Research Article

Effect of Low Glycemic Index Diet Versus Metformin on Metabolic Syndrome

Shirin Rajabi,¹ Zohreh Mazloom,^{2,*} Ali Zamani,³ and Hamid Reza Tabatabaee⁴

¹Student Research Committee, Department of Nutrition, School of Nutrition and Food Sciences, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, IR Iran ²Department of Nutrition, School of Nutrition and Food Sciences, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, IR Iran

³Endocrine and Metabolism Research Center, Nemazee Hospital, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, IR Iran ⁴Department of Epidemiology, Research Center for Health Sciences, School of Health , Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, IR Iran

*Corresponding author: Zohreh Mazloom, Department of Nutrition, School of Nutrition and Food Sciences, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, IR Iran. Tel: +98-7137251001, Fax. +98-7137260225, E-mail: zohreh_mazloom@yahoo.com

Received: August 27, 2014; Revised: March 18, 2015; Accepted: June 16, 2015

Background: Metabolic syndrome (MetS) continues to be highly prevalent and contributes to a rapidly growing problem worldwide. The most important therapeutic intervention for metabolic syndrome is diet modification, an intervention whose efficacy has been proven for metabolic syndrome.

Objectives: The aim of the present study was to compare the effects of low glycemic index diet versus metformin on MetS components in adults with MetS.

Patients and Methods: Fifty-one adults with MetS participated in this randomized controlled clinical trial. Patients were randomly allocated to two groups of metformin and low glycemic index diet. The intervention period was eight weeks. The studied participants were compared at baseline and the end of the trial, regarding the following factors: weight, blood pressure, waist circumference, fasting blood sugar, hemoglobin Atc and lipid profiles (Triglyceride (TG), Total Cholesterol (TC), Low-Density Lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol, and High-Density Lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol).

Results: The anthropometric measurements, Fasting Blood Sugar (FBS), Hemoglobin AIc, serum lipid profiles (TG, TC, LDL-C, HDL-C) and lipoprotein ratio (LDL/HDL) showed a significant decrease after the intervention in both groups (P < 0.05). Comparison of the difference between the two groups was not significant, except for the mean reduction in FBS, which was more in the metformin group although this was not clinically significant.

Conclusions: This study supports the assumption that low glycemic index diet as well as metformin can positively affect metabolic syndrome components.

Keywords: Glycemic Index, Metabolic Syndrome X; Metformin

1. Background

Metabolic syndrome (MetS) is a constellation of risk factors associated with increased risk of diabetes and cardiovascular disease (1). In 2001, the national cholesterol education program (NCEP) adult treatment panel III (ATP III) provided a new definition for MetS, focusing on easily measurable clinical parameters such as abdominal obesity, increased fasting blood sugar, increased serum concentration of triglyceride, low serum high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) and/or elevated blood pressure. In 2004, the threshold for fasting blood sugar was reduced to $\geq 100 \text{ mg/dL} (5.6 \text{ mmol/L})$ in concordance with the American diabetes association criteria for impaired fasting glucose (2). The new international diabetes federation (IDF) definition in 2006 included a lower waist circumference (3). Metabolic Syndrome is a growing public health problem worldwide in both developed and developing countries (4). There is a general agreement that high prevalence of MetS (33.2% and 10.1% among Iranian adults and adolescents, respectively) basically deals with increasing incidence of obesity (5-7). A strong relationship between MetS and dietary pattern, tobacco use and physical inactivity has been reported in the literature (8).

The most important therapeutic intervention that has been proven to be effective in metabolic syndrome is lifestyle modification with focus on dietary change and physical activity (2, 9). Drug therapy is also another common intervention for MetS. Metformin has been proven to improve insulin sensitivity and shown to be effective on weight loss, although it has minor side effects (10). Recent evidence suggests that lifestyle modifications can be a decent way to reduce metabolic and cardiovascular risk factors. The study of Giugliano et al. reported that lifestyle modification (25%) has more benefits in resolution of MetS compared to drug therapy (19%) (9).

In 1981, Jenkins classified carbohydrate-containing

Copyright @ 2015, Research Institute For Endocrine Sciences and Iran Endocrine Society. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits copy and redistribute the material just in noncommercial usages, provided the original work is properly cited.

foods, based on the glycemic index (GI). This index was then defined as 'the incremental area under the blood glucose response curve to a test food, relative to a standard control food (glucose or white bread) with the same amount of carbohydrate. Glycemic Index differs according to the rate of digestion and absorption, which depends on the type of carbohydrate and protein, fat and fiber content of the food (11). High GI carbohydrates have been shown to be positively associated with insulin resistance and metabolic syndrome (12). A low GI diet will improve postprandial glycemia and as a result reduce insulin resistance, B-cell dysfunction and hyperinsulinemia (11). The results of a recent pilot study showed that a short-duration low glycemic index fitness program could improve anthropometric and physiological measures in MetS subjects (13). Also, a systemic review in 2013 provided evidence that administrating a low GI diet was helpful in prevention of obesity-associated diseases (14).

2. Objectives

The present study was designed to examine the effect of low GI diet versus metformin on adults with MetS.

3. Patients and Methods

Sixty adults with MetS, aged 25 to 65 years, participated in this randomized clinical controlled trial. Patients were included in the study according the following inclusion criteria: having metabolic syndrome characteristics according to IDF, waist circumference \geq 90 for males or \geq 80 cm for females (3), blood pressure \geq 135 (systole) or \geq 85 (diastole) mmHg, Fasting Blood Sugar (FBS) \geq 100 mg/dL (newly diagnosed pre-diabetic patients), triglycerides \geq 150 mg/dL, and high-density lipoproteins (HDL) < 40 (male) or < 50 mg/dL (female), and not taking any drug that might affect either blood pressure, blood lipid or blood glucose level. Patients were excluded from the study if they had any sign of liver, kidney or GI disorders during the study. All patients gave their written informed consent to participate. The protocol was approved by the Shiraz university of medical sciences ethics committee.

Patients were randomly allocated to two groups of drug (metformin) or diet therapy (low glycemic index) for eight weeks. The method of randomization was carried out and as the patients registered for entering the trial, they were put in their own group accord their number. For the diet group, the percentage of required energy was calculated by the Harris-Benedict formula. The percentages of macronutrients were the same for all participants, i.e. 55% for carbohydrates, 30% for fat and 15% for proteins. The only difference with normal diet was the use of low GI foods in the diet plan for the diet group. The foods were chosen from the Foster-Powel and Taleban Table (15, 16), with medium (55 - 70) and low (less than 55) glycemic index. The metformin dos-

age was 500 mg (one oral tablet per day) as the patients' routine treatment for eight weeks. Patients were seen every two weeks in order to make sure about their consumption of metformin and low GI diet regimen.

A 24-hour dietary recall was taken for all patients at each follow-up visit. Body weight, blood pressure, waist circumference, serum lipid profiles, fasting blood sugar and hemoglobin Atc were also measured at the beginning and the end of the trial. Anthropometric data including weight were measured by means of an analog scale (Seca), while the participants were in light clothing and had no shoes on. Height was measured using a stadiometer. The Body Mass Index (BMI) was calculated through dividing weight (in kilograms) by height squared (in meters). Waist circumference was measured to the nearest 0.5 cm between the iliac crest and the lowest rib at the narrowest point. Blood pressure was measured with a piezometer. The mean of the two measurements was used. Subjects rested for 15 minutes before blood pressure measurements. Blood samples were obtained at baseline and at the end of the intervention, after overnight fasting, and analyzed for glucose and lipid profiles by the colorimetric method on a Blue tetrazolium (BT) 1500 auto analyzer. The Hemoglobin Atc was measured by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC), using C18 column with a variable wavelength detector (Agilent 1100 series, Germany).

The trial data were analyzed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences, version 14 (SPSS Inc. Chicago, IL, USA). The results were expressed as mean \pm standard deviation (SD). The Shapiro test was used to check normality of the obtained data. Mann-Whitney U and Wilcoxon tests were performed to make statistical comparisons between and within groups, respectively. P values of < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

4. Results

Fifty-one participants (10 males and 41 females) with a mean age of 44.6 ± 6.1 years completed the study. Baseline characteristics and dietary intake components of the patients are shown in Table 1. There were no significant differences in dietary intake components between the diet and metformin groups during the eight-week trial (Table 2). After the intervention, body weight, BMI, waist circumference and blood pressure decreased significantly in both groups (P < 0.05), although comparison between the groups showed no considerable differences (Table 3).

Fasting blood sugar, hemoglobin Atc, lipid profiles (Triglyceride (TG), Total Cholesterol (TC), Low-Density Lipoprotein-Cholesterol (LDL-C), High-Density Lipoprotein-Cholesterol (HDL-C)) and lipoprotein ratio (LDL/HDL) showed a significant decrease after the intervention either by drug or diet (P < 0.05). The comparison of the mean differences of serum lipid profiles, lipoprotein ratio (LDL/HDL) and hemoglobin Atc showed no significant difference between the groups. However, the difference in FBS was significant (P < 0.05) (Table 3).

Variable	Metformin Group (N = 25)	Low Glycemic Index Diet Group (N = 26)	
Male/Female	6 (11.7)/19 (37.2)	4 (7.8)/22 (43)	
Age, y	43.5 ± 6.65	45.6 ± 5.62	
Energy, Kcal	2149.61 ± 286.6	2292.9 ± 238.8	
Carbohydrate, %	59.7 ± 5.8	58.3 ± 6.7	
Protein, %	12.1±1.6	12.4 ± 1.13	
Fat,%	28.2 ± 5.6	29.3 ± 4.4	

Values are presented as mean ± SD or No. (%).

 Table 2. Dietary Intake Components of Patients in the Eight-Week Intervention Group ^a

Variable	Metformin Group (N = 25)	Low Glycemic Index Diet Group (N = 26)	P Value ^b
Energy, Kcal	2181 ± 283	2103 ± 189	0.12
Carbohydrate,%	58.9 ± 7.7	55.3±2.2	0.202
Protein, %	13.1 ± 0.87	17.4 ± 0.51	0.148
Fat,%	28 ± 5.2	27.3 ± 1.1	0.172

 $\frac{a}{b}$ Values are presented as mean ± SD.

^b Mann-Whitney U test to compare change between groups.

Variables	Metformin Group (N=25) ^b		Low Glycemic Index diet Group (N = 26) $^{ m b}$		P Value ^C
	Before	After	Before	After	
Weight, kg	82.32 ± 9.75	80 ± 9.75	79.58 ± 7.87	77.29 ± 7.88	0.689
P value ^d	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	
BMI, kg/m ²	3.43 ± 30.88	3.22 ± 29.99	2.72 ± 29.42	2.55 ± 28.55	0.932
P value	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	
WC, cm	6.46 ± 103.7	6.65 ± 101.6	7.03 ± 101.9	7 ± 99.88	0.756
P value	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	
Systolic BP, mm/Hg	10.4 ± 123.6	9.74 ± 120.96	8.93 ± 126.27	8.42 ± 123.38	0.781
P value	0.001	0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	
Diastolic BP, mm/Hg	5.4 ± 80.12	5.61 ± 79.4	5.89 ± 80.58	5.59 ± 80.04	0.898
P value	0.033	0.033	0.026	0.026	
FBS, mg/dL	$8.34\pm\!108.9$	9.28 ± 99.08	6.31 ± 107.6	7.87 ± 101	0.017 ^e
P value	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	
HbA1c, %	0.32 ± 6.6	0.35 ± 6.27	0.24 ± 6.5	0.3 ± 6.28	0.43
P value	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	
TG, mg/dL	44.15 ± 173.56	44.6 ± 167.8	57.9 ± 182.6	58.6 ± 176.5	0.801
P value	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	
TC, mg/dL	23.5 ± 181.52	22.6 ± 166.6	31.7±190.6	30.9 ± 175.1	0.387
P value	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	
LDL, mg/dL	20.9 ± 101.2	19.4 ± 88.3	24.3 ± 108.8	23.3 ± 95.7	0.378
P value	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	
HDL, mg/dL	9.2 ± 45.6	9.21 ± 44.4	7.2 ± 42.9	7.7 ± 41.6	0.654
P value	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	
LDL/HDL Ratio	0.63 ± 2.3	0.58 ± 2	0.73 ± 2.6	0.71 ± 2.3	0.23
P value	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	

^a Abbreviations: BMI, Body Mass Index; BP, Blood Pressure; FBS, Fasting Blood Sugar; HbAic, Hemoglobin Aic; SD, Standard Deviation; TG, Triglyceride; TC, Total Cholesterol; WC, Waist Circumference.
 ^b Values are presented as mean ± SD.
 ^c Mann-Whitney U test to compare changes between baseline and final values of the groups.
 ^d Wilcoxon test to compare values within the groups.

^e P<0.05.

5. Discussion

The most important finding of this trial was that low GI diet as well as metformin reduced body weight, BMI, blood pressure and lipid profiles of patients with MetS after eight weeks of treatment. A slightly larger decrease was found in fasting blood sugar of patients who took metformin as compared to those on a low GI diet yet the difference was not clinically marked. These findings further support the idea that low GI diet could be as effective as metformin in MetS treatment.

Better regulation of glucose homeostasis following low GI diet was demonstrated in a number of studies (17, 18). The results of a previous meta-analysis of studies that investigated the effect of legumes as part of low GI diet in type 2 diabetes indicated a significant reduction (0.48%) in HbA_{1c} values (19). Fasting blood sugar and HbA_{1c} were reduced significantly in the low GI diet group of the current study. Metformin has also been shown to have beneficial effects on insulin sensitivity and glucose metabolism, which has been documented in the literature since 1993 (20, 21). It is likely that metformin exerts a direct inhibitory effect on hepatic glucose output, which coincides with the inhibition of gluconeogenesis in hepatocytes (22). A significant reduction in HbA_{1c} was observed in a (Cerebral abnormalities in Migraine, an Epidemiological Risk Analysis) study on non-diabetic patients, taking metformin for 18 months (23). These findings are in agreement with the results of the present study.

Low GI diet is more satiating than a high GI diet, as the former has slower rates of digestion and absorption. As a consequence, nutrient receptors in the gastrointestinal tract are stimulated for a longer time period and the signals by cholecystokinin and glucagon-like peptide-1 to the brain satiety center will become prolonged. In addition, low GI diet can decrease postprandial glucose, insulin and plasma cortisol level that inhibits muscle catabolism. Overall, these mechanisms can result in lower weight gain (24). Ludwig et al. reported that a low GI meal enhanced satiety and diminished appetite much more than a high GI meal (25). In a study by Bahadori et al. in 2004, low GI diet reduced body weight (six kilograms in six months) in obese participants (26). Melanson et al. also reported that 12-week treatment with low GI diet in overweight and obese subjects resulted in a significant decrease in body weight (3.39 kg), BMI (1.11) and waist circumference (3.31 cm) (27). The findings of the present study also showed a significant reduction in body weight and waist circumference as well. The larger mean reduction in the study of Melanson et al. might be due to a longer period of treatment (12 weeks).

Metformin, by its insulin-sensitizing virtue and by reducing hyperleptinemia, appears to be effective in reducing body weight and centripetal obesity. In one study, metformin therapy in non-diabetic, obese and morbidly obese (BMI > 30) subjects significantly reduced body weight and waist circumference (28). Regarding the beneficial effect of metformin on lipid profiles, it diminished Rho (small GTP-binding protein, which is generated in the process of cholesterol biosynthesis) kinase activity in hyperlipidemic rats (29). It may also have positive effects on lipid profiles by decreasing hyperinsulinemia. Landin et al. reported that metformin administration can ameliorate TG, total cholesterol and LDL-C levels in six weeks; this is in agreement with the results obtained in the current study (30).

Low GI diet may also have the ability to decrease blood lipids by reducing hyperinsulinemia. The investigation of Bouch et al. showed that eight-week consumption of low GI diet improved lipid profiles significantly in overweight and non-diabetic subjects (31). Moreover, Heilbronn et al. observed that low GI diet leads to a noticeable decrease in TG, total cholesterol and LDL in type 2 diabetic patients (32). Also, the outcome of a systemic review suggested that low GI diet could significantly reduce LDL and total cholesterol (33). The present study also showed that low GI diet diminished lipid profile and lipoprotein ratio (LDL/HDL) in patients with metabolic syndrome.

Low GI diet also has positive effects on blood pressure through its reducing effects on obesity, hyperglycemia and hyperinsulinemia (11). Moreover, low insulin concentration can lead to a reduction in sympathetic nervous system activities, which decrease heart rate, cardiac output and sodium retention and thus blood pressure (34). In the present study, low GI diet reduced systolic and diastolic blood pressure. These results are consistent with those of Sloth et al. who found that low GI diet decreased systolic blood pressure markedly in overweight females during a ten-week trial (35). Moreover, in the study of Melanson et al., low GI diet decreased blood pressure, although not significantly (27).

The current study showed that metformin intake was also associated with significant alterations in Blood Pressure (BP). The mechanism underlying the BP-lowering effect of metformin is obscure, yet a decrease in peripheral hyperinsulinemia may be implicated (36). Moreover, a reduction in sympathetic nervous system activity, as suggested by a 25% decrease in plasma norepinephrine after metformin consumption could also contribute to the condition (37). Giugliano obtained similar results for BP in obese-hypertensive subjects; a considerable reduction was detected after three months (21).

The inconsistent results of studies on the effects of low GI diet or metformin on metabolic parameters in obese or diabetic individuals can be attributed to different features of studies. These include differences in design, sample size, dosage and length of metformin administration.

In conclusion, eight weeks of low GI diet and metformin therapy in MetS could significantly decrease body weight, waist circumference, blood pressure, FBS, HbA_{1c}, lipid profiles and lipoprotein ratio (LDL/HDL), although the difference between groups was not noticeable except for FBS, for which the reduction was slightly larger (3 mg/dL) in the metformin group, yet this was not clinically considerable. Since metformin may cause side effects such as lactic acidosis, vitamin B12 deficiency, gastrointestinal disturbances and hepatotoxicity (38), low GI diet can be safer in managing MetS. To the best of our knowledge, the present study was the first trial on MetS that compared low GI diet and metformin drug therapy although with a small sample size. In addition, the short duration of the intervention was the other limitation of this clinical trial. Further investigations with larger populations and longer periods are required to confirm whether metformin therapy can be replaced by low GI diet.

Acknowledgements

We would like to express our special thanks to the Shiraz university of medical sciences for approving and funding this research. This project was undertaken as part of a postgraduate thesis by Shirin Rajabi, at Shiraz university of medical sciences. The authors would like to thank Dr. Nasrin Shokrpour at the center for development of clinical research of Nemazee hospital for editorial assistance.

Authors' Contributions

Zohreh Mazloom, Hamid Reza Tabatabaee and Shirin Rajabi developed the original idea and the protocol, abstracted and analyzed the data, wrote the manuscript, and were the guarantors. Shirin Rajabi, Ali Zamani and Zohreh Mazloom contributed to the development of the protocol, abstracted data, and prepared the manuscript.

Funding/Support

This study was supported in part by grant ct-92-6678 from the vice-chancellery of research and technology, Shiraz university of medical sciences.

References

- 1. Resnick HE, Jones K, Ruotolo G, Jain AK, Henderson J, Lu W, et al. Insulin resistance, the metabolic syndrome, and risk of incident cardiovascular disease in nondiabetic american indians: the Strong Heart Study. *Diabetes Care*. 2003;**26**(3):861-7.
- 2. Cho LW. Metabolic syndrome. Singapore Med J. 2011;52(11):779-85.
- Alberti KG, Zimmet P, Shaw J. Metabolic syndrome-a new worldwide definition. A Consensus Statement from the International Diabetes Federation. *Diabet Med.* 2006;23(5):469-80.
- Galisteo M, Duarte J, Zarzuelo A. Effects of dietary fibers on disturbances clustered in the metabolic syndrome. J Nutr Biochem. 2008;19(2):71-84.
- Ford ES, Giles WH, Dietz WH. Prevalence of the metabolic syndrome among US adults: findings from the third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. JAMA. 2002;287(3):356–9.
- Zabetian A, Hadaegh F, Azizi F. Prevalence of metabolic syndrome in Iranian adult population, concordance between the IDF with the ATPIII and the WHO definitions. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract.* 2007;77(2):251-7.
- 7. Esmaillzadeh A, Mirmiran P, Azadbakht L, Etemadi A, Azizi F. High prevalence of the metabolic syndrome in Iranian adolescents. *Obesity (Silver Spring).* 2006;**14**(3):377–82.
- 8. Lutsey PL, Steffen LM, Stevens J. Dietary intake and the development of the metabolic syndrome: the Atherosclerosis Risk in

Communities study. Circulation. 2008;117(6):754–61.

- 9. Giugliano D, Ceriello A, Esposito K. Are there specific treatments for the metabolic syndrome? *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2008;**87**(1):8–11.
- 10. Mahan K, Escott-stump S. *Krause's Food*, *Nutrition& Diet therapy*. 13 ed. Philadelphia: Saunders Company; 2012. pp. 675–706.
- 11. Radulian G, Rusu E, Dragomir A, Posea M. Metabolic effects of low glycaemic index diets. *Nutr J*. 2009;**8**:5.
- McKeown NM, Meigs JB, Liu S, Saltzman E, Wilson PW, Jacques PF. Carbohydrate nutrition, insulin resistance, and the prevalence of the metabolic syndrome in the Framingham Offspring Cohort. *Diabetes Care*. 2004;27(2):538–46.
- Carey MG, Al-Zaiti SS, Liao LM, Martin HN, Butler RA. A low-glycemic nutritional fitness program to reverse metabolic syndrome in professional firefighters: results of a pilot study. J Cardiovasc Nurs. 2011;26(4):298-304.
- Schwingshackl L, Hoffmann G. Long-term effects of low glycemic index/load vs. high glycemic index/load diets on parameters of obesity and obesity-associated risks: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis. 2013;23(8):699–706.
- Atkinson FS, Foster-Powell K, Brand-Miller JC. International tables of glycemic index and glycemic load values: 2008. *Diabetes Care*. 2008;31(12):2281-3.
- Taleban FA, Esmaeili M. *Glycemic Index of Iranian foods*. Tehran: Notional nutrition & Food Technology Research institute publication; 1999.
- Brand JC, Colagiuri S, Crossman S, Allen A, Roberts DC, Truswell AS. Low-glycemic index foods improve long-term glycemic control in NIDDM. *Diabetes Care*. 1991;14(2):95–101.
- Jenkins DJ, Wolever TM, Collier GR, Ocana A, Rao AV, Buckley G, et al. Metabolic effects of a low-glycemic-index diet. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 1987;**46**(6):968–75.
- Sievenpiper JL, Kendall CW, Esfahani A, Wong JM, Carleton AJ, Jiang HY, et al. Effect of non-oil-seed pulses on glycaemic control: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised controlled experimental trials in people with and without diabetes. *Diabetologia*. 2009;**52**(8):1479–95.
- Morel Y, Golay A, Perneger T, Lehmann T, Vadas L, Pasik C, et al. Metformin treatment leads to an increase in basal, but not insulin-stimulated, glucose disposal in obese patients with impaired glucose tolerance. *Diabet Med.* 1999;16(8):650–5.
- Giugliano D, De Rosa N, Di Maro G, Marfella R, Acampora R, Buoninconti R, et al. Metformin improves glucose, lipid metabolism, and reduces blood pressure in hypertensive, obese women. *Diabetes Care.* 1993;**16**(10):1387–90.
- Wollen N, Bailey CJ. Inhibition of hepatic gluconeogenesis by metformin. Synergism with insulin. *Biochem Pharmacol.* 1988;37(22):4353–8.
- Preiss D, Lloyd SM, Ford I, McMurray JJ, Holman RR, Welsh P, et al. Metformin for non-diabetic patients with coronary heart disease (the CAMERA study): a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol.* 2014;2(2):116–24.
- 24. Brand-Miller JC, Holt SH, Pawlak DB, McMillan J. Glycemic index and obesity. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2002;**76**(1):2815–55.
- Ludwig DS, Majzoub JA, Al-Zahrani A, Dallal GE, Blanco I, Roberts SB. High glycemic index foods, overeating, and obesity. *Pediatrics*. 1999;**103**(3):E26.
- Bahadori B, Yazdani-Biuki B, Krippl P, Brath H, Uitz E, Wascher TC. Low-fat, high-carbohydrate (low-glycaemic index) diet induces weight loss and preserves lean body mass in obese healthy subjects: results of a 24-week study. *Diabetes Obes Metab.* 2005;7(3):290–3.
- 27. Melanson KJ, Summers A, Nguyen V, Brosnahan J, Lowndes J, Angelopoulos TJ, et al. Body composition, dietary composition, and components of metabolic syndrome in overweight and obese adults after a 12-week trial on dietary treatments focused on portion control, energy density, or glycemic index. *Nutr J.* 2012;**11**:57.
- Glueck CJ, Fontaine RN, Wang P, Subbiah MT, Weber K, Illig E, et al. Metformin reduces weight, centripetal obesity, insulin, leptin, and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol in nondiabetic, morbidly obese subjects with body mass index greater than 30. *Metabolism*. 2001;50(7):856–61.

- 29. Liu Y, Huang C, Ceng C, Zhan H, Zheng D, Han W. Metformin enhances nitric oxide production and diminishes Rho kinase activity in rats with hyperlipidemia. *Lipids Health Dis.* 2014;**13**:115.
- 30. Landin K, Tengborn L, Smith U. Treating insulin resistance in hypertension with metformin reduces both blood pressure and metabolic risk factors. *J Intern Med.* 1991;**229**(2):181–7.
- Bouche C, Rizkalla SW, Luo J, Vidal H, Veronese A, Pacher N, et al. Five-week, low-glycemic index diet decreases total fat mass and improves plasma lipid profile in moderately overweight nondiabetic men. *Diabetes Care*. 2002;25(5):822–8.
- Heilbronn LK, Noakes M, Clifton PM. The effect of high- and lowglycemic index energy restricted diets on plasma lipid and glucose profiles in type 2 diabetic subjects with varying glycemic control. J Am Coll Nutr. 2002;21(2):120–7.
- Goff LM, Cowland DE, Hooper L, Frost GS. Low glycaemic index diets and blood lipids: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis.* 2013;23(1):1-10.
- 34. Shah M, Adams-Huet B, Bantle JP, Henry RR, Griver KA, Raatz SK, et

al. Effect of a high-carbohydrate versus a high-cis-monounsaturated fat diet on blood pressure in patients with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care*. 2005;**28**(11):2607-12.

- Sloth B, Krog-Mikkelsen I, Flint A, Tetens I, Bjorck I, Vinoy S, et al. No difference in body weight decrease between a low-glycemicindex and a high-glycemic-index diet but reduced LDL cholesterol after 10-wk ad libitum intake of the low-glycemic-index diet. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2004;80(2):337–47.
- Viollet B, Guigas B, Sanz Garcia N, Leclerc J, Foretz M, Andreelli F. Cellular and molecular mechanisms of metformin: an overview. *Clin Sci (Lond)*. 2012;122(6):253–70.
- Giugliano D, Quatraro A, Consoli G, Minei A, Ceriello A, De Rosa N, et al. Metformin for obese, insulin-treated diabetic patients: improvement in glycaemic control and reduction of metabolic risk factors. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol*. 1993;44(2):107-12.
- Khan HMS, Murtaza G, Usman M, Rasool F, Akhtar M, Qureshi MIM, et al. Evidence based study of side effects of drugs used in the treatment of diabetes mellitus. *Afr J Pharm Pharmacol.* 2012;6(24):1805–8.