⁴¹	2010	China	Asian	100	120	DCD	
Davage deb et al 39	2010	Iran	Asian	109	120	PCR	
Wang et al 40	2010	China	Asian	749	760	r CR SNaDabat	
Vang et al.44	2016	China	Asian	748	210	DCD	
Jiao et al. $(a_1, a_2, a_3)^{47}$	2015	China	Asian	229	272	PCR	CC/C1/11
Xiao et al. (stage 1)	2015	China	Asian	232	3/3	Sequenom	C/T
Alao et al. (stage 2)	2015	China	Asian	227	5/8	Sequenom	C/I
Lu et al	2014	China	Asian	493	220	PCR	CC/CT/TT
Chen et al. ²⁷	2012	China	Asian	451	338	Sequenom	CC/C1/11
Chung et al.2"	2012	Korea	Asian	290	544	IaqMan	C/1
Lin et al."	2012	China	Asian	268	389	-	
Ma et al. ²⁵	2012	China	Asian	127	143	PCR	
Ohara <i>et al.</i> ²⁰	2012	Japan	Asian	824	2,933	Invader assay	CC/CT/TT
Yu et al. ²¹	2010	China	Asian	324	388	MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry	CC/CT/TT
Seripa et al. ³³	2017	Italy	Caucasian	520	569	PCR	CC/CT/TT
Alaylioglu et al. ³⁶	2016	Turkey	Caucasian	183	154	PCR	CC/CT/TT
Montanola et al.38	2016	Spain	Caucasian	73	88	SNPlex	C/T
Ferrari et al.43	2015	Italy	Caucasian	37	28	PCR	C/T
Sen et al.45	2015	Turkey	Caucasian	112	106	TaqMan	CC/CT/TT
Sleegers et al.46	2015	Belgium	Caucasian	1,295	1,090	PCR	CC/CT/TT
Carrasquillo et al.18	2014	USA	Caucasian	54 2,424 TaqMan		TaqMan	CC/CT/TT
Pedraza et al. ⁵¹	2014	MCADRC	Caucasian	411	2,145	TaqMan	C/T
Roussotte et al.52	2014	ADNI	Caucasian	aucasian 173 205 Illumina 610		Illumina 610	CC/CT/TT
Mullan et al.49	2013	Ireland	Caucasian	casian 154 142 TaqMan		TaqMan	C/T
Nizamutdinov et al.50	2013	Russia	Caucasian	166	128	ABI prism BigDye Terminator	C/T
Bettens et al.24	2012	Belgium	Caucasian	954	810	PCR	C/T
Bettens et al.24	2012	France	Caucasian	1,291	608	PCR	C/T
Bettens et al.24	2012	Canada	Caucasian	304	239	PCR	C/T
Kamboh <i>et al</i> . ¹⁶	2012	USA	Caucasian	1,344	1,350	Taqman	CC/CT/TT
Carrasquillo et al.13	2010	USA	Caucasian	1,819	2,565	Taqman	CC/CT/TT
Corneveaux et al.48	2010	NIA, MBB	Caucasian	1,019	591	Affymetrix 6.0	C/T
Golenkina et al.20	2010	Russia	Caucasian	534	702	PCR	CC/CT/TT
Seshadri <i>et al.</i> ¹⁴	2010	Spain	Caucasian	1,140	1,209	Illumina 550,370,300 and Affymetrix 500 K	CC/CT/TT
Giedraitis et al. ¹⁹	2009	Sweden	Caucasian	79	365	Illumina GoldenGate	CC/CT/TT
Harold et al. ¹¹	2009	USA	Caucasian	1,153	2,187	Illumina 610, 550 and 300	CC/CT/TT
Harold et al. ¹¹	2009	UK.Ireland	Caucasian	2.220	4.833	Illumina 610	CC/CT/TT
Harold et al. ¹¹	2009	Germany	Caucasian	539	824	Illumina 610 and 550	CC/CT/TT
Lambert et al ¹²	2009	France	Caucasian	2.039	5.378	Illumina 610	CC/CT/TT
Lambert et al ¹²	2009	Italy	Caucasian	1.480	1,263	Tagman and Sequenom	CC/CT/TT
Lambert et al. ¹²	2009	Spain	Caucasian	748	810	Tagman and Sequenom	CC/CT/TT
Lambert et al. ¹²	2009	Belgium	Caucasian	1 035	491	Tagman and Sequenom	CC/CT/TT
Lambert et al ¹²	2009	Finland	Caucasian	596	650	Tagman and Sequenom	CC/CT/TT
Pedraza et al ⁵¹	2014	MCADRC	African	44	223	TaoMan	С/Т
Belcavello et al 42	2015	Brazil	American	81	161	PCR	CC/CT/TT
Moreno et al 31	2013	Colombia	Mixed nonulation (Caucasian African and American)	280	357	PCR	С/Т
Santos Debaucos	2017	Colonidia	mixed population (Caucasian, Arrican and American)	200	557		5/1
et al. ³²	2017	Brazil	Mixed population (Caucasian, African and mulatto)	174	175	TaqMan	CC/CT/TT
Ferrari et al. ¹⁵	2012	UK	Mixed population (Caucasian and African)	342	277	TaqMan	C/T
Gu et al. ²²	2011	Indiana	Mixed population (Caucasian and American)	106	98	PCR	CC/CT/TT
All				28,464	45,784		

Table 1. Main information of the studies included in this meta-analysis. "CC/CT/TT" means the study offer the data of genotypes CC, CT and TT both in cases and controls. "C/T" means only the data of genotypes C and T are offered in the study. MCADRC: Mayo Clinic Alzheimer's Disease Research Center; ADNI: Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative; NIA: National Institute on Aging; MBB: Miami Brain Bank.

.....



Figure 1. Flow chart of selecting studies for analyzing the association between rs11136000 polymorphism and AD.

participants carrying 2 copies of minor allele in rs11136000 are associated with a decreased risk of AD¹⁷. The consistent result in North American Caucasian population is also identified by Carrasquillo *et al.*¹⁸. While in Canadian and Korean populations, the rs11136000 is found not associated with AD according to the studies of Bettens *et al.*²⁴ and Chung *et al.*²⁷, respectively. Then, two independent meta-analysis studies re-assess the results of these GWAS studies published before June 20, 2013 (18 studies) and August 31, 2014 (25 studies), respectively, and both of them found this SNP is significantly associated with AD in populations of Asian and Caucasian^{29,30}. But among the subsequent 18 GWAS studies published after August 31, 2014, many of them report inconsistent results in the corresponding populations³¹⁻⁴⁷. Moreover, by comparing the selected GWAS articles published before June 20, 2013 in the two meta-analysis studies, we find the selection is incomplete for both of them. In particular, Liu *et al.*²⁹ miss two GWAS articles about Caucasian populations^{16,24}, and Du *et al.*³⁰ miss a GWAS article about Asian population²⁷. In fact, through our further investigation, a total five related GWAS articles published before August 31, 2014 are not collected in the two meta-analysis studies⁴⁸⁻⁵². In addition, a GWAS study about American and German populations is misclassified to the Asian ethnicity subgroup in Du *et al.*²⁸ study²².

We suspected that the small-scale and incompletion or heterogeneity of the samples maybe lead to different results of these studies. In this study, we selected 50 related GWAS studies with large-scale samples from 40 articles (28,464 cases and 45,784 controls, about 40.3% increase over the total number of the previous two meta-analysis studies^{29,30}) by searching the PubMed, ClinicalKey, AlzGene, Google Scholar, CNKI, Wanfang and VIP databases, and reevaluated the association between AD and rs11136000 polymorphism in Caucasian, Asian and Chinese population using the method of meta-analysis as previously described⁵³⁻⁶³. The use of more complete and larger scale samples would make the results more reliable.

Methods and Materials

Selection of literatures and GWAS studies. All of the possible studies were selected by searching the databases of PubMed (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed, ClinicalKey (https://www.clinicalkey.com/), Wanfang (http://www.wanfangdata.com.cn/), CNKI (http://www.cnki.net/) and VIP (http://www.cqvip.com/) using the keywords: "Alzheimer's disease", "rs11136000", "Clusterin" or "CLU". The CNKI, Wanfang and VIP are very authoritative and reliable Chinese database. And then, we consulted the related studies collected in AlzGene database (http://www.alzgene.org/) which was a publicly available resource providing the information of AD genetic variants from 1,395 GWAS studies (updated April 18, 2011)⁶⁴. In addition, we further queried references of these identified GWAS studies in previous steps and the articles citing them using the Google Scholar (http:// scholar.google.com/).

After that, the appropriate studies were identified by the following criteria: (1) The study is a GWAS to analysis the association of rs11136000 polymorphism and AD. (2) It is a case-control design study. (3) The study provides

			Experin	nental	C	ontrol				
Study	Year	Population	Events	Total	Events	Total	Odds Ratio	OR	95%-CI	Weight
lia et al	2017	China	450	2260	1052	0020	<u>. </u>	0.05	10 95. 1 061	1 104
Moreno et al	2017	Colombia	170	126	201	020		0.00	[0.00, 1.00]	1 00/
Santos-Reboucas et al	2017	Brazil	1/9	303	200	305		0.04	[0.00, 1.00]	0.6%
Serina et al	2017	Italy	350	700	691	1370		0.93	[0.09, 1.20]	1 0%
Shankaranna et al	2017	India	122	217	364	507		0.04	[0.70, 1.00]	0.6%
Alaylioglu et al	2016	Turkey	122	217	220	131		0.02	[0.00, 1.13]	0.0%
Huang et al	2010	China	127	240	239	404		0.92	[0.07, 1.20]	0.0%
Luo et al	2016	China	75	171	142	207		- 2.03	[1.12, 0.10]	0.0%
Montanola et al	2016	Spain	10	120	143	207		0.79	[0.34, 1.15]	0.4%
Rezazadeb et al	2010	Iron	43	250	140	202		1.00	[0.34, 0.85]	0.5%
Wang et al	2010	China	210	350	143	290		1.09	[0.80, 1.49]	0.5%
Pologyollo et al	2010	Dramil	318	607	11/8	2409	1	1.15	[0.96, 1.37]	1.5%
Ferrari et al	2015	Brazii	69	202	93	282		1.05	[0.72; 1.55]	0.3%
liao et al	2015	Chine	30	00	44	74		0.79	[0.39, 1.59]	0.1%
Sep et al	2015	Unina	8/	219	3/1	8/5		0.90	[0.66; 1.21]	0.6%
Sloogoro et el	2015	Turkey	73	143	101	293		0.98	[0.66; 1.46]	0.3%
Sieegers et al.	2015	Beigium	953	1819	1637	2951	-	0.88	[0.79; 0.99]	4.0%
Xiao et al. (stage 1)	2015	China	78	224	386	986		0.83	[0.61; 1.12]	0.6%
	2015	China	90	272	364	938		0.78	[0.59; 1.04]	0.7%
Carrasquillo et al.	2014	USA	33	2030	/5	2926		0.63	[0.42; 0.95]	0.4%
Lu et al.	2014	China	192	399	794	1753	-	1.12	[0.90; 1.39]	1.0%
Pedraza et al. (CCSN)	2014	MCADRC	298	2034	524	3078		0.84	[0.72; 0.98]	2.4%
Pedraza et al. (AFR)	2014	MCADRC	52	292	36	242		1.24	[0.78; 1.97]	0.2%
Roussotte et al.	2014	ADNI	127	285	219	471		0.92	[0.69; 1.24]	0.6%
Mullan et al.	2013	Ireland	138	256	170	336	<u>+</u> +	1.14	[0.82; 1.58]	0.5%
Nizamutdinov et al.	2013	Russia	110	207	222	381		0.81	[0.58; 1.14]	0.5%
Bettens et al.	2012	Belgium	676	1306	1232	2222		0.86	[0.75; 0.99]	3.0%
Bettens et al.	2012	Canada	236	415	372	6/1	1	1.06	[0.83; 1.36]	0.8%
Chap at al	2012	France	875	1327	1/0/	2471		0.87	[0.75; 1.00]	2.7%
Chen et al.	2012	China	192	354	/10	1224		0.86	[0.68; 1.09]	1.0%
Entrori et el	2012	Korea	134	416	446	1252		0.86	[0.68; 1.09]	1.0%
Kombob of al	2012	UK	254	496	430	742		0.76	[0.61; 0.96]	1.1%
Lip of al	2012	USA	981	2007	1/0/	3381	_ =	0.94	[0.84; 1.05]	4.4%
Ma et al	2012	China	95	271	441	1043		0.74	[0.56, 0.97]	0.8%
Obara et al	2012	Unina	53	121	201	419		0.85	[0.56, 1.27]	0.3%
Guetal	2012	Japan	415	2055	1233	5459	Ť	0.87	[0.77; 0.98]	3.0%
Carrasquillo et al	2011	Indiana	1040	159	132	249		0.90	[0.60; 1.34]	0.3%
Corneveaux et al	2010		726	1200	1202	2012	-	0.00	[0.77, 0.92]	7.4%
Golenkina et al	2010	Russia	270	017	600	2012	1	0.00	[0.75, 0.99]	2.0%
Seshadri et al	2010	Spain	001	1764	1450	1000	1	0.00	[0.75, 1.04]	2.0%
Yu et al	2010	Chino	100	259	1409 540	2904		0.00	[0.76, 0.99]	0.9%
Giedraitis et al	2010	Sweden	100	200	07	F 42		0.03	[0.64, 1.10]	0.0%
Harold et al	2009	Germany	372	1046	706	1680]	0.99	[0.09, 1.41]	2 4%
Harold et al	2009	LIK Ireland	1628	5525	2812	8581		0.70	[0.00, 0.00]	10 5%
Harold et al	2009		925	2576	1/71	4104	÷	0.00	[0.30, 0.32]	5 2%
l ambert et al	2009	Belgium	792	1101	1200	1071		0.00	[0.77, 0.95]	2 20/
Lambert et al	2009	Finland	102	000	724	1/02	1	0.09	[0.76, 1.04]	2.5%
Lambert et al.	2009	France	1110	5701	2629	9112	T I	0.00	[0.73, 1.03]	10 30/
Lambert et al	2003	Italy	1076	2062	1804	3/19	-	0.03	[0.77, 0.89]	1 60/
Lambert et al	2003	Spain	5/2	1155	064	1061	1	0.00	[0.79, 0.99]	9.0%
Lambolt of ul.	2003	Opain	042	1155	504	1901		0.93	[0.01, 1.06]	2.0%
Fixed effect model				51206		97290		0.87	[0.85; 0.90]	100.0%
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 10\%$, $\tau^2 = 0$.0008, p = 0.	.28					02 05 1 2 5			

Figure 2. Forest plot for the meta-analysis of rs11136000 polymorphism using allele model. All the 50 selected studies are used to meta-analysis of the allele contrast (T versus C) by the fixed effect model (Mantel-Haenszel) because the genetic heterogeneity is not significant. The minor allele (T) of rs11136000 was significantly associated with a decreased risk of AD.

both of the numbers of cases and controls. (4) The study provides the information about the ethnicity of each individual. (5) The detailed data for rs11136000 genotypes are available in the study.

Extraction of the related data. We extracted the related data for subsequent analysis from these identified studies: (1) each study's publication date. (2) The first the author's name in each of these studies. (3) The numbers of AD patients and controls of each study. (4) The sample's ethnicity of each study. (5) The detailed genotype data of rs11136000 polymorphism both in AD patients and controls. (6) The types of genotyping platforms. (7) The key results of each study (i.e. the *OR* value and its 95% *CI*, as well as the corresponding *P* value). Moreover, if these results are not provided in the study directly, we would calculate them by the genotype data using the R program (http://www.r-project.org/).

Genetic model choice. The rs11136000 polymorphism contains two types of variants (T and C). T is the minor allele and C is the major allele. We assumed that they are the lower and high risk factor for AD, respectively. Then, the dominant model (TT + TC allele versus CC allele), allele model (T versus C) and recessive model (TT versus TC + CC) were used in this study. According to Table 1, all these studies were meta-analyzed using allele model, while only the studies offering CC, CT and TT genotypes data were analyzed using dominant or recessive model.

Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) test. The HWE test of the rs11136000 polymorphism in AD patient and control groups was performed using a non-continuity correction chi-squared method with the significance level P < 0.01 as previously described⁶⁵. Briefly, for the SNP in each case and control group, the simulated

a

a				Experimental Cont		ontrol					
	Study	Year	Population	Events	Total	Events	Total	Odds Ratio	OR	95%-CI	Weight
								1			
	Jia et al.	2017	China	450	2360	1952	9820		0.95	[0.85; 1.06]	23.3%
	Shankarappa et al.	2017	India	122	217	364	597		0.82	[0.60; 1.13]	3.2%
	Huang et al.	2016	China	16	26	62	164		- 2.63	[1.12; 6.16]	0.2%
	Luo et al.	2016	China	75	171	143	287		0.79	[0.54: 1.15]	2.3%
	Rezazadeh et al.	2016	Iran	177	350	143	296		1.09	[0.80: 1.49]	2.9%
	Wang et al	2016	China	318	607	1178	2409		1 15	[0 96: 1 37]	8.6%
	liao et al	2015	China	87	219	371	875		0.90	[0.66: 1.21]	3.4%
	Viao et al (stago 1)	2015	China	78	224	386	086		0.83	[0.61: 1.12]	3 5%
	Viao et al. (stage 1)	2015	China	00	272	264	020		0.00	[0.50; 1.04]	4 39/
	Lu ot ol	2013	China	100	212	704	1750		1.10	[0.09, 1.04]	4.2%
	Chen et al	2014	China	192	399	794	1755		1.12	[0.90, 1.39]	5.6%
	Chen et al.	2012	China	192	354	/10	1224	-51	0.86	[0.68; 1.09]	5.5%
	Chung et al.	2012	Korea	134	416	446	1252		0.86	[0.68; 1.09]	5.7%
	Lin et al.	2012	China	95	2/1	441	1043		0.74	[0.56; 0.97]	4.5%
	Ma et al.	2012	China	53	121	201	419		0.85	[0.56; 1.27]	1.9%
	Ohara et al.	2012	Japan	415	2055	1233	5459		0.87	[0.77; 0.98]	20.5%
	Yu et al.	2010	China	108	258	540	1166		0.83	[0.64; 1.10]	4.3%
								il i			
	Fixed effect model				8320		28688	•	0.92 [0.87; 0.97] 100.0%		
	Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 38^{\circ}$	$\%, \tau^2 = 0.00$	93, p = 0.06								
								0.2 0.5 1 2 5			
1											
D				Experim	ental	Co	ntrol				
	Study	Year	Population	Events	Total	Events	Total	Odds Ratio	OR	95%-CI	Weight
	Shankarappa et al.	2017	India	107	186	136	221		0.85	[0.57; 1.26]	4.9%
	Luo et al.	2016	China	67	146	42	83	¥	0.83	[0.48; 1.42]	2.7%
	Rezazadeh et al.	2016	Iran	135	282	25	41 -		0.59	[0.30; 1.15]	2.1%
	Wang et al.	2016	China	275	535	473	973	<u>+</u>	1.12	[0.91:1.38]	15.0%
	liao et al	2015	China	79	194	150	353	<u> </u>	0.93	[0.65: 1.33]	5.8%
	luetal	2014	China	174	358	310	718		1 18	[0.02: 1.53]	10.0%
	Chen et al	2012	China	177	315	274	171		0.04	[0.70: 1.25]	8.8%
	Lin ot al	2012	China	02	220	476	4/4	1	0.94	[0.70, 1.20]	7.20/
	Linetal.	2012	China	92	239	170	410		0.00	[0.02, 1.19]	7.3%
	Ma et al.	2012	China	40	109	81	161		0.72	[0.44; 1.18]	3.5%
	Unara et al.	2012	Japan	355	1753	469	2004		0.83	[0.71; 0.97]	32.1%
	Yu et al.	2010	China	106	244	218	468		0.88	[0.65; 1.20]	7.8%
	Fived offerstanded				4204		5044	1	0.00	0 05. 4 041	400.00/
	Fixed effect model	2 00			4301		5914		0.92	[0.85; 1.01]	100.0%
	Heterogeneity: $I^{-} = 16$	$\%, \tau^{-} = 0.0$	044, p = 0.29					0.5 1 0			
								0.5 1 2			
c				Experim	ental	Co	ontrol				
·	Study	Year	Population	Events	Total	Events	Total	Odds Ratio	OR	95%-CI	Weight
	Shankarappa et al.	2017	India	15	31	228	376		0.61	[0.29; 1.27]	9.5%
	Luo et al	2016	China	8	25	101	204		0.48	[0.20: 1.16]	8.2%
	Rezazadeh et al	2016	Iran	42	68	118	255		1 88	[1 08: 3 24]	11.3%
	Mang of al	2016	China	43	72	705	1436		1 54	10 95 2 491	11.9%
	lian at al	2010	China	90	25	221	522		0.64	[0.33, 2.43]	8 1%
	Jiao et al.	2013	China	10	23	475	1025		0.04	[0.27, 1.31]	10.5%
	Lu et al.	2014	China	10	41	4/5	1035		0.92	[0.49, 1.73]	10.5%
	Chen et al.	2012	China	15	39	436	/50		0.45	[0.23; 0.87]	10.2%
	Lin et al.	2012	China	3	32	265	625 -	<u> </u>	0.14	[0.04; 0.47]	6.0%
	Ma et al.	2012	China	7	12	120	258	- <u>;</u>] =	1.61	[0.50; 5.21]	6.1%
	Ohara et al.	2012	Japan	60	302	764	3455	青	0.87	[0.65; 1.17]	13.5%
	Yu et al.	2010	China	2	14	322	698 -		0.19	[0.04; 0.88]	4.4%
	Random effects mo	odel			661		9614		0.75	[0.51; 1.09]	100.0%
	Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 70$	$\%, \tau^2 = 0.2$	549, p < 0.01								
								01 0512 10			

Figure 3. Forest plot for the meta-analysis of rs11136000 polymorphism in Asian population. Only a weak association between rs11136000 polymorphism and AD is observed in the allele model (a), but not the dominant (**b**) and recessive model (**c**).

P values were calculated to measure the deviation from HWE based on 10,000 iterations. The R package 'Genetics' was used to perform the HWE test (https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/genetics/index.html).

Heterogeneity test. In this study, the heterogeneity among the kinds of populations was measured by the two parameters, I^2 value and Cochran's Q. I^2 value range from 0 to 100%, and it is calculated by Cochran's Q according to the formula $I^2 = \frac{Q - (k-1)}{Q} \times 100\%$. The Cochran's Q is based on a chi-squared distribution with k-1 degrees of freedom, and k means the number of studies. Usually, the extreme, high, moderate and low heterogeneity was considered corresponding to the I^2 value of >75%, 50–75%, 25–50%, and <25%, respectively. In this study, the threshold of significant heterogeneity was set as $I^2 > 50\%$ and P < 0.01 according to previous studies^{53–56}.

Meta-analysis in entirety and subgroup. According to the results of heterogeneity test, the random and the fixed effect model were performed when the heterogeneity was significant or not, respectively⁶⁶. We used the R package 'meta' to perform the meta-analysis, and determine the significance level of association between rs11136000 and AD through the pooled OR value and its 95% CI, as well as the corresponding P value (http://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/meta/index.html). And then, the original samples were further split into Caucasian, Asian, East Asian and Chinese populations, and the meta-analysis was performed in these subgroups.

a					Expe	rimen	tal	Co	ntrol					
	Study		Year	Populati	on Ever	nts To	tal Ever	nts 1	otal	Odds Rati	0	OR	95%-CI	Weight
	Jia et al. Huang et al. Luo et al. Wang et al. Jiao et al. Xiao et al. (stag Xiao et al. (stag Lu et al. Chen et al. Lin et al.	ge 1) ge 2)	2017 2016 2016 2015 2015 2015 2015 2014 2012 2012	China China China China China China China China China	4 3 1 1	50 23 16 1 75 1 18 6 87 2 78 2 90 2 92 3 92 3 92 3 95 2	60 19 26 71 1 19 3 24 3 72 3 99 7 54 7 71 4	52 9 62 43 78 2 71 86 64 94 1 10 1 41	9820 164 287 2409 875 986 938 753 2224 043			0.95 - 2.63 0.79 1.15 0.90 0.83 0.78 1.12 0.86 0.74	[0.85; 1.06] [1.12; 6.16] [0.54; 1.15] [0.96; 1.37] [0.66; 1.21] [0.61; 1.12] [0.59; 1.04] [0.90; 1.39] [0.68; 1.09]	34.4% 0.4% 3.4% 12.7% 5.0% 5.2% 6.2% 8.6% 8.2% 6.6%
	Ma et al. Yu et al.		2012 2010	China China	1	53 1 08 2	21 2 58 5	01 40 1	419 166			0.85	[0.56; 1.27] [0.64; 1.10]	2.8% 6.4%
	Fixed effect me Heterogeneity: / ²	odel 2 = 47%	, τ ² = 0.0	0139, p = 0.04		52	82	21	1084			0.94	[0.88; 1.00]	100.0%
									(0.2 0.5 1	2 5			
b	Study	Year	· P	opulation	Experim Events	ental Total	C Events	ontro Tota		Odds Ratio		OR	95%-CI	Weight
	Luo et al. Wang et al. Jiao et al. Lu et al. Chen et al. Lin et al. Ma et al.	2016 2015 2014 2012 2012 2012 2012		China China China China China China China	67 275 79 174 177 92 46 106	146 535 194 358 315 239 109 244	42 473 150 319 274 176 81 218	83 973 353 718 474 418 468	3 — 3 3 4 3 1 —		_	0.83 1.12 0.93 1.18 0.94 0.86 0.72 0.88	[0.48; 1.42] [0.91; 1.38] [0.65; 1.33] [0.92; 1.53] [0.70; 1.25] [0.62; 1.19] [0.44; 1.18] [0.65; 1.20]	4.4% 24.7% 9.5% 16.5% 14.5% 11.9% 5.7% 12.8%
	Fixed effect n Heterogeneity: /	nodel 1 ² = 0%	$\tau^{2} = 0,$	p = 0.46		2140	2.0	364	3 7 05			0.99	[0.89; 1.10]	100.0%
0					Experim	nental	C	ontro	0.5	1	2			
C	Study	Yea	r P	Population	Events	Total	Events	Tota	i	Odds Ratio		OR	95%-CI	Weight
	Luo et al. Wang et al. Jiao et al. Lu et al. Chen et al. Lin et al. Ma et al. Yu et al.	2016 2016 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014	6 C 6 C 5 C 4 C 2 C 2 C 2 C 0 C	China China China China China China China	8 43 8 18 15 3 7 2	25 72 25 41 39 32 12 14	101 705 221 475 436 265 120 322	204 1436 522 1035 750 625 698			_	0.48 1.54 0.64 0.92 0.45 0.14 1.61 0.19	[0.20; 1.16] [0.95; 2.49] [0.27; 1.51] [0.49; 1.73] [0.23; 0.87] [0.04; 0.47] [0.50; 5.21] [0.04; 0.88]	12.7% 16.5% 12.9% 15.1% 14.8% 10.0% 10.2% 7.8%
	Random effect Heterogeneity: /	cts mc $r^2 = 72^{\circ}$	odel %, τ ² = (0.4197, p < 0.	01	260		5528	3			0.62	[0.35; 1.07]	100.0%
	- /								0	.1 0.5 1 2	10			

Figure 4. Forest plot for the meta-analysis of rs11136000 polymorphism in Chinese population. The association between rs11136000 polymorphism and AD was not significant in the allele (**a**), dominant (**b**) and recessive model (**c**).

Publication bias analysis and sensitivity analysis. We first evaluated the publication bias of the studies used in dominant, allele and recessive model, respectively, by the two common checking methods, the Begg's test⁶⁷ and Egger's test⁶⁸. The threshold of significant publication bias was set as P < 0.05. Then, we used the asymmetry of the funnel plots to describ the results of the publication bias analysis. Finally, for sensitivity analyses, we excluded each study in turn from the whole sample to measure the influence of each study.

Data availability. All the datasets used in this are available from the corresponding author.

Results

Study acquisition and data extraction. By a keyword search in the publicly available databases and a screening according to the criteria, a total 46 studies from 36 articles were identified which mainly involved in Caucasian and Asian populations. Moreover, a study about Sweden population was selected from AlzGene database, and three studies involved in Asian populations were identified by the citation check using Google Scholar.

Figure 1 showed the workflow of selection. Then, the related data of these 50 studies were extracted, and the main information was described in Table 1 (the detailed genotype data, the *OR* value and its 95% *CI*, as well as the corresponding *P* value were shown in Supplementary Table S1).

Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium test. We calculated the *P* value of HWE to assess the genotype distribution of rs11136000 polymorphism in AD patients and controls separately. Using a significance level of P < 0.01, we observed that a few of the samples deviated from HWE, including the case samples from the study of Yu *et al.* ($P = 9.0 \times 10^{-3}$) and Gu *et al.* ($P = 2.0 \times 10^{-4}$), and the control samples from the study of Rezazadeh *et al.* ($P = 1.0 \times 10^{-4}$), Gu *et al.* ($P = 1.0 \times 10^{-4}$) and Lin *et al.* ($P = 9.0 \times 10^{-3}$). More detailed information about the results of the HWE test was described in Supplementary Table S2.

		Meta-anal	ysis		Heteroger	neity test		
Ethnicity	Studies	OR	95% IC	P value	I ²	P value	Association	
the allele model								
integrated population	All	0.875	[0.8543; 0.8955]	< 0.0001	9.9%	0.2764	significant	
integrated population	In HWE	0.875	[0.8524; 0.8960]	< 0.0001	11.4%	0.2560	significant	
Asian	All	0.927	[0.8777; 0.9786]	0.0034	34.8%	0.0734	significant	
Asian	In HWE	0.928	[0.8752; 0.9845]	0.0131	39.4%	0.0706	significant	
East Asian	All	0.918	[0.8673; 0.9725]	0.0036	41.8%	0.0501	significant	
East Asian	In HWE	0.932	[0.8781; 0.9898]	0.0218	42.8%	0.0573	significant	
China	All	0.939	[0.8782; 1.0040]	0.0654	47.1%	0.0355	not significant	
China	In HWE	0.962	[0.8959; 1.0332]	0.2884	46.2%	0.0534	not significant	
the dominant model								
integrated population	All	0.848	[0.8171; 0.8794]	< 0.0001	0.0%	0.5996	significant	
integrated population	In HWE	0.848	[0.8169; 0.8803]	< 0.0001	0.6%	0.4558	significant	
Asian	All	0.922	[0.8464; 1.0050]	0.0649	16.0%	0.2917	not significant	
Asian	In HWE	0.940	[0.8558; 1.0326]	0.1969	28.1%	0.2037	not significant	
East Asian	All	0.934	[0.8545; 1.0205]	0.1304	19.2%	0.2717	not significant	
East Asian	In HWE	0.946	[0.8588; 1.0418]	0.2591	36.9%	0.1494	not significant	
China	All	0.988	[0.8868; 1.1008]	0.8270	0.0%	0.4601	not significant	
China	In HWE	1.026	[0.9072; 1.1612]	0.6794	2.4%	0.4013	not significant	
the recessive model								
integrated population	All	0.822	[0.7790; 0.8676]	< 0.0001	32.6%	0.0387	significant	
integrated population	In HWE	0.824	[0.7799; 0.8695]	< 0.0001	0.0%	0.5382	significant	
Asian	All	0.747	0.747 [0.5112; 1.0924]		70.5% 0.0002		not significant	
Asian	In HWE	0.861	[0.7089; 1.0454]	0.1305	47.7%	0.0631	not significant	
East Asian	All	0.675	[0.4441; 1.0254]	0.0654	68.1% 0.0015		not significant	
East Asian	In HWE	0.883	[0.7221; 1.0795]	0.2246	51.9%	0.0524	not significant	
China	All	0.615	[0.3546; 1.0677]	0.0841	71.8%	0.0008	not significant	
China	In HWE	0.892	[0.6767; 1.1750]	0.4154	59.8%	0.0291	not significant	

Table 2. The results of meta-analysis after removing the studies deviated from HWE.

.....

Heterogeneity Test and Meta-analysis. After the test, we found that there is no the significant genetic heterogeneity of rs11136000 polymorphism among all of the 50 selected studies using the dominant ($I^2 = 0\%$ and P = 0.60), allele ($I^2 = 10\%$ and P = 0.28) and recessive model ($I^2 = 33\%$ and P = 0.04). Therefore, the meta-analysis with fixed effect model was performed to assess the association between rs11136000 and the risk of AD, and we found significant results in all the three models. In particular, the significant association between the minor allele (T) of rs11136000 and a decreased risk of AD was identified in the allele (OR = 0.875, 95% CI = 0.854-0.896, P < 0.0001) (Fig. 2), dominant (OR = 0.848, 95% CI = 0.817-0.879, P < 0.0001) and recessive model (OR = 0.822, 95% CI = 0.779-0.868, P < 0.0001) (Supplementary Figs S1 and S2).

Subgroup Analysis. We further performed the meta-analysis in the subgroups to assess the association between rs11136000 and the risk of AD in different ethnicities. Among all the 50 selected studies, the great majority of them involved in Caucasian or Asian ethnicity, except two studies about African and American population, respectively, and four mixed population studies (Table 1). Therefore, we first divided these studies into Caucasian or Asian ethnicity subgroups. We found a significant association between the minor allele (T) of rs11136000 and a decreased risk of AD in Caucasian ethnicity using the allele (OR = 0.864, 95% CI = 0.842-0.888, P < 0.0001), dominant (OR = 0.829, 95% CI = 0.796-0.864, P < 0.0001) and recessive model (OR = 0.819, 95% CI = 0.774-0.867, P < 0.0001) (Supplementary Figs S3–S5). For the Asian ethnicity, however, only a weak association was observed in allele model (OR = 0.921, 95% CI = 0.871-0.973, P = 0.0034) (Fig. 3a), but not the dominant (OR = 0.922, 95% CI = 0.846-1.005, P = 0.0649) (Fig. 3b) and recessive model (OR = 0.747, 95% CI = 0.511-1.092, P = 0.1326) (Fig. 3c).

The Asian population in this study was composed of the Indian, Iranian, Korean and Japanese individuals separately from a GWAS study, and the Chinese individuals from 12 GWAS studies. Therefore, we then assessed the association between this SNP and risk of AD in East Asian and Chinese populations. Interestingly, the results of meta-analysis in East Asian population were similar to these in Asian population (Supplementary Figs S6–S8). However, the association was not significant in Chinese population using the allele (OR = 0.939, 95% CI = 0.878 - 1.004, P = 0.0654) (Fig. 4a), dominant (OR = 0.988, 95% CI = 0.887 - 1.101, P = 0.8270) (Fig. 4b) and recessive model (OR = 0.615, 95% CI = 0.355 - 1.068, P = 0.0841) (Fig. 4c), which was different from the findings in the previous studies^{29,30}.

Moreover, given that a few samples from four GWAS studies (three Asian populations and a mixed population) deviated from HWE, we further tested whether they affected the accuracy of the results by removing these studies from whole sample, Asian, East Asian and Chinese subgroups, respectively. The results were consistent



Figure 5. Funnel plot for publication bias analysis of rs11136000 polymorphism in AD using allele, dominant and recessive models.

.....

with what we had been observed previously in whole sample and the subgroups using allele, dominant and recessive model. Table 2 showed the detailed information of the results.

Publication bias analysis and sensitivity analysis. As the funnel plots show (Fig. 5), we did not identify the significant publication bias in the three genetic models. In particular, the *P* value of Begg's and Egger's test is 0.80 and 0.24, respectively, for dominant model. Similarly, the *P* value is 0.43 (Begg's test) and 0.21 (Egger's test) for the allele model, and 0.22 (Begg's test) and 0.61 (Egger's test) for the recessive model. Moreover, through the sensitivity analysis, for all the three genetic models, we did not found a significant change of the association level between rs11136000 and AD when excluding any of the studies. Supplementary Tables S3–S5 described the related information in detailed.

Discussion

AD was characterized by accumulation and toxic effect of the A β deposits in brain³, and previous studies reported that the CLU could markedly influence the fibrillary A β formation and accumulation to mediate its toxicity *in vivo*, and likely as one of the most important roles for pathogenesis of AD^{6,7}. Then, the subsequent GWAS studies found some variants in CLU were differently distributed between AD patients and controls^{11–18}. Among these variants, a significant association was found between the minor allele (T) of rs11136000 and a decreased risk of AD by Harold *et al.*¹¹, Lambert *et al.*¹², Carrasquillo *et al.*¹³ and Seshadri *et al.*¹⁴. However, these results could not be repeated in other populations by the following studies^{19–28}.

Although the two independent meta-analyses found a significant association between the minor allele (T) of rs11136000 and a decreased risk of AD in Caucasian and Asian ethnicities by integrating the data from related GWAS studies published before June 20, 2013 (18 studies) and August 31, 2014 (25 studies), respectively^{29,30}, many of the following studies also reported the inconsistent results^{31–47}. Moreover, according to our further investigation, the two meta-analyses missed out a total six related GWAS studies published before August 31, 2014^{48–52}, and a GWAS study about American and German populations is misclassified to the Asian ethnicity subgroup in Du *et al.*'s meta-analysis²². Therefore, we suspected that the small-scale and incompletion or heterogeneity of the samples maybe lead to different results of these studies.

In this study, 50 related GWAS studies (including the 6 missing and 18 novel studies) were selected afresh from seven authoritative sources, and the association level between rs11136000 and risk of AD in Caucasian, Asian and Chinese ethnicity was re-evaluated. We also found a significant association between rs11136000 polymorphism

and the decreased risk of AD in Caucasian ethnicity using the dominant (OR = 0.829, 95% CI = 0.796-0.864, P < 0.0001), allele (OR = 0.864, 95% CI = 0.842-0.888, P < 0.0001) and recessive model (OR = 0.819, 95% CI = 0.774-0.867, P < 0.0001). Different from the results of the previous studies, however, rs11136000 polymorphism was found not associated with the risk of AD in Asian ethnicity using the dominant (OR = 0.922, 95% CI = 0.846-1.005, P = 0.0649) and recessive model (OR = 0.747, 95% CI = 0.511-1.092, P = 0.1326), as well as in Chinese population using the dominant (OR = 0.988, 95% CI = 0.887-1.101, P = 0.8270), allele (OR = 0.939, 95% CI = 0.878-1.004, P = 0.0654) and recessive model (OR = 0.615, 95% CI = 0.355-1.068, P = 0.0841).

As far as we know, our meta-analysis about the association of the CLU rs11136000 polymorphism with the risk of AD is by far the largest scale study. The results reveal a significant association between them in Caucasian ethnicity but not Chinese ethnicity, which is consistent with the findings of most of the corresponding GWAS studies. In summary, we believe that these findings can help to improve the understanding of the AD's pathogenesis.

References

- 1. Burns, A. & Iliffe, S. Alzheimer's disease. Brit Med J 338 (2009).
- 2. Querfurth, H. W. & LaFerla, F. M. Mechanisms Of Disease Alzheimer's Disease. New Engl J Med 362, 329-344 (2010).
- 3. Hardy, J. & Selkoe, D. J. The amyloid hypothesis of Alzheimer's disease: progress and problems on the road to therapeutics. *Science* 297, 353–356 (2002).
- 4. Ittner, L. M. & Gotz, J. Amyloid-beta and tau-a toxic pas de deux in Alzheimer's disease. *Nature reviews. Neuroscience* 12, 65–72 (2011).
- 5. Vaudano, E. et al. Boosting translational research on Alzheimer's disease in Europe: The Innovative Medicine Initiative AD research platform. Alzheimer's & dementia: the journal of the Alzheimer's Association 11, 1121–1122 (2015).
- Lambert, M. P. et al. Diffusible, nonfibrillar ligands derived from Abeta1-42 are potent central nervous system neurotoxins. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 95, 6448–6453 (1998).
- 7. DeMattos, R. B. et al. Clusterin promotes amyloid plaque formation and is critical for neuritic toxicity in a mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America **99**, 10843–10848 (2002).
- 8. Desikan, R. S. et al. The role of clusterin in amyloid-beta-associated neurodegeneration. JAMA neurology 71, 180-187 (2014).
- Allen, M. et al. Genetic association of variants with late-onset Alzheimer's disease risk and brain gene expression. Alzheimer's & Dementia: The Journal of the Alzheimer's Association 8, P451 (2012).
- 10. Yu, J. T. & Tan, L. The role of clusterin in Alzheimer's disease: pathways, pathogenesis, and therapy. *Molecular neurobiology* 45, 314–326 (2012).
- 11. Harold, D. et al. Genome-wide association study identifies variants at CLU and PICALM associated with Alzheimer's disease. Nature genetics 41, 1088–1093 (2009).
- Lambert, J. C. et al. Genome-wide association study identifies variants at CLU and CR1 associated with Alzheimer's disease. Nature genetics 41, 1094–1099 (2009).
- Carrasquillo, M. M. et al. Replication of CLU, CR1, and PICALM associations with alzheimer disease. Archives of neurology 67, 961–964 (2010).
- 14. Seshadri, S. et al. Genome-wide analysis of genetic loci associated with Alzheimer disease. Jama 303, 1832-1840 (2010).
- 15. Ferrari, R. *et al.* Implication of common and disease specific variants in CLU, CR1, and PICALM. *Neurobiology of aging* **33**(1846), e1847–1818 (2012).
- Kamboh, M. I. *et al.* Association of CLU and PICALM variants with Alzheimer's disease. *Neurobiology of aging* 33, 518–521 (2012).
 Lin, Y. L. *et al.* Genetic polymorphisms of clusterin gene are associated with a decreased risk of Alzheimer's disease. *European*
- journal of epidemiology (2012). 18. Carrasquillo, M. M. et al. Late-onset Alzheimer disease genetic variants in posterior cortical atrophy and posterior AD. Neurology
- 82, 1455–1462 (2014).
- 19. Giedraitis, V. et al. Genetic analysis of Alzheimer's disease in the Uppsala Longitudinal Study of Adult Men. Dementia and geriatric cognitive disorders 27, 59–68 (2009).
- Golenkina, S. A. et al. Analysis of clusterin gene (CLU/APOJ) polymorphism in Alzheimer's disease patients and in normal cohorts from Russian populations. Molekuliarnaia biologiia 44, 620–626 (2010).
- 21. Yu, J. T. et al. Implication of CLU gene polymorphisms in Chinese patients with Alzheimer's disease. Clinica chimica acta; international journal of clinical chemistry **411**, 1516–1519 (2010).
- 22. Gu, H. et al. Association of clusterin gene polymorphisms with late-onset Alzheimer's disease. Dementia and geriatric cognitive disorders 32, 198–201 (2011).
- 23. Ma, J. F. *et al.* Association study of clusterin polymorphism rs11136000 with late onset Alzheimer's disease in Chinese Han population. *American journal of Alzheimer's disease and other dementias* **26**, 627–630 (2011).
- 24. Bettens, K. *et al.* Both common variations and rare non-synonymous substitutions and small insertion/deletions in CLU are associated with increased Alzheimer risk. *Molecular neurodegeneration* 7, 3 (2012).
- Chen, L. H. et al. Polymorphisms of CR1, CLU and PICALM confer susceptibility of Alzheimer's disease in a southern Chinese population. Neurobiology of aging 33(210), e211–217 (2012).
- 26. Ohara, T. et al. Association study of susceptibility genes for late-onset Alzheimer's disease in the Japanese population. Psychiatric genetics 22, 290–293 (2012).
- Chung, S. J. et al. Association of GWAS top hits with late-onset Alzheimer disease in Korean population. Alzheimer disease and associated disorders 27, 250–257 (2013).
- 28. Lu, S. J. *et al.* Clusterin variants are not associated with southern Chinese patients with Alzheimer's disease. *Neurobiology of aging* **35**, 2656 e2659–2656 e2611 (2014).
- 29. Liu, G. et al. The CLU gene rs11136000 variant is significantly associated with Alzheimer's disease in Caucasian and Asian populations. *Neuromolecular medicine* **16**, 52-60 (2014).
- Du, W., Tan, J., Xu, W., Chen, J. & Wang, L. Association between clusterin gene polymorphism rs11136000 and late-onset Alzheimer's disease susceptibility: A review and meta-analysis of case-control studies. *Experimental and therapeutic medicine* 12, 2915–2927 (2016).
- Moreno, D. J. et al. Association of GWAS Top Genes With Late-Onset Alzheimer's Disease in Colombian Population. American journal of Alzheimer's disease and other dementias 32, 27–35 (2017).
- Santos-Reboucas, C. B. et al. rs3851179 Polymorphism at 5' to the PICALM Gene is Associated with Alzheimer and Parkinson Diseases in Brazilian Population. Neuromolecular medicine 19, 293–299 (2017).
- Seripa, D. et al. Role of CLU, PICALM, and TNK1 Genotypes in Aging With and Without Alzheimer's Disease. Molecular neurobiology (2017).
- Shankarappa, B. M. et al. Effect of CLU and PICALM polymorphisms on AD risk: A study from south India. Asian journal of psychiatry 27, 7–11 (2017).

- 35. Jia, J. et al. Four Novel Susceptibility Variants Identified In Chinese are Associated With Sporadic Alzheimer's Disease. Alzheimers & Dementia 13, P189–P191 (2017).
- Alaylioglu, M. *et al.* The Association Between Clusterin and APOE Polymorphisms and Late-Onset Alzheimer Disease in a Turkish Cohort. *Journal of geriatric psychiatry and neurology* 29, 221–226 (2016).
- Huang, F. et al. Lower Prevalence of Alzheimer's Disease among Tibetans: Association with Religious and Genetic Factors. Journal of Alzheimer's disease: JAD 50, 659–667 (2016).
- Montanola, A. et al. ApoA1, ApoJ and ApoE Plasma Levels and Genotype Frequencies in Cerebral Amyloid Angiopathy. Neuromolecular medicine 18, 99–108 (2016).
- Rezazadeh, M. et al. Genetic Factors Affecting Late-Onset Alzheimer's Disease Susceptibility. Neuromolecular medicine 18, 37–49 (2016).
- 40. Wang, H. Z. et al. Validating GWAS-Identified Risk Loci for Alzheimer's Disease in Han Chinese Populations. Molecular neurobiology 53, 379-390 (2016).
- Luo, H. Y. *et al.* Association study of clusterin polymorphism rs11136000 with late-onset Alzheimer's disease in Bai population. *Chinese Journal of Behavioral Medicine and Brain Science* 25, 240–243 (2016).
- 42. Belcavello, L. *et al.* Association of MTHFR and PICALM polymorphisms with Alzheimer's disease. *Molecular biology reports* **42**, 611–616 (2015).
- 43. Ferrari, R. *et al.* Screening of Early and Late Onset Alzheimer's Disease Genetic Risk Factors in a Cohort of Dementia Patients from Liguria, Italy. *Current Alzheimer research* 12, 802–812 (2015).
- 44. Jiao, B. et al. Polygenic Analysis of Late-Onset Alzheimer's Disease from Mainland China. PloS one 10, e0144898 (2015).
- Sen, A. et al. Lack Of Associations Between Clu And Picalm Gene Polymorphisms And Alzheimer's Disease In a Turkish Population. Ideggyogyaszati szemle 68, 113–120 (2015).
- 46. Sleegers, K. *et al.* A 22-single nucleotide polymorphism Alzheimer's disease risk score correlates with family history, onset age, and cerebrospinal fluid Abeta42. *Alzheimer's & dementia: the journal of the Alzheimer's Association* **11**, 1452–1460 (2015).
- Xiao, Q. et al. Risk prediction for sporadic Alzheimer's disease using genetic risk score in the Han Chinese population. Oncotarget 6, 36955–36964 (2015).
- Corneveaux, J. J. et al. Association of CR1, CLU and PICALM with Alzheimer's disease in a cohort of clinically characterized and neuropathologically verified individuals. Human molecular genetics 19, 3295–3301 (2010).
- 49. Mullan, G. M. *et al.* Plasma clusterin levels and the rs11136000 genotype in individuals with mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer's disease. *Current Alzheimer research* **10**, 973–978 (2013).
- Nizamutdinov, I. I. et al. Biochip for determination of genetic markers of sporadic Alzheimer's disease in the Russian Slavic population. Molekuliarnaia biologiia 47, 949–958 (2013).
- Pedraza, O. et al. Evaluation of memory endophenotypes for association with CLU, CR1, and PICALM variants in black and white subjects. Alzheimer's & dementia: the journal of the Alzheimer's Association 10, 205–213 (2014).
- 52. Roussotte, F. F. et al. Combined effects of Alzheimer risk variants in the CLU and ApoE genes on ventricular expansion patterns in the elderly. *The Journal of neuroscience: the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience* **34**, 6537–6545 (2014).
- 53. Han, Z. et al. Analyzing large-scale samples confirms the association between the rs1051730 polymorphism and lung cancer susceptibility. Scientific reports 5, 15642 (2015).
- Wang, Y. et al. Association between LRP1 C766T polymorphism and Alzheimer's disease susceptibility: a meta-analysis. Scientific reports 7, 8435 (2017).
- Zheng, J. Y. et al. Associations of rs3740677 within GAB2 Gene with LOAD in Chinese Han Population. Molecular neurobiology 54, 4015–4020 (2017).
- Liu, G. et al. PICALM rs3851179 Variant Confers Susceptibility to Alzheimer's Disease in Chinese Population. Mol Neurobiol 54, 3131–3136 (2017).
- Liu, G. et al. Alzheimer's Disease rs11767557 Variant Regulates EPHA1 Gene Expression Specifically in Human Whole Blood. J Alzheimers Dis 61, 1077–1088 (2018).
- Cheng, L., Hu, Y., Sun, J., Zhou, M. & Jiang, Q. DincRNA: a comprehensive web-based bioinformatics toolkit for exploring disease associations and ncRNA function. *Bioinformatics* (2018).
- Liu, G. Y. et al. Genetic Variants and Multiple Sclerosis Risk Gene SLC9A9 Expression in Distinct Human Brain Regions. Molecular Neurobiology 54, 6820–6826 (2017).
- Liu, G. et al. Alzheimer's disease rs11767557 variant regulates EPHA1 gene expression specifically in human whole blood. Journal of Alzheimer's Disease 61 (2017).
- Liu, G. *et al.* Integrating genome-wide association studies and gene expression data highlights dysregulated multiple sclerosis risk pathways. *Mult Scler* 23, 205–212 (2017).
- 62. Liu, G. et al. Multiple sclerosis risk pathways differ in Caucasian and Chinese populations. J Neuroimmunol 307, 63-68 (2017).
- 63. Jiang, Q. H. *et al.* Alzheimer's Disease Variants with the Genome-Wide Significance are Significantly Enriched in Immune Pathways and Active in Immune Cells. *Molecular Neurobiology* **54**, 594–600 (2017).
- Bertram, L., McQueen, M. B., Mullin, K., Blacker, D. & Tanzi, R. E. Systematic meta-analyses of Alzheimer disease genetic association studies: the AlzGene database. *Nature genetics* 39, 17–23 (2007).
- 65. Anderson, L. N., Cotterchio, M., Cole, D. E. & Knight, J. A. Vitamin D-related genetic variants, interactions with vitamin D exposure, and breast cancer risk among Caucasian women in Ontario. *Cancer epidemiology, biomarkers & prevention: a publication of the American Association for Cancer Research, cosponsored by the American Society of Preventive Oncology* 20, 1708–1717 (2011).
- Demets, D. L. Methods for combining randomized clinical trials: strengths and limitations. *Statistics in medicine* 6, 341–350 (1987).
 Begg, C. B. & Mazumdar, M. Operating characteristics of a rank correlation test for publication bias. *Biometrics* 50, 1088–1101
- (1994).
- Egger, M., Davey Smith, G., Schneider, M. & Minder, C. Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. *Bmj* 315, 629–634 (1997).

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 31360031); the Major Project of Guizhou Province (Qian Ke He Major Project [2016] 3022-07); the Youth Science and Technology Talent Project of Guizhou Province [2017]5617.

Author Contributions

Z.H. and X.Z. designed research, Z.H. J.Q., J.Z. and X.Z. selected data, Z.H. performed research, analyzed data, and wrote the paper. All authors discussed the results, and contributed to the final manuscript.

Additional Information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-29450-2.

Competing Interests: The authors declare no competing interests.

Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2018