Influence of Age and Gender on Intervertebral Disk Degeneration and Height in the Thoracolumbar Spine

Masaaki Machino¹⁾²⁾, Hiroaki Nakashima¹⁾²⁾, Keigo Ito²⁾, Mikito Tsushima²⁾, Kei Ando¹⁾, Kazuyoshi Kobayashi¹⁾ and Shiro Imagama¹⁾

1) Department of Orthopedic Surgery, Nagoya University Graduate School of Medicine, Nagoya, Japan

2) Department of Orthopedic Surgery, Chubu Rosai Hospital, Japan Organization of Occupational Health and Safety, Nagoya, Japan

Abstract:

Introduction: Intervertebral disk degeneration is a universal and natural process. However, no reports have summarized anatomical age-related intervertebral disk height and disk degenerative changes in the thoracolumbar spine or examined sexspecific differences. This study aimed to establish age-related changes and gender-specific differences of intervertebral disk height and disk degeneration of the thoracolumbar spine in a large cohort of relatively healthy subjects and also to evaluate the relationship between the degree of thoracolumbar disk height and disk degeneration.

Methods: Six hundred and twenty-seven relatively healthy subjects (307 males and 320 females; average age, 49.6±16.5 years) were enrolled. We included at least 50 males and 50 females in each decade of life between the 20s and the 70s. We measured intervertebral disk height from T10/T11 to L5/S1, vertebral body height from T10 to S1 on lateral neutral radiographs. Lumbar disk degeneration was defined according to the Pfirrmann classification in sagittal plane magnetic resonance imaging.

Results: Age-related decreases in intervertebral disk height were most prominent at L4/L5 in middle-aged and elderly individuals of both sexes. The grade of disk degeneration significantly increased with age in both genders at every level. Mild disk degeneration was observed even in the 20s. The disk degeneration occurred around the L4/L5 level. Although grade V disk degeneration was not identified for males in the 20s and the 30s, it appeared after the 40s and then increased further with age. The intervertebral disk height at the lower lumbar disks decreased with a progression in the disk degeneration grade in both genders.

Conclusions: This large-scale cross-sectional analysis of the thoracolumbar spine in relatively healthy subjects demonstrated that lumbar disk height narrowing progresses with age and is correlated with the progression of disk degeneration. **Keywords:**

thoracolumbar spine, intervertebral disk height, disk degeneration, healthy subjects, age-related and gender differences

Spine Surg Relat Res 2022; 6(4): 379-387 dx.doi.org/10.22603/ssrr.2021-0187

Introduction

As a part of aging one of the universal and natural processes in the human body is intervertebral disk degeneration, which leads to loosing of elasticity of the disk due to diminished proteoglycans and water retaining molecules in the disk. As the water in the disk reduces, the capacity of the disk to resist compression and torque also reduces impairing the disk height and structural integrity¹⁾. physicians to assist in the clinical diagnosis of disk degeneration^{2,3)}. To facilitate communication between clinicians regarding the degree of disk degeneration in patients, several MRI-based metrics have been developed; among them is the gold-standard Pfirrmann et al³⁾ 5-grade system, which mentions that the disks could be rated by independent raters with reasonably high confidence and reproducibility developed by Urrutia et al⁴⁾.

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is routinely used by

Visual assessment of disk height and other features seen in T2 weighted images is included in this classification. A

Corresponding author: Masaaki Machino, mmachino@med.nagoya-u.ac.jp

Received: September 14, 2021, Accepted: November 2, 2021, Advance Publication: December 14, 2021

Copyright © 2022 The Japanese Society for Spine Surgery and Related Research

lower score is given if a nominal height is maintained, provided that other aspects of the visual inspection complemented that finding. The association between disk height loss and degeneration is also documented by several other studies^{3,5-7)}.

Although the intervertebral disk height is estimated to decrease with age-related degeneration, few studies have evaluated the relationship between intervertebral disk height and degeneration of disk in a large age- and sex-balanced cohort⁶. Such extensive data would enable individualized treatment on the basis of the patient's age and sex. Nevertheless, to the best of our knowledge, there are no reports that have summarized anatomical age-related intervertebral disk height and degenerative disk changes in the thoracolumbar spine or examined sex-specific differences.

Thus, to investigate the relationship between intervertebral disk height and thoracolumbar spine disk degeneration, we conducted a large sex- and age-balanced cohort study of 627 relatively healthy volunteers. This study primarily aimed to establish age-related changes and gender-specific differences of intervertebral disk height and thoracolumbar spine disk degeneration of relatively healthy subjects in a large cohort. Additionally, we evaluated the relationship between the degree of the thoracolumbar disk height and disk degeneration.

Materials and Methods

Study population

After obtaining the approval from the institutional review board of Chubu Rosai Hospital (IRB approval no., 2009-2) and officially announcing the study purpose, we recruited relatively healthy Japanese volunteers who provided written informed consent. Sensory or motor symptoms such as clumsiness, numbness, weakness, and gait disturbance; severe low back pain; spinal trauma or congenital spinal deformity history; brain or spinal surgery history; and neurological diseases like neuropathy and cerebral ischemic disease and neuropathy along with females with pregnancy, worker's compensation received by individuals or presenting with symptoms post motor vehicle accident, and those in whom there was difficulty in examining the sagittal radiograph parameters of lumbosacral transitional anomalies or spinal malformations were excluded from the study. Visual analog scale measurements of the lower back, buttock, and leg pain were taken before deciding on the inclusion of patients in this study and excluded cases with severe pain anywhere above 80 mm as cases with severe pain⁸. Finally, a total of 627 relatively healthy subjects (307 males and 320 females; mean age, 49.6±16.5 years) with appropriate imaging results were enrolled; at least 50 subjects from each sex were included in the following age groups: 20-29, 30-39, 40-49, 50-59, 60-69, and 70-79 years (Table 1).

To measure the body height of vertebrae and intervertebral disk height, we obtained lateral neutral thoracolumbar radiographs with the subject in the recumbent position. We

Decade		Males	Females
20	NT 1		
20s	Number	50	52
	Age (years)	26.0±2.3	25.0±2.7
	Body height (cm)	171.3±6.3	158.8±5.5
	Body weight (kg)	65.1±9.9	51.3±5.6
	BMI (kg/m ²)	22.2±2.9	20.4±1.8
30s	Number	51	50
	Age (years)	35.0±3.0	35.0±3.0
	Body height (cm)	170.4±6.0	159.1±6.5
	Body weight (kg)	67.2±10.9	52.5±7.3
	BMI (kg/m ²)	23.1±3.2	20.7±2.6
40s	Number	50	57
	Age (years)	44.5±3.1	44.8±3.0
	Body height (cm)	172.9±5.1	156.4±5.7
	Body weight (kg)	71.7±13.5	54.2±8.8
	BMI (kg/m ²)	23.9±4.4	22.2±3.7
50s	Number	56	51
	Age (years)	54.2±2.7	53.7±2.5
	Body height (cm)	168.3±5.8	156.4±4.9
	Body weight (kg)	68.5±9.6	54.8±9.5
	BMI (kg/m ²)	24.1±3.1	22.4±3.9
60s	Number	50	60
	Age (years)	64.5±2.9	64.7±3.1
	Body height (cm)	166.1±6.1	154.5±5.5
	Body weight (kg)	63.8±7.5	52.3±7.7
	BMI (kg/m ²)	23.1±2.3	21.9±3.2
70s	Number	50	50
	Age (years)	73.3±2.6	73.2±2.6
	Body height (cm)	165.4±5.8	151.9±4.7
	Body weight (kg)	64.0±6.8	51.5±7.2
	BMI (kg/m ²)	23.5±2.5	22.3±2.8
Total	Number	307	320
	Age (years)	49.7±16.4	49.6±16.7
	Body height (cm)	169.1±6.4	156.1±6.0
	Body weight (kg)	66.7±10.2	52.8±7.9
	BMI (kg/m ²)	23.3±3.2	21.7±3.2

Table 1. Demographics of Healthy Subjects at PresentStudy.

Values given are means±standard deviation unless otherwise specified. BMI indicates body mass index.

measured the vertebral body height at the anterior wall, center, and posterior wall at each vertebral level (from T10 to L5) and intervertebral disk height at the anterior edge, center, and posterior edge at each disk level (from T10/T11 to L5/S1)⁹. 1.5-Tesla superconducting magnet (Signa Horizon Excite HD version 12; GE Healthcare, UK) was used to perform MRI scans. We obtained MRI to evaluate the degree of disk degeneration. Slice thicknesses of 3 and 4 mm in the sagittal and axial planes, respectively, were done to perform the scans. In the sagittal scans, T1-weighted images [fast spin-echo repetition time (TR), 450 ms; echo time (TE), 13 ms], and T2-weighted images (fast spin-echo TR, 4,000 ms; TE, 85 ms) were obtained. Axial scans were performed by using T2-weighted images (fast spin-echo TR, 4,000 ms; TE, 102 ms). All the images were transferred to a computer in Digital Imaging and Communications in Medicine format,



Figure 1. Algorithm for the grading system and assessment of lumbar disk degeneration grade using the Pfirrmann classification system.

and under the supervision of a certified spine surgeon, an experienced radiation technologist measured each parameter using Osiris 4 imaging software (Icestar Media Ltd, Essex, UK) as described previously¹⁰⁻¹⁴).

We evaluated disk degeneration at thoracolumbar spinal levels, including T10/T11, T11/T12, T12/L1, L1/L2, L2/L3, L3/L4, L4/L5, and L5/S1. According to the grading system proposed by Pfirrmann et al (Supplemental Table 1)^{3,15)}. Two spine surgeons (F.K. and K.S.) graded the degree of disk degeneration into five grades using T2-weighted neutral sagittal images. We defined lumbar disk degeneration according to the Pfirrmann classification system (Fig. 1). We assessed the disk degeneration grade between decades or gender at the eight levels (from T10/T11 to L5/S1).

To reduce the potential influence of physique such as body height, the absolute value of the actual measurement was adjusted by the parameters of the same individual. We proposed a measurement termed the disk index. The ratio of disk height to vertebral body height is defined as disk index and was calculated to evaluate the narrowing of the disk space using the formula: [(intervertebral disk height at the center)/(vertebral body height at the center) × 100%]. We calculated the disk index from T10/T11 to L5/S1 levels using the cranial vertebral body height and assessed the L5/S1 level using the L5 vertebral body height.

Statistical analysis

SPSS software version 27.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA) was used for analyses of data, and mean \pm standard deviation was used to express all values. For nonparametric analysis of differences between males and females, the Mann-Whitney U-test was used, and p<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Table 1 mentions the descriptive characteristics including height, weight, and body mass index; this figure shows that body height tends to decrease with increasing age.

Vertebral body height at the anterior wall, center, and posterior wall gradually increased from T10 to L3; the shortest height was at T10, and the longest was at L3 or L4 or L5 in all age groups and both sexes. In all the age groups, the vertebral body heights were shorter in females than in males. The height at the anterior wall, center, and posterior wall slightly decreased with increasing age in both sexes, at most vertebral levels. The height at the center was shorter compared with that at the anterior and posterior walls. The vertebral body height at the posterior wall was longer than that at the anterior wall in the thoracolumbar region from T10 to L2 (Table 2, 3).

The intervertebral disk height at the anterior edge, center, and posterior edge gradually increased from T10/T11 to L4/ L5 or L5/S1; the shortest height was at T10/T11, and the longest was at L3/L4 or L4/L5 or L5/S1 in all age groups and both sexes. In females, the disk heights at most disk levels were shorter than in males. In middle-aged and elderly individuals of both sexes, age-related decreases in disk height were most prominent at L4/L5 at the center and posterior edge. The height at the posterior edge was shorter than that at the anterior edge and center. The intervertebral disk height at the anterior edge increased gradually with increasing age in both sexes, except in the 70s. The intervertebral disk height at the center decreased gradually with increasing age in both sexes, at the lower lumbar disks. The intervertebral disk height at the posterior edge did not remarkably change with age in either sex, except the lower lumbar disks (Table 4, 5).

Disk index gradually decreased with increasing age in middle-aged and elderly individuals in males, with the L4/L5 level being the most prominent. Males in their 70s had multiple levels of intervertebral disk narrowing when compared with females. Unexpectedly, the disk index in females did not change with age (Table 6).

At every age level in both genders, the grade of disk degeneration at the lumbar level increased. We observed that even in the 20s, there was mild disk degeneration. Although Grade V disk degeneration was not identified for males who were in their 20s and 30s, it appeared for males in their 40s and then increased further with age. The first and second most severely degenerated disks in every decade were L4/ L5. The disk degeneration occurred around the L4/L5 level (Fig. 2).

In both the genders along with disk degeneration grade, the disk index from L2/L3 to L5/S1 levels also decreased. The disk index from T10/T11 to L1/L2 levels did not change with a progression in the disk degeneration grade in both genders. There was a significant difference between genders in grade I from the L3/L4 to L5/S1 levels; the disk index in females was lower than in males (p<0.05 to p<

Decade		20s	30s	40s	50s	60s	70s	Total
Anterior wall	T10	27.4±2.2	27.6±2.0	27.4±1.9	27.1±2.3	26.3±1.8	26.3±2.1	27.0±2.1
	T11	28.2±2.2	28.4±2.1	28.3±2.4	27.5±2.1	26.7±2.1	27.1±2.2	27.7±2.3
	T12	29.2±2.5	29.8±1.9	29.5±2.5	28.8±1.9	28.3±2.3	28.6 ± 2.4	29.0±2.3
	L1	30.7±2.2	31.1±2.1	31.2±2.3	30.6±2.0	29.6±2.1	29.9 ± 2.8	30.5±2.3
	L2	33.0±2.1	33.3±2.1	33.5±2.2	32.4±2.1	31.3±2.3	31.6±3.2	32.5±2.5
	L3	34.0±2.3	34.7±1.9	34.5±2.4	33.8±2.5	32.7±2.8	32.6±2.4	33.7±2.5
	L4	33.9±1.9	34.4±2.2	34.5±2.4	33.9±2.2	32.9±2.6	32.0±3.2	33.6±2.6
	L5	34.9 ± 2.4	35.7±2.4	35.2±2.6	34.5±3.1	33.7±2.8	33.1±2.9	34.5±2.8
Center	T10	26.4±1.9	26.3±2.0	26.6±1.8	26.2 ± 2.0	25.6±1.7	25.9±1.7	26.2±1.9
	T11	28.1±2.0	28.2±1.8	28.0 ± 2.0	27.6±1.9	27.3±1.6	27.3±1.8	27.7±1.9
	T12	30.0±2.1	30.6±1.8	30.1±2.2	29.5±1.8	29.1±1.7	29.3±1.8	29.8±2.0
	L1	31.8±2.0	32.1±1.7	32.1±1.9	31.5±1.9	30.7±1.6	30.8 ± 2.2	31.5±2.0
	L2	32.4±1.8	32.7±1.6	33.0±2.1	31.9±2.1	31.1±1.8	32.1±2.5	32.2±2.1
	L3	32.8±1.8	33.2±1.8	33.3±2.3	32.6±2.4	31.9 ± 2.1	32.2±2.3	32.7±2.2
	L4	33.2±1.7	33.6±1.6	33.5±2.5	32.7±2.5	31.9±2.6	32.3±2.7	32.9±2.4
	L5	32.5±2.3	33.3±2.1	32.3±3.0	31.9±3.1	31.0±2.6	31.6±2.6	32.1±2.7
Posterior wall	T10	28.4 ± 2.1	29.1±2.1	28.6±2.3	28.4±2.1	28.1±2.1	27.9 ± 2.2	28.4±2.2
	T11	30.9 ± 2.3	31.3±2.3	30.9 ± 2.4	30.6 ± 2.4	30.3±2.3	30.4±2.6	30.7±2.4
	T12	33.3±2.3	33.7±2.4	33.7±2.4	33.2±1.9	32.8±2.5	32.9 ± 2.4	33.3±2.3
	L1	35.2±2.0	35.7±2.1	35.8±2.4	35.1±2.1	34.6 ± 2.0	35.4±2.1	35.3±2.2
	L2	35.5±2.0	35.7±2.1	36.1±2.4	34.9 ± 2.2	34.6 ± 2.0	35.6±2.5	35.4±2.2
	L3	35.2±2.0	35.2±2.0	35.4±2.5	34.7±2.3	34.0 ± 2.4	34.1±3.1	34.8±2.5
	L4	33.4±2.0	33.7±2.0	33.9±2.5	33.2±2.2	32.5±2.2	32.3±3.3	33.2±2.5
	L5	31.2 ± 2.5	31.7±1.8	30.8 ± 2.9	30.3±3.2	30.4 ± 2.7	30.0 ± 2.8	30.7 ± 2.8

 Table 2.
 Vertebral Body Height at Each Vertebral Level in Males on Lateral Plain Radiography (mm).

Values given are means±standard deviation unless otherwise specified.

Table 3. Vertebral Body Height at Each Vertebral Level in Females on Lateral Plain Radiography (mm).

Decade		20s	30s	40s	50s	60s	70s	Total
Anterior wall	T10	24.8±1.9	24.6±1.8	25.2±1.7	24.5±1.6	23.5±3.4	23.9±1.9	24.5±1.9
	T11	25.8±1.8	25.7±1.5	26.1±2.0	25.5±1.6	24.5±1.7	24.6±2.3	25.3±1.9
	T12	27.8 ± 2.2	27.6 ± 2.0	28.2±2.0	27.8±1.7	26.3±3.2	26.5±3.2	27.4±2.5
	L1	29.9 ± 2.1	29.6±2.0	30.1±1.7	29.5±1.8	28.8 ± 2.4	27.7±3.0	29.3±2.3
	L2	32.0±2.2	31.6±1.9	32.3±1.9	31.8 ± 2.4	30.6 ± 2.9	29.8±3.6	31.4±2.7
	L3	33.4±2.1	33.1±2.1	33.1±2.0	33.0±2.3	31.9±2.5	31.0±2.7	32.6±2.4
	L4	33.4±2.4	32.8±1.7	33.3±1.8	32.7±2.4	31.4±2.9	31.0±3.1	32.4±2.6
	L5	33.8±2.4	33.2±2.0	33.8±2.5	33.6±2.3	31.5±2.9	31.2±2.4	32.9±2.7
Center	T10	23.7±2.1	23.2±1.5	24.2±1.9	23.9±2.3	23.6±2.3	22.7±1.9	23.6±2.1
	T11	25.4±2.2	24.9±1.6	25.5±2.1	25.1±1.5	25.0±1.9	24.5±2.1	25.1±1.9
	T12	27.8 ± 2.2	27.2±1.8	27.6 ± 2.0	27.4±1.5	26.9 ± 2.5	26.3±2.9	27.2±2.2
	L1	29.8 ± 2.1	29.5±1.6	29.8±1.8	29.1±1.8	28.6 ± 2.1	27.7±3.0	29.1±2.2
	L2	30.7±2.2	30.8 ± 2.1	30.8 ± 2.1	30.3±2.0	29.6±2.3	28.3±3.3	30.1±2.5
	L3	31.6±2.2	31.5±2.2	31.1±1.8	31.1±2.0	30.7 ± 2.4	29.4±2.8	30.9 ± 2.3
	L4	31.7±2.3	31.6±2.1	31.9±1.9	31.1±2.1	30.5 ± 2.6	29.3±2.8	31.0±2.5
	L5	30.8 ± 2.5	30.7±2.2	30.3 ± 4.6	30.6±2.3	29.4±3.0	28.7±2.7	30.1±3.1
Posterior wall	T10	25.2 ± 2.0	25.1±2.0	25.7±2.0	25.5±1.8	25.1±1.9	24.7±2.0	25.2 ± 2.0
	T11	27.5±2.4	27.2±2.1	27.7±2.0	27.7±1.8	27.0±1.9	27.0±1.9	27.3±2.0
	T12	30.0 ± 2.4	29.9 ± 2.0	30.0±2.3	30.4±1.9	29.5±2.6	29.3±2.8	29.8 ± 2.4
	L1	32.2±2.4	32.0±2.0	32.3±2.3	32.1±2.0	31.6±2.6	30.6 ± 2.8	31.8 ± 2.4
	L2	33.1±2.2	33.1±2.2	33.0±2.0	32.9 ± 2.4	32.3±2.5	31.2±2.6	32.6±2.4
	L3	33.3±2.1	32.8±2.1	32.6±1.8	32.8±2.0	32.0±2.4	31.3±2.7	32.4±2.3
	L4	31.5±2.4	31.7±2.1	31.4±1.8	31.5±2.3	30.4±2.5	29.1±2.5	30.9 ± 2.4
	L5	29.5±2.5	29.0±2.0	29.4±2.3	28.9 ± 2.2	28.0 ± 2.8	27.6±2.5	28.7±2.5

Values given are means±standard deviation unless otherwise specified.

Decade		20s	30s	40s	50s	60s	70s	Total
Anterior edge	T10/T11	6.3±1.7	6.6±1.8	6.3±1.2	6.4±1.7	7.0±1.8	7.0±2.0	6.6±1.7
	T11/T12	7.1±1.6	7.3±2.0	7.2±1.5	7.6 ± 2.0	8.0±1.8	7.9 ± 2.2	7.5±1.9
	T12/L1	8.4±1.5	8.6±1.9	8.6 ± 2.1	8.8±1.9	9.2±1.9	9.0 ± 2.2	8.8±1.9
	L1/L2	9.7±2.0	10.2 ± 2.2	10.4 ± 2.1	10.7±2.2	10.2±1.8	11.3±2.4	10.7±2.3
	L2/L3	11.1±2.2	11.9±2.6	12.3±2.3	12.5±2.7	13.0±3.2	12.4±3.1	12.2±2.7
	L3/L4	12.6±2.4	13.7±3.0	13.9±2.5	13.7±3.2	14.3±2.9	13.9±3.6	13.7±3.0
	L4/L5	14.5 ± 2.8	15.3±3.0	14.9 ± 3.4	15.0±3.7	15.0±3.9	14.4±4.5	14.9±3.5
	L5/S1	15.5±6.9	14.5 ± 3.2	15.4±4.1	15.1±4.6	16.0±3.9	15.5±4.6	15.3±4.7
Center	T10/T11	6.6±1.0	7.0±1.3	6.7±1.3	6.7±1.5	7.3±1.3	6.9±1.4	6.9±1.3
	T11/T12	7.2±1.1	7.2±1.2	7.5±1.3	7.6±1.3	8.0±1.8	7.7±1.2	7.5±1.3
	T12/L1	7.6±1.0	8.1±1.1	8.2±1.5	8.4±1.2	8.8±1.3	8.8±1.4	8.3±1.3
	L1/L2	9.0±1.4	9.2±1.2	9.5±1.8	9.4±1.6	10.2 ± 1.8	9.9 ± 2.1	9.6±1.7
	L2/L3	10.5±1.6	11.0±1.5	11.2±1.8	11.2±1.7	10.9 ± 2.1	10.2 ± 2.6	10.8±1.9
	L3/L4	11.1±1.7	11.6±1.7	11.8±1.9	11.3±1.6	11.3±2.2	10.7 ± 2.4	11.3±1.9
	L4/L5	11.4 ± 2.0	11.8±1.5	11.5±2.5	10.7 ± 2.3	10.0 ± 3.1	9.8±3.3	11.0±2.6
	L5/S1	9.8 ± 2.5	9.6 ± 2.2	10.2 ± 2.6	9.8 ± 3.0	9.5±3.2	9.2 ± 3.1	9.8 ± 2.8
Posterior edge	T10/T11	4.4±1.2	4.3±1.1	4.6±1.2	4.4±1.3	4.8±1.3	4.6±1.4	4.5±1.3
	T11/T12	5.1±1.1	4.9±1.1	5.0±1.5	4.9 ± 1.4	5.4±1.8	5.0±1.5	5.0 ± 1.4
	T12/L1	4.6±1.0	4.8±1.0	5.1±1.3	4.9±1.3	5.3±1.3	4.8±1.5	4.9±1.3
	L1/L2	5.8±1.3	6.0±1.2	6.0±1.7	6.2±1.4	6.1±1.3	5.5±1.6	5.9±1.4
	L2/L3	6.9±1.8	7.6 ± 1.4	7.6±1.7	7.1±1.5	6.6±1.5	6.1±1.6	7.0±1.7
	L3/L4	7.9±1.9	8.4±1.3	8.2±1.4	7.3±1.3	7.2±1.6	6.7±1.8	7.6±1.6
	L4/L5	8.5±1.5	8.6±1.4	8.0±2.0	7.7±2.4	7.3±2.0	7.0 ± 2.5	7.9 ± 2.0
	L5/S1	8.1±5.5	7.2 ± 1.7	7.2 ± 2.5	6.5 ± 2.3	7.2 ± 3.5	6.2 ± 2.3	7.0 ± 3.2

Table 4. Intervertebral Disk Height at Each Disk Level in Males on Lateral Plain Radiography (mm).

Values given are means±standard deviation unless otherwise specified.

Decade		20s	30s	40s	50s	60s	70s	Total
Anterior edge	T10/T11	5.3±1.3	5.5±1.5	5.7±1.9	5.9±1.8	6.6±1.7	5.7±1.7	5.8±1.7
	T11/T12	5.9±1.1	6.4±1.6	6.2±1.7	6.3±1.6	7.2±1.7	6.2±1.9	6.4±1.6
	T12/L1	6.3±1.2	7.1±1.7	7.0±1.7	7.3±1.5	8.1±1.6	7.2±1.7	7.2±1.7
	L1/L2	7.7±1.2	8.8 ± 2.1	8.8±2.2	9.3±2.0	10.1 ± 2.1	9.3±2.2	9.0±2.1
	L2/L3	9.3±1.6	10.6 ± 2.5	10.8 ± 2.3	10.7±2.9	11.7±2.5	11.0±2.6	10.7±2.5
	L3/L4	11.1±2.4	12.5±2.7	12.1±2.9	12.2 ± 3.1	12.8±3.3	11.9±2.5	12.1±2.9
	L4/L5	12.9 ± 2.4	14.0 ± 3.1	13.2±2.8	12.9 ± 3.2	13.9±3.7	12.8±3.3	13.3±3.2
	L5/S1	12.0±2.8	12.9 ± 2.6	13.2±3.2	13.2±4.2	14.0 ± 4.7	14.4±4.7	13.5±4.8
Center	T10/T11	5.9±1.0	6.2±1.2	6.1±1.3	6.0±1.0	6.4±1.3	6.1±1.3	6.1±1.2
	T11/T12	6.3±1.0	7.0 ± 1.1	6.9±1.5	6.7±1.1	7.0±1.3	6.9±1.7	6.8±1.3
	T12/L1	6.6±1.1	7.3±1.0	7.1±1.3	7.5±1.3	7.9±1.2	7.9±1.3	7.4±1.3
	L1/L2	7.8 ± 1.4	8.3±1.3	8.6±1.6	8.9±1.3	9.3±1.7	8.9±1.7	8.6±1.6
	L2/L3	9.3±1.4	9.7±1.8	9.7±1.5	9.7±1.7	9.8 ± 2.1	9.7±2.2	9.7±1.8
	L3/L4	10.1±1.6	10.5±1.9	10.0±1.9	10.1±2.0	10.0 ± 2.2	10.1 ± 2.2	10.1±2.0
	L4/L5	10.3±1.7	10.5±1.9	10.0±1.9	9.4±2.2	9.3±2.7	9.3±2.3	9.9 ± 2.2
	L5/S1	9.0±1.8	8.9 ± 2.0	9.3±2.7	8.4±2.3	9.0±3.3	9.0 ± 2.4	9.0±2.6
Posterior edge	T10/T11	4.6±1.2	4.6±1.2	4.6±1.1	4.5±1.1	4.6±1.2	4.2±0.9	4.5±1.1
	T11/T12	4.7±1.1	4.7±1.2	4.8±1.3	4.8±1.3	5.2±1.4	4.9±1.2	4.9±1.3
	T12/L1	4.7±1.1	4.6±1.1	5.0±1.2	4.7±1.1	5.5±1.6	5.0±1.5	4.9±1.3
	L1/L2	5.6±0.9	5.7±1.1	6.0±1.3	5.7±1.1	5.6±1.4	5.8±1.7	5.8 ± 2.2
	L2/L3	6.7±1.2	6.8±1.6	6.7±1.5	6.2±1.1	6.1±1.6	6.0±1.8	6.4±1.5
	L3/L4	7.4±1.3	7.7±1.5	7.3±1.6	6.5±1.5	6.2±1.7	6.3±1.8	6.9±1.6
	L4/L5	8.0±1.8	8.1±1.6	7.6±1.8	6.6±1.7	7.3±1.9	6.6 ± 2.0	7.4±1.9
	L5/S1	7.3±1.7	7.3±1.9	7.2±2.1	6.2±1.6	7.4±4.5	6.9±2.5	7.2±3.4

Table 5. Intervertebral Disk Height at Each Disk Level in Females on Lateral Plain Radiography (mm).

Values given are means±standard deviation unless otherwise specified.

De	cade	20s	30s	40s	50s	60s	70s	Total
Males	T10/T11	25.1±4.3	26.6±5.7	25.0±4.4	25.7±5.9	28.5±5.4	26.9±5.8	26.3±5.4
	T11/T12	25.9±4.4	25.7±4.5	26.8±4.9	27.6±4.7	29.7±5.8	28.2±4.8	27.3±5.0
	T12/L1	25.5±3.8	26.4±3.6	27.1±4.9	28.4±4.7	30.4±5.1	30.2±5.0	28.0 ± 4.9
	L1/L2	28.5±4.7	28.7±3.9	29.8±5.2	29.9±5.3	33.5±6.2	32.1±6.9	30.4±5.7
	L2/L3	32.5±5.3	33.5±4.6	34.0±5.4	35.1±5.4	35.2±7.4	31.8±8.8	33.7±6.4
	L3/L4	34.0±5.8	35.0±5.2	35.4±5.9	34.9±5.5	35.5±7.4	33.3±7.5	34.7±6.3
	L4/L5	34.6±6.4	35.1±4.7	34.5±8.5	32.8±7.5	35.1±9.8	30.4±10.5	33.7±8.2
	L5/S1	30.3±8.1	29.0±6.9	31.8±9.2	31.5±10.1	34.8±10.8	29.1±9.5	31.1±9.3
De	cade	20s	30s	40s	50s	60s	70s	Total
Females	T10/T11	24.9±4.7	26.6±5.3	25.4±5.7	25.2±4.1	27.2±5.1	26.8±6.2	26.0±5.3
	T11/T12	25.0±4.2	28.4±4.8	26.9±5.7	26.7±4.6	28.2±5.5	28.4±7.2	27.3±5.5
	T12/L1	23.9±3.9	26.8±4.3	25.6±4.8	27.4±5.1	29.8±5.0	30.5±7.0	27.3±5.5
	L1/L2	26.4±4.6	28.2±4.5	28.7±5.2	30.8 ± 4.4	32.5±5.9	32.6±7.9	29.9±6.0
	L2/L3	30.0±4.7	31.6±5.4	31.5±5.4	32.3±6.6	33.5±8.2	35.1±10.4	32.4±7.2
	L3/L4	32.0±4.9	33.3±5.7	32.3±6.3	32.4±6.5	33.0±7.9	35.0±8.9	33.0±6.9
	L4/L5	32.6±5.3	33.2±6.1	31.5±6.6	30.2±6.7	33.5±9.8	32.4±9.0	32.3±7.5
	L5/S1	29.6±6.6	29.0±6.3	30.7±9.2	27.6±7.8	31.3±11.0	32.8±10.2	30.2±8.8

Table 6.Disk Index in Each Disk Level (%).

Values given are means±standard deviation unless otherwise specified.

0.01). There was also a significant difference between genders grade II at the L4/L5 level; the disk index in females was lower than that in males (p<0.01, Fig. 3).

Discussion

This largest cross-sectional study is the first to report agerelated changes and gender-specific differences in thoracolumbar disk height and also to evaluate the relationship between the degree of degeneration of disk and disk height in relatively healthy subjects to the best of our knowledge. Our main findings show that mild thoracolumbar disk degeneration begins in the 20s, thoracolumbar degeneration of disk and height narrowing progresses with age especially after the 40s, mainly at L4/L5 level and the degree of lumbar disk height narrowing was significantly correlated with the grade of degeneration of disk.

With aging, there is a gradual degeneration of the intervertebral disks gradually, which causes disk herniation and degenerative disk disease⁶. There is a broad burden on society with these conditions. This is well supported by Boos et al. who graded the degenerative changes in 180 cadaveric sagittal lumbar segment slices and reported that there is a significant association between the grade of degenerative change with age⁶. One of the best radiographic models for detecting degeneration of disk, which also has been widely used in the past few decades to evaluate musculoskeletal disorders is MRI¹⁶. Additionally, there have been various cross-sectional studies that have used MRI to elucidate the natural history of human aging and degeneration of disk^{17,18}.

There have been several reported studies on the relationship between disk degeneration and age^{6,18,19}. Boden et al. performed MRI on 67 subjects without low back pain, sciatica, or neurogenic claudication and found that 20% and 36% of subjects who were 60 years old had a herniated nucleus pulposus¹⁷⁾. Cheung et al. study on 1043 volunteers investigated lumbar spine MRIs and found that 40% of individuals below the age of 30 years had degeneration of intervertebral disk at lumbar level, with the prevalence of intervertebral degeneration of disk increasing progressively to over 90% by the age of 50-55 years. The most prevalent levels of disk degenerative changes that increase with age are L4/L5 and L5/S1¹⁹.

In the present study, vertebral body height at the center slightly decreased with increasing age at most vertebral levels. The decrease with age in vertebral body height was more pronounced in females than in males. Although disk index gradually decreased with increasing age in middleaged and elderly individuals in males, the disk index in females did not change with age. It is speculated that disk index in females was affected by the decrease in vertebral body height compared with males.

This present study found that intervertebral disk height at the center gradually increased from T10/T11 to L4/L5; the shortest height was at T10/T11, and the longest was at L3/ L4 or L4/L5 in all decades and both sexes. In middle-aged and elderly individuals of both sexes, age-related degenerative disk height loss was most prominent at L4/L5. As the number of disk surgeries performed increases in the future, these results will serve as useful baseline information for clinicians planning surgical intervention. Owing to their differences from younger subjects, elderly subjects may require special surgical consideration.

The results of the present study indicate that the intervertebral disk height at the center decreased gradually with increasing age in both sexes, at the lower lumbar disks. Lumbar disks are more likely to undergo disk degeneration than



Figure 2. Lumbar disk degeneration using the Pfirrmann classification system in each decade in (A) males and (B) females.

thoracic disks, which has been recognized previously, and lower lumbar disks are more prone to undergo severe degeneration than upper lumbar disks^{20,21)}. Because greater loading in bending causes disk prolapse leading to bending injuries to the annulus²²⁾.

Some of the limitations of this present study that warrant discussion are. First, as the study cohort was limited to Japanese population the generalizability of our findings is limited. Second, only relatively healthy subjects were enrolled in the current study, which could have led to a selection bias in favor of healthy participants only. However, although subjects were all relatively healthy, some had pathological or mild symptomatic backgrounds, which could affect the results. Considering that previous reports show that intraobserver and interobserver reproducibility of MRI measurements was high, measurement was performed only once



Figure 3. Relationship between disk index and thoracolumbar disk degeneration grade at each level. Values are expressed as mean±SD. *p<0.05; **p<0.01

by one unique observer⁴). A previous study reported that the overall interobserver agreement of the Pfirrmann classification using the intraclass correlation coefficient was excellent or almost perfect using the weighted kappa. Intraobserver agreement was also excellent, or almost perfect using the weighted kappa⁴⁾. These measurements of radiographs were carried out by a well-experienced radiological technician with extensive knowledge of anatomy⁹⁻¹¹⁾. Our data set was sufficiently large for most evaluations; however, we compared individuals between ages as this was a cross-sectional rather than longitudinal investigation. As another limitation, we obtained radiographs in the recumbent position to make an evaluation that is not in the same standing position as MRI. Although osteoporosis might affect the age-related change of vertebral height, bone mineral density was not investigated in this study^{22,23)}. Despite these limitations, this cross-sectional study is the largest of its kind to the best of our knowledge. By comparing degeneration of disk and disk height data from relatively healthy subjects in this study to symptomatic patients in the future, this could lead to determining disk degeneration patterns that result in symptoms.

Age-related changes in disk height of the thoracolumbar spine are gaining more importance than ever because of the increasing number of reconstructive surgeries conducted for lumbar disk disorders. To understand the natural changes in thoracolumbar spine degeneration over time a long-term follow-up study is needed. Although it is practically difficult to observe age-related changes over a subject's entire life span. Thus, to substitute a longitudinal analysis, a largescale cross-sectional observational study can be conducted. In our study, 627 relatively healthy volunteers were enrolled, and at least 50 subjects belonging to each sex were included

386

in each age group. This study is one of the largest cohort studies conducted on thoracolumbar disk morphology, with a sex ratio of almost 1:1. The reliability of our results is because of the large sample size and balanced sex and age distribution of the study population.

Conclusion

Lumbar disk height narrowing progresses with age and is correlated with the progression of degeneration of the disk is concluded by this large-scale cross-sectional analysis of thoracolumbar spine MRI data in relatively healthy subjects.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare that there are no relevant conflicts of interest.

Sources of Funding: None

Acknowledgement: We are grateful to Dr. Fumihiko Kato of Chubu Rosai Nursing School, Japan Organization of Occupational Health and Safety, for their great contribution to this study.

Author Contributions: MM and HN drafted and prepared the manuscript. All authors were involved in the data collection. MM performed the statistical analysis. All authors read, critically reviewed, and approved the final version of the submitted article.

Ethical Approval: This study was approved by the institutional review board of Chubu Rosai Hospital (IRB approval no., 2009-2).

Informed Consent: All participants in this study obtained informed consent.

References

- Jarman JP, Arpinar VE, Baruah D, et al. Intervertebral disc height loss demonstrates the threshold of major pathological changes during degeneration. Eur Spine J. 2015;24(9):1944-50.
- Miller TT. Imaging of disk disease and degenerative spondylosis of the lumbar spine. Semin Ultrasound CT MR. 2004;25(6):506-22.
- **3.** Pfirrmann CW, Metzdorf A, Zanetti M, et al. Magnetic resonance classification of lumbar intervertebral disc degeneration. Spine. 2001;26(17):1873-8.
- **4.** Urrutia J, Besa P, Campos M, et al. The Pfirrmann classification of lumbar intervertebral disc degeneration: an independent interand intra-observer agreement assessment. Eur Spine J. 2016;25(9): 2728-33.
- Benneker LM, Heini PF, Anderson SE, et al. Correlation of radiographic and MRI parameters to morphological and biochemical assessment of intervertebral disc degeneration. Eur Spine J. 2005;14 (1):27-35.
- **6.** Boos N, Weissbach S, Rohrbach H, et al. Classification of agerelated changes in lumbar intervertebral discs: 2002 Volvo award in basic science. Spine. 2002;27(23):2631-44.
- Haefeli M, Kalberer F, Saegesser D, et al. The course of macroscopic degeneration in the human lumbar intervertebral disc. Spine. 2006;31(14):1522-31.
- **8.** Nakashima H, Ito K, Katayama Y, et al. The level of conus medularis in 629 healthy Japanese individuals. J Clin Med. 2021;10 (14):3182.
- **9.** Machino M, Nakashima H, Ito K, et al. Age-related degenerative changes and sex-specific differences in osseous anatomy and intervertebral disc height of the thoracolumbar spine. J Clin Neurosci. 2021;90:317-24.
- **10.** Yukawa Y, Kato F, Suda K, et al. Normative data for parameters of sagittal spinal alignment in healthy subjects: an analysis of gender specific differences and changes with aging in 626 asymptomatic individuals. Eur Spine J. 2018;27(2):426-32.
- **11.** Yukawa Y, Matsumoto T, Kollor H, et al. Local sagittal alignment of the lumbar spine and range of motion in 627 asymptomatic subjects: age-related changes and sex-based differences. Asian Spine J. 2019;13(4):663-71.
- 12. Yukawa Y, Kato F, Suda K, et al. Age-related changes in osseous anatomy, alignment, and range of motion of the cervical spine. Part I: radiographic data from over 1,200 asymptomatic subjects. Eur Spine J. 2012;21(8):1492-8.

- 13. Kato F, Yukawa Y, Suda K, et al. Normal morphology, age-related changes and abnormal findings of the cervical spine. Part II: magnetic resonance imaging of over 1,200 asymptomatic subjects. Eur Spine J. 2012;21(8):1499-507.
- 14. Machino M, Yukawa Y, Imagama S, et al. Age-related and degenerative changes in the osseous anatomy, alignment, and range of motion of the cervical spine: a comparative study of radiographic data from 1016 patients with cervical spondylotic myelopathy and 1230 asymptomatic subjects. Spine. 2016;41(6):476-82.
- Nakashima H, Yukawa Y, Suda K, et al. Cervical disc protrusion correlates with the severity of cervical disc degeneration: a crosssectional study of 1211 relatively healthy volunteers. Spine. 2015; 40(13):E774-9.
- Brinjikji W, Luetmer PH, Comstock B, et al. Systematic literature review of imaging features of spinal degeneration in asymptomatic populations. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol. 2015;36(4):811-6.
- 17. Boden SD, Davis DO, Dina TS, et al. Abnormal magneticresonance scans of the lumbar spine in asymptomatic subjects. A prospective investigation. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 1990;72(3):403-8.
- Okada E, Daimon K, Fujiwara H, et al. Ten-year longitudinal follow-up MRI study of age-related changes in thoracic intervertebral discs in asymptomatic subjects. Spine. 2019;44(22):E1317-24.
- **19.** Cheung KM, Karppinen J, Chan D, et al. Prevalence and pattern of lumbar magnetic resonance imaging changes in a population study of one thousand forty-three individuals. Spine. 2009;34(9): 934-40.
- **20.** Wang YX, Griffith JF, Ma HT, et al. Relationship between gender, bone mineral density, and disc degeneration in the lumbar spine: a study in elderly subjects using an eight-level MRI-based disc degeneration grading system. Osteoporos Int. 2011;22(1):91-6.
- **21.** Weiler C, Schietzsch M, Kirchner T, et al. Age-related changes in human cervical, thoracal and lumbar intervertebral disc exhibit a strong intra-individual correlation. Eur Spine J. 2012;21(6):S810-8.
- **22.** Wáng JQ, Káplár Z, Deng M, et al. Thoracolumbar intervertebral disc area morphometry in elderly Chinese men and women: radiographic quantifications at baseline and changes at year-4 followup. Spine. 2018;43(10):E607-14.
- 23. Wang YX, Griffith JF, Zeng XJ, et al. Prevalence and sex difference of lumbar disc space narrowing in elderly Chinese men and women: osteoporotic fractures in men (Hong Kong) and osteoporotic fractures in women (Hong Kong) studies. Arthritis Rheum. 2013;65(4):1004-10.

Spine Surgery and Related Research is an Open Access journal distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License. To view the details of this license, please visit (https://creativeco mmons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).