



Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.



Available online at
ScienceDirect
www.sciencedirect.com

Elsevier Masson France
EM|consulte
www.em-consulte.com/en



Letter to the editor

High-flow nasal oxygen in severe COVID-19 pneumonia and tocilizumab



ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:
 High-flow nasal oxygen
 COVID-19 pneumonia
 Tocilizumab

We have read with great interest the study by Ouissa R et al. [1] where the authors establish that during treatment of severe COVID-19 pneumonia a higher success rate of high-flow nasal oxygen (HFNO) therapy was associated with tocilizumab administration. This observation has great clinical and prognostic impact. However, the authors would need to establish other aspects influencing the success of HFNO. We consider the following aspects should be addressed for better understanding of their study.

First, age and comorbidities were already found to be independently associated with higher risk of poor outcomes [2], but in the study by Ouissa R et al. the higher mean age in the 'without tocilizumab' group compared with the 'tocilizumab treatment' group (61 vs. 53, respectively) could be a confounding factor for higher percentage of HFNO failure. We therefore think this needs further explanation.

Second, while analyzing the outcome of COVID-19 pneumonia patients, it would have been better to have information about certain indexes which can predict effectiveness of HFNO therapy such as PaO₂/FiO₂ ratios (mild/moderate/severe), ROX index [3,4], and modified ROX index (mROX) by incorporating the heart rate (ROX-HR) [5] and HACOR score [4,5]. Use of these scores can detect the subset of patients with severe pneumonia for whom tocilizumab would have more benefits, and consequently set a series of cut-off for its standardized use in COVID-19 patients. Experience of this pandemic has taught us that early administration of this drug in severe cases can yield maximum benefit.

Third and interestingly, the HFNO was immediately started with oxygen flow rate of 40 l/min and FiO₂ of 60% [1]. It would have been interesting to know what parameters led to such flow rate choice, as the authors classified the highest degree of severity of their patients using these data alone ("a severe form of confirmed SARS-CoV2 infection, defined by a failure of oxygen therapy using a facial mask and consequently necessitating HFNO"). It is not mentioned whether there was a subgroup of COVID-19 pneumonia patients treated with FiO₂ <60% and oxygen flow <30-40 l/min; the reason they did not receive tocilizumab and why they were excluded from the study is also not explained.

Fourth, it would have been interesting to clarify the causes of HFNO failure and to show whether they used, as alternatives to

HFNO, non-invasive or invasive mechanical ventilation. This aspect would allow for a better practical recommendation [6,7].

Further clinical trials are needed to define the best cut-off based on an appropriate respiratory score, for the use of HFNO and of tocilizumab.

Disclosure of interest

The authors declare that they have no competing interest.

Human and animal rights

The authors declare that the work described has not involved experimentation on humans or animals.

Informed consent and patient details

The authors declare that the work described does not involve patients or volunteers.

Funding

This work did not receive any grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Author contributions

All authors attest that they meet the current International Committee of Medical Journal Editors (ICMJE) criteria for Authorship.

References

- [1] Ouissa R, Le Guillou C, Broudic M, Markowicz S, Curlier E, Roger PM. Successful high flow nasal cannula therapy for severe COVID-19 pneumonia is associated with tocilizumab use. *Infect Dis Now* 2022, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.idnow.2022.02.006> [S2666-9919(22)00039-2. Epub ahead of print. PMID: 35176514; PMCID: PMC8842410].
- [2] Rorat M, Szymański W, Jurek T, Karczewski M, Zelig J, Simon K. When Conventional oxygen therapy fails—the effectiveness of high-flow nasal oxygen therapy in patients with respiratory failure in the course of COVID-19. *J Clin Med* 2021; 10:4751. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/jcm10204751> [PMID: 34682874; PMCID: PMC8541059].
- [3] Myers LC, Mark D, Ley B, Guarnieri M, Hofmeister M, Paulson S, et al. Validation of respiratory rate-oxygenation index in patients with covid-19-related respiratory failure. *Crit Care Med* 2022, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/CCM.0000000000005474> [Epub ahead of print. PMID: 35120044].
- [4] Valencia CF, Lucero OD, Castro OC, Sanko AA, Olejua PA. Comparison of ROX and HACOR scales to predict high-flow nasal cannula failure in patients with SARS-CoV-2 pneumonia. *Sci Rep* 2021; 11:22559, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-02078-5>.
- [5] Santus P, Pini S, Amati F, Saad M, Gatti M, Mondoni M, et al. Predictors of helmet CPAP failure in COVID-19 pneumonia: a prospective multicenter, and observational cohort study. *Can Respir J* 2022; 2022:1499690, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2022/1499690> [PMID: 35075381; PMCID: PMC8783135].

- [6] Klopfenstein T, Gendrin V, Kadiane-Oussou NJ, Conrozier T, Zayet S, Hospital Tocilizumab Multidisciplinary H.N.F Team. Tocilizumab in COVID-19 pneumonia: Practical proposals based on a narrative review of randomised trials. *Rev Med Virol* 2022;32, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/rmv.2239> [Epub 2021 Apr 21. PMID: 33882179; PMCID: PMC8250236].
- [7] Klopfenstein T, Gendrin V, Gerazime A, Conrozier T, Balblanc JC, Royer PY, et al. Systematic review and subgroup meta-analysis of randomized trials to determine tocilizumab's place in COVID-19 pneumonia. *Infect Dis Ther* 2021;10:1195–213, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s40121-021-00488-6> [Epub 2021 Jul 11. PMID: 34247325; PMCID: PMC8272840].

M. Mandal ^a

M. Mazza ^{b,*}

A.M. Esquinas ^c

^a *Department of Anesthesiology, Institute of Post Graduate Medical Education & Research, 244, Acharya Jagadish Chandra Bose Road, Kolkata 700 020, India*

^b *UOC Pneumologia Semintensiva COVID, A.O. Sant'Anna e San Sebastiano, di Rilievo Nazionale e alta Specializzazione, Via Ferdinando Palasciano, 81100 Caserta, Italy*

^c *Intensive Care Unit, Hospital Morales Mesenguer, Murcia, Spain*

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: dottmmazza@gmail.com

(M. Mazza)

Available online 7 May 2022