# **Involvement of everolimus‑induced ABCB1 downregulation in drug‑drug interactions**

YUKO NAKAYAMA<sup>1</sup>, AYA INO<sup>2</sup>, KAZUHIRO YAMAMOTO<sup>3</sup> and KOHJI TAKARA<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Clinical Pharmaceutics, Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Himeji Dokkyo University, Himeji 670-8524,

Japan; <sup>2</sup>Department of Pharmaceutics and Pharmaceutical Technology, Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences,

Hyogo Medical University, Kobe 650-8530, Japan; <sup>3</sup>Department of Integrated Clinical and Basic Pharmaceutical Sciences,

Faculty of Medicine, Dentistry and Pharmaceutical Sciences, Okayama University, Kita, Okayama 700‑8558, Japan

Received June 5, 2024; Accepted August 19, 2024

DOI: 10.3892/br.2024.1872

**Abstract.** Everolimus is an oral mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) inhibitor used in cancer chemotherapy and transplantation. Due to its therapeutic properties, everolimus has been used long-term in clinical practice. Drug interactions with everolimus during gastrointestinal absorption can alter the oral bioavailability of everolimus and/or concomitant drugs. However, the effects of everolimus on gastrointestinal absorption remain unknown. The present study assessed the impact of continuous exposure to everolimus on expression and function of the ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporter ABCB1 and ABCG2 using a Caco-2 intestinal cell model. Caco‑2 subline, Caco/EV, was established by continuously exposing Caco-2 cells to 1  $\mu$ M everolimus. Cell viability was evaluated using WST‑1 assay. mRNA levels were measured by reverse transcription‑quantitative PCR. Transport activity of ABCB1 was evaluated through the cellular accumulation of Rhodamin 123, a substrate for ABCB1. The half-maximal inhibitory concentration  $(IC_{50})$  values for everolimus in Caco-2 and Caco/EV cells were 0.31 and 4.33  $\mu$ M, respectively, indicating 14‑fold resistance in Caco/EV cells. Sensitivity to paclitaxel and 7-ethyl-10-hydroxycamptothecin, which are substrates for ABCB1 and ABCG2, respectively, was enhanced in Caco/EV, but not in Caco-2 cells. The  $IC_{50}$  values of cisplatin were comparable in both cell lines. Furthermore, mRNA expression levels of ABCB1 and ABCG2 were lower in Caco/EV cells than in Caco-2 cells, and the cellular accumulation of Rhodamine 123 was significantly higher in Caco/EV cells. These findings demonstrated that continuous exposure to everolimus suppressed the expression and function of ABCB1

E‑mail: ko‑takara@hyo‑med.ac.jp

and ABCG2, suggesting potential drug‑drug interactions via the suppression of ABCB1 and ABCG2 in the intestinal tract.

## **Introduction**

Overexpression of ATP‑binding cassette (ABC) transporters, particularly ABCB1 (also known as P‑glycoprotein or MDR1), is a key factor in multidrug resistance in cancer cells (1‑3). ABCB1 transports substances from the intracellular to extracellular space using energy derived from ATP hydrolysis (1‑3), leading to resistance against anticancer drugs in cancer cells. Additionally, this membrane protein is widely expressed in numerous types of normal tissue, including the placenta, kidney, liver, intestine, adrenal gland, heart and small blood vessels, as well as lymphocytes and the blood-brain barrier (1-3). Thus, ABCB1 serves a crucial role in drug pharmacokinetics as well as in resistance to anticancer drugs. As with ABCB1, ABCG2 (also known as the breast cancer resistance protein) is also one of the ABC transporters, making it important to monitor its role in drug metabolism and cancer drug resistance (4).

Numerous mechanisms regulating ABCB1 and ABCG2 expression have been reported, indicating that numerous substances induce upregulation or downregulation (3‑6). For example, digoxin, a cardiac glycoside, has been shown to upregulate ABCB1 mRNA, leading to enhanced ABCB1-mediated transport (7,8). Conversely, simvastatin, an inhibitor of 3-hydroxymethyl-3-methylglutaryl-Coenzyme A reductase, has been found to downregulate microRNA (miRNA)‑33a expression, resulting in downregulation of ABCB1 (9). Thus, the factors regulating ABCB1 and ABCG2 expression are diverse (4,10,11).

The oral mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) inhibitor everolimus is used in both cancer chemotherapy and transplantation therapy, with its long‑term use common in clinical practice (12,13). Everolimus may interact with other drugs, potentially affecting therapeutic outcomes and/or causing toxicity. Studies have been conducted on the interactions of everolimus with numerous types of drug and food, focusing on the drug‑metabolizing enzyme cytochrome P450 (CYP)3A4 and ABCB1 (14‑16). Recently, everolimus has been reported to inhibit ABCG2‑mediated transport (17). However, effects of long‑term exposure to everolimus on the function and

*Correspondence to:* Dr Kohji Takara, Department of Pharmaceutics and Pharmaceutical Technology, Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Hyogo Medical University, 1-3-6 Minatojima Chuo, Kobe 650‑8530, Japan

*Key words:* everolimus, ABCB1, downregulation, drug interaction, transporter, Caco‑2 cell

expression of ABCB1 and ABCG2 in the intestine are unclear both *in vitro* and *in vivo*, as there is limited information on how everolimus affects ABCB1 and ABCG2 expression (16,18).

The present study examined the effects of long-term exposure to everolimus on expression and function of ABCB1 and ABCG2 using a Caco‑2 human intestinal cell model, exploring a novel potential mechanism of drug‑drug interaction in the intestinal tract.

## **Materials and methods**

*Chemicals.* Everolimus was purchased from Selleck Chemicals. 7-Ethyl-10-hydroxycamptothecin (SN-38) was purchased from LKT Laboratories, Inc. Cisplatin and paclitaxel were purchased from FUJIFILM Wako Pure Chemical Corporation. Rhodamine 123 was purchased from Molecular Probes (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). WST‑1 and 1‑methoxy‑5‑methylphenazinium methylsulfate (1‑methoxy PMS) were obtained from Dojindo Laboratories, Inc.

*Cell culture.* The human colon carcinoma cell line Caco-2 (research resource identifiers:CVCL\_0025) was obtained from the American Type Culture Collection. Caco-2 cells were cultured in DMEM (Sigma‑Aldrich; Merck KGaA) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.), 100 U/ml penicillin G, 100  $\mu$ g/l streptomycin sulfate and 0.1 mM non-essential amino acids (Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). Cells were seeded at a density of  $2x10^4$  cells/cm<sup>2</sup> in culture dishes, incubated in a humidified atmosphere of 5%  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  at 37°C and passaged using 0.05% trypsin‑0.02% EDTA (Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.).

*Continuous exposure of Caco‑2 cells to everolimus.* The cells were treated with 1  $\mu$ M (958.22  $\mu$ g/ml) everolimus. To establish a subline capable of tolerating  $1 \mu$ M everolimus, cells were cultured at 37<sup>°</sup>C in complete DMEM with 1  $\mu$ M everolimus for  $\sim$ 3 months. Cells displaying stable growth were isolated and cloned using the limiting dilution method, where cells were serially diluted in 24‑well plates to achieve an estimated concentration of <1 cell per well. In preliminary experiments, the proliferation activity and drug sensitivity of several clones were assessed and the chosen clone was named Caco/EV. Caco/EV cells were maintained as Caco-2 cells without the addition of 1  $\mu$ M everolimus to the DMEM.

*Assay for cell proliferation activity.* The proliferation of Caco-2 and Caco/EV cells was assessed through proliferation curves. On day 0, cells (1,000 cells/well) were seeded in 96‑well plates using complete DMEM without everolimus and the cell count was monitored from day 0 to 9. Cell count was determined using the WST-1 assay based on the MTT assay (19‑22). The culture medium was substituted with DMEM containing WST-1 reagent solution (10  $\mu$ l WST-1 including 0.2 mM 1-methoxy PMS + 100  $\mu$ l culture medium) and after 2 h, absorbance was measured at 450 nm using a microplate reader (Spectra Fluor; Tecan Group Ltd.) with a reference wavelength of 630 nm. The relationship between absorbance and cell count was confirmed through preliminary experiments. Specifically, both cell types were seeded in 96-well plates at a starting density of  $2x10^4$  cells/100  $\mu$ 1/well, followed by a 10‑step, 2‑fold serial dilution. Thirty minutes after seeding, the WST‑1 assay was performed as described above, resulting in a calibration curve correlating absorbance with cell count. The doubling time of each cell during the logarithmic phase was calculated as follows: Doubling time= $(t_2-t_1)$ x  $\log 2/(\log N_2$ - $\log N_1)$  where  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  represent the time of cell counting and  $N_1$  and  $N_2$  represent the cell count at  $t_1$  and  $t_2$ , respectively (19).

*Growth inhibition assay.* The growth inhibitory effects of everolimus, paclitaxel, SN‑38 and cisplatin were evaluated in Caco‑2 and Caco/EV cells using WST‑1 assay (19‑22). Both cell lines were seeded at a density of 1,000 (everolimus) or 5,000 (other drugs) cells/well in 96‑well plates with DMEM without any drugs on day 0. On day 1, the medium was replaced with fresh DMEM containing the test drugs. The maximum concentration used was 10 for everolimus, 4  $\mu$ M for paclitaxel, 1  $\mu$ M for SN-38, and 1024  $\mu$ M for cisplatin. Following 72 h (paclitaxel, SN‑38 and cisplatin) or 144 h (everolimus) incubation at 37˚C, cell viability was assessed using the WST-1 assay as aforementioned. The half-maximal inhibitory concentration  $(IC_{50})$  of the test drugs in both cell lines was calculated using the sigmoid inhibitory effect model (19-22):  $E=E_{max}$  x [1-C<sup>γ</sup>/(C<sup>γ</sup> + IC<sub>50</sub><sup>γ</sup>)], where E and  $E_{\text{max}}$  represent the surviving fraction (% of control) and its maximum, respectively, C is concentration in the medium and  $\gamma$  represents sigmoidicity factor. This calculation was performed using the non‑linear least‑squares fitting method (Solver, Microsoft® Excel 2019). The relative resistance (RR) was obtained by dividing  $IC_{50}$  value for Caco/EV cells by that for Caco-2 cells.

*Reverse transcription‑quantitative (RT‑q)PCR.* Cells  $(2x10^6 \text{ cells}/60 \text{ mm}$  culture dish) were pre-cultured at 37°C for 48 h, after which total RNA was extracted using GenElute Mammalian Total RNA Miniprep kit (Sigma‑Aldrich; Merck KGaA). mRNA expression levels were quantified using RT‑qPCR, employing three independent samples (22‑24). RT of 500 ng total RNA was performed using the PrimeScript RT reagent kit (Takara Bio, Inc.) and a thermal cycler (i‑Cycler, Bio‑Rad Laboratories, Inc.). RT was conducted in 20  $\mu$ l reaction buffer at 37°C for 15 min and terminated by heating at 85˚C for 5 sec followed by cooling at 4˚C qPCR was conducted using the 7500 Fast Real-Time PCR system (Applied Biosystems, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) and SYBR® Premix Ex Taq (Takara Bio, Inc.). PCR reaction was performed at 95˚C for 30 sec, followed by 40 cycles of 95˚C for 3 sec and 60˚C for 30 sec; dissociation curve analysis was initiated at 95˚C for 15 sec, followed by 60˚C for 1 min and 95°C for 15 sec. β-actin served as the internal standard. Primer sequences and were synthesized by GeneDesign, Inc. (Table I). The comparative Cq method was employed to compare rela‑ tive expression levels of target mRNAs (22‑25).

*Cell accumulation of Rhodamine 123.* Caco‑2 and Caco/EV cells  $(5x10<sup>4</sup>$  cells/well) were seeded in 24-well plates  $(7,8)$ . The plates were incubated for 10 days in a humidified atmosphere of 5%  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  air at 37°C with the complete DMEM being replaced with fresh medium every 2 days. Cells were





Table I. Primer sequences for reverse transcription‑quantitative PCR.

ABC, ATP-binding cassette.

washed three times with warmed Hanks' balanced salt solution (HBSS) containing phenol red and HEPES. Fresh HBSS containing  $3 \mu M$  Rhodamine 123 was added, followed by further incubation for 5, 15, 30, 60, 90 and 120 min at  $37^{\circ}$ C. The accumulation process was terminated by removing HBSS from the wells, followed by three washes with ice‑cold phosphate‑buffered saline. Cells were solubilized with 0.3 M NaOH and aliquots were neutralized using 0.3 M HCl. A total of 100  $\mu$ l neutralized cell-solubilized solution were transferred to 96‑well black plates and the fluorescence intensity of Rhodamine 123 was measured at excitation and emission wavelengths of 485 and 535 nm, respectively, using Spectra Fluor. Protein content was determined using the Lowry method (26), with bovine serum albumin (FUJIFILM Wako Pure Chemical Corporation) serving as the standard.

*Statistical analysis.* Data are presented as the mean ± SD of ≥3 independent experimental repeats. Comparisons between groups were conducted using the unpaired Student's t test. Statistical analysis was performed using JMP® Pro 15.2.0 (SAS Institute Japan Ltd., Tokyo). A two-tailed P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

# **Results**

*Establishment of everolimus‑resistant Caco/EV cell.* Despite the clinically achievable plasma concentration of everolimus being  $~60$  ng/ml (equivalent to 0.06  $\mu$ M) at the clinical dose of 10 mg (27), Caoc-2 cells were culture in DMEM supplemented with 1  $\mu$ M everolimus, because exposure to lower concentrations did not affect cell proliferation. After 3 months, cells displaying stable growth were cloned and named Caco/EV. Preliminary experiments confirmed a strong correlation between absorbance in the WST assay and cell count (data not shown).

*Caco‑2 and Caco/EV cell proliferation.* Growth curves of Caco-2 and Caco/EV cells displayed a logarithmic phase starting within 2 days of cell seeding; this phase persisted for ≥7 days (Fig. 1). On day 4, the proliferation rates of both cell types were comparable, with a doubling time of 28.4 h and 32.8 h for Caco-2 and Caco/EV cells, respectively.

*Sensitivity to everolimus.*  $IC_{50}$  for everolimus in Caco/EV cells was significantly lower than that in Caco-2 cells, showing 14‑fold resistance compared with that in Caco‑2 cells (Table II).  $IC_{50}$  for paclitaxel, a substrate of ABCB1, were significantly lower in Caco/EV than in Caco-2 cells, indicating





n=6‑8. a P<0.01 vs. Caco‑2. RR, relative resistance; SN‑38, 7-Ethyl-10-hydroxycamptothecin.



Figure 1. Growth curves for Caco-2 and Caco/EV cells. n=24.

enhanced sensitivity to paclitaxel.  $IC_{50}$  value of SN-38, a substrate of ABCG2, was significantly lower in Caco/EV cells than in Caco-2 cells. The  $IC_{50}$  of cisplatin in Caco-2 cells was comparable to that in Caco/EV cells.

*mRNA expression of ABCB1 and ABCG2.* mRNA expression of ABCB1 in Caco/EV cells was significantly lower than that in Caco-2 cells, with an estimated 72% decrease (Fig. 2). mRNA expression of ABCG2 was also significantly reduced in Caco/EV cells.

*Cell accumulation of Rhodamine 123.* Rhodamine 123 is a substrate of ABCB1 (7,8,20). The cellular accumulation of Rhodamine 123 in both cell lines was time‑dependent and reached steady state after 90 min (Fig. 3). The accumulation of Rhodamine 123 in Caco/EV cells was significantly higher than that in Caco‑2 cells from 15 min.



Figure 2. mRNA expression of ABCB1 and ABCG2 in Caco-2 and Caco/EV cells. n=3. \*\*P<0.01 vs. Caco‑2. ABC, ATP‑binding cassette.



Figure 3. Rhodamine 123 accumulation in Caco-2 and Caco/EV cells. n=4. \*\*P<0.01 vs. Caco‑2. RFU, relative fluorescence unit.

#### **Discussion**

Everolimus is metabolized by CYP3A4 and transported by ABCB1 (14‑16). Everolimus has potent inhibitory activity against ABCG2‑mediated transport (17). Since CYP3A4 and ABC transporters are responsible for the pharmacokinetics of many drugs (28), the pharmacokinetic interactions between everolimus and drugs or food are hypothesized to be a major problem in clinical practice. The present study aimed to clarify the effect of continuous everolimus exposure on expression and function of ABCB1 and ABCG2 in a Caco-2 human intestinal cell model.

Although cell growth rates were comparable in Caco‑2 and Caco/EV cells, Caco/EV cells showed a 14‑fold resistance to everolimus. Continuous exposure to everolimus was induced resistance to everolimus, similar to previous findings in renal cell carcinoma Caki‑2 and 786‑O cells (29,30). However, the sensitivity to paclitaxel and SN‑38, which are substrates for ABCB1 and ABCG2, respectively, was enhanced by continuous exposure to everolimus. The  $IC_{50}$  value of cisplatin in Caco-2 cells was comparable with that in Caco/EV cells. Furthermore, mRNA expression levels of ABCB1 and ABCG2 were lower in Caco/EV than in Caco‑2 cells and the cellular accumulation of Rhodamine 123, a substrate for ABCB1, was significantly higher in Caco/EV cells. This may be explained by the decreased efflux transport activity of ABCB1 in Caco/EV cells due to downregulation of ABCB1 (30), resulting in higher accumulation of Rhodamine 123 in Caco/EV cells compared with Caco-2 cells. Shihab *et al* (14) reviewed drug interactions between mTOR inhibitors and tacrolimus, both of which are substrates for CYP3A4 and ABCB1. Competition for CYP3A4‑mediated metabolism and ABCB1‑mediated drug efflux may disrupt drug absorption or elimination, potentially causing notable changes in drug exposure when these agents are administered together. Based on the present findings, the mechanism underlying this drug interaction may include downregulation of ABCB1. In our previous study of renal cell carcinoma Caki-2 and 786-O cells, ABCB1 and ABCG2 mRNA expression levels were lower in everolimus‑resistant Caki/EV and 786/EV cells compared with their parental cells (30), consistent with the present findings. Additionally, the aforementioned resistant cells showed increased sensitivity to paclitaxel and doxorubicin, but not to SN‑38, which aligns with the present findings (30). Therefore, continuous exposure to everolimus may suppress expression and function of ABCB1, indicating potential drug interactions via the suppression of ABCB1 in the intestinal tract. As everolimus was recently reported to inhibit ABCG2-mediated transport (17) and sensitivity to SN‑38 was enhanced in Caco/EV cells in the present study, only the expression of ABCG2 mRNA was examined. Although the transport function of ABCG2 was not examined, similar drug interactions via its suppression may be elicited by long-term clinical use of everolimus. Additionally, other mechanisms such as decrease in mTOR activity, downregulation of DNA‑damage‑inducible transcript 4, DEP domain‑containing mTOR‑interacting protein (DEPTOR), hypoxia inducible factor 1, alpha subunit (HIF1A) and phospholipase D1 (PLD1), may contribute to resistance to everolimus in Caco/EV cells, as supported by previous reports (29,30).

The mechanism underlying downregulation of ABCB1 and ABCG2 mRNA following everolimus exposure remains unclear. Transcriptional regulation of ABCB1 gene expression is complex (5) and nuclear receptors SXR and PXR, inverted CCAAT element (Y‑Box), P13K/AKT, MAPK, NF‑κB, AP‑1 play a key role in modulating ABCB1 gene expression (6). Downregulation of ABCB1 via inhibition of transcriptional factors and aforementioned cell signaling pathways has been reported (5,6). Therefore, everolimus may affect these transcriptional factors and cell signaling pathways related to ABCB1 downregulation (5,6). miRNAs are short, non-coding, single‑stranded RNA molecules. By binding complementarily to the 3' untranslated region of their target mRNAs, miRNAs have the ability to silence genes and are key in regulating cell functions (31). ABCB1 is regulated by numerous miRNAs (miR-27a, miR-451 and miR-495) in different tumor types (32,33) and 34 miRNAs have been predicted to target ABCB1 based on online database for miRNA target prediction and functional annotation miRDB (mirdb.org/mirdb/index.html). miR‑200c and miR-21-5p have been shown to sensitize multidrug-resistant cells to anticancer drugs that target ABCB1 substrates (34,35). On the other hand, everolimus modulates expression of miR‑145, miR‑15a, and miR‑4328 (36,37). mTOR dual inhibitor BEZ235 sensitizes acute myeloid leukemia cells to chemotherapy by upregulating miR‑1‑3p and reducing the expression of ABCB1 (38). Collectively, it is hypothesized that fluctuation in the expression of some miRNAs following everolimus exposure



may lead to downregulation of ABCB1. ABCG2 levels are modulated by transcription factors (NF‑κB and HIF), nuclear receptors (PXR, CAR), kinase signaling (PI3K/AKT, MAPK, and Wnt/ $\beta$ -catenin), inflammatory mediators (TNF- $\alpha$ , IL-6, and IL‑1β), growth factors (TGF‑β, HGF, EGF and so on)and miRNAs (miR‑519c, miR‑520h, miR203 and so on) (4).

The present study demonstrated that continuous exposure to everolimus suppressed function of ABCB1 by downregulating ABCB1 mRNA; however, these findings may be limited as they were based solely on *in vitro* studies and further animal experiments are necessary. Potent interactions between everolimus and drugs may be observed in clinical settings. Consequently, it is crucial to comprehend the effects of extended everolimus usage in cancer chemotherapy and post-transplant care, as notable interactions between concurrent medications, mediated by the suppression of ABCB1 function, could modify blood concentrations of everolimus and/or concomitant drugs.

## **Acknowledgements**

Not applicable.

## **Funding**

No funding was received.

#### **Availability of data and materials**

The data generated in the present study may be requested from the corresponding author.

## **Authors' contributions**

YN performed experiments, constructed figures and wrote the manuscript. AI and KY analyzed and interpreted data, constructed figures and edited the manuscript. KT conceived the study and edited the manuscript. YN and KT confirm the authenticity of all the raw data. All authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

## **Ethics approval and consent to participate**

Not applicable.

#### **Patient consent for publication**

Not applicable.

## **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### **References**

- 1. Takara K, Sakaeda T and Okumura K: An update on overcoming MDR1-mediated multidrug resistance in cancer chemotherapy. Curr Pharm Des 12: 273-286, 2006.<br>2. Dong J, Yuan L, Hu C, Cheng X and Qin JJ: Strategies to over-
- come cancer multidrug resistance (MDR) through targeting P‑glycoprotein (ABCB1): An updated review. Pharmacol Ther 249: 108488, 2023.
- 3. To KKW, Huang Z, Zhang H, Ashby CR Jr and Fu L: Utilizing non‑coding RNA‑mediated regulation of ATP binding cassette (ABC) transporters to overcome multidrug resistance to cancer chemotherapy. Drug Resist Updat 73: 101058, 2024.
- 4. Kukal S, Guin D, Rawat C, Bora S, Mishra MK, Sharma P, Paul PR, Kanojia N, Grewal GK, Kukreti S, *et al*: Multidrug efflux transporter ABCG2: Expression and regulation. Cell Mol Life Sci 78: 6887‑6939, 2021.
- 5. Labialle S, Gayet L, Marthinet E, Rigal D and Baggetto LG: Transcriptional regulators of the human multidrug resistance 1 gene: Recent views. Biochem Pharmacol 64: 943‑948, 2002.
- 6. Ganesan M, Kanimozhi G, Pradhapsingh B, Khan HA, Alhomida AS, Ekhzaimy A, Brindha GR and Prasad NR: Phytochemicals reverse P-glycoprotein mediated multidrug resistance via signal transduction pathways. Biomed Pharmacother 139: 111632, 2021.
- 7. Takara K, Tsujimoto M, Ohnishi N and Yokoyama T: Digoxin up-regulates MDR1 in human colon carcinoma Caco-2 cells. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 292: 190‑194, 2002.
- 8. Takara K, Tsujimoto M, Ohnishi N and Yokoyama T: Effects of continuous exposure to digoxin on MDR1 function and expression in Caco-2 cells. J Pharm Pharmacol 55: 675-681, 2003.
- 9. Zambrano T, Hirata RDC, Hirata MH, Cerda Á and Salazar LA: Statins differentially modulate microRNAs expression in peripheral cells of hyperlipidemic subjects: A pilot study. Eur J Pharm Sci 117: 55‑61, 2018.
- 10. Liao D, Zhang W, Gupta P, Lei ZN, Wang JQ, Cai CY, Vera AA, Zhang L, Chen ZS and Yang DH: Tetrandrine interaction with ABCB1 reverses multidrug resistance in cancer cells through competition with anti-cancer drugs followed by downregulation of ABCB1 expression. Molecules 24: 4383, 2019.
- 11. Xiao FY, Zhou FJ, Yuan F, Kuang W, Zhou G, Zhou HH and Cao S: The potentiation of menadione on imatinib by downregulation of ABCB1 expression. Clin Exp Pharmacol Physiol 47: 997‑1004, 2020.
- 12. Pópulo H, Lopes JM and Soares P: The mTOR signalling pathway in human cancer. Int J Mol Sci 13: 1886-1918, 2012.
- 13. Hasskarl J: Everolimus. Recent Results Cancer Res 211: 101-123, 2018.
- 14. Shihab F, Christians U, Smith L, Wellen JR and Kaplan B: Focus on mTOR inhibitors and tacrolimus in renal transplantation: Pharmacokinetics, exposure‑response relationships, and clinical outcomes. Transpl Immunol 31: 22‑32, 2014.
- 15. Gómez‑Garduño J, León‑Rodríguez R, Alemón‑Medina R, Pérez‑Guillé BE, Soriano‑Rosales RE, González‑Ortiz A, Chávez‑Pacheco JL, Solorio‑López E, Fernandez‑Pérez P and Rivera-Espinosa L: Phytochemicals that interfere with drug metabolism and transport, modifying plasma concentration in humans and animals. Dose Response 20: 15593258221120485, 2022.
- 16. Fort-Casamartina E, Muñoz-Sanchez C, Rigo-Bonnin RF, Del Valle‑Celiz PM, Gonzalo‑Diego N, Otero‑Torres S, Bleda‑Perez C, Prats‑Jimenez J and Fontanals‑Martínez S: First reported double drug‑drug interaction in a cancer renal patient under everolimus treatment: Therapeutic drug monitoring and review of literature. Eur J Med Res 28: 202, 2023
- 17. Deng F, Sjöstedt N, Santo M, Neuvonen M, Niemi M and Kidron H: Novel inhibitors of breast cancer resistance protein (BCRP, ABCG2) among marketed drugs. Eur J Pharm Sci 181:106362, 2023.
- 18. Miklja Z, Yadav VN, Cartaxo RT, Siada R, Thomas CC, Cummings JR, Mullan B, Stallard S, Paul A, Bruzek AK, *et al*: Everolimus improves the efficacy of dasatinib in  $PDGFR\alpha$ -driven glioma. J Clin Invest 130: 5313‑5325, 2020.
- 19. Takara K, Sakaeda T, Yagami T, Kobayashi H, Ohmoto N, Horinouchi M, Nishiguchi  $\overline{K}$  and Okumura K: Cytotoxic effects of 27 anticancer drugs in HeLa and MDR1‑overexpressing derivative cell lines. Biol Pharm Bull 25: 771‑778, 2002.
- 20. Takara K, Obata Y, Yoshikawa E, Kitada N, Sakaeda T, Ohnishi N and Yokoyama T: Molecular changes to HeLa cells on continuous exposure to cisplatin or paclitaxel. Cancer Chemother Pharmacol 58: 785‑793, 2006.
- 21. Takara K, Kitada N, Yoshikawa E, Yamamoto K, Horibe S, Sakaeda T, Nishiguchi K, Ohnishi N and Yokoyama T: Molecular changes to HeLa cells on continuous exposure to SN‑38, an active metabolite of irinotecan hydrochloride. Cancer Lett 278: 88‑96, 2009.
- 22. Nakayama Y, Takara K, Minegaki T, Yamamoto K, Omura T and Yano I: Induction of cross‑resistance to ABCB1 substrates in venetoclax‑resistant human leukemia HL60 cells. Anticancer Res 41: 4239‑4248, 2021.
- 23. Kitada N, Takara K, Minegaki T, Itoh C, Tsujimoto M, Sakaeda T and Yokoyama T: Factors affecting sensitivity to antitumor platinum derivatives of human colorectal tumor cell lines. Cancer Chemother Pharmacol 62: 577‑584, 2008.
- 24. Minegaki T, Takara K, Hamaguchi R, Tsujimoto M and Nishiguchi K: Factors affecting the sensitivity of human‑derived esophageal carcinoma cell lines to 5‑fluorouracil and cisplatin. Oncol Lett 5: 427‑434, 2013.
- 25. Livak KJ and Schmittgen TD: Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the  $2$ (-Delta Delta C(T)) method. Methods 25: 402‑408, 2001.
- 26. Lowry OH, Rosebrough NJ, Farr AL and Randdall RJ: Protein measurement with the Folin phenol reagent. J Biol Chem 193: 265‑275, 1951.
- 27. Okamoto I, Doi T, Ohtsu A, Miyazaki M, Tsuya A, Kurei K, Kobayashi K and Nakagawa K: Phase I clinical and pharmacokinetic study of RAD001 (everolimus) administered daily to Japanese patients with advanced solid tumors. Jpn J Clin Oncol 40: 17‑23, 2010.
- 28. van Waterschoot RA and Schinkel AH: A critical analysis of the interplay between cytochrome P450 3A and P‑glycoprotein: Recent insights from knockout and transgenic mice. Pharmacol Rev 63: 390‑410, 2011.
- 29. Nakayama Y, Enomoto D, Yamamoto K and Takara K: Molecular characteristics of everolimus‑resistant renal cell carcinoma cells generated by continuous exposure to everolimus. Anticancer Res 43: 4349‑4357, 2023.
- 30. Nakayama Y, Ino A, Yamamoto K and Takara K: Downregulation of ABCB1 in everolimus‑resistant renal cell carcinoma cell line. Anticancer Res 44: 2871‑2876, 2024.
- 31. Rosolen D, Nunes‑Souza E, Marchi R, Tofolo MV, Antunes VC, Berti FCB, Fonseca AS and Cavalli LR: miRNAs action and impact on mitochondria function, metabolic reprogramming and chemoresistance of cancer cells: A systematic review. Biomedicines 11: 693, 2023.
- 32. Zhu H, Wu H, Liu X, Evans BR, Medina DJ, Liu CG and Yang JM: Role of MicroRNA miR‑27a and miR‑451 in the regulation of MDR1/P‑glycoprotein expression in human cancer cells. Biochem Pharmacol 76: 582‑588, 2008.
- 33. Zou Z, Zou R, Zong D, Shi Y, Chen J, Huang J, Zhu J, Chen L, Bao X, Liu Y, *et al*: miR‑495 sensitizes MDR cancer cells to the combination of doxorubicin and taxol by inhibiting MDR1 expression. J Cell Mol Med 21: 1929-1943, 2017.
- 34. Kazmierczak D, Jopek K, Sterzynska K, Nowicki M, Rucinski M and Januchowski R: The profile of microRNA expression and potential role in the regulation of drug-resistant genes in cisplatin‑ and paclitaxel‑resistant ovarian cancer cell lines. Int J Mol Sci 23: 526, 2022.
- 35. Safaei S, Amini M, Najjary S, Mokhtarzadeh A, Bolandi N, Saeedi H, Alizadeh N, Javadrashid D and Baradaran B: miR-200c increases the sensitivity of breast cancer cells to doxorubicin through downregulating MDR1 gene. Exp Mol Pathol 125: 104753, 2022.
- 36. Papadopoulos EI, Yousef GM and Scorilas A: Cytotoxic activity of sunitinib and everolimus in Caki-1 renal cancer cells is accompanied by modulations in the expression of apoptosis-related microRNA clusters and BCL2 family genes. Biomed Pharmacother 70: 33‑40, 2015.
- 37. Xiang X, Zhuang L, Chen H, Yang X, Li H, Li G and Yu J: Everolimus inhibits the proliferation and migration of epidermal growth factor receptor‑resistant lung cancer cells A549 via regulating the microRNA‑4328/phosphatase and tensin homolog signaling pathway. Oncol Lett 18: 5269-5276, 2019.
- 38. Deng L, Jiang L, Lin XH, Tseng KF, Liu Y, Zhang X, Dong RH, Lu ZG and Wang XJ: The PI3K/mTOR dual inhibitor BEZ235 suppresses proliferation and migration and reverses multidrug resistance in acute myeloid leukemia. Acta Pharmacol Sin 38: 382‑391, 2017.



Copyright © 2024 Nakayama et al. This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) License.